

DRAFT AGENDA

FOR ELECTED MEMBERS'

BRIEFING SESSION

TUESDAY, 6 NOVEMBER 2001

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session which is opened to the public. Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.

The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.

To enable <u>prompt</u> and <u>detailed</u> responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.

Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.

The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:

- accept or reject the question;
- nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or
- determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.

The following rules apply to question time:

- question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.
- questions should properly relate to Council business.
- question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.
- questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;
- where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting.

CITY OF JOONDALUP – BRIEFING SESSION

to be held in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on **TUESDAY, 6 NOVEMBER 2001** commencing at **6.00 pm**

ORDER OF BUSINESS

OPEN AND WELCOME

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence previously approved:

Cr D Carlos 12 – 26 November 2001 Cr A Walker 19 – 26 November 2001

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following question was submitted to the Briefing Session held on 16 October 2001 by Mr Alan Hogarth, on behalf of the Warwick Bowling Club:

- Q1 Re: Item 15 submission for funding to convert two greens to synthetic bowling greens: At the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on Monday 15 October a motion was put for the City of Joondalup to introduce a policy for the development of synthetic bowling greens within the City of Joondalup and establish a cost ratio that each party will have to contribute to the cost of establishing greens. Could any possible contribution established as a result of that motion be applied to the current application submitted on behalf of Warwick Bowling Club as mentioned in Item 15?
- A1 The Minutes from the Annual General Meeting of Electors will be submitted to either the next meeting of Council or, if not practicable, the following meeting. Council will need to consider that policy and the impact it might have in relation to the overall costs. It is understood there are significant costs incurred under such a policy and Council will need to consider those before making a decision on the resolution of the AGM.

DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST/INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY

REPORTS

FINANCE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

ITEM 1	ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS - [55264]1
ITEM 2	PERSONAL COMPUTER REPLACEMENT 2001/2002 - [38510]14
ITEM 3	LOT 118 MINDARIE/TAMALA PARK – MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT - [41196]18
ITEM 4	MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD ON 5 OCTOBER AND 17 OCTOBER 2001 - [00906]21
ITEM 5	ART COLLECTION WORKING PARTY - [14158]24
ITEM 6	MINUTES OF JOONDALUP EISTEDDFOD WORKING PARTY - [50027]26
ITEM 7	MINUTES OF JOONDALUP YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETINGS – OCTOBER 2001 - [38245]
ITEM 8	COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AMENDMENTS - [40958] [05019] [29094] [55511] [05396] [44818] [29109]
ITEM 9	"DRUGS: NATIONAL PROBLEM, LOCAL SOLUTIONS" CONFERENCE - [09047]30
ITEM 10	TENDER 013-01/02 TWO SKID STEER LOADERS - [49510]32
ITEM 11	HILLARYS PRE-SCHOOL LEASE RENEWAL - [20165]

INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT

ITEM 12 CONTRACT 048 99/00 SUPPLY AND APPLICATION OF PESTICIDES AND CONTRACT 030-99/00 SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF PREMIXED CONCRETE - [35821] [47258].......37

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM 15 2002 ROYAL AUSTRALIAN PLANNING INSTITUTE NATIONAL CONGRESS – [09047]46

ii

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR J HOLLYWOOD – PROVISION OF SECURITY AND PATROLS	
SERVICES – TENDER 04-01/02 – [55477]	54

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

CLOSURE

ITEM 1 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS - [55264]

1

WARD - All

SUMMARY

The City's Annual General meeting of Electors was held on 15 October 2001 in accordance with Section 5.27 of the Local Government Act 1995. The Act requires that all decisions made at an Electors' Meeting if practicable are to be considered at the next ordinary meeting of Council. This report details each of the motions passed at the Electors' Meeting and provides comments and a suggested response to each matter raised.

BACKGROUND

The City's Annual General Meeting of Electors was held on 15 October 2001 in accordance with Section 5.27 of the Local Government Act 1995. The meeting was attended by approximately 57 members of the public and 1 member of the press, with a total of seven (7) motions passed at the meeting. The minutes of that meeting form Attachment 1 hereto.

Decisions made by electors at an Electors' Meeting are the recommendations of those electors present, on the matters discussed and considered at the meeting. Like recommendations made at Council committee meetings, they are not binding on the Council. However, the Council must consider them.

Section 5.33 of the Local Government Act 1995 detailed below covers this matter:

Decisions made at Electors' Meetings

- 5.33 (1) All decisions made at an Electors' Meeting are to be considered by the Council at the next ordinary council meeting or, if this is not practicable
 - (a) at the first ordinary council meeting after that meeting; or
 - (b) at a special meeting called for that purpose,

whichever happens first.

(2) If at a meeting of the Council a local government makes a decision in response to a decision made at an Electors' Meeting, the reasons for the decision are to be recorded in the minutes of the Council Meeting.

DETAILS

The motions passed at the Annual General Meeting of Electors are set out in *italics* followed by a comment and suggested course of action as to how each matter should be dealt with.

MOTION 1

MOVED Mr Tony Kay, SECONDED Mr Alan Hogarth that the City of Joondalup INTRODUCES a policy for the development of synthetic bowling greens within the City of Joondalup and establishes a cost ratio that each party will have to contribute to the total cost to establish these greens.

The Motion was Put and

Comment

In order to establish the requirements of all tennis, bowling and hockey clubs for synthetic grass facilities and the facility guidance being provided by the respective sports governing bodies a consultation process will be undertaken. The outcomes of this process will be reported to Council together with a potential strategy regarding synthetic grass surfaces and sporting clubs. It is anticipated that this consultation will be finalised and reported as part of the 2002/2003 Budget preparation process, to ensure that the information can be integrated in the City's planning for facilities provision .

Recommended Response

It is recommended that the consultation process undertaken regarding synthetic grass playing surfaces be finalised and reported to Council as part of the 2002/2003 Budget preparation process.

MOTION 2

MOVED Mr M Sideris SECONDED Mr S Magyar that all minutes of Annual General Meetings of Electors be PLACED on the City's website.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Comment

The Council has for some time included its minutes, briefing papers and agendas on its web page, with minutes accessible back to 1991. Electors' meetings can be included on the web site.

Recommended Response

It is recommended that Council AGREES to include all agendas and minutes of Annual and Special meetings of Electors on its web site.

CARRIED

MOTION 3

MOVED Mr S Magyar SECONDED Mr K Zakrevsky that the electors call upon Council to acknowledge the importance of Section 18 of the Interpretations Act in relation to the second public question time and that Council conforms to its own Standing Orders and allows a second public question time at all ordinary meetings of Council.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

<u>Comment</u>

The Standing Orders Local Law of the City, like any other piece of legislation or local law, is subject to the Interpretations Act 1984. Clause 3.2 of the Standing Orders Local Law allows the Council, by resolution, to alter the order of business. The Council has previously resolved an order of business that is reflected on the agendas that are distributed for each ordinary meeting of the Council. This order does not include a second period of public question time prior to the closure of the meeting. The Local Government Act 1995 only requires a local government to hold a period of public question time for members of the public at the commencement of a meeting.

Legal advice has been sought on at least two previous occasions on the legality of the Council's decision to alter its order of business from that detailed within the Standing Orders Local Law. The advice confirms that the Council is able to alter its order of business by resolution, for an ordinary meeting.

Following that advice, Mr Magyar, whilst serving office as an elected member of the City, raised the issue of the deletion of the additional segment of public question time under Clause 3.2 and the impact of such a decision on the last paragraph of Clause 2.4, and the relevance of Section 18 of the Interpretations Act 1984.

Further advice was sought to clarify the issues raised by former Councillor Magyar, which confirmed the original advice.

Subsequent to that, Mr Magyar requested that independent legal advice be obtained (from a firm other than one contracted on the City's legal advisers' panel) to provide advice on the matter. Advice was then subsequently sought which confirmed the original advice.

Public consultation was recently sought on the new set of Standing Orders Local Law which details a revised order of business. At the close of public consultation, one submission was received. A report will be presented to the Council in due course.

Recommended Response

It is recommended that Council:

1 NOTES the importance of all relevant legislation applicable to local government, including the Interpretations Act 1984;

2 **REVIEWS** the order of business, including the possible provision of an additional period of public question time prior to the closure of the meeting, as part of the overall review of the proposed draft Standing Orders Local Law.

MOTIONS 4 AND 5

MOVED Mr V Cusack SECONDED Mr R de Gruchy that we the electors of the City of Joondalup request Council to make the following change to public question time:

1 that ratepayers must be allowed to make statements during question time or alternatively a specific time be set aside at the end of question time for statements which is a practice allowed for in a number of other Councils.

The Motion was Put and

MOVED Mr V Cusack SECONDED Mr R de Gruchy that we the electors of the City of Joondalup request Council to make the following change to public question time:

1 there must be no interjection from Councillors at question time unless the question directly affects a particular Councillor. The responsibility to control question time resides solely with the chief elected representative, which in this case is the Mayor.

The Motion was Put and

Comment

Section 5.24 of the Local Government Act 1995 and Regulations 5, 6 and 7 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 prescribe the procedures for the conduct of public question time.

Clauses 2.4 and 3.4 of the City's Standing Orders Local Law also details procedures for the conduct of public question time.

Section 5.24 states:

- 5.24: (1) Time is to be allocated for questions to be raised by members of the public and responded to at:
 - (a) every ordinary meeting of a council; and
 - (b) such other meetings of councils or committees as may be prescribed.
 - (2) Procedures and the minimum time to be allocated for the asking of and responding to questions raised by members of the public at council or committee meetings are to be in accordance with regulations.

CARRIED

CARRIED

Administration Regulations 5 - Question time for the public at certain meetings - states:

- 5 For the purposes of Section 5.24(1)(b), the meetings at which time is to be allocated for questions to be raised by members of the public and responded to are:
 - (a) every special meeting of a council;
 - (b) every meeting of a committee to which the local government has delegated a power or duty.

Administration Regulation 6 - Minimum question time for the public - states:

- 6 (1) The minimum time to be allocated for the asking of and responding to questions raised by members of the public at ordinary meetings of councils and meetings referred to in regulation 5 is 15 minutes.
 - (2) Once all the questions raised by members of the public have been asked and responded to at a meeting referred to in subregulation (1), nothing in these regulations prevents the unused part of the minimum question time period from being used for other matters.

Administration Regulation 7 - Procedures for question time for the public – states:

- 7 (1) Procedures for the asking of and responding to questions raised by members of the public at a meeting referred to in regulation 6(1) are to be determined:
 - (a) by the person presiding at the meeting; or
 - (b) in the case where the majority of members of the council or committee present at the meeting disagree with the person presiding, by the majority of those members,

having regard to the requirements of subregulations (2) and (3).

- (2) The time allocated to the asking of and responding to questions raised by members of the public at a meeting referred to in regulation 6(1) is to precede the discussion of any matter that requires a decision to be made by the council or the committee, as the case may be.
- (3) Each member of the public who wishes to ask a question at a meeting referred to in regulation 6(1) is to be given an equal and fair opportunity to ask the question and receive a response.
- (4) Nothing in subregulation (3) requires:
 - (a) a council to answer a question that does not relate to a matter affecting the local government;

- (b) a council at a special meeting to answer a question that does not relate to the purpose of the meeting; or
- (c) a committee to answer a question that does not relate to a function of the committee.

The relevant clauses of the Standing Orders Local Law complement the Local Government Act 1995 relating to procedures of public question time.

Standing Order 2.4 – Public Participation - states:

Members of the public are welcome to attend all Council meetings and any committee meetings open to the public. Copies of the Council and committee agendas are available in all Council libraries and at the Administration Centre, prior to the meetings (except those of the Policy committee).

Certain documents within the agenda may be marked 'NOT FOR PUBLICATION' and members of the public will not have access to these reports. These documents will be discussed behind closed doors for confidential reasons and the public will be asked to leave the room. A meeting of the Council or a committee may go behind closed to discuss matters that deal with the personal affairs of any person, employee/s, contracts, legal advice, trade information, or lawful investigations. The reason to go behind closed doors will be stated at the time and recorded in the minutes. The Chairperson will read out any decisions made behind closed doors upon the meeting being reopened to the public.

The role of the Chairperson is to preside at the meeting and to maintain order. Members of the public are not permitted to interrupt or enter into any conversation during the meeting.

At the beginning of each meeting the public will have a fifteen minute period of public question time. The public are encouraged to ask questions and requested to put their questions in writing prior to the meeting, to allow time for research and the provision of a more detailed answer.

The Chairperson will endeavour to have every question answered, however if this is not possible the question will be taken on notice and a written answer will be supplied to the question and included on the agenda for the next meeting. Questions should relate to the business of the City.

At the end of each Council meeting an additional segment of 15 minutes question time is allowed to permit the public to ask questions on decisions made at that meeting.

Standing Order 3.4 – Public Question Time – states:

At the beginning of each Council meeting and any committee meeting open to the public, there will be a fifteen minute segment of public question time. Questions should be submitted prior to the meeting, in writing where possible.

The chairman will be responsible for the conduct of public question time. Questions should relate to the business of the Council and should not be in the form of a statement or a personal opinion.

The matter of public question time has been subject to review by the Council. At its meeting held on 14 August 2001, it was resolved that:

Council TRIALS the following procedure for public question time at Council Meetings and Briefing Sessions for a period of six months:

"Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session which is opened to the public.

Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.

The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.

To enable <u>prompt</u> and <u>detailed</u> responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.

Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.

The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:

- accept or reject the question;
- nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or
- determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.

The following rules apply to question time:

- question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.
- questions should properly relate to Council business.

7

- question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.
- questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;
- where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting."
- 2 the standard advertisement in the local paper advertising the forthcoming Council meetings and Briefing Sessions be amended to include a summary of the procedure to ask questions;
- 3 at the conclusion of the six (6) month trial as detailed in (1) above, Council REQUESTS a further report evaluating the revised procedures relating to public question time."

The Act, regulations and Standing Orders Local Law are quite clear on the procedures to be followed, with the Mayor/Chairman being responsible for the conduct of public question time. Questions should not be in the form of a statement and should relate to the business of the Council. The Act requires for a summary of the question asked and the response given to be recorded in the minutes.

Recommended Response

It is recommended that Council REAFFIRMS its decision of 14 August 2001, being that:

"Council TRIALS the following procedure for public question time at Council Meetings and Briefing Sessions for a period of six months:

"Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session which is opened to the public.

Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.

The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.

To enable <u>prompt</u> and <u>detailed</u> responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting. Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.

The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:

- accept or reject the question;
- nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or
- determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.

The following rules apply to question time:

- question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.
- questions should properly relate to Council business.
- question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.
- questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;
- where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting."

MOTION 6

MOVED Cr Baker SECONDED Cr O'Brien that the ten lots of land owned by the City of Joondalup in Merrifield Place, Mullaloo NOT BE SOLD and be retained by the City of Joondalup for the benefit of the community.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Comment

Lots 7 to 11, 29 to 32, and 35 Merrifield Place Mullaloo were purchased by the then Shire of Wanneroo in the 1970s. The Minutes relating to this indicated that the intention was to stop them being developed because of concerns regarding beach erosion, and to preserve access to the beach. Since acquiring the land, the Council has not taken any action to rezone or reclassify the lots in any way. It may be appropriate before making a decision on the future of the lots, to give consideration to the issues relating to their acquisition, including:

- the stability of the land;
- the effect any development would have on natural beach processes;
- the legal position in relation to the status of the land;
- the environmental/conservation value of the land;
- community attitudes regarding the land; and
- the impact of any decision on the City's assets and operations;
- the Joint Commissioners' determination on the valuation placed on these lots.

The lots are owned by the City in fee simple and their status cannot be changed without a decision of the Council. This is an important issue for the Council and it is strongly recommended that the Council give consideration to the matters listed above.

Recommended Response

It is recommended that Council TAKES NO ACTION regarding the status or disposal of Lots 7 to 11, 29 to 32, and 35 Merrifield Place, Mullaloo until it has considered matters relating to:

- the stability of the land;
- the effect any development would have on natural beach processes;
- the legal position in relation to the status of the land;
- the environmental/conservation value of the land;
- community attitudes regarding the land; and
- the impact of any decision on the City's assets and operations;
- the Joint Commissioners' determination on the valuation placed on these lots.

MOTION 7

MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Kimber that Council gives a VOTE OF THANKS to all staff of the City of Joondalup for their dedication to and efforts for this Council and this community in 2000/2001.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Comment

The vote of thanks from the Council was communicated to all members of staff on 16 October 2001.

Recommended Response

It is recommended that Council ENDORSES the vote of thanks communicated to all members of staff on 16 October 2001.

Correction to Minutes

The Minutes of the Annual General Meeting of Electors are submitted for noting by Council and form Attachment 1 hereto.

Following distribution of these minutes, it has been noted that the last sentence of Answer 6 on Page 5 is incorrect: This sentence should read:

"The reason for that is within the budget last year, **15,000** was estimated for services undertaken; the overall figure was about **5,500**, hence the additional revenues over expenditures which is transferred into this Reserve."

RECOMMENDATION

That:

1 the Minutes of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 15 October 2001, and forming Attachment 1 to this report be NOTED, subject to the following correction:

Page 5: Answer 6: Last sentence: "\$15,000" and "\$5,500" be amended to read "15,000" and "5,500" respectively;

- 2 in response to the Annual General Meeting of Electors held 15 October 2001 Council:
 - (a) NOTES Motion 1 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and AGREES that the consultation process undertaken regarding synthetic grass playing surfaces be finalised and reported to Council as part of the 2002/2003 Budget preparation process;
 - (b) NOTES Motion 2 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and AGREES to include all agendas and minutes of Annual and Special meetings of Electors on its web site;
 - (c) (i) NOTES Motion 3 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors:
 - (ii) NOTES the importance of all relevant legislation applicable to local government, including the Interpretations Act 1984;
 - (iii) **REVIEWS** the order of business, including the possible provision of an additional period of public question time prior to the closure of the meeting, as part of the overall review of the proposed draft Standing Orders Local Law;

11

(d) NOTES Motions 4 and 5 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and REAFFIRMS its decision of 14 August 2001, being that:

"Council TRIALS the following procedure for public question time at Council Meetings and Briefing Sessions for a period of six months:

"Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session which is opened to the public.

Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.

The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.

To enable <u>prompt</u> and <u>detailed</u> responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.

Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.

The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:

- accept or reject the question;
- nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or
- determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.

The following rules apply to question time:

- question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.
- questions should properly relate to Council business.

- question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.
- questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;
- where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting."
- (e) NOTES Motion 6 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and TAKES NO ACTION regarding the status or disposal of Lots 7 to 11, 29 to 32, and 35 Merrifield Place, Mullaloo until it has considered matters relating to:
 - the stability of the land;
 - the effect any development would have on natural beach processes;
 - the legal position in relation to the status of the land;
 - the environmental/conservation value of the land;
 - community attitudes regarding the land; and
 - the impact of any decision on the City's assets and operations;
 - the Joint Commissioners' determination on the valuation placed on these lots.
- (f) NOTES that Motion 7 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and ENDORSES the vote of thanks communicated to all members of staff on 16 October 2001;
- 3 the mover and seconder of each motion of the Annual General Meeting of Electors be ADVISED of the relevant outcomes, with the appropriate actions to be taken.

Appendix 1 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach1abrf131101.pdf</u> <u>Attach1bbrf131101.pdf</u>

ITEM 2 PERSONAL COMPUTER REPLACEMENT 2001/2002 - [38510]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

The City's personal computers are currently replaced under a three-year rolling replacement program. Under this program up to one third of PCs are replaced each year.

An amount of \$175,000 was budgeted for PC and notebook replacement for 2001/02 based on 85 PCs @ \$1,850 per unit and 3 notebooks @ \$4,500 per unit.

An evaluation has now been completed of the quotations from various suppliers to provide the City of Joondalup with the 85 PCs it requires for the 2001/02 financial year. The procurement process has utilised the Department of Industry and Technology's Contract Number 40499 "Personal Computers" for the supply of PCs.

Council approval is now sought for the purchase of the 85 PCs from Nimrod Computers for a total cost of \$145,501 excluding GST.

BACKGROUND

The City's personal computers are currently replaced under a three-year rolling replacement program. Under this program up to one third of PCs are replaced each year. This is based on the IT industry standard of three years useful life for a PC in a business environment.

The rolling nature of the PC replacement program is designed to keep the City's inventory of PCs at an appropriate standard to operate the necessary corporate systems, to keep all equipment current and under full warranty, and also to spread funding needs over multiple years.

For the 2001/2002 financial year 85 PCs have been scheduled for replacement.

Over the last few years the City has been purchasing PCs from suppliers qualified under a Department of Industry and Technology panel contract. This contract provides the City with access to all the major suppliers of PCs and allows the City to obtain competitive prices on specific equipment with lower administrative costs than under a full tender process.

An amount of \$175,000 was budgeted for PC and notebook replacement for 2001/02 based on:

85 PCs @ \$1,850 per unit 3 notebooks @ \$4,500 per unit

Council approval is now sought for the purchase of the 85 PCs as the total cost will exceed \$100,000. The purchase of the 3 notebooks will proceed separately as a minor purchase.

DETAILS

The Department of Industry and Technology has established a number of whole of government panel contracts which local governments may use. This includes Contract Number 40499 "Personal Computers" for the supply of PCs. There are 21 Contractors qualified to supply PCs under this contract.

An evaluation has now been completed of the quotations from various suppliers to provide the City of Joondalup with the 85 PCs it requires for the 2001/02 financial year. The selection process used was as follows:

- □ The overall process was planned and executed in accordance with the Buyers Guide prescribed by the Department of Industry and Technology for Contract Number 40499.
- □ A specification for the equipment was prepared (see below).
- □ The documentation issued to suppliers was agreed with the Manager Contract Management to ensure the City fully complied with the prescribed process and any overriding procurement considerations required by the City. An evaluation criteria was also agreed (see below).
- □ All 21 contractors registered for Contract Number 40499 were invited to submit quotations based on the detailed specification. Of these 14 contractors submitted written quotations and 7 failed to respond.
- □ Based on the predetermined evaluation methodology a preliminary shortlist of five suppliers was identified. From this list it was then determined that the PCs from the two highest scoring suppliers should undergo further in-house evaluation and testing.
- □ Representative PCs from Nimrod Computers and CDM were provided to Information Services and evaluation and testing of the equipment was performed. This testing confirmed that Nimrod was the best overall equipment based on the evaluation criteria.
- A detailed evaluation report was prepared by the technical evaluation team, consisting of the Help Desk Co-ordinator, NT Administrator and IT Support Officer. The conclusions of the evaluation team were unanimous and were reviewed by the Manager Information Services.

Specification

Suppliers were asked to quote on a baseline PC with the following minimum configuration:

Intel Pentium IV 1.5Mhz 128 MB memory 20Gb disk drive 32Mb graphics card Microsoft mouse and keyboard Microsoft Windows Keyboard PS/2 Midi Tower Cabinet 3 years on site warranty Suppliers were also asked to provide the costs for the following options:

Upgrade to 256Mb memory Upgrade to 512Mb memory 17" monitor Network card CD ROM CD Burner DVD Drive

Selection Criteria

The following selection criteria was used:

- Competitive price
- Demonstrated capacity to meet service needs
- Conformance with specification
- Overall value for money
- Willingness to perform additional works

Recommended Purchase Configuration

Intel Pentium IV 1.5Mhz 512 MB memory 20Gb disk drive 32Mb graphics card 17" monitor Microsoft mouse and keyboard Microsoft Windows Keyboard PS/2 Midi Tower Cabinet 3 years on site warranty

Unit price \$1,518.18 ex GST.

OEM License

Under Microsoft licensing arrangements the City is required to purchase an OEM operating systems licence (Windows 98SE) at \$193.60 ex GST per unit.

Disposal of Equipment

Of the 85 PCs to be replaced, approximately 30 of the higher specification PCs will be recycled for use within the City's Libraries for public use and the remainder will be disposed of at auction.

COMMENT/FUNDING

A cost benefit analysis was undertaken on the cost effectiveness of either leasing or purchasing these computers. The model was developed taking cognisance of the opportunity cost on the funds employed and also the residual value at the end of three years.

The analysis came out in favour of the buy option.

Account No:	11-10-18-184-6721-F342
Budget Item:	Corporate PC Replacement
Budget Amount:	\$175,000
Actual Cost:	\$145,501

RECOMMENDATION

That:

- 1 Council APPROVES the purchase of 85 personal computers from Nimrod Computers at \$1,711.78 per unit;
- 2 total expenditure of \$145,501 to be charged to Budget Item 11-10-18-184-6721-F342 PC Corporate PC Replacement Program.

s:\documents\pc replacement 2001-02.doc

ITEM 3 LOT 118 MINDARIE/TAMALA PARK – MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT - [41196]

WARD - All

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The owners of Lot 118 (formerly Lot 17), the Cities of Joondalup, Perth, Stirling, and Wanneroo and the Towns of Cambridge, Victoria Park, and Vincent propose to develop Lot 118. The scope and length of the project will span many years. To enable the project to proceed on a sound basis it is proposed that a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is entered into. The MOU outlines principles that, once agreed, will allow the preparation of a Joint Venture Agreement to proceed with confidence.

BACKGROUND

In April 2000 (CJ098-04/00 refers), the City considered a report on the proposed Structure Plan for Lot 118 Mindarie/Tamala Park and resolved:

- "1 AUTHORISES the formal submission of the Structure Plan prepared by The Planning Group with the City of Wanneroo in accordance with Part 10 of the City of Wanneroo Town Planning Scheme No. 1;
- 2 AUTHORISES the joint owners to seek acceptable compensation, without any delay, for any land reserved as a consequence of the MRS Amendment 992/33 or Bush Plan;
- 3 AUTHORISES negotiations to realize the maximum capital return to the communities whilst protecting areas of environmental sensitivity;
- 4 *REQUESTS the preparation of a Strategic, Business and Action Plans for Lot 17 covering a five year period;*
- 5 SEEKS a further report recommending a suitable legal vehicle for dealing with joint ownership issues."

A Structure Plan was subsequently advertised for public comment and has been formally deferred, at the request of the joint owners, pending resolution of a number of matters including Bush Forever negotiations and issues raised during the advertising period.

This report deals with the matter of a suitable legal vehicle for dealing with joint ownership issues.

The development of Lot 118 is a major project staged over many years and involving considerable sums of money. The project encompasses an area of 432 hectares and comprises residential and commercial development, as well as areas for conservation and public use purposes.

A project of this complexity requires a sound decision-making process that can respond to issues quickly.

The management of the project within the Local Government framework is a critical issue and becomes a rather more difficult exercise with seven owners.

Solicitors were engaged by the owners to advise on a management structure that will allow the project to be effectively managed.

It is proposed the first stage, in arriving at a suitable management structure is to agree on some fundamental principles that will be expanded into a detailed Agreement between the parties.

These principles are set out in Clause 3 of the attached draft Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (Attachment A refers).

DETAILS

The draft MOU proposes that the Joint Venture shares will be based on the level of ownership in the land and that the liability of each of the parties will be several and not joint. In other words, the extent of any liability will be limited to the degree of ownership.

Management Committee

A Management Committee, consisting of one representative appointed by each of the parties, is proposed. The role of the Committee will be to oversee the project, (in accordance with approved operating programs and budgets) engage a Project Manager, ensure appropriate insurances are in place and undertake other matters that may be agreed by the owners.

Voting

It is proposed that each party shall have a number of votes in relation to its Joint Venture Share and that all decisions will be made by a two-thirds majority of votes. The Wanneroo City Council has recently resolved not to support secondary waste treatment on the site and had sought to include a provision that required a unanimous decision for any proposals to establish a secondary waste treatment facility on the land. However, it was the general consensus of the Chief Executive Officers representing the other joint owners, that the ability of any single owner to veto decisions regarding the use and development of the land was not acceptable.

MOU – Joint Venture Agreement

The purpose of the MOU is to provide confidence in proceeding to the next phase of developing a more detailed Joint Venture Agreement.

Tamala Park Land Transfer Act 2001

The Tamala Park Land Transfer Act was gazetted on 28 August 2001.

The Act, provided for the transfer of shares in the land by the Registrar of Titles, from the City of Perth's shareholding to the Towns of Cambridge, Victoria Park and Vincent. This transfer was recently effected and a new title issued. The new Lot number is Lot 118 (previously Lot 17).

COMMENT/FUNDING

The scale and magnitude of the development of Lot 118, and the number of landowners requires that an Agreement is established between the owners so that the project can proceed on a sound and efficient basis where risks are minimised.

There is some urgency in agreeing management arrangements. Issues that face the owners in the next few months include:

- Land tenure arrangements and use of land currently leased to Mindarie Regional Council (subject of separate report on this agenda);
- Finalisation of a Bush Forever negotiations;
- Finalisation and approval of the Structure Plan;
- Appointment of a development partner.

All of these matters require co-ordination between the parties and certainty of process.

Agreement to the proposed MOU will enable the owners to confidently proceed with the preparation of a Joint Venture Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 AGREES to enter into the Lot 118 Joint Venture Memorandum of Understanding which establishes principles for the development of a Joint Venture Agreement with the Cities of Joondalup, Perth, Stirling and Wanneroo and the Towns of Cambridge, Victoria Park and Vincent;
- 2 **AUTHORISES the signing and sealing of the Memorandum of Understanding.**

Appendix 2 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach2brf131101.pdf

ITEM 4 MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD ON 5 OCTOBER AND 17 OCTOBER 2001 - [00906]

WARD - All

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee met on 5 and 17 October 2001 and the minutes of the meetings are submitted for noting by Council and endorsement of relevant motions. The committee meeting held on 5 October 2001 was an informal meeting.

BACKGROUND

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 5 October 2001 met primarily to invite community representative nominees to present to the committee regarding their nomination to the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee. Due to a quorum not being reached, the meeting was informal and the minutes are submitted to Council for noting.

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 17 October 2001 discussed a range of items including:

- A recommendation to Council regarding the appointment of community representatives to the committee.
- The committee work plan.
- Greenhouse gas emission reduction target setting, Community consultation.
- Committee forum to investigate and prepare a Sustainability report for the committee.
- Investigations regarding a potential project regarding bus shelters.
- Precinct planning.

DETAILS

The minutes of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee held on 5 and 17 October 2001 are included as Attachment 1.

The committee requested that a brief overview be provided to Council, for each of the nominees recommended to be appointed to the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee. Nominee profiles are provided below:

Mr Vincent Cusack

Mr Cusack is employed as a part time lecturer at Edith Cowan University. He graduated in 1999 with a first class Honours degree in politics and government and is presently studying a PhD. Key areas of interest include climate change and the Kyoto Protocol, and the Pangea Resources Pty Ltd high level radioactive waste disposal proposal. Community membership includes treasurer of the South Ward Ratepayers and Municipal Electors Association, and member of City of Joondalup Combined Residents Group.

Mr Will Carstairs

Mr Carstairs has resided in Joondalup for the previous 6 years. He is a self employed painting contractor, and is a member and Site Manager of the Friends of Yellagonga. Mr Carstairs has completed a bush regeneration course run by APACE WA (Inc) and is a member of the City of Wanneroo Conservation Advisory Committee.

Mr Steve Magyar

Mr Magyar was appointed in July 1993 to the then City of Wanneroo Environmental Advisory Committee as a community representative. He served on the City of Joondalup Environmental Advisory Committee, December 1999 to May 2001, and was elected chairperson to the committee in early 2000. He has actively participated in many community groups at various times, including Friends of Yellagonga Regional Park, Friends of Hepburn and Pinnaroo Bushland and Joondalup Coast Care Forum. His key areas of interest include economic, social and environmental sustainability issues.

Mr Rajendra Kurup

Mr Kurup is principal consultant of Environmental Engineers International and is an adjunct lecturer at Murdoch University (Division of Science and Engineering). His key areas of interest include environmental engineering, pollution control and sustainable development. Mr Kurup is a member of several professional bodies including Waste Management Association of Australia, Institution of Engineers of Australia, and Australian Conservation Foundation.

Committee Membership and Quorum

The committee discussed approaches for appropriate community representation and the need for ensuring that meetings achieve quorums on a regular basis. It was concluded that appropriate community representation and quorum requirements should be specified by an amendment to the terms of reference to the committee. This will assist in establishing clear and practical parameters for the operation of the committee.

Section 5.19 of the Local Government Act 1995 states that a quorum of a Council or committee meeting is to be 50% whether those members are vacant or not.

Section 5.15 of the Act allows for a Council to reduce the number of officers required of a committee for a quorum. This section of the Act only allows the Council to reduce the numbers for a quorum for a specific meeting when a quorum will not be achieved.

The Council does not have the power to reduce the number required for a quorum on an indefinite basis. If the Committee is finding it difficult to achieve a quorum, then the Committee or the Council may desire to review the membership.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 NOTES the minutes of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee meetings held on 5 October and 17 October 2001 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;
- 2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY ENDORSES the appointment of the following community representatives to the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee;
 - Mr Vincent Cusack;
 - Mr Will Carstairs;
 - Mr Steve Magyar;
 - Mr Rajendra Kurup.
- **3** AMENDS the terms of reference of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee to:
 - (a) set a maximum number of committee members at 15;
 - (b) require a minimum of two community representatives to be present at committee meetings;
- 4 ADVISES the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee that the quorum for the Committee must remain at 50% in accordance with the Local Government Act 1995.

Appendix 3 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach3abrf131101.pdf</u> <u>Attach3bbrf131101.pdf</u>

JG/ah/wp

ITEM 5 ART COLLECTION WORKING PARTY - [14158]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

A meeting of the Art Collection Working Party was held on 18 October 2001 and the unconfirmed minutes are submitted for noting by Council.

At the Briefing Session held 4 September 2001, it was requested that a review be undertaken in consultation with all community art groups and professional artists in relation to entry and selection criteria for participation in the Invitation Art Award. It was also requested that feedback on the comments made in the 2001 Invitation Art Award visitor's book also be provided.

The Art Collection Working Party considered this matter at its meeting held 18 October 2001 and noted a briefing paper on this matter that had been considered by Council at its briefing session held 5 September 2000 which resulted in no changes being made to either art award.

Community feedback from the Invitation Art Award gathered through comments made in the visitors book indicated that there is significant community support for the invitation as follows:

- 216 Positive (78%)
- 37 Neutral (13%)
- 23 Negative (8%)

BACKGROUND

In February 2000, following various proposals in relation to the Invitation Art Award and Community Art Award, a review was conducted of the entry criteria and management of the City of Joondalup's art exhibitions in consultation with local community arts organisations.

The review indicated that no changes should be made to the current format of either art exhibition. A report on this matter was considered by Council at a briefing session held 5 September 2000.

The City's two annual art exhibitions were reviewed through consultation with local visual art groups. Among other issues, consultation explored the question of entry and selection criteria for each exhibition.

The groups considered that the Invitation Art Award should continue in its present format and that artists throughout West Australia should be invited to exhibit. It was considered that having local artists exhibit in the Invitation Art Award was very worthwhile but needed to be based on merit. Consultation indicated that the Invitation Award was highly commended by the arts community. Artists aspire to receive an invitation to take part.

The Art Society members commented that they appreciated the opportunity to see work produced by the best West Australian artists being exhibited in the City and that it inspired their members.

The feedback from the local arts organisations suggests a high level of support for the two Art Awards to continue to be conducted by the City under the current format. The Art Awards are meeting a need in the local arts communities and, in discussions with the groups, there is a strong desire for these projects to continue and to strengthen their relationship with the City.

DETAILS

Community feedback from the Invitation Art Award gathered through comments made in the visitors book indicated that there is significant community support for the invitation as follows:

- 216 Positive (78%)
- 37 Neutral (13%)
- 23 Negative (8%)

The unconfirmed minutes of the Art Collection Working Party meeting held 18 October 2001 are included as Attachment 1.

COMMENT/FUNDING

It is considered that as a review of the Invitation Art Award and Community Art Award was conducted in 2000 and that the current format of both art awards is supported by the local community, no changes are currently required.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Art Collection Working Party held 18 October 2001 forming Attachment One to this Report;
- 2 NOTES the feedback on the comments made in the 2001 Invitation Art Award visitor's book as detailed in this Report.

Appendix 4 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach4brf131101.pdf</u>

v:\reports 2000-01\leisure&ranger\2001\11november\003.doc

ITEM 6 MINUTES OF JOONDALUP EISTEDDFOD WORKING PARTY - [50027]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

A meeting of the Joondalup Eisteddfod Working Party was held on 4 October 2001 and the unconfirmed minutes are submitted for noting by Council.

BACKGROUND

The unconfirmed minutes of the Joondalup Eisteddfod Working Party meeting held on 4 October 2001 are included as Attachment 1. All matters arising at the meeting were of an administration nature and will be handled by the City's administration.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Joondalup Eisteddfod Working Party meeting held on 4 October 2001 forming Attachment 1 to this Report.

Appendix 5 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach5brf131101.pdf</u>

v:\reports 2000-01\leisure&ranger\2001\11november\001.doc

ITEM 7 MINUTES OF JOONDALUP YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETINGS – OCTOBER 2001 - [38245]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

Meetings of the Joondalup North and South Youth Advisory Councils were held on 15 and 17 October 2001. The unconfirmed minutes of these meetings are submitted for noting by Council.

DETAILS

The unconfirmed minutes of the meeting of the Joondalup North Youth Advisory Council held on 15 October 2001 in Conference Room 1 are included as Attachment 1.

The unconfirmed minutes of the meeting of the Joondalup South Youth Advisory Council held on 17 October 2001 in Conference Room 1 are included as Attachment 2.

It should be noted that in the minutes of the Joondalup North Youth Advisory Council meeting, item (3) 'Tree Planting Proposal' recommends that an application for funds for the proposal be submitted to the City of Joondalup as part of the Community Funding Program 2001-2002.

It is inappropriate for the City of Joondalup to make an application for financial assistance to a funding program that is provided by the same organisation. This process is in any case in contravention of the Community Funding Program Guidelines.

The Youth Advisory Council will need to be advised that the correct procedure for seeking funds for potential projects is to list funding requirements for consideration as part of the normal 2002-2003 budgeting process.

No action is required from these minutes.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the:

- 1 Joondalup North Youth Advisory Council meeting held on 15 October 2001 forming Attachment 1 to this report;
- 2 Joondalup South Youth Advisory Council meeting held on 17 October 2001 forming Attachment 2 to this report.:

Appendices 6(a) and 6(b) refer

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach6abrf131101.pdf</u> Attach6bbrf131101.pdf

ITEM 8 COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AMENDMENTS - [40958] [05019] [29094] [55511] [05396] [44818] [29109]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

The Local Government Act, 1995 allows a local government to establish various committees and appoint persons to those committees in order to assist with its decision making process.

Subsequent to the recent resignations of Marilyn Beresford, Manager of Community and Health Services and Mark Stanton, Manager Leisure and Ranger Services, there is a need for the Council to consider the proposed nominations of Michael Barry and Graeme Hall, both of whom are acting in the respective positions for a period of six months, to be appointed to those committees identified in this report.

The inclusion of the new officers to the nominated committees will ensure the same level of committee support and the ongoing maintenance of committee numbers.

BACKGROUND

The Local Government Act, 1995 provides for a local government to establish various committees and appoint appropriate persons to those committees in order to assist with the decision making process. Powers exercised under Section 5.10 (4) or (5) can only be effected on the decision of an absolute majority of the local government.

The committee and its membership exists until the:

- Person no longer holds office;
- Person resigns;
- Committee is disbanded; or
- Next ordinary election day.

whichever happens first.

DETAILS

The Manager Community and Health Services, Marilyn Beresford and the Manager Leisure and Ranger Services, Mark Stanton recently tendered their resignations and have left the organisation. In the interim period, whilst the Administration structure is reviewed, the positions vacated in the Community Development Directorate have been occupied by Officers employed on six month temporary contracts.

The departure of both Managers now necessitates that their representations on various Council committees is revoked and that the Council gives consideration to the replacement nominations as follows:

COMMITTEE	PREVIOUS	NEW
	REPRESENTATIVE	REPRESENATIVE
Board of Community Vision Inc	M Beresford	M Barry
Joondalup Festival and Summer	M Stanton	G Hall
Events Committee		
Joondalup Lotteries House	M Beresford	M Barry
Strategic Advisory Committee –	M Beresford	M Barry
Youth Affairs		
Urban Animal Management	M Stanton	G Hall
Advisory Committee		
Strategic Advisory Committee –	M Beresford	M Barry
Seniors Interests		
Yellagonga Catchment Group	M Beresford	M Barry

COMMENT/FUNDING

The inclusion of Graeme Hall, Acting Manager of Leisure and Ranger Services and Michael Barry, Acting Manager of Community and Health Services, on the respective committees will provide continuity of committee assistance and will also enable the levels of committee membership to be maintained.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:

- **1 REVOKES the appointment of M Beresford and M Stanton from the following committees:**
 - Board of Community Vision Inc. M Beresford
 - Strategic Advisory Committee Youth Affairs M Beresford
 - Yellagonga Catchment Group Inc.- M Beresford
 - Joondalup Lotteries House Inc. M Beresford
 - Strategic Advisory Committee Seniors Interests M Beresford
 - Joondalup Festival and Summer Events Committee M Stanton
 - Urban Animal Management Advisory Committee M Stanton

2 **APPOINTS M Barry and G Hall to the following committees:**

- Board of Community Vision Inc. M Barry
- Strategic Advisory Committee Youth Affairs M Barry
- Yellagonga Catchment Group Inc. M Barry
- Joondalup Lotteries House Inc. M Barry
- Strategic Advisory Committee Seniors Interests M Barry
- Joondalup Festival and Summer Events Committee G Hall
- Urban Animal Management Advisory Committee G Hall

ITEM 9 "DRUGS: NATIONAL PROBLEM, LOCAL SOLUTIONS" CONFERENCE - [09047]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

A new Local Government Committee has been established and as a means to involving and informing local governments about drug issues, has initiated a conference "Drugs: National Problem, Local Solutions" to be held in Brisbane on 4 -5 December 2001.

The Conference Program will focus on local government issues and practical solutions to help better manage and reduce the impacts of drug abuse. Prominent international speakers, as well as leading Australian experts in drug policy and practice will present at the Conference.

It is recommended that the Co-ordinator Community Services attend the Conference.

BACKGROUND

A new Local Government Committee has been established as part of the Inter-Governmental Committee on Drugs. A first step towards involving and informing local governments about drug issues is the impending conference in Brisbane on 4 -5 December 2001-"Drugs: National Problem, Local Solutions" that is sponsored jointly by the Council of Capital City Lord Mayors and Brisbane City Council and supported by the Australian Local Government Association.

DETAILS

The conference will focus on local government issues and practical solutions to help better manage and reduce the impacts of drug abuse. The conference will also discuss and debate current drug policy at a national and international level, and consider future trends and options.

Prominent international speakers, as well as leading Australian experts in drug policy and practice will present at the Conference. Representatives and policy makers from Federal and State Governments, as well as key non-government agencies have also been invited to share their perspective and experience.

The estimated costs for the Co-ordinator Community Services attending the Conference are as follows:

Registration Fees	\$300
Economy Airfare	\$1,100
Accommodation	\$350
Incidentals	\$250
Total	\$2,000

Funding is available under Budget Item 'Community Services Administration-Conferences'.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The conference is particularly relevant to the work undertaken by Youth Services in dealing with young people.

Account No:	11-80-88-881-3302-0001
Budget Item:	Conference Expenses
Budget Amount:	\$2,000
Actual Cost:	\$2,000

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 APPROVES the attendance of the Co-ordinator of Community Services at the "Drugs: National Problem, Local Solutions" Conference to be held in Brisbane from 4-5 December 2001 at an estimated cost of \$2,000;
- 2 APPROVES the expenditure in (1) above to be charged to Budget Item No 11-80-88-881-3302-0001 -Conference Expenses, Community Services;
- **3 REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the Conference.**

Appendix 7 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach7brf131101.pdf

v:\reports 2000-01\comm&health\2001\november\drugs conference.doc
ITEM 10 TENDER 013-01/02 TWO SKID STEER LOADERS - [49510]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

The City's 2001/02 budget provided for the purchase of plant, as detailed in the Plant Replacement programme. Two skid steer loaders were listed for purchase, with the trade of one existing machine.

This report outlines the submissions received in relation to Tender 013-01/02. All tendered submissions were reviewed and found not to meet the specifications in the Tender. It recommends the rejection of all tenders.

The Chief Executive Officer has delegated authority to reject tenders up to \$100,000. However, as Tender 013-01/02 exceeds that figure, it requires approval of the Council.

BACKGROUND

Tender 013-01/02 pertaining to this acquisition was advertised on Saturday 15 September 2001 and closed on Wednesday 3 October 2001. The plant item to be traded was presented for inspection on 24 September 2001 and was evaluated by all prospective tenderers.

DETAILS

The City appointed four officers to form a tender evaluation panel who undertook a verification process that analysed the contents of the submissions received to the specifications as outlined in Schedule A1 of the Tender document 013-01/02.

Four suppliers of skid steer loaders submitted submissions for the supply of five different models of machine. Details of all submissions are shown on Attachment A.

The following outlines the rationale as to why each tender submission did not meet the required specifications:

- The lowest cost tender was submitted by McIntosh & Son on the New Holland LS180, however the unit offered did not meet the tender specification in the following areas:
 - 1 A net power rating of 44.8kw compared 55kw in the specification
 - 2 Side lever steering control whereas the specification sought central mounted T bar steering

Considering the points above, the McIntosh & Son tender submission was deemed by the evaluation committee to be non-conforming and is recommended for rejection.

- The second lowest cost tender was submitted by Casewest on the Case 75XT, however the unit offered did not meet the tender specification in the following areas:
 - 1 A net power rating of 50kw compared to 55kw in the specification.
 - 2 The unit has side lever steering control whereas the specification sought central mounted T bar steering

Considering the points above, the Casewest tender submission was deemed to be nonconforming and hence is recommended for rejection.

- The third lowest cost tender was submitted by McIntosh & Son on the New Holland LS190, however the unit offered did not meet the tender specification in the following areas:
 - 1 14x17.5 tyres whereas the specification called for 12x16.5 size tyres
 - 2 An optional suspension seat whereas the specification sought for mandatory fitment
 - 3 Side lever steering control whereas the specification sought central mounted T bar steering

Considering the points above, the McIntosh & Son tender submission was deemed to be nonconforming and hence is recommended for rejection.

- The fourth lowest cost tender was submitted by Skid Steer City on the Thomas 245, however the unit offered did not meet the tender specification in the following area:
 - 1 Side lever steering control whereas the specification sought central mounted T bar steering

Considering the point above, the Skid Steer City tender submission was deemed to be nonconforming and hence is recommended for rejection.

- The fifth lowest cost tender was submitted by Hitachi Construction Machinery on the John Deere 260, however the unit offered did not meet the tender specification in the following areas:
 - 1 A net power rating of 51.5kw compared to 55kw in the specification.
 - 2 Side lever steering control whereas the specification sought central mounted T bar steering

Considering the points above, the Hitachi Construction Machinery tender submission was deemed to be non-conforming and hence is recommended for rejection.

COMMENT

Four tenderers submitted prices for the supply of two machines and trade of one unit. The low number of tenders was of concern as at least eight were expected. The specifications sought 'T' bar steering which could have discouraged suppliers of conventional controlled machines to submit a tender.

The evaluation panel determined that all tenderers did not meet the required specifications and that the specifications could be altered without adversely affecting operational needs. The removal of 'T' bar steering from the specifications would allow suppliers of non 'T' Bar machines to submit tenders for consideration if a new tender was called.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- **1 DOES NOT accept any of the tenders submitted for the supply of two skid steer** loaders and the trade of one existing machine as described in Tender 013-01/02;
- 2 **APPROVES** the alteration of specifications so as to allow suppliers of conventional steering controlled machines to submit conforming tenders.

Appendix 8 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach8brf131101.pdf</u>

v:\reports\2001\rm0112.doc

ITEM 11 HILLARYS PRE-SCHOOL LEASE RENEWAL - [20165]

WARD - Whitfords

SUMMARY

The former City of Wanneroo leased purpose built buildings to the Education Department of Western Australia for a number of years to provide venues for early childhood education.

The Hillarys Pre-School Committee (now the Hillarys Community Kindergarten Incorporated) Lease of the Hillarys Pre-School at 137 Broadbeach Boulevard, Hillarys has expired. However, the Kindergarten has a continuing requirement for the premises, remains in occupation and has requested a new lease.

In view of the continued requirement and occupation it is recommended that a new lease be approved by Council.

BACKGROUND

The former City of Wanneroo purpose built early childhood venues to meet the needs of local people over a number of years in line with regional development.

On 1 June, 1996 the Hillarys Pre-School Committee (now the Hillarys Community Kindergarten Incorporated) was granted a peppercorn (\$1.00) Lease for the portion of Flinders Park Community Centre at 137 Broadbeach Boulevard, Hillarys, which is shown hatched on Attachment A.

DETAILS

The Hillarys Pre-School Centre comprises $305m^2$ of the Flinders Park Community Centre, which is situated at 137 Broadbeach Boulevard, Hillarys. The land is described as Lot 947 on Plan 20001 and being the whole of the land in Certificate of Title Volume 2011 Folio 415.

The lease at Hillarys Pre-School expired 31 May, 2001 and as the Lessee's requirement for the premises is continuing it is recommended that a new lease be approved by Council.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The issue of a rent increase was canvassed with the Pre-School. Currently the group is paying for all maintenance, painting, rates and taxes and associated expenses involved with the running of the centre. The new lease will continue this requirement as per the City's Standard Community Lease.

Funding for the Pre-School is limited to Education Grants and voluntary contributions from the parents. These moneys are also used for the running of the centre's educational program.

The proposal to continue the current rental payment has been negotiated with the Pre School. This does not reflect the true lettable value of the building, however it continues the City's support for independent early childhood education within the community.

The facility is currently used for educational purposes for the benefit of pre-school children Mondays to Thursdays during the hours of 8.45 AM to 3.00 PM.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES leasing the Hillarys Pre-School at 137 Broadbeach Boulevard, Hillarys to the Hillarys Community Kindergarten Incorporated, subject to:

- 1 the Lease being for a period of 5 years commencing 1 July 2001 with no options to renew;
- 2 the rental being \$1.00 per annum with no annual escalations;
- 3 the lease being for the purpose of a pre-school centre;
- 4 all legal costs associated with the Lease being met by the Hillarys Community Kindergarten Incorporated;
- 5 the signing and affixing of the Common Seal to the Lease between the City of Joondalup and the Hillarys Community Kindergarten Incorporated.

Appendix 9 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach9brf131101.pdf

ITEM 12 CONTRACT 048-99/00 SUPPLY AND APPLICATION OF PESTICIDES AND CONTRACT 030-99/00 SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF PREMIXED CONCRETE - [35821] [47258]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

Contract No 48-99/00 Supply and Application of Pesticides was approved at the Council meeting held 26 October 1999. Contract No 030-99/00 Supply and Delivery of Premixed Concrete was approved at the Council meeting held 22 June 1999.

The above contracts form part of the City of Joondalup Operations Services contracts and in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract, this report recommends an appropriate extension of the two contracts.

DETAILS

Contract 048-99/00 was awarded to Turfmaster Pty Ltd of Bayswater by the Joint Commissioners at the ordinary Council meeting held 26 October 1999.

In accordance with the contract period Clause 24 extension of the contract period was authorised by Council at its meeting of 23 May 2000.

The contract has provision for a further 12 months extension and the company Turfmaster Pty Ltd has confirmed their request to exercise the option.

Turfmaster Pty Ltd advise that the contract rates remain as adjusted to accommodate the GST application. See Attachment 1 Schedule of Rates.

Works performed by the company has complied with Council requirements and within the appropriate timeframes requested.

Contract 030-99/00 was awarded to BGC Concrete by the Joint Commissioners at the ordinary Council meeting of 22 June 1999.

Council at its meeting of 13 June 2000 resolved to extend the contract in accordance with the Conditions of Contract, Clause 24. There is a 12 month extension option remaining and BGC Concrete have exercised this option with no change to the Schedule of Rates approved in June 2000. See Attachment 2.

This tender provides for the supply of premixed concrete for various construction and maintenance projects. BGC Concrete has provided this service to a satisfactory standard.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The above contracts are funded by Operations Maintenance Budget as authorised or Projects funded in the Capital Works Program as authorised by Council.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council AUTHORISES the 12 month extension to 30 September, 2002 for Contract 048-99/00 - Supply and Application of Pesticides awarded to Turf Master Pty Ltd and authorises a 12 month extension to 30 September, 2002 for Contract 030-99/00 -Supply and Delivery of Premixed Concrete awarded to BGC Concrete.

Appendix 10 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach10brf131101.pdf

v:\parks\reports\ops01038

ITEM 13 UPGRADE OF VARIABLE AIR VOLUME BOXES IN THE JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION CENTRE - [06774] [04150]

WARD - Lakeside

SUMMARY

Work is required to upgrade to a digital format the controls for delivering conditioned air to all parts of the Joondalup Administration Centre. It is recommended that Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd (Landis & Staefa Division) as a sole supplier, be authorised to effect the upgrade at a cost of \$114,000.

BACKGROUND

The Joondalup Administration Centre was constructed in 1978/79. The air conditioning control system is now over 20 years old and requires upgrading.

In 1990 the first direct digital control system (DDC) in the Joondalup Administration Centre was installed by Satchwell Controls (now Invensys) to control the air conditioning for the top floor (at that time the Council Chamber and Councillors facilities) and the western end of the first floor. Over a period of years this system was expanded to offer some limited control over parts of the ground and second floors.

As part of the Millennium Bug investigations, it was found that the 1990 DDC was not Y2K compliant. Further, in the decade leading up to the expected millennium bug effect, the functionality of personal computers in air conditioning system control had improved almost exponentially.

In early 2000, the replacement of the old DDC was tendered on a specification prepared by the City's Building Services management consultants, Lincolne Scott Australia. This Contract 98-99/00 was awarded to Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd (Landis & Staefa Division) to install their *Desigo Insight* system to control the air conditioning to all three buildings in the Joondalup civic precinct.

The installation of the *Desigo Insight* system for the Administration Building highlighted the need to upgrade the system to digital control. Over 84% of the building's air delivery is still controlled by the old pneumatic system being 97 of the 115 variable air volume boxes (VAVs) in the Joondalup Administration Centre. Further, because the pneumatic control system is slowly wearing out and is no longer controlling the air distribution and temperature to optimum efficiency, Lincolne Scott was commissioned to investigate the possibility of converting the 97 VAVs and upgrading 15 of the remaining 18 VAVs to full digital control. The upgrade's feasibility was established, and an amount of \$120,000 was allowed for the capital upgrade in the 2001/02 budget.

DETAILS

The variable air volume air conditioning system in the Joondalup Administration Centre relies on a central plant to distribute heated or cooled air to all parts of the building. The system uses variable air volume as different parts of the building have different heat loads.

At present, the temperature condition of the whole building is calculated from 18 of the building's 115 VAVs. However, only 3 of these 18 have full digital functionality. These 3 VAVs provide air flow and heating information to the DDC to enable the control system to calculate the required heating or cooling. Further, 67 of the 70 VAVs with in-duct heaters do not have air flow switches, but rely on mechanical thermostats to switch off duct heaters if air flow falls below a certain point allowing the heater to become too hot. Duct heaters tripping out on overload and heater relays failing on excess current flow are constant problems in the building during winter.

The full functionality of the 3 VAVs was achieved only when *Desigo Insight* was installed, as the control of those VAVs could not be achieved without the installation of hardware that was compatible with the Siemens system. For the proposed upgrade to be effective, all digital control equipment to be installed must be compatible with Siemens' *Desigo Insight*. Such compatible equipment is manufactured and installed only by Siemens. Further, the configuration of this equipment and the upgrade of the control system front end (the "programming") can only be executed by Siemens.

Effectively, for this project upgrade Siemens is considered a sole supplier.

COMMENT/FUNDING

Lincolne Scott, the City's Building Services Management Consultants has developed a specification for the conversion and upgrade work and invited Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd (Landis & Staefa Division) to submit a price.

The work involves:

- > the conversion of 97 pneumatic VAV boxes to pressure independent digital control,
- the modification of 15 DDC controlled VAV boxes from pressure dependent to pressure independent,
- replacing existing heating relays with new relays wired so that current is distributed more evenly;
- > install air flow switches to all 115 VAV boxes with electric heater banks.

Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd has priced this work to cost \$114,000 exclusive of GST. The overall funding is as follows:

Project 4103 Joondalup Administration Centre Air Conditioning improvements		\$120,000
Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd quotation		
Conversion and modification of 115 VAV boxes	\$105,000	
New re-wired heater relays	\$ 3,000	
Air flow switches to 67 VAVs	\$ 6,000	
Total Installation Cost	\$114,000	
<i>Fees</i> <u>Fees for design, documentation and contract admini-</u>	istration	<u>\$ 7,040</u>
Total Project Expenditure	\$121,040	
Total Anticipated Shortfall	<u>(\$ 1,040)</u>	

The anticipated shortfall can be offset against the Building Services Consulting Budget.

As Siemens Building Technologies is integral to every phase of the project in that it supplies, installs and supports hardware that is compatible with its *Desigo Insight*, it can be considered as a sole supplier.

Tender Regulation 11, sub regulation 2f, permits the Council to directly purchase this equipment from Siemens Building Technologies as it is a sole supplier.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 **RECOGNISES** Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd (Landis & Staefa Division) as a sole supplier of equipment to upgrade the Joondalup Administration Centre's variable air volume boxes to full digital control;
- 2 AUTHORISES the expenditure of \$114,000 quoted by Siemens Building Technologies Pty Ltd (Landis & Staefa Division) as a sole supplier to upgrade the variable air volume boxes in the Joondalup Administration Centre to full digital control.

v:\dd\reports01\nov01\im11003.doc

ITEM 14 FINAL CONSIDERATION - AMENDMENT 9 TO DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO. 2 - LOT 55 (11) HENDERSON DRIVE, KALLAROO - NORTH SHORE COUNTRY CLUB -[62007]

WARD - Whitfords

SUMMARY

Amendment No. 9 to District Planning Scheme No. 2 (DPS 2) is brought before Council for consideration of final approval. The amendment proposes to rezone Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo (Northshore Country Club), from 'Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation' to 'Private Clubs/Recreation' (**Attachment 1**).

The reservation of the property for 'Parks and Recreation' is considered to be inappropriate due to the property's private ownership, and the limited range of permissible land uses under the 'Parks and Recreation' reservation. The 'Private Clubs/Recreation' zone is considered a more appropriate zone for the property.

Council adopted Amendment No. 9 at its meeting on the 24 July 2001 (CJ248 – 07/01). The amendment was advertised for a period of 42 days, commencing on 29 August 2001 and closing on 10 October 2001. At the closure of the advertising period, nine (9) submissions were received. Six (6) were received from government agencies and three (3) were received from members of the community. Whilst no concerns were expressed by government agencies, concerns were expressed by members of the community with respect to the future development and use of the land.

It must be acknowledged that the subject land is privately, not publicly owned, and may be developed, the same as any other privately owned property, subject to the landowner gaining the necessary approvals. The subject land does not constitute public open space.

It is recommended that Council adopts Amendment No. 9 to DPS 2 for the purpose of rezoning Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo (Northshore Country Club), from 'Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation' to 'Private Clubs/Recreation' without modification.

Lot No	55
Street Address	11 Henderson Drive, Kallaroo
Land Owner	Northshore Country Club & Residents Association Inc.
MRS Zoning	Urban
DPS Zoning	Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation
Land Use	Country Club (Club Building, Tennis Courts, Bowling Green &
	Parkland)
Lot Area	2078m2

BACKGROUND

History

Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo, was originally zoned 'Private Recreation/Clubs' under the City's previous Town Planning Scheme No. 1 (TPS 1). At some stage the TPS 1 map was changed to show the property as a Local Reserve for 'Parks and Recreation'. This change was not the result of an amendment to the Scheme and the City's records do not provide any explanation for it.

The City's DPS 2 was gazetted on the 28 November 2000 and reserved Lot 55 (11) Henderson Road, Kallaroo, for the purposes of 'Parks and Recreation'.

Previous Council Decisions

This amendment was considered and adopted by Council at its meeting on 24 July 2001 (CJ248-07/01 refers).

DETAILS

Current Proposal or Issue

Amendment No. 9 to the City's DPS 2 proposes to rezone Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo (Northshore Country Club), from Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation' to 'Private Clubs/Recreation'.

The reservation of the property for 'Parks and Recreation' is considered inappropriate due to the property's private ownership and the limited range of permissible land uses under the 'Parks and Recreation' reservation. Land reserved for 'Parks and Recreation' is generally publicly owned and is restricted in use to 'Parks and Recreation' purposes. DPS 2 states with respect to the use of Local Reserves:

"Any Local Reserve not owned by or vested in the Council may be used:

- (a) for the purpose for which the land is reserved under the Scheme;
- (b) where such land is vested in a public authority, for any purpose for which such land may be lawfully used by that authority;
- (c) for the purpose for which it was used at the Gazettal Date unless the land in the meantime has become vested in a public authority, or unless such use had been changed with the approval of the Council; or
- (d) for any purpose approved by the Council but in accordance with any conditions imposed by the Council;

but shall not be used otherwise or for any other purpose."

The Private Clubs/Recreation zone is considered a more appropriate zone for the property. The Private Clubs/Recreation zone is intended to accommodate uses such as private golf clubs, private educational, institutional and recreational activities. A wider variety of uses are, or may, at the discretion of Council, be permitted under the Private Clubs/Recreation zone as outlined in **Attachment 2**.

Following Council's resolution of 24 July 2001, the amendment was forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for consideration of the need for environmental assessment. The DEP advised that the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) had decided that the overall environmental impact of its implementation would not be severe enough to warrant assessment under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act.

The amendment was advertised for a period of 42 days, commencing on 29 August 2001 and closing on 10 October 2001. At the closure of the advertising period, nine (9) submissions were received. Six (6) were received from government agencies and three (3) were received from members of the community. The submissions have been summarised and addressed in the attached schedule (Attachment 3). Whilst no concerns were expressed by government agencies with respect to the proposal, concerns were expressed by members of the community with respect to the future development and use of the land. These concerns are outlined as follows:

Development

A desire was expressed for development on the subject land to be restricted to the existing building footprint.

Land Use

Concern was expressed with respect to the permissible and discretionary uses under the Private Clubs/Recreation zone and the detrimental impact that these would have, in terms of traffic, noise and pollution, on the amenity of the surrounding area.

Relevant Legislation

The Town Planning Regulations 1967 set out the procedures for amendment to the Town Planning Scheme. The procedure is summarised at **Attachment 4** and the current stage of the amendment has been highlighted.

COMMENT

The current reservation of Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo, for 'Parks and Recreation' is considered inappropriate due to the property's private ownership and the limited range of permissible land uses under the 'Parks and Recreation' reservation.

The 'Private Clubs/Recreation' zone is considered an appropriate zone for the property.

The following comments are made with respect to the concerns raised in the submissions:

Development

The City's DPS 2 does not allow the City to limit the future development of the land to the existing building footprint under the Private Clubs/Recreation zone. In any case, it is considered inappropriate to restrict the future development of the land to such an extent. The subject land is believed to be capable of accommodating further development with minimal impact on the amenity of the surrounding area.

Landuse

It should be noted that the Private Clubs/Recreation zone accommodates a variety of land uses such as private golf clubs, private educational, institutional and recreational activities. Not all of the discretionary uses under the Private Clubs/Recreation zone will therefore be appropriate for every site under this zone. The appropriateness of any proposed use will be considered at the time of development application.

It must be acknowledged that the subject land is privately, not publicly owned, and therefore may be developed, the same as any other privately owned property, subject to the landowner gaining the necessary approvals. The subject land does not constitute public open space.

It is recommended that Council adopts Amendment No. 9 for the purpose of rezoning Lot 55 (11) Henderson Drive, Kallaroo, to 'Private Clubs/Recreation' without modification. The amending text forms **Attachment 5**.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 Pursuant to the Town Planning Regulation 17(2) ADOPTS Amendment No 9 to the City of Joondalup's District Planning Scheme No 2 without modification;
- 2 NOTES the submissions received;
- **3 AUTHORISES the affixation of the Common Seal to, and endorses the signing of the amendment documents.**

Appendix 11 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach11brf131101.pdf</u>

v:\devserv\reports\rep0rts2001\110101sef.doc

ITEM 15 2002 ROYAL AUSTRALIAN PLANNING INSTITUTE NATIONAL CONGRESS – [09047]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

The Royal Australian Planning Institute (RAPI) Congress is the peak forum for town planning in Australia. The National Congress is an annual event, and is usually held in October of each year. The October 2000 congress was held in Sydney, and was attended by the Director Planning & Development, and Cr Judi Hurst. The 2001 Congress was held in Canberra, and although budgeted for, was not attended by any representatives of the City of Joondalup. The 2002 National Congress is to be held jointly with the New Zealand Planning Institute, and has been brought forward to April 2002 to be held in Wellington, New Zealand. This is the first joint National Congress, and will cover a number of themes relevant to the City of Joondalup. These themes cover governance, innovation, natural environment and the built environment.

In addition to the Congress, there are a number of projects being undertaken in Wellington and Auckland which parallel with the Precinct Action Planning programme currently being conducted by the City of Joondalup. The Botany Downs town centre in Greater Auckland has also been promoted as a good example of a private, stand-alone, enclosed centre which is changing toward a mixed use town centre. It is proposed that the Director Planning & Development attend the Congress and visit the local councils of Wellington and Auckland to discuss and view relevant projects and programmes. Funding has been allocated within the Planning & Development budget. It is also proposed that, in accordance with Council's practice, a report be prepared on the outcomes of the Congress and the additional visits.

BACKGROUND

The Director Planning & Development and Cr Judi Hurst attended the National Congress of the Royal Australian Planning Institute (RAPI) in Sydney in October 2000. The 2001 Congress in Canberra this year was not attended, although it was budgeted for.

At the Council meeting held on 23 October 2001, expressions of interest in attending the congress were submitted by Mayor Bombak, and Councillors Kimber, Carlos and Hollywood. At that meeting, Council resolved that:

"the matter pertaining to the RAPI 2002 Joint National Congress to be held in Wellington, New Zealand from 8-12 April 2002 be deferred."

DETAILS

The next National Congress of the RAPI is to be held jointly with the NZPI in Wellington, New Zealand, from 8-12 April 2002. This is the first joint National Congress and will cover a number of themes relevant to the City of Joondalup. Examples of the topics to be covered are:

Governance, including: business, government, the community and the environment; regional planning; getting what you want out of a consultant; and sustainable business.

Innovation, including: technology in planning; innovation in law and process; planning without rules; and strategic planning.

Natural Environment, including: risk management; natural character and landscape values; coastal environments; sustainable tourism; and managing incremental change.

Built Environment, including: urban amenity; managing urban growth; recreation; and sustainable cities.

While the final programme has not been set yet, the information currently available indicated that a key part of the programme will be a focus on local and regional government, examining trends and issues in common, and reviewing new ideas and innovation in environmental management. A special workshop for Councillors is proposed to be held, just prior to the Congress.

In addition to the Congress there are a number of projects being undertaken in Wellington and Auckland, which parallel the Precinct Action Planning programme currently being conducted by the City of Joondalup. Wellington City has commenced a ten year programme for urban centre upgrades, and an outline of the programme is attached.

The Botany Downs Town Centre in Greater Auckland is reportedly a good example of changing a private, stand-alone enclosed centre toward a mixed use town centre. It is proposed to add value to the attendance at the Congress by visiting these centres, and the local Council involved, during, and either prior to or immediately after the Congress.

The approximate cost of the conference is as follows:

Airfares:	Perth – Wellington :	\$537.00
	Wellington – Auckland	\$197.00
	Auckland – Perth	\$581.00
Accommodation:	\$1,500.00	
Registration:	\$ 500.00	
Incidental expenses	\$1,120.00 (Policy 2.2.3	allows \$140 per day to be advanced for
	overseas incid	lental expenses)
TOTAL	\$4,620.00	-

11.40.41.411.3302.0001

Conference Expenses

\$6,000

\$4,620

\$0

Director, Development & Planning

Elected Members

11.05.05.052.3521.0001 Conference Expenses \$50,000 \$25,990 (indicative) \$4,620

Funding has been allocated within the Planning & Development budget. In accordance with Council's practice, it is proposed that a report would be prepared on return from the Congress.

COMMENT/FUNDING

Account No:

Budget Item:

Actual Cost:

Budget Amount:

YTD Expenditure:

The Congress is the peak forum for town planning. Attendance at the congress provides an opportunity to listen to the experience of practitioners from Australia, New Zealand and other overseas countries. It is important to learn from these experiences and, where applicable, adapt them for use by the City.

Contact has been made with officers of both the Wellington City Council and the Auckland City Council. With a number of directly relevant projects being undertaken in these Cities, it would be an opportunity to meet with the representatives of these Councils to discuss their projects and issues of common interest.

It is proposed that the Congress be attended by the Director Planning & Development. It is also considered that it would be of value for an elected member to attend.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1 AUTHORISES:

- (a) the attendance of an Elected Member and the Director Planning & Development at the RAPI 2002 Joint National Congress to be held in Wellington, New Zealand from 8-12 April 2002, plus an additional two days, either prior to or following the Congress, to visit the Councils of Auckland and Wellington to discuss and visit projects of common interest with the City of Joondalup;
- (b) the estimated expenditure of \$9,240 to be charged as follows:

\$4,620 - Account No 11.40.41.411 3302.0001 – (Director, Planning & Development);

\$4,620 - Account No 11.05.05.052.3521.0001 – (Elected Members)

2 **REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the RAPI 2002 Joint** National Congress.

ITEM 16 TAVERN (INTERNAL REFURBISHMENT AND NEW EXTERNAL GARDEN COURT, BISTRO COURT, CHILDREN'S PLAY AREA, TOILET BLOCK, STORE AND LANDSCAPING) LOT 12 (493) BEACH ROAD, CARINE -[05518]

WARD - South Coastal

SUMMARY

The owner proposes to upgrade and refurbish part of the Carine Glades Tavern. The major renovation will result in the existing Lounge Bar $(375m^2 \text{ in area})$ being redeveloped and supplemented by an external al fresco area to create a $318m^2$ Restaurant-Bistro and Wine Bar and $390m^2$ Lounge Bar. The extended al fresco area will occupy an under-utilised garden at the north side of the tavern, and will extend around the west and east sides of the building.

The proposal presents three key aspects for consideration:

- 1 Amenity impact on nearby residents, particularly in regard to noise intrusion;
- 2 Adequacy of the existing parking area, which is not proposed to be expanded; and
- 3 Impact of management techniques on future operation and impact.

The proposal could impact on the amenity of the adjoining residential development and advertising has resulted in 43 submissions and 3 petitions being lodged.

Although a refurbishment of the tavern may be supported in principle, this proposal raises concerns which are not adequately addressed at this time. It is recommended that the proposal be deferred, pending further advice regarding the use of the al fresco area, refinement of acoustic modelling, and the submission of a management plan.

BACKGROUND

Location

The tavern forms part of the Carine Glades Commercial area, being situated at its Eastern end, adjacent to the Carine Glades residential area. Residential properties abut the subject site to the east, with the nearest dwelling being approximately 25 metres from the existing tavern building. A shopping centre and other commercial land uses abut the north and west side boundaries of the lot.

Previous Applications

Several applications have been lodged with the City for similar developments on the site. Although conditional planning approvals have been issued, the plans have not been implemented. A summary of relevant applications follows:

- **05/08/94** Proposed al fresco development conditionally approved. The proposal included conditions which required the area to be restricted to $685m^2$, located west of the existing tavern, and an additional (99) parking bays being provided and a legal agreement for reciprocal rights of parking and access between lots within the Carine Glades Commercial Centre being established. The applicant subsequently appealed (to the Minister for Planning) against some of the conditions of approval.
- **10/01/95** The Minister for Planning upheld appeal against three conditions of development approval. The Minister deleted conditions relating to the confinement of the beer garden, additional car parking bays and reciprocal access and parking agreement between the tavern and adjacent shopping centre. An additional 30 parking bays were required if upon completion of the development, parking problems were evident. The applicant did not proceed with the proposal.
- **10/12/98** An Application for development approval for dining room extension and beer garden was considered under delegated authority and was deferred. This was to enable the applicant to submit additional information satisfactorily addressing concerns relating to car parking issues and demonstrating that the proposal would not result in parking difficulties on the subject site and on adjoining properties. Options were included such as provision of additional parking bays or submission of a parking study. Dialogue over those issues was protracted and inconclusive.
- **22/09/99** Consideration was deferred under delegated authority pending applicant's advice on resolving parking shortfall and potential noise impacts.

15/06/2000 The 1998 application was refused for the following reasons:

- a) Insufficient justification provided for car parking, protection of the amenity of the adjoining residential lots and definitive details on the proposal itself;
- b) Non-compliance with Town Planning Scheme No 1 parking standards; and
- c) The proposal would be contrary to the proper and orderly planning of the locality.
- **30/10/2000** An application lodged for an al fresco addition, modifications to the existing building and additional car parking, but not progressed.
- **28/6/2001** The current application lodged with the City, superseding the application lodged on the 30/10/2000.

There is a history of complaints to the Director of Racing Gaming and Liquor Licensing (The Licensing Court) concerning noise from the tavern. The current position is that the Court has required that monitoring devices be installed to assist with managing noise generation. Council officers have been involved with this issue.

Negotiations with the proponent have been protracted, and despite best endeavours, there has been significant difficulty in arriving at agreed understanding on key issues. This has complicated the negotiation process, and the evolution of the subject application.

DETAILS

The subject application

The proposal comprises the following elements. Stated maximum patronage/occupancy levels are shown for ease of reference, and have been developed by the applicant to address questions of parking demand and acoustic performance.

It is noteworthy that the alterations could accommodate more people than those nominated below, based on usual maximum occupancy rates applied for the purpose of the Health (Public Building) Regulations.

Floorspace & Patronage						
Indoor/Outdoor Square Metres Maximum Patron						
Restaurant- Bistro	In + Out	318	120			
/Wine Bar	In					
Lounge	In + Out Seating	390 150	570 90			
	Standing	240	480			
		708	690			
Games Bar (existing)	In Seating	128 80	144 48			
	Standing	48	96			
Bottle shop (existing)		45	N/A			
		881	834			

The changes are concentrated at the rear section of the tavern, and the new al fresco area (which has been divided into zones for the purpose of the acoustic assessment) will surround the refurbished dining and lounge areas. The attached plans show the changes in some detail. Currently, the tavern has a licence for approximately $460m^2$ of floor area. The proposal would increase the licensed area to $881m^2$.

The new outdoor areas are to be surrounded by a 2-3m high limestone wall. The wall provides some noise attenuation, according to the Acoustic modelling and report.

Development Standards

The subject lot is 1.0339ha in area and is zoned Commercial under the City's District Planning Scheme No2 (DPS2). The tavern use is a "D" land use. A "D" use is one which is not permitted, but to which Council may grant its approval after having considered the items raised under clause 6.8 of DPS2 and any advertising of the proposal.

The following (abridged) extract of clause 6.8 of DPS2 is considered relevant to this application:

(*Cl* 6.8.1) *The Council when considering an application for planning approval shall have due regard to the following;*

- the interests of orderly and proper planning and the preservation of the amenity of the relevant locality;
- any relevant submissions by the applicant;
- any other matter to which, under the provisions of the Scheme, the Council is required to have due regard;
- the comments or wishes of any objectors to or supporters of the application;
- any previous decision made by the Council in circumstances which are sufficiently similar for the previous decision to be relevant as a precedent, provided that the Council shall not be bound by such precedent;
- any other matter which in the opinion of the Council is relevant.

(from cl6.8.2)

- the nature of the proposed use and its relationship to the use of other land within the locality;
- the size, shape and character of the parcel of land to which the application relates and the nature and siting of any proposed building;
- the parking facilities available or proposed and the likely requirements for parking, arising from the proposed development;

The following table	1 / 1 1 1	1 1	1 1 1	4 41	1
The following table	details develo	nment standards	that anniv	to the	nronocal
The following table	uctains uc vero	pinoni standarus	$u_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a$	to the	proposal.

		DPS No 2	Provided	Complies
		Requirement		
Setbacks	Front	9.0m	21.5m	>
	Rear	6.0m	38.0m	>
	Side	3.0m	5.0m	~
	Side	3.0m	25.0m	>
Landscaping		8%	>8%	>
	Strips	3m to roads	3m	~
	Trees per Carparking bays	1 per 4 bays	None provided, no changes are proposed as part of this application	N/A
Car Parking		209	170	A calculated shortfall of 39 bays is apparent

Detailed parking has been calculated (by the applicant) as shown in the following table. The table contains an anomaly, however this is explained below. There are currently170 bays on site. It is noted that the table would require an additional five bays on the site, if accepted by the Council.

	Indoor/Outdoor	Sqm	DPS Use Class	Patrons per sqm	Maximum Patrons	Bays per Patron	Bays per sqm	No. Bays
Restaurant	In + Out	318	Restaurant		120	0.25		30
-Bistro			1 per 4 persons			(1 per 4)		
Wine Bar	In							
Lounge	In + Out	390	Tavern/ Club		570			
-	Seating	150	Seating	0.6	90		0.2	30
	C		C				(1 per 5)	
	Standing	240	Standing	2	480		0.333	80
	0		0				(1 per 3)	
		708			690			140
Games Bar	In	128	Tavern/ Club		144			
	Seating	80	Seating	0.6	48		0.2	16
	-		_				(1 per 5)	
	Standing	48	Standing	2	96		0.333	16
	0						(1 per 3)	
Bottle shop		45	Shop		N/A		7 per	3
-			-				$100m^{2}$	
							NLA	
								35
		881			834			175

DPS2 provides two methods of calculating parking, and requires that the method which results in the greater number of bays be applied. The applicant's calculation of car parking for the Restaurant component is therefore incorrect, as the calculation has been based on 1 per 4 persons accommodated as opposed to m^2 of floor space. The method used produces a lesser number of car parking bays. Based on floor space information provided by the applicant, 64 bays would be required (for the Restaurant/Bistro/Wine Bar) rather than the applicant's suggested 30 bays. Therefore a short fall of 39 (209-170) bays is apparent.

The applicant proposes to limit patronage, and therefore, it may be reasonable to apply the lower rate, if the Council wished to contemplate approval at this time based on occupancy.

As an aside, adjoining developments in the area effectively share parking with each other, and there is an informal degree of reciprocity between the tenants of the commercial area.

Public submission period

The application was advertised for a period of 21 days (21/9/01 to 12/10/01) by way of a sign on-site and advertisements in the local paper. At the close of advertising, 43 individual letters and 2 petitions had been received.

An additional petition was received previously, in response to a superseded application.

Three of the submissions were in support of the proposal.

An overview of submissions received is provided below. A detailed summary is provided as Attachment 2 to the report.

	Description of Concern	No of times noted in submissions received
•	Loud music – live bands – perimeter walls unable to contain sound	28
•	Parking problems and additional stress on already busy shopping centre and commercial centre	25
٠	Traffic problems at entry and exit points to car parks	24
•	Increase in antisocial behaviour including drunkenness, vandalism, graffiti, littering, loitering etc.	23
•	Scale of tavern is out of keeping with the size of the area	20
٠	Affect on amenity and cost to residents in repairs (from vandalism)	14
•	Inability of noise screens to effectively contain noise	11
•	Volume of people moving from indoor to outdoor and proposal for a Beer Garden	7
•	Cost to Council to repair damage to community/park facilities due to drunkenness	4
•	Planning intentions not advertised to surrounding residents and notice on tavern and local newspaper were not clear	4
•	Needles being found in park	2
•	Negative effect on property values	2
•	In support of a refurbishment and upgrade of facilities	14
•	Council should purchase property and replace it with a civic facility	1
•	In support of application	3
•	67 signature Petition objecting to tavern	
• •	40 signature Petition objecting to tavern40 signature Petition objecting to tavern	

Summary of Submissions for the Carine Glades Tavern

Applicant's Response

The applicant was given the opportunity to comment on the above summary of submissions, however, the response received did not materially address the concerns raised in the application.

Despite a request to the contrary, copies of individual submissions were not provided to the applicant in accordance with the City's procedure to protect the submittors' identities.

Acoustic assessment

Due to the proximity of the development to adjoining residential lots, an acoustic consultant's report was requested as part of the application.

The development is required to comply with the requirements of the Noise Abatement Act (Environmental Protection Regulations). Separately, the Licensing Court has intervened on the noise issue as a component of its role as the Licensing authority.

An audit of the applicant's acoustic report indicates that the proposal could readily exceed relevant noise limits, if the following factors occurred:

- 1. doors were open allowing music and noise to escape from the building,
- 2. patronage was allowed to occur in excess of the limits proposed in the application, and
- 3. the Al fresco area was used for activities other than dining

An acoustic (limestone) wall has been proposed around the al fresco area, as a means to attenuate noise escape to the adjoining properties.

Notably, the noise issue was raised almost unanimously in the objecting submissions and petitions that have been lodged.

COMMENTS

The proposal presents a range of issues that have required assessment.

DPS2 Development Standards

The proposal complies with the bulk of the applicable development standards. Discretion is required for the approval of parking provision in the range contemplated by the applicant. In this case, that aspect of the proposal is considered supportable, due to the proposed limit on occupancy that has been offered by the applicant.

In addition, there have been several attempts at consolidating parking between this site and the adjoining commercial land uses. This would be an opportune time to seek a legal agreement to formalise sharing parking and access.

Applicability of the Use in this location

The design of the proposal presents challenges, and creates problems that may be avoidable if the scope of this project were broadened. The location of the al fresco area and proximity to residents is caused by the central location of the building on the site, and the attempt to retrofit the alfresco area onto the north, west and east facades of the existing building.

A consolidated alternate proposal could go some way to overcoming acoustic and amenity concerns. A remodelled building, using building bulk to screen al fresco activity away from residents would attenuate noise more effectively. Under such a scenario, al fresco activity would be concentrated at the western side of the building. This could however potentially compromise enjoyment of the area due to solar orientation, and the reduced separation between the tavern activities and the shopping centre loading bays.

Acoustic Analysis

Acoustic analysis has been complicated by a number of factors, including:

- 1. The relevance of samples used to model acoustic performance
- 2. Lack of certainty over the use of individual areas of the tavern (eg dining, standing, drinking, entertainment etc).

A review of the acoustic report and supplementary information resulted in the following concerns from an acoustic viewpoint:

- 1. Live music could lead to the escape of significant noise emissions,
- 2. Music should not be allowed in the outdoor areas until sound levels can be verified as acceptable,
- 3. the limestone wall needs to be extended in height on the eastern side to 3 metres, as is already planned on the western side to assist with attenuation, and
- 4. outdoor use should be restricted to formal alfresco dining to minimise the potential for noise disturbance from "loud" social behaviour.

If the proposal was implemented, monitoring under intended operating conditions and maximum approved patronage should occur to ensure compliance.

The acoustic report divided the tavern into zones, and these are shown at attachment 3. In the event of live music in Zones 1 and/or 2, the opening of concertina doors on the northern & western side of the building would be expected to allow significant noise emissions to escape to nearby residences. The location of the dance floor in this area is also undesirable and may have speakers which could add to noise emissions. A permanently closed structure at this location (instead of operable doors) may resolve this point.

The acoustic report does not provide enough supporting evidence that outdoor music would not affect nearby residences. The report also does not demonstrate with a degree of confidence that patron noise, coupled with live music and/or external speakers, would not cause a disturbance to nearby residents.

Outdoor use should be restricted to formal alfresco dining to minimise noise disturbances from 'loud' social behaviour. The acoustic report refers to approximate numbers in each zone and estimates the resulting sound pressure levels at nearby residences. Expected noise from patrons is difficult to estimate as the number of patrons in each zone may fluctuate and/or exceed those prescribed in the report.

The outdoor areas (Zones 3, 4 and 5) could cater for more patrons than the numbers indicated in the report and possibly exceed the requirements of the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 at nearby residences.

Break-out noise from the opening of doors into external areas may also affect nearby residences. Suitable air locks would be recommended. Doorways from Zone 1 into Zone 3 on the north and east sides are of particular concern.

As presented, the acoustic consultant's report and supporting submissions are insufficient to address the noise concerns.

Public Submissions

The objections received concerning the noise issue are addressed above. The concerns raised on this point are supported in the City's evaluation of the acoustic report.

Nearby residents have been sensitised by experiencing problems and lodging complaints in the past, particularly regarding noise. The modelling and resulting analysis suggests that those concerns are validly held, and could carry over to the new proposal.

The flexibility of use for different areas of the tavern and the ability to open the inside of the building as may be desired, both combine to engender concern at the potential for noise impact.

Objections against anti-social behaviour, graffiti, and damage, are common where licensed premises are proposed. Those concerns cannot be quantified and are difficult to substantiate. Although the social issues do not relate directly to planning controls, they could be addressed as part of a management plan to accompany this proposal.

Such a management plan could quantify and include commitments to the operation of the future refurbished tavern.

Concerns lodged by local residents have also suggested that parking is a concern. Once again, if patronage were managed at the level proposed, then the proposal would effectively conform to the lesser formula for parking that can be applied for restaurant dining room activities.

Licensing Court Controls

The proposal if implemented, would require an amendment to the Liquor Licence. The Licensing Court has previously issued conditions and directions on the licence in regard to noise impact. It is understood that the Licensing Court are aware of this proposal, and that there maybe an opportunity to join the Court in establishing a management plan that would meet the requirements of the Court and the Council. Such a proposal has been foreshadowed and well received by a senior representative of the Licensing Court however an absolute commitment to apply conditions through the Court could not be agreed at this (preliminary) stage.

Conclusion

While it is recognised that the tavern may be in need of upgrading, various factors require further consideration to allow full assessment to be concluded. Those factors include:

- □ use of the al fresco area;
- □ acoustic protection of adjoining residential areas;
- □ flexibility of the management arrangements, including use of areas, opening of the building, and day to day regulation of patronage and hours of operation;

□ potential to develop a management plan defining and committing to appropriate uses of areas, management techniques, and response to concern/complaint

The proposal has the potential for far-reaching impact. It requires further refinement to facilitate a definitive decision by the Council. The information provided to date is valuable, and provides strong arguments for the design and acoustic measures to be revisited and evolved to a more appropriate proposal.

It is recommended that the proposal is deferred, and that further information be sought that addressed the concerns raised within this report.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 DEFERS consideration of the application dated 28 June 2001, submitted by Scott Wilson, on behalf of Sistaro Pty Ltd for the proposed internal refurbishment and new external garden court, bistro court, children's play area, toilet block, store and landscaping to the existing Carine Glades Tavern on Lot 12 (493) Beach Road, Carine;
- 2 COMMITS to reconsider the proposal following receipt of a management plan addressing the concerns raised within this report, and the following general principles:
 - (a) use of the al fresco area;
 - (b) acoustic protection of adjoining residential areas;
 - (c) flexibility of the management arrangements, including use of areas, opening of the building, day to day regulation of patronage and hours of operation;
 - (d) potential to develop a management plan defining and committing to appropriate uses of areas, management techniques, and response to concerns;
- 2 ADVISES the submittors of the Council's decision.

Appendix 12 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach12brf131101.pdf</u>

ITEM 17 CRAIGIE OPEN SPACE STUDY – [43127]

WARD - Pinnaroo

SUMMARY

Craigie Open Space is approximately 56.7 ha in area (Attachment 1) and is reserved Parks and Recreation and Urban under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation under the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No.2.

The subject site is identified as site 303 in Bush Forever (formerly Bush Plan) a document that aims to fulfil the government's commitment to prepare a strategic plan for the conservation of bushland on the Swan Coastal Plain of the Perth Metropolitan Region. Bush Forever is a policy position of the government that aims to guide future decision-making and to protect and manage Bush Forever Sites through implementation mechanisms.

In conjunction with the development of the final recommendations of the Bush Plan, the Joint Commissioners in May 1999 resolved to investigate the future areas for use and conservation within the Craigie Open Space Reserve having regard to the existing uses and functions of the Reserve, its natural assets and the future needs of the community.

The City engaged Ecoscape to prepare a study for Craigie Open Space. The brief was prepared and the objective of the study was set as follows, "to investigate land use options taking into consideration economic, social and environmental issues". A copy of the report will be placed in the Councillors' reading room.

The recommended strategy retains 99% of the bushland as well as maintaining the opportunity to develop other land uses in the area. Although there are some reservations regarding the extent of the Study, it is recommended that it be advertised for a period of 42 days for public comment.

BACKGROUND

Craigie Open Space is located 20 km north of the Perth Central Business District, and approximately 6 km east of the Indian Ocean coastline in the suburb of Craigie within the municipality of the City of Joondalup. The total area occupied by the bushland is approximately 56.7 ha and the reserve is vested in the City. The subject land is bounded by the Mitchell freeway to the east, recreation reserve Water Corporation lot and residential properties to the west, Whitfords Avenue to the south and the Beenyup Sewage Treatment plan to the north. The subject land is reserved Parks and Recreation and Urban under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and Local Reserve – Parks and Recreation under the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No.2.

In 1975 the subject land was vested in the City for "Recreation – Golf Course". Since 1978 Council has been considering the use of Craigie Open Space for suitable recreation facilities. In 1982 the Department of Land Administration advised that it had no objection to this land being changed to "recreation" in order to accommodate other recreational facilities.

In 1994 Council received a number of proposals from private developers seeking approval to develop commercial recreational facilities. In view of the interest shown by these developers, Council at its meeting of 26 October 1994, resolved to seek 'Registrations of Interest' from interested parties to lease, establish and operate commercially based golf oriented or other public recreational facilities within a portion of the Reserve.

In July 1998 the Joint Commissioners resolved to defer consideration of utilising Craigie Open Space for further recreational uses pending further discussions to assess the possibility of vegetation retention for this project.

In conjunction with the development of the final recommendations of the Bush Plan, the Joint Commissioners in May 1999 resolved to investigate the future areas for use and conservation within the Craigie Open Space Reserve having regard to the existing uses and functions of the Reserve, its natural assets and the future needs of the community.

DETAILS

The City engaged Ecoscape to prepare a study for Craigie Open Space. The brief was prepared and the objective of the study was set as follows, "to investigate land use options taking into consideration economic, social and environmental issues".

The Study identifies a number of opportunities and constraints and provides four land use options (A, B, C and D) and includes a recommended strategy. A summary of the report is provided below.

Opportunities and Constraints

The opportunities and constraints associated with land-uses within Craigie Open Space were investigated.

The Study identifies that the major environmental constraint to the type of activities that can be undertaken on the site is the inclusion of Craigie Open Space in Bush Forever site 303. Any proposal, which has an impact on, the Bush Forever site would need to be negotiated with the Ministry for Planning and the Department of Environmental Protection. The authors suggest, however, that it is possible that a small ecotourism or environmental education facility could be developed in an area of degraded bushland close to the existing Leisure Centre or the upgrading of existing facilities may provide an additional source of income for bushland management. The demographics and income bracket of the study area indicate that any form or revenue raising associated with the Leisure Centre needs to remain affordable for the local community.

The Study claims that the inclusion of the Craigie Open Space in Bush Forever site 303 has advantages. It affords the site a high conservation value that can be used to the advantage of the City of Joondalup in funding applications. It also means that the site has a greater potential for development as an ecotourism venture, and more likely to be able to attract tourists. There is also the potential for the incorporation of the bushland area into the recreational centre. Further, the Craigie Leisure Centre itself is not included in future plans for expansion of infrastructure. With a large proportion of the local population being young families, there is an opportunity to provide bushland picnic areas close to the Leisure Centre and outdoor swimming areas, providing a more family oriented role in environmental education through encouragement of school groups, scout clubs etc. to participate in bushland weeding and planting days.

The Study identifies an opportunity to achieve higher visitation rates at Craigie Leisure Centre than that seen currently by providing an enhanced recreational and bushland experience, increasing the gymnasium area and upgrading the swimming facilities (particularly the outdoor areas). The conservation value of the site also lends itself to exploration of ecotourism ventures, which capitalise on the proximity of the existing tourist facilities and resorts.

Land Use Options

Four options for land-use within Craigie Open Space were explored.

Option A

This involves the clearing of up to 30% of the remnant vegetation to provide for the development of active open space and to create a regional sports facility. The area outlined for such a development would be sufficient to cater for a number of different sports, including a golf driving range, a football and cricket oval and/or tennis courts and all access could be provided from the existing access road off Whitfords Avenue to the Leisure Centre.

Option B

This is similar to Option A except that only about 10% of the native vegetation would require clearing, and a smaller area would be available for active open space.

Option C

This proposes the use of approximately 1% of the bushland area for the development of an ecotourism centre or environmental educational facility.

Option D

This has a focus on bushland retention and expansion of existing facilities within the footprint of Craigie Leisure Centre.

Recommended Strategy

Upon examination of the opportunities and constraints associated with each of the options it was determined that a combination of Option C and Option D is the recommended strategy.

Under the combined Options C and Option D, 99% of the remnant bushland is retained within a reserve and managed by the City of Joondalup. The bushland remains accessible to the region's residents as "passive" open space. The option recognises the environmental significance of the vegetation and seeks to preserve it. The preferred approach also maintains the opportunity to develop other land-uses in the area surrounding, particularly immediately north of the leisure centre within the envelope of the land not contained within the Bush Forever site and a small area of degraded vegetation north west of the existing recreational facilities. At the same time, this option focuses on land-uses that are complimentary and compatible with the bushland area. The following recommendations were developed to guide implementation should the City of Joondalup adopt this option.

Ecotourism Recommendations

- Undertake market research to determine the demand for ecotourism ventures.
- Explore opportunities for collaborative ventures with other regional operators.
- Examine the potential for the development of an ecotourism facility, environmental education and connectivity between existing recreational facilities and the bushland areas.
- Take advantage of the vistas available and the natural bushland setting for walking trails by constructing a path network which connects the bushland with the top of the Quindalup Dunes with access to the vista from both Craigie Open Space as well as the residential areas. This allows for community use as well as tourist use.

Craigie Leisure Centre

- View to providing a better facility with more scope for raising revenue for bushland management.
- Seek further advice from appropriate authorities regarding possibility of partially clearing vegetation within the existing Craigie Open Space providing a family picnic area.
- Future expansion to be contained in the already disturbed area.
- The possibility of including bushland walking trails as part of a fitness circuit should be explored.
- Future proposals to upgrade the gymnasium facilities could consider relocating it to the northern side of the swim area to provide both a bushland and water focus for its users.
- Opportunities for more activities with a family focus should be explored, based on the demographics of the area.
- Expanding kiosk area to provide a focus on the bushland setting and outdoor picnic area.
- Opportunity to provide community access to the bushland should be encouraged.
- Skate Park facility should either be moved to an active open space reserve within the vicinity or be relocated within Craigie Open Space. If relocated within Craigie Open Space it needs to be in a location visible from the leisure centre and Whitfords Avenue, thereby discouraging anti-social behaviour and uncontrolled access over the sensitive dune environment.

Community facilities

- Funding opportunities for the development of a community resource centre should be explored. This could include, for example, an environment resource room, a meeting area (although this could occur in the Leisure centre) a bushland plants reference Herbarium, and educational activities for visiting school groups.
- Any development such as that outlined above should remain in the existing disturbed area, adjacent to and connected with the Leisure Centre.
- The above recommendation should be explored in combination with the proposal to develop an ecotourism centre north west of the existing Leisure Centre.

Craigie Open Space Bushland Management

• Existing management of bushland areas should be continued and expanded to ensure environmental values are protected.

COMMENT

The subject site is identified as site 303 in Bush Forever a document that aims to fulfill the government's commitment to prepare a strategic plan for the conservation of bushland on the Swan Coastal Plain of the Perth Metropolitan Region. Bush Forever is a policy position of the government that aims to guide future decision-making and to protect and manage Bush Forever Sites through implementation mechanisms.

The land has been formally assessed and listed in Bush Forever, recognising its major value as an area which forms part of a northern bush and heath land corridor comprising Hepburn Heights, Pinnaroo, Craigie and Woodvale. Craigie Open Space contains areas of Tuart-Jarrah-Banksia woodland not present in many conservation reserves, but also a Quindalup dune system.

The recommended strategy is a combination of options C and D, allowing for the retention and management for conservation purposes of the regionally significant vegetation of Craigie Open Space, while allowing for the development of a facility which has low impacts on the environment, and provides a link between the existing recreation area and the bushland. This strategy can potentially provide a source of revenue for ongoing bushland management activities and is generally supported, however, there are aspects that could be examined in greater depth.

The Study purports to examine and discuss the economics of various options in terms of the associated costs and revenues. It is considered that this aspect would require more rigorous investigation before being accepted as a basis for decision making. The Study is also considered to be limited in the range of options investigated as there may be opportunities for commercial recreation uses to be clustered with the leisure centre, with limited impact on the conservation qualities of the area. Such uses could provide some income to support the costs that will be involved in managing the area.

It is recommended that the study be supported for the purpose of being advertised for a period of 42 days for public comment.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 ADVERTISES the document for a period of 42 days and places a notice published once a week for two consecutive weeks in a local newspaper circulating within the local government;
- 2 FORWARDS a copy of the study to the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee for comment.

Appendix 13 refers. To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: <u>Attach13brf131101.pdf</u> v:\devserv\reports?vepOrts2001\100111sv.doc

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR J HOLLYWOOD – PROVISION OF SECURITY AND PATROLS SERVICES – TENDER 04-01/02 – [55477]

Cr John Hollywood has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 13 November 2001. The following elected members have indicated their support as required by clause 4.4 of the City's Standing Orders Local Laws.

Cr A Nixon Cr A Walker Cr M O'Brien Cr T Barnett

"That:

- 1 Council RESCINDS its decision CJ378-10/01 Minutes Council Meeting Tuesday 23 October 2001 refers;
- 2 Council REJECTS all tenders received in accordance with the calling of tenders conditions and thanks those who submitted tenders for their interest;
- 3 Council continues with the present patrol arrangements on a month by month basis until a replacement patrol system has been structured within Council's own Municipal Employees with a target commencement date of 1 January 2002 and preferably no later than 1 February 2002;
- 4 Council resolves that it is fully capable and has full confidence in its own employees to adequately handle its own Ranger and Patrol Activities;
- 5 the CEO, Mr Denis Smith, to oversee the whole restructuring activity in order to comply with the views expressed by the Electors of the City of Joondalup in the Municipal Referendum held in May 2001."

Cr Hollywood states that the reasons for the Council's rescission actions in this matter are:

- "There has been insufficient time to properly consider the Department of Local Government's "Community Security Evaluation Project Final Report";
- There has been insufficient time to properly consider the "City of Joondalup Community Security Program Review" having only been delivered the one hundred and five pages (total) of the documents on the day before the Council meeting and not having waited for the Minister's release of the Final Report and the Final Review;

- There is clear evidence that Competitive Neutrality was factored into Council's considerations when there was no statutory requirement or Council decision requirement to do so;
- Due to a Councillor involved in tender evaluations having since disclosed "a philosophical difference" relating to the tender recommendations, a public perception could eventuate whereby Council could be accused of having made a "biased" decision of a political nature;
- The only way to enhance public confidence in this matter is to contain the activity as one of Council's own employee activities."

It should be noted any decision to rescind an earlier resolution of the Council must be by absolute majority.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Council has been scheduled for **7.00 pm** on **TUESDAY**, **27 NOVEMBER 2001** to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup

CLOSURE

BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

OFFICE OF THE CEO STRATEGIC PLANNING

REWARD AND RECOGNITION - FRAMEWORK - ex CJ241-07/01

"2 NOTES that a further report will be presented to Council following negotiations with staff and representative bodies."

Status: It is envisaged that a report will be presented in November 2001, subject to the outcome of negotiations with staff representatives.

ON-LINE SERVICES CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS - ex CJ301-09/01

"That Council APPROVES attendance and presentation by the On-Line Services Development Co-ordinator at the:

- 2 Victorian Association for Library Automation Conference to be held in Melbourne from 6-8 February 2002, at a cost to Council not exceeding \$1,000, conditional upon a report being prepared upon return."
- Status: A report will be presented following the February 2002 conference.

INFORMATION SERVICES

PRO-CLAIM USER CONFERENCE – 14-16 OCTOBER 2001 – ex CJ299-09/01

"3 Council REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the ProClaim User Conference."

Status: Following the attendance at this conference, a report will be submitted to Council in due course.

GARTNER CONFERENCE - 30 OCTOBER - 2 NOVEMBER 2001 - ex CJ300-09/01

"3 Council REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the Gartner Symposium."

Status: A report will be submitted to Council following attendance at this conference.

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – NEW "BUY LOCAL" POLICY – ex C46-06/01

- 1 Council REVIEWS its current "Buy Local" Policy ("the Review") to ensure in so far as it is reasonably practicable, the application of the following criteria in the purchase of all of the goods and services required by our City of Joondalup:
 - (a) that local businesses located in the City of Joondalup are given preferential treatment, provided the price is competitive;
 - (b) thereafter, businesses located in the City of Wanneroo;

- 2 the Review be conducted in conjunction with the local Joondalup Business Association Inc and Business Enterprise Centre;
- 3 the outcomes of the Review be submitted in a redrafted policy, to be included in a report to Council ("the new Policy");
- 4 Council decides whether to accept, reject or vary the new policy;
- 5 if accepted by Council, the new policy be then reviewed by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission to ensure that it complies with National Competition Policy before it is implemented by Council;
- 6 where a product or service cannot be obtained in the City of Joondalup it be noted and referred to the Joondalup Business Association for follow up.

SECURITY & PATROL SERVICES CONTRACT (018-00/01) – ISSUE OF SUB-CONTRACT – ex CJ257 - 08/01

"that the matter pertaining to Council's acceptance of all future tenders be referred to the "Buy Local Policy" review team for further consideration."

Status: An interim policy has been prepared and is currently under review.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

URBAN DESIGN AND POLICY SERVICES

APPLICATION FOR THE CANCELLATION OF A PORTION OF HAWICK PARK ON RESERVE 35519 (2) HAWKER AVENUE, WARWICK - ex CJ053-03/00

"consideration of application for the cancellation and subsequent purchase of a portion of Hawick Park on Reserve 35519 (2) Hawker Avenue, Warwick be deferred pending the implementation of a policy to deal with issues of this nature."

Status: A discussion paper has been prepared which will be circulated for comment

A report, originally anticipated for July, will be submitted to Council in November 2001.

REQUESTED CLOSURE OF A PORTION OF A ROAD BETWEEN LOT 151 (88) CLIFF STREET AND LOT 113 (31) MARINE TERRACE, SORRENTO – ex CJ193-07/00

"REQUESTS that the Local Housing Strategy is completed and a report presented to Council by November 2000."

Status: A draft report originally anticipated for July 2001, then November 2001, is now anticipated to be submitted to Council in February 2002.

SORRENTO CONCEPT PLAN (PRECINCT PLANNING) - ex CJ160-05/01

"REQUIRES a further report detailing relative priorities, indicative costings and phasing of the elements in the Sorrento Concept Plan;"

Status: A report which was originally anticipated to be presented to the Council following preliminary design work in August 2001, then November/December 2001 is now anticipated to be submitted to Council in February 2002.

PROPOSED LAND EXCHANGE – LOCATION 11898 (273) HEPBURN AVENUE, PADBURY (RESERVE 43210 – FIRE STATION SITE) AND LOCATION 12223, (12) BLACKWATTLE PARADE, PADBURY (RESERVE 43717 – COMMUNITY PURPOSE SITE) – ex CJ277-08/01

"8 RECEIVES a report on the outcome of the public consultation process by the end of October 2001."

Status: Public consultation process currently underway. It is anticipated that a report will be presented to Council in November 2001.

MULLALOO PRECINCT PLAN REVISIONS - ex CJ315-09/01

"6 REQUIRES a further report detailing relative priorities, indicative costings and phasing of the elements in the Mullaloo Concept Plan paying specific attention to the points raised by detailed consultation with key community groups and others, particularly the need to ensure that there is no reduction in the recreational functionality and nett area of the useable surface of Tom Simpson Park proper."

Status: A report will be submitted to Council in April 2002.

INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT

OPERATIONS

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – REVIEW OF "VERGE" LOCAL LAWS – ex C45-06/01

- 1 "Council REVIEWS all existing local laws (and its powers to make new local laws) concerning Council's powers to actively encourage the owners and/or occupiers of rateable land to adequately maintain the verge area between their front property boundary line and the immediately adjacent road surface (proper) in the manner of a reasonable person ("the Review")
- 2 the Review be the subject of a report to Council."

Status: Review in progress.

BADRICK STREET, PERMANENT ROAD CLOSURE - ex CJ274-08/01

3 SUPPORTS the formation of a working group to investigate treatment options for Dorchester Avenue between Dugdale Street and Beach Road and that a further report be presented to Council detailing the findings of these investigations in due course.

Status: The Working Group met on 24 October 2001 and a report on its findings is anticipated to be presented to Council in November/December 2001.

WARWICK OPEN SPACE – PUBLIC TOILET FACILITY - ex CJ312-09/01

"That Council DEFERS the removal of the Warwick Open Space public toilet facility and calls a community consultation meeting with the Warwick Open Space Facility User Groups, at the Warwick Recreation Association facility, on site, and requests the Urban Animal Management Committee to inspect and comment on the area, which is a regular area for canine animal exercise, in order to see whether the facility should be upgraded, kept as a community asset and developed as a picnic and barbecue area, adjacent to the Bush Walking Trails with interpreter signage, as there are already shelters, park seats and a car park currently in place."

Status: A meeting has been scheduled to be held on the 19 November 2001.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

YOUTH SERVICES INITIATIVES - ex CJ245-07/01

"8 NOTES that a further report will be submitted to Council outlining the Youth Advisory Councils' views on the Future Directions and Jumping at Shadows documents."

Status: Copies of the reports will be sent to Youth Advisory Council members with the next Youth Advisory Council agendas which are due to go out on 10 August 2001.

Comments from the Youth Advisory Council members are currently being compiled by Youth Services and a report should be presented to Council in October 2001.

It is now anticipated that a report will be presented to Council in November 2001.

SENIORS MASTER PLAN – LEISURE AND SOCIAL ACTIVITY NEEDS - ex CJ332-09/01

"that Council ELECTS an Occasional Seniors Advisory Committee of elected members and community people representing groups with seniors in their membership to reassess the reports, consult with the community and report back to Council by the meeting scheduled to be held on 18 December 2001 as a precursor to next year's budget considerations; the composition of the Committee to be arranged at the next Briefing Session scheduled to be held on 2 October 2001."

Status: A report was considered by Council at its meeting held on 9 October 2001 to form a Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests.

OUTSTANDING PETITIONS

	Date of presentation to Council - Referred to -
Various petitions opposing mobile telecommunication facility (30 metre high slimline pole and equipment) – Percy Doyle Reserve, Warwick Road, Duncraig.	12 September 2000
Petition opposing mobile telecommunication facility (30 metre high slimline pole and equipment) – Percy Doyle Reserve, Warwick Road, Duncraig.	26 September 2000
Petition opposing mobile telecommunication facility (30 metre high slimline pole and equipment) – Percy Doyle Reserve, Warwick Road, Duncraig.	24 October 2000
	Director Planning and Development
Comment: The application has been deferred at the request of the applicant. A further report on Mobile Telecommunication Facilities within the City was proposed to be submitted to Council on 27 March 2001.	
The application lodged by One-Tel has been withdrawn, and the petitioners have been advised accordingly.	
The further report on mobile telecommunication facilities within the City, originally scheduled for November 2001, is now anticipated to be submitted in April 2002.	
Petition requesting removal and relocation of BMX track at Menteith Park, Kinross.	
Comment: Removal of the BMX track hinges on the final location of the skatepark. This issue is being addressed in the skatepark report.	Director Community Development (Referred to Leisure Services due to skatepark request
Council has established a skatepark committee to further consider the proposed development of skateparks in the City. The Committee is currently engaged in design work for the skate facility at MacNaughton Park, Kinross. It is expected a further report will be submitted to Council in October 2001.	linkage.) Director Infrastructure Management/ Skatepark Committee
The implications are that if the skatepark goes in at MacNaughton Park, Kinross the BMX track will as well.	

Petition requesting the closure of the public accessway between Barracuda Court and Lancett Court, Sorrento.	28 November 2000
Comment: A report originally intended to be submitted August/September 2001, then December 2001, is now anticipated for February 2002.	Director, Planning and Development
Petition objecting to proposed skateboard park to be located behind Burns Beach Caravan Park.	13 March 2001
Petition opposing construction of skateboard facilities at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef.	10 July 2001
Petition opposing construction of skateboard facilities at Penistone Reserve, Greenwood.	10 July 2001
Petition supporting skate/BMX Park Currambine	28 August 2001
Petition requesting Council approval for a skate park in or near to Winton Road, Joondalup.	23 October 2001
Petition from young residents of Hillarys requesting a skate park in the Hillarys area.	23 October 2001
Comment: Council has established a skatepark committee to further consider the proposed development of skateparks in the City.	Director, Community Development/ Skatepark Committee
The Skatepark Committee is continuing to research potential sites for skate parks in the City. A report was presented to Council on 9 October 2001 recommending the establishment of a Skatepark at MacNaughton Park, Kinross and Carine Open Space with the City of Stirling.	
Petition requesting construction of a bus shelter and bus bay on the inward route on Cockman Road between Mulligan Drive and Cobine Way, Greenwood.	12 June 2001 Director Infrastructure
Comment: Will be considered in conjunction with a safety audit of Cockman Road. A report will be submitted to Council in due course.	Management
Petition requesting the limiting of hours of operation or relocation of dance studio – Batavia Place, Kallaroo.	12 June 2001
Comment: The report to Council is being withheld pending the outcome of the owner's plans to relocate the dance studio. It is anticipated that new premises will be completed in the New Year, however, should this not occur a report will be presented to Council in February 2002. The existing studio will be closed for the school holidays from the end of the first	Director Planning and Development.
week in December to the end of January 2002.	

Petition on behalf of Kingsley residents requesting the implementation of traffic calming and traffic safety measures on	25 September 2001
New Cross Road, Kingsley.	Director Infrastructure
	Management
Comment: It is anticipated that a report will be submitted to 27 November Council meeting.	
Petition opposing the change of purpose of 12 Blackwattle	9 October 2001
Parade, Padbury (12223 Res 43717) from community purpose to	
fire station.	Director Planning and Development
Comment: Petition being considered as part of submissions	
received. It is anticipated a report will be presented to	
Council on 27 November 2001.	
Petition from residents of Melaleuca Drive, Greenwood requesting Council to undertake action to reduce the width of the	23 October 2001
right angle bend on Melaleuca Drive (close to Bottlebrush Drive)	Director Infrastructure
to reduce the incidents of vehicle burnouts. The residents further request that the said corner be marked with lines to clearly show motorists the correct road positioning.	Management.
Comment: It is anticipated that a report will be submitted to 27 November Council meeting.	
27 Hovember Council meeting.	

REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED AT BRIEFING SESSIONS

	DATE OF REQUEST - REFERRED TO -
 Skatepark facilities – ex (CJ062-03/01) Cr Hollywood requested that the Skatepark Committee report back to Council within six weeks to allow the Committee's recommendations to be considered as part of the 2001/02 budget process. Comment: The Skatepark Committee is continuing to consult with the community in Greenwood and Ocean Reef to identify suitable locations within the City for skate facilities. Design work is continuing for the proposed skate facility at MacNaughton Park, Kinross. Discussions are being held with Greenwood Senior High School, and other interested parties to identify suitable sites in the City. A report was presented to Council on 9 October 2001 recommending the establishment of a skatepark at MacNaughton Park, Kinross and Carine Open Space with the City of Stirling. 	13 March 2001 Director Community Development/ Skatepark Committee