

**PEDESTRIAN ACCESSWAY POLICY
SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING ADVERTISING**

NO	NAME & ADDRESS OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
1	K Thorn 6 Brook Avenue HILLARYS WA 6025	6 Brook Avenue HILLARYS WA 6025	<p>Objects to PAWs in general. Provides the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PAWs are a dumping ground for litter and rubbish. 2. PAWs are a meeting place for 'thugs' and vandals. They are not safe after dark. 3. PAWs detract from the resale value of properties adjoining them. 4. The City does nothing to make PAWs tolerable. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The policy attempts to address issues concerning anti-social behaviour through guidelines in assessing existing and proposed PAWs. 3. Issues concerning depreciating property values are not required to be addressed by the policy. The land values are generally set by the context of being adjacent to a PAW. 4. The policy has established a three-point framework that will deal with the design of new PAWs and assessment and maintenance of existing PAWs.

NO	NAME & ADDRESS OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
2	Mr J Moore 102 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020	102 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020	Supports the Policy. Provides the following points: 1. The maximum length of PAW should be increased to greater than 70 metres. 2. Generally there are too many rules for the installation of PAWs that most developers will avoid including them in subdivision designs.	1. The length of PAWs have been reduced to be in keeping with the design of new subdivisions which include a greater mix of lot sizes with the average lot sizes being lower than what has been provided in the past. 2. PAWs in new subdivisions are not preferred but have been given greater attention than in the past in an attempt to improve design to reduce some of the problems associated with existing PAWs.
3	Sergeant D Letts Warwick Police Station 37 Eddington Road WARWICK WA 6024		1. The policy is supported particularly section 2(b) in the assessment of existing PAWs that requires the applicant to provide evidence of anti-social behaviour in support of their application for closure.	1. Noted.