

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,9	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking in 2016.	N/A		
2	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2016.	N/A		
3	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2016.	N/A		
4	s3.59(4)	Has the local government given Statewide public notice of each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction for 2016.	N/A		
5	s3.59(5)	Did the Council, during 2016, resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority.	N/A		

o	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority.	N/A	No delegations were made to committees.	
	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees in writing.	N/A	No delegations were made to committees.	
	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17.	N/A	No delegations were made to committlees.	
i	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations.	N/A	No delegations were made to committees.	
,	s5.18	Has Council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2015/2016 financial year.	N/A	No delegations were made to committlees.	
	s5.42(1),5.43 Admin Reg 18G	Did the powers and duties of the Council delegated to the CEO exclude those as listed in section 5.43 of the Act.	Yes	All delegations to the CEO were within the scope of the Act. Council reviewed its delegations at its meeting held on 28 June 2016 (CJ091- 06/16 refers).	
•	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority.	Yes	All delegations to the CEO were made by Absolute Majority. Council reviewed its delegations at its meeting held on 28 June 2016 (CJ091- 06/16 refers).	
	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO in writing.	Yes.	All delegations to the CEO were made in writing. Council reviewed its delegations at its meeting held on 28 June 2016 (CJ091- 06/16 refers).	
)	s5.44(2)	Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing.	Yes.	The CEO reviewed delegations to employees on 1 June 2016. All delegations by the CEO to other employees were made in writing.	
D	s5.45(1)(b)	Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority.	Yes.	Council reviewed its delegations at its meeting held on 28 June 2016 (CJ091- 06/16 refers). All delegations, including the annual review, that amended or revoked a previous delegation were made by absolute majority.	

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
11	s5.46(1)	Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under the Act to him and to other employees.	Yes.	A Register of Delegations of Authority is kept and made available to the public on the City's website.	
12	s5.46(2)	Were all delegations made under Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2015/2016 financial year.	Yes.	All delegations were reviewed by both Council and the CEO at least once during the 2015-16 financial year. The CEO reviewed his delegations on 1 June 2016. Council reviewed its delegations at its meeting held on 28 June 2016 (CJ091- 06/16 refers).	
13	s5.46(3) Admin Reg 19	Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record as required.	Yes.	All decisions made or actions taken under delegated authority are recorded in writing and retained on corporate record keeping systems.	

C	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
	s5.67	If a member disclosed an interest, did he/she ensure that they did not remain present to participate in any discussion or decision-making procedure relating to the matter in which the interest was disclosed (not including participation approvals granted under s5.68).	Yes.	All members who made a disclosure under section 5.65 did not remain in the room to participate in any discussion or voting.	
	s5.68(2)	Were all decisions made under section 5.68(1), and the extent of participation allowed, recorded in the minutes of Council and Committee meetings.	Yes.	All disclosures and any decision to permit a member to participate and the extent of participation were recorded in the minutes of the relevant meeting.	
	s5.73	Were disclosures under section 5.65 or 5.70 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosure was made.	Yes.	All disclosures are recorded in the minutes of the relevant meeting.	
ļ	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly elected members within three months of their start day.	N/A	No elections held and no new Elected Members during the return period.	
5	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly designated employees within three months of their start day.	Yes.	All newly designated employees required to complete a Primary Return did so within three months of their start day.	
6	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all continuing elected members by 31 August 2016.	Yes.	All Elected Members completed and submitted Annual Returns by 31 August 2016.	
7	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all designated employees by 31 August 2016.	No.	Two employees did not submit their Annual Returns by 31 August 2016. One employee resigned during the return period and the other employee was on extended leave and was unable to be contacted. This employee completed their Annual Return 15 November 2016.	
8	s5.77	On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, (or the Mayor/ President in the case of the CEO's return) on all occasions, give written acknowledgment of having received the return.	Yes.	Receipt of all primary and annual returns was formally acknowledged in writing.	

lo	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
9	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under section 5.75 and 5.76	Yes.	A register of financial interests is maintained by the City.	
10	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70 and 5.71, in the form prescribed in Administration Regulation 28.	Yes.	A register of financial interests is maintained by the City.	
11	s5.88 (3)	Has the CEO removed all returns from the register when a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under section 5.75 or 5.76.	Yes.	All returns of Elected members and designated employees are removed from the register when they cease to be a relevant person.	
12	s5.88(4)	Have all returns lodged under section 5.75 or 5.76 and removed from the register, been kept for a period of at least five years, after the person who lodged the return ceased to be a council member or designated employee.	Yes.	All returns removed from the register are retained for a further five years in a separate register.	
13	s5.103 Admin Reg 34C & Rules of Conduct Reg 11	Where an elected member or an employee disclosed an interest in a matter discussed at a Council or committee meeting where there was a reasonable belief that the impartiality of the person having the interest would be adversely affected, was it recorded in the minutes.	Yes.	All disclosures are recorded in the minutes of the relevant meeting.	
14	s5.70(2)	Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to the Council or a Committee, did that person disclose the nature of that interest when giving the advice or report.	Yes.	All disclosures include the nature of the interest to be declared.	
15	s5.70(3)	Where an employee disclosed an interest under s5.70(2), did that person also disclose the extent of that interest when required to do so by the Council or a Committee.	Yes.	All disclosures include the extent of the interest to be declared.	
16	s5.103(3) Admin Reg 34B	Has the CEO kept a register of all notifiable gifts received by Council members and employees.	Yes		

ο	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.58(3)	Was local public notice given prior to disposal for any property not disposed of by public auction or tender (except where excluded by Section 3.58(5)).	Yes	Proposal to grant a lease at the Joondalup Reception Centre to Creative Catering Perth. Advertised on 4 August 2016 in the Joondalup Weekender. The lease commenced 12 September 2016.	
2	s3.58(4)	Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3), did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4), in the required local public notice for each disposal of property.	Yes	Advertisement included details on the affected parties, the annual rent to be received by the City, a current market valuation and proposed tenure arrangements.	

0	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s7.1A	Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Act.	Yes.	Council established an Audit Committee by Absolute Majority at its Special Meeting held on 3 November 2015 (JSC02-11/15 refers).	
2	s7.1B	Where a local government determined to delegate to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Act, did it do so by absolute majority.	N/A	No delegations were made to the Audit Committee.	
3	s7.3	Was the person(s) appointed by the local government to be its auditor, a registered company auditor.	Yes	Moore Stephens were appointed 28 June 2016 for an initial period of two years with an option for a further one year. Audit partner David Tomasi is a registered auditor.	
4	s7.3, 7.6(3)	Was the person or persons appointed by the local government to be its auditor, appointed by an absolute majority decision of Council.	Yes	Ordinary Council meeting 28 June 2016.	
5	Audit Reg 10	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2016 received by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit.	Yes		
6	s7.9(1)	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2016 received by the local government by 31 December 2016.	Yes	Audit Report dated 19 October 2016.	
7	S7.12A(3)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act required action to be taken by the local government, was that action undertaken.	N/A	No such matters were raised in the audit report.	
8	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a report prepared on any actions undertaken.	N/A		

ο	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
<u>,</u>	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9(1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a copy of the report forwarded to the Minister by the end of the financial year or 6 months after the last report prepared under s7.9 was received by the local government whichever was the latest in time.	N/A		Respondent
10	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the objectives of the audit.	Yes		
11	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the scope of the audit.	Yes		
12	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include a plan for the audit.	Yes		
13	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include details of the remuneration and expenses to be paid to the auditor.	Yes		
14	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the method to be used by the local government to communicate with, and supply information to, the auditor.	Yes		

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	Admin Reg 18C	Did the local government approve the process to be used for the selection and appointment of the CEO before the position of CEO was advertised.	N/A	No Recruitment	
2	s5.36(4) s5.37(3), Admin Reg 18A	Were all vacancies for the position of CEO and other designated senior employees advertised and did the advertising comply with s.5.36(4), 5.37(3) and Admin Reg 18A.	N/A	No recruitment	
3	Admin Reg 18F	Was the remuneration and other benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position of CEO under section 5.36(4).	N/A	No recruitment undertaken, but a contract renewal was completed with no increase in remuneration.	
4	Admin Regs 18E	Did the local government ensure checks were carried out to confirm that the information in an application for employment was true (applicable to CEO only).	N/A	No recruitment	
5	s5.37(2)	Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss a designated senior employee.	Yes	One senior employee was provided with a contract extension which was advised to Council.	

ο	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.120	Where the CEO is not the complaints officer, has the local government designated a senior employee, as defined under s5.37, to be its complaints officer.	N/A		
2	s5.121(1)	Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that result in action under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	No	There were no complaints resulting in action under section 5.110 (6)(b) or (c) during the reporting period.	
3	s5.121(2)(a)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording of the name of the council member about whom the complaint is made.	Yes		
4	s5.121(2)(b)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording the name of the person who makes the complaint.	Yes		
5	s5.121(2)(c)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording a description of the minor breach that the standards panel finds has occured.	Yes		
6	s5.121(2)(d)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include the provision to record details of the action taken under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.57 F&G Reg 11	Did the local government invite tenders on all occasions (before entering into contracts for the supply of goods or services) where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in Regulation 11(1) of the Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations (Subject to Functions and General Regulation 11(2)).	Yes		
2	F&G Reg 12	Did the local government comply with F&G Reg 12 when deciding to enter into multiple contracts rather than inviting tenders for a single contract.	Yes		
3	F&G Reg 14(1) & (3)	Did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice.	Yes		
4	F&G Reg 14 & 15	Did the local government's advertising and tender documentation comply with F&G Regs 14, 15 & 16.	Yes		
5	F&G Reg 14(5)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of the tender documents or each acceptable tenderer, notice of the variation.	Yes		
6	F&G Reg 16	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16.	Yes		
7	F&G Reg 18(1)	Did the local government reject the tenders that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation to tender.	Yes		
8	F&G Reg 18 (4)	In relation to the tenders that were not rejected, did the local government assess which tender to accept and which tender was most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	Yes		
9	F&G Reg 17	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 17.	Yes		

ο	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
0	F&G Reg 19	Was each tenderer sent written notice advising particulars of the successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted.	Yes		
11	F&G Reg 21 & 22	Did the local governments's advertising and expression of interest documentation comply with the requirements of F&G Regs 21 and 22.	Yes		
12	F&G Reg 23(1)	Did the local government reject the expressions of interest that were not submitted at the place and within the time specified in the notice.	N/A	All submissions were submitted at the time and place specified in the notice.	
13	F&G Reg 23(4)	After the local government considered expressions of interest, did the CEO list each person considered capable of satisfactorily supplying goods or services.	Yes	After Council considered expressions of interest at its meeting 28 June 2016 to operate a farmers' market in Central Walk, Joondalup.	
14	F&G Reg 24	Was each person who submitted an expression of interest, given a notice in writing in accordance with Functions & General Regulation 24.	Yes	Of the two responses received, one was subsequently withdrawn. The remaining entity was provided with a notice as prescribed.	
15	F&G Reg 24AD(2)	Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre-qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice.	No	The City has not established any panels of pre-qualified suppliers in 2016.	
16	F&G Reg 24AD(4) & 24AE	Did the local government's advertising and panel documentation comply with F&G Regs 24AD(4) & 24AE.	N/A		
17	F&G Reg 24AF	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16 as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a panel application.	N/A		
18	F&G Reg 24AD(6)	If the local government to sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application, notice of the variation.	N/A		

lo	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
19	F&G Reg 24AH(1)	Did the local government reject the applications to join a panel of pre- qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation for applications.	N/A		
20	F&G Reg 24AH(3)	In relation to the applications that were not rejected, did the local government assess which application(s) to accept and which application(s) were most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	N/A		
21	F&G Reg 24AG	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers, comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24AG.	N/A		
22	F&G Reg 24AI	Did the local government send each person who submitted an application, written notice advising if the person's application was accepted and they are to be part of a panel of pre-qualified suppliers, or, that the application was not accepted.	N/A		
23	F&G Reg 24E	Where the local government gave a regional price preference in relation to a tender process, did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24E in relation to the preparation of a regional price preference policy (only if a policy had not been previously adopted by Council).	N/A	The City has not given a regional price preference in any tender process and has no regional price preference policy.	
24	F&G Reg 24F	Did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24F in relation to an adopted regional price preference policy.	N/A		
25	F&G Reg 11A	Does the local government have a current purchasing policy in relation to contracts for other persons to supply goods or services where the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, \$150,000 or less.	Yes		