


ATTACHMENT : 1

PAGE No : 1

APPENDIX 25

Page 1



Quality is poor due to
reduction of display drawing

SCENARIO 4 THE PREFERRED SCENARIO

6.1 GENERATION OF PREFERRED SCENARIO

The three scenarios were presented to the Focus Group at a second workshop on Thursday 2nd August at the Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club at Mullaloo.

The group reviewed each scenario and with the consultants assessed each key element within the scenario.

A fourth scenario was derived by the group that comprised elements of all scenarios.

6.2 KEY ELEMENTS

The preferred scenario (Scenario 4) is comprised of the following elements:

- ① **Tom Simpson Park Enhancement**

The upgrading of the facilities, lighting, signage, pathways, landscape and spaces of Tom Simpson Park.

The relocation and provision of additional facilities and lighting to enhance the useability and safety of the public spaces within the park.

The adoption of a tree management programme that retains existing trees, provides additional planting and commences a strategy of replacement as existing trees die.

The upgrade of the northern toilet block through the provision of a viewing platform and access footbridge, upgraded facilities internal and external and appearance and improved sightlines and access.

All new facilities and planting would contribute to the generation of a distinctive character for the beach as well as being comparatively vandal resistant.

③ Dune Rehabilitation and Access Control

Rehabilitation of the primary dune system through the provision of fencing, new planting and other environmental protection measures.

- ④ The provision of elevated timber walkways at key pedestrian pathways to improve and control pedestrian movement and access via a durable, equitable aesthetic and environmentally appropriate access measure.

Car Park Reconfiguration

- ⑤ The relocation of the existing car parking area in the centre of Tom Simpson Park north, parallel with Oceanside Promenade, to unite the two segregated parts of the park, distribute car parking more effectively along the beach and promote casual surveillance (assisting safety and security) of the Park's places.
- ⑥ The proposed new car park areas will provide secondary barrier to control children access from Tom Simpson Park onto Oceanside Promenade.

- ⑦ The reconfiguration of the northern car park involving redesign of the existing car park layout to increase efficiency and to reduce the extent of car parking area, providing for an enlargement of the park at the southern end of the car park. A new roundabout would provide safe access to Oceanside Promenade as well as lowering traffic speeds and discouraging through traffic.

The provision of shade trees, lighting, signage and other facilities within the car parking areas.

6. THE PREFERRED SCENARIO

Oceanside Promenade Streetscape Enhancement

The undertaking of a comprehensive streetscape upgrade of Oceanside Promenade from the east of the road immediately north of the roundabout to the roundabout at Mullaloo Drive.

- ⑦ The upgrade will comprise:

A new roundabout at Marjorie Street to assist exit from the car park, provide a u-turn facility for traffic, deter non-local traffic and assist gateway image generation.

- ⑧ The modification of the Marjorie Street intersection to permit only one way only to permit the creation of a small pocket park and deter traffic entering the residential area.

The provision of Oceanside Promenade central medians flush with road pavement and colour and visually separate from main road traffic. Raised areas to control vehicle movement and access throughout site.

- ⑩ New footpath crossings at dedicated places incorporating bollards and different materials to differentiate the pedestrian and vehicular environments.

Wider footpaths, new paving, new street trees, new pedestrian facilities (bins, lighting, seating and signage).


- ⑫ The provision of parallel parking spaces along the east side of the Promenade.

OCEANSIDE PROMENADE

1. *Intergovernmental Commission on the Danube*

M A G O T - Landscape Architecture / Urban Design

Key Objective #1 : Improve Public Safety



Draft Concept Plan

WOODS BAGOT

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MULLALOO BEACH LOCAL AREA PLAN

Key Objective #2 : Improve Traffic Movement and Public Parking Facilities

Car Park Does Not Efficiently Use the Available Space for Car Parking




Additional Park Space Created



Rationalisation of Existing Car Park Maintains Current Numbers and Additional Park Space

IMPROVED PUBLIC CAR PARK FACILITIES

One Way Flow, Roundabout Facilities and Central Median Establishes Clearer Traffic Direction for Motorists




Left in Only to All Entrances Reduces Traffic Movement Confusion

TRAFFIC DIRECTION

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT

Current Traffic Flow is Impeded Through Confusion and Change of Directions



BEFORE

Roundabouts Allow Safe Changes in Traffic Direction




AFTER

Refined Traffic Flow Allows Clear and Confident Movement by Motorists


PARKING FACILITIES

Civic Spine Allows Controlled Access for Service and Emergency Vehicles to Surf Club



SERVICE VEHICLE ACCESS


Bus Stop Facility with Shelter Provided in Recognised Footpath Area



Short Term Set Down Parking

PUBLIC TRANSPORT ALLOWANCE


Draft Concept Plan



SIGNAGE

Clear Signage to Guide Motorist Movement

Key Objective #3 : Enhanced Range of Activities



Draft Concept Plan

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MULLALOO BEACH LOCAL AREA PLAN



10-01-2000
© Copyright

3-7-2002
SK:005

WOODS BAGOT

Key Objective #4 : Enhance Paths and Links

LEGEND



Draft Concept Plan

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MULLALOO BEACH LOCAL AREA PLAN


WOODS BAGOT

1:10,000 Scale

1:10,000 Scale

S.E. 2008

Key Objective #5 : Rehabilitation of Dune Areas



Draft Concept Plan

WOODS BAGOT

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MULLALOO BEACH LOCAL AREA PLAN

1:200000 2:500000 3:1000000 4:1:1

7-70-0072 5/1/01

Key Objective #6 : Improving Public Facilities

Shade Tree Planting for Shelter and Comfort

Additional Seating Elements for Use

Litter Bins

Seating Elements

Upgraded Picnic Shelters

Pedestrian Pathway Along Edge of Park Creates Improved Experience When Moving Through Park

BBQ Units

Timber Viewing Deck as Part of Elevated Timber Walkways to Beach

Views to Water

Low Planting to Add Interest and Soften Hard Spaces

Upgraded Pavement Surfaces with Additional Colour and Texture

Avenue Trees to Frame the Entry Along Oceanside Promenade into Mullaloo Beach

Gateway Sign Signifying Arrival into Mullaloo Beach

Colourful Low Planting to Enhance Gateway Experience

New Bus Shelter

Shade Tree Planting Adjacent to Bus Stop

Mullaloo Drive

Draft Concept Plan

WOODS BAGOT

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MULLALOO BEACH LOCAL AREA PLAN

MULLALOO BEACH PRECINCT
SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING ADVERTISING
(CLOSED 23 MARCH 2001)

NO	NAME & ADDRESS OF SUBMITTOR	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
1	Mr M Cribb 12 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports plan overall 2. Suggests roundabout at Northshore Drive/ Northshore Avenue intersection. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, though this is outside of the concept area.
2	Ms Y Stegwart 12 John Place MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports coffee shop and small retail shops on beach, adjacent to surf club, in preference to further developing Tavern due to constant broken glass 2. Opposes reduction in size of Tom Simpson Park 3. Expresses concern regarding lack of disabled parking 4. Strongly supports beautification of area 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. As the tavern is an existing land use the City is unlikely to be in a position to prevent its redevelopment. The City is supportive of a greater variety of land uses (i.e. coffee & small retails shops) along Oceanside Promenade in order to increase its vitality. 2. It is not intended that Tom Simpson Park will be reduced in size under the proposed concept plan. The parking in front of the surf club will be moved to another location and the park area will be increased by the reduction of the northern car park. 3. Noted. Provision of disabled parking should be considered at detailed design stage. 4. Noted.
3	Ms J & Ms E Taran 16 Oceanside Promenade MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports plan in general 2. Concern over proposal to create roundabout at Majorie Street/Oceanside Promenade intersection and to turn Majorie Street into a cul-de-sac. 3. Request replacement of any trees removed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Traffic management proposals will be considered at the detailed design stage. The concept plan does not propose to turn Majorie Street into a cul-de-sac, rather it is intended to reconfigure the traffic flow to one way exit from Majorie Street. 3. The City will endeavour to replace any trees removed in implementing the concept plan. The concept plan proposes to provide additional trees for the purpose of providing shade and identity.

Council distributed a fact sheet and survey form to all residents within the area, and a number of those survey forms were returned without providing name and address. These submissions have been included in the schedule indicated by a document number.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Support upgrade of tavern Oppose retail development on tavern site 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Noted. The City is supportive of a variety of land uses, including small-scale retail activities, in order to increase the vitality of the area.
4	<p>Mr R Suckling 27 Narrabeen Place KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports plan in general Objects strongly to proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Noted. The location of the proposed car park is inappropriate. The proposed car park backs onto residential lots in Narrabeen Place and therefore has the potential to encourage anti-social behaviour. Northshore Drive is a highly trafficked road. Both pedestrian and vehicular access to the car park would be difficult. The entry/exit point to Merrifield Place is in close proximity to the proposed car park and would add to the potential for traffic conflict. The proposed car park is located a considerable distance from the focal point of the precinct. It is recommended that the proposed car park on the eastern side of Northshore Drive be deleted from the plan.
5	<p>Mr & Mrs R & J Shaw 23 Narrabeen Place KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly object to proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.
6	<p>Ms W Herbert 3 Milson Court KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned at level of vandalism in area, which will increase by creating new car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Concerned for dune adjacent to Northshore Drive where car park is proposed. Northshore Drive was originally realigned to conserve dune. EPA identified rare plants on the dune 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Refer to comment 4.2, 11.2 and 27.14. The Environmental Protection Authority will be consulted regarding the impact of any works in the dunes prior to them being carried out.
7	<p>Mr I McPhail 8 Northshore Drive MULLALOO WA 6027</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports underground power lines. Objects to proposed car park on the eastern 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The placement of power lines under ground is a matter that would need to be considered by Western Power in conjunction with the City. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2 and 11.2.

		<p>side of Northshore Drive. Suggests expanding proposed car park on the western side of Northshore Drive.</p> <p>3. Suggests removing bend in Oceanside Drive at Marjorie Street</p>	<p>3. A roundabout is proposed to control traffic at this point. This matter will be considered further at the detailed design stage.</p>
8	<p>Mrs J Thomas 74/177 Dampier Avenue KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Suggests that a mobile refreshment van would be more appropriate than fixed facilities as it would not be subject to vandalism.</p> <p>2. Suggests a separate skateboard area complete with a board for graffiti artists.</p>	<p>1. The provision of a mobile refreshment van is a possibility. This will depend on interest from operators. The City believes that fixed facilities are also needed to provide greater certainty of service. Measures, such as increased lighting, can be investigated to minimise vandalism.</p> <p>2. The provision of such facilities will be considered at the detailed design stage.</p>
9	<p>Mr & Mrs L & C Rodoreda 2 Oceanside Promenade MULLALOO WA 6027</p>	<p>1. Supports some aspects of Plan</p> <p>2. Opposed to retail development on tavern site</p> <p>3. Opposed to street eating and drinking in a residential area.</p> <p>4. Opposed to street parking adjacent to their property.</p> <p>5. Opposed to existing grassed areas of the park being used for car parking</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comment 3.5.</p> <p>3. Noted. Alfresco dining could be restricted to the front of those properties with commercial development. The foreshore area is not considered to be a purely residential area.</p> <p>4. Noted. On street parking has the benefit of improving road safety.</p> <p>5. Noted. Refer to comment 2.2.</p>
10	<p>Mr J Craig & Family 25 Narrabeen Place KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Conditional support for Plan</p> <p>2. Strongly opposes proposed car parking on the eastern side of Northshore Drive.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.</p>
11	<p>Mr & Mrs A & M Plummer 3 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Reservations about tavern and attendant rubbish, graffiti and broken glass.</p> <p>2. Objects strongly to proposed car parks on the western and eastern sides of Northshore Drive. Believes that area is sufficiently serviced by car arks.</p>	<p>1. Tavern is an existing land use.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2. The location of the car park on the western side of Northshore Drive is considered inappropriate. Northshore Drive is a highly trafficked road. Both pedestrian and vehicular access to the car park would be difficult.</p>

		3. Concern at motorists exceeding speed limit on Northshore Drive	<p>The entry/exit point to Merrifield Place is in close proximity to the proposed car park and would add to the potential for traffic conflict. The proposed car park is located a considerable distance from the focal point of the precinct. It is recommended that the proposed car park on the western side of Northshore Drive be deleted from the plan.</p> <p>3. This matter will need to be considered at the detailed design stage.</p>
12	<p>Mr & Mrs I & C McPhail 8 Northshore Drive MULLLOO WA 6027 Mrs & Mrs A & M Plummer 3 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Object to proposed car parks on the western and eastern sides of Northshore Drive. Believes that area is sufficiently serviced by car parks.</p> <p>2. Concerned that motorists are exceeding speed limit on Northshore Drive.</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 11.2.</p> <p>2. Refer to comment 11.3.</p>
13	<p>Mr & Mrs J & A Stacey 32 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Object to proposed car parks on the western and eastern sides of Northshore Drive.</p>	<p>1. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p>
14	<p>Mr & Mrs R & J Shaw 23 Narrabeen Place KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Object to proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive.</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 4.2.</p>
15	<p>Mr I Ferguson 36 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Object to proposed carpark on eastern side of Northshore Drive.</p> <p>2. Concerned that there are no guidelines that the tavern redevelopment needs to adhere to</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 4.2.</p> <p>2. The preparation of guidelines may be considered at the detailed design stage, however the provisions of the District Planning Scheme also apply to any development application.</p>
16	<p>Mr & Mrs R & L Bradshaw 5 Vacluse Place KALLAROO WA 6025</p>	<p>1. Generally supports plan but objects to proposed car park on the eastern side of Northshore Drive. Believes that area is sufficiently serviced by car parks.</p>	<p>1. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p>
17	<p>Mr & Mrs T & E Smith</p>	<p>1. Considers plan to be impressive but objects to</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 4.2.</p>

	11 Narrabeen Place KALLAROO WA 6025	proposed car park on the eastern side of Northshore Drive.	
18	Mr & Mrs J & G Gulver 2 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objects to proposed car park on the western side of Northshore Drive. 2. Requests City to leave carparks and park areas as they are. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to comment 11.2. 2. Noted.
19	Mr D Payne 25 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suggests parking be incorporated either side of surf club at right angles. 2. Suggests that increased parking can be provided by utilising median strip, old Mullaloo Drive cul-de-sac 3. Suggests that opportunity for passive surveillance of park be increased through appropriate landscaping 4. Suggests integrate Merrifield Park with existing southern section of park 5. Additional toilet signage required in southern park as laneway in Merrifield Place is also used as a public toilet 6. Opposed to boardwalks, prefers pathways through dunes and viewing platforms provided separately 7. BBQ areas to be provided with lighting 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This matter can be investigated at the detailed design stage. 2. This matter can be investigated at the detailed design stage. 3. Noted. The plans include ways of improving public safety. 4. Noted. This can be considered at the detailed design stage. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11. 5. Noted. To be taken into account at the detailed design stage. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. To be taken into account at the detailed design stage. though plan does include improved lighting.
20	Mr & Mrs I & N Ferguson 36 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes overspill car parks, particularly the proposed carpark on the eastern side of Northshore Drive, adjacent to their property 2. Concern at ongoing anti-social behaviour 3. Suggested more infrastructure at Pinnaroo 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. Noted. The possibility of increasing security patrols in the area will be investigated. The redevelopment of the area may result in a decrease in anti-social behaviour. 3. Noted.

		Point/Whitford Beach area	
21	Mr & Mrs W & F Baker 4 Bigola Court KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly opposed to proposed carparks on the western and eastern sides of Northshore Drive. 2. Suggests that existing parking areas be redesigned and made safe. 3. Suggests that the City should develop an attractive and secure walk from the distant car parks to the redevelopment area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. Concept plan proposes to redesign existing carparks. Matter would be considered further at detailed design stage. 3. Matter would be considered at detailed design stage.
22	Mr J D Masters 1 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the plan overall 2. Supports improved pedestrian access to beach 3. Opposes proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive citing anti-social behaviour as one of many problems associated with car parks, which are remote from activity. Believe that existing car parks are adequate. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2, further good surveillance would minimise anti social behaviour.
23	Mr & Mrs I & C McPhail 8 Northshore Drive MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. 2. Questions whether consideration has been given to placing powerlines underground along Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 7.1.
24	Mr & Mrs E & J O'Sullivan 9 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly support redevelopment of the area 2. Strongly opposes proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.
25	Mr & Mrs J & A Stacey 32 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Believes that existing car parking facilities are adequate 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
26	Mr & Mrs D & J Saunders 6 Vauchuse Place KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. 2. Suggests extending northern car park further north. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2 2. Noted. To be considered at the detailed design stage.
27	Mr & Mrs S & A Willis and Family	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Express gratitude to City for involving 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.

	4 Marjorie Street MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>residents in planning for their areas</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Supports redevelopment Plan in general 3. Oppose proposed car park within Tom Simpson Park. Long, thin park not supported. 4. Suggest spreading use of beach along the coast 5. Support boardwalks 6. Suggest landscaped parking on Oceanside Drive at the end of Mullaloo Drive 7. Reconfigure northern car park to produce more bays 8. Retain surf club car park and turn central median in to attractive mall 9. Support traffic calming measures 10. Believe that the development of the tavern and surf club should be considered as part of precinct plan. 11. Suggests that Northshore Drive be realigned closer to coast or car parking be provided in open space to the west of Northshore Drive. 12. Opposed to further development of tavern. 13. Support upgrade of streetscape. 14. Issue of anti-social behaviour in Tom Simpson Park needs to be addressed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. This behaviour can only be adopted by the user and unable to be forced. The additional walkways provide the option. 5. Noted. 6. To be considered at the detail design stage. 7. The number of car parking bays is being maintained and additional area is added to the park. 8. May be considered at the detail design stage. 9. Noted. 10. This involves detail, which is to be considered at a later stage. 11. Noted. Refer to comment 11.2. 12. Noted. Refer to comments 2.1 and 3.5. 13. Noted. 14. Noted. The plan recognises this by providing improvements to public safety and good surveillance.
28	Ms M Ratcliffe Clareville House 5 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports overall development of area 2. Strongly opposes proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.
29	Mr & Mrs M & J Paley 42 Aristride Avenue	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support redevelopment of area 2. Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.

	KALLAROO WA 6025	side of Northshore Drive. Believes that existing car parks area adequate.	
30	Mr & Mrs M & K Hackling 26 Warringah Close Kallaroo 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly approves of redevelopment Plan 2. Found displays, website and pamphlets to be very helpful to residents 3. Believes that main issues are: peace and tranquillity, management of litter, improving amenity of tavern area, increasing number of trees, shade and walkways, continuation of cycleway and the provision of disabled access. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Acknowledged.
31	Mr P Gray 28 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports overall concept but objects to proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Believes that existing car parks are adequate. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
32	Mr & Mrs J & J Ridley 11 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly objects to proposed car park in Tom Simpson Park. 2. Suggests shuttle bus to railway station for tavern patrons 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comment 9.5. 2. This would need to be investigated further at a later stage to determine viability of such a proposal.
33	Ms L Dawson 6 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment of area 2. Strongly objects to proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Believes that existing car parks are adequate. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
34	Mr B Krasevac 6 Laguna Rise MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wants through traffic drawn away from the coast and slowed down with speed bumps and roundabouts 2. Trees for shade are vital on road reserves enhancing the appearance and usability of the area 3. Objects to commercial development including cafes, market stalls or holiday apartments 4. More parks required. Supports creation of park at Merrifield Place. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Road improvements have been suggested. Oceanside Promenade functions as a neighbourhood connector. 2. Noted. 3. Refer to comments 2.1 and 3.5. 4. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.
35	Mr & Mrs M & D Dickson	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports overall Plan 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.

	9 Pearsall Gardens MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports increase in parking through sensitive design. Supports tavern, cafes, restaurants and choice for residents. Paths and car parks need to be provided to attract people. Supports high quality short-term apartments similar to those in Sorrento Considers upgrade to surf club unnecessary Strongly supports an amphitheatre on beach Suggest more community verge plantings in side streets. Believes that in order to maintain community feel, buildings should be limited to a maximum height of three stories. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Noted. Noted. The Surf Club is interested in improvements of the building. Noted. Noted. May be considered at the detail design stage. Noted, the City's height and scale policy would apply.
36	Mr L Fossier-Mills 105 Castlecrag Drive KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Wants to see dune area to the south and west of Merrifield Place rezoned from Residential to Heritage reserve in order to ensure its protection 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.
37	Mr & Mrs LJ & EO Martin 86 Castlecrag Drive KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Support redevelopment Plan in principle Strongly opposed to development on dunes on western side of Northshore Drive. Opposed to proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Suggest developing under-utilised beaches to north of precinct areas 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Noted. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. Noted.
38	Mr & Mrs C & S Becker 88 Castlecrag Drive KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests speed limit of 80kph should be reduced to 60kph from Mullaloo Life Saving Club along Northshore Drive and left towards Whitfords Shopping Centre Opposed to proposed car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To be investigated. Refer to 4.2.

39	Ms L Arnold President Friends of Marmion Marine Park Inc 32 Princeville Tor CONNOLLY WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plan must incorporate any plans for redevelopment of the adjacent commercial site 2. Strongly supports the concept of elevated walkways 3. Strongly supports concept of dune restoration and rehabilitation 4. Opposes the destruction of the primary dune south of surf club for the building of an amphitheatre or seating 5. Suggests the incorporation of a viewing area within the confines of the surf club, possibly as an extended deck area 6. Believes plan should incorporate the widest possible area, including commercial centres. 7. Believes plan should be designed around conservation and rehabilitation. 8. Believes that a comprehensive rubbish and pollution minimisation strategy needs to be part of the redevelopment program. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The redevelopment of the adjacent commercial centre is included in the plans. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Such a proposal would need to be assessed by the Department of Environmental Protection. 5. Viewing platforms form part of the concept. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted
40	Mr J Stacey 32 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment Plan overall 2. Strongly opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. 3. Suggests increase and improvement in public transport services as a compromise, particularly in busy period and during events 4. Believe that on-street bays pose a safety issue. 5. Believe that function centre/night club is planned for tavern site. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 3. Acknowledged, this is a matter that needs to be considered with the Department of Transport 4. On-street bays also have the ability of improving road safety. 5. Such a proposal would require Council approval.
41	Mr & Mrs E & J O'Sullivan 9 Curl Court KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposed to proposed car parks on Northshore Drive. 2. Suggests increase and improvement in public transport services as a compromise. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2 2. Refer to comment 40.3.

		particularly in busy period and during events	
42	Mr J Vidler PO Box 2060 MARMION WA 6020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports overall redevelopment Plan 2. Expresses concern about increasing vehicular traffic flow. 3. Suggests redirecting through traffic and public transport away from beach front in direction of Marmion Avenue. 4. Opposed to introduction of roundabouts. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. It is anticipated that the increase in traffic would be marginal. 3. Oceanside Promenade is considered a connector road in the hierarchy of roads. Redirection of traffic is not a suitable option. 4. Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.
43	Mr P Gray 28 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirming opposition to proposed car parks on Northshore Drive. 2. Suggests alternative approach would be reducing car parking spaces and replace them with a public transport area 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. Acknowledged, refer to comment 40.3.
44	Mr & Mrs C & R Berry 15 Cooper Street MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support median strip on Oceanside Promenade 2. Support roundabout at Marjorie Street 3. Support development of dune area adjacent to Merrifield Place 4. Support shade tree planting if not obscuring views 5. Support redevelopment of tavern into a limited café, restaurant, retail facility 6. Support café in surf club 7. Support improvement of ablution block 8. Support rehabilitation of dune areas 9. Support rationalisation and upgrade of northern parking adjacent to Iluka Street 10. Opposes modifications to car park in Tom Simpson Park 11. Suggest additional parking be considered on west side of Merrifield Place and in cul-de-sac portion of Mullaloo Drive. 12. Concerned about overhead ramp access. City needs to ensure area is maintained and is 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Refer to 2.2. 11. Noted. 12. Noted. The concepts aim to improve public safety.

		<p>secure.</p> <p>13. City should consider obtaining the co-operation of the tavern owner to cease selling alcohol in glass containers.</p> <p>14. Suggest a 40kph speed limit in vicinity</p> <p>15. Improve the sump at north of car park by creating an inter-dunal swale</p>	<p>13. This matter is for the consideration of the liquor licensing board.</p> <p>14. Noted.</p> <p>15. To be investigated at the detailed design stage.</p>
45	<p>Mr K Rhodes PO Box 413 HILLARYS WA 6923</p>	<p>1. Supports redevelopment Plan in principle</p> <p>2. Opposes removal of surf club car park but would support an upgrade to it</p> <p>3. Opposes proposed car parks on Northshore Drive</p> <p>4. Opposes grassing of area west of Merrifield Place and suggests that the bush should be retained and regenerated</p> <p>5. Retain existing Merrifield Place paths</p> <p>6. Reports poor engineering and maintenance of existing paths which wash away each year</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p> <p>4. Noted. It is recommended that this part of the proposal be excluded at this stage and the park area contained within the existing area.</p> <p>5. Noted.</p> <p>6. Acknowledged. The proposed overhead timber walkways may improve this situation.</p>
46	<p>Mr & Mrs R & L Prangnell 20 Oceanside Promenade MULLALOO WA 6027</p>	<p>1. Support upgrading of lighting, pathways, signage, controlled tree planting and viewing platform</p> <p>2. Supports dune rehabilitation and overhead walkways</p> <p>3. Supports retaining existing central car park</p> <p>4. Retaining wall and dual use path on west side of the Tom Simpson Park could be done without encroaching onto park.</p> <p>5. Opposes narrowing park for additional carpark.</p> <p>6. Supports traffic calming measures including raised levels</p> <p>7. Supports proposal for northern carpark and carpark on eastern side of Northshore Drive.</p> <p>8. Does not believe that parallel parking would</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. Refer to comment 9.5.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.</p> <p>8. Noted. Parking on Northshore Drive was</p>

		<p>be required of carpark is provided on Northshore Drive.</p> <p>9. Supports extra Pine trees</p> <p>10. Create entrance statement</p> <p>11. Supports rezoning of residential land on west side of Merrifield Place in order to create park</p>	<p>anticipated to serve as an overspill area, which is recommended to be deleted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted. May be investigated at the detail design stage.</p> <p>11. Noted. It is recommended that the park be deleted at this stage. Council owns this land freehold and is currently investigating options.</p>
47	Mr & Mrs RJ & KN Wallace 3 Vacluse Place KALLAROO WA 6025	<p>1. Overall support of Plan</p> <p>2. Plan makes no reference to control of anti social behaviour</p> <p>3. Strongly opposed to car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive</p> <p>4. Upkeep of new facilities is important.</p> <p>5. Questions the validity and suitability of Merrifield Park as a picnic area</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comment 27.14.</p> <p>3. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.</p> <p>4. Acknowledged.</p> <p>5. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.</p>
48	Mr S Tilinger 1 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Opposes proposed car park on either side of Northshore Drive</p>	<p>1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p>
49	Ms K Tilinger 1 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Opposes proposed carpark on western side of Northshore Drive</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 11.2.</p>
50	Mr & Mrs J & K Etheridge 1 Alice Drive MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Opposes further concrete areas on Tom Simpson Park</p> <p>2. Opposes trees which obscures views and suggests planting of low level natives</p> <p>3. Suggests low limestone walls to define park areas and protect children from road</p> <p>4. Roundabouts do not slow traffic only aid intersection flow – does not support roundabout at Marjorie Street</p> <p>5. Do not modify Marjorie Street intersection</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. This can be assessed at the detail design stage.</p> <p>4. Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.</p> <p>5. Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.</p>
51	Ms H Kraus 6 Bluewater Rise	<p>1. Opposes redevelopment plan</p> <p>2. Strongly opposes loss of area of Tom</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comment 2.2.</p>

	MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>Simpson Park for car parking</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Opposes commercial development more suited to Ocean Reef or Mindarie marinas, retain residential atmosphere 4. Believes that dune rehabilitation is more important than bringing people into the area. 5. Does not support reduction in childrens play equipment and suggests fence around playground. 6. Believes that further details need to be provided with respect to proposed lighting, BBQ facilities, and trees. Rehabilitation of dunes, surf club and tavern plans 7. New carpark is too far away from surf club to use at night. Carpark on Northshore Drive unrealistic due to resident opposition and lack of proximity to beach. 8. Proposal to have a park at Merrifield Place is unrealistic due to environmental concerns. 9. Questions when concept plan is to be implemented. 10. No carpaking bays figures provided (existing V's proposed) 11. Opposed to café in surf club, as there are insufficient parking facilities to support such an enterprise. Tavern already provides café. 12. Main priority should be rehabilitation of existing dunes, upgrade facilities at Tom Simpson Park, install traffic calming devices and look at reconfiguring carbays in existing carpark 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Noted. Refer to comments 2.1 and 3.5. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. Plan acknowledges this. 6. This detail will form part of the detailed design stage of the proposal. 7. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 8. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11. 9. At this stage it is not possible to provide a date for implementation. 10. Noted. This information will not be available until the detail design stage. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
52	Mrs I L Scates 8 Leo Place MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment plan 2. Supports more trees/shade, cafes, lawned areas, overhead boardwalk, lighting, car 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted.

		parking, rubbish bins, BBQ facilities, boardwalk pier, small stage. 3. Supports expansion of grassed area over large dune above rocks.	3. Noted.
53	Mr P W Scates 8 Leo Place MULLALOO WA 6027	1. Supports redevelopment plan 2. Supports expansion of grassed area into existing dunes continuing further along coast 3. Opposes proposed car parks on either side of Northshore Drive. Believes that parking should be provided on beach along Oceanside Promenade. 4. Suggests removal of overhead power lines. 5. Suggests traffic calming through tree planting. 6. Suggests planting of native species to provide shade.	1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 4. Refer to comment 7.1. 5. This can be considered at the detail design stage. 6. Noted.
54	Mr & Mrs T & I Barker 12 Cooper Street MULLALOO WA 6027	1. Strongly support redevelopment Plan 2. Suggest main priority is to reduce traffic volumes and speeds 3. Supports extra car parking 4. Suggest Plan will improve appearance and amenity of area 5. Believe that plan offers opportunity for dunes to be rehabilitated and access improved to beach.	1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted.
55	Mr M Braybrook 47 Aristide Avenue KALLAROO WA 6025	1. Supports redevelopment plan 2. Supports more commercialisation and café strip. 3. Supports higher density housing. 4. Suggest overhead walkway be constructed between tavern site and beach. 5. Supports additional car parking screened by judicious landscaping 6. Suggests redevelopment will have positive	1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted.

		impact on community and property values	
56	Mrs B M Fee 18 Oceanside Promenade MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for overall redevelopment 2. Wants park to be restricted to day use. 3. Opposed to the retention of existing trees as they are ugly in appearance and obstruct views. 4. Strongly opposes proposed car park in Tom Simpson Park. Believes that existing car parks are sufficient. 5. Opposed to parallel parking east of Oceanside Promenade. Suggests expanding parking at west end of old Mullaloo Drive, between Laurel Street and Oceanside Promenade. 6. Opposed to proposed carpark west of Northshore Drive. 7. Opposed to development of park west of Merrifield Place. Dune is fragile and should be preserved. 8. Dual use path and wall should be constructed on western side of Tom Simpson Park. 9. Supports expansion of grassed areas 10. Strongly opposes skateboard facilities 11. Opposes roundabouts, particularly the one proposed for Majory Street. 12. Supports rehabilitation of dunes 13. Supports café at Tavern site not surf club 14. Conditional support of amphitheatre 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Generally the park will be used by most during the day. 3. The landscaping content will be examined at the detailed design stage. 4. Noted. Refer to comment 2.2. 5. Noted, though proximity to coast, beaches and activity is important. 6. Noted. Refer to comment 11.2. 7. Noted. Refer to comment 45.4, though the environmental impacts of such a proposal would be examined at later stages. 8. A cycle path is proposed on the western side of the park. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. Though specific skate board facilities are not proposed. 11. The roundabout assists in providing clearer traffic directions for motorists as well as providing traffic management to 4 way intersections. 12. Noted. 13. Noted. 14. Acknowledged.
57	Mr & Mrs A & S Peters 6 Marjorie Street MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support redevelopment Plan in general 2. Concern at Tavern development especially a café. Should be considered as part of precinct 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Refer to comment 2.1 and 3.5.

		<p>plan.</p> <p>3. Opposed to giving up grassed areas in Tom Simpson Park for car parking</p> <p>4. Opposed to roundabouts</p> <p>5. Cafes should be on West side of Oceanside Promenade</p>	<p>3. Refer to comment 9.5.</p> <p>4. Refer to comment 56.11.</p> <p>5. Noted.</p>
58	<p>Ms M Macdonald 5 Mair Place MULLALOO WA 6027</p>	<p>1. Dissatisfied with precinct planning process.</p> <p>2. Tom Simpson Park needs minor upgrading.</p> <p>3. Opposed to planting additional trees in Tom Simpson Park.</p> <p>4. Opposed to concrete pad and possibility of skateboarding in Tom Simpson Park.</p> <p>5. Support of dune rehabilitation</p> <p>6. Supports timber walkways</p> <p>7. Opposed to moving central car park along Oceanside Promenade but would support reconfiguration in current location.</p> <p>8. Supports reconfiguration of northern car park.</p> <p>9. Opposed to roundabout – Iluka Avenue.</p> <p>10. Supports upgrade of northern toilet block.</p> <p>11. Supports upgrading of streetscape.</p> <p>12. Opposed to modification of Marjorie Street</p> <p>13. Opposed to parallel parking on Oceanside Promenade.</p> <p>14. Opposed to park between Merrifield Place Northshore Drive.</p> <p>15. Believes that if medians are to be constructed, they should extend along the full length of Oceanside Promenade.</p> <p>16. Dedicated crossings, footpaths, bins, seating and signage supported.</p>	<p>1. It is considered that the process provided ample opportunity for comment.</p> <p>2. The concept plan recommends minor upgrading.</p> <p>3. Additional trees provide much needed shade during summer.</p> <p>4. Refer to comment 56.10.</p> <p>5. Noted.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted, though parking as shown on the concept plans provides for greater legibility.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p> <p>11. Noted.</p> <p>12. Noted, however the one way traffic flow will assist traffic movements.</p> <p>13. This provides for conveniently located parking.</p> <p>14. Noted. Refer to comment 45.4.</p> <p>15. This matter would be considered at the detailed design stage.</p> <p>16. Noted.</p>

		<p>17. Investigate bus stop & signage.</p> <p>18. Opposed to proposed car park on western side of Northshore Drive.</p> <p>19. Rezoning of grassed area west of Merrifield Place from residential to reserve – rezoning is considered to be a high priority.</p> <p>20. Separate pedestrian and cyclist paths are not supported. Dual use path should be provided on western side of Tom Simpson Park. This should not be constructed on grassed area. Reclaim secondary dune for this purpose. Construction of dual use path is considered a high priority.</p> <p>21. Upgrade toilet/shower facilities</p> <p>22. Strongly objects to structure in front of the surf club to accommodate a commercial venture and amphitheatre.</p> <p>23. Believes that shared vehicle access/pedestrian walkway between Oceanside Promenade and the Surf Club will be unnecessary if existing car park is reconfigured.</p> <p>24. Opposes expansion of commercial uses at Tavern</p>	<p>17. Acknowledged.</p> <p>18. Noted. Refer to comment 11.2.</p> <p>19. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.</p> <p>20. Noted. The dual use path is proposed on the western side of the park.</p> <p>21. Noted.</p> <p>22. Noted.</p> <p>23. Noted.</p> <p>24. Refer to comments 3.5 and 2.1.</p>
59	<p>Mr M Sideris President Mullaloo Ratepayers Association 12 Page Drive MULLALOO WA 6027</p>	<p>1. Generally supportive of most of the key elements of the Plan</p> <p>2. Dissatisfied with certain aspects of the precinct planning process.</p> <p>3. Believe that redevelopment plans for the tavern and surf club should have been considered in conjunction with precinct plan.</p> <p>4. Concerned about loss of amenity in Tom Simpson Park. Recommend the retention of the existing shape and grassed area of Tom Simpson Park. the retention of existing trees</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted, however, this is considered part of Council's approval process not concept planning.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p>

		<p>and the reconfiguration of the current carpark to maintain existing disabled access while allowing a paved walkway between the tavern and the surf club.</p> <p>5. Opposed to construction of amphitheatre. Limited views would be able to be obtained. Protection of dune should take precedence.</p> <p>6. Suggest that the dual use path be constructed on the western edge of Tom Simpson Park.</p> <p>7. Suggest that any café development be located within the vicinity of the Tavern.</p> <p>8. Opposed to Iluka Street and Majorie Street roundabouts. Alternative traffic calming facilities should be considered.</p> <p>9. Opposed to Majorie Street intersection modification. Believe that this will be unnecessary if car park within Tom Simpson Park is deleted from plan.</p> <p>10. Opposed to provision of parallel parking spaces along east of Oceanside Promenade. Believe that parking will be unnecessary if central and northern carparking areas are reconfigured, an overflow carpark is provided at the end of Mulaloo Drive and there is no loss of carbays in the tavern area.</p> <p>11. Opposed to creation of new small pocket parks at the Merrifield Place/Northshore Drive intersection. Proposal would invade dune area. Would agree to small park however on shoulder.</p> <p>12. Opposed to redevelopment of the Mullaloo Surf Club façade. Believe existing façade is adequate and funds would be better spent elsewhere.</p>	<p>5. Noted.</p> <p>6. It is proposed to be partly on the western edge.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.</p> <p>9. Noted. This can be examined at the detail design stage.</p> <p>10. Noted. The parallel parking area provided conveniently located spaces. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p> <p>11. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>
--	--	---	---

		<p>13. Opposed to café at Surf Club.</p> <p>14. Opposed to development of amphitheatre and terrace area adjacent to Surf Club.</p> <p>15. Opposed to shared vehicle access and pedestrian walkway between Oceanside Promenade and the Surf Club.</p>	<p>13. Noted. This may be further investigated at a later date.</p> <p>14. Noted, though the terrace area is considered to provide a good level of amenity.</p> <p>15. It should be noted that the vehicle access would be for the use of emergency vehicles only.</p>
60	Anonymous	<p>1. Dissatisfied with existing trees they block views and are unattractive.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p>
61	Mr R Hocart 40 Key West Drive MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Concerned at loss of current parkland for extra parking. Believes that large car park is sufficient.</p>	<p>1. Refer to comment 9.5.</p>
62	Mr A Toulalan 7 Mullion Street MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Supports Plan in principle</p> <p>2. Concerned at loss of current parkland for extra parking believes that large carpark is sufficient</p> <p>3. Supports the dual purpose walkway</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Refer to comment 9.5.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p>
63	Mr A Toulalan 7 Mullion Street MULLALOO WA 6027	<p>1. Opposes carpark on Kallaroo side of Northshore Drive</p> <p>2. Believes entry statement should be created to precinct.</p> <p>3. Supports removal of central carpark – proposed new roundabout to new carpark.</p> <p>4. Suggests bulldozing dunes for 50m on either side of surf club and grass to the beach, allowing greater views to beach.</p> <p>5. Suggests buying a series of oceanside houses either side of the Tavern to create a cappuccino strip and promote alfresco dining on boulevard with raised bridges over road</p> <p>6. Suggests create a new park in the north of northern carpark</p> <p>7. Suggests create a water playground</p>	<p>1. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2.</p> <p>2. Acknowledged.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. Noted.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p>

		8. Suggests enlarge the surf club, include range of activities such as cafes and restaurants	8. Noted.
64	Mr N Dallas 78 Aristride Avenue KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly supports redevelopment Plan 2. Wants area to be rezoned – supports village style concept with a café strip 3. Suggests extending northern carprking area north to Warren Way 4. Suggests walkway bridge or underpass to assist pedestrian crossing. 5. Believes local residents will object to proposed carparks either side of Northshore Drive. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. This can be examined further at the detailed design stage. 4. At the detail design stage measures will be looked at. 5. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
65	Ms K Hackling 26 Warringah Close KALLAROO WA 6025	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure there are adequate recycling bins in the redevelopment Plan 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.
66	108640	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports increase in parklands 2. Believes that through traffic should be discouraged along beach front and diverted to Marmion Avenue. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Oceanside Promenade functions as a connector road, thus it not only assist in providing links between suburbs but also access to the beach.
67	106329	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports access to beach, boardwalks, increased parkland areas and café 2. Opposes proposed car park within Tom Simpson Park. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to 9.5.
68	106349	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports café strip 2. Improve appearance of Mullaloo tavern 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. This is at the discretion of the tavern owner.
69	106377	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes commercial development 2. Believes on street parking may be a good idea 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comment 2.1. 2. Noted.
71	107221	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does not support further development on undisturbed coastline dunes should be preserved 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.
70	106383	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports tree planting and increased shade and parkland area 2. Opposes new parking areas either side of Northshore Drive 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.

		3. Supports use of dunes for parking facilities	3. Noted.
72	107636	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports rehabilitation of dunes 2. Supports reconfiguration of car bays in existing carparks 3. Supports raised walkway over dunes 4. Opposes reducing size of Tom Simpson Park and concerned about shape of grassed area 5. Opposes commercialisation 6. Opposes children's playground area 7. Opposes new carpark on Tom Simpson Park and roundabout 8. Opposes cycleway through primary dune 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. Refer to comment 9.5. 5. Noted. Refer to comment 2.1. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, however such parking provides for easy access to facilities. Roundabout improves safety. 8. Noted. Such a proposal would required the endorsement of the Environmental Protection authority.
73	107736	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports greening and area with shade trees 2. Supports beautification 3. Opposes car park on Northshore Drive 4. Reduce vandalism through better urban design, policy and reporting methods 5. Questions were café strip is to be developed 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 4. Noted. Refer to comment 27.14. 5. The concept plans do not show a café strip as such.
74	107750	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports pedestrian path on western side of Tom Simpson Park in preference to dual pathway 2. Agrees with submission of the Mullaloo Progress Association. 3. Opposes trees obscuring views 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted.
75	107639	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports beautification of beach and dual use pathway 2. Opposes the claiming of additional land for car park 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
76	107737	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports housing diversity, café strip, local employment, protection and education regarding dune rehabilitation 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.

77	107822	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment Plan 2. Opposes entry point to proposed carpark on western side of Northshore Drive 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. Noted.
78	107775	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment Plan 2. Opposes proposed carpark on east side of Northshore Drive, parking should be limited to existing carpark 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
79	107903	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports new park area to left of Surf Club 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.
80	108186	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports more trees being planted and park west of Merrifield Place being established 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.
81	107481	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports redevelopment Plan 2. Concern regarding vandalism 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Refer to comment 27.14.
82	107391	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports beautification and diversification of landuses and traffic calming 2. Tavern car park is eyesore 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. May be improved as part of future redevelopment plans.
83	107398	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports boardwalk over dunes, removal of central car park, traffic calming and wider paths 2. Opposes proposed carparks on either side of Northshore Drive and within Tom Simpson Park 3. Suggests parking could be developed further north or south of Mullaloo 4. Do not remove existing Pine trees 5. No need for new park at Merrifield Place 6. No change to housing 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11. 6. Noted, the concept plan does not propose any changes.
84	107405	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports drastic facelift and user friendly facilities 2. Supports planting of trees 3. Supports extension of parks 4. Supports amphitheatre and additional sporting areas 5. Supports lighting 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted.

85	107352	6. Supports additional parking	6. Noted.
86	107357	1. Opposes Plan in entirety	1. Noted
87	107358	1. Oppose car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive	1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
88	107369	1. Opposes car park on eastern side of Northshore Drive, suggests leaving carpark which already exists.	1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2.
89	107169	1. Opposes car park on east side of Northshore Drive 2. Create 'High rise' parking next to tavern	1. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2. 2. Noted.
90	107204	1. Opposes carpark on east side of Northshore Drive 2. Create 'High rise' parking next to tavern	1. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2. 2. Noted.
91	107308	1. Supports redevelopment Plan 2. Suggests changes will reduce anti-social behaviour and speeding 3. Supports café strip	1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted.
92	108264	1. Supports angled street parking 2. Opposes new car parks, commercialisation	1. Noted. 2. Refer to comments 4.2, 11.2 and 2.1.
93	108190	1. Supports redevelopment Plan 1. Opposes parking on eastern side of Northshore Drive 2. Provide sewerage prior to major works and undertake traffic study	1. Noted. 1. Noted. Refer to 4.2. 2. Noted.
94	108111	1. Supports upgrade of ablution block 2. Opposes smaller housing lots	1. Noted. 2. Noted, however concept plan does not include housing proposals.
95	108484	1. Supports café strip and beautification 2. Concern over lack of change to car park at Iluka Street – design to prevent inappropriate vehicle activities	1. Noted. 2. Refer to comment 27.14. Further this can be examined at the detail design stage.
96	106204	1. Supports enhancement of coastline 2. Opposes car park east of Northshore Drive	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.

97	106205	1. Supports vitality in area 2. Concern over vandalism	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 27.14.
98	106190	1. Supports café strip and redevelopment of Surf club	1. Noted.
99	106166	1. Supports recently created dual use path 2. Opposes car park east of Northshore Drive, believes there is sufficient parking in northern carpark 3. Not necessary to redevelop surf club 4. Get rid of Mulallo tavern – unsightly 5. Opposed to creating opportunities for rental housing. 6. Water existing nature strip before creating more.	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2. 3. Noted. 4. There are options available to the owner to improve the building and surrounds. 5. The concept plans do not include such proposals. 6. Noted.
100	105647	1. Strongly supports redevelopment plan	1. Noted.
101	105645	1. Strongly supports redevelopment plan	1. Noted.
102	105649	1. Strongly supports redevelopment plan 2. Suggests upgrade and facelift to Tavern	1. Noted. 2. Acknowledged.
103	105648	1. Supports redevelopment Plan 2. Opposes car park to north of Tom Simpson Park 3. Needs more facilities (tap, seating, BBQ)	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to 9.5. 3. Improved facilities are being proposed.
104	105640	1. Strongly supports redevelopment Plan 2. Opposes café strip	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 3.5.
105	103741	1. Supports dune rehabilitation and access ramps 2. Supports increased park areas 3. Supports walk from Plaza to Surf Club 4. Supports bicycle path 5. Supports café strip 6. Opposes carpark eastern side of Oceanside Promenade 7. Supports attractive brickpaving, though paths	1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. Refer to 4.2. 7. Noted.

		<p>don't need widening.</p> <p>8. Opposes trees, which block views.</p> <p>9. Opposed to organise sports</p> <p>10. Opposes roundabout at Iluka Street</p> <p>11. Opposes commercialisation</p>	<p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted</p> <p>10. Refer to comment 56.11</p> <p>11. Noted. Refer to comments 2.1 and 3.5.</p>
106	103733	<p>1. Supports redevelopment Plan</p> <p>2. Supports more facilities for youth e.g. skate park</p> <p>3. Plan ignores facilities – cafes, entertainment, and shops.</p> <p>4. Plan is basically an increased parking strategy</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. This can be examined at the detailed design stage of the proposal.</p> <p>3. Noted, though this may occur progressively.</p> <p>4. The plan also looks at other elements such as public safety, traffic movement, activities, paths and links, rehabilitation and public facilities.</p>
107	106533	<p>1. Supports Council's consideration of residents' needs</p> <p>2. Opposes additional parking close to surf club and on Northshore Drive</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.</p>
108	106579	<p>1. Supports a natural environment</p> <p>2. Opposes intended changes to parking</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to 9.5, 4.2 and 11.2.</p>
109	106561	1. Opposes redevelopment Plan	1. Noted.
110	106560	<p>1. Strongly supports redevelopment Plan</p> <p>2. Strongly support rezoning of Council owned residential blocks to a reserve</p> <p>3. Suggests parkland north and south of surf club over dunes.</p> <p>4. Suggests additional parking in Mulaloo Drive cul de sac.</p>	<p>1. Noted</p> <p>2. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. Noted. This can be examined at the detail design stage.</p>
111	106587	<p>1. Supports improved amenities and facilities</p> <p>2. Concerned about intense redevelopment</p> <p>3. Prevent infill subdivision</p> <p>4. Maintain 2 storey height restrictions</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted. The concepts proposed are not considered to be an intense form of development.</p> <p>3. This does not form part of the concept plan at this stage.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p>
112	106594	1. Support slowing of traffic and better facilities	1. Noted.

		linked to surf club and café strip 2. Opposes increased parking on Northshore Drive	2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
113	106595	1. Strongly supports Plan	1. Noted.
114	106593	1. Strongly supports Plan	1. Noted.
115	106895	1. Questions need for overflow parking on Northshore Drive 2. Question bias in the questionnaire assuming that no one has any negative comments.	1. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. The questionnaire provides for comments relating to dislikes.
116	106893	1. Supports overall plan 2. Opposes overflow carparks on Northshore Drive 3. Concern over lack of public transport 4. Concern over use of tavern as low grade night spot	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to Comments 4.2 and 11.2. 3. Acknowledged, refer to comment 40.3. 4. The tavern is an existing land use.
117	106892	1. Supports improved facilities 2. Opposes increased traffic and new car parking 3. Feels questionnaire provides no scope to reject the plan.	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 3. Questionnaire provides for comments relating to dislikes. Further a separate submission rejecting the plan could have been submitted, the option was made available.
118	106894	1. Opposes increased traffic and carparking 2. Supports improved facilities, more parkland and lighting 3. Concern with bias in questionnaire, no option to oppose ideas.	1. Noted. It is not anticipated that traffic volumes will increase significantly. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2. 2. Noted. 3. The questionnaire provides for comments relating to dislikes.
119	106609	1. Supports Mullaloo becoming Cottesloe of the North 2. Supports tree lined streets and cafes	1. Noted. 2. Noted.
120	106532	1. Supports need for development 2. Too much emphasis on carparking	1. Noted. 2. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.

		3. Supports café strip and revamp tavern as well as area between to the beach.	3. Noted.
121	106534	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports improvement of tavern area, increased lighting, building up and protecting dunes, cafes and local art. 2. Doesn't want another Hillary's Marina 3. Oppose to parking east of Northshore Drive 4. No residential and commercialisation of beach 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. The concepts do not promote the extent of development at Hillarys Marina. 3. Noted. Refer to comment 4.2. 4. Noted.
122	106713	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports fresh air, peace and lack of congestion 2. Concerned about insufficient seating and paths 3. Need café strip 4. Beach too crowded on weekends – needs spread of development 5. Increased security patrols 6. Stop cars speeding along beachfront and in carparks. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, though improved public facilities such as seats and path networks are part of the concept. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Acknowledged 6. Traffic management devices such as those proposed would assist.
123	106710	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports shade beautification of area including better facilities (lighting) and raised walkways 2. Opposes the reduction in size of Tom Simpson Park to make way for parking as existing carpark is rarely full 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Refer to comment 9.5.
124	106715	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposes tavern on beachfront 2. Supports café strip without a tavern 3. More traffic calming 4. Increase security patrols 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. This may be investigated further in the future. 2. Noted. Refer to comment 2.1. 3. Concept proposes some traffic calming measures. 4. Acknowledged.
125	106720	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly supports Plan 2. Supports café strip 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted
126	106759	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports Plan - tavern needs to be revamped 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. Upgrading of the tavern is at the owner's discretion.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Concern at anti-social behaviour at night 3. Retain dunes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Refer to comment 27.14. 3. Noted.
127	106758	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports retention of dune area 2. Supports development of Surf Club 3. Supports lots of trees 4. Concerned at antisocial use of park areas 5. Tavern needs upgrading. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Refer to comment 27.14. 5. Noted. Upgrading of the tavern is at the owners discretion
128	106799	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports Plan 2. Need to discourage car use. suggests bus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Supported. Refer to comment 40.3.
129	106797	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports Café Strip conditional upon not becoming a fast food outlet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.
130	106798	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concern over security problems 2. Reduce speed limits, noise and pollution from vehicles. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledged. Refer to comment 27.14. 2. Acknowledged.
131	106716	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports tree planting, boardwalk and improved facilities 2. Opposed to additional carparks either side of Northshore Drive and tom Simpson Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. Noted. Refer to 4.2 and 11.2.
132	104776	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly supports plan 2. Concern over Tavern and patrons' behaviour 3. Strongly opposed to car parking east of Northshore Drive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Where behaviour becomes out of control police may be called upon. 3. Noted. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.
133	109120	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opposed to loss of parkland and trees (tom Simpson Park) for car parking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Refer to comment 9.5.
134	108963	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plan will increase potential for Mullaloo to realise its true value 2. Concern as to type of development, don't succumb to corporate retail domination 3. Do not impede on natural energy of landscape. 4. Respect alternative visions of residents. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. Any development would need to be sympathetic to the existing urban fabric. 3. Noted. 4. Noted.
135	107772	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the Plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Opposes carparks on Northshore Drive. 3. West side of Merrifield park to be grassed the same as east side 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Noted. Refer to 4.2 and 11.2. 3. Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.
136	106	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Preserve existing ambience 2. Provide better access to beach 3. Oppose higher density housing 4. Support café but oppose commercial/mixed use development 5. Oppose cultural and entertainment facilities 6. Opposed to alterations to surf club façade 7. Opposed to roundabout – Iluka Avenue 8. Proposed tree planting shouldn't disrupt views. 9. Discourage night time use of park 10. Improve public transport 11. Don't want increased lighting 12. On street parking not practical. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Acknowledged, plan includes walkways. 3. Noted, though plan does not include such. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Refer to comment 56.11 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Refer to comment 40.3. 11. Noted, though increased lighting improves safety and provides better surveillance. 12. Not supported, on street parking is considered a practical parking option.
137	Mr K Pearce Secretary Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum Inc 64 Oceanside Promenade MULLALOO WA 6027	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Forum generally supportive of the plan however considers it less sensitive to environmental needs of the community than the Sorrento study. 2. Request that the interpretative centre be constructed at the originally agreed site adjacent to the Mullaloo Surf Club. 3. Recommendation that the proposed amphitheatre not proceed. 4. Recommendation that the dual use path be constructed through Tom Simpson Park. 5. The existing shape and grassed area and existing trees and reconfiguration of the current car park of Tom Simpson Park be retained to maintain disabled access while 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Refer to comment 59.6

		<p>allowing a paved walk way between the tavern and the surf club.</p> <p>6. Any café within the precinct be located in the vicinity of the tavern.</p> <p>7. That the City of Joondalup negotiates a funding arrangement with the surf club that will allow it to provide greater services to youth.</p> <p>8. Land west of Merrifield Place be rezoned to non-residential.</p> <p>9. Opposed to the construction of a car park and pocket parks on the west of Northshore Drive, south of Merrifield.</p> <p>10. The Forum requests the opportunity to be involved in both the selection and placement of trees and in designing and implementing the dune restoration.</p>	<p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p>
--	--	---	---

V:\URBANDES\Samantha\Projects\mullaloo schedule.doc