## ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS DISCUSSION PAPER ON THE CITY OF JOONDALUP'S WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

## **SUBMISSION 1**

CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT	COMMENT
Provides additional resource information directly related to the	No additional information received	
strategy	received	
Provides additional information on	An issue of bulk waste collection	The submission suggested more frequent bulk collections
the waste collection and disposal options	was raised, however it did not provide additional information in	saving the number of tip trips. Indications from other local authorities that provide a more frequent service are that the
r	the submission	service is not fully utilised. No change to the Strategy
Indicates a change in or clarifies	This issue was not addressed in	
government legislation, management	the submission	
commitment or management policy		
Proposes alternative strategies for	This issue was not addressed in	
the safe and sustainable disposal of	the submission	
wastes		
Indicates omissions, inaccuracies or	The submission highlighted	Typing errors have been corrected.
lack of clarity	typing errors	

The writer of submission 1 will be responded to addressing the issues that were not within the gamut of the assessment criteria.

## **SUBMISSION 2**

CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT	COMMENT
Provides additional resource	Additional information was	The submission provided a number of comments in relation to
information directly related to the	received	disposal options and comments on the discussion paper. These
strategy		comments will be raised with the Mindarie Regional Council's
		consultant's currently reporting on secondary waste treatment.
Provides additional information on	An issue was raised regarding	This issue has been considered in the development of the
the waste collection and disposal	separation at source for	Strategy, however the cost savings in providing one collection
options	recyclables using residents free	service and sorting at a facility is, on the consultant's figures
	labour to sort the recyclables.	more efficient.
Indicates a change in or clarifies	This issue was not addressed in	
government legislation, management	the submission	
commitment or management policy		
Proposes alternative strategies for	The submission raised the risks	These issues will be raised with the Mindarie Regional Council
the safe and sustainable disposal of	associated with secondary	consultants currently studying the issue. This does not impact
wastes	treatment especially composting	on the strategy, as it is not dependent on the disposal options
	siting a number of failures. The	yet. Once the Mindarie Regional Council determines a
	preference was for a waste to	direction the Strategy will be reviewed
	energy facility.	
Indicates omissions, inaccuracies or	The submission claims to have	The issue is a lack of clarity rather than errors. This is a life
lack of clarity	detected error in the discussion	cycle debate and is difficult to quantify an outcome. This issue
	paper relating to the production of	is one for debate at a higher level than the Strategy and does not
	greenhouse gases	impact on it.

The writer of submission 2 will be responded to addressing the issues raised in relation to greenhouse gas production and the outcomes of the discussions with the Mindarie Regional Council's Consultants on the issues of waste disposal technology.

 $v: \label{eq:condition} v: \label{eq:condition} dd\ reports 00 \ jul 00 \ lim 07002 \ attach 2. doc$