

<b>Mr M Sideris</b> <b>President</b> <b>Mullaloo Ratepayers Association</b> <b>12 Page Drive</b> <b>MULLALOO WA 6027</b>		
Additional input provided in person by Mr Mitch Sideris & Helen Kraaus- Meeting of 23 July		
<b>Community Group SUBMISSION as Reported to Council 22 May 2001</b>	<b>Officers Response 22 May 2001</b>	<b>Updated Officers Response</b>
1. Generally supportive of most of the key elements of the Plan	Noted.	It is noted that MRA has been for the most part supportive of Councils intent in preparing a Precinct Plan for the area.
2. Dissatisfied with certain aspects of the precinct planning process.	Noted.	Council has adopted a revised process that should reduce the extensive time and cost outlay so far expended on this project.
3. Believe that redevelopment plans for the tavern and surf club should have been considered in conjunction with precinct plan.	Noted, however, this is considered part of Council's approval process not concept planning.	Officers have considered this advice. Indicative Concept planning of private development will be considered where possible in future precinct planning projects.
4. Concerned about loss of amenity in Tom Simpson Park. Recommend the retention of the existing shape and grassed area of Tom Simpson Park, the retention of existing trees and the reconfiguration of the current carpark to maintain existing disabled access while allowing a paved walkway between the tavern and the surf club.	Noted.	There is significant gain to general foreshore users in removing cars from the park.  An events plaza in this area could be used off peak for car parking if necessary.
5. Opposed to construction of amphitheatre. Limited views would be able to be obtained. Protection of dune should take precedence.	Noted.	In response to this comment it is now agreed that as much as is possible access will be confined to areas of past dune clearance.
6. Suggest that the dual use path be constructed on the western edge of Tom Simpson Park.	It is proposed to be partly on the western edge.	It is agreed that inter-dunal path will be deleted in favour of this option.
7. Suggest that any café development be located within the vicinity of the Tavern.	Noted.	It may be premature to close off options for sympathetic commercial development that may enhance the experience of users and contribute to upkeep of facilities.
8. Opposed to Iluka Street and Majorie Street roundabouts. Alternative traffic calming facilities should be considered.	Noted. Refer to comment 56.11.	It is agreed that further roundabouts should be avoided.
9. Opposed to Majorie Street intersection modification. Believe that this will be unnecessary if car park within Tom Simpson Park is deleted from plan.	Noted. This can be examined at the detail design stage.	It is agreed that limiting local traffic access is undesirable.
10. Opposed to provision of parallel parking spaces along east of Oceanside Promenade. Believe that parking will be unnecessary if central and northern carparking areas are reconfigured, an	Noted. The parallel parking area provided conveniently located spaces. Refer to comments 4.2 and 11.2.	This configuration improves through flow of vehicles and utilises predominantly existing road reserve for parking bringing car bays out of the park where they divide north and

<i>overflow carpark is provided at the end of Mullaloo Drive and there is no loss of carbays in the tavern area.</i>		<i>south of greater Tom Simpson park.  Overflow carpark at the end of Mullaloo Drive will be considered.</i>
<i>11. Opposed to creation of new small pocket parks at the Merrifield Place/Northshore Drive intersection. Proposal would invade dune area. Would agree to small park however on shoulder.</i>	<i>Noted. Refer to comments 45.4 and 46.11.</i>	<i>It is agreed that these parks would add little to the amenity of the area and need not be created.</i>
<i>12. Opposed to redevelopment of the Mullaloo Surf Club façade. Believe existing façade is adequate and funds would be better spent elsewhere.</i>	<i>Noted.</i>	<i>Though the expenditure is relatively minor it need not proceed unless required at a later date.</i>
<i>13. Opposed to café at Surf Club.</i>	<i>Noted. This may be further investigated at a later date.</i>	<i>Modification to the Surf Club are likely to be the subject of consultation. This issue can be resolved through that process.</i>
<i>14. Opposed to development of amphitheatre and terrace area adjacent to Surf Club.</i>	<i>Noted, though the terrace area is considered to provide a good level of amenity.</i>	<i>The popularity of Mullaloo beach due to its relatively sheltered position needs to be addressed. Terracing remains one option of dealing with intensive use of the area.</i>
<i>15. Opposed to shared vehicle access and pedestrian walkway between Oceanside Promenade and the Surf Club.</i>	<i>It should be noted that the vehicle access would be for the use of emergency vehicles only.</i>	<i>Intensity of use by vehicles with surf boat trailers and other loads is low and often off peak. Emergency access needs to be retained but benefit to pedestrians is substantial.</i>

**Mr K Pearce**  
**Secretary**  
**Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum Inc**  
**64 Oceanside Promenade**  
**MULLALOO WA 6027**

*Additional input relayed by Mr Mitch Sideris & Helen Kraaus- Meeting of 23 July.*

<b>Community Group SUBMISSION as Reported to Council 22 May 2001</b>	<b>Officers Response 22 May 2001</b>	<b>Updated Officers Response.</b>
1. Forum generally supportive of the plan however considers it less sensitive to environmental needs of the community than the Sorrento study.	Noted.	<i>Considerably less dune will be affected by the Mullaloo proposal than in the Sorrento and investment in elevated access ways is proposed</i>
2. Request that the interpretative centre be constructed at the originally agreed site adjacent to the Mullaloo Surf Club.	Noted.	<i>The interpretative centre is lightly to consist of signage and murals. Alternative locations exist where these are less lightly to be lost in beach access improvements around the Surf Club.</i>
3. Recommendation that the proposed amphitheatre not proceed.	Noted.	<i>In response to this comment it is now agreed that as much as is possible access will be confined to areas of past dune clearance.</i>
4. Recommendation that the dual use path be constructed through Tom Simpson Park.	Noted.	<i>It is agreed that the inter-dune path will be deleted in favour of a path on/through Tom Simpson park..</i>
5. The existing shape and grassed area and existing trees and reconfiguration of the current car park of Tom Simpson Park be retained to maintain disabled access while allowing a paved walk way between the tavern and the surf club.	<i>It is proposed to be partly on the western edge.</i>	<i>There is significant gain to general foreshore users in removing cars from the park.</i>  <i>An events plaza in this area could be used off peak for car parking if necessary.</i>
6. Any café within the precinct be located in the vicinity of the tavern.	Noted.	<i>It may be premature to close off options for sympathetic commercial development that may enhance the experience of users and contribute to upkeep of facilities.</i>
7. That the City of Joondalup negotiates a funding arrangement with the surf club that will allow it to provide greater services to youth.	Noted.	<i>Internal use of the Surf Club and interagency agreements are not by this precinct planning exercise.</i>
8. Land west of Merrifield Place be rezoned to non-residential.	Noted.	<i>Investigations have yet to reveal conclusive documents on the purpose of Councils acquisition of these blocks. Until such time as this occurs a decision on the future of these blocks may be premature.</i>
9. Opposed to the construction of a car park and pocket parks on the west of Northshore Drive, south of Merrifield.	Noted.	<i>It is agreed that these parks would add little to the amenity of the area and need not be created.</i>
10. The Forum requests the opportunity to be involved in both the selection and placement of trees and in designing and implementing the dune restoration.	Noted.	<i>Council has undertaken restoration with schools and community groups. JCCCCF is an active partner in this work and its support is welcome.</i>