

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP, ON THURSDAY, 7 JUNE 2001

OPEN AND WELCOME

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 1902 hrs.

ATTENDANCES

Mayor

J BOMBAK, JP

Elected Members:

Cr P KADAK	Lakeside Ward	
Cr D S CARLOS	Marina Ward	
Cr C BAKER	Marina Ward	
Cr A NIXON	North Coastal Ward	
Cr J F HOLLYWOOD, JP	North Coastal Ward	
Cr P ROWLANDS	Pinnaroo Ward	<i>from 1907 hrs</i>
Cr A WALKER	Pinnaroo Ward	<i>from 1908 hrs</i>
Cr T BARNETT	South Ward	
Cr M O'BRIEN, JP	South Ward	
Cr G KENWORTHY	South Coastal Ward	
Cr C MACKINTOSH	Whitfords Ward	

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer:	L O DELAHAUNTY
Director, Resource Management:	J TURKINGTON
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Director, Infrastructure Management:	D DJULBIC
Director, Community Development:	C HALL
Executive Manager, Strategic Planning:	R FISCHER
Manager, Organisation and Strategic Development:	J KIRTON
Manager, Council Support Services:	M SMITH
Committee Clerk:	J AUSTIN

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apology: Cr J Hurst

Leave of Absence previously approved:

Cr Kimber: 27 May 2001 to 10 June 2001

Cr Patterson: 27 May 2001 to 10 June 2001

There were 5 members of the public in attendance.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

(Please Note: Section 7(4)(b) of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 states that a Council at a special meeting is not required to answer a question that does not relate to the purpose of the meeting. It was requested that only questions that relate to items on the agenda be asked).

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo Progress Association:

Q1 In relation to the document "Activities Planning 2001-2006" the section dealing with Safer Community Programmes groups the programmes in four areas, being City Watch, Urban Design, Graffiti Control and Partnership. Urban Design area refers to providing improvements to street lighting, accessways, landscaping, buildings etc. The Capital Works proposals for that part of the Activities Plan say \$0. Why would you not allocate anything under capital works for this?

A1 There may not be capital works proposed but the requirements of the Principal Activities Plan also require Council to indicate where it may be undertaking any major works as part of its operating budget. As an example within Urban Design, the current staff participate in giving advice to people on an ongoing basis with matters pertaining to safety and security, based on their design. Also, in relation to the street lighting programme, the Infrastructure Management Directorate would on a regular basis look at upgrading of lighting as part of its normal workload.

Q2 If streetlighting, accessways and landscaping is to be upgraded, there at least should be something put down as capital works as I understand the area of Duncraig is undertaking an underground power programme and it would be expected that there would be some capital works expenditure.

A2 The globe replacement programme comes up under the operation budget and there is a contract with Western Power at a value of about \$1.3 million per annum. This is an ongoing programme.

Cr Rowlands entered the Chamber at this point, the time being 1907 hrs.

Q3 In relation to the table of Expenditure and Income, under Funding Sources it refers to "fines, penalties, user and other" and the sum of \$1.5 million. I understand the outcome of the recent referendum was for the Safer Community Programme to be funded by GRV. I do not see how GRV funding relates to the areas of "fines, penalties, user and other".

A3 The issue of funding the Safer Community Programme out of rates has not been addressed by Council at this point. The report refers to the fact that figures provided within the draft plan are preliminary and based on best estimates at time of preparation.

Cr Walker entered the Chamber at this point, the time being 1908 hrs.

Q4 *I notice there is nothing dealing with benchmarking or performance measures. Within the section dealing with Planning and Development, there is a section which deals with performance measures. I would expect that under the Safer Community Programme benchmarking or performance indicators would be included.*

A4 The performance measures for the overall programme are on Page 32 of the plan.

Mr S Magyar, Heathridge:

Q1 *On page 2 of the document it states that documentation will be provided for reference at the City's libraries. Page 4 refers to harnessing the potential of the information age. Will Council consider putting the 5 Year Capital Works Programme on its internet site?*

A1 The City's web site is currently being upgraded. Once the 5 Year Works Programme is adopted by Council it should be part of the web site, to be accessed by members of the public.

Q2 *Will it be placed on the web site as part of the public consultation process?*

A2 The detail has not been released as it is still a working document. Once adopted by Council it will be made available.

Q3 *Referring to new major proposals for 2001-2006, there is no reference made to the possibility of having a Connolly Community Facility.*

A3 The project continues to be worked on by the Committee. Until such time as there is a proposal and agreement on the type of facilities required, together with costings, it is not sure what resources are required from Council. There is a State Government grant being held by the Connolly Residents Association. There may not be a need for a contribution from Council; alternatively should more funds be required, that amount would come forward to Council for its consideration at such time.

Q4 *Page 21, under Operations Services, why is there no performance measures regarding the preservation of biodiversity or other values of the natural areas which the City is managing?*

A4 The performance measure which are shown are wide ranging. This could be given consideration and included under one of the performance measures shown.

Q5 *Page 34, Safer Community Programme. The amount of \$1.5 million is shown under 'other income'. Will this document be amended to say 'Security Charge' instead of 'other income' or will it be amended so that the document is in conformity to what Council resolved at its last meeting of Council, regarding the referendum, that is that Council resolved to implement the referendum result as soon as possible, and surely that includes question 2 (of the referendum) as well as question 2.*

A5 This question was taken on notice.

DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST

Nil

JSC41-06/01 DRAFT PRINCIPAL ACTIVITIES PLAN FOR THE PERIOD 1 JULY 2001 TO 30 JUNE 2006 - 13020]

WARD – All

SUMMARY

The report outlines the process through which the draft Principal Activities Plan 1 July 2001 to 30 June 2006 for the City of Joondalup was developed. The objective of the draft Plan is to inform the community of the major activities Council proposes to undertake over the next five years and how these will be funded. The report recommends that the attached draft Plan be considered by the Council for release for public comment as required under the Local Government Act 1995.

BACKGROUND

Under the Local Government Act 1995 Section 5.57 (2) a Principal Activity Plan is to be made available for public consideration for 42 days after local public notice is given. The Principal Activities Plan addresses the Five-Year Capital Works Programme, principal activities and new proposals for the City. The principal activities included in the draft Plan are those major items of expenditure that total in excess of \$200,000 per annum. The figures provided in the draft Plan are preliminary and based on best estimates and known information at the time of preparation. These forward estimates and source of funding will be further reviewed in the overall budgetary process. The Five-Year Capital Works Programme and new proposals will be reviewed following the development of the budget and input from the community consultative process.

DETAILS

The draft Principal Activities Plan for the City of Joondalup has been prepared based on information contained in the Strategic Plan, draft budget documentation and the relevant Directorate and Business Unit submissions. The draft has been considered by the City's Executive and at a Councillor workshop and is attached for consideration by Council (Attachment A).

The City is to be involved or continue its involvement in a number of major projects including:-

- A significant portion (18.8%) of the budget for 2001 – 2002 has been identified for the continuous upgrade and development of infrastructure for the City.
- Currambine Community Centre.
- Joondalup Performing Arts Complex.
- City depot development.
- Future development of Ocean Reef Groyne.
- Referendum of the City's Security Watch service.
- Community needs survey.

The City has listed other major principal activities under the following headings:-

- Library and Information Services
- Leisure and Ranger Services
- Community and Health Services
- Operations Services
- Infrastructure Management Services
- Waste Management
- Planning and Development
- Safer Community Program

The Five Year Capital Works program has been developed to meet anticipated community needs and projects identified by Council. The level of expenditure for this program is considered sustainable and achievable over the five-year period.

New Proposals to support the City's Strategic Plan have also been included in the Principal Activities Plan for consideration.

The draft Principal Activities Plan will be made available through the City's libraries, on the web site and upon request.

It is also proposed that the draft Five Year Capital Works Program and the draft 2001 – 2002 proposals will be provided in the City's libraries for reference.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council **APPROVES** the draft Principal Activities Plan 1 July 2001 to 30 June 2006 for the City of Joondalup for distribution for public consideration in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Cr O'Brien submitted a draft alternative recommendation to JSC41-06/01. The following comments are provided with respect to this alternative recommendation.

1. The Principal Activities Plan (PAP) is a draft document proposed for community consideration and comment as part of the Budget process. The PAP addresses the major programmes, including the Safer Community Program, that the City proposes to undertake.

The purpose of the draft plan is to seek community comments on the proposed directions for consideration by Council in the Budget sessions. The extent of any program delivery is a matter for Budget consideration.

2. The specific reference to a possible reduction of \$1,805,229 would be misleading as this amount relates to a total cessation to the surveillance patrols.

In the recent Referendum, 68% of respondents indicated support for continuation of the surveillance patrols.

3. Prior surveys conducted for the City have indicated that the community rate security concerns as the most frequent issue of importance facing Council.

It is therefore suggested that the original recommendation remain, that is:

“That Council APPROVES the draft Principal Activities Plan 1 July 2001 to 30 June 2006 for the City of Joondalup for distribution for public consideration in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995.”

MOVED Cr O'Brien SECONDED Cr Baker that Council APPROVES the draft Principal Activities Plan 1 July 2001 to 30 June 2006 for the City of Joondalup for distribution for public consideration in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995, with the proviso that Council informs the public that the City of Joondalup is of the opinion that the State of Western Australia has prime responsibility for the safety of all the Citizens of the State and that any surveillance activity, performed by this Municipality, is for the purpose of assisting the WA Police in its area of Public Duty.

Discussion ensued.

The Motion was Put and

LOST

MOVED Cr Kadak SECONDED Cr Kenworthy that Council APPROVES the draft Principal Activities Plan 1 July 2001 to 30 June 2006 for the City of Joondalup for distribution for public consideration in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Appendix 1 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach1agn070601.pdf](#)

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the Meeting closed at 1926 hrs; the following elected members being present at that time:

J BOMBAK, JP
P KADAK
D CARLOS
C BAKER
NIXON
J F HOLLYWOOD, JP
ROWLANDS
A A WALKER
T BARNETT
M O'BRIEN, JP
G KENWORTHY
C MACKINTOSH