

# **CITY OF JOONDALUP**

**MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE JOINT COMMISSIONERS HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP ON TUESDAY, 31 AUGUST 1999 AND TUESDAY 7 SEPTEMBER 1999**

## **ATTENDANCES**

### **Commissioners:**

C T ANSELL	Chairman
H MORGAN, AM	Deputy Chairman
M CLARK-MURPHY	
R M ROWELL	

### **Officers:**

Chief Executive Officer:	L O DELAHAUNTY
Director, Resource Management:	J B TURKINGTON
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Manager, Council Support Services:	M SMITH

## **APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

### Leave of Absence

Cmr W Buckley                      6 August – 14 September 1999 inclusive

There were 3 members of the Public in attendance.

**The Chairman declared the meeting open at 1930 hrs.**

## **AMENDMENT TO STANDING ORDERS**

Cmr Ansell requested that Standing Orders be amended to permit Announcements by the Chairman to be heard at this point in the meeting.

**MOVED Cmr Morgan, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that Standing Orders be amended to permit Announcements by the Chairman to be heard at this point.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

## **ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIRMAN WITHOUT DISCUSSION**

I am sorry to announce to you this evening that due to a new computer system being implemented has meant that the overall statements for the budget were not produced until Friday, 21 August 1999.

A preliminary workshop conducted by the Joint Commissioners, Chief Executive Officer and Directors has flagged some areas for concern in the operating budgets.

Commissioners are not certain whether the areas of concern are within the workings of the computer budget modelling system or whether further cut backs in expenditure are required.

Staff have been working through the night and all day today in an attempt to rectify the problem, with only partial results.

This delay has also held up the production of supporting documentation for public distribution, which will now make the proposed meeting and discussion of the budget this evening unachievable. Commissioners are confident these areas will be rectified in the next few days and can only apologise for not giving prior notice of this.

As required under the Local Government Act 1995, an application has been made to the Minister for an extension in time to 7 September 1999.

In view of this information, it would be fruitless to prolong this meeting this evening. It has been suggested that in accordance with the City of Joondalup's Standing Orders local law to adjourn this meeting to 6.30 pm Tuesday, 7 September 1999.

Staff have been working long and hard, not only looking after the budget for the City of Joondalup, but also assisting the City of Wanneroo with the production of its budget.

Cmr Ansell gave thanks to Mr Turkington and his staff for their efforts in compiling this year's budget.

**MOVED Cmr Morgan, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Special Meeting of Joint Commissioners held for the purpose of the adoption of the 1999/00 Budget be adjourned until Tuesday, 7 September 1999, commencing at 6.30 pm.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

## **ADJOURNMENT**

In accordance with Clause 5.1 of the City of Joondalup's Standing Orders local law, the Chairman at 1935 hrs declared the meeting be adjourned to Tuesday, 7 September 1999 at 6.30 pm.

The meeting resumed on **TUESDAY 7 SEPTEMBER 1999**, the following persons being present:

**Commissioners:**

C T ANSELL	Chairman
H MORGAN, AM	Deputy Chairman
M CLARK-MURPHY	
R M ROWELL	

**Officers:**

Chief Executive Officer:	L O DELAHAUNTY
Director, Resource Management:	J B TURKINGTON
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Director, Infrastructure Management:	D DJULBIC
Director, Community Development:	C HALL
Executive Manager, Strategic Planning:	R FISCHER
Manager, Executive Services:	K ROBINSON
Publicity Officer:	L BRENNAN
Manager, Financial Planning & Management Accounting:	K ANNAND
Manager, Accounting Services:	A SCOTT
Committee Clerk:	J AUSTIN

There were 3 members of the Public in attendance.

**The Chairman declared the adjourned meeting open at 1830 hrs.**

**MOVED Cmr Morgan, SECONDED Cmr Clark-Murphy that the meeting for the purpose of the adoption of the 1999/2000 Budget RESUME.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

**PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

Cmr Ansell requested that Public Question Time be deferred until after the presentation of the 1999/2000 Budget by the Director, Resource Management, to allow members of the public to become more familiar with the components of the Budget, and have the opportunity to ask specific questions.

**MOVED Cmr Morgan, SECONDED Cmr Clark-Murphy that Public Question Time be DEFERRED and held following the presentation of the 1999/2000 Budget.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

**PRESENTATION OF 1999/2000 DRAFT BUDGET**

The Director of Resource Management, Mr John Turkington, gave his appreciation to the Commissioners, Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Management, Business Unit Managers, and all staff for their assistance in the formulation of the 1999/2000 Budget. Special thanks were given to officers within Resource Management for their particular efforts.

Mr Turkington gave a presentation of the 1999/2000 Budget for the City of Joondalup, and outlined to Commissioners and members of the public:

- Methodology
- Revaluation
- Budget Philosophy and Theme
- Budget Overview
- Major Works and Services
- Initiatives
- Impact on the Ratepayer
- Payment Options and Incentives

In conclusion, Mr Turkington stated that this year's budget was very responsible and affordable, and addressed the needs of the current ratepayers while also focusing on the future direction of the City of Joondalup. He believed it was a positive and responsible approach to addressing the demands and responsibilities placed upon the City now, and in the future. Mr Turkington commended the budget and supporting papers to the Commissioners for their adoption.

## **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

(Section 7(4)(b) of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 states that a Council at a special meeting is not required to answer a question that does not relate to the purpose of the meeting. It is requested that only questions that relate to items on the agenda be asked).

**Mr Steve Magyar, Heathridge:**

**Re: Adoption of the 1999/2000 Budget:**

*Q1 Part three of the recommendations to the Commissioners deals with "FUNDS HELD IN RESERVE ACCOUNTS TO BE USED FOR ANOTHER PURPOSE". It is recommended that \$589,643 of Asset Replacement Reserves be used to undertake works associated with the 'split'. Can details be provided as to what there works are?*

**A1** \$589,643 will be used from the "Asset Replacement Reserve" for the following works associated with the split:-

	\$	\$
Library Cards	30,000	
(Issued under City of Joondalup)		
Corporate Branding literature etc	60,000	
Sub Total		90,000
Furniture and Equipment	200,000	
Signage	50,000	
Plant and Vehicles	50,000	
• Approval Services		
• Traffic Projects		
• Engineering Maintenance Plant		
Office Refurbishment	100,000	
Mayoral Regalia	10,000	

	Sub Total	410,000
Contribution towards Task Force Operation	89,643	
	Sub Total	89,643
	Total	<u>\$589,643</u>

*Q2 Part four of the recommendations refers to the estimated operating revenues and expenditure as in the operating statements. What is the estimated operating revenues and expenditures for the City of Joondalup? If these figures were compared on a per capita basis to the previous budgets for the former City of Wanneroo, has the operating budgets become larger or smaller and if so by what percentage?*

A2 The estimated Operating position is as follows:-

Revenues	\$59.913m
Expenditures	\$61.158m

It is to be noted that the expenditures include \$12.899m for depreciation of fixed and infrastructure assets.

While it is difficult to compare "apples with apples" as the Operating Statements varied depending on the level of activity the budgeted expenditure per capita increased by approximately 10%

*Q3 Part five of the recommendations deals with "STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS". What will be the City's main sources of cash flows and what are the amounts involved?*

A3 The main cash flows are shown on appendix III hereto – click here: [Att3min3108.pdf](#)

*Q4 Part six deals with "STATEMENT OF NON OPERATING EXPENDITURES". What is the estimated total of the non operating expenditures? And what will the majority of the non operating expenditures be spent on?*

A4 The total of non-operating expenditures is \$33.382m inclusive of \$10.890m infrastructure assets. These are detailed on appendix IV hereto – click here: [Att4min3108.pdf](#)

*Q5 Part ten to seventeen of the recommendations deals with gross rental valuations for different categories of land within the City. The Gross Rental Value in 1998/99 for residential, commercial, not improved, industrial and rural was 7.2050 cents in the dollar. The new rate for 1999/2000 will be 6.6693 cents. However as the triennial revaluation is due this year, will the actual amount paid by most ratepayers go up or down? And can some examples of the actual amounts the residents will have to pay be given comparing their council bill for the last three years?*

A5 The amount payable by the majority of ratepayers will be on average 3% more than last year. On an average property this would be \$18-\$20. The inconsistent movement in valuations across the district however distorts this position for many ratepayers. A copy of comparative rates across the district is appended for perusal – appendix VIII refers – click here: [Att8min3108.pdf](#)

- Q6 Part twenty five of the recommendations deals with the security levy. The recommendation states a security levy of \$27.00 on each piece of land (rateable and non rateable). Does that mean that non rateable properties such as government schools and Crown reserves such as parks and foreshore reserves will be charged the \$27.00 levy? If so who will pay the levy, the State Government, out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund, or the Authority to whom the land is vested or who holds the Management Order for the piece of land?*
- A6 Pursuant to the provisions of Section 6.32(c), 6.38(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 and Clause 54 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 a local government may impose a service charge on land within its district. The budget provides to levy the security charge on Crown land on which is located a school or where the land is leased. The account will be forwarded to the school or lessee. Churches will also be required to pay the levy. It is not proposed to levy drainage sumps or foreshore reserves.
- Q7 Part twenty six of the recommendation deals with the "SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES". Have any of these fees increased and if so by what percentage?*
- A7 A local government is to review its fees and charged annually. Pursuant to this requirement all fees and charges were reviewed by the relevant business unit. The majority of fees did not increase. The fees and charges schedule details where increases are proposed. Pool entry fees increased for example by 10¢.

**Mr Vic Harman, Ocean Reef:**

- Q1 Having just received the papers, we are not in a position to digest the information provided. If we have further questions, should these be raised at the next Council meeting, or submitted in writing?*
- A1 *Response by Cmr Ansell:* It is preferable that they be in writing, but either way is acceptable.
- Q2 Page 9 in the yellow papers; under Dual Use Paths, can I have any further information regarding Ocean Reef Stage 1?*
- A2 *Response by Director, Infrastructure Management:* This question will be taken on notice.
- Q3 In relation to Security, is a figure available on the number of personnel employed in security? There has been concerns raised in my area that we are not getting the security when it is required. Is this because there is not enough security or that the operating times are not sufficient?*
- A3 *Response by Cmr Ansell:* I ask that you leave this particular question until the next meeting of the Joint Commissioners, as an interim report is to be submitted in relation to Security operations over the last 12 months. We will also ascertain the numbers of personnel involved in security and provide that information at the next meeting.

*Q4 I understand that the recycling process is to be reviewed at the end of the year, which I assume will be considered by the new Councillors. Has any provision of funds been made in the Budget in the event that the new Councillors wish to carry on with this project.*

*A4 Response by Cmr Ansell: No provision has been made in the current year, but there will be money in the Reserves.*

**Mr Barry Higgins, Carabooda:**

*Mr Higgins commended Mr John Turkington on his presentation of the Budget.*

*The Chairman endorsed the comments of Mr Higgins and gave his appreciation of the work undertaken by Mr Turkington and his team.*

## **DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST**

Nil

Items JSC6-08/99 and JSC7-08/99 were Moved by Cmr Clark-Murphy and Seconded by Cmr Rowell.

**JSC6-08/99**

**[DIFFERENTIAL RATING AND MINIMUM PAYMENTS \[21458\]](#)**

---

## **SUMMARY**

Section 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995 requires a local government which is intending to levy differential rates/minimum payments to advertise its intention to do so. It also requires a local government to consider any submissions received before imposing a proposed rate. The City's intention was advertised, as required, in The West Australian on Thursday 29 July 1999. The 21 day submission period concluded at 4pm on Wednesday 18 August 1999.

No submissions were received from ratepayers to the advertisement relating to the imposition of differential rates, minimum payments, specified area rate in Iluka nor the possibility of a security levy.

## **BACKGROUND**

A report on differential rating and minimum payments was submitted to the Joint Commissioners on 27 July 1999

Pursuant to Section 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995, the Joint Commissioners resolved to advertise their intention of applying the following estimated differential and minimum rates in 1999/00.

	GRV	% of General Rate
Residential	6.6693 cents	100%
Commercial – Improved	6.0023 cents	90%
Commercial – Not Improved	6.6693 cents	100%
Industrial	6.6693 cents	100%
Rural	6.6693 cents	100%

Minimum Rates	
Residential Rural	\$403.00
Commercial/Industrial	\$741.00

The intention was advertised, as required, in The West Australian on Thursday 29 July 1999. The 21 day submission period concluded at 4pm on Wednesday 18 August 1999.

## DETAILS

The Local Government Act 1995 at Section 6.36 requires that a local government which wishes to implement differential rating or minimum payments is to give local public notice of its intention to do so.

The public notice must contain details of each differential rate or minimum payment which the local government intends to impose and an invitation for submissions to be made in respect of the proposed differential rate or minimum payment.

A minimum of twenty one days is allowed for submissions.

The local government is required to consider all submissions, but may proceed to adopt the proposed rates with or without modification.

## COMMENT/FUNDING

The City of Joondalup lodged an advertisement in The West Australian newspaper to advise its intention with respect to differential rating for the 1999/00 financial year. In addition, the advertisement indicated that the City would introduce for a 12 month trial, a specified area rate in the Iluka area. This was to accommodate the necessary funding for the enhanced landscaping relating to verges and parks etc within the specified area.

Following representations from Iluka residents the Joint Commissioners on 27 April 1999 agreed to a trial for the 1999/00 financial year of a specified area rating system to undertake enhanced landscaping treatments for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road, Ocean Parade and the Indian Ocean.

A more detailed examination has revealed that the specified area should be:-

“an area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road and the Foreshore Reserve.”



It is appropriate that the Joint Commissioners revoke the previous decision regarding the specified area and replace it with the amended area.

The advertisement also indicated that the Joint Commissioners were considering the introduction of a property surveillance and security levy throughout the district.

The Local Government Act 1995 requires that the City advertise the proposed differential rates to be levied in the forthcoming year and allows a 21 day response time for ratepayers/elector submissions. This process has been completed with no submissions received.

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners NOTE that no submissions were received from ratepayers to the advertisement lodged on 29 July 1999 relating to the imposition of differential rates, minimum payments, specified area rate nor the possibility of a property surveillance and security levy for the 1999/00 financial year.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

**JSC7-08/99                    DIFFERENTIAL RATING AND MINIMUM PAYMENTS (21458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners NOTE that no submission was received from ratepayers to the advertisement lodged on 29 July 1999 relating to the imposition of differential rates and minimum payments for the 1999/00 financial year.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED**

**JSC8-08/99                    FUNDS HELD IN RESERVE ACCOUNTS TO BE USED FOR ANOTHER PURPOSE (24458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners pursuant to the provisions of Section 6.11 of the Local Government Act 1995:-**

- 1            transfer \$862,888 from the Domestic Cart Refuse Collection Reserve to the Asset Replacement Reserve**
- 2            transfer \$172,061 from the Trade/Industrial/Commercial Refuse Collection Reserve to the Asset Replacement Reserve; and**
- 3            use \$589,643 from the Asset Replacement Reserve to undertake works associated with the 'split'.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Items JSC9-08/99 and JSC10-08/99 were Moved by Cmr Clark-Murphy and Seconded by Cmr Morgan.

**JSC9-08/99                    1999/00 MUNICIPAL FUND BUDGET (36287)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995:-**

- 1            adopt the 1999/00 Municipal Fund Budget of estimated operating revenues and expenditures as summarised in the Operating Statements forming Appendices I & II hereto; and**
- 2            adopt the Supporting Documentation and Notes to and Forming Part of the 1999/00 Municipal Fund Budget laid on the table.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendices I and II refer – click here: [Att1min3108.pdf](#) [Att2min3108.pdf](#)

**JSC10-08/99                    1999/00 STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (25458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 adopt the 1999/00 Budget of Estimated Cash Flows forming Appendix III hereto.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendix III refers, click here: [Att3min3108.pdf](#)

**JSC11-08/99                    1999/00 STATEMENT OF NON OPERATING EXPENDITURES (26458)**

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, adopt the 1999/00 Statement of Non Operating Expenditures as detailed in the 1999/00 Municipal Fund Budget and documentation forming Appendix IV.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**SUMMARY**

The 1999/00 Draft Budget provides \$80,000 to undertake works in Chadlington Drive, Padbury. Following concerns from residents in the Hepburn Heights subdivision in relation to the traffic impact of the proposed development of the community purpose sites located along Fernwood Square and Chadlington Drive, Landcorp has submitted a solution which requires the construction of an access road at the rear of the community purpose sites. The estimated cost of this road is \$350,000 of which the City's contribution is \$80,000. It is recommended that this be funded by redirecting the \$80,000 originally allocated to the Chadlington Drive works to this access road.

## **BACKGROUND**

The current Draft 1999/00 Budget provides \$80,000 for a left in/left out access from Hepburn Avenue to the Chadlington Drive and Blackwattle Parade roundabout in Hepburn Heights.

Subsequent to this allocation being provided in this year's draft budget, concern has been expressed by residents of the Hepburn Heights subdivision of the traffic impact of the proposed development of the community purposes sites located along Fernwood Square and Chadlington Drive. As a solution to the increasing traffic problem associated with the use of these sites, Landcorp has submitted a proposal to build a service access road at the rear of the sites. While the estimated cost of these works is in the order of \$350,000 the City has been requested to contribute \$80,000. Landcorp would fund the remainder through the subdivision and sale of land immediately north of the Mosque site on Walter Padbury Boulevard.

It is therefore suggested that the \$80,000 allocated to the Chadlington Drive works be redirected to assist with the funding of the proposed access road to the community purpose sites.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

That the \$80,000 allocated in the 1999/00 Draft Budget to Chadlington Drive, Padbury be redirected to assist with funding of the access road to the community purposes sites located along Fernwood Square and Chadlington Drive, Padbury.

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, adopt the 1999/00 Statement of Non Operating Expenditures as detailed in the 1999/00 Municipal Fund Budget and documentation forming Appendix IV hereto, subject to the \$80,000 allocated in the 1999/00 Draft Budget to Chadlington Drive, Padbury being redirected to assist with funding of the access road to the community purposes sites located along Fernwood Square and Chadlington Drive, Padbury.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendix IV refers – click here: [Att4min3108.pdf](#)

Items JSC12-08/99 to JSC23-08/99 inclusive were Moved by Cmr Clark-Murphy and Seconded by Cmr Rowell.

## **JSC12-08/99      DIFFERENTIAL RATING (21458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.32 and 6.33 of the Local Government Act 1995:**

- 1      impose differentiating rates for the 1999/00 financial year; and**
- 2      set the differential general rates in accordance with the following tables:**

**TABLE 1 - GROSS RENTAL VALUES**

**ZONE GROUP 1**

**Residential Zones**

**Rural Zones**

- Residential
- General Residential GR4
- Residential Development
- Civic
- Special Developments Zone A
- Special Residential
- Rural
- Joondalup City Centre
- Metropolitan Region Scheme Reserves
- Local Scheme Reserves
- Special Zone Additional Use
- Special Zone Restricted Use
- Centre
- Urban Development

**ZONE GROUP 2**

**Industrial Zone**

**Commercial Zones - Not Improved**

- Commercial
- Hotel
- Service Stations
- Private Recreation/Clubs
- Tavern
- Joondalup City Centre
- Service Industrial
- Mixed Business
- Metropolitan Region Scheme Reserves
- Local Scheme Reserves
- Special Zone Additional Use
- Special Zone Restricted Use
- Whitford Town Centre

**ZONE GROUP 3**

**Commercial Zones - Improved**

- Commercial
- Hotel
- Service Stations
- Private Recreation/Clubs
- Tavern
- Joondalup City Centre
- Mixed Business
- Metropolitan Region Scheme Reserves
- Local Scheme Reserves
- Special Zone Additional Use

- **Special Zone Restricted Use**
- **Whitford Town Centre**

**TABLE 2 – UNIMPROVED VALUES**

- Residential Zones**
- Rural Zones**
  - **Residential**
  - **Rural**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC13-08/99      1999/00 RATES SETTING STATEMENT (28458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 adopt the 1999/00 Rates Setting Statement forming Appendix V hereto.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendix V refers – click here: [Att5min3108.pdf](#)

**JSC14-08/99      1999/00 STATEMENT OF RATING INFORMATION (28458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 adopt the 1999/00 Statement of Rating Information forming Appendix VI hereto.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendix VI refers – click here: [Att6min3108.pdf](#)

**JSC15-08/99      GENERAL RATE - RESIDENTIAL - GROSS RENTAL VALUE (07125)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Residential Improved and Not Improved Gross Rental Valuation rate of 6.6693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC16-08/99            GENERAL RATE - COMMERCIAL IMPROVED - GROSS RENTAL VALUE (07125)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Commercial Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.0023 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Value.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC17-08/99            GENERAL RATE - COMMERCIAL NOT IMPROVED - GROSS RENTAL VALUE (07125)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Commercial Not Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.6693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Value.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC18-08/99            GENERAL RATE - INDUSTRIAL - GROSS RENTAL VALUE (07125)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose an Industrial Improved and Not Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.6693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC19-08/99            GENERAL RATE - RURAL - GROSS RENTAL VALUE (07125)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Rural Improved and Not Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.6693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.**

**The Motion was Put and**

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC20-08/99      GENERAL RATE - RESIDENTIAL - UNIMPROVED VALUE (02149)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Residential Improved and Not Improved Unimproved Valuation Rate of 0.5251 cents in the dollar of Unimproved Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC21-08/99      GENERAL RATE - RURAL - UNIMPROVED VALUE (02149)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose a Rural Improved and Not Improved Unimproved Valuation Rate of 0.5251 cents in the dollar of Unimproved Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC22-08/99      MINIMUM RATES (21458)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 and 6.35 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose the 1999/00 minimum rates as follows:-

**GRV**

- Each Residential and Rural Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$403.00
- Each Commercial and Industrial Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$741.00

**UV**

- Each Residential and Rural Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$403.00

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC23-08/99      SPECIFIED AREA RATE (15974)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of:

- 1 Section 5.25(1)(e) of the Local Government Act 1995, **REVOKE** the decision dated 27 April 1999 (Item No. CJ127-04/99) being:-

- “1. *in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 INTRODUCE as a trial for the 1999/00 financial year, a specified area rating system for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue,*

*Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road, Ocean Parade and the Indian Ocean as detailed on Attachment 1 to Report CJ127-04/99”;*

- 2 Section 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 **INTRODUCE** as a trial for the 1999/00 financial year, a specified area rating system for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road and the Foreshore Reserve as detailed on Attachment 1 to Report CJ127-04/99; and
- 3 Sections 6.32 and 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 **IMPOSE** a Specified Area Rate of 2.0011 cents in the dollar for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road and the Foreshore Reserve.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Items JSC24-08/99 to JSC27-08/99 inclusive were Moved by Cmr Clark-Murphy and Seconded by Cmr Rowell.

**JSC24-08/99      DISCOUNTS AND EARLY PAYMENT INCENTIVES (04267)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.46 of the Local Government Act 1995, offer the following discount and early payment incentives for the payment of rates and charges:-

- **Full payment of all current and arrears of rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy within 21 days of the issue date on the annual rate notice:**
    - **a 5% discount on 1999/00 general rates only; and**
    - **eligibility to enter the early incentive draw for the following prizes ( none of which is a cost to the ratepayers of the City).**
1. **Commonwealth Bank**  
A Streamline Savings Account of \$2,500.
  2. **Warwick Grove Shopping Centre**  
\$500 worth of shopping vouchers.
  3. **Hillarys Harbour Resort Hotel**  
Two nights accommodation in self contained two-bedroom luxury apartment for four people including; continental breakfast on the first morning, undercover security parking, use of all resort facilities and complimentary in-house movies.
  4. **Esplanade Hotel Fremantle**  
One night breakaway package for two people including; fully cooked buffet breakfast in the courtyard setting of the Atrium Garden Restaurant.
  5. **Rendezvous Hotel**  
A Sunset Escape Package including; one nights accommodation in a luxury ocean room for two, full buffet dinner in Pines Grand Buffet Restaurant, full use of hotel facilities and under cover parking.



**6. Novotel**

A deluxe weekend package for two including; two nights accommodation, complimentary breakfast for two each morning, a welcome cocktail, champagne and chocolates in the room on arrival, complimentary parking and full use of the hotel's facilities.

**7. Joondalup Resort Hotel**

A luxurious overnight accommodation package including buffet breakfast and dinner in Bistro 38.

- **Full payment of all current and arrears of rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy within 28 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice.**
  - a 5% discount on 1999/00 general rates only.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC25-08/99      PAYMENT OPTIONS (05091)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.45 of the Local Government Act 1995, offer the following payment options for the payment of rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy:

**1      One Instalment**

**Payment in full within 21 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice and be eligible for a 5% discount on current general rates only and eligibility to enter the rates incentive scheme for prizes.**

**Payment in full within 28 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice and be eligible for a 5% discount on current general rates only.**

**Payment in full within 35 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice.**

**2      Two Instalments**

**The first instalment of 50% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees, security levy and instalment charge, plus the total outstanding arrears payable within 35 days of date of issue of the annual rate notice.**

**The second instalment of 50% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees, security levy and instalment charge, payable 63 days after due date of 1st instalment.**

### **3 Four Instalments**

The first instalment of 25% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees, security levy and instalment charge, plus the total outstanding arrears payable within 35 days of date of issue of the annual rate notice.

The second, third and fourth instalment, each of 25% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees, security levy and instalment charge, payable as follows:-

2nd instalment 63 days after due date of 1st instalment

3rd instalment 63 days after due date of 2nd instalment

4th instalment 63 days after due date of 3rd instalment

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

#### **JSC26-08/99      LATE PAYMENT INTEREST (17808)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.13 and 6.51 of the Local Government Act 1995, impose interest on all current and arrears general rates (including specified area rate), current and arrears domestic refuse charges, current arrears private swimming pool inspection fees and current security levy at a rate of 8.45% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on arrears amounts that remain unpaid and current amounts that remain unpaid after 35 days from the issue date of the original rate notice, or the due date of the instalment and continues until instalment is paid. Excluded are deferred rates, instalment current amounts not yet due under the four payment option, registered pensioner portions and current government pensioner rebate amounts. Such interest to be charged once per month on the outstanding balance on the day of calculation for the number of days, as previously detailed.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

#### **JSC27-08/99      INSTALMENTS AND ARRANGEMENTS ADMINISTRATION FEES AND INTEREST CHARGES (05091)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Rowell that the Joint Commissioners:

- 1** in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.45 of the Local Government Act 1995, for the 1999/00 financial year, impose the following administration fees and interest charges for payment of rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy:

##### **(a) Two Instalment Option**

An administration fee of \$5.00 for instalment two , together with an interest charge of 5.4% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on:

- 50% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy calculated 35 days from the date of issue of the annual rate notice to 63 days after the due date of the first instalment.

**(b) Four Instalment Option**

An administration fee of \$5.00 for each instalment two, three and four, together with an interest charge of 5.4% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on:

- 75% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy calculated 35 days from the date of issue of the annual rate notice to 63 days after the due date of the first instalment;
- 50% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy calculated from the due date of the first instalment to the due date of the second instalment; and
- 25% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy calculated from the due date of the second instalment to the due date of the third instalment.

**(c) Hardship Cases**

In addition to the late payment interest of 8.45% an administration fee of \$20.00 per assessment for each payment agreement.

- 2 in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.49 of the Local Government Act 1995, authorise the Chief Executive Officer to enter into special payment agreements with ratepayers for the payment of rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security levy during the 1999/00 financial year.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Items JSC28-08/99 to JSC31-08/99 inclusive were Moved by Cmr Clark-Murphy and Seconded by Cmr Morgan.

**JSC28-08/99      DOMESTIC REFUSE CHARGES (06125)**

**MOVED** Cmr Clark-Murphy, **SECONDED** Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of the Health Act 1911, impose the following domestic refuse charges for the 1999/00 financial year:

- 1      \$113.00 per existing unit serviced;

2      \$148.00 per existing unit serviced by collection from within the property boundary; and

3      \$148.00 per new unit serviced, incorporating bin levy.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC29-08/99      PRIVATE SWIMMING POOL INSPECTION FEES (23458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 245A (8) of the Local Government Act 1995, impose for the 1999/00 financial year, a Private Swimming Pool Inspection Fee of \$9.00 for each swimming pool.**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC30-08/99      PRESCRIBED SERVICE - SECURITY LEVY (43198J)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.32 and 6.38 of the Local Government Act 1995 impose for the 1999/00 financial year, a security levy of \$27.00, on each piece of land (rateable and non rateable).**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

**JSC31-08/99      1999/00 SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES (28458)**

**MOVED Cmr Clark-Murphy, SECONDED Cmr Morgan that the Joint Commissioners in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.16 of the Local Government Act 1995, adopt the 1999/00 Schedule of Fees and Charges forming Appendix VII hereto.**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Appendix VII refers – click here: [Att7min3108.pdf](#)

**CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the Meeting closed at 1905 hrs, the following Commissioners being present at that time:

COMMISSIONERS:    ANSELL  
                             MORGAN  
                             CLARK-MURPHY  
                             ROWELL