

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF SPECIAL ELECTORS MEETING HELD ON THE HALIDON PRIMARY SCHOOL OVAL, HALIDON STREET, KINGSLEY ON MONDAY, 11 FEBRUARY 2002

ATTENDANCES

Mayor:

J BOMBAK, JP

Elected Members:

Cr P KADAK	Lakeside Ward
Cr C BAKER	Marina Ward
Cr J F HOLLYWOOD, JP	North Coastal Ward
Cr A WALKER	Pinnaroo Ward
Cr P ROWLANDS	Pinnaroo Ward
Cr M O'BRIEN, JP	South Ward

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer:	D SMITH
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Manager, Council Support Services:	M SMITH
Manager, Urban Design & Policy Services:	D BUTCHER
Publicity Officer:	L BRENNAN
Committee Clerk:	J AUSTIN
Minute Clerk:	L TAYLOR

APOLOGIES

Apologies: Crs Hurst, Mackintosh, Kenworthy, Patterson, Barnett, Carlos, Nixon and Kimber

There were 1438 members of the public in attendance who signed the attendance record.
For Attendance lists, click here: : [Attendance110202.pdf](#)

ITEM OF BUSINESS

The Mayor opened the meeting at 1903 hrs, welcomed members of the public and thanked them for attending in such large numbers.

The Mayor advised the planning ideas to be discussed during the meeting were just that, simply ideas.

He stated the ideas had never been put to Council or ratified by Councillors and were intended only as a basis for community discussion. The Mayor indicated he did not support many of the planning ideas and accordingly would not vote for these and understood the concerns of many of the residents of Kingsley.

PURPOSE OF MEETING

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the Precinct Action Planning for the suburb of Kingsley and the expectations of the residents of Kingsley that:

- (a) Council not to approve rezoning any land in Kingsley from Residential or Local Reserve to Mixed Use, Business or Commercial. To keep Kingsley as it is.
- (b) Council not to approve recoding Residential Density Codes in Kingsley to allow an increase in Residential Densities greater than what currently exists. Stop high density housing in Kingsley.
- (c) Council not to approve or support any changes to the Building Codes or any other planning or development standards administered by Council that would increase the density of development of the residential areas in Kingsley. Kingsley to retain its current amenity and appearance.
- (d) Other matters raised from the floor regarding the expectations of the residents of Kingsley and Council's planning and other services delivered to the residents of Kingsley.

This meeting was advertised in The Joondalup Community News on Thursday 24 January 2002 and Thursday, 7 February 2002.

The Mayor advised that this evening's proceedings are governed by the Local Government Act 1995 and the City's Standing Orders Local Law, and outlined the definition of an elector, being:

- a resident owner or occupier enrolled to vote at State elections;
- an owner of rateable property (eg an absentee land owner or an owner of business premises, vacant land or other non-residential property);
- an occupier of rateable property (eg a tenant of business premises or other non-residential property).

The Chief Executive Officer read out the Notices of Motion that had been received from Crs Kadak and O'Brien for the Council meeting scheduled for 12 February 2002 and Notice of Motion from Cr Barnett for the Council meeting scheduled for 26 February 2002.

Ms Pauline Floate then addressed the meeting. Ms Floate thanked Mr Graham Edwards, Federal Member for Cowan, (who was unable to be present this evening) and Mrs Cheryl Edwardes, State Member for Kingsley, for their ongoing support and help; members of the media and the supporters from Greenwood, in particular the Greenwood Preservation Group, the businesses of Kingsley and Woodvale for displaying notices and petitions, those persons present tonight to share the concerns of the Kingsley residents, and the Council for offering them the opportunity to be heard.

Ms Floate stated she was fighting for her lifestyle and to have her choice to live in Kingsley respected, and said residents were united in a common choice of Kingsley as their suburb of residents. Ms Floate outlined the view of the residents and their concerns at the Precinct Acting Planning proposals. In conclusion, Ms Floate urged the residents present to sign the petition provided this evening; to join the South Ward Ratepayers' Association, and to vote tonight to stop the Precinct Action Plan.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME/MOTIONS

Mr Steve Green, 39 Harness Street, Kingsley:

- Q1 The Chief Executive Officer stated earlier in the meeting that he had received Cr Barnett's Notice of Motion today, yet it was provided in writing at the Special Meeting of Electors held on Thursday 7 February 2002.*
- A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Cr Barnett withdrew her earlier Notice of Motion which was read to the meeting on 7 February 2002, and substituted the Notice of Motion which was read this evening.*
- Q2 What if we want the old Notice of Motion submitted, that we all agreed to last week? I want to propose that Motion, and I believe the persons present tonight would also want this.*
- A2 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Any of the residents have the right to submit motions from the floor to tonight's meeting. At Cr Barnett's request, her Notice of Motion has been withdrawn and will not be presented to a meeting of the Council.*
- Q3 Is the meeting of Joondalup scheduled for 25 February being held to bypass the motion put up by Cr Barnett for 26 February 2002?*
- A3 Response by Chief Executive Officer: At the Special meeting of Electors held on 7 February 2002 it was indicated that the City would endeavour to arrange a venue to accommodate the number of people that would want to attend. The intention is to book the Arena Joondalup; however at this stage a date has not been arranged due to a number of long standing commitments at the Arena. The City has to consider those motions which are raised at both Special Meetings of Electors by the Council meeting of 26 February 2002.*
- Q4 Has an e-mail message been sent advising of this meeting of 25 February?*

A4 *Response by Cr O'Brien:* I discussed the matter with the CEO on Friday 8 February. The indication was then that there would be a Special Meeting of Council on 25 February. The new position of the facility not being available has only arisen tonight. The method of overcoming that is that if five Councillors require a meeting to be held on Monday 25 February that can still occur. Two Councillors have indicated support for a meeting on such a date.

Response by Chief Executive Officer: The City is still endeavouring to arrange a Special Council Meeting on 25 February 2002 as discussed previously. The Management of Arena Joondalup are endeavouring to reschedule a number of activities planned, and if that is possible, the City will proceed to schedule the meeting on that date. Other venues are being investigated, but it is not felt that a venue of a suitable size can be found.

- *Mr Green raised his concern that prior to this evening's meeting he had spoken to a Councillor who said they had only just become aware of this issue.*

Q5 *At a meeting at the library one evening, Mr Darryl Butcher advised me not to have any fears and signed me an assurance of "no community support - no progress". What will the instructions be to Council from Mr Butcher?*

A5 *Officer's response:* The comment made at the information evening was that if there was no community support evident for the concept plans, officers would not recommend that they proceed further.

Q6 *Is there support for these plans?*

A6 *Officer's response:* It would not appear so.

Q7 *When you vote on this at tomorrow night's Council meeting, can you stand so that persons present can see which way Councillors are voting?*

A7 *Response by Cr Baker:* You do not have to wait until tomorrow night, you can ask Councillors their views now.

Q8 *Can you explain the format for tomorrow night's Council meeting?*

A8 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* The Council meeting will be held in the Council Chamber, Administration Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup, commencing at 7.00 pm. The order of the proceedings are set down in an agenda. It is difficult to indicate when the items regarding the Notice of Motions from Cr Kadak and Cr O'Brien will come before Council. When those items are considered, the individual Councillor that has submitted the Notice of Motion would be asked whether they wished to put the motion before Council; if they do, another Councillor is required to second the motion to enable the item to be placed before Council for debate. This is then debated and voted on. The normal practice is that Councillors indicate their vote by raising their hand if they are in favour of a proposal.

Q9 *How do Councillors indicate if they are not in favour of the motion?*

A9 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* Councillors raise their hand. The votes in favour are counted, and those that do not raise their hand are counted in the negative.

Q10 *Can this particular item of business be set for consideration at 7.30 pm or 8.00 pm?*

A10 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* A Councillor can move that the order of business on the agenda be amended on the night and this item for Notice of Motions could be brought forward and dealt with by the Council as a priority item. That is in the hands of the Councillors, but there would be no opposition from management.

Q11 *Can I ask Cr Kadak to move this motion.*

A11 *Response by Cr Kadak:* Yes. I represent the Lakeside Ward, which includes Woodvale but not Kingsley or Greenwood. My involvement in this issue started in December 2001 on receipt of e-mails from concerned residents of Woodvale, which resulted in Cr Kimber and myself taking action. The Notice of Motion which I have submitted was read out earlier. At the Greenwood Special Electors' meeting, an elector suggested improvements to this motion, and these will be raised by Cr Kimber at the Council meeting. I have spoken to Woodvale residents and the motion will be made even stronger and will read as follows:

“That Council:

- 1 *NOTES the widespread community concern expressed over the concept plan proposals for the suburbs of Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley, and Woodvale, including new development adjoining parkland, new road links, high density housing and rezoning;*
- 2 *in response to community concerns referred to in 1., EXCLUDES proposals including development adjoining parkland, new road links, high density housing and rezoning from the Precinct Action Planning concept plans for Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley and Woodvale;*
- 3 *ABANDONS the Precinct Action Planning concept planning project, including that related to the suburbs of Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley and Woodvale, and orders a review of the Precinct Action Planning program with specific focus on the objectives and improving the community communication process and having regard to the community's concerns expressed at the community information sessions.”*

The community consultation process did not work and we need to fix that. I have spoken to almost all of my Council colleagues and I believe I have the support to carry this tomorrow and kill this tomorrow.

Ms Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood:

Q1 *Why is an extraordinary meeting being held if this is to be dealt with at tomorrow's Council meeting?*

A1 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* The Notice of Motions submitted by Cr Kadak and Cr O'Brien must be submitted to the formal meeting of Council on 12 February 2002, and the Council must deal with those motions. Council could decide to defer them, or submit them to another date or deal with them on the night. It would appear from the information that Cr Kadak has given, that his Notice of Motion will be amended to incorporate a number of the issues already raised at the Greenwood meeting and accordingly there may be a need to dispense with that Notice of Motion tomorrow night so that the Council's views are made loud and clear to the Special Meeting of Council that would be held, if possible, on 25 February 2002.

Response by Cr O'Brien: I have just spoken to Cr Kadak. A method of overcoming it would be, if we undertook to defer the matters on the agenda tomorrow night to the meeting on 25 or 26 February 2002, as there would be a problem if all those persons present tonight wished to attend tomorrow's Council meeting, together with the people from Greenwood. It may be better if we defer the matter, to give time for a convenient venue to be obtained.

Response by Cr Kadak: This Notice of Motion was forwarded to Council in early January when the issue was raised with myself and Cr Kimber by concerned Woodvale residents. The first meeting of Council for 2002 is to be held tomorrow. I would like this issue to be resolved tomorrow and not wait two weeks.

Q2 *Can you guarantee that the matter will be deferred to the extraordinary Council meeting?*

A2 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* You cannot guarantee that because you do not have a quorum of Councillors present this evening. Eight Councillors are not present and they may wish to have the matter determined tomorrow night.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood SECONDED Vincent Cusack, 2 Renegade Way, Kingsley that Council does not pass any motions relating to the Precinct Plans before all the Motions passed at the Special Electors' Meetings held in Greenwood on 7 February 2002 and in Kingsley on 11 February 2002 are considered and voted on at a full Council meeting.

The following persons spoke to the Motion:

- Cr John Hollywood;
- Cr Paul Kadak;
- Ms Catherine Woodmass;
- Mr Ken Zakrevsky;
- Mr Vincent Cusack.

During discussion, it was requested that Cr Kadak's Notice of Motion be amended to read "Abandons the Precinct Action Planning concept planning project for all the suburbs of the City of Joondalup". Cr Kadak was in agreement with this request.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Brian Fisher, 5 Chauncey Court, Kingsley SECONDED Anne Perryman, 110 Allenswood Road, Greenwood that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup:

- 1 wish to inform Council that we value the lifestyle in the Warwick Precinct, comprising Kingsley, Greenwood, Woodvale and Warwick and wish to preserve the existing level of housing density;**
- 2 move that Council does not approve rezoning any land in Kingsley from Residential or Local Reserve to Mixed Use, Business or Commercial and direct Council to maintain and preserve Kingsley as it is - No erosion of our current lifestyle.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Brian Fisher, 5 Chauncey Court, Kingsley, SECONDED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood that Council does not approve any recoding of residential density codes in Kingsley to allow an increase in residential densities greater than what currently exist - No high density housing in Kingsley.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Brian Fisher, 5 Chauncey Court, Kingsley, SECONDED Pauline Floate, 18 Legana Avenue, Kingsley that Council does not approve or support any changes to the Building Codes or any other planning or development standards administered by Council which would increase the density of development of the residential areas in Kingsley - Stop this urban experiment.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Cam McCullough, 22 Benbullen Boulevard, Kingsley SECONDED Amanda Kelley, 18 Legana Avenue, Kingsley that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup request Council to maintain and preserve all parks, open space and reserves in Warwick Precinct, consisting of Kingsley, Greenwood, Warwick and Woodvale, under their existing zones and there be an absolute prohibition on boundary alterations.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Cam McCullough, 22 Benbullen Boulevard, Kingsley SECONDED Michael Jobbins, 3 Ashton Rise, Woodvale that We, the electors and ratepayers of the City of Joondalup reject the Warwick Precinct Plan in its entirety and move that the Precinct Action Planning Concepts and all associated activities, no matter what stage they are at, be ceased immediately throughout the Warwick Precinct and not be revisited or resurrected under any other format at any time in the future.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Louise Collins, 29 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley SECONDED Maree McCrudden, 79 Allenswood Road, Greenwood that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup:

- 1 wish to inform Council that the public consultation process at the City of Joondalup is totally inadequate;**
- 2 hereby move that Council, in consultation with community representatives, immediately develops a detailed public consultation policy which when drafted is advertised through a mutually agreed medium for public comment for a period of no less than 60 days.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Hilda Roberts, 8 Pillapai Court, Kingsley SECONDED Louise Collins, 29 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup move that the City can further enhance the suburb of Kingsley in the Warwick Precinct, for example by planting more native trees in parks and verges, install better lighting and amenities in parks, and upgrade playground equipment for our children.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way, Kingsley:

Q1 Three weeks ago I found out by accident at the Joondalup Library that the Precinct Plan had been developed. Mr Brian Fisher pointed out to me that on the map at the library my house was not shown. Can someone explain how you can display a plan for public notice, but you cannot consult with me and advise me before you do that? I asked this question at the meeting on 7 February 2002 and I do not believe it was answered.

A1 Officer's response: This process has obviously caused considerable stress and anxiety to people, and for that an apology is given.

Q2 I was told that my house was to be demolished because the people who will live in high density housing do not want to walk the 200 yards to get to Moolanda Boulevard. What kind of a planning department and Council can put up a map from which my house and I believe another couple of houses are missing, without consultation with the residents? Who will buy my house now it has appeared on a public plan to say it is being demolished and an access road is to be placed through it?

A2 Officer's response: There is no doubt that the public consultation process was inadequate, particularly in relation to those matters where individual properties were affected by the ideas. It should have been a process of communicating with people much earlier in the process. It was always intended that the City would involve the community at a very early stage, that clearly was not the case. Apologies are given for this.

Q3 Until 25 February 2002, I will not know whether it is worth my while planting another plant in my garden because a road may be put through my property. If Council can put such plans up, what else is going on?

A3 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* If it would assist, I am more than happy tomorrow to issue an official letter from Council to Ms Woodmass advising that under no circumstances is her house to be affected.

- *Ms Woodmass declined the offer of a letter and advised that this would not ease her mind.*

MOVED Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way, Kingsley SECONDED Bill Pickering, 49 Claygate Way, Kingsley that this meeting of electors moves a vote of no confidence in the senior administration officers, particularly the Planning Officers, and strongly recommends that they show more respect for their employers, the ratepayers.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way, Kingsley SECONDED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup wish to place all elected Councillors on notice that we expect them to fully implement our wishes here tonight, furthermore we demand absolute transparency and accountability from Council and condemn any further attempts to impose unwanted decisions.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Pauline Floate, 18 Legana Avenue, Kingsley:

(Questions directed to Mayor Bombak)

Q1 *Some electors are dubious as to your involvement in the Precinct Planning. I hope you can clarify your position. On Tuesday 5 February 2002, you were heard on 6PR Talkback Radio (Paul Murray) stating that you were not in favour of the precinct plan. Is that correct?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* I cannot confirm that date, but, yes, I will accept this.

Q2 *Two weeks prior to this date, you were on Graham Mabury's show on 6PR espousing the advantages of these plans in a debate with Sue Hart. You said you would like to see us have an "Albany Highway, Vic Park style cappuccino strip". Do you recall any statement that vaguely resembles that?*

A2 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* No, I do not. I have been asked to give a yes or no response, but to clarify this, I will ask the CEO to comment shortly.

Q3 *On 5 February you stated on radio you did not know about this Precinct Plan until approximately three weeks ago. Is that correct?*

A3 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* That is correct.

- Q4 *Were you not, until Thursday 7 February 2002, heading the North West District Planning Committee, responsible for providing “a forum of discussion and recommendation on regional planning issues”? In that capacity, should you not have known about this sooner than three weeks ago, given the sweeping changes these proposals have designed for our area?*
- A4 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* To give a yes or no answer, I will have to say no, but I can clarify that too.
- Response by Cr O’Brien:* Recently the Mayor has been tied up with many other issues at the time the North West District Planning Committee meetings have been held. I am the deputy delegate and I have attended all of the meetings from July onwards, therefore the Mayor would not be aware of discussions held at those meetings.
- Q5 *Did it not occur to Cr O’Brien that perhaps he should have discussed these issues with the Mayor?*
- A5 *Response by Cr O’Brien:* The minutes of this committee are provided for all Councillors to view.
- Q6 *In a report entitled “Precinct Action Planning Project Consultation, Development and Promotion Record”, Chip Kaufman from Melbourne reportedly gave an open presentation to Councillors and staff on New Urbanism and Precinct Planning on 27 September 2000. Were you present at that presentation?*
- A6 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.
- Q7 *In the minutes of 13 February 2001, there was a report of a Precinct Planning Process Review held on 2 January 2001. Were you present at that meeting?*
- A7 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.
- Q8 *Were you present at a Council meeting on 12 June 2001 in which the Precinct Action Plan was updated and the next stage of the plan was endorsed?*
- A8 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.
- Q9 *In March 2001, hard copies of the Precinct Action Planning Report were published. Did you in your role on the planning committee, have any cause to read this material?*
- A9 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.
- Q10 *In the Council News, Autumn Edition 2001, an article entitled “Joondalup seeks community vision” with accompanying workshop dates appeared. Did you read this article in your Council News?*
- A10 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* Yes, I was aware that there were workshops scheduled.

Q11 *What did you think those workshops were about?*

A11 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* It is not for me to debate from this position. However, workshops were held, Ward Councillors were invited; this was at more of a Ward Councillor level, rather than for me to be involved at that stage.

Q12 *I believe in March 2001 a Community Visioning poster was placed on display in libraries and customer centres. On 26 June 2001, Community Visioning and Integrated Sustainability videos were released to City libraries. Were you aware of either of these?*

A12 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.

Q13 *Were you aware of a press release headed “City looks to revamp neighbourhood centres” posted on the internet on 5 July 2001?*

A13 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* I have not seen this on the internet.

Q14 *Apparently there was a school competition called “Design your Suburb”. Do you remember a presentation made to you in Chambers in August 2001 by four primary schools?*

A14 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* Yes, I do.

Q15 *Would you have had reason to walk past the Precinct Action Planning Neighbourhood mapping exhibition in the Council office foyer from 15 August to 4 September 2001?*

A15 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* This question will be taken on notice.

Q16 *In the Strategic Plan for the City of Joondalup, 4.2 says you wish to excel in customer service. Do you feel that maybe you need to review this?*

A16 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* I have said publicly that the lesson that the Council will learn from this is that we have got to look at ways of delivering services better to the ratepayers. When that motion was moved earlier, I totally supported that public consultation motion.

MOVED Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way, Kingsley SECONDED Andrea Norman, 44 Kanangra Crescent, Greenwood that We, the electors on the City of Joondalup move a vote of no confidence in the Mayor for the manner in which he has presided over the Precinct Plan.

Following a query from Cr Baker, the mover of the motion advised that this motion referred to the Warwick Precinct Plan.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way, Kingsley SECONDED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood that We, the electors of the City of Joondalup move that a public inquiry by an independent body be held, investigating all Shire business, and until such time that an inquiry is complete, and findings made public, and the electors are satisfied with the outcome, all future planning and development decisions be placed on hold in the City of Joondalup.

The following persons spoke to the Motion:

- Ms Tara Parkinson, 17 Dollis Way, Kingsley
- Cr John Hollywood
- Cr Chris Baker
- Ms Janet Strack, 11 Waitara Crescent, Greenwood
- Ms Karen Handley, 40 Harness Street, Kingsley
- Mr Geoff White, 5 Catrine Court, Kingsley:

Ms Woodmass proposed the following amendment to the last part of the motion: "...all future planning, *without public consultation*, be placed on hold in the City of Joondalup.

Following discussion, Ms Woodmass advised that, with the consent of the meeting, she wished to have the motion **WITHDRAWN**

Mr Richard Johnson, 46 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley:

Q1 The precinct plan must have cost a great deal. How much has this cost?

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: It was indicated at the Greenwood meeting that the cost incurred as a result of the Precinct Planning was \$52,000. That figure represents consultant costs, together with other costs pertaining to publication of information, such as the newsletter and publications in the media.

Q2 In the opening remarks, the Mayor gave the impression there was a great deal of the precinct plan which he did not like and that he would vote against. The other Councillors here tonight seem to hold the same views. If this is the case, why has it gone so far? If our elected representatives are against it, is it your officials who have put forward this plan?

A2 Response by Chief Executive Officer: The officers of the Council have already indicated that they were officers' proposals, they were not endorsed by the Council.

Q3 If they were officers' proposals, how have they got so far if all the Councillors are against them?

A3 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Once the Councillors became aware of some of the implications of those proposals that have been shown on the documentation placed on exhibition, the Councillors have reacted immediately to the response from the community and those documents have been placed on hold.

Q4 What you mean is that the Councillors were in favour of it until the community started reacting?

A4 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* No, that is not the case. The Councillors as indicated had not formally endorsed the plans. Those plans had not been submitted to either a Committee meeting of Council nor to a formal meeting of Council. They had been placed on exhibition with the approval of administration without the sanction of Council.

Q5 *Would it be possible to have a breakdown of the \$52,000 published in the Community Newspaper?*

A5 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* Yes.

Mr Geoff Barnett, 10 Chauncey Court, Kingsley:

- *Mr Barnett said it would appear from the Action Plan that it was a wish list of the real estate developers, and nothing to do with the residents of the areas concerned. Mr Barnett could not understand how the officers of the Council can progress this far without any direction from the Council.*

Ms Lorretta Gibbs, 119 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley:

Q1 *My house is also omitted from the plans which I believe means it could be demolished. I would like a letter from Council to guarantee that my house will not be demolished. I would also like to know why the Shire gave me permission to build an extension to my house when it was under a demolition order.*

A1 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* Your comments are noted and a letter will be forthcoming.

Response by Chief Executive Officer: I am more than happy to issue such a letter.

Ms Karen Handley, 40 Harness Street, Kingsley:

- *Ms Handley stated that, whilst she supports the residents, she commended the Council for being here to listen to residents tonight, and for accepting responsibility for jobs that many would not be prepared to undertake.*

Mr Alex Hamilton, 110 Barridale Drive, Kingsley:

Q1 *What date was the plan drawn up which showed the ladies house which was to be demolished and how much did that plan cost?*

A1 *Officer's response:* The plans were drawn up about October 2001 and as indicated earlier, the total cost of Precinct Planning Concept plans is \$52,000 of which \$27,000 was spent on consultants, and it was those consultants that have helped the City to draw up the plans.

Ms Jill Sturcke, 5 Genoa Court, Kingsley:

Q1 Can you imagine my consternation when my neighbour advised me that the plans on the corner of Moolanda Boulevard and Hepburn Avenue showed that Genoa Court did not exist. Can I please have a letter from Council that Genoa Court will exist and that my house will also exist.

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: That matter will be dealt with immediately.

Ms Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood:

Q1 17 days before the Special Electors' meeting in Greenwood I submitted a question about costs. These questions were not properly answered because I asked for a breakdown including consultants' fees, travel expenses, man hours for the officers' and staff, cost of workshops and reports. I was previously advised the cost was \$50,000 and now I am told the cost is \$52,000. I submitted another question on Friday (8 February 2002) that I had confirmation was received. How much did the four full page advertisements cost which were placed in the local community newspaper?

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Each full page advertisement cost in the order of \$1,250.

Q2 Did a consultant come here from Amsterdam or from interstate?

A2 Officer's response: No consultant came from Amsterdam. There was a consultant from interstate who was here at the time, and the City made use of his time.

Q3 Do we have to pay him, and his airfare?

A3 Officer's response: To clarify, the City did not use a consultant from the Eastern States for concept planning.

Q4 Was there anyone from overseas?

A4 Officer's response: There were some guests at the expense of the State Government, and it was arranged for these to come to Joondalup to talk to Councillors and officers.

Q5 Did that cost us anything through the State Government or the local government?

A5 Officer's response: There was no cost to local government.

Q6 When will I receive an answer to my question on costs for the entire Precinct Planning Concept?

A6 *Officer's response:* The questions submitted, and answers provided, are as follows:

Question 1 What is the total cost of the Precinct Concept Plan for the entire City of Joondalup?

Answer 1 The total cost of the Precinct Action Planning Concept Planning project for the entire City of Joondalup to the end of January 2002 is approximately \$52,000.00. As previously advised by Director Planning and Development, further costs were incurred in related projects for the investigation of local centres, undertaken in the previous financial year (approximately \$7,000), and community visioning exercise (approximately \$41,000).

Question 2 What is the total value of consultant fees for this project?

Answer 2 The total value of the consultant fees for the current Precinct Action Planning Concept Planning project is \$27,000.00.

Question 3 What is the total value of wages and overtime for the person hours spent on this project?

Answer 3 A number of Council officers have been involved with the Precinct Action Planning Concept Planning project to various degrees in addition to other duties. No records have been kept of the proportion of officer time spent on this project so it is not possible to provide a value for the wages involved.

Q7 *Is it possible to give a percentage of officer time spent on it?*

A7 *Officer's response:* As indicated at the Greenwood Special Electors' meeting, the City had one officer within the Department almost fully employed on the project. This officer is on a two year contract at \$65,000 per year.

Q8 *Whose directive was it not to have outside speakers at Greenwood's Special Electors' meeting as I asked this of the Chief Executive Officer and also the Minute Clerk.*

A8 *Response by Chief Executive Officer:* The answer to this is not known.

- *Mrs Hart asked that it be recorded that she twice asked that speakers be placed outside the meeting, and considered it would have been courteous for the outside lights to be on.*

Mr Brian Handley, 40 Harness Street, Kingsley:

- *Mr Handley accepted that the costs will be published in the local newspapers and advertised to provide a breakdown of costs to residents. Mr Handley stated he would like to see this brought to some conclusion now that the residents' objectives have been met.*

Mr Bill Blundell, 21 Adenmore Way, Kingsley:

Q1 I am interested to note that this is a concept only and is going nowhere, according to Council, at this stage. What is the status of the commercial property for a real estate agent in a house at the corner of Moolanda Boulevard and Cadogan Street? Is that part of the precinct as a commercial property?

A1 Officer's response: This question will be taken on notice.

- *Mr Blundell provided his telephone number to enable officers to contact him.*

Cr O'Brien:

- *Cr O'Brien offered apologies from Cr Barnett, who was unable to attend this evening's meeting.*
- *Cr O'Brien spoke in support of the establishment of Standing Committees which he believed would greatly assist the decision-making process within the Council .*

Cr Hollywood:

- *Cr Hollywood stated he supported the cause of residents. He said he had received over 100 e-mails from residents against the project, and supported the views raised. Cr Hollywood said he represented Kinross, Burns Beach, Iluka and Currambine areas, and was elected to save Burns Beach bushland, and hoped in the future to be able to call on the support of residents present at this meeting tonight to assist this cause.*
- *Cr Hollywood stated the organisers of the two Special Electors' meetings had worked very hard on behalf of residents to stop Precinct Planning.*

Cr Baker:

- *Cr Baker stated the Precinct Plans has been abandoned, which was the purpose of this meeting, and thanked those present for attending this evening and for being active in the community.*

Cr Walker:

- *Cr Walker, representing Pinnaroo Ward, advised she had moved to Padbury in 1992 because she loved the area. She advised she stood for Council in 1999 on the platform that she would be a representative for the voice of the people, which she has done in the past and will continue with this issue when it comes before Council.*

In conclusion, Mayor Bombak thanked those persons attending this evening's meeting. He stated it was pleasing to see that people are so passionate about their suburb and the future direction of the City of Joondalup. Mayor Bombak stated it was a clear case that the community had spoken and assured residents that their comments would be taken on board.

CLOSE OF BUSINESS

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 2052 hrs.