



*City of*  
**Joondalup**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council of the City of Joondalup will be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on Tuesday, 9 May 2000 at 7.00 pm.

**LINDSAY DELAHAUNTY**  
Chief Executive Officer  
3 May 2000

## **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

*Council allows a 15 minute public question time at each Council meeting which is open to the public.*

*To enable prompt and detailed responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk at least two days prior to the Council meeting at which the answer is required.*

*The Chairman is responsible for the conduct of public question time and ensuring that each member of the public has an equal opportunity to ask a question. The Chairman shall also decide whether a question will be taken on notice or alternatively who should answer the question.*

*The following general rules apply to question time:*

- *question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.*
- *questions should properly relate to Council business.*
- *question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.*
- *questions are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer.*

## **DEPUTATION**

*Elected Members will conduct an informal session on the same day as the meeting of the Council in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup, commencing at 5.00 pm where members of the public may present deputations by appointment only.*

*A time period of fifteen (15) minutes is set aside for each deputation, with five (5) minutes for Elected Members' questions. Deputations shall not exceed five (5) persons in number and only three (3) of those persons shall be at liberty to address the Elected Members and to respond to questions raised. Deputation sessions are, however, open to the public and other persons may attend as observers.*

## **MOBILE TELEPHONES**

*PERSONS ATTENDING MEETINGS are reminded that the use of Mobile Telephones during meetings is not permitted.*

*PLEASE ENSURE that mobiles are switched off before entering the Council Chamber.*

*\* Any queries on the agenda, please contact Council Support Services on 9400 4369.*

# **CITY OF JOONDALUP**

Notice is hereby given that an Ordinary Meeting of Council will be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on **TUESDAY, 9 MAY 2000** commencing at **7.00 pm**.

LINDSAY DELAHAUNTY  
Chief Executive Officer  
3 May 2000

Joondalup  
Western Australia

## **AGENDA**

### **APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Apology - Cr C Mackintosh

### **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

### **DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST**

### **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING, 26 APRIL 2000

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 26 April 2000 be confirmed as a true and correct record.**

### **ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR WITHOUT DISCUSSION**

**PETITIONS****PETITION REQUESTING THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SKATEPARK IN KINROSS – [08096] [01096]**

A 49-signature petition has been received from Iain MacLean, MLA Member for Wanneroo on behalf of children residing in Kinross requesting the construction of a skatepark within that suburb.

This petition will be referred to Community Development for action.

**REPORTS****POLICY**

CJ103-05/00	PROPOSED POLICY – SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT ADJOINING AREAS OF PUBLIC SPACE – [44588].....	1
-------------	--	---

**FINANCE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

CJ104-05/00	SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS EXECUTED BY MEANS OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL – [15876].....	4
CJ105-05/00	STANDING ORDERS REVIEW COMMITTEE - [01369] [05885].....	5
CJ106-05/00	BUSH PLAN - APPOINTMENT OF CONSULTANT FOR ASSESSMENT OF LOTS 1029 AND 1032 OCEAN REEF – [34437] [07303].....	6
CJ107-05/00	ANIMAL EXERCISE AREAS - BURNS BEACH TO BEAUMARIS BEACH DUAL USE PATH - [02018] [25132].....	9

**INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT**

CJ108-05/00	METROPOLITAN REGIONAL ROAD PROGRAMME 2001/2002 TO 2005/2006 - [06759].....	14
-------------	--	----

**REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER****MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN****DATE OF NEXT MEETING****CLOSURE**

## **CJ103-05/00      PROPOSED POLICY – SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT ADJOINING AREAS OF PUBLIC SPACE – [44588]**

**WARD** - All

---

### **SUMMARY**

A draft policy entitled 'Subdivision and Development Adjoining Areas of Public Space' has been prepared for Council's consideration.

The policy aims to maximise the outlook onto and casual surveillance of areas of public space (e.g. public open space and underpasses) from adjoining properties and streets, whilst ensuring an appropriate level of privacy for those living on adjoining properties, through the introduction of subdivision and development guidelines. Such casual surveillance is considered to be useful in reducing anti-social behaviour. The policy is intended to apply to all future subdivision and development adjoining areas of public space.

It is recommended that the draft policy be adopted to enable it to be advertised for public comment.

### **DETAILS**

The draft policy (Attachment 1 refers), entitled 'Subdivision and Development Adjoining Areas of Public Space', aims to maximise the outlook onto and casual surveillance of areas of public space from adjoining properties and streets, whilst ensuring an appropriate level of privacy for those living on adjoining properties, through the introduction of subdivision and development guidelines. The resulting increase in casual surveillance of public areas should achieve a noticeable improvement in actual and perceived safety in these areas compared to previous practices, and compliments Council's initiatives relating to community safety and security. Public space being defined as any space available for use by the general public. This includes public open space, underpasses and any other such areas as may be determined by Council. It shall not include however pedestrian accessways as these are intended to be the subject of separate investigation and policy. The policy is intended to apply to all future subdivision and development adjoining areas of public space.

The policy requires:

- Subdivisions to be designed so that areas of public space are fronted along all boundaries by streets. This enables casual surveillance of the public space by passersby. It also enables the boundary between private and public property to be clearly defined.
- Subdivisions to be designed so that lots front and therefore overlook areas of public space. This increases opportunities for casual surveillance of public space by adjoining residents.
- Subdivisions to be designed so that where lots unavoidably do abut areas of public space their boundaries are clearly demarcated by way of there being a minimum one metre difference between the finished ground level of the area of public space and the finished ground level of the abutting lots. Such differences in finished ground level provide increased privacy and security for those living on the abutting properties. The levels

referred to are to be measured in the same manner as Council's Height and Scale of Buildings within a Residential Area Policy 3.1.9.

- Landscaping of public open space to be 'open in nature' so that a clear outlook is maintained onto the space from adjoining properties. This increases opportunities for casual surveillance of the public open space.
- Street lighting surrounding areas of public space to provide greater illumination than standard street lighting. This aims to increase the visibility of the public space and therefore deter anti-social behavior.
- Dwellings on lots directly abutting public space to be designed so that views onto adjoining areas of public space are obtained from within the dwelling. Views should be obtained from the dwelling's main living areas and those building facades facing areas of public space should contain major openings (i.e. windows and doors) to habitable rooms. It is important that opportunities for casual surveillance of public space by adjoining residents are available, as whilst it is desirable, it is not always possible for areas of public space to be bounded by streets.
- Fencing along common boundaries of public space/private property and along the front boundaries of lots overlooking public space to be designed to be visually permeable. Again, this increases opportunities for casual surveillance of public space by adjoining residents.

### **Relevant Legislation**

Clause 5.11 of the City of Joondalup's Town Planning Scheme No. 1 allows Council to prepare planning policies relating to planning or development within the Scheme Area. Under the City's Town Planning Scheme a policy shall only become operative after the following steps have been taken:

1. Draft policy to be prepared and adopted by Council.
2. Draft policy to be advertised for public comments for at least 21 days.
3. Council to review draft policy in the light of any submissions made and then resolve to either finally adopt the draft policy with or without modification; or not proceed with draft policy.
4. Notice of final adoption of policy to be published in a newspaper circulating in area.

The City's Private Property Local Law 1998 will need to be amended to enforce the fencing guidelines of the policy. Under the existing Local Law the City's approval is only required for the erection of fencing within the front setback line. The Local Law needs to be amended so that the City's approval is required prior to the erection of fencing along the boundaries of public space. The City is currently in the process of preparing such an amendment for Council's future consideration.

### **COMMENT**

Public space and its adjoining properties are often subject to anti-social behaviour. Such behaviour can result in damage to people and property and can lead to fears in relation to safety and security, thus the use of public space is less frequent. It is believed that anti social behaviour is more prevalent where there is inadequate surveillance of public space. Surveillance can be undertaken formally through rangers undertaking inspections of that space, or it can be undertaken informally through the visual supervision of passersby and adjoining residents. Opportunities for informal surveillance of public space can be maximised

through both subdivision and development design. In order to create such opportunities, it is recommended that Council adopt the attached draft policy.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council in accordance with clause 5.11 of the City of Joondalup's Town Planning Scheme No 1:**

- 1 ADOPTS the attached draft policy entitled, 'Subdivision and Development Adjoining Areas of Public Space', to enable it to be advertised for public comment;**
- 2 SUPPORTS the preparation of an amendment to the City's Private Property Local Law 1998 to enable the fencing guidelines of the draft policy to be enforced.**

*For the attachment to this report, see Appendix 1 at the rear of the agenda – click here:*  
[Attach1ag090500.pdf](#)

**CJ104-05/00      SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS EXECUTED BY  
MEANS OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL –  
[15876]**

**WARD - All**

---

**SUMMARY**

The following is a list of documents sealed under the Common Seal of the City of Joondalup from 5.04.2000 to 18.04.2000:

Document:            Copyright Agreement  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and Noal Gannon  
Description:        Local Studies – Oral History  
Date:                5.04.2000

Document:            Copyright Agreement  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and Chris Trump  
Description:        Local Studies – Oral History  
Date:                5.04.00

Document:            Grant of Easement  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and Bruce H Moore  
Description:        Lot 948 Dugdale Street, Warwick  
Date:                5.04.2000

Document:            Withdrawal of Caveat  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and Bruce H Moore  
Description:        Lot 948 Dugdale Street, Warwick  
Date:                5.04.2000

Document:            Copyright Agreement  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and F Smith  
Description:        Local Studies – Oral History  
Date:                11.04.2000

Document:            Copyright Agreement  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and G K Smith  
Description:        Local Studies – Oral History  
Date:                11.04.2000

Document:            Structure Plan  
Parties:             City of Joondalup and WA Planning Commission  
Description:        Hillarys Structure Plan No 20  
Date:                18.04.2000

**RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Schedule of Documents executed by means of affixing the Common Seal be NOTED.**



**CJ105-05/00      STANDING ORDERS REVIEW COMMITTEE -  
[01369] [05885]****WARD - All**

---

**SUMMARY**

A meeting of the Standing Orders Review Committee was held on Tuesday 2 May 2000 and the unconfirmed minutes are submitted for noting by Council.

**DETAILS**

The unconfirmed minutes of the Standing Orders Review Committee held on Tuesday 2 May 2000 are included as Attachment 1 to this report.

This being its first meeting, the committee was required to elect a Chairman. Mayor John Bombak was duly elected as Chairman, with Cr Andrew Patterson being elected as Deputy Chairman.

No action is required from these minutes.

**RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council NOTES the minutes of the Standing Orders Review Committee held on Tuesday 2 May 2000 and forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ105-05/00.**

*For the attachment to this report, see Appendix 2 at the rear of the agenda – click here:*  
[Attach2ag090500.pdf](#)

**CJ106-05/00      BUSH PLAN - APPOINTMENT OF CONSULTANT  
FOR ASSESSMENT OF LOT 1029 AND LOT 1032  
OCEAN REEF – [34437] [07303]**

**WARD** - Marina

---

**SUMMARY**

The City forwarded a submission to the Ministry for Planning on 29 April 1999, in relation to Lot 1029 Ocean Reef which is subject to the draft Bush Plan. The Ministry for Planning is seeking to finalise the draft Bush Plan, and to incorporate “agreed outcomes” wherever possible, for specific areas affected by Bush Plan. Lot 1029, Ocean Reef is owned by the City of Joondalup and is subject to Bush Plan. The adjacent Lot, Lot 1032 which is also owned by City of Joondalup is not subject to Bush Plan. Council endorsement is sought for the engagement of a consultant at an anticipated cost of \$20,000 to undertake the assessment and negotiation with the Ministry for Planning, leading to an agreed outcome for Lot 1029.

**BACKGROUND**

A major portion of Lot 1029 Ocean Reef is subject to draft Bush Plan (Attachment 1 refers). The adjacent Lot, Lot 1032 which is also owned by City of Joondalup is not subject to Bush Plan. The original development concept for the subject land included partial or whole development for a range of recreational, commercial and service uses ancillary to the boat launching facilities, that is tavern, hotel, restaurants, chandlery, boat sales, holiday villages. Development proposals are subject to approval being granted by the State Planning Commission and the Environmental Protection Authority. This land forms part of the City’s land investment portfolio and draft Bush Plan recommendations impact future revenue sources for the City.

**DETAILS**

The former Commissioners of the City made a submission to the Ministry for Planning on Perth’s draft Bush Plan, on 29 April 1999 (CJ138-04/99 refers) in response to the following draft Bush Plan Recommendation affecting Lot 1029 Ocean Reef.

**“The existing care, control and management intent of the reserve is endorsed. Long-term security and support for conservation management of the Bush Plan Site to be enhanced by: amending the purpose of the reserve to include conservation; and applying appropriate mechanisms in consultation with the reserve management body”.**

The former Commissioners commented on the accuracy and soundness of information contained in draft Bush Plan (Volume 2, Part 3) which related to Lot 1029 Ocean Reef; and

**“Object to the Bush Plan proposal on the grounds that this land would provide greater benefit for the community if developed for a range of facilities (as previously envisaged), and that a revenue source is required to assist in meeting management costs associated with the boat launching facility”.**

Lot 1032 was not referred to in the Bush Plan submission.

In considering this matter the Joint Commissioners noted that Lot 1029 is an important site that has been identified for income potential to reduce the City’s reliance upon rate income. Draft Bush Plan may eliminate this opportunity (excepting for minor income from boat trailer and vehicle parking).

The process of handing the groynes over to the City is also underway with agreements expected to be finalised in the near future.

This arrangement will provide greater management flexibility in the proposed development of Lot 1029. However, costs will be incurred in the maintenance and management of the groynes. It was anticipated that these costs would be off-set by the development.

Council’s position is sought as to whether Council concurs or not with the above position previously taken by the Joint Commissioners.

### **Perth’s Bush Plan Process**

Ministry for Planning advises that the final Bush Plan report (incorporating a summary of submissions) will be submitted to Cabinet. It is anticipated that Bush Plan negotiations including “Agreed Outcomes” need to be finalised with the Ministry for Planning by July 2000, to enable inclusion into the final Bush Plan report which is expected to be released to the public in October 2000.

It is essential therefore, for the City to be in a position to undertake negotiation with the Ministry for Planning on Lot 1029, for inclusion in the final Bush Plan report. The engagement of consultants will assist in this process. Consultants are proposed to undertake the project due to the specialised technical expertise required for the botanical assessment, and development of land use concepts for the subject land based on the botanical assessment.

The scope of the consultant brief is as follows;

*The purpose of the assessment is to assess the botanical values of Lot 1029 Ocean Reef and adjacent reserves in relation to Bush Plan, to enable negotiations to be undertaken with the Ministry for Planning, to achieve an “Agreed Outcome” for Lot 1029.*

*The assessment is to include the following:*

- *A comprehensive listing of vascular plants species occurring within the study area;*

- *A review of the conservation status of plant species (based on a review of the Department of Conservation and Land Management rare and endangered flora databases);*
- *Definition and mapping of native vegetation;*
- *A literature review;*
- *An assessment of native flora and vegetation issues including local and regional significance of the flora and vegetation; past rehabilitation efforts and requirements, bushland condition; vegetation corridors; and a review of the Bush Plan listing.*
- *A report summarising the findings of the above (including vegetation mapping and locations of rare and endangered species).*

*The second stage of the project is to prepare land use concepts based on the Bush Plan verification assessment report for Lot 1029 and adjacent reserves, and to undertake negotiations with the Ministry for Planning, with the aim of achieving an “Agreed Outcome” for Lot 1029, for inclusion into the final Bush Plan report. It is anticipated that all work will be required to be completed by no later than July 2000 for inclusion of an “Agreed Outcome” into the final Bush Plan report.*

Three quotations are presently being obtained to undertake a Bush Plan verification assessment and negotiation of Lot 1029 and Lot 1032 (in the context of adjacent land), to assist the City in negotiating an outcome as part of the Bush Plan process.

It is anticipated that a budget of \$20,000 will be required for the assessment and negotiation.

#### **COMMENT/FUNDING**

Account No: 111.4201  
Budget Item: CEO Administration – Consultancy  
Budget Amount: \$ 20,000  
Actual Cost: \$ 20,000

The consultancy is essential to introduce certainty into planning for Lot 1029.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council ENDORSES the engagement of a consultant to undertake the assessment and negotiation with the Ministry for Planning, leading to an agreed outcome for Lot 1029, for inclusion into the final Bush Plan report.**

*For the attachment to this report, see Appendix 3 hereto- click here: [Attach3ag090500.pdf](#)*

JG

v:\strateg\sreports\may00\spr000501b.doc

**CJ107-05/00      ANIMAL EXERCISE AREAS - BURNS BEACH TO  
BEAUMARIS BEACH DUAL USE PATH    - [07086]  
[25198]**

**WARDS** - North Coastal, Marina, Whitfords and South Coastal

---

**SUMMARY**

It has been proposed that dogs be allowed to be walked along the dual path from Burns Beach to Iluka provided they are on a lead and the person with the dog be carrying doggy bags.

It has been further proposed:

- 1      That fair warning be given to public using the dual footpath between Hillarys Marina and Mullaloo beach for the purpose of walking their dogs, that the amount of animal excrement left on the footpath be monitored for the next two months, and if found to be an unacceptable level, the footpath shall be closed to dogs (with the exception of entrance to the dog beach). That fair warning be given in the form of public notice in the local community newspaper.
- 2      That the City of Wanneroo be approached in respect of providing the ratepayers of Wanneroo with their own horse and dog beach and parking facilities (as necessary).

This report details the background to the management of dog access areas in the City and issues associated with the proposed change in the City's management of these matters.

It is recommended that the City confirms its existing list of areas where dogs are prohibited and that an ongoing community education program, as part of the Community Connections Project, be conducted to encourage dog owners to properly dispose of dog excrement.

It is also recommended that a review of the dual use coastal path be conducted to determine whether dogs on leads should be prohibited from all sections of the path.

It is further recommended that a profile of the suburb locations of the users of the horse exercise area be established, the demand for an extended dog exercise area be determined and the problems with the current dual use of the beach area be further examined.

**BACKGROUND**

It has been proposed that dogs be allowed to be walked along the dual path from Burns Beach to Iluka provided they are on a lead and the person with the dog be carrying doggy bags.

This proposal has been considered previously by the former City of Wanneroo. At its meeting in 1995 the former City of Wanneroo considered a 313 signature petition from the Burns Residents and Ratepayers Association seeking reclassification of the dual use pathway from Burns Beach to Iluka in order to allow the residents to walk their dogs on a lead (Item TP293-08/95 refers). The then City of Wanneroo resolved not to support the petition for the

following reasons outlined in the report and advised the Burns Residents and Ratepayers Association that:

"Dogs can be walked on a lead along every road/street reserve (including the street/road reserves of Burns Beach Townsite and Ocean Reef Road) and in all other reserves vested in the City and designated as "dog exercise areas". Once Ocean Reef Road is extended to Burns Beach this road reserve can also be used to walk dogs on a lead."

The reasons outlined in the report are detailed below:

- 1 The primary users of the dual-use pathway are pedestrians and cyclists and therefore by allowing dogs, it is likely to dissuade them from using the pathway.
- 2 The pathway is, on average, 2.5m wide and therefore there is a danger of dogs walking on a 2m long lead, startling the cyclists approaching from behind. Council has a responsibility towards the public and there could be a question of liability for any injury or damage caused by dogs.
- 3 The subject pathway winds through the foreshore reserve and takes a course through the dunes up and down, restricting visibility.
- 4 The Senior Ranger points out that complaints have been received from cyclists and pedestrians regarding dog excreta and attacks by dogs along this pathway. Walking dogs on a lead will, however, not solve these problems. Furthermore, the seclusion of this pathway from the built-up areas is likely to delay assistance in the event of a dog attack.
- 5 The pathway is not fenced and therefore if dogs are allowed they are likely to excrete on the adjoining reserve prohibited to the dogs.
- 6 From experience it is learnt that dog owners often do not adhere to the rules and have the tendency to let the dogs off the lead. This will lead to illegal usage of the beach as a dog exercise area and consequent policing of such offence would become a difficult task.
- 7 Currently dogs are prohibited along the dual-use pathway running between Hillarys Boat Harbour and the Hillarys animal exercise area and from Hillarys animal exercise area to Pinnaroo Point since it falls within prohibited foreshore reserves and therefore to allow dogs to be walked on a lead along the subject pathway would set an undesirable precedent.

## **DETAILS**

Dog Exercise areas in the City of Joondalup are designated for this purpose under the City's Animal Local Law 1999. These areas include all public reserves that are managed by the City excluding road and street reserves and a number of prohibited areas. These areas are as follows:

- Hawkins Park, Joondalup, being Reserve No 28544;
- Whitford Node, Hillarys, being Reserve No 39497, except for part Swan Location 10789 as shown delineated in black and stippled on Department of Land Administration Miscellaneous Diagram 678, and Pt Lot 158 of Swan Location 1370 Whitford Avenue, Hillarys;
- Mawson Park, Hillarys, being Reserve No 33401;
- MacDonald Reserve, Padbury, being Reserve No 33072;

- Heathridge Park, Heathridge, being Reserve No 34330;
- Blue Lake Park, Joondalup, being Reserve No 41893;
- Percy Doyle Reserve, Duncraig, being Reserve No 33894;
- “Central Park”, Lakeside Drive and Grand Boulevard, Joondalup being Lot 1101, Locations 3324 and 9809
- Foreshore Reserve 20561, other than:

All that portion of land comprising part Swan Location 11918 (Reserve 20561) as shown delineated in black and stippled on Department of Land Administration Miscellaneous Diagram 678.

This area is known as the Hillarys Animal Exercise Area.

- Swan Location 11918 (Reserve 20561) as shown delineated in black and stippled on Department of Land Administration Miscellaneous Diagram 585. This area is the horse exercise area.

## COMMENT/FUNDING

It is considered that dog owners have a high level of access to public open space to exercise their dogs. All parks, with the exception of 9 reserves, within the City's boundaries are designated dog exercise areas. Dog owners are also permitted to walk their dogs, provided they are on a leash, in most public places within the City of Joondalup.

### **Burns Beach to Iluka Dual Use Path**

In addition to the reasons advanced in 1995 for not permitting dogs to be walked on the dual use path the following issues are also relevant:

- Members of the public report to the City's Rangers that when they have been jogging along the pathway they have been worried or felt intimidated by dogs.
- There have been situations where dogs on leashes have attacked each other and the dog owners have had little control over the situation.
- The area in question has long been recognised as a dog prohibited area and if the regulations were to be relaxed it is possible that the City would receive a public backlash over the matter.
- It is considered that the presence of dogs on the dual use pathway between Burns Beach and Iluka will have a negative effect on mammal and bird populations, resident and visiting, in the coastal heath land adjacent to the pathway.
- If the dogs are unrestrained they will chase and harass kangaroos, bandicoots and other native mammals often driving them from the habitat and upsetting the animals natural breeding cycles. Even if dogs are on a leash the dogs scent and barking can have a severe impact on the natural behaviours of native wild life. Coastal heath land on the Swan Coastal Plain is a rapidly diminishing commodity. The foreshore reserve between Burns Beach and Iluka is in very good condition in terms of vegetation and wildlife. The exclusion of dogs will help retain the health and bio-diversity of the area.

- Should dogs be permitted to exercise at this location it is likely there will be an increase in dog attacks and fouling of the pathway, as is the case with the dog beach at Hillarys.

It is understood that the proposal to permit dogs to be walked on a leash along the dual use path between Burns Beach and Iluka was discussed at the last meeting of the Joondalup Community Coast Care forum. The majority of those present supported not allowing access to dogs on leads on the path as it was considered it would be detrimental to the environment and wildlife and would lead to an increase in dog excrement.

Since May 1999, 14 complaints have been received from beachgoers in relation to dog owners permitting their dogs to use the above pathway.

Should Council wish to permit dogs to be walked on the dual use pathway it will necessitate an amendment to the local law. The process is detailed in Section 3.12, 3.13 and 3.15 of the Local Government Act 1995 and includes statewide public notification, an opportunity for the public to make submissions and publication in the Government Gazette.

It is considered that there should be no change to the current local law prohibiting access to dogs along the dual use pathway between Burns Beach and Iluka.

### **Hillarys Boat Harbour to Mullaloo Beach**

Dogs are prohibited along the dual-use pathway running between Hillarys Boat Harbour and the Hillarys animal exercise area and from Hillarys animal exercise area to Pinnaroo Point and Mullaloo Beach except where the path traverses a road reserve.

The dual use path between Hillarys Marina and Mullaloo Beach traverses both the Foreshore Reserve and Road Reserves. As a consequence there are sections of the path where dogs on leads are permitted and areas where they are not. This causes problems for Rangers in patrolling these areas and confuses the public in relation to where dogs are permitted.

It is considered that an ongoing community education program should be conducted as well as maintaining a strong Ranger presence in the area. At the same time a review of the dual use path system in the City of Joondalup coast should be conducted to determine in the future whether dogs should be prohibited on all areas of the dual use coastal path system in the City. A further report would then be submitted to Council concerning the success of the community education program and patrols in the area as well as proposals for the future management of the dual use path.

### **Horse Exercise Area - Hillarys Animal Exercise Area**

In order to determine the future of the horse exercise area, the following actions are considered appropriate. As part of the proposed community education program a survey of horse owners be conducted to ascertain where they stable their horses. It is believed that the majority of these horses come from outside the City.

Problems with the current dual use of the beach area need to be further examined. There have been a number of concerns expressed by residents in relation to dogs attacking horses exercising at Hillarys. At present, dog owners are permitted to allow their dogs to leave the dog beach and enter the horse area provided the dogs are on leashes. This situation is difficult to police and the exercising of dogs and horses together presents difficulties regardless of whether a dog is on a leash or not.



At present, the Hillarys Animal Exercise Area is the only designated area on the northern metropolitan coast. There appears to be an increasing demand for use of the dog beach. The level of this demand needs to be further examined.

At its meeting held on 26 April 2000, Council resolved that:

*the matter pertaining to the animal exercise area – Burns Beach to Beaumaris Beach Dual Use Path be DEFERRED to the Ordinary meeting of the Council scheduled to be held on 9 May 2000 pending further consideration by elected members.*

## **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council:**

- 1 CONFIRMS its current schedule of areas in the City where dogs are prohibited;**
- 2 NOTES an ongoing Community Education program as part of the Community Connections Program will be conducted to encourage owners to remove their dogs excrement from public places;**
- 3 NOTES a review of the dual use coastal path system will be conducted to determine whether dogs should be prohibited on all areas of the dual use coastal path system in the City;**
- 4 NOTES that a further report will be submitted detailing the outcomes of the Community Education Program, review of the dual use coastal path system, profile of the suburb locations of the users of the horse exercise area, demand for the dog exercise area and problems with the current dual use of the beach area.**

*t:\report transfer\communitydevelopment\reports\001\_.doc*

## **CJ108-05/00 METROPOLITAN REGIONAL ROAD PROGRAMME 2001/2002 TO 2005/2006 - [06759]**

**WARD – All**

---

### **SUMMARY**

Main Roads WA has sought submissions for the Metropolitan Regional Road Programme for Improvement Projects and Rehabilitation Projects.

This report outlines the guidelines for the assessment of roadworks and recommends projects for consideration by the Metropolitan Regional Road Group.

### **BACKGROUND**

Each year, Main Roads WA invites project submissions for consideration as part of the Metropolitan Regional Road Programme.

The project types are separated into two categories as outlined below:

#### **Road Improvement Projects**

Improvement projects are:

- (a) those which would involve upgrading of an existing road to a higher standard than currently exists, i.e. pavement widening, new overtaking lanes, traffic control measures, etc.;
- (b) new works where a road pavement does not currently exist at the proposed standard, e.g. dual carriageway or new carriageway construction.

A multi-criteria analysis, (taking into consideration road capacity, geometry, accidents, benefits and costs) will be used to prioritise road improvement projects on urban arterial roads within the metropolitan area.

A two year detailed program is required with less detail for the following years.

#### **Road Rehabilitation Projects**

Road rehabilitation projects are those proposed for existing roads where the pavement is to be brought back to the pre-existing physical condition, e.g. resealing, reconstruction, re-sheeting and reconditioning. A one year programme only is required for submissions – 2001/2002.

The distribution of the Metropolitan Local Road Funds is based on 50% of the pool to Improvement Projects and 50% to Rehabilitation Projects. A limit of around \$1 million per council has been set for Improvement Projects and \$500,000 for Rehabilitation Projects. Funding approval is based on Council's contribution of at least a third of each project cost.

### **Process for 2000/2001 Metropolitan Regional Road Programme**

- 1 Project submission to be forwarded to Main Roads WA by 3 May 2000 (Note that a submission has been made subject to Council's endorsement)
- 2 Submissions are checked for omissions and errors in computations
- 3 Submissions are audited:
  - Rehabilitation projects by Material Engineering Branch, Main Roads WA
  - Improvement projects by independent auditor
- 4 Audit queries are discussed with affected Councils
- 5 Final audited projects are sent to Main Roads WA for collation and priority listing based on audited parts score
- 6 Lists of audited projects distributed to all Councils in August 2000
- 7 The Sub Groups of the Metropolitan Regional Road Group each have technical meetings to discuss and approve projects within the Sub Group only. Recommendations are forwarded to the Metropolitan Regional Road Group. The Cities of Wanneroo, Joondalup and Stirling, and Town of Vincent form the North West Sub Group
- 8 The Metropolitan Regional Road Group considers funding submissions in accordance with the guidelines and makes recommendations to the Advisory Committee
- 9 Councils would expect advice of approval of projects by October/November 1999

## **DETAILS**

### **Road Improvement Projects**

The multi-criterial analysis has been used to evaluate roads in the City of Joondalup and projects have been preliminary ranged in accordance with point scores. The projects generally submitted for consideration are in accordance with the Five Year Road Works Programme listed in the Draft Budget. The road projects with the unaudited highest point scores are recommended for submission in the 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 years as shown at Attachment 1.

It is noted that these projects and other developing roads will be re-evaluated on an annual basis and the five year programme adjusted in accordance with the results of the multi-criteria analysis.

### **Road Rehabilitation Projects – 2000/2001**

Pavement Analysis Pty Limited was previously commissioned to undertake a road rehabilitation and mechanical study of a number of roads and provide technical details and recommendations to comply with the criteria for assessing projects. A review is also undertaken on other works such as the traffic management program where traffic islands are located in a red asphalt median on local distributor roads. The resurfacing component of these construction works can be funded via this program. The Road Rehabilitation

Programme recommended for submission to Main Roads WA for funding consideration in the 2001/2002 financial year is shown at Attachment 2.

### COMMENT/FUNDING

As outlined, the maximum annual grant for Rehabilitation Projects is \$500,000 and \$1,000,000 for Road Improvement Projects. Following auditing, some of these projects will not be funded in 2001/2002 and will need to be re-evaluated for submission with further projects for funding in subsequent years.

### RECOMMENDATION

**That Council SUBMITS the projects outlined in Attachments 1 and 2 to Report CJ108-05/00 to Main Roads WA for consideration for funding as part of the Metropolitan Regional Road program**

*For the attachment to this report, see Appendix 4 at the rear of the agenda – click here:*  
[Attach4ag090500.pdf](#)

TP

v:\dd\reports00\may00\im05001.doc

**DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Council has been scheduled for **7.00 pm** on **TUESDAY, 23 MAY 2000** to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup

**CLOSURE**

**DECLARATION OF INTEREST FORM - CLICK HERE** [declaration of interest.pdf](#)



City of  
Joondalup

**QUESTION TO MEETING OF COUNCIL**

**NAME** .....

**ADDRESS** .....

.....

**QUESTION** .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please place this form in the tray provided at the meeting or post to:

The Chief Executive Officer  
City of Joondalup  
P O Box 21  
Joondalup WA 6919

**NOTE** Council is not obliged to respond to a question that does not relate to a matter affecting the municipality.

Questions at a Special Meeting of Council must relate to the stated purpose of the meeting.

**FOR SEATING PLAN OF THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CLICK HERE: [Seatplan.pdf](#)**