



City of
Joondalup

DRAFT AGENDA

FOR ELECTED MEMBERS'

BRIEFING SESSION

TUESDAY, 16 APRIL 2002

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session which is opened to the public. Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.

The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.

To enable prompt and detailed responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.

Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.

The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:

- *accept or reject the question;*
- *nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or*
- *determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.*

The following rules apply to question time:

- *question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.*
- *questions should properly relate to Council business.*
- *question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.*
- *questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;*
- *where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting.*

CITY OF JOONDALUP – BRIEFING SESSION

to be held in the Council Chambers, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on
TUESDAY, 16 APRIL 2002 commencing at 6.00 pm

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1 OPEN AND WELCOME

2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following questions were submitted to the Briefing Session held on 2 April 2002 by Mr Steve Magyar, Heathridge:

Re Item 4: CBD Enhancement Committee

Q1 Does Section 5.10(1)(a) of the Local Government Act require the persons appointed to a committee of Council to be individually named?

A1 No, the appointment to a committee can be by name or by appointing or designating the holder of an office by the term designating his office.

Q2 Will the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee be delegated any powers or duties of the Council?

A2 No.

Re Item 5: Environmental and Sustainability Committee

Q3 Does Section 5.10(1)(a) of the Local Government Act require the persons appointed to a committee of Council to be individually named?

A3 No, the appointment to a committee can be by name or by appointing or designating the holder of an office by the term designating his office.

Re Item 9: Pruning of Street Trees

Q4 When Council contracts out the pruning of trees in parks and along roads, does Council make any attempt to retain nesting hollows in mature trees?

A4 Where large trees are to be pruned branches are inspected for retention of birds nests or beehives. Hollow limbs that are structurally sound are retained where possible.

There are various examples of large dead trees that have been retained within public open space for this purpose. Operations have installed nesting sites in a dead tree in a Hillarys park as a trial to provide additional nesting sites. This work was undertaken in conjunction with the contractor.

3 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence previously approved:

Cr Mackintosh	25 March – 19 April 2002
Cr P Kadak	3 April – 24 May 2002
Cr T Barnett	20 April – 28 April 2002
Cr A Patterson	8 May – 13 May 2002

4 DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST/INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY

5 REPORTS

ITEM 1	SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS EXECUTED BY MEANS OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL - [15876]	1
ITEM 2	REVIEW OF CORPORATE CODE OF CONDUCT - [09358]	3
ITEM 3	WARRANT OF PAYMENTS - 31 MARCH 2002 – [09882]	6
ITEM 4	FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 MARCH 2002 – [07882]	8
ITEM 5	TENDER NO. 029-01/02 CONSTRUCTION OF A ROUNDABOUT AT INTERSECTION OF HODGES DRIVE & CONSTELLATION DRIVE, OCEAN REEF – [51521]	9
ITEM 6	DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT – [07032]	12
ITEM 7	KINROSS NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN – PORTION OF LOT 9000 - CORNER SELKIRK AND CONNOLLY DRIVES, KINROSS – [20514]	13
ITEM 8	PROPOSED 56 MULTIPLE DWELLINGS AND TWO COMMERCIAL UNITS AT LOT 502 & 503 (105) GRAND BOULEVARD CNR HAMPTON COURT & SHENTON AVENUE, JOONDALUP – [75469]	18

6 REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

7 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

8 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

9 CLOSURE

ITEM 1 SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS EXECUTED BY MEANS OF AFFIXING THE COMMON SEAL - [15876]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To provide a listing of those documents executed by affixing the Common Seal for noting by Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following is a list of documents sealed under the Common Seal of the City of Joondalup from 12.03.02 to 19.03.02, not previously listed.

Document:	Agreement
Parties:	City of Joondalup and W P C Parker
Description:	S70A Ancillary Accommodation – 5 Blight Court, Kingsley
Date:	12.03.02
Document:	Lease
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Silver Chain Nursing Association
Description:	Kingsley Community Centre, 11 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley
Date:	12.03.02
Document:	Copyright
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Wendy Kargi
Description:	Recording of historical importance – Wendy Kargi
Date:	14.03.02
Document:	Legal Consent
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Department of Land Administration (DOLA)
Description:	Modification easement Lot 1 Brechin Court, Duncraig
Date:	14.03.02
Document:	Copyright
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Janet McKenzie
Description:	Recording of historical importance – Janet McKenzie
Date:	19.03.02
Document:	Lease
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Minister for Education
Description:	Marmion and Duncraig Pre-Primary Centres
Date:	19.03.02
Document:	Copyright
Parties:	City of Joondalup and Graham Glick
Description:	Recording of historical importance – Graham Glick
Date:	19.03.02

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That the Schedule of Documents executed by means of affixing the Common Seal be NOTED.

ITEM 2 REVIEW OF CORPORATE CODE OF CONDUCT - [09358]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To review the Corporate Code of Conduct.

SUMMARY

The Local Government Act 1995 requires all local governments to have in place a code of conduct that sets various standards to be observed by elected members, committee members and employees. The Local Government Act requires that each local government review its code of conduct within 12 months of its ordinary elections. With the recent City's ordinary election being held in May 2001, it is now opportune for the review to be performed.

In order to perform the review, input was sought from applicable stakeholders, along with comparisons with similar documents from similar organisations. The review proposes some changes to the current code in order to provide a more complete document for those affected by its operation.

BACKGROUND

The Local Government Act 1995 (the Act) was introduced on 1 July 1996. An intended result with the introduction of the new Act was to allow for greater accountability of local governments to their communities. As a result of this, the Act requires every local government to prepare and adopt a code of conduct to be observed by elected members, committee members and employees.

A local government is to review its code of conduct within 12 months of each ordinary election and make such changes to the code of conduct, as it considers appropriate. The last ordinary election for the City was held in May 2001. The former City of Wanneroo first adopted a code of conduct in April 1997, that code was subsequently adopted by the new City of Joondalup and has been reviewed in accordance with the legislation.

DETAILS

Section 5.103 of the Act states:

- 1 Every local government is to prepare and adopt a code of conduct to be observed by council members, committee members and employees.
- 2 A local government is to review its code of conduct within 12 months after each ordinary elections day and make such changes to the code, as it considers appropriate.
- 3 Regulations may prescribe the content of, and matters in relation to, codes of conduct and any code of conduct or provision of a code of conduct applying to a local government is of effect only to the extent to which it is not inconsistent with regulations.

Regulations 34B and 34C of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 are the relevant regulations referred to in section 5.103(3). These regulations require a code of conduct to cover such issues as:

- Procedures for the acceptance of token gifts or acts of hospitality;
- Requirement to disclose at a Council or Committee meeting ‘interests’ that may affect impartiality (this requirement has been included in the revised set of standing orders local law that is currently being advertised).

The intent of the code is to provide a framework for behaviour that must be observed to ensure that, in the best interests of the local community and the public generally, the highest ethical standards are practised by elected members and employees of the City of Joondalup.

As a result of the legislative requirement to review the current code of conduct, input was sought from elected members and senior employees of the City. The review also included research into other similar documents from other organisations, which included the Code of Ethics developed by the Public Sector Standards Commission.

The revised draft copy of the code of conduct is attached for information, with the altered sections highlighted in italics.

The main changes to the document relate to:

- Rewrite of the introduction;
- Inclusion/rewrite of Values and Ethical Principles;
- Inclusion of relationships between elected members and employees;
- Inclusion of appointments to external committees;
- Inclusion of defamation clause;
- Further explanation on communication and public relations; and
- Additional section relating ‘Whistleblower’ protection.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The review has not suggested major changes to the existing code of conduct; it has merely attempted to refine the document to better guide those affected by the code for good public administration.

Since the introduction of the requirement for local governments to adopt codes of conduct, there have been a number of concerns raised within the industry regarding the lack of ‘teeth’ the codes have in order to deal with breaches of the code.

It is interesting to note that as a result of these industry concerns and to make the code legally enforceable by way of a penalty for non-compliance would; the City of Perth has incorporated the enforceable provisions of the code into a local law. This proposal by the City of Perth is to ensure that where the standards of behaviour expected by the code of conduct are not met, then appropriate penalties are enforced. The City of Nedlands has also enabled penalties for breaches of its Code of Conduct by linking it to its Standing Orders Local Law. Under the current situation within the industry, codes of conduct across the industry contain minimal (if any) penalty provisions.

The code does not override or affect the legislation applicable to local government, but merely provides a framework of expected behaviour. The proposed revised code of conduct is submitted for the Council's consideration.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That:

- 1 the City's Code of Conduct, as amended and forming Attachment 1 to this Report be ADOPTED by Council;**
- 2 a further review of the Code of Conduct be PERFORMED, paying particular attention to the ability to include penalty provisions for breaches of the Code.**

Appendix 1 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach1brf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 3 WARRANT OF PAYMENTS - 31 MARCH 2002 – [09882]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

The Warrant of Payments as at 31 March 2002 is submitted to Council to be noted.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report details the cheques drawn on the funds during the month of March 2002. It seeks Council's approval for the payment of the March 2002 accounts.

DETAILS

FUNDS	VOUCHERS	AMOUNT
		\$ c
Director Resource Management Advance Account	038305-038879	4,897,247.29
Municipal	000302A-000309	7,150,002.34
	TOTAL \$	12,047,249.63

The difference in total between the Municipal and Director of Resource Management Advance Account is attributable to the direct debits by the Commonwealth Bank for bank charges, credit card charges, investments and dishonoured cheques being processed through the Municipal Fund.

It is a requirement pursuant to the provisions of Regulation 13(4) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 that the total of all other outstanding accounts received but not paid, be presented to Council. At the close of March 2002, the amount was \$635,136.01

The cheque register is appended as Attachment A to this Report.

CERTIFICATE OF THE DIRECTOR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

This warrant of accounts to be passed for payment, covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$12,047,249.63 which is to be submitted to each Councillor on 23 April 2002 has been checked and is fully supported by vouchers and invoices which are submitted herewith and which have been duly certified as to the receipt of goods and the rendition of services and as to prices, computations and casting and the amounts shown are due for payment.

ALEXANDER SCOTT
Manager Financial Services

J B TURKINGTON
Director Corporate Services & Resource Management

CERTIFICATE OF MAYOR

I hereby certify that this warrant of payments covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$12,047,249.63 submitted to Council on 23 April 2002 is recommended for payment.

.....
 Mayor John Bombak

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES for payment the following vouchers, as presented in the Warrant of Payments to 31 March 2002, certified by the Mayor and Director Corporate Services & Resource Management and totalling \$12,047,249.63.

FUNDS	VOUCHERS	AMOUNT
		\$ c
Director Resource Management Advance Account	038305-038879	4,897,247.29
Municipal	000302A-000309	7,150,002.34
	TOTAL \$	12,047,249.63

Appendix 2 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach2brf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 4 FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 MARCH 2002 – [07882]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

The March 2002 financial report is submitted to Council for noting.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The March 2002 report shows a variance of \$4.6m when compared to the Revised Annual Budget for the year to date. This variance reflects differences attributable to the timing of revenue and expenditure and does not represent net savings for the year.

This variance can be analysed as follows-

- The **Operating** position shows an Operating surplus of \$1.9m to budget at the end of March 2002 due to revenue received in arrears of \$0.2m and the underspending in Labour and Materials & Contracts of \$2.1m.
- **Capital Expenditure** for the year-to-date is \$0.8m and is below the year-to-date budget of \$1.1m, a variance of \$0.3m at the end of March 2002.
- **Capital Works** expenditure for the year-to-date amounted to \$6.3m against a year-to-date budget of \$8.7m, a variance of \$2.4m at the end of March 2002. However, the City has committed expenditure through raised purchase orders of \$2.49m. A number of high value projects, including the Council depot land and design (\$3.2m), Currambine community centre construction (\$0.9m), and Collier Pass road works (\$0.6m) may not be commenced in the financial year.

DETAILS

The financial report for the period ending 31 March 2002 is appended as Attachment A to this Report.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Financial Report for the nine month period ending 31 March 2002 be NOTED.

Appendix 3 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach3brf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 5 TENDER NO. 029-01/02 CONSTRUCTION OF A ROUNDABOUT AT INTERSECTION OF HODGES DRIVE & CONSTELLATION DRIVE, OCEAN REEF – [51521]

WARD - Marina

PURPOSE

To seek approval for the acceptance of Pavement Technology Ltd as the successful tenderer for Tender Number 029-01/02 – Construction of a roundabout – Intersection of Hodges Drive and Constellation Drive, Ocean Reef.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the 2001/2002 Capital Works Program (Black Spot Projects Program), Total Budget funds of \$200,000 were listed for the construction of a single lane roundabout at the intersection of Hodges Drive and Constellation Drive in Ocean Reef.

The \$200,000 was in accordance with the State Blackspot Funding arrangement, one third City of Joondalup contribution two-thirds contribution from State Government.

This roundabout is anticipated to improve traffic flow and enhance traffic safety at this intersection.

In order to meet the Capital Works construction timeframe this project has been programmed for construction by an external Civil Engineering Contractor. Accordingly a public tender was advertised on Saturday 9 March 2002 and closed on Wednesday 27 March 2002.

The tenders have been evaluated and it is recommended that Pavement Technology Ltd be considered as the successful tenderer for a total lump sum price of \$186,842. plus GST (including Provisional sum of \$51,034.70).

The tender price is within the Budget allocation of \$200,000 for this project.

BACKGROUND

As part of the 2001/2002 Capital Works Program (Black Spot Projects Program), Council has listed the construction of a single lane roundabout at the intersection of Hodges Drive and Constellation Drive in Ocean Reef. This project was successful in gaining State Black Spot funding for 2001/2002 subject to the funding arrangement, one-third City of Joondalup contribution (\$66,667) to two-thirds contribution from State Government (\$133,333).

This roundabout is anticipated to improve traffic flow and enhance traffic safety at this intersection.

The design layout is shown on Attachment 1 of this Report.

In order to meet the Capital Works construction timeframe this project has been programmed for construction by an external Civil Engineering Contractor.

Accordingly a public tender was advertised on Saturday 9 March 2002 and closed on Wednesday 27 March 2002.

DETAILS

At the close of tenders, four tenders had been received from the following Civil Engineering Contractors.

Tenderer	Locality	Price Offered
Pavement Technology Ltd	Canning Vale	\$186,842.00
Works Infrastructure Pty Ltd	Redcliffe	\$217,885.00
Densford Pty Ltd	Osborne Park	\$269,860.00
Malavoca Pty Ltd	Welshpool	\$272,695.50

The tender prices above do not include GST.

The lowest tender price includes provisional sums of \$51,034.70

Under the City's Contract Management Framework, the tenders were assessed using a weighted multi-criterion assessment system based on the following selection criteria as specified in the Tender Information Document (TID).

- Lump Sum Price
- Construction methodology and Safety Management Policy
- Construction Programme
- Previous experience in carrying out similar works
- Tenderers Resources
- Contract approach including Quality Management Policy

POLICY 2.4.6 – PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES

The City's Policy on Purchasing Goods and Services encourages the participation of local business in the purchasing and tendering process, however no local companies were able to be considered as none of the tenderers were local businesses.

TENDER EVALUATION

By applying the above evaluation method, it is recommended that Pavement Technology Ltd be awarded the tender for the lump sum price of \$186,842.00 (including Provisional sums of \$51,034.70) excluding GST.

The lowest priced tenderer has met all the tender criteria and is experienced in this type of work, accordingly they were considered by the TEC as the best value submission.

Financial Implications:

Account No:	Project No.6311	Project No.6319
Budget Item:	Black Spot Projects	Black Spot Projects

Budget Amount: Municipal	State Black Spot	Total
\$66,667	\$133,333	\$200,000

Contract Amount: \$ 186,842.00

The recommended tenderer's price is within the budget allocation for this project.

On this basis it is recommended that Pavement Technology Ltd be awarded the tender for the lump sum price of \$186,842.00 exclusive of GST. (including Provisional sums of \$51,034.70).

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION**That Council:**

- 1 ACCEPTS the tender from Pavement Technology Ltd for Contract 029-01/02 - Construction of a Roundabout – Intersection of Hodges Drive and Constellation Drive, Ocean Reef for the lump sum price of \$186,842 exclusive of GST;**
- 2 AUTHORISES the signing of contract documents.**

Appendix 4 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach4brf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 6 DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT – [07032]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To submit items of Delegated Authority to Council for noting.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a resumé of the Development Applications processed by Delegated Authority from 1 to 31 March 2002.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOTES the determinations made under Delegated Authority in relation to the applications described in this Report.

Appendix 5 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach5brf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 7 KINROSS NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN – PORTION OF LOT 9000 - CORNER SELKIRK AND CONNOLLY DRIVES, KINROSS – [20514]

WARD – North Coastal

PURPOSE

The Kinross Neighbourhood Centre Structure Plan is brought before Council for consideration of final adoption in accordance with Part 9 of the City's District Planning Scheme No. 2 (DPS 2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Structure Plan has been prepared to determine the overall detailed land use and form of development within the Centre (Attachment 1). The subject land is divided into three land use areas being, Residential, Civic and Cultural and Commercial. Specific objectives and criteria are proposed to guide the rationale and framework for future subdivision and development of the land. Refer to Attachment 2 of this Report.

The Structure Plan was considered by Council at its meeting on 12 February 2002 where it was resolved to adopt it for the purposes of advertising for public comment. Nine (9) submissions were received, including seven (7) from government agencies.

The Department of Transport (DOT) recommended that bus bays be provided on Selkirk Drive adjacent to the Centre's entrance.

Objections were raised to the Structure Plan in the two submissions from members of the local community. Objections were raised on the grounds that the Centre would detrimentally affect the amenity of the surrounding residential area.

The subject site is already zoned for commercial, business, civic and cultural and residential purposes. The Structure Plan does not propose any additional land uses, it simply proposes to relocate the existing land use zones on the site to enable the Centre to be redesigned. The amenity impact is unlikely to be increased as a result.

It is recommended that Council resolves that the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre Structure Plan is satisfactory subject to minor modifications (addition of bus bays on Selkirk Drive) and submits it to the Western Australian Planning Commission for adoption and certification.

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location:	Kinross
Applicant:	Taylor Burrell on behalf of Peet & Co
Owner:	Burns Beach Management Pty Ltd
Zoning:	DPS: Residential (R40), Business (R20), Commercial (R20), Civic and Cultural (R20)
	MRS: Urban

Strategic Plan:Key Result Area – Lifestyle

Strategy 2.1 – Rejuvenate our suburbs

Strategy 2.3 – Foster opportunities for cultural development and involvement

Strategy 2.5 – Work with the community and key organisations to enhance safety and security

Strategy 2.7 – Encourage provision of a range of innovative and quality facilities, services and recreational activities which achieve the physical, social, cultural and intellectual well-being of the community, both locally and regionally.

Key Result Area – Economic Vitality

Strategy 3.1 – Establish alliances with key stakeholders to identify opportunities to encourage and promote economic growth.

Site History

A concept plan was prepared in 1993 by Hames Sharley, in consultation with the City, for the development of the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre. The existing zoning of the site reflects that plan.

Rationale

The applicant advises that the previously endorsed concept plan no longer reflects contemporary design principles ('main street principles'), and places the Neighbourhood Centre in a location with poor exposure to the 'movement economy' (ie traffic along Connolly Drive). The applicant advises that there is a need for the Centre to be relocated and redesigned in order to improve its viability, and that this in turn will better guarantee an acceptable level of shopping service for the community.

Amendment No. 11

Amendment No 11 to the City's DPS 2 proposes to rezone the subject site from the 'Residential', 'Business', 'Commercial' and 'Civic and Cultural' zones to the 'Centre' zone and to remove the Residential Density coding.

The Centre Zone is intended to accommodate existing and proposed business centres varying in size from small neighbourhood centres to large multi-purpose regional centres and provides for the coordinated planning and development of these centres where the Council considers that an Agreed Structure Plan is necessary.

Under the Centre Zone, no subdivision and development is permitted, unless a Structure Plan is prepared and adopted in accordance with Part 9 of the Scheme, and the proposed subdivision and development is in accordance with that Structure Plan.

Previous Council Decisions

Amendment No. 11 to the City's DPS 2 was considered at Council's meeting on t 12 February 2002 (CJ023-02/02) where it was resolved to finally adopt it without modification. The Amendment is currently being considered by the WAPC.

The Kinross Neighbourhood Centre Structure Plan was also considered at Council's meeting on the 12 February 2002 (CJ024-02/02) where it was resolved to adopt it for the purposes of advertising for public comment.

DETAILS

Statutory Provision:

Part 9 of the City's DPS 2 relates to the preparation and adoption of Structure Plans. Clause 9.6 state that the Council shall consider all submissions received and within sixty (60) days of the date or the latest date specified in the notice given under clause 9.5 shall do one or other of the following:

- (a) refuse to adopt the Structure Plan;
- (b) resolve that the Structure Plan is satisfactory with or without modifications which the Council may require the proponent to make and submit three copies to the Commission for adoption and certification in the form illustrated in Schedule 8 to this Report.

Consultation:

The Structure Plan was advertised for public comment for a period of twenty eight (28) days (21 February – 21 March 2002).

Policy Implications:

City of Joondalup's Centres Strategy (adopted as Council Policy)

The City's Centres Strategy sets out the City's desired approach to the distribution, size and nature of Centres within the City of Joondalup.

The City's Centres Strategy identifies the subject Centre as a Village Centre. With respect to Village Centres, the Centres Strategy recommends that:

- The Council progressively include Village Centres and peripheral areas, about 100 metres wide, in a 'Centre' zone in the Town Planning Scheme as structure plans are approved.
- The Council consider any proposals for expansion of a Centre or the establishment of mixed business in peripheral areas in the context of an approved structure plan based on 'main street principles'.

WAPC's Statement of Planning Policy No. 9 – Metropolitan Centres Policy Statement for the Perth Metropolitan Region

The principal purpose of the policy is to provide a broad regional planning framework to coordinate the location and development of retail and commercial activities in the metropolitan region. It is mainly concerned with the location, distribution and broad design criteria for the development of commercial activities at the regional and district level. Local Planning Strategies prepared by local governments will provide more detailed guidance for planning and development control at the local level.

Strategic Implications:

The redesign and relocation of the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre will increase its exposure and ensure that it reflects current urban design principles, thereby having economic and lifestyle benefits.

COMMENT

The Structure Plan was advertised for a period of twenty eight (28) days (21 February-21 March 2002) during which time nine (9) submissions were received. Seven (7) of these submissions were received from government agencies and two (2) were received from members of the local community. The submissions have been summarised and addressed in Attachment 3 to this Report.

No objection was raised to the Structure Plan from government agencies however the DOT recommended that bus bays be provided on Selkirk Drive adjacent to the Centre's entrance and that the existing bus stops be relocated accordingly. The provision of bus bays will reduce the amount of on-street parking however there is considered to be sufficient land for parking on site. It is recommended that the Structure Plan be modified to include provision for bus bays to be provided on Selkirk Drive in the vicinity of the Centre to ensure its maximum accessibility by public transport.

Objections were raised to the Structure Plan in the two (2) submissions received from members of the local community. Objections were raised on the grounds that the Centre would detrimentally affect the amenity of the surrounding residential area. However, the subject site is already zoned for commercial, business, civic and cultural, and residential purposes. The Structure Plan does not propose any additional land uses, it simply proposes to relocate the existing land use zones on the site to enable the centre to be redesigned. The impact on amenity is unlikely to be increased as a result.

The Structure Plan requires on-street parking to be provided on Selkirk Drive and these are shown on the development and illustrative plans. The configuration and number of these bays may vary once a detailed assessment has been undertaken. It is therefore recommended that this provision be modified to refer to these being provided to the satisfaction of the City.

The existing zoning of the site reflects a concept plan which was prepared for the Centre in 1993 by Hames Sharley. The Centre is also identified in the City's Centres Strategy, and the Structure Plan reflects the longstanding intention to facilitate development of the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre.

There is currently no commercial land within the eastern section of Kinross. Commercial land is considered desirable to service the local community.

The redesign and relocation of the Kinross Neighbourhood Centres will increase its exposure and ensure that it reflects current urban design principles, thereby having economic and lifestyle benefits.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 Pursuant to Clause 9.6 of the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No. 2, **RESOLVES** that the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre Structure Plan is satisfactory subject to the following modifications and submits it to the Western Australian Planning Commission for adoption and certification:
 - (a) Inserting the following new provision 6.1.2 xx as follows:

“Bus bays shall be provided on Selkirk Drive in the vicinity of the Centre and existing bus stops on Selkirk Drive shall be relocated where necessary in accordance with the Department of Transport’s requirements and to the City’s satisfaction.”
 - (b) Provision 6.1.2 xix being modified to read as follows:

“On-street parking shall be provided along Selkirk Drive to the satisfaction of the City and may be credited to the Commercial Centre’s parking requirement.”
- 2 Subject to certification of the Structure Plan by the Western Australian Planning Commission, **ADOPTS** the Kinross Neighbourhood Centre Structure Plan as an Agreed Structure Plan and authorises the affixation of the Common Seal to, and the signing of, the Structure Plan documents.

Appendices 6, 6a & 6b refer

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach6brf160402.pdf](#)

[attach6abrf160402.pdf](#)

[Attach6bbrf160402.pdf](#)

ITEM 8 PROPOSED 56 MULTIPLE DWELLINGS AND TWO COMMERCIAL UNITS AT LOT 502 & 503 (105) GRAND BOULEVARD CNR HAMPTON COURT & SHENTON AVENUE, JOONDALUP – [75469]

WARD - Lakeside

PURPOSE

The development proposal is referred to Council for determination due to the plot ratio discretion requested and the significance of the landmark development site at the corner of Grand Boulevard, corner Hampton Court and Shenton Avenue

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An application has been received for a 2/3-storey building which also includes a basement level, consisting of 56 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units. The units include 1, 2 and 3 bedroom units.

The proposal was discussed at a recent Council briefing session, and this proposal represents a major variation to the plans presented at that time.

Council discretion is sought in this instance as the proposal exceeds the plot ratio requirement by a minor amount.

The proposed development presents an interesting urban façade, along Grand Boulevard and Shenton Avenue. The development is considered appropriate for the location and compatible with the surrounding land uses, which includes the Joondalup Health Campus. Traffic and pedestrian issues associated with the proposal have been adequately addressed. The proposal provides for adequate communal open space, car parking for residents and the public, pedestrian footpaths, landscaping and fencing. The variation in relation to the plot ratio requirement is considered within acceptable limits and it is recommended that Council exercises discretion under District Planning Scheme No 2 to vary the plot ratio requirement. Approval is therefore recommended.

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location: Lots 502 & 503 (105) Grand Boulevard corner of Shenton Avenue and Hampton Court, Joondalup

Applicant: Prestige Developments

Owner: TRG Properties Pty Ltd

Zoning: **DPS:** Centre

MRS: Central City Area

The subject lot forms part of a recently subdivided piece of land to the west of the Joondalup Health Campus. The subject land slopes slightly in a south-north direction with one of the lots being serviced by a right-of-way (ROW). A Western Power sub-station is located adjacent to the Shenton Avenue frontage.

The lots are a significant landmark along the northern approach to the City Centre (along Grand Boulevard).

On 6 March 2002 all elected members were notified of the original proposal for 58 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units at the above site. The proposal was presented at the Council Briefing Session on 19 March 2002 for further discussion. The proposal was considered to be acceptable in terms of scale and design and appropriate for the location. It was also determined at the Briefing Session that the matter could be dealt with under delegation authorised by the Council to the Director Planning & Community Development in consultation with the Mayor. Notwithstanding, the proposal has now been revised and accordingly, the proposal is presented for Council's consideration.

Two (2) units from the original 58 multiple units have now been deleted from the revised proposal that were to be placed over the adjoining Wester Power site. The applicant is currently negotiating with Western Power to construct the 2 additional units over the Western Power site. However, due to time constraints, the applicant/owner has decided to provide a revised plan of 56 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units, which is the subject of this report.

The proposal was considered under delegation on 27 March 2002. The proposal was not determined as it was modified from the proposal shown to elected members at the Briefing Session on 19 March 2002.

The revised proposal of 56 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units has now been referred to Council for determination at the request of the applicant/owners.

DETAILS

Proposal and Discretion Sought

The site is located at the corner of Grand Boulevard, Shenton Avenue and Hampton Court in Joondalup. The site falls within the City North precinct within the Joondalup City Centre where one of the preferred uses is residential and commercial. Development within this area is subject to the provision of the Joondalup District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2) and the Joondalup City Centre Development Plan and Manual (JCCDPM).

The proposal consists of the following elements:

- 56 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units within a 3-storey building and a basement level consisting of a combination of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom units. The 2 residential units above the Western Power substation site have been deleted at this stage, pending further discussion with Western Power. The building is to be brick and tile with the ground floor units of non load-bearing walls which can be removed at a later stage should there be a need for commercial tenancies.
- The proposed density is R-152 under the R-Codes.
- A total of 71 carbays has been provided on site.
- All pedestrian access is off Grand Boulevard, Hampton Court and Shenton Avenue with all vehicle access to the site off Hampton Court only.
- The proposal incorporates communal open space in the form of a swimming pool and gymnasium and also includes balconies and a verandah for both commercial units which encroach into the footpath area.

The plot ratio which applies to the above two lots is 1.0m. The applicant is requesting a variation to the maximum plot ratio to be increased from 1.0m to 1.08m. The applicant has provided the following summarised information in support of the overall proposal:

- The plot ratio is less than the recently approved Nottinghill development in Joondalup which had a plot ratio of 1.15m.
- Adequate car parking is provided in compliance with the required car parking standard for city centre uses.
- Commercial units have been provided with pedestrian shelters.
- The development is brick and tile with “classical” and “Victorian” elements.
- Communal open space is provided in the form of a swimming pool and a gymnasium.
- This is a quality development which would encourage more people to live in the City.

Statutory Provision:

Clause 4.5 of DPS2 allows discretion to be exercised to vary the plot ratio from 1.0m to 1.08m where it is considered that variation would be appropriate, having due regard for the amenity of the occupiers/users or landowners in the locality, future development of the locality, the merits of the proposal and orderly and proper planning principles.

COMMENT

Plot Ratio

The proposed plot ratio of 1.08 is considered a minor increase to the maximum plot ratio of 1.0 permitted within the City North precinct and is supported on that basis. The proposal has basically complied with all other statutory requirements, except for the plot ratio requirement.

Residential Density

There is currently no stated residential density for the general City uses on the subject lots. The proposed 56 multiple dwellings are equivalent to an R-Code density of R-152. The above density is higher than most of the recent residential developments in City North as well as the Central Business District where the developments around the R-100 to R-130 density mark have been approved. The proposed density of R-152 is considered acceptable for the above site in its current form in terms of number of units, size, design and height of buildings. It furthermore encourages more residential development within the City centre which may in turn contribute to a multiplying effect for other related businesses in the area.

Urban Design

The current proposal is a combination of residential and commercial development. The lots front onto Grand Boulevard, Shenton Avenue and Hampton Court and adjoins the Joondalup Health Campus and should in time provide opportunity for conversion to commercial uses for the ground floor units, when demand arises.

It is to be noted that the elevation facing Shenton Avenue could have been better designed if the Western Power site was included in the current proposal. It is, however, acknowledged that as the Western Power site is on a different title, the City does not have the legal right to impose control conditions on a third party.

Setbacks and Car Parking

The proposed setbacks are in accordance with the residential development requirements for City North which includes nil front, side and rear setback. The maximum height of buildings in this area is 3-storeys, however, under exceptional circumstances, higher developments may be considered.

Car Parking Table Based on DPS2 Requirements

Current Use	Car Parking Provisions	No of Bays Required	No of Bays Provided
(2) Commercial units - 88m ²	1 carbay per 30m ²	3	4
56 multiple units	1 bay per multiple unit	56	67
Totals		59	71

One disabled carbay has been provided for the public within the lot. Three verge carbays have also been proposed by the owners at their cost. Secured car parking is provided for the residential units. The applicant has also provided two carbays for some of the residential units.

Environmental Health Requirements

Ventilation for the undercroft carpark is to be in compliance with Australian Standards. A noise consultant's report is to be submitted for all installations, activities and processes to the satisfaction of the City. Particular areas of concern are mechanical services and noise associated with the swimming pool, gymnasium and ventilation of the car park.

Adequate bin storage areas have been provided in accordance with the Council's Health Local Laws. The applicant has, however, been advised of the concerns relating to the distance to the bin area from the furthest residential unit. The applicant is requested to provide adequate fencing and toilet facilities for the public swimming pool, in accordance with the relevant state legislation.

Conclusion

The above proposal is considered to have satisfied DPS2 and the JCCDPM in terms of objectives, urban design, car parking and preferred uses within this precinct. The proposal achieves the continuous urban wall effect along Grand Boulevard, Shenton Avenue and Hampton Court. The proposal is indicative of the continued interest and confidence in the provision of inner city housing and commercial mixed use developments within the Joondalup City Centre. The proposal will increase the residential population within the city centre and also maintain the flexibility of future ground floor commercial opportunities. The development is compatible with the surrounding land uses including the provision of safe vehicular and pedestrian movement. On balance, the proposed variation to the plot ratio requirement is considered reasonable for the above development and approval is therefore recommended for the reasons stated in the report.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 EXERCISES discretion in relation to Clause 4.5 of District Planning Scheme No 2 and determines that the variation of the plot ratio from 1.0m to 1.08m is appropriate in this instance;**
- 2 APPROVES the application and revised plans received on 25 March 2002 submitted by Prestige Developments on behalf of the owner TRG Properties Pty Ltd for 56 multiple dwellings and 2 commercial units at Lots 502 and 503 (105) Grand Boulevard, corner Shenton Avenue and Hampton Court, Joondalup subject to the following conditions:**
 - (a) The parking bay/s, driveway/s and points of ingress and egress to be designed in accordance with the Australian Standard for Offstreet Carparking (AS2890) and AS 2890.5 (on street parking). Such areas are to be constructed, drained, marked and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the City prior to the development first being occupied. These works are to be done as part of the building programme;**
 - (b) One (1) disabled carparking bay located convenient to the building entrance and with a minimum width of 3.2 metres, to be provided to the satisfaction of the City. Provision must also be made for disabled access and facilities in accordance with the Australian Standard for Design for Access and Mobility (AS 1428.1);**
 - (c) Lots 502 and 503 to be amalgamated into a single lot to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services;**
 - (d) All stormwater to be discharged to the satisfaction of the City. The proposed stormwater drainage system is required to be shown on the Building Licence submission and be approved by the City prior to the commencement of construction;**
 - (e) The driveway/s and crossover/s to be designed and constructed to the satisfaction of the City before occupation of development;**
 - (f) Car bay grades are generally not to exceed 6% and disabled car bay/s are to have a maximum grade of 2.5%;**
 - (g) The footpath treatment in the adjoining road reserve to be continued to the property boundary to match the existing paving and at a grade of 2% rising from the kerbline, prior to the development first being occupied;**
 - (h) Any roof mounted or free standing plant or equipment such as air conditioning units, satellite dishes or radio masts to be located and screened so as not to be visible from beyond the boundaries of the development site;**

- (i) Should the development be staged, temporary landscaping and fencing must be installed prior to the development being occupied to the satisfaction of the City;
- (j) Submission of a Construction Management Plan detailing phasing of construction, access, storage of materials, protection of pedestrians, footpaths and other infrastructure;
- (k) All fencing to be designed and constructed in accordance with the attached extract from the Joondalup City Centre Plan and Manual and thereafter be maintained to the satisfaction of the City;
- (l) A suitably screened bin storage area is to be provided prior to the development first being occupied, in the location on the approved plans. Such an area must be constructed with a concrete floor graded to a 100mm industrial floor waste gully connected to sewer and be provided with a hose cock;
- (m) No obscure or reflective glazing being used in the dwellings facing onto Grand Boulevard;
- (n) The boundary wall(s) and/or parapet wall(s) being of a clean finish and made good to the satisfaction of the City;
- (o) Future residents being notified in writing by the proponent that the City North Precinct is planned to become a vibrant and bustling city centre comprising a mix of land uses where street level activity may occur of an intensity not normally associated with a traditional suburban residential environment;
- (p) The submission of an acoustic consultant's report demonstrating to the satisfaction of the City that the proposed development is capable of containing all noise emissions in accordance with the Environmental Protection Act;
- (q) Details marked in red on the approved plans;
- (r) Landscaping and reticulation to be established in accordance with the approved plans prior to the development first being occupied and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the City;
- (s) The lodging of detailed landscape plans, to the satisfaction of the City, for the development site and the adjoining road verge(s) with the Building Licence Application. For the purpose of this condition a detailed landscaping plan shall be drawn to a scale of 1:100 and show the following:

 - (i) the location and type of existing and proposed trees and shrubs within the carpark area;
 - (ii) any lawns to be established;
 - (iii) any natural landscape areas to be retained;
 - (iv) those areas to be reticulated or irrigated.

Footnotes:

- (i) You are advised that plans submitted for a Building Licence must show the full width of the verge and any street furniture, traffic islands, statutory services, road gullies, crossovers on the opposite side of the road, the existing site levels, design levels of all proposed development and including levels on top of the kerb at the crossover.
- (ii) A Mechanical Services Plan, signed by a suitably qualified Mechanical Services Engineer to certify that any mechanical ventilation particularly for the undercroft carparking complies with AS1668.2.
- (iii) With respect to (c) above, the amalgamation of lots is to be created and new titles issued prior to lodgment of a building licence.
- (iv) A separate application being made to the City for approval to commence development and sign licence prior to the installation of any advertising signage.
- (v) For the purposes of this approval the car parking ratio was calculated based upon one carbay per multiple dwelling and 1 carbay per 30 m² of commercial floor space.
- (vi) Compliance with the Building Code of Australia provisions for access and facilities for people with disabilities may not discharge an owner's or developer's liability under the Commonwealth Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). The Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission has developed guidelines to assist owners and developers in designing developments which may satisfy the requirements of the DDA. Copies of the guidelines may be obtained from the Disabilities Services Commission, 53 Ord Street, West Perth, telephone 9426 9200.
- (vii) Applicant is advised that plans and specification for public swimming pool to be submitted to the Executive Director Public Health for approval.
- (viii) Compliance with BCA requirements.
- (ix) It is recommended that an additional bin storage area be provided for residents living furthest from the proposed bin storage area.

Appendices 7 & 7a refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [attach7brf160402.pdf](#)

[Attach7abrf160402.pdf](#)

6 REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

7 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 1 - CR C MACKINTOSH

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr C Mackintosh has given notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 23 April 2002:

“That Council considers amending Policy 2.2.8 “Legal Representation for Present and Former Elected Members and Staff of the City” to enable the “relevant person” defined therein to receive from the Council assistance against the costs of being involved in instituting and conducting legal proceedings for the tort or civil wrong known as Defamation arising from the carrying out of the official responsibilities or terms of employment of the relevant person and that all such assistance be approved by the Councillors of the City on a case by case basis.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 2 - CR A WALKER

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Alison Walker has given notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 23 April 2002:

“That Council:

- 1 supports the right of its electors to participate in the decision making processes of Council by petitioning for a special electors meeting with the signature of 100 electors as detailed in the current Local Government Act, section 5.28;*
- 2 writes to the Minister for Local Government and informs him of Council decision not to support changing section 5.28 of the Local Government Act 1995.”*

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 3 - CR WALKER

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Alison Walker has given notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 23 April 2002:

“That Council commences the process to request the Minister for Lands to re-classify the bushland portion of Craigie Open Space to an class A nature reserve with the management order with the City of Joondalup.”

8 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Council has been scheduled for **7.00 pm** on 21 May 2002 to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup

9 CLOSURE

BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

OFFICE OF THE CEO

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS – EX CJ379-11/01

“2 (c) (iii) REVIEWS the order of business, including the possible provision of an additional period of public question time prior to the closure of the meeting, as part of the overall review of the proposed draft Standing Orders Local Law;”

Status: A report will be submitted to the Standing Orders Review Committee for consideration.

REQUEST TO SUPPLY SENIORS DETAILS TO THE SELF FUNDED RETIREES ASSOCIATION (INC) – ex CJ407-11/01

“the City DEVELOPS and IMPLEMENTS a policy in relation to the provision of specific information relating to a particular group or groups, or individuals and that such a policy be implemented prior to the 2002/2003 financial year;

the matter be REFERRED to the relevant committee for further consideration”

Status: Policy issues are currently being investigated.

SPECIAL MEETINGS OF ELECTORS HELD ON 7 AND 11 FEBRUARY 2002 – ex CJ033-02/02

“2 Seeks a further report or series of reports addressing each of the individual motions carried at the Special Electors’ Meetings held in Greenwood and Kingsley in February 2002 and the report to comment on each resolution of the electors and recommend actions required to address the issues raised in each resolution;”

Status: this report will be submitted to the Council Meeting to be held in May 2002.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 3 CR A PATTERSON - ex C32-03/02

“2 that report requested in (1) above is to address the following issues:

- the amount of money saved by these contracting out activities;
- the reduction in the number of FTEs employed by the Council;
- the increase in money available for improvements to various amenities in the City of Joondalup;
- The positive impact for local business and economic development in the City of Joondalup of such activities.

The report is to be completed by June 2002.”

Status: Arrangements have been made to submit a report to the Council Meeting to be held 11 June 2002.

HUMAN RESOURCES

TENDER NO 015-01/02 – SUPPLY OF TEMPORARY PERSONNEL – EX CJ426-12/01

“Cr Hollywood requested a report detailing costs to the City of Joondalup for temporary staff for the year.

Mayor Bombak advised this request would be taken on notice.”

Status: A report is currently being prepared and will be tabled at the next Executive Management Team meeting.

STRATEGIC PLANNING

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 2 – CR A PATTERSON – BUSINESS PLAN, REDUCTION OF ADMINISTRATION COSTS – ex C110-10/01

“that Council DIRECTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a business plan based on the shared services concept with a view to reducing the costs of administration for the City of Joondalup. The objective of this business plan is to outline how costs associated with corporate services such as information technology, finance and human resources can be shared across a number of interested Councils. The advantage of this would be the reduction of costs for these services across a number of municipalities.”

Status: The methodology for addressing this matter is to be considered by the Executive in November following which the business plan will be developed. It is anticipated a report will be submitted to Council in March/April 2002.

It is now anticipated a report will be submitted to Council in May 2002.

CORPORATE SERVICES AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ALTERATIONS TO MULLALOO SURF CLUB, OCEANSIDE PROMENADE, MULLALOO – ex CJ449-12/01

“4 REQUIRES a further report outlining the details of the Deed of Variation to the current lease.”

Status: This will be undertaken following completion of the alterations, to be reviewed June 2002.

INDUSTRIAL SPECIAL RISKS, MOTOR VEHICLES AND PLANT AND ANCILLARY INSURANCES FOR 2002/2003 - ex CJ433-12/01

“2 REQUESTS a report from Local Government Self Insurance Schemes on its proposal for a self insurance property scheme (ISR).”

Status: Feedback from the Local Government Self Insurance Schemes anticipated May/June 2002, at which time a report will be presented to Council.

PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

REQUESTED CLOSURE OF A PORTION OF A ROAD BETWEEN LOT 151 (88) CLIFF STREET AND LOT 113 (31) MARINE TERRACE, SORRENTO – ex CJ193-07/00

“REQUESTS that the Local Housing Strategy is completed and a report presented to Council by November 2000.”

In view of the strong community reaction to precinct planning, and the need for a comprehensive community consultation policy, it is proposed to review this programme. A report on this review originally anticipated for July 2001 is unlikely to be completed before July 2002.

SORRENTO CONCEPT PLAN – ex CJ160-05/01

“REQUIRES a further report detailing relative priorities, indicative costings and phasing of the elements in the Sorrento Concept Plan;”

Status: A report which was originally anticipated to be presented to the Council following preliminary design work in August 2001, then November/December 2001 is now anticipated to be submitted to Council in June 2002.

MULLALOO CONCEPT PLAN REVISIONS - ex CJ315-09/01

“6 REQUIRES a further report detailing relative priorities, indicative costings and phasing of the elements in the Mullaloo Concept Plan paying specific attention to the points raised by detailed consultation with key community groups and others, particularly the need to ensure that there is no reduction in the recreational functionality and nett area of the useable surface of Tom Simpson Park proper.”

Status: A report will be submitted to Council in June 2002.

BREACH OF DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 – STORAGE OF MORE THAN ONE COMMERCIAL VEHICLE IN A RESIDENTIAL ZONE: LOT 89 (19) BULLARA ROAD, CRAIGIE – ex CJ353-10/01

“that a review be conducted in conjunction with the executive of the Joondalup Business Association of the definition for commercial vehicles in relation to the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No 2 with a further report being submitted to a future Council meeting.”

Status: It has been agreed to consider amending this definition as part of an Omnibus amendment to DPS2. It is anticipated that this amendment will be initiated in December 2002.

2002 ROYAL AUSTRALIAN PLANNING INSTITUTE (RAPI) NATIONAL CONGRESS – ex CJ395-11/01

“2 REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the RAPI 2002 Joint National Congress.”

Status: A report will be presented following attendance at the Congress in April 2002.

MODIFICATION TO ILUKA STRUCTURE PLAN – ex CJ057-03/02

“that the matter pertaining to modification of the Iluka Structure Plan be DEFERRED to enable public consultation to take place to allow an assessment to be made of community views on this matter.”

Status: The Structure Plan is currently being advertised from 28 March to 26 April 2002. A report will be presented to Council on 21 May 2002.

REQUEST FOR CLOSURE OF PEDESTRIAN ACCESSWAY BETWEEN CARRON RISE AND ROSSITER HEIGHTS, HILLARYS – ex CJ058-03/02

“3 in light of the deputation held earlier this evening that the policy with respect to pedestrian accessways (PAWS) be reviewed with the weighting factors as provided to the various issues for closure of pedestrian accessways being reassessed.”

Status: It is anticipated a report will be presented to Council in May/June 2002.

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – REVIEW OF “VERGE” LOCAL LAWS – ex C45-06/01

1 “Council REVIEWS all existing local laws (and its powers to make new local laws) concerning Council’s powers to actively encourage the owners and/or occupiers of rateable land to adequately maintain the verge area between their front property boundary line and the immediately adjacent road surface (proper) in the manner of a reasonable person (“the Review”)

2 the Review be the subject of a report to Council.”

Status: A paper was submitted to Council at its information session held on 2 April 2002. Councillors requested that more information be provided in relation to a landscape strategy prior to being submitted to Council.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**YOUTH SERVICES INITIATIVES - ex CJ245-07/01**

“8 NOTES that a further report will be submitted to Council outlining the Youth Advisory Councils’ views on the Future Directions and Jumping at Shadows documents.”

Status: The Strategic Advisory Committee - Youth Affairs at its meeting held 4 February 2002 resolved to have a joint meeting of the Youth Advisory Councils to identify those projects that will be preferred to be carried out in the 2002/03 Financial Year. This will occur at the Youth Advisory Council’s April meeting and it is anticipated a report will then be present to Council on 11 June 2002.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY CR P KADAK – ex C106-10/01

2 REQUESTS a report from the Joondalup North and South Youth Advisory Councils and the Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs on the conference and its recommendations.

Status: The Youth Advisory Councils are to further consider the report recommendations with a view of determining those recommendations that the City’s young people can realistically achieve.

It is anticipated that the report will be presented to Council on 11 June 2002.

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS – ex CJ379-11/01

“2 (a) NOTES Motion 1 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors and AGREES that the consultation process undertaken regarding synthetic grass playing surfaces should include an elected member from each Ward where there is a bowling green located, and be finalised and reported to Council as part of the 2002/03 Budget preparation process;”

Status: As proposed, a representative group from the North Metropolitan Regional Recreation Advisory Committee has met with Bowls WA. It is proposed that a pilot study involving the North Metropolitan Regional Recreation Advisory Committee and Bowls WA be undertaken to develop an understanding of the strategic issues relating to the installation of synthetic grass bowling greens. The availability of funding to resource a study is being pursued with LA’s and Bowls WA being the major contributors, with some Department for Sport and Recreation funding also being sought.

The City has received a draft policy proposal from the Synthetic Grass Consultative Committee. The policy provides a suggested formula by which the City may consider funding synthetic bowling greens. A full report is being drafted for consideration by Council on 21 May 2002.

SHIRE OF WANNEROO AGED PERSONS' HOMES TRUST INC – MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP – ex CJ410-11/01

“defers any action at present in relation to representation on the Board of the Shire of Wanneroo Aged Persons’ Homes Trust Inc until this matter has been referred to the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests;

CHARGES the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests with the responsibility to Advise Council in regards to those which the Committee considers are the appropriate ways to support the development of aged care residential facilities and services for seniors”

Status: This matter has been presented to the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests, and is to be further considered at a SACSI meeting scheduled for May. It is anticipated that a report to Council will follow on 21 June 2002.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS

WARWICK OPEN SPACE – PUBLIC TOILET FACILITY - ex CJ312-09/01

“That Council DEFERS the removal of the Warwick Open Space public toilet facility and calls a community consultation meeting with the Warwick Open Space Facility User Groups, at the Warwick Recreation Association facility, on site, and requests the Urban Animal Management Committee to inspect and comment on the area, which is a regular area for canine animal exercise, in order to see whether the facility should be upgraded, kept as a community asset and developed as a picnic and barbecue area, adjacent to the Bush Walking Trails with interpreter signage, as there are already shelters, park seats and a car park currently in place.”

Status: A request has been forwarded to the Chairman of the Skatepark Committee during February 2002 seeking comment and feedback from that Committee in relation to the proposal.

PETITION – OBJECTION TO INSTALLATION OF GOAL POST, RUTHERGLEN PARK, KINROSS – ex CJ019-02/02

“that the matter pertaining to petition – objection to installation of Goal Post, Rutherglen Park, Kinross be DEFERRED to a future meeting of Council to enable on-site consultation to occur.”

Status: A meeting was recently held and a report will be submitted to the Council Meeting in May 2002.

TENDER 012-01/02 – LEASE FOR PART OF THE CIVIC CENTRE – ex CJ431-12/01

“3 without impacting the lease and within 60 days of tender acceptance SEEKS a further report addressing the request for improved signage and exposure of the venue.”

Status: This matter is currently being investigated by Infrastructure Management Services in consultation with Fawn Holdings Pty Ltd to identify whether a Council report is required to be submitted to Council in April 2002.

Fawn Holdings Pty Ltd has submitted a plan requesting signage and this is currently being evaluated including costings.

URBAN ANIMAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE – ex CJ358-10/01

“4 SEEKS a further report and legal advice clarifying whether or not a local government may provide discounts on dog registrations for dogs that attend a recognised dog obedience course;”

“that consideration of the Urban Animal Action Plan – Cats forming Attachment 3 to Report CJ358-10/01 be:

- 1 Referred to the Urban Animal management Committee for further review;
- 2 Presented to Council for a further review;
- 3 Presented to Council for a final decision on the matter.

Status: A report will be presented in May 2002.

OUTSTANDING PETITIONS

<p>Petition requesting construction of a bus shelter and bus bay on the inward route on Cockman Road between Mulligan Drive and Cobine Way, Greenwood.</p> <p>Comment: A report will be submitted to Council in May 2002.</p>	<p>12 June 2001</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>Petition opposing construction of footpath – Sycamore Drive, Duncraig.</p> <p>Comment: A pedestrian survey has been undertaken to determine the warrant of a footpath. It is anticipated that a report will be submitted to May 2002 Council meeting.</p>	<p>13 November 2001</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>Petition requesting construction of a wall – Bute Court, Kinross.</p> <p>Comment: This matter is currently being investigated with the Developer and other directorates and a report will be submitted to Council in May 2002.</p>	<p>13 November 2001</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>A 7-signature petition has been received from residents of Oldham Street, Hillarys opposing the construction of a footpath in Oldham Street.</p> <p>Comment: An evaluation of the warrant for a footpath will be undertaken.</p> <p>Further information has now been provided to the resident and a survey is programmed. A report will be presented in May 2002.</p>	<p>27 November 2001</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>A 179-signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup requesting the City include in its 2001/02 budget the cost of modifying the road design of Gibson Avenue to facilitate easier and safer driveway exiting for residents.</p> <p>Comment: An investigation of the proposed road treatment has been programmed and a report will be submitted to Council in May/June 2002.</p>	<p>27 November 2001</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>Petition requesting installation of boom gate, retractable bollards, Neil Hawkins Park, Joondalup.</p> <p>Comment: On completion of investigations, a report will be submitted to Council during June/July 2002</p>	<p>12 February 2002</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>
<p>Petition requesting installation of watering system to park – Chalcombe Way/Glenmere Road, Warwick.</p> <p>Comment: Will be referred to Dry Parks, Median and Verge Committee for its consideration. Dry Park Median and Verge Committee report to be prepared for the May/June round of Meetings.</p>	<p>12 February 2002</p> <p>Director Infrastructure & Operations</p>

REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED AT BRIEFING SESSIONS

	DATE OF REQUEST - REFERRED TO -
<p>Cr Hollywood requested that consideration be given to a 'country town' relationship.</p> <p>Comment: Investigations into possible country town relationships has commenced. A report will be submitted in due course.</p> <p>Report to be submitted for the meeting on 23 April 2002. It is now anticipated that this report will be submitted to Council on 21 May 2002.</p>	<p>6 November 2001</p> <p>Manager Strategic & Corporate Planning</p>