

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER,
JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP, ON WEDNESDAY,
2 AUGUST 2000

OPEN AND WELCOME

The Deputy Mayor declared the meeting open at 1901 hrs.

ATTENDANCES

Deputy Mayor:

Cr D S CARLOS

Elected Members:

Cr P KADAK	Lakeside Ward	<i>absent from 1941 to 1945 hrs</i>
Cr L A EWEN-CHAPPELL	Lakeside Ward	<i>absent from 2037 to 2051 hrs</i>
Cr S P MAGYAR	Marina Ward	
Cr A T NIXON	North Coastal Ward	
Cr A A WALKER	Pinnaroo Ward	
Cr P ROWLANDS	Pinnaroo Ward	<i>from 1909 hrs</i>
Cr T BARNETT	South Ward	<i>absent from 2037 to 2045 hrs</i>
Cr A W WIGHT, JP	South Ward	<i>absent from 2016 to 2018 hrs; and from 2043 to 2048 hrs</i>
Cr A L PATTERSON	South Coastal Ward	<i>absent from 2012 to 2015 hrs</i>
Cr G KENWORTHY	South Coastal Ward	<i>absent from 1927 to 1930 hrs; and from 2018 to 2019 hrs</i>
Cr J A HURST	Whitfords Ward	<i>absent from 2007 to 2011 hrs</i>
Cr C MACKINTOSH	Whitfords Ward	<i>absent from 2015 to 2018 hrs; and from 2037 to 2048 hrs.</i>

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer:	L O DELAHAUNTY
Director, Resource Management:	J B TURKINGTON
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Director, Infrastructure Management:	D DJULBIC
Director, Community Development:	C HALL
Executive Manager, Strategic Planning:	R FISCHER
Manager, Executive Services:	K ROBINSON
Manager, Council Support Services:	M SMITH
Manager, Financial Planning & Management Accounting:	A SCOTT
Publicity Officer:	L BRENNAN
Committee Clerk:	J AUSTIN

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies for absence: Mayor J Bombak, Cr J Hollywood

Apology for late attendance: Cr P Rowlands.

There were 16 members of the Public and 2 members of the Press in attendance.

MOTION OF CONDOLENCE

MOVED Cr Patterson, SECONDED Cr Hurst that Council offers its sincere condolence to Mayor John Bombak on the recent loss of his son, Benjamin.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

ALTERATION OF ORDER OF BUSINESS

MOVED Cr Magyar, SECONDED Cr Wight that Council alters its order of business for tonight's meeting to allow the Director Resource Management to make a detailed presentation on the proposed 2000/01 budget for the City of Joondalup.

Cr Magyar request this alteration to the order of business to hear the presentation of the budget prior to public question time, so that members of the public are then able to ask questions that were not addressed during the presentation.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST

Nil

PRESENTATION OF 2000/2001 DRAFT BUDGET

The Director of Resource Management, Mr John Turkington, gave his appreciation to the Mayor and Councillors, Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Management Team, Business Unit Managers, and all staff for their assistance in the formulation of the 2000/2001 Budget. Special thanks were given to staff members within Resource Management; to the Budget Management Team of Alexander Scott, John Roberts and Gary Innes; and to Darryl Brown, Wayne Nicholls and the Rates Team for their particular efforts.

Mr Turkington gave a presentation of the 2000/0001 Budget for the City of Joondalup, and outlined to the elected members and members of the public:

- Methodology
- Budget Philosophy and Theme
- Budget Overview
- Operating Income and Expenditure
- Major Projects
- Initiatives

- Impact on the Ratepayer
- Rating Incidence
- Specified Area Rates
- Security Charge
- Swimming Pools Inspection Fee/Refuse/Recycling
- Payment Options

In conclusion, Mr Turkington stated that this year's budget was affordable and balanced, and addressed the needs of the current ratepayers while also focusing on the future direction of the City of Joondalup. He believed it was a positive and responsible approach to addressing the City's responsibilities to its community. Mr Turkington commended the budget and supporting papers to the elected members for their adoption.

During the above presentation of the 2000/01 Budget:

- *Cr Rowlands entered the Chamber at 1909 hrs*
- *Cr Kenworthy left the Chamber at 1927 hrs and returned at 1930 hrs*
- *Cr Kadak left the Chamber at 1941 hrs.*

Marmion Avenue – median works

Cr Magyar queried under which item the amount of \$500,000 for works to Marmion Avenue was included. Director Resource Management advised these works were included within JSC8-08/00 - 2000/01 Statement of Non Operating Expenditures.

Cr Kadak entered the Chamber at 1945 hrs.

Granny Spiers Community House

Cr Magyar referred to Council's decision of 13 June 2000 to list as an item of high importance in the 2000/2001 draft budget, gap funding of \$7,695 for a creche worker at Granny Spiers Community House. Cr Magyar queried whether this allocation was within the budget and if so, under what heading.

The Chief Executive Officer confirmed that Council did resolve to give consideration to this item within the budget. He stated that funds are available within the Community Funding Programme, and if Council wished to provide funding for Granny Spiers Community House, adequate funding would be available within that portfolio. The Director Community Development referred to the budget line item for 'Donations' under Community Services Administration Business Unit which has the amount of \$30,300 and advised that within that amount there are funds available for donations and grants in respect of the City's Community Funding Programme.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

(Please Note: Section 7(4)(b) of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 states that a Council at a special meeting is not required to answer a question that does not relate to the purpose of the meeting. It is requested that only questions that relate to items on the agenda be asked).

Mr R De Gruchy, Sorrento:

- *Mr De Gruchy congratulated the Director, Resource Management on his presentation of the budget.*

Q1 The Budget for the 1999/2000 year states “the City has presented an expenditure Budget of \$96.4 million for 1999/2000.” However the total overall budget presented this evening showed a budget figure of \$73 million. Could you explain the difference in these two figures.

A1 Response by Director, Resource Management: The \$73 million does not include what is called infrastructure assets which equates this year to \$11.6 million. Last year this was included, and also included last year in the \$96 million was allocations for cross funding within the organisation, referred to as self balancing items. These have been purposefully left out of the equation to get back to a real net figure.

Q2 Given that:

- (a) Council received \$741,000 additional non budgeted revenue last year from amended gross rental values;*
- (b) commercial properties are now required to pay an additional 10% in rates due to the increase in the rate of the dollar to the equivalent rate paid by residential properties; and*
- (c) an increase of over 900 residential properties that are now eligible to pay rates to the City of Joondalup, plus I also notice in the Budget we are talking about a surplus being carried over of \$3 million.*

Bearing all these points in mind, I still find it extremely hard to justify the increase in the rate in the dollar from 6.6693 cents last year to 6.8693 cents this year. Could I have an answer to this?

A2 Response by Director, Resource Management: In relation to the \$741,000 on interim rating, the way local government budgeting works is that those particular additional revenues are part of the surplus that is brought forward and combined with additional revenues, and savings on any expenditures that we may make. As you saw during the presentation earlier, there was \$8.1 million brought forward from last year, of which \$5.1 million was for carried forward works. You are correct that the rates this year has been cushioned with \$3 million. In local government budgeting, we add up all the known expenditures and take from that all the known incomes other than rates, the short fall is the amount which is to be made up from the imposition of rates through the district.

Commercial rates have risen 10%. Last year commercial rates contributed something in the order of 14% of the total rates, and this year it is 16%. There are additional properties coming on stream by way of subdivision. All these are taken into account when compiling the Budget. If Mr De Gruchy wishes to visit my office, I am prepared to sit down with him and walk through the way the Budget is compiled, not only at this local government, but all others within Western Australia. This may give Mr De

Gruchy some comfort as to the way the operation is at the City of Joondalup and also in local government throughout Western Australia.

Q3 Could I please be provided with a copy of the modelling exercises recently conducted on the feasibility of introducing a maximum amount payable on Council rates, which is commonly referred to as “capping”?

A3 Response by Director, Resource Management: If that is the wish of the Council, this could be provided. The modelling has been undertaken and these are available.

Q4 At the Council meeting held on 27 June 2000, Council decided to “advise both Western Australian Municipal Association (WAMA) and the Department of Local Government that the City had a strong desire to effect changes to the Local Government Act 1995 to enable local governments in Western Australia the option and flexibility of implementing a two component rating system which encompasses both a flat universally applied charge and a variable charge based on valuation similar to that which is permitted in New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia.” Could I please be provided with a copy of the correspondence to both WAMA and the Department of Local Government expressing this strong desire to effect change?

A4 Response by Director, Resource Management: I have talked to both the Department of Local Government and also WAMA in relation to this issue. The City will be writing hopefully by the close of business this Friday, 4 August 2000.

Mr N Gannon, Sorrento:

Q1 During the presentation of the Budget, the Director, Resource Management advised that the Council had numerous workshops during the year, discussing the Budget. Could you let me know if during those workshops, you were presented with models which showed:

- (a) a reduction in rates; or*
- (b) whether rates would remain the same*

A1 Response by Director, Resource Management: No, there was no modelling done on the reduction of rates. The answer is no, in respect to part (b). As I mentioned earlier, the way a local government Budget is constructed, expenditures are determined once these are known, then taken from this is known incomes, other than rates, such as fees, charges, government grants etc and the short fall is made up from rates.

Q2 Director, Resource Management presented a proposition where last year the City spent \$132,000 on implementing the GST. What will be the cost of the GST this year to the ratepayers of the City of Joondalup?

A2 Response by Director, Resource Management: The costs associated with the impost on GST across all Council’s facilities by way of fees, charges, swimming pool fees, increase on bins etc cannot be determined at this point in time.

Q3 If this cannot be determined, what figure was put in the Budget for this?

A3 *Response by Director, Resource Management:* The GST is a balance sheet item and does not impact, or be an impost, on the City's operating statements, so no figure is shown in the operating accounts for the City. It is a balance sheet item, which is a liability that the City has to pay to the Australian Taxation Office. There is no direct impact on the City as such; it is on the end user. If the end user uses the service to which GST applies, then the Council will know what that is. This time next year the City will be in a position to be able to inform all residents within the City of Joondalup what the impost for GST was on the various facilities and services used.

Q4 *The City will be collecting GST on behalf of the Government. How much do you expect to collect this coming year?*

A4 *Response by Director, Resource Management:* This will not be known until 30 June 2001, because we do not know the usage of those particular facilities to which GST applies.

Q5 *What percentage of profit do we expect to get from service level agreements?*

A5 *Response by Director, Resource Management:* My understanding of the service level agreements is that there was not a profit line in that. My understanding of the increased costs is that it was linked to CPI.

Q6 *You say there is no profit built in to the cost of the services to the City of Wanneroo. Why is the City of Joondalup subsidising the City of Wanneroo?*

A6 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* The services that the City undertook under service level agreements were in the Library service area and the information technology area. The service level agreement for the latter has since ceased. The major service level agreements are supplied by the City of Wanneroo to the City of Joondalup. This is for waste management. The City of Wanneroo runs all the waste management collection and the City's recycling service and will also run the proposed new one. Under the conditions of those service level agreements, they must be supplied at cost, for the life of the agreement which was six years, of which one year has expired.

Cr Hurst left the Chamber at 2007 hrs and returned at 2011 hrs.

Q7 *Did the City of Joondalup call for tenders for the waste disposal management from other sources?*

A7 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* The Commissioners engaged consultants when looking at these services and looked at economies of scale. For instance, if I use the example of waste management, you could quite easily have split the waste management services and have each Council run their own. The figures undertaken showed that by splitting the service there was a certain amount of additional plant and resources involved to run two separate services. Whilst they were kept as one, there were greater economies of scale and therefore the decision was made that this would be run by one local authority. That was the prime incentive of the decision in that case.

Q8 So the City did not call for other interested parties to provide costs so a comparison could be made?

A8 Response by Chief Executive Officer: No, because the plant already belonged to Council and a considerable number of existing staff would have needed to be made redundant. The choice is always there for Councils to make a decision as to what they will outsource and what they will do in-house. It is a traditional core service to local government and waste management is considered to be better done in-house. There are a number of metropolitan Councils who do outsource to major multi-national companies. Currently their bin cost to their ratepayers has escalated considerably compared with our own. The City of Joondalup has one of the lowest costs in waste management services in this State.

Q9 The Director, Resource Management referred to payment methods, notifying the ratepayers if they paid within 6-7 days, they would get a 5% discount plus a chance to go into the free draw. Those who pay a week later, also got the 5% discount, but no chance to go into the free draw. However, later he mentioned that no cost of the free draw was attributed to the City of Joondalup. In other words, those who pay a week before the other section, do not get any benefits at all from the City other than the same 5% discount. Where is the fairness in this!

A9 Response by Director, Resource Management: The City has traditionally allowed 21 days to be in for the draw and also for the discount; and 28 days for the discount. The reason for that is so that the City can conduct the draw prior to the larger revenues coming in to take advantage of the discount. Traditionally ratepayers have paid in the last couple of days to take advantage of the discount.

Response by Cr Kenworthy: The prizes are supplied free and the people who pay within 21 days have the opportunity to win a prize.

Cr Patterson left the Chamber at 2012 hrs.

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re – Security Charge:

Q1 With the \$27.00 and the number of ratepayers, what level of revenue does this Council anticipate under the security charge?

A1 Response by Director, Resource Management: The security charge will be applied at \$27.00 as mentioned earlier, and this will apply to approximately 55,000 properties. This will generate \$1.487 million. There will be Government grants for security patrols of approximately \$120,000. The carried forward balance from last year to this year in accordance with the Local Government Act and also the Financial Management Regulations is approximately \$320,000. There will be Safer WA grants associated with this programme of \$74,000. Total revenues in relation to the Safer Community Program budget for this financial year are \$2,003,000.

Q2 With the enhanced services (I understand there are six zones) what do the enhanced services provide? I believe there are nine patrols for the CBD. What else will the ratepayers obtain for this \$2,003,000?

(Director Resource Management clarified that he had actually said “night patrols” and not “nine patrols”)

Cr Mackintosh left the Chamber at 2015 hrs.

Cr Patterson entered the Chamber at 2015 hrs.

A2 Response by Executive Manager, Strategic Planning: The services proposed, the enhanced service that you refer to, does provide for a patrol service with six zones, 24 hours a day, seven days a week coverage. There will also be some additional service into the central business district of Joondalup.

Q3 Could I have a breakdown – how many patrol cars per zone. What can ratepayers anticipate for the further six zones?

Cr Wight left the Chamber at 2016 hrs.

A3 Response by Executive Manager, Strategic Planning: This equates to one patrol person/car in each zone for the six zones. In addition, there are vehicles associated with extra CBD patrols and these would be equipped with data communications equipment as was described by Mr Turkington. The security service also provides for a contribution to graffiti removal.

Response by Chief Executive Officer: The proposal is for each zone to be patrolled on three shifts per day, seven days per week. We are happy to provide you with a plan which will show you where the zones are and the details of these. There is a supervisor in each shift who will run those zones.

Crs Mackintosh and Wight entered the Chamber at 2018 hrs.

Cr Kenworthy left the Chamber at 2018 hrs.

Q4 I understand last year with the security service the net revenue raised was approximately \$1.448 million. \$800,000 was put aside for dual ranger security services as dealing with items specifically to property surveillance and security. I am trying to ascertain what is the current Budget allocation for that service. Is that \$2,000,000?

A4 Response by Chief Executive Officer: The service charge is a mini Budget on its own and all of those items included are required by the Local Government Act to be spent in that area. There are laid down amounts. This question is best taken on notice and these details provided to Mr Sideris tomorrow, with the full breakdown of the Budget in those areas.

Q5 What is the carry over figure for bad debts through last financial year to this financial year? I believe there were some significant amounts such as \$3,000,000 - \$4,000,000 for a one month period? How are these bad debts built in and carried forward in this Budget?

A5 *Response by Director, Resource Management:* Outstanding debtors, as a consequence of general debtors or rate debtors, is a balance sheet item and does not impact on the operating statement, nor does it impact on the non-operating expenditures of the City. It is a balance sheet item which sits outside the operating statement or the non-operating statements. As at the 30 June 2000, the outstanding debtors in terms of rates was \$2.1 million and also \$1 million in what is termed trade debtors.

Cr Kenworthy entered the Chamber at 2019 hrs.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION – CR S MAGYAR

Cr Magyar took leave to make a personal explanation in relation to the issue of Granny Spiers Community House Inc. Cr Magyar referred to Council's decision of 13 June 2000 to list as an item of high importance in the 2000/2001 draft budget, gap funding of \$7,695 for a creche worker at Granny Spiers Community House. He stated that he had asked officers whether the budget had been drawn up according to previous resolutions of Council and felt he had not received an answer that says this item is listed in the budget as previously agreed to by Council. Cr Magyar felt he was therefore in a difficult situation to vote on the budget with confidence when a unanimous decision of Council appears not to have been implemented.

The Chief Executive Officer stated that on a number of occasions Council has moved similar motions to list items for consideration within a budget. He stated that Council cannot allocate the funds in advance of adopting a budget, however providing there is an appropriate category, funding consideration can be given. As pointed out earlier, there is adequate funds available in the donation account, and Granny Spiers Community House has obviously been listed for consideration and taken on board by the Council as an item of high importance.

Cr Magyar did not accept this and considered that Council had resolved to provide the funding. He believed that any decision other than that would require a rescission of the decision made by Council on 13 June 2000. The Chief Executive Officer advised that a legal opinion could be obtained if required.

ITEMS OF BUSINESS

JSC3 - 08/00 PRINCIPAL ACTIVITY PLAN - PUBLIC CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Local Government Act, the community was invited to lodge submissions in relation to the City's draft Principal Activity Plan by 4 July 2000. The period the draft was available for community consideration and submission was 42 days. The copies of the draft plan were made available to the community via the City's Administration, Libraries and the web site.

At the close of the consultation period, the City had received no submission. Mr R de Gruchy from Sorrento did however seek clarification in public question time at a Council meeting concerning the format of the financial statements in the plan and issues with the proposed performing arts facility and Council Depot. A meeting was subsequently held with Mr de Gruchy regarding the improvements to the format of the financial statements.

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council NOTES that whilst no formal submission was received in the regard to the 2000 Principal Activity Plan, Mr R de Gruchy from Sorrento did seek clarification in relation to some minor formatting matters.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

STATEMENT BY DEPUTY MAYOR, CR D CARLOS

Cr Carlos made a statement in relation to concerns he had experienced throughout the budget process, and which he believed had not been addressed, and stated he does not intend to vote in favour of any part of the budget.

Cr Carlos advised that his speech notes had been circulated to certain elected members, with an embargo until 7.00 pm today, and also circulated to a number of ratepayers in Joondalup area who had indicated their support.

Cr Carlos outlined his concerns regarding rate increases and the processes followed, and his concerns for the ratepayers of the City. He believed the general feeling of the public is that they do not want a rate increase and felt they were not getting value for money. Cr Carlos outlined various areas of his concern and believed a change in procedures could produce savings.

Cr Carlos advised his position on each of the budget items would be to record his vote against the motion, as he felt he could not vote for a budget which he believed had not been created using conventional methods.

During the statement made by the Deputy Mayor:

- *Cr Ewen-Chappell left the Chamber at 2037 hrs and returned at 2051 hrs.*
- *Cr Barnett left the Chamber at 2037 hrs and returned at 2045 hrs.*
- *Cr Mackintosh left the Chamber at 2037 hrs and entered the Chamber at 2048 hrs.*
- *Cr Wight left the Chamber at 2043 hrs and returned at 2048 hrs.*

JSC4 - 08/00 2000/01 MUNICIPAL FUND BUDGET – [43629]

MOVED Cr Mackintosh, SECONDED Cr Kenworthy that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, ADOPTS the 2000/01 Municipal Fund Budget of estimated operating revenues and expenditures as summarised in the Operating Statements forming Appendices I & II hereto.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Cr Carlos voted against the motion.

Appendices I and II refer. To access these attachments on electronic document, click here: [Attach1ag000802.pdf](#) [Attach2ag000802.pdf](#)

JSC5 - 08/00 2000/01 STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS – [25458]

MOVED Cr Mackintosh, SECONDED Cr Patterson that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 ADOPTS the 2000/01 Budget of Estimated Cash Flows forming Appendix III hereto.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Cr Carlos voted against the motion.

Appendix III refers. To access this attachment on electronic document, click here:
[Attach3ag000802.pdf](#)

JSC6 - 08/00 2000/01 RATES SETTING STATEMENT – [28458]

MOVED Cr Kenworthy, SECONDED Cr Wight that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 ADOPTS the 2000/01 Rates Setting Statement forming Appendix IV hereto.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Cr Carlos voted against the motion.

Appendix IV refers. To access this attachment on electronic document, click here:
[Attach4ag000802.pdf](#)

JSC7 - 08/00 2000/01 STATEMENT OF RATING INFORMATION – [28458]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995 ADOPTS the 2000/01 Statement of Rating Information forming Appendix V hereto.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Crs Carlos and Nixon voted against the motion.

Appendix V refers. To access this attachment on electronic document, click here:
[Attach5aag000802.pdf](#) [Attach5bag000802.pdf](#)

JSC8 - 08/00 2000/01 STATEMENT OF NON OPERATING EXPENDITURES - [26458]

MOVED Cr Kenworthy, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, ADOPTS the 2000/01 Statement of Non Operating Expenditures as detailed in the 2000/01 Municipal Fund Budget and documentation forming Appendix VI hereto.

Cr Magyar queried whether this item related to the expenditure of \$500,000 allocated for Marmion Avenue. The Director Resource Management advised that included within the Non-Operating Expenditures was the sum of \$280,000 for Marmion Avenue – Median and Verge Enhancements Works, Beach Road to Warwick Road, and the sum of \$220,000 for Various Major Roads – Median and Verge Landscaping.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands and Kadak.

Against the Motion: Crs Ewen-Chappell, Walker, Nixon, Magyar and Carlos.

Appendix VI refers. *To access this attachment on electronic document, click here:*
[Attach6ag000802.pdf](#)

JSC9 - 08/00 GENERAL RATES – [28458]

MOVED Cr Kenworthy, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995 SETS general rates in accordance with the following tables:

GROSS RENTAL VALUES

Rate Group 1
Residential Zones - Improved

Rate Group 2
Residential Zones - Vacant

Rate Group 3
Commercial Zones - Improved

Rate Group 4
Commercial Zones - Vacant

Rate Group 5
Industrial Zones - Improved

Rate Group 6
Industrial Zones – Vacant

UNIMPROVED VALUES

Rate Group 1
Residential Zones

Rate Group 2
Rural Zones

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

Crs Carlos and Patterson voted against the motion.

**JSC10 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED-
GROSS RENTAL VALUATION - [07125]**

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Residential Improved Gross Rental Valuation rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Walker and Carlos

**JSC11 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - RESIDENTIAL VACANT - GROSS
RENTAL VALUATION – [07125]**

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Residential Vacant Gross Rental Valuation rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Walker and Carlos

JSC12 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - COMMERCIAL IMPROVED - GROSS RENTAL VALUATION - [07125]

MOVED Cr Ewen-Chappell, SECONDED Cr Hurst that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Commercial Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Nixon and Carlos.

JSC13 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - COMMERCIAL VACANT - GROSS RENTAL VALUATION – [07125]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Walker that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Commercial Vacant Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Nixon and Carlos

JSC14 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - INDUSTRIAL IMPROVED - GROSS RENTAL VALUATION – [07125]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES an Industrial Improved and Not Improved Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Nixon and Carlos

JSC15 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - INDUSTRIAL VACANT - GROSS RENTAL VALUATION – [07125]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Magyar that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES an Industrial Vacant Gross Rental Valuation Rate of 6.8693 cents in the dollar of Gross Rental Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Nixon and Carlos

JSC16 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - RESIDENTIAL - UNIMPROVED VALUATION – [02149]

MOVED Cr Rowlands, SECONDED Cr Wight that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Residential Improved and Vacant Unimproved Valuation Rate of 0.5408 cents in the dollar of Unimproved Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Walker and Carlos

JSC17 - 08/00 GENERAL RATE - RURAL - UNIMPROVED VALUATION – [02149]

MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES a Rural Improved and Vacant Unimproved Valuation Rate of 0.5408 cents in the dollar of Unimproved Valuation.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson and Carlos

JSC18 - 08/00 MINIMUM RATES – [21458]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 and 6.35 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES the 2000/01 minimum rates as follows:-

GRV

- **Each Residential Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$415.00**
- **Each Commercial and Industrial Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$763.00**

UV

- **Each Residential and Rural Lot or other piece of rateable land - \$415.00**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson, Walker, Nixon, Magyar and Carlos

PERSONAL EXPLANATION – CR A PATTERSON

Cr Patterson took leave to make a personal explanation in relation to his voting on the prior motions. He stated he has always been a strong supporter of reducing rates in the City of Joondalup, however he stressed that in no way did his voting indicate his support for the statement made earlier in the meeting by the Deputy Mayor.

JSC19 - 08/00 SPECIFIED AREA RATE - ILUKA – [15974]

MOVED Cr Ewen-Chappell, SECONDED Cr Wight that Council:

- 1 in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 and Section 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 CONTINUES for the 2000/01 financial year, the trial of a specified area rating system for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road and the Foreshore Reserve as detailed on Attachment 1 to Report CJ127-04/99;**
- 2 in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.32 and 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 IMPOSES a Specified Area Rate of 0.4933 cents in the dollar for the Iluka area bounded by Shenton Avenue, Marmion Avenue, Burns Beach Road and the Foreshore Reserve.**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Walker and Carlos

JSC20 - 08/00 SPECIFIED AREA RATE - WOODVALE WATERS – [15974]

MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Hurst that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.32 and Section 6.37 of the Local Government Act 1995 IMPOSES a Specified Area Rate of 1.3013 cents in the dollar for the Woodvale Waters area bounded by Timberlane Drive and Yellagonga Regional Park as detailed in Attachment I to Report CJ118-05/00.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Walker and Carlos

JSC21 - 08/00 DISCOUNTS AND EARLY PAYMENT INCENTIVES – [04267]

MOVED Cr Ewen-Chappell, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.46 of the Local Government Act 1995, OFFERS the following discount and early payment incentives for the payment of rates and charges:-

- **Full payment of all current and arrears of rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge within 21 days of the issue date on the annual rate notice:**
 - **a 5% discount on 2000/01 general rates only; and**
 - **eligibility to enter the early incentive draw for the following prizes (none of which is a cost to the ratepayers of the City).**
- 1. **Commonwealth Bank
\$2,500 Streamline Savings Account.**
- 2. **Warwick Grove Shopping Centre
\$500 Shopping Voucher.**
- 3. **Joondalup Resort Package
Luxurious overnight accommodation including dinner in Bistro 38.**
- 4. **Esplanade Hotel Fremantle Package
One night Breakaway Package for two people which includes one nights accommodation in a luxurious room, followed by a fully cooked buffet breakfast in the romantic courtyard setting of the Atrium Garden Restaurant.**

5. Hillarys Resort Package

Two nights accommodation for four people in a two-bedroom apartment, including a complimentary breakfast basket on the first morning.

6. Novotel Langley Perth Package

Deluxe Weekender Two Night Package, comprising a bottle of French champagne, truffle chocolates, strawberries Grand Marnier for two on arrival. On the second afternoon a bottle of port and cheeseboard, breakfast for two on both mornings in either the Gazebo Restaurant or room service, use of the gym, sauna and spa and a late check out.

7. Hotel Rendezvous Package

Bed and Breakfast Package, which includes overnight accommodation for two people in a luxury ocean view room, full buffet breakfast in Pines or room service breakfast the next morning, one complimentary movie, one bottle of champagne and complimentary parking..

- Full payment of all current and arrears of rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge within 28 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice.
- a 5% discount on 2000/01 general rates only.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight,
Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell
and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

JSC22 - 08/00 PAYMENT OPTIONS – [05091]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.45 of the Local Government Act 1995, OFFERS the following payment options for the payment of rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge:

1 One Instalment

Payment in full within 21 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice and be eligible for a 5% discount on current general rates only and eligibility to enter the rates incentive scheme for prizes.

Payment in full within 28 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice and be eligible for a 5% discount on current general rates only.

Payment in full within 35 days of the issue date of the annual rate notice.

2 Two Instalments

The first instalment of 50% of the total current rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST, security charge and instalment charge, plus the total outstanding arrears payable within 35 days of date of issue of the annual rate notice.

The second instalment of 50% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST, security charge and instalment charge, payable 63 days after due date of 1st instalment.

3 Four Instalments

The first instalment of 25% of the total current rates (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST, security charge and instalment charge, plus the total outstanding arrears payable within 35 days of date of issue of the annual rate notice.

The second, third and fourth instalment, each of 25% of the total current rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST, security charge and instalment charge, payable as follows :-

**2nd instalment 63 days after due date of 1st instalment
3rd instalment 63 days after due date of 2nd instalment
4th instalment 63 days after due date of 3rd instalment**

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

JSC23 - 08/00 LATE PAYMENT INTEREST – [17808]

MOVED Cr Mackintosh, SECONDED Cr Hurst that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.13 and 6.51 of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES interest on all current and arrears general rates (including specified area rates), current and arrears domestic refuse charges, current and arrears private swimming pool inspection fees (including GST) and current and arrears security charges at a rate of 8.95% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on arrears amounts which remain unpaid and current amounts which remain unpaid after 35 days from the issue date of the original rate notice, or the due date of the instalment and continues until

instalment is paid. Excluded are deferred rates, instalment current amounts not yet due under the four payment option, registered pensioner portions and current government pensioner rebate amounts. Such interest to be charged once per month on the outstanding balance on the day of calculation for the number of days.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

**JSC24-08/00 INSTALMENTS AND ARRANGEMENTS
ADMINISTRATION FEES AND INTEREST CHARGES -
[05091]**

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council:

1 in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.45 of the Local Government Act 1995, for the 2000/01 financial year, IMPOSES the following administration fees and interest charges for payment of rates (including specified area rates, domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge:

(a) Two Instalment Option

An administration fee of \$5.00 for instalment two , together with an interest charge of 5.5% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on:

- 50% of the total current general rate (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge calculated 35 days from the date of issue of the annual rate notice to 63 days after the due date of the first instalment.**

(b) Four Instalment Option

An administration fee of \$5.00 for each instalment two, three and four, together with an interest charge of 5.5% per annum, calculated on a simple interest basis on:

- 75% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge calculated 35 days from the date of issue of the annual rate notice to 63 days after the due date of the first instalment;**

- 50% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge calculated from the due date of the first instalment to the due date of the second instalment; and
- 25% of the total current general rate (including specified area rate), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees inclusive of GST and security charge calculated from the due date of the second instalment to the due date of the third instalment.

(c) **Hardship Cases**

In addition to the late payment interest of 8.95% an administration fee of \$20.00 per assessment for each payment agreement.

- 2 in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.49 of the Local Government Act 1995, AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to enter into special payment agreements with ratepayers for the payment of rates (including specified area rates), domestic refuse charge, private swimming pool inspection fees and security charge during the 2000/01 financial year.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

JSC25 - 08/00 DOMESTIC REFUSE CHARGES – [06125]

MOVED Cr Wight, **SECONDED** Cr Rowlands that Council in accordance with the provisions of the Health Act 1911, **IMPOSES** the following domestic refuse charges for the 2000/01 financial year:

- 1 **\$116.00 per existing unit serviced;**
- 2 **Collection from within the property boundary**

Existing Service		\$116.00
Additional Cost	\$35 + 10% GST	\$ <u>38.50</u>
		<u>\$154.50</u>

3	New Service		\$116.00
	Bin Delivery	\$35 + 10% GST	\$ 38.50
			<u>\$154.50</u>

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Walker, Magyar and Carlos

JSC26 - 08/00 PRIVATE SWIMMING POOL INSPECTION FEES – [23458]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Barnett that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 245A (8) of the Local Government Act 1995, IMPOSES for the 2000/01 financial year, a Private Swimming Pool Inspection Fee of \$11.00 inclusive of GST for each property where there is located a private swimming pool.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Carlos, Walker, Hurst and Mackintosh

JSC27 - 08/00 PRESCRIBED SERVICE - SECURITY CHARGE – [43198J]

MOVED Cr Wight, SECONDED Cr Barnett that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY in accordance with the provisions of Sections 6.32 and 6.38 of the Local Government Act 1995 IMPOSES for the 2000/01 financial year, a security charge of \$27.00, on each piece of land (rateable and non rateable).

The Motion was Put. There being 7 votes in favour and 6 votes against, an Absolute Majority was not achieved, and the Motion was NOT CARRIED

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Carlos, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar and Ewen-Chappell.

Following a query from Cr Mackintosh, the Chief Executive Officer advised that this matter will have to be referred back and given further consideration by Council.

JSC28 - 08/00 2000/01 SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES – [23039]

MOVED Cr Rowlands, SECONDED Cr Mackintosh that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.16 of the Local Government Act 1995, ADOPTS the 2000/01 Schedule of Fees and Charges forming Appendix VII hereto.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY**

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

Appendix VII refers. To access this attachment on electronic document, click here for Pages 1-2: [Attach7aag000802.pdf](#) Click here for Pages 3-49: [Attach7bag000802.pdf](#) Click here for Pages 50 – 66: [Attach7cag000802.pdf](#)

JSC29 - 08/00 CREATION OF SPECIFIC RESERVE ACCOUNT - PERFORMING ARTS FACILITY RESERVE – [24458]

MOVED Cr Ewen-Chappell, SECONDED Cr Wight that Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.11 of the Local Government Act 1995:-

- 1 CREATES a Specific Reserve Account titled “Performing Arts Facility Reserve” to assist with the future funding of the Performing Arts Facility;**
- 2 ALLOCATES as part of the 2000/01 budget an amount of \$1,000,000 to this particular reserve.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Motion: Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Wight, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Nixon, Magyar, Ewen-Chappell, Carlos and Kadak

Against the Motion: Crs Patterson and Kenworthy.

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Deputy Mayor declared the Meeting closed at 2115 hrs; the following elected members being present at that time:

P KADAK
L A EWEN-CHAPPELL
D S CARLOS
S P MAGYAR
A T NIXON
A A WALKER
P ROWLANDS
T BARNETT
A W WIGHT, JP
A L PATTERSON
G KENWORTHY
J A HURST
C MACKINTOSH