



*City of*  
**Joondalup**

**DRAFT AGENDA**

**FOR ELECTED MEMBERS'**

**BRIEFING SESSION**

**TUESDAY, 29 OCTOBER 2002**

## **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

*Council allows for public question time at each Council meeting or Briefing Session, which is opened to the public. Questions must relate to the ordinary business of the City of Joondalup or the purpose of the Special Meeting, as appropriate.*

*The Mayor or the presiding person is responsible for the procedures and conduct of the public question time.*

*To enable prompt and detailed responses to questions, members of the public are requested to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk two (2) days prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.*

*Those questions that are to be asked at the meeting are requested to be submitted in writing and placed in the 'question tray' prior to the commencement of the meeting. Those questions submitted in writing will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer and answers provided where possible. Verbal questions may be asked by members of the public and the period of time for verbal questions will be a minimum of fifteen (15) minutes.*

*The Mayor or presiding person shall decide to:*

- *accept or reject the question;*
- *nominate a member of the Council and/or officer to answer the question; or*
- *determine that any complex question which requires research shall be taken on notice with a response provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of the Council.*

*The following rules apply to question time:*

- *question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion.*
- *questions should properly relate to Council business.*
- *question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation.*
- *questions should be asked politely and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely on a particular Elected Member or officer;*
- *where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting.*

## **DEPUTATION SESSIONS**

*Elected Members will conduct an informal session at the Briefing Session in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup, commencing at 6.00 pm where members of the public may present deputations by appointment only. (Please note that deputation requests are to be received by no later than 4.00 pm on the Monday prior to a Briefing Session.)*

*A time period of fifteen (15) minutes is set aside for each deputation, with five (5) minutes for Elected Members' questions. Deputation sessions are open to the public.*

*\*Any queries on the briefing agenda, please contact Council Support Services on 9400 4369*

# CITY OF JOONDALUP – BRIEFING SESSION

to be held in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on  
**TUESDAY, 29 OCTOBER 2002** commencing at **6.00 pm**

## ORDER OF BUSINESS

### **1 OPEN AND WELCOME**

### **2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

**The following questions were submitted to the Briefing Session held on 8 October 2002 by Mr Steve Magyar, Heathridge:**

*Q1 Item 2, Change of Council Meeting Dates: Did any elected member request administration to prepare this report and suggest its recommendation?*

A1 No.

*Q2 Item 6, Delegated Authority, Acceptance of Tenders: How does this change to the limit fit into the meaning of Section 2.7 of the Local Government Act 1995?*

A2 The City currently requires all matters to be signed off which are over \$100,000 to be submitted to Council. This proposal is merely to reduce the amount of items, which are routinely presented to Council.

### **3 DEPUTATIONS**

### **4 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

#### **Leave of absence previously approved:**

Cr Hurst 24 October 2002 to 30 October 2002 inclusive  
4 November 2002 to 6 November 2002 inclusive

Cr A Walker 12 November 2002 to 26 November 2002 inclusive

Cr D Carlos 11 November 2002 to 18 November 2002 inclusive.

### **5 DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST/INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY**

## **6 REPORTS**

ITEM 1	VACANCIES - WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION - COMMITTEE VACANCIES – [02011].....	1
ITEM 2	MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 14 OCTOBER 2002 - [59064].....	6
ITEM 3	MINUTES OF THE CBD ENHANCEMENT PROJECT STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 10 OCTOBER 2002 – [53469].....	9
ITEM 4	JOONDALUP CBD – COUNCIL ENDORSEMENT FOR A CALL FOR SUBMISSIONS FOR SWAP MEET OR SIMILAR OPERATIONS – [53469].....	12
ITEM 5	MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, 26 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [00906].....	19
ITEM 6	WARRANT OF PAYMENTS – 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [09882].....	21
ITEM 7	FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [07882].....	23
ITEM 8	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE NATIONAL YOUTH CONFERENCE HELD IN SEPTEMBER 2001 AND THE RESPONSE OF THE YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCILS – [38245] [05396].....	24
ITEM 9	DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT – SEPTEMBER 2002 – [07032].....	27
ITEM 10	SUBDIVISION REFERRALS PROCESSED 1 SEPTEMBER – 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [05961].....	28
ITEM 11	COMMUNITY FUNDING PROGRAM 2002-2003 GRANTS ALLOCATIONS - FIRST FUNDING ROUND – [23523] [25523].....	29
ITEM 12	PROPOSED SINGLE HOUSE (INCLUDING WALL ON BOUNDARY): LOT 151 (16) MONTAGUE WAY, KALLAROO – [42750].....	38
ITEM 13	PROPOSED SHOWROOM/AUTOBAHN DEVELOPMENT: LOT 704 (9) WISE STREET, JOONDALUP – [54211].....	44
ITEM 14	STREETSIDE BENCHES PROPOSED SETTLEMENT – [45612] [45924].....	49

## **7 REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

## **8 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

NOTICE OF MOTION NO 1 – CR C MACKINTOSH.....	50
NOTICE OF MOTION NO 2 – CR J HOLLYWOOD - [05564] [01369] [02154].....	50
NOTICE OF MOTION NO 3 – CR A WALKER.....	52

## **9 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

## **10 CLOSURE**

---

## **ITEM 1 VACANCIES - WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION - COMMITTEE VACANCIES – [02011]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

To call for nominations for various committees of the Western Australian Local Government Association.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) has invited member Councils to submit nominations to various committees.

Nominations are invited from elected member and officer representatives with experience, knowledge and an interest in the relevant issues.

### **BACKGROUND**

The Western Australian Local Government Association has invited member Council to submit nominations to the following committees:

- Building Regulations Advisory Committee;
- Impact of Combining Tourist and Permanent Residential Accommodation and the Impact of Strata Titling of Tourist Accommodation Taskforce;
- WA Environmental Health Officers Professional Review Board;
- Wetland Coordinating Committee.

Nominations are invited from elected member and officer representatives with experience, knowledge and an interest in the relevant issues.

### **Nominations for all vacancies close on Friday 8 November 2002.**

Nominations must ensure that the Selection Criteria are addressed in full. Appointments are conditional on the understanding that nominees and delegates will resign when their entitlement terminates – that is, they are no longer elected members or serving officers of Local Government. This ensures that the Local Government representative is always active in Local Government as an elected member or serving officer.

Details of the vacancies and Nominations Forms can be found at the Policy section of the WALGA website at: <http://www.walga.asn.au/policy/committees>.

**DETAILS****1 BUILDING REGULATIONS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (One Member)**

<b>Selection Criteria:</b>	<p>Nominees to address the following Selection Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be a current elected member/serving officer experienced in or with a knowledge of, or interest in building, the building industry and building safety;</li> <li>• Availability of the applicant to undertake the responsibility;</li> <li>• Relevant skills in the area;</li> <li>• Demonstrated interest in the position;</li> <li>• Capacity of the applicant to represent the interest of Local Government and the Association;</li> <li>• Relevant experience and qualifications that is applicable to the position.</li> </ul>
<b>Background information:</b>	The Committee is constituted under Section 435 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1960 to advise the Minister on matters pertaining to building, the building industry and building safety.
<b>Term:</b>	The term commences upon appointment for a term to be determined.
<b>Meetings:</b>	Meetings are held quarterly, at 9.00 am on a Friday, at the LDGRD, 32 St George's Terrace, Perth. Meeting duration is 3 hours.
<b>Meeting Fee:</b>	A meeting fee is paid. Travel allowance is not paid.
<b>Committee Membership:</b>	<p>The Committee will have representation from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DLGRD</li> <li>• WA Local Government Association</li> <li>• Contract Management Services</li> <li>• City of Perth</li> <li>• Australian Institute of Architects</li> </ul>

## 2 IMPACT OF COMBINING TOURIST AND PERMANENT RESIDENTIAL ACCOMMODATION AND THE IMPACT OF STRATA TITLING OF TOURIST ACCOMMODATION TASKFORCE (One Member)

<b>Selection Criteria:</b>	<p>Nominees to address the following Selection Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be a current elected member;</li> <li>• Availability of the applicant to undertake the responsibility;</li> <li>• Relevant skills in the area;</li> <li>• Demonstrated interest in the position;</li> <li>• Capacity of the applicant to represent the interest of Local Government and the Association;</li> <li>• Relevant experience that is applicable to the position.</li> </ul>
<b>Background information:</b>	<p>A Taskforce has been established by the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure in response to an increasing trend in the development of the tourism industry to seek a mix of tourist and permanent residential accommodation in a single development on land zoned for “tourist” purposes.</p>
<b>Term:</b>	<p>The term commences upon appointment. The length of the term is indefinite although a reporting date to the Minister of March 2003 is applicable.</p>
<b>Meetings:</b>	<p>Meeting date, time and location to be advised.</p>
<b>Meeting Fee:</b>	<p>Nil</p>
<b>Committee Membership:</b>	<p>The Committee will have representation from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Hon Adele Farina, Member for the South West (Chairman);</li> <li>• Department of Planning and Infrastructure;</li> <li>• Department of Land Administration;</li> <li>• Valuer General’s Office;</li> <li>• LDGRD;</li> <li>• Tourism Commission of WA;</li> <li>• Urban Development Institute of Australia;</li> <li>• Property Council of Aust WA</li> <li>• Cape Naturalise or Augusta-Margaret River Tourism Association.</li> </ul>

### 3 WA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH OFFICERS PROFESSIONAL REVIEW BOARD – (One Member, One Deputy Member)

<b>Selection Criteria:</b>	<p>Nominees to address the following Selection Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be a current elected member/serving officer experienced in or with a knowledge of environmental health issues and training;</li> <li>• Availability of the applicant to undertake the responsibility;</li> <li>• Relevant skills in the area;</li> <li>• Demonstrated interest in the position;</li> <li>• Capacity of the applicant to represent the interest of Local Government and the Association;</li> <li>• Relevant experience and qualifications that is applicable to the position.</li> </ul>
<b>Background information:</b>	<p>The Board will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess the educational content and relevant of courses by educational institutions in WA in the field of environmental health or any of its specialities, and if satisfied grant recognition to such awards;</li> <li>• Generally oversee and keep under review the content and relevant of courses for awards recognised by the Board, and if not satisfied, to withdraw recognition of such awards;</li> <li>• Assess the educational content and relevance to WA of courses by educational institutions outside WA, and grant recognition to such awards, either outright or on a reciprocal basis or with some other proviso;</li> <li>• Define circumstances under which environmental health experience is required for appointment to the office of environmental health officer, and assess certification for recognition by the Board;</li> <li>• Prepare and keep under review, in consultation with the Australian Institute of Environmental Health (WA Division) a code of professional conduct for environmental health officers;</li> <li>• Enquire into complaints, and if upheld, recommend remedial or disciplinary measures;</li> <li>• Investigate and advise on scope, manpower and structural requirements of environmental health services in WA.</li> </ul>
<b>Term:</b>	The term commences upon appointment for a period of two years.
<b>Meetings:</b>	Meetings are held quarterly at Shenton Park, and run for a duration of 1½ - 2 hours. Date and time of meeting to be advised.
<b>Meeting Fee:</b>	Nil
<b>Committee Membership:</b>	<p>The Committee will have representation from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Director, Public Health (Chairman);</li> <li>• Principal Environmental Health Officer Health Dept of WA;</li> <li>• Aust Institute of Environmental Health;</li> <li>• Curtis University;</li> <li>• WA Local Government Association.</li> </ul>



#### 4 WETLAND COORDINATING COMMITTEE – (One Member, One Deputy Member)

<b>Selection Criteria:</b>	<p>Nominees to address the following Selection Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be a current elected member;</li> <li>• Availability of the applicant to undertake the responsibility;</li> <li>• Relevant skills in the area;</li> <li>• Demonstrated interest in the position;</li> <li>• Capacity of the applicant to represent the interest of Local Government and the Association;</li> <li>• Relevant experience and qualifications that is applicable to the position;</li> <li>• Suitable knowledge of wetland conservation and management issues as they relate to Local Government within the State of Western Australia.</li> </ul>
<b>Background information:</b>	The Wetlands Coordinating Committee has been established to coordinate the implementation of the Wetland Conservation Policy for Western Australia and the activities of relevant agencies with respect to wetlands.
<b>Term:</b>	The term commences upon appointment and concludes on 4 May 2004. Thereafter, appointments will be for three years.
<b>Meetings:</b>	Meetings are held quarterly at CALM, Crawley, for a duration of up to six hours. Date and time to be advised.
<b>Meeting Fee:</b>	Nil.
<b>Committee Membership:</b>	<p>The Committee will have representation from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of Conservation and Land Management (Chairman);</li> <li>• Department of Planning and Infrastructure;</li> <li>• Department of Conservation and Land Management;</li> <li>• Department of Environmental Protection;</li> <li>• Department of Agriculture;</li> <li>• Waters and Rivers Commission;</li> <li>• WALGA;</li> <li>• A recognised non-government scientist and two members from the voluntary conservation movement with specific knowledge of wetlands and their biota.</li> </ul>

#### VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

#### RECOMMENDATION

**Submitted for nomination.**

## **ITEM 2 MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 14 OCTOBER 2002 - [59064]**

**WARD** - All

---

### **PURPOSE**

To submit the Minutes of the House Committee meeting held on 14 October 2002 to Council for endorsement.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A meeting of the House Committee was held on 14 October 2002 and the unconfirmed minutes are submitted for noting by Council and endorsement of the recommendations contained therein.

### **BACKGROUND**

The House Committee has been established to consider matters relating to:

- Civic function requirements
- Elected Members' requirements
- Awards and presentations
- House facility services

### **DETAILS**

The unconfirmed minutes of the House Committee meeting held on 14 October 2002 are included as Attachment 1 to this Report.

At the meeting, the following matters were discussed:

- City Christmas Function 2002
- Christmas Cards & Hampers
- Function of Events for 2003
- Mayoral Prayer Breakfast

### **COMMENT**

It is recommended that:

- the unconfirmed minutes be noted;
- the format. Guest list and budget of the 2002 City Christmas function be agreed to;
- the style and budget of Christmas cards and elected members Christmas hampers be agreed to;
- the series of civic functions listed for 2003 be agreed to;
- the format, date\* and budget for a Mayoral Prayer Breakfast in 2003 be agreed to.

\*Since the meeting of the House Committee it has been advised that the 28 February 2003 is no longer suitable for all parties. It is proposed that the Mayoral Prayer Breakfast be held on Friday 21 February 2003.

## **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority

## **RECOMMENDATION**

### **That Council:**

- 1 NOTES the unconfirmed Minutes of the House Committee meeting held on 14 October 2002 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;**
- 2 (a) AGREES to the hosting of the 2002 Christmas Dinner on 7 December 2002 at the Joondalup Resort Terrace for 200 guests at an estimated cost of \$85 per head to be charged to Account No 11 05 05 051 3799 0001;**
  - (b) AGREES to revised guest list;**
    - Mayor, Councillors, Chief Executive Officer, Directors and their partners;
    - Each Councillor to be permitted to invite 3 guests and their partners, from the community;
    - The Mayor may invite 6 guests and their partners;
    - Elected heads of Council and Chief Executive Officers from the Cities of Wanneroo, Stirling, Bayswater, Perth, Swan & Rockingham, Towns of Cambridge, Victoria Park & Vincent, Shires of Gingin and Cue, and the CEO of the Mindarie Regional Council;
    - Premier and Members of State Parliament, both lower and upper houses, with offices or electorates encompassing part of the district of the City of Joondalup, and their partners;
    - Major Stakeholders of the region from business, education, health and sport and their partners, invitations to be finalised and approved by Mayor & CEO.
  - (c) AGREES to the proposed format and menu for the evening;**
  - (d) AGREES to Marketing Services booking appropriate entertainment for the evening;**
- 3 (a) AGREES to the purchase of 1,000 Christmas cards for 2002, with 50 provided to each elected member and the remaining (250) provided to Directors and senior staff;**
  - (b) AGREES to Customised City of Joondalup Christmas cards with two version of the wording as follows:**
    - Very Best Wishes for the Festive Season and a Prosperous New Year from the Mayor, Councillors and Staff of the City of Joondalup;

- **Very Best Wishes for the Festive Season and a Prosperous New Year.**
- (c) **AGREES that each elected member be presented with a Christmas Hamper with choices of food and beverages to the value of \$150 each with associated costs being charged to Account No 11 05 05 051 3799 0001.**
- 4 AGREES to the series of civic functions for 2003 as detailed in the minutes of the House Committee on 14 October 2003;**
- 5 (a) AGREES to the holding of an inaugural Mayoral Prayer Breakfast, in conjunction with the City of Joondalup Churches Association, to be held on Friday 21 February 2003 at the Lakeside Function Centre;**
  - (b) AGREES to approach suitable organisations inviting sponsorship for the Mayoral Prayer Breakfast.**
- 6 AGREES to the costs for the Mayoral Prayer Breakfast in (5b) above at an estimated cost of \$21.50 per head to be charged to Account No 11 05 05 053 4111 0001.**

*Appendix 1 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach1brf291002.pdf](#)*

### **ITEM 3 MINUTES OF THE CBD ENHANCEMENT PROJECT STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 10 OCTOBER 2002 – [53469]**

**WARD** - Lakeside

---

#### **PURPOSE**

The CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee met on 10 October 2002 and the minutes of the meeting are submitted for noting by Council, and consideration of relevant recommendations.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee considered a range of business items at its meeting held on 10 October 2002 including:

- Marketing Matrix Re-design including an update on the Marketing Plan
- Joondalup Night Markets Update
- JoondalUPDATE Publication
- CBD Website - cbd.joondalup.biz
- Update on the Central Walk Art Gallery
- Central Walk Signage
- Real Estate Agents - Student Accommodation, Breakfast (28 November 2002)

Recommendations have been made in relation to:

- Report: Swap Meet or similar Operations
- Appointment of Ms Enid Chua, Youth Advisory Council, to the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee

*This report recommends that Council:*

- 1 *NOTES the minutes of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee held on 10 October 2002 (Attachment 1 to this Report refers);*
- 2 *NOTES that the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee supports the endorsement for a call for submissions for swap meet or similar operations in the Joondalup CBD;*
- 3 *AUTHORISES that Ms Enid Chua, Youth Advisory Council Representative, be appointed as a member of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee.*

## DETAILS

The minutes of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee 10 October 2002 are at Attachment 1 to this Report.

### **Report - Swap Meet or similar Operations**

The CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee, received a copy of a report on the proposal (in draft form) from the City's CBD Promotions Officer and the matter has been discussed as a CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee agenda item at its meeting held on 10 October 2002.

Following discussion, a motion was put that the CBD Enhancement Committee supported the call for submissions for swap meet or similar operations in the Joondalup CBD:

#### *RECOMMENDATION*

*MOVED Mr R Poliwka SECONDED Cr P Kimber that the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee recommends to Council that it supports the endorsement for a call for submissions for swap meet or similar operations in the Joondalup CBD.*

*The Motion was Put and*

*CARRIED*

### **Appointment of Ms Enid Chua, Youth Advisory Council, to the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee**

Council, at its meeting held on 13 August 2002, authorised that the Committee's Terms of Reference include a representative from the Youth Advisory Council to be appointed to the Committee. A representative of the Youth Advisory Council, Ms E Chua, attended the meeting held on 10 October 2002 as a guest of the Committee:

#### *RECOMMENDATION*

*That the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee recommends to Council that Ms Enid Chua, Youth Advisory Council Representative, be appointed as a member of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee.*

### **Strategic Implications:**

Under the key result area of 'Lifestyle' Strategy 2.2 of its Strategic Plan 2000-2005, the City states that it will:

*"Facilitate the development of the Joondalup City Centre".*

The CBD Enhancement Project is consistent with this Key Result Area in by the City's Strategic Plan 2000-2005.

## VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Absolute majority.

**RECOMMENDATION****That Council:**

- 1** NOTES the minutes of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee held on 10 October 2002 (Attachment 1 to this Report refers);
- 2** NOTES that the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee supports the endorsement for a call for submissions for swap meet or similar operations in the Joondalup CBD;
- 4** AUTHORISES, BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY that Ms Enid Chua, Youth Advisory Council Representative, be appointed as a member of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee.

*Appendix 2 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach2brf291002.pdf](#)*

## **ITEM 4 JOONDALUP CBD – COUNCIL ENDORSEMENT FOR A CALL FOR SUBMISSIONS FOR SWAP MEET OR SIMILAR OPERATIONS – [53469]**

**WARD - Lakeside**

---

### **PURPOSE**

The suggestion of holding a car-boot sale, swap meet or second-hand car exchange and other activities of this nature has been raised in a number of forums, in which the City has sought consultation on ideas to activate the Central Business District (0CBD) area in the Joondalup City Centre.

This report seeks to obtain Council endorsement for the calling of submissions from interested community groups, sporting clubs and other organisations to run this type of activity. The operators would utilise car-parking facilities in the Joondalup CBD that are owned and maintained by the City of Joondalup.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee received a copy of the draft swap meet report and the matter has been discussed as a CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee agenda item, at its meeting held on 10 October 2002. The Committee moved at that meeting to recommend to Council that it supports the endorsement for a call for submissions for swap meet or similar operations in the Joondalup CBD. A separate report titled *Minutes of the CBD Enhancement Project Steering Committee Meeting held on 10 October 2002* has been included in the Agenda.

The Joondalup CBD Enhancement Project was initiated by the City of Joondalup in order to activate the Joondalup Central Business District. This report provides an outline of the need for an increase in community based activities within the Joondalup CBD.

The benefits that a community activity such as a swap meet, car boot sale, second-hand car exchange or similar operation could provide to the City of Joondalup and the Joondalup City Centre are outlined here:

- 1 Provide the opportunity to broaden the appeal of the Joondalup City Centre to include times outside of traditional office hours.
- 2 Activities such as these have the potential to add some much needed vibrancy to the CBD by acting as an “attractor” to draw people into the heart of the City of Joondalup.
- 3 Provide a regular vehicle from which to showcase the Joondalup City Centre.

Successful swap meets are held on a weekly basis in Maddington, Rockingham, Melville, Belmont, Kardinya and Karrinyup. These are all run through local branches of Rotary Club. From looking at these locations it is clear to see that there are a lack of similar activities in the north metropolitan region. The Lions Club of WA also runs a small, weekly swap meet on Sunday morning at Whitford City Shopping Centre (underground car park).



*This report recommends:*

*That Council ENDORSES the calling of submissions from local community groups, sporting clubs and other organisations to run a swap meet, car boot sale, second hand car exchange or similar event in the Joondalup CBD.*

## **BACKGROUND**

The Joondalup CBD is very busy during the traditional office hours of 9-5 Monday to Friday. However, outside these hours, on weekends and especially on Sundays the CBD has a distinct lack of atmosphere and a very low level of both vehicular and pedestrian traffic.

The City of Joondalup currently hosts the Joondalup Night Markets during the warmer summer months, in the Joondalup CBD on Reid Promenade and Central Walk.

The City also implements a summer events program on a yearly basis, which includes major events such as Christmas entertainment, large and small concerts and other family events, and culminates with the now well-respected Joondalup Festival in late March.

## **Joondalup CBD**

The Joondalup CBD, for the purposes of the CBD Enhancement Project, is defined as the area contained in the six City blocks bordered by Shenton Avenue, Lakeside Drive, Boas Avenue and McLarty Avenue. This area is currently the subject of an across-organisational project to lift its vibrancy. The CBD Enhancement Project aims to specifically target the following elements of the Strategic Plan.

### **Strategic Plan:**

The City of Joondalup's Strategic Plan 2000-2005 states that:

*“Our vision is to.... Develop a unique City Centre, which is:*

- *A vibrant place, filled with people day and night, with employment, entertainment, shopping, restaurants, arts, culture and university life.*
- *A high-technology business environment, taking advantage of leading-edge opportunities to stimulate economic growth.*
- *A viable alternative to Perth CBD.”*

### **Strategy 2.2**

*“Facilitate the development of the Joondalup City Centre.*

*To achieve this we will:*

- *Develop initiatives and introduce incentives which encourage development*

- *Encourage and promote events and activities which create a dynamic image and bring vitality to the City Centre.”*

## **DETAILS**

There are a number of opportunities that currently exist within the Joondalup CBD that will provide a significant amount of vibrancy to the Joondalup City Centre. It is proposed that the opportunity to hold an activity such as a swap meet on a regular basis would have numerous benefits:

- Provides a simple and effective way for local community groups, sporting clubs and other organisations to raise much-needed funds and to make a positive contribution to the local community;
- Provides a mechanism to activate the Joondalup CBD during some of the quieter periods on weekends. (Sunday mornings are particularly quiet times and are in need of an “attractor” to bring people from the surrounding areas into the CBD);
- Gives an opportunity for local organisations to be creative and offer suggestions that may not have been considered previously by the City of Joondalup.

The Joondalup CBD is in desperate need for regular community activities across the quieter weekend period that could act as a catalyst for business growth and development and could activate the Joondalup City Centre as a vibrant place seven days a week.

## **Submissions**

It is proposed that submissions would be called from interested organisations to operate a swap meet, car boot sale, used car exchange or an activity of a similar nature within the Joondalup CBD on a regular basis.

The submissions would need to be innovative and compliment rather than compete with the Summer Events Programme that is already in place.

The submission would seek an activity or activities that are sustainable all year round, that involves local community organisations, provides a positive effect for local traders, adds vibrancy to the CBD and encourages a “win-win” situation for all stakeholders.

Calling for submissions provides the opportunity for maximising the involvement of the local community in developing the identity of the Joondalup CBD.

## **Location**

The preferred location of the proposed activity/s would be a car park in the Joondalup CBD. This would provide the opportunity to work towards achieving the vision set out in the City of Joondalup’s 2000-2005 Strategic Plan – *Strategy 2.2 Facilitate the development of the Joondalup City Centre...Encourage and promote events and activities which create a dynamic image and bring vitality to the City Centre.*

The specific location would be negotiated with those organisations submitting submissions and would form a part of the selection criteria when assessing the responses.

## **Tenure**

The selection panel when assessing the submissions would determine the appropriate length of time for an organisation to run the proposed activities. It is thought that at this early stage it would be more appropriate to ask for submissions that suggest varied lengths of tenure and to then assess these based on individual merit. The number of respondents may influence the length and type of tenure. This may include rotating responsibilities amongst a number of organisations, or involving different organisations for short periods of time.

## **Selection of Appropriate Operator/s**

A selection panel, comprising representatives from appropriate business units within the City of Joondalup, will be formed to assess the submissions.

A list of selection criteria will be developed to ensure that the selection process is fair and reasonable for all applicants.

Local community groups, sporting clubs and other organisations will also receive a letter of notification of the request for submissions, via direct mail. The letter will outline the steps required to submit a submission and encourage local organisations to respond by the due date. The City of Joondalup has an up-to-date database listing each of these organisations.

The timeframe for the development, approvals and implementation for such activities is very tight if it is to be achieved in time for the coming summer months.

**Attachment 1** to this Report shows a timetable outlining the critical dates to achieve this project within the desired timeframe.

## **Statutory Provision:**

There may be leasing requirements depending on the details of the proposals received. Tenants/owners of premises adjacent to the appropriate car parks will be notified of the activities and dates on which they will occur.

As the activities will take place during weekends, it is anticipated that there will be no conflict of usage with existing stakeholders.

Conditions of use for the car park/s used for the proposed activities will stipulate that they remain in the same condition before and after use (i.e. clean and free of litter).

## **Trading Regulations**

*Local Government Act 1995 – Trading in Public Places Local Law 1999*, is the local law that may be relevant in some circumstances. This law, referred to as the *City of Joondalup Trading In Public Places Local Law 1999* provides that a licence can be issued to enable street trading.

In accordance with Trading In Public Places Regulations, food stalls would have to procure a Trading in Public Places Licence.

The Health (Food Hygiene) Regulations 1993 may also need to be applied depending upon the exact nature of the planned activities.

The City has a number of documents relating to trading in public places, relevant health laws and other guidelines that would be provided to the successful applicant/s in order to ensure that all relevant laws are complied with and all Regulations are met.

Generally, the most important facilities that need to be provided in circumstances such as these are hand-washing facilities for food vendors and public conveniences (toilets) for patrons.

### **Consultation:**

Several meetings have been held with the owners and occupiers of food and entertainment businesses in the Joondalup CBD, regarding weekend trading and the current lack of regular community events.

Staff members likely to be involved by these proposals have also been consulted and are supportive.

### **Financial Implications:**

The financial impact on the City will be limited to the cost of obtaining submissions from local community groups, sporting clubs and other interested parties. The amount required for this to be carried out is comprised of advertising costs in the local Community newspapers and the cost of direct mail to the database of community organisations.

It is estimated that this project would cost approximately \$500.

<b>Account No:</b>	CBD Enhancement (Promotions Officer Salary Marketing Plan) F371
<b>Budget Item:</b>	Advertising 3701; Postage 3710
<b>Budget Amount:</b>	\$91,540
<b>YTD Amount:</b>	\$22,886
<b>Actual Cost:</b>	\$27,084

### **Strategic Implications:**

The City's vision, as expressed in its 2000-2005 Strategic Plan, is of a vibrant City Centre, filled with people day and night, with employment, entertainment, shopping, restaurants, arts, culture and university life that is a viable alternative to Perth.

If this vision is to be achieved and the CBD is to reach its full potential then community activities, such as car boot sales and swap meets, need to be established in order to facilitate a strong community spirit and a vibrant, participative atmosphere.

### **Benchmarking**

The majority of swap meets and car boot sales in the metropolitan area are referred to as swap marts. A high proportion of these swap marts are located in the south and east metropolitan regions, with only a small number located north of the Perth CBD.

During investigation of metropolitan swap marts it was found that a number are operated through local Rotary Clubs. The table below outlines some of the swap marts that are currently in operation.

<b>Location</b>	<b>Operator</b>	<b>Day/ Frequency</b>
Karrinyup Shopping Centre (undercover)	Scarborough Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly
Kardinya Park Shopping Centre	Booragoon Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly
Rockingham Beach Shopping Centre	Rockingham Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly
Metro Maddington Shopping Centre	Thornlie Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly
Whitford City Shopping Centre (undercover)	Whitfords Lions Club	Sunday/Weekly
Melville Plaza	Melville Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly
Belmont Forum Shopping Centre	Belmont Rotary Club	Sunday/Weekly

Most of these swap marts start at approximately 6.00am and finish anytime from mid-morning until 12.00pm.

The number of bays available for sellers varies from venue to venue, with the average being approximately 150-200. The occupancy rate of these bays varies significantly depending on the time of year, weather and other factors such as competing events.

The rates charged for buyers and sellers also vary significantly.

The number of car markets or second-hand car exchanges was found to be very small. During investigations only two markets were located. These are:

- 1 Motorfair – The Monster Car Market – located at Ross Drive, Perth Airport (Domestic Terminal), trading every Sunday from 9.00am-1.00pm.
- 2 Fremantle Auto Exchange – located in a City of Fremantle car park adjacent to the Fremantle Woolstores, trading every Saturday between 8.00am and 1.00pm.

## **COMMENT**

It is proposed that the addition of a car/car boot sale, second hand car exchange or similar activity will broaden the appeal of the Joondalup City Centre to include times outside of traditional office hours.

Activities such as these have the potential to add some much needed vibrancy to the CBD and provide an opportunity to showcase the Joondalup City Centre. These activities may provide the opportunity to act as an “attractor” to draw people into the heart of the City of Joondalup.

It must also be noted that whilst some of the swap marts operating in the south and east metropolitan regions are thriving the Whitfords Lions Club swap meet is experiencing a downturn in activity. It is believed that the dwindling numbers of both sellers and buyers is due to the obscure location (visibility), lack of promotion and poor accessibility.

The City of Joondalup’s proposal will provide a realistic alternative to this option given the accessibility of the Joondalup CBD through its excellent transport links. Joondalup CBD is located adjacent to the Joondalup train station and within a short distance of main arterial roads. The Joondalup CBD also boasts a highly level of visible from street level and passing vehicular and pedestrian traffic. The CBD Enhancement Project already has in place a number of communication vehicles in which an activity such as this can be promoted.

## **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple majority.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council ENDORSES the calling of submissions from local community groups, sporting clubs and other organisations to run a swap meet, car boot sale, second hand car exchange or similar event in the Joondalup CBD.**

*Appendix 3 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach3brf291002.pdf](#)*

## **ITEM 5 MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, 26 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [00906]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee met on 26 September 2002 and the minutes of the meeting are submitted for noting by Council, and consideration of relevant recommendations.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee considered a range of business items at its meeting held on 26 September 2002. A committee recommendation to Council has been made in relation to:

- The State Governments draft Sustainability Strategy.

*This report recommends that Council:*

- 1 *NOTES the minutes of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee held on 26 September 2002;*
- 2 *Prepares a submission on the State Government's consultation draft, "Focus on the Future: The Western Australian State Sustainability Strategy: Consultation draft", for submission to the Department of Premier and Cabinet by the closure of the public consultation period (10 January 2003).*

### **BACKGROUND**

The Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting, held on 26 September 2002 covered a range of business items including;

- The State Government's launch of the draft Sustainability Strategy, for public comment, closing in January 2003.
- Cities for Climate Protection programme (Milestone 3 development of greenhouse gas reduction action plan).
- Draft Sustainability Self Evaluation guide.
- Car Free Day
- Community Funding (Environmental and Sustainable Development Fund).
- Other topics.

## DETAILS

The minutes of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee 26 September 2002 are provided at Attachment A to this Report.

## COMMENT

Item 5.1 refers to the launch of the State Government's draft sustainability strategy, which has been released for public comment until 10 January 2003. The committee recommends to Council that Council prepares a submission on the consultation draft, for submission to the Department of Premier and Cabinet by the closure of the public consultation period (10 January 2003). It is proposed that the submission be developed based on administrative review of the State's draft sustainability strategy. Given that Council will not be meeting in January 2003, it is anticipated that the City's submission will be referred to Council for endorsement in December 2002.

Item 6.7 of the minutes refers to a change in Council's policy in relation to the watering of dry parks. It should be noted that, contrary to item 6.7, Council's policy has not recently changed regarding the management and watering of dry parks.

## VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

## RECOMMENDATION

### **That Council;**

- 1 NOTES the minutes of the Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee held on 26 September 2002 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;**
- 2 PREPARES a submission on the State Government's consultation draft, "Focus on the Future: The Western Australian State Sustainability Strategy: Consultation draft", for submission to the Department of Premier and Cabinet by the closure of the public consultation period (10 January 2003).**

*Appendix 4 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach4brf291002.pdf](#)*



## **ITEM 6 WARRANT OF PAYMENTS – 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [09882]**

**WARD - All**

### **PURPOSE**

The Warrant of Payments as at 30 September 2002 is submitted to Council for approval.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report details the cheques drawn on the funds during the month of September 2002. It seeks Council's approval for the payment of the September 2002 accounts.

### **DETAILS**

<b>FUNDS</b>	<b>VOUCHERS</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
		\$ c
Municipal	000347-000353	22,782,086.95
Director Corporate Services & Resource Management Advance Account	042228-042900	5,710,885.59
Trust Account		
	<b>TOTAL \$</b>	<b>28,492,972.54</b>

The difference in total between the Municipal and Director of Resource Management Advance Account is attributable to the direct debits by the Commonwealth Bank for bank charges, credit card charges, investments and dishonoured cheques being processed through the Municipal Fund.

It is a requirement pursuant to the provisions of Regulation 13(4) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 that the total of all other outstanding accounts received but not paid, be presented to Council. At the close of September 2002, the amount was \$308,283.82.

The cheque register is appended as Attachment A to this Report.

### **CERTIFICATE OF THE ACTING DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE SERVICES & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

This warrant of accounts to be passed for payment, covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$28,492,972.54 which is to be submitted to each Elected Member on 5 November 2002 has been checked and is fully supported by vouchers and invoices which are submitted herewith and which have been duly certified as to the receipt of goods and the rendition of services and as to prices, computations and casting and the amounts shown are due for payment.

ALEXANDER SCOTT

Acting Director Corporate Services & Resource Management

**CERTIFICATE OF MAYOR**

I hereby certify that this warrant of payments covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$28,492,972.54 submitted to Council on 5 November 2002 is recommended for payment.

.....  
 Mayor John Bombak

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple majority.

**RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council APPROVES for payment the following vouchers, as presented in the Warrant of Payments to 30 September 2002, certified by the Mayor and Acting Director Corporate Services & Resource Management and totalling \$28,492,972.54.**

<b>FUNDS</b>	<b>VOUCHERS</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
		\$ c
Municipal	000347-000353	22,782,086.95
Director Corporate Services & Resource Management Advance Account	042228-042900	5,710,885.59
Trust Account		
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 28,492,972.54</b>

*Appendix 5 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach5brf291002.pdf](#)*

v:\reports\2002\council\rm0265.doc

## **ITEM 7 FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [07882]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

The September 2002 financial report is submitted to Council to be noted.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The September 2002 report shows a variance of \$4.1m when compared to the budget for the year to date.

This variance can be analysed as follows-

- The **Operating** position shows an actual operating surplus of \$35.3m compared to a budgeted operating surplus of \$33.3m at the end of September 2002, a difference of \$2.0m, due mainly to a surplus in fees and charges revenue, and an under spend in materials and contracts for the year to date.
- **Capital Expenditure** for the year to date is \$0.3m compared to budgeted expenditure of \$1.0m as at the end of September 2002, a difference of \$0.7m. This is due mainly to vehicle purchases that have been delayed to procure new model vehicles, that will result in a better long-term changeover to the City.
- **Capital Works** expenditure for the year to date amounted to \$1.4m against a budget of \$2.8m, an under spend of \$1.4m as at the end of September 2002. However, the City has committed expenditure through raised purchase orders of \$3.17m.

### **DETAILS**

The financial report for the period ending 30 September 2002 is appended as Attachment A to this Report.

### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple majority.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council NOTES the Financial Report for the period ending 30 September 2002.**

*Appendix 6 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach6brf291002.pdf](#)*

## **ITEM 8 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE NATIONAL YOUTH CONFERENCE HELD IN SEPTEMBER 2001 AND THE RESPONSE OF THE YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCILS – [38245] [05396]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

This report is to provide feedback to the Council from the Youth Advisory Councils and the Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs regarding the Recommendations from the National Youth Conference held in Perth in September 2001.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs requested that the recommendations from the Centenary of Federation National Conference of Young People held in Perth in September 2001, be considered by the Youth Advisory Council members in order to gain their opinions. The National Conference of Young People recommendations have been packaged and branded “*ImagineOz*” and are being promoted by a Perth-based taskforce which is funded by Commonwealth Government through the Centenary of Federation program.

A copy of the ImagineOz Recommendations is included with this report as Attachment 1 to this Report.

Notes from the workshop held with the Youth Advisory Councils held on 2 May 2002 to discuss the ImagineOz recommendations are included with this report as Attachment 2 to this Report.

Minutes of the Advisory Meeting held 3 July 2002 are included with this report as Attachment 3 to this Report.

The Terms of Reference of the Youth Advisory Councils are included with this report as Attachment 4 to this Report.

*This Report recommends that Council:*

- 1 Accepts the recommendations from the Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs to use the ImagineOz recommendations as guiding principles for the future work of the City of Joondalup Youth Advisory Councils;*
- 2 Support the City’s Youth Advisory Councils to implement the strategies identified in the ImagineOz workshop held on 2 May 2002 within their existing Terms of Reference.*

### **BACKGROUND**

The Centenary of Federation **National Conference of Young People** was held in Perth in September 2001. Councillor Paul Kadak and Youth Advisory Council members Viet Nguyen, Ryan Kukura, Kate Maassen and Suzanne Akila represented the City of Joondalup at the

Conference. A number of significant recommendations were made at the conference and, after much work by a taskforce of young people after the conference, have been developed into a document called “*ImagineOz*.” The recommendations reflect the aspirations of the hundreds of young people who attended the conference.

The City of Joondalup’s Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs (SAC-YA) requested that the Youth Advisory Councils be consulted regarding the *ImagineOz* recommendations. It was decided to convene a workshop to discuss *ImagineOz* in full, in order to give informed opinions to the Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs. Youth Advisory Council members were asked to consider firstly whether they agreed with the recommendations and secondly whether they thought the City of Joondalup could take an active role in their implementation. A copy of the minutes of this workshop is included in this report as Attachment 3.

## DETAILS

All Youth Advisory Council members were invited to attend a workshop on Thursday 2 May 2002 from 4.30pm to 6.30pm or to submit comments via email if they were unable to participate. Two members of the *ImagineOz* taskforce were invited to attend to give an overview of the progress of the taskforce to date and provide background to the group for each recommendation. The City’s Officers facilitated the group through their discussions. Youth Advisory Council members were asked to consider each recommendation, whether they thought the City of Joondalup could achieve outcomes at a local level and what strategies might be implemented to achieve outcomes.

Attending the meeting were seven Youth Advisory Council members, two representatives of the *ImagineOz* Taskforce and the Co-ordinator Community Services.

The recommendations of the National Conference of Young People have been published in a ten-page booklet called “Imagine”. This booklet was given to all Youth Advisory Council members to provide background prior to the workshop discussion. The group’s responses to each recommendation are listed under each of the headings as per the “Imagine” document i.e.

- Reconciliation
- Equal Opportunities
- Fostering Community Development and Involvement
- Health and Well Being
- Equal Opportunities, Human Rights and Social Justice
- Environmental Protection and Sustainability

There were three key issues that arose throughout the workshop conducted for the City of Joondalup’s Youth Advisory Councils. Those were –

- That the City of Joondalup has many existing programs and projects that address many of the recommendations in *ImagineOz*, however people don’t know about them.
- That the engagement of Volunteers was supported.
- That Community Service Order participants could be utilised to carry out some tasks associated with some strategies e.g. Tree Planting Programs.

### **Financial Implications:**

The Youth Advisory Councils are supported through the Youth In Government operational budget. Financial implications for any action taken by the Youth Advisory Councils will be considered within the existing operational budget. Submissions for external funding may be sought at times when specific projects are considered and only implemented if funding is successful.

### **Strategic Implications**

The recommendations from *ImagineOz*, if used by the Youth Advisory Councils and the Strategic Advisory Committee - Youth Affairs, could provide the foundations for strategic direction for the provision of Youth Programs in the City of Joondalup for the next twelve to twenty four months.

### **COMMENT**

The Youth Advisory Councils endorse the recommendations that were developed at the Centenary of Federation National Conference of Young People. They also believe that there are many aspects of the *ImagineOz* recommendations that can be implemented through the work of Council, the Youth Advisory Councils and officers employed in the youth services team.

The Youth Advisory Councils believe that the *ImagineOz* recommendations should be used as a basis for planning activities and programs for young people in the City Of Joondalup for the next twelve months. A special planning meeting is proposed to be conducted with the Youth Advisory Councils in November 2002, after new members have been recruited and inducted. Councillors will receive the outcomes of this planning meeting via minutes distributed in The Desk of the CEO.

### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

#### **That Council:**

- 1 ACCEPTS the recommendations from the Strategic Advisory Committee – Youth Affairs to use the *ImagineOz* recommendations as guiding principles for the future work of the City of Joondalup Youth Advisory Councils;**
- 2 SUPPORTS the City’s Youth Advisory Councils to implement the strategies identified in the *ImagineOz* workshop held on 2 May 2002 within their existing Terms of Reference.**

*Appendix 7 refers.*

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach7brf291002.pdf](#)

## **ITEM 9 DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT – SEPTEMBER 2002 – [07032]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

To submit items of Delegated Authority to Council for noting.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Report provides a resumé of the Development Applications processed by Delegated Authority from 1 September to 30 September 2002.

### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council NOTES the determinations made under Delegated Authority in relation to the applications described in this Report.**

*Appendix 8 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach8brf291002.pdf](#)*

## **ITEM 10 SUBDIVISION REFERRALS PROCESSED 1 SEPTEMBER – 30 SEPTEMBER 2002 – [05961]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to advise Council of subdivision referrals received by the City for processing.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Attachment 1 to this Report is a schedule of the Subdivision Referrals processed by Urban Design and Policy Services, from 1 – 30 September 2002. Applications were dealt with in terms of the delegation of subdivision control powers by the Chief Executive Officer (DP247-10/97 and DP10-01/98).

### **DETAILS**

The subdivision applications processed will potentially enable the creation of 39 additional residential lots, 2 strata residential lots and 2 City Centre lots. The average processing time taken was 24 days.

The City did not support application 119560 for Lot 12 (5) Rimu Place, Duncraig as the proposal does not conform to the requirements of the Residential Planning Codes with respect to average lots sizes.

### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council NOTES the action taken by the Subdivision Control Unit in relation to the application described in this Report.**

*Appendix 9 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach9brf291002.pdf](#)*



## **ITEM 11 COMMUNITY FUNDING PROGRAM 2002-2003 GRANTS ALLOCATIONS - FIRST FUNDING ROUND – [23523] [25523]**

**WARD - All**

---

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to provide information and make recommendations on the provision of Community Funding Program grants for the 2002/2003 financial year in accordance with the Community Funding Program's policy and guidelines.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The City's Community Funding Program came into operation on 1 July 1999. The Program has been developed to provide financial assistance to not-for-profit and other eligible organisations. It is intended that support be offered to a range of community development initiatives consistent with the City's strategic objectives.

Funds will assist organisations and community groups to conduct projects, events and activities in the areas of community services provision, sport and recreation development, economic development, environment and sustainable development and culture and the arts development.

This is the fourth consecutive financial year in which the Community Funding Program has been administered. The Program was first introduced in July 1999. If the recommendations in this report are adopted by Council this would mean that, to date, Council has allocated a total of 179 grants through the Program to organisations and community groups in the City of Joondalup to the value of \$348,565.

### **BACKGROUND**

At the Council meeting of 22 December 1998, the City's Community Funding Policy was first adopted to take effect from 1 July 1999, and a further report was sought detailing the initial funding guidelines for each funding category of the Policy (Report CJ286-1298 refers).

At the Council meetings of 14 September 1999 and 26 September 2000, the Community Funding Program Guidelines for the 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 financial years were noted (Reports CJ304-09/99 and CJ252-09/00 refer) and various amendments were made to the Community Funding Policy (Reports CJ303-09/99 and CJ247-09/00 refer).

At the Council meeting of 11 September 2001, further amendments were made to the Community Funding Policy (Report CJ298-09/01 refers). A background report and a copy of the program's guidelines for the 2001/02 financial year were provided to Elected Members at the Briefing Session held on 4 September 2001.

Together, the Policy and Guidelines form the City's Community Funding Program, a program which has been developed to enable the City to provide financial assistance to eligible not-

for-profit organisations in support of a range of community development initiatives consistent with the City's strategic objectives.

The overall objective of the Community Funding Program is to provide a framework for the provision of targeted funding, which meets Council's strategic objectives in facilitating community development, in partnership with the community. The Program aims to support the strategic objectives of the City in the areas of sport and recreation development, culture and arts development, economic development, environment development and provision of community services.

Eligible projects, events and activities include:

- Capital projects and items;
- Discrete projects, activities or events;
- Seeding grants for projects, activities or events that can demonstrate independent viability after an appropriate period;
- Projects, activities or events where all other potential sources of funding have been exhausted or are not available.

Council will not fund the following:

- Deficit funding – for organisations which are experiencing a shortfall in cash revenue or anticipated revenue;
- Recurrent salaries and recurrent operational costs;
- Proposals where alternative sources of funding are available;
- More than one request for funding in any financial year;
- Individuals, unless they are sponsored by an eligible organisation and are residents of the City;
- Government or quasi-government agencies, with the exception of schools;
- For profit organisations.

The program has five major fund categories as follows:

- Community Services Fund
- Culture and the Arts Development Fund
- Economic Development Fund
- Environment and Sustainable Development Fund
- Sport and Recreation Development Fund

Each of these fund categories has its own specific strategic objectives. In accordance with the Community Funding Policy, guidelines specific to each fund have been developed for the current financial year.

The program provides the framework for various common funding guidelines, eligibility criteria and accountability requirements that have been applied across the organisation to assess all applications for funding under the program. Applications were assessed against the following criteria:

- All eligibility criteria for funding are met;
- The application supports the mission statement, values and strategic direction of Council;

- The application addresses the funding objectives and identified priorities of the relevant fund category;
- Value for money;
- Demonstrated need;
- Community support either in cash or kind;
- Appropriate accountability processes being in place;
- Inclusion of all relevant documentation; and
- Compliance with Council’s Community Funding Program Policy and Guidelines.

## DETAILS

In the first round of funding in the 2002/2003 financial year, there is a total of \$106,530 available for distribution.

After distribution of the funds carried forward from the 2001/2002 financial year for the 2<sup>nd</sup> funding round, there was a surplus \$3,900 available. This was due to the City being able to claim from the Australian Taxation Office, the GST component of a number of grants allocated, and because one of the successful applicant organisations declined to accept funding due to a change in its priorities. These surplus funds were added to the funds budgeted for the Community Funding Program in this financial year.

### Financial Implications:

The following chart shows a profile of the funding arrangements for each fund category:

	<b>Funding included in the 2002/2003 Budget</b>	<b>Funding Requested by all Applicant Organisations (inc GST)</b>	<b>Funding Recommended excluding GST (where applicable)</b>	<b>Balance of Funds Remaining</b>
Community Services Fund 11.40.44.441.4402.0001	\$25,553	\$17,425	\$5,604.54	\$19,948.46
Culture & the Arts Development Fund 11.40.44.443.4402.A011	\$19,382	\$46,070	\$19,505.54	-\$123.54
Economic Development Fund 11.10.21.213.4401.F533	\$20,000	\$22,000	\$20,000	\$0
Environment & Sustainable Development Fund 11.10.21.213.4401.F030	\$20,228	\$12,340	\$5,763.64	\$14,464.36
Sport & Recreation Development Fund 11.40.44.453.4420.0001	\$21,367	\$15,188.35	\$10,171.25	\$11,195.75
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$106,530</b>	<b>\$113,023</b>	<b>\$61,045</b>	<b>\$45,485</b>

All funds recommended for allocation are inclusive of GST. Attachment 1 to this report also includes a column for the amount of funding recommended exclusive of GST. The inclusion of this extra column reflects the true cost to the City, as the GST component of grants awarded to organisations which are registered for GST with the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) are able to be reclaimed from the ATO by the City.

The objectives and funding priorities for each fund category for the 2002/2003 financial year are as follows:

### **Community Services Fund**

#### Objectives

- To support new and unique initiatives which bring identified positive benefits to youth in the City.
- To support local responses to the needs of seniors in the City.
- To increase the volunteer support base of local community groups.

#### Funding Priorities

Projects, events or activities which:

- Encourage the involvement and interaction of youth with their community;
- Foster a positive image of youth in the community;
- Involve seniors in active involvement in their community;
- Have a clear practical outcome; and
- Are sustainable at their completion.

### **Culture and the Arts Development Fund**

#### Objectives

- To extend and support the level of participation in cultural activities within the community.
- To increase the number and variety of cultural opportunities in the municipalities.
- To assist in the improvement of community recreation.
- To positively contribute to the well being of the community.
- To increase or enhance the volunteer support base of the local community groups.

#### Funding Priorities

Projects, events or activities which:

- Encourage skills development and greater participation by young people with a particular focus on creating a sense of community; and
- Encourage partnerships and collaborations with other groups and individuals.

### **Economic Development Fund**

#### Objectives

- To encourage the development of new businesses within the city which do not operate in direct competition to existing business.
- To fill an identified economic need within the community.
- To assist the City in achieving its stated economic development priorities.

#### Funding Priorities

Projects, events or activities which:

- Support strategic economic development within the City;

- Encourage participation of the local business community in partnership arrangements with other business sectors; and
- Are sustainable at their completion.

## **Environment and Sustainable Development Fund**

### Objectives

- To encourage ecologically sustainable development.
- To encourage integrated environmental, social and economic development projects.
- To provide opportunities for people to become actively involved in environmental protection and conservation activities.
- To enhance community participation and understanding of sustainable development and environmental issues.
- To provide a positive contribution to the well being of the community.
- To increase or enhance the volunteer support base of the local community groups.

### Funding Priorities

Projects, activities or events which:

- Encourage efficient use of renewable energy sources;
- Encourage waste reduction, reuse and recycling;
- Reduce Greenhouse gas emissions;
- Develop eco-tourism opportunities;
- Improve air quality;
- Encourage sustainable forms of transportation (walking, cycling, etc);
- Promote ecologically sustainable business practices;
- Encourage community participation and enhance community awareness of ecologically sustainable development, sustainability and environmental issues;
- Have a clear, practical direction and are sustainable at their completion;
- Support the City's Strategic Plan (2000-2005), including strategy 1.2 (adopt and implement a Local Agenda 21 Plan) and strategy 2.6 (implement projects with a focus on improving environmental, social and economic balance);
- Enhance community sustainability and generate environmental benefits to the community;
- Integrate with other sustainable development initiatives and environmental programs;
- Represent "seed" projects, readily transferable or adaptable for wider application; and
- Are promotional and/or educational.

## Sport and Recreation Development Fund

### Objectives

- To extend and support the level of participation in recreation activities within the community.
- To increase the number and variety of recreation opportunities in the community.
- To assist in the improvement of community recreation.
- To positively contribute to the well being of the community.
- To increase or enhance the volunteer support base of local community groups.

Funding Priorities

Projects, events or activities which:

- Demonstrate significant benefits to the community's overall quality of life;
- Promote active participation in recreational activities;
- Expand the active membership and participation base of sport and recreation organisations; and
- Encourage partnerships and collaborations with other groups and individuals.

The Community Funding Program was advertised locally on 13 and 20 August 2002 in the local newspapers. The closing date for applications was 18 September 2002.

An information package, which contained the Community Funding Program guidelines and application forms, was posted or emailed to organisations and community groups on request. The information package was also available electronically via the City's Website.

A Community Funding Program workshop was conducted on 12 September 2002. A number of one to one meetings were held between Council officers and representatives from various organisations and community groups who had expressed an interest in receiving assistance to complete the application forms or obtain additional information about the program.

Each application received was assessed against the generic eligibility and assessment criteria together with the specific funding objectives and priorities for the 2002/2003 financial year, as contained in the Community Funding Program guidelines.

Assessment panels, consisting of Council Officers and external community members, were established as follows:

Community Services Fund:

Audrey Poole	Australian Red Cross Association - community member representing the interests of seniors
Astrid Lee	Youth Advisory Council - representing the interests of young people
Dana Anderson	Youth Development Officer - City of Joondalup
Julie Eaton	Coordinator Community Services, Community Development Services

Culture and the Arts Development Fund:

Wayne Herring	Teacher, Mater Dei College, visual and performing artist.
Felena Alach	Visual and literary arts graduate and practising artist.
Faye Muir	Eisteddfod Committee member and volunteer, music teacher, school teacher and musician
Albie Herbert	Bachelor of Fine Arts, Diploma Teaching, Visual artist (sculptor), retired-teacher Perth TAFE
Claire Pannell	Arts Project Officer, Community Development Services

Economic Development Fund:

Priscilla Cha	Research Analyst, WA Tourism Commission
Darryl Butcher	Special Projects Officer, Strategic and Corporate Planning
Fabian Uzaraga	Coordinator Sustainable Development, Strategic and Corporate Planning

Environment and Sustainable Development Fund:

Will Carstairs	Community representative, Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee
Steve Magyar	Community representative, Environmental and Sustainability Advisory Committee
Alison Edmunds	Coordinator, Health and Environmental Services
John Goldsmith	Sustainable Development Officer, Strategic and Corporate Planning

The following declarations of interest were made by assessment panel members;

- Mr Steve Magyar; Member of the Friends of Hepburn Heights Bushland, Friends of Yellagonga, Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum.
- Mr Will Carstairs; Member of the Friends of Yellagonga.

Sport and Recreation Development Fund:

Jeff Costello	President, Joondalup & Districts Rugby League Club
Stuart Clarke	President, Whitfords & Districts Senior Cricket Club
Patricia Ashford	Secretary, Joondalup Little Athletics
Wayne Grimes	Recreation Development Officer, Community Development Services
Craig Johnson	Recreation Officer, Community Development Services

The following chart provides a profile of the number of applications processed:

	Applications Received	Applications Received for Funding <=\$2,500	Applications Received for Funding >\$2,500	Applications Recommended for Full or Partial Funding	Applications referred to Formal Facilities Assessment Process
Community Services Fund	5	4	1	4	0
Culture & the Arts Development Fund	17	13	4	13	0
Economic Development Fund	1	0	1	1	0
Environment & Sustainable Development Fund	3	3	0	3	0
Sport & Recreation Development Fund	6	5	1	5	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>

Attachment 1 to this Report includes a full listing of all applications received and applications recommended for full or partial funding. A number of applications have been recommended for approval subject to the applicants agreeing to meet certain conditions of funding.

Applications from the following 26 organisations have been recommended for funding:

Alice Stubber for/on behalf of contributors to Save Our Bushland	Relationships Australia
Currabine Primary School	Riverview Church
Edgewater Cricket Club	Sunset Coast Tourism Association
Edgewater Residents Association	Swan & Flippers Puppet Theatre
Joondalup Encore Theatre Society	The Lions Club of Ocean Reef
Joondalup Districts Cricket Club	The Montessori School
Joondalup City Church and Lake Joondalup Baptist Church	Training Ship Marmion - Australian Naval Cadets
Lakeside Christian Church	Valued Independent People
Mullaloo Heights Primary School	Visual Arts Foundation of WA Inc.
North City Christian Centre	Whitfords Bay Sailing Club
Padbury Primary School	Whitfords Church of Christ
Patricia Giles Centre	Whitfords Church of Christ -Generation Next Mums
Perth Institute of Contemporary Art	Whitfords Senior Citizens Club

## COMMENT

In accordance with the provisions of the Community Funding Policy and Guidelines, all applicants will be advised as to the outcomes of their applications. Successful applicants will be required to enter into contractual agreements with the City for funds allocated under the Community Funding Program and the City will register the grants allocated. Successful applicants are also required to suitably acknowledge the financial support provided by the City. The nature of such acknowledgement will be negotiated with each successful applicant as part of the process of drafting the required funding agreements.

The Community Funding Policy provides that decisions regarding funding applications are final and will not be reconsidered during the financial year in which the applications is made.

Should the recommendations in this report be adopted by Council, this will mean that since the introduction of the City's Community Funding Program a total of 179 grants have been allocated by the City under this program to organisations and community groups with a total value of \$348,565 as follows:

1999/2000	41 organisations	\$62,638
2000/2001	61 organisations	\$130,876
2001/2002	51 organisations	\$89,132
2002/2003	26 organisations	\$65,919

The assistance and advice provided by members of the community who voluntarily participated on the various assessment panels has been invaluable. It is recommended that their contributions be acknowledged by Council.

Arrangements will be made for the Mayor or his delegate to personally present organisations and community groups with their cheques.



## VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

## RECOMMENDATION

### That Council:

- 1 **APPROVES** the grants recommended for approval under the City of Joondalup's Community Funding Program's first funding round for the financial year 2002/2003 as detailed in this Report;
- 2 **ACKNOWLEDGES** and thanks those members of the community who participated on the various assessment panels.

*Appendix 10 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach10brf291002.pdf](#)*

*V:\devserv\REPORTS\REPORTS 2002\ComDev\November\110209kb.doc*

## **ITEM 12 PROPOSED SINGLE HOUSE (INCLUDING WALL ON BOUNDARY): LOT 151 (16) MONTAGUE WAY, KALLAROO – [42750]**

**WARD** Whitfords

---

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to request Council's determination of an application for a single house, which includes a wall on the boundary.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

An application has been received for a double storey house. The proposal includes a wall on the boundary being one side of the double garage. An objection to the proposed wall on the boundary was received from the adjoining property owner.

In accordance with the Notice of Delegation, this application was considered under Delegated Authority, however, was deferred for presentation to Council for determination.

Amended plans of the proposal were received on 17 October 2002. The amendments were intended to bring the proposal into compliance with the "acceptable development" under the Residential Design Codes.

It is recommended that the proposal be approved with a condition that the top of the wall on the boundary be reduced by 62mm to be at a finished level of 13.17 (2.7m high calculated from the assumed natural ground level of 10.47).

### **BACKGROUND**

**Suburb/Location:** Montague Way, Kallaroo  
**Applicant:** James and Linda Duff  
**Owner:** James and Linda Duff  
**Zoning: DPS:** Residential, Density Code R20  
**MRS:** Urban

The location of this site and development plans are shown in attachments to this report. The site is vacant, and is a 'wedge' shaped block, with a street frontage of 7.12 metres, increasing to a width of 18.59 metres at the rear. The subject site is 514m<sup>2</sup> in area.

The applicant contacted the affected neighbours on 29 June 2002, with plans showing a proposed dwelling at a finished floor level of 11.186 and the garages at a floor level of 11.100. The owners of lot 278 (2) Lane Cove, Kallaroo signed the plans stating that there are no objections to the proposal.

The City subsequently received a letter (dated 19 June 2002) from the adjoining neighbour stating that it would be preferred if the finished floor level of the development be reduced and the wall be relocated away from the boundary.

The wall on the boundary is 6.99m in length.

The finished floor level of the garage was subsequently amended several times in an attempt to reduce the wall height. The latest amendment includes a reduction of the finished floor level of the garage (to 10.56) and separating the ceiling level of the garage from the slab of the upper level of the remainder of the dwelling. As a result of these amendments, the height of the wall on the boundary is 2.672m measured from the finished floor level of the garage.

The relative level of the adjoining house and garden is 10.31, and the estimated level of the adjoining property at the common boundary varies from 10.76 to 10.54.

## DETAILS

### Technical detail

The affected boundary is the southern boundary for the subject site and the northern boundary for the adjoining property, Lot 278 (2) Lane Cove. The entrance to the neighbouring dwelling is along the eastern elevation of the dwelling, facing into a private front yard with solid fencing along the northern, eastern and southern side of the front garden. The parapet wall is in full view on entering the front garden from its southern boundary to get to the entrance of the dwelling.

The height of the proposed wall on the boundary is shown on the plans as being 2.414m calculated from the finished floor level of the dwelling. The finished floor level of the garage is 256mm (3 courses) below that of the dwelling. The total height of the wall measures from the finished floor level of the garage and is therefore 2672mm.

In the absence of spot levels, it has been assumed that the natural ground level on the boundary between the two properties is at the base of the existing timberlap fence, of which 1730mm is visible above the ground level when viewed from neighbouring property and 1.560m when viewed from the subject site.

The following dimensions apply in relation to the adjoining property:

The finished floor level of the adjoining house and garden	10.31
There is a garden bed along the common boundary on the neighbouring property with a raised level of 230mm - FFL =	10.54
Height of fence on adjoining property	1730mm
Assumed natural ground level (70mm minus 10.54)	10.47
Finished Floor level of proposed garage	10.56
Level difference between FFL of garage and assumed natural ground level	90mm
Height of wall from FFL of garage (2.414 from house FFL plus 3 courses = 258mm)	2672mm
Height of proposed parapet wall from assumed natural ground level	2762mm

Height of proposed parapet wall from ground level of adjoining property	2692mm
Portion of Wall visible over fence from neighbouring property 2692 minus 1730 (height of fence)	962mm

**Statutory Provision:**

The application was received prior to 4 October 2002 being the implementation date of the new Residential Design Codes 2002. The proposal is considered under the Residential Planning Codes (R-Codes) 1991 and the criteria of the Residential Design Codes 2002 for “acceptable development” are applied.

Residential Planning Codes (1991)

Under the R-Codes the length of the common boundary and the height of the wall determine the permitted length of a parapet wall.

In this instance the wall under consideration has an average height of 3 metres. The permitted length of the wall under the R-Codes is therefore restricted to one-quarter of the length of the common boundary. The common boundary is 39.36m in length permitting a wall 9.84 in length. At 6.99m in length the proposed wall is shorter than the permitted length, however, as an objection to the wall has been received, the City is required to exercise discretion.

Under Clause 1.5.10 of the R-Codes, Council is required to have regard for any submissions received during the 14 day advertising period.

Clause 1.5.10 is as follows:

*“Where a proposal to vary building setback requirements may significantly affect the amenity of an adjoining property, unless the prior agreements of adjoining owners and occupiers to the proposed development has been advised, Council shall:*

- (a) cause the owners and occupiers of affected property to be advised in writing of the proposed variation;*
- (b) afford reasonable access to the plans of the proposed development for a period of not less than 14 days commencing on the date of notification, and*
- (c) in its determination of the application, have regard for any submissions received during the 14 day period specified in paragraph (b) above, save that the period shall be deemed to be lapsed when submissions from all owners are received*

Council has the ability to determine this application under Clause 1.5.7 of the R-Codes, which states that:

*1.5.7. Notwithstanding the minimum setbacks specified elsewhere in these Codes the Council may, in a particular case, allow a lesser setback, provided that in so doing the Council shall have regard to:*

- (a) *the objectives set out in Clause 1.2,*
- (b) *the effect of such variation on the amenity of any adjoining lot,*
- (c) *the existing and potential future use and development of any adjoining lots, and*
- (d) *the existing setbacks from the street alignment in the immediate locality, in the case of setback from the principal street alignment.”*

Clause 1.2 states:

*“1.2. OBJECTIVES*

*1.2.1 The objectives of the Codes are to:-*

- *encourage the development of a wide range of dwelling types;*
- *ensure adequate standards of privacy, daylighting, sunshine and safety for all dwellings;*
- *provide appropriate levels of car parking, access, incidental open space and storage and drying facilities for each type of dwelling;*
- *protect the amenity of residential areas in relation to building size, streetscape, space about buildings, density and landscaping.*

*1.2.2 Compliance with the express provisions of these Codes shall be deemed to achieve these objectives.”*

*(a) In considering the variation, Council is required to have regard to:*

Residential Design Codes (2002)

The revised R-Codes came into effect on 4 October 2002.

Under Clause 3.3.2 (A 2) (ii) of the new codes *“any wall not higher than 3.0 metres with an average of 2.7 metres up to 9.0 metres in length up to one side boundary”* is deemed to be *“acceptable development”* (i.e. as a right).

The proposed parapet wall has a height of 2.762m from the assumed natural ground level. With a condition requiring that the wall be reduced by a further 62mm the proposed wall can be considered to be ‘as a right’ under the new codes.

**Consultation**

The adjoining owners provided a letter of objection to the City on 9 August 2002 highlighting the following issues:

- 1 Overshadowing: At a height of approximately 1400mm above the existing timberlap fence the proposed wall will overshadow a portion of the front garden of the adjoining property which will be more severe in winter.

Comment: While there will be overshadowing of the front garden which will complicate the adjoining property owners' efforts to provide additional landscaping to screen the wall, the amount of overshadowing is within the limits prescribed under the provisions of the R-Codes and Residential Design Codes.

- 2 Levels: The subject site is higher than the adjoining property and therefore the parapet wall would have a greater negative impact on the adjoining property.

Comment: The subject site is higher lying than the adjoining block largely due to fill currently on the subject lot. The proposed finished floor level of the garage is 90mm higher than the assumed natural ground level on the boundary between the properties.

- 3 Orientation: The proposed wall is located along the northern boundary of the adjoining property, which has implications for solar orientation.

Comment: See 1 above.

- 4 Visual: The proposed wall faces the main entry of the adjoining property, and a wall of the length and height as proposed would have a negative visual impact on the neighbouring property.

Comment: The extent of visual impact on the adjoining property is directly linked to the height of the parapet wall.

- 5 Noise: The proposed wall on the boundary is for a double garage. The master bedroom of the adjoining property is located closest to the proposed wall, and adjoining owners have raised concerns about the impact of noise from the garage on their bedroom.

Comment: The impact of the noise from the garage would not vary significantly with an alternative siting of the garage at a setback of 1m or as a carport.

## COMMENT

The proposal complies with all other aspects of the District Planning Scheme, policy and City requirements except in relation to the wall on the boundary.

As outlined in the calculations above, the proposed wall will be 962mm higher than the existing dividing fence on the common boundary. If the height of the wall is reduced by a further 62mm the proposal will comply with the Residential Design Codes and would be permitted without any comment from the adjoining owner.

The minimum ceiling height under the Building Codes of Australia is 2.1m. The current ceiling height of the garage is 2.473m.

There is scope to reduce the height of the wall by 62mm so that the top of the wall is at a finished level of 13.17 (2.7m high calculated from the assumed natural ground level of 10.47).

Given that it is possible to reduce the height of the proposal so that the wall on the boundary complies with the provision for “acceptable development” under the Residential Design Codes 2002 it is recommended that the proposal be approved subject to a condition that the wall on the boundary be reduced in height so that the finished level of the top of the wall is a relative height of 13.17.

## **VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

### **That Council:**

- 1 APPROVES the application dated 2 August 2002 submitted by James and Linda Duff, the applicants and owners, for a single house including a wall on the boundary at Lot 151 (16) Montague Way, Kallaroo, for the following reasons:**
  - (a) The wall on the boundary be reduced in height by a further 62mm so that the finished level at the top of the wall is at a relative level of 13.17;**
  - (b) The wall on the boundary being of a clean finish and made good to the satisfaction of the City.**
- 2 ADVISES the landowner who made the submission of (1) above.**

*Appendix 11 refers.*

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach11brf291002.pdf](#)

## **ITEM 13 PROPOSED SHOWROOM/AUTOBAHN DEVELOPMENT: LOT 704 (9) WISE STREET, JOONDALUP – [54211]**

**WARD** Lakeside

---

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider an application that includes a use that would result in a variation of the Joondalup City Centre Development Plan and Manual (JCCDPM).

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

An application has been received for a proposed development comprising of 2 showrooms and a custom built facility to accommodate an AutoBahn automotive service centre.

The property is located within the Joondalup City Centre zone and is subject to the JCCDPM, which allows for a range of land uses within this area.

The lot is located within the Western Business District and is earmarked for “Comparison Shopping”, where preferred uses are identified as being Showroom, Retail and Residential (Caretaker) and also includes uses permitted within the Central Business District (CBD).

The application has been ‘called in’ for determination by Council under the provisions of the Notice of Delegation.

It is recommended that the proposal be refused on the grounds that the proposed AutoBahn use is not considered to be compatible with the intended and existing uses in the area and is more appropriately located within the Service Industrial zone (which applies to the Joondalup Business Park).

### **BACKGROUND**

The JCCDPM was adopted in 1995 to guide the development of the Joondalup City Centre area. The document has the effect of a Structure Plan adopted under DPS2. The site is located within the Western Business District defined by the railway line and Joondalup Drive. Lot 704 (9) Wise Street lies within the Western Business District area and is within an area that the JCCDPM has described as “preferred for comparison shopping”.

The location of this site is shown in attachments to this report.

**Suburb/Location:** Joondalup, Wise Street  
**Applicant:** Nick Petropulos  
**Owner:** Napet Pty  
**Zoning: DPS:** Centre Zone  
**MRS:** Central City Area

### **DETAILS**

The site is located within the Western Business district defined by the railway line and Joondalup Drive. Within this district there are three distinct types of areas, which are



Regional Shopping, Comparison Shopping and Highway/Drive-in. The subject site is situated within the “Comparison Shopping” area. Comparison shopping is intended to provide for the sale of bulky goods, mainly homeware-related items and was intended to differentiate between this area, the business park and the CBD. Council has, however, previously resolved to remove the restriction on CBD uses in the Western Business District for some proposals, based on merit.

The proposal is a new development comprising two showrooms (358m<sup>2</sup>) and a custom built AutoBahn facility with a total area of 332m<sup>2</sup>, comprising a showroom (32.33m<sup>2</sup>), office (27.75m<sup>2</sup>) and workshop (area). Car parking is provided in accordance with the car parking standard specified by the JCCDPM for commercial uses.

The applicant has provided the following information in support of the AutoBahn facility:

- The AutoBahn facility will be custom built, and will be state of the art.
- The facility is based on the apparent benchmark set by the Beaurepaires development located in Wise Street.
- Most of AutoBahn’s locations are now situated in retail showroom locations.
- The applicant has canvassed a number of surrounding property owners and received support for the proposal.

A copy of the company’s overview is attached.

### **Statutory Provision:**

The JCCPM is principally used to guide to the future built form of development within the City Centre and is not intended to specifically limit land use. The subject lot comprises an existing development within the comparison shopping area where the preferred uses include Showroom, Retail and Residential (Caretaker).

The Council has previously approved variations from the JCCDPM by permitting uses that in the Western Business District that are considered to be compatible with existing and intended uses in the area.

Provision is made under Clause 4.5 of the DPS2 for Council to consider variations. The relevant clause is as follows:

## **4.5 VARIATIONS TO SITE AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS**

*4.5.1 Except for development in respect of which the Residential Planning Codes apply and the requirements set out in Clauses 3.7.3 and 3.11.5, if a development is the subject of an application for planning approval and does not comply with a standard or requirement prescribed under the Scheme, the Council may, notwithstanding that non-compliance, approve the application unconditionally or subject to such conditions as the Council thinks fit.*

*4.5.2 In considering an application for planning approval under this clause, where, in the opinion of Council, the variation is likely to affect any owners or occupiers in the general locality or adjoining the site which is subject of consideration for the variation, the Council shall:*

- (a) *consult the affected parties by following one or more of the provisions for advertising uses pursuant to clause 6.7.1 and*
  - (b) *have regard to any expressed views prior to making its decision to grant the variation.*
- 4.5.3 *The power conferred by this clause may only be exercised if the Council is satisfied that:*
- (a) *approval of the proposed development would be appropriate having regard to the criteria set out in Clause 6.8; and*
  - (b) *the non-compliance will not have any adverse effect upon the occupiers or users of the development or the inhabitants of the locality or upon the likely future development of the locality*

The requirements under clause 3.7.3 and 3.11.5 and criteria under Clause 6.8 are as follows:

- 3.7.3 *Notwithstanding the provisions of clause 3.7.2, the floorspace figures contained within Schedule 3 shall be adhered to except as otherwise varied by an Agreed Structure Plan for the centre locality as adopted by the Council and the Western Australian Planning Commission.*
- 3.11.5 *Notwithstanding the provisions of clause 3.11.4, the floorspace figures contained within Schedule 3 shall be adhered to except as otherwise varied by an Agreed Structure Plan for the centre locality as adopted by the Council and the Western Australian Planning Commission.*

## **6.8 MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED BY COUNCIL**

- 6.8.1 *The Council when considering an application for Planning Approval shall have due regard to the following:*
- (a) *interests of orderly and proper planning and the preservation of the amenity of the relevant locality;*
  - (b) *any relevant submissions by the applicant;*
  - (c) *any Agreed Structure Plan prepared under the provisions of Part 9 of the Scheme;*
  - (d) *any planning policy of the Council adopted under the provisions of clause 8.11;*
  - (e) *any other matter which under the provisions of the Scheme the Council is required to have due regard;*
  - (f) *any policy of the Commission or its predecessors or successors or any planning policy adopted by the Government of the State of Western Australia;*

- (g) *any relevant proposed new town planning scheme of the Council or amendment or proposed Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment insofar as they can be regarded as seriously entertained planning proposals;*
- (h) *the comments or wishes of any public or municipal authority received as part of the submission process;*
- (i) *the comments or wishes of any objectors to or supporters of the application;*
- (j) *any previous decision made by the Council in circumstances which are sufficiently similar for the previous decision to be relevant as a precedent, provided that the Council shall not be bound by such precedent; and*
- (k) *any other matter which in the opinion of the Council is relevant.*

## COMMENT

The AutoBahn facility is considered to be a service industrial type use due to its predominant activity of repairing and servicing of vehicles.

The Beaurepaires facility that the applicant refers to is located in the same street block as the application site. While the Beaurepaires facility also includes a workshop (181m<sup>2</sup>), the predominant business is the sale of bulky items (tyres) from the showroom component (100m<sup>2</sup>), and its workshop functions as an incidental use to the predominant use. This is distinctly different from the proposed AutoBahn facility, where its business does not include the sale of bulky items and its main function is to provide an automotive service.

This difference is also reflected in the physical form, where the AutoBahn facility is dominated by the workshop component of the tenancy, which varies from the “comparison shopping” tenancies, which are principally showrooms.

The potential impacts of a vehicle servicing use are noise, odours and the visual appearance of the activity. The applicant has indicated that the proposed Autobahn facility will be a clean operation using state of the art technology, and therefore may not have these potentially negative impacts. However, once approval for this land use has been granted on this property, future operators with lower standards would be able to establish on the site with no requirement for any further planning approval. The workshop use is considered to be of an industrial nature and is more appropriately located in the service industrial area. The JCCPM states that Industrial uses are not considered appropriate in the Western Business District

The subject application must be considered in light of the economic development of the City Centre, versus how non-preferred uses under the JCCPM will impact upon the development of this area. The early decisions on land uses during the development of the City Centre are likely to have a significant bearing on the future character of the area.

It is considered that a case for a variation of the JCCPM has not been established in this instance. The proposal also has the potential to attract other non-compatible uses to the Western Business District. The Joondalup Business Park has been established to cater for this

type of use, and it is considered to be more appropriately located in that area. The proposal is therefore not supported.

## VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

## RECOMMENDATION

**That Council REFUSES the application dated 3 July 2002 submitted by Nick Petropulos, the applicant, on behalf of the owner, Napet Pty Ltd, for a new development including two showroom tenancies and one AutoBahn tenancy on Lot 704 (9) Wise Street, Joondalup, for the following reasons:**

- 1 The proposed use is not a "preferred" use under the Joondalup City Centre Development Plan and Manual;**
- 2 The proposed use is not considered compatible with the intended and existing uses in the area and is more appropriately located within the Service Industrial area;**
- 3 The proposed use may generate potential impacts of noise and odours, and may visually detract from the area, which will have a negative impact on the amenity of the Western Business District; and**
- 4 The proposed use would be contrary to the orderly and proper planning of the locality in terms of the intended future land uses and character of the area.**

*Appendix 12 refers.*

*To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach12brf291002.pdf](#)*

**ITEM 14 STREETSIDE BENCHES PROPOSED SETTLEMENT –  
[45612] [45924]**

**WARD - All**

---

**DETAILS**

This Item Is Confidential - Not For Publication

A full report has been provided to Elected Members under separate cover.

**7 REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER****8 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN****NOTICE OF MOTION NO 1 – CR C MACKINTOSH**

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Carol Mackintosh has given notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 15 October 2002:

*“That Councillors Carlos, Hollywood and Walker be hereby requested to forthwith apologise to:*

- 1.1 the staff of the City of Joondalup;*
- 1.2 the other Councillors of the City of Joondalup, and;*
- 1.3 more importantly, the ratepayers of the City of Joondalup;*

*for their group walkout during the Council meeting conducted on Tuesday 24 September 2002 following the rejection of Cr Carlos’ failed rescission motion to rescind the approval for the much needed redevelopment of the Mullaloo Tavern, failing which they be formally censured for their conduct unbecoming of a Councillor.”*

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS**

Simple Majority

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO 2 – CR J HOLLYWOOD - [05564] [01369] [02154]**

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr John Hollywood has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 5 November 2002:

*“That Council:*

- 1 AGREES to bring twelve young people and four carers from the Shire of Cue to experience the City’s Extreme Youth Festival in 2002 at an estimated cost of \$3,700 as part of the Youth Exchange Programme;*
- 2 APPROVES the expenditure in (1) above to Account No 11 20 22 211 4101 0001.”*

**OFFICER’S COMMENT**

At the Council Meeting on 15 October 2002 a report was submitted recommending:

*“That Council:*

- 1 ESTABLISHES a City-Country Sister City relationship with the Shire of Cue;*

- 2 *APPROVES in accordance with Section 6.8(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 the reallocation in the 2002/2003 budget of \$7,320 to facilitate two youth exchanges bringing young people from the Shire of Cue to experience the City's Extreme Youth Festival in 2002 and Joondalup Festival in 2003; and*
- 3 *LISTS FOR CONSIDERATION the allocation of funds in the 2003/2004 budget to form an ongoing youth exchange program between the City of Joondalup to the Shire of Cue.*

At the same meeting a motion was put and carried that:

*“consideration of establishing a City-Country Sister City relationship with the Shire of Cue be DEFERRED to allow further analysis to be undertaken and a report submitted to the next meeting of Council.”*

Cr Hollywood has since given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 5 November 2002.

*“That Council:*

- 1 *AGREES to bring twelve young people and four carers from the Shire of Cue to experience the City's Extreme Youth Festival in 2002 at an estimated cost of \$3,700 as part of the Youth Exchange Programme;*
- 2 *APPROVES the expenditure in (1) above to Account No 11 20 22 211 4101 0001.”*

### **Why develop a relationship with the Shire of Cue?**

Extensive analysis was conducted to determine those country towns most suitable for the City of Joondalup to create a sister city relationship with.

This year, 2002, is 'Australia's Year of the Outback'. The aim is promote the 'Spirit of the Outback'. With this in mind research was focused particularly on small country, outback towns.

Over the past year the City of Joondalup has established an officer exchange with the Shire of Cue with the objective of assisting in the planning and community consultation for the Shire of Cue's inaugural cultural festival 'Qfest Cue Outback Festival'. This work experience offered staff at the City of Joondalup professional development opportunities and a new perspective on festival development.

During this trip, City of Joondalup staff introduced the possibility of a city-country relationship to the Shire of Cue to assess its interest. The idea received enthusiastic support from Councillors. Subsequently, a letter has been sent to the City of Joondalup from the Shire of Cue's Chief Executive Officer registering that support.

The Shire of Cue provides strong opportunities on which to build a successful city-country relationship. City staff have already gained significant benefits through professional development and future opportunities through cultural exchange exist.

**Why develop a youth exchange for the Extreme Youth Festival?**

The report submitted to Council on 15 October 2002 proposed that youth and cultural exchange be used as a focus to develop a city-country relationship with the Shire of Cue. It was recommended that this could be achieved through a youth exchange program for two of the City's upcoming cultural events, the Extreme Youth Festival in November 2002 and the Joondalup Festival in February 2003.

Cr Hollywood has given notice of his intention to move a motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 5 November 2002 that Council approve the first trip bringing young people down from the Shire of Cue to attend the City's Extreme Youth Festival.

The Extreme Youth Festival will be held on 24 November 2002. If this motion is passed by Council on 5 November there will still be sufficient time to organise young people from the Shire of Cue to come down for this event. A decision at a later date will mean that only one exchange could go ahead this financial year during the City's Joondalup Festival in February 2003.

This exchange for the Extreme Youth Festival could provide excellent benefits, allowing the City to use this trip as an experiment to assess and evaluate whether it would like to establish and continue with the development of a city-country relationship and to determine the suitability of the Shire of Cue as a partner.

**VOTING REQUIREMENT**

Simple Majority

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO 3 – CR A WALKER**

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Allison Walker has given notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 5 November 2002:

*“That Council makes provision for a Second Public Question Time at the Council meeting to be held on 5 November 2002. This Second Public Question Time will be specifically for ratepayers to ask questions about decisions that have been made during the Council meeting of 5 November 2002 and shall be held after the completion of all business and before the close of the Council meeting of 5 November 2002.”*

**OFFICER'S COMMENT**

Clause 3.2 of the City's Standing Orders Local Law details the order of business, unless resolved by Council, that is to transpire at an ordinary meeting of Council.



Council has previously agreed to the order of business at an ordinary meeting of Council being as follows:

- Open and Welcome
- Public Question Time
- Apologies and leave of absence
- Declaration of financial interest/interest that may affect impartiality
- Confirmation of Minutes
- Announcements by the Mayor without discussion
- Petitions
- Reports
- Report of the Chief Executive Officer
- Motions of which previous notice has been given
- Date of next meeting
- Closure

Clause 3.2 allows Council by resolution to alter its order of business. If Council agrees to the proposed Notice of Motion, then the order of business for the meeting held on 5 November 2002 will be altered to include a second period of public question time.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENT**

Simple Majority

### **9 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Council has been scheduled for **7.00 pm** on **26 November 2002** to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup

### **10 CLOSURE**

## BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

### OFFICE OF THE CEO

#### NOTICE OF MOTION NO 3 - CR A PATTERSON - ex C32-03/02

“2 that report requested in (1) above is to address the following issues:

- the amount of money saved by these contracting out activities;
- the reduction in the number of FTEs employed by the Council;
- the increase in money available for improvements to various amenities in the City of Joondalup;
- The positive impact for local business and economic development in the City of Joondalup of such activities.

The report is to be completed by June 2002.”

**Status: Arrangements have been made to submit a report, originally anticipated in October, to the November 2002 Council Meeting.**

#### REVIEW OF CORPORATE CODE OF CONDUCT – ex CJ086-04/02

“2 REFERS the Code of Conduct to the Standing Orders Review Committee for the 2002/03 review, with a further report on the recommendations being submitted to Council for further consideration.”

**Status: A review will be undertaken, with a report being presented to the Standing Orders Committee as soon as possible.**

#### REVIEW OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AT COUNCIL MEETINGS AND BRIEFING SESSIONS – ex CJ125-06/02

“that the matter relating to the review of public question time procedures at Council meetings and Briefing sessions be DEFERRED pending receipt of guidelines relating to ‘managing public question time’ to be circulated by the Department of Local Government and Regional Development and be referred to the Standing Orders Review Committee for consideration.”

**Status: Following receipt of guidelines from the Department of Local Government, a report will be submitted to the Standing Orders Review Committee.**

**Guidelines have been received and a report is being prepared.**

#### QUESTIONS TO COUNCIL – CODE OF CONDUCT – ex CJ76-06/02

“4 REVIEWS by referral to the Standing Orders Review Committee its Code of Conduct and policies regarding the use of equipment to Councillors to remove any inconsistencies between the two documents and report back to Council.”

**Status: A report will be submitted to the Standing Orders Review Committee in due course.**

REQUEST FOR REPORT – COSTS RELATING TO RESCISSION MOTIONS – ex C126-09/02

“that in the interests of our City's sound financial management and open and accountable good governance, the Chief Executive Officer is hereby requested to prepare a written report to Councillors and our ratepayers fully quantifying in so far as it is reasonably practical, the following costs to the City of Joondalup to date (hence our ratepayers) following the failure of Councillor Carlos's first and second rescission motions to rescind Council's resolution of 13 August 2002 whereby the City of Joondalup resolved to approve, albeit in an amended form, the 'Mullaloo Tavern Redevelopment' development application:

- 1 the FTE time spent, in approximate dollar terms, of Council officers in dealing with Cr Carlos's rescission motions and matters relating hereto;
- 2 the amount spent on legal fees to the City's various solicitors in respect of Cr Carlos's rescission motions;
- 3 the amount spent on legal fees in respect of the Writ of Summons issued by the tavern owner/developer as a result of Cr Carlos's rescission motions; and
- 4 the amount spent on convening the second Special Electors Meeting of Mullaloo residents requisitioned through Cr Carlos.”

**Status: Costs are currently being collated.**

DEVELOPMENT OF A COUNTRY TOWN RELATIONSHIP – ex CJ248-10/02

“consideration of establishing a City-Country SisterCity Relationship with the Shire of Cue be DEFERRED to allow further analysis to be undertaken and a report submitted to the next meeting of Council”

**Status: A report is being prepared for the Strategy Session in November 2002**

**CORPORATE SERVICES AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

ALTERATIONS TO MULLALOO SURF CLUB, OCEANSIDE PROMENADE, MULLALOO – ex CJ449-12/01

“4 REQUIRES a further report outlining the details of the Deed of Variation to the current lease.”

**Status: This will be undertaken following completion of the alterations, to be reviewed June 2002. This review will now take place in October 2002.**

**This will be undertaken following completion of the alterations, now scheduled for February 2003.**

## PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

REQUESTED CLOSURE OF A PORTION OF A ROAD BETWEEN LOT 151 (88) CLIFF STREET AND LOT 113 (31) MARINE TERRACE, SORRENTO – ex CJ193-07/00

“REQUESTS that the Local Housing Strategy is completed and a report presented to Council by November 2000.”

**Status: In view of the strong community reaction to precinct planning, and the need for a comprehensive community consultation policy, it is proposed to review this programme. A report on this review was originally anticipated for July 2001.**

**It is now anticipated that this report will be presented to Council in November 2002.**

MULLALOO CONCEPT PLAN REVISIONS - ex CJ315-09/01

“6 REQUIRES a further report detailing relative priorities, indicative costings and phasing of the elements in the Mullaloo Concept Plan paying specific attention to the points raised by detailed consultation with key community groups and others, particularly the need to ensure that there is no reduction in the recreational functionality and nett area of the useable surface of Tom Simpson Park proper.”

**Status: A report anticipated for June 2002 is now expected to be submitted to Council in November 2002.**

BREACH OF DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 – STORAGE OF MORE THAN ONE COMMERCIAL VEHICLE IN A RESIDENTIAL ZONE: LOT 89 (19) BULLARA ROAD, CRAIGIE – ex CJ353-10/01

“that a review be conducted in conjunction with the executive of the Joondalup Business Association of the definition for commercial vehicles in relation to the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No 2 with a further report being submitted to a future Council meeting.”

**Status: It has been agreed to consider amending this definition as part of an Omnibus amendment to DPS2. It is anticipated that this amendment will be initiated in December 2002.**

2002 ROYAL AUSTRALIAN PLANNING INSTITUTE (RAPI) NATIONAL CONGRESS – ex CJ395-11/01

“2 REQUESTS an information report on the outcomes of the RAPI 2002 Joint National Congress.”

**Status: A report will be presented following attendance at the Congress in April 2002.**

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – REVIEW OF “VERGE” LOCAL LAWS – ex C45-06/01

- 1 “Council REVIEWS all existing local laws (and its powers to make new local laws) concerning Council’s powers to actively encourage the owners and/or occupiers of rateable land to adequately maintain the verge area between their front property boundary line and the immediately adjacent road surface (proper) in the manner of a reasonable person (“the Review”)
- 2 the Review be the subject of a report to Council.”

**Status: A paper was submitted to Council at its information session held on 2 April 2002. Councillors requested that more information be provided in relation to a landscape strategy prior to being submitted to Council.**

CONSIDERATION OF EXCISION AND RE-VESTING OF LILBURNE RESERVE (RESERVE 35545), LOCATION 9853, (214) LILBURNE ROAD, DUNCRAIG – ex CJ255 - 10/02

“3 Upon finalisation of the advertising period, a further report tabling all submissions received during the advertising period be CONSIDERED by Council, prior to the City formally requesting excision and re-vesting of Reserve 35545 by the Department of Land Administration”

**Status: A 60 day advertising period will commence on Thursday 31/10/02. The submissions will then be analysed and presented to the February 2003 meeting.**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

SHIRE OF WANNEROO AGED PERSONS’ HOMES TRUST INC – MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP – ex CJ410-11/01

“defers any action at present in relation to representation on the Board of the Shire of Wanneroo Aged Persons’ Homes Trust Inc until this matter has been referred to the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests;

CHARGES the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests with the responsibility to Advise Council in regards to those which the Committee considers are the appropriate ways to support the development of aged care residential facilities and services for seniors”

**Status: This matter has been presented to the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests, and is to be further considered at a SACSI meeting scheduled for May.**

**A member of the Wanneroo Aged Persons’ Homes Trust attended the SACSI meeting held on 10 July 2002. It is anticipated that a report to Council will follow November 2002.**

**RANS MANAGEMENT GROUP - ex JSC5-07/02**

“requests the CEO to prepare a detailed report on the viability of the management and operation of the Sorrento/Duncraig and Ocean Ridge leisure centres;

**Status: A brief is being developed by the Consultant and a report is expected to be presented to Council at the meeting to be held in November 2002.**

**WARWICK OPEN SPACE – PUBLIC TOILET FACILITY – ex CJ174-07/02**

“That Council:

- 1 DEFERS the removal of the Warwick Open Space Public Toilet Facility until an alternative site has been established for a skate park facility for the catchment areas of Warwick and Greenwood senior high schools and/or an alternative skateboard park site has been established for people east of the freeway as a joint venture between the Cities of Joondalup, Stirling and possibly Wanneroo, for example in the south west corner of Liddell Reserve;
- 2 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to arrange a Needs Analysis study to be carried out to assess the requirement for a public amenity facility to service the open space playing field area within the Warwick Open Space area. The findings are to be submitted to Council and if a need is apparent, that consideration of the construction of a public facility in a strategically located position form part of the Council’s 2003/04 budget deliberations.”

**Status: The City is consulting with all user groups at Warwick Open Space to discover the need for a toilet facility and a report detailing all findings will be presented to Council on 5 November 2002.**

**FUNDING REQUEST – JOONDALUP DISTRICTS CRICKET CLUB – ex CJ222-09/02**

“Obtains a report for Council endorsement from the Beaumaris Sports Association working party following the completion of negotiations”

**Comment: A Working Party is in the process of being formulated, comprising members representing the Beaumaris Sports Association, Crs Baker and Hollywood and City of Joondalup officers. The first meeting of the Working Party is planned for Thursday 24 October 2002.**

**It is anticipated that a report will be presented to Council on either 26 November or 17 December 2002.**

**INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS****URBAN ANIMAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE – ex CJ358-10/01**

“4 SEEKS a further report and legal advice clarifying whether or not a local government may provide discounts on dog registrations for dogs that attend a recognised dog obedience course;”

“that consideration of the Urban Animal Action Plan – Cats forming Attachment 3 to Report CJ358-10/01 be:

- 1 Referred to the Urban Animal management Committee for further review;
- 2 Presented to Council for a further review;
- 3 Presented to Council for a final decision on the matter.

**Status: A legal opinion has been received and will be presented to the next Urban Management Committee in November 2002. A report will then be submitted to Council.**

**REQUEST FOR BUS SHELTER AND BUS BAY ON THE INWARD ROUTE ON COCKMAN ROAD BETWEEN MULLIGAN DRIVE AND COBINE WAY, GREENWOOD – ex CJ105 - 05/02**

That consideration of request for bus shelter and bus bay on the inward route on Cockman Road between Mulligan Drive and Combine Way, Greenwood be deferred to allow public consultation to occur with the residents in the area, Ward Councillors and officers, with a further report being submitted to the next Council meeting scheduled to be held on 11 June 2002.

**Status: Patronage figures have now been received from TransPerth. It is now anticipated a report will be presented to a November meeting of Council.**

## OUTSTANDING PETITIONS

<p>An 11-signature petition requesting installation of traffic calming devices between Moolanda Boulevard and Goollelal Road, Kingsley.</p> <p><b>Comment: A report, originally anticipated to be submitted in October, will be submitted to Council in November 2002.</b></p>	<p>3 September 2002</p> <p>Director Infrastructure Management</p>
<p>A 55-signature petition in relation to parking concerns – Upney Mews Joondalup and adjacent park carpark.</p> <p><b>Comment: This matter is currently being investigated and a report on consideration to changing the parking prohibitions will be submitted to Council in November 2002.</b></p>	<p>3 September 2002</p> <p>Director Infrastructure &amp; Operations</p>
<p>Two petitions of 46 and 6 signatures respectively and letter in support of the proposed Sorrento Beach Redevelopment.</p> <p><b>Comment: The Sorrento Redevelopment proposal is currently on public exhibition. It is anticipated a report will be presented to Council following the closure of the exhibition period at which stage the petition will be considered</b></p>	<p>3 September 2002</p> <p>Director Planning &amp; Community Development</p>
<p>A 503-signature petition and an 11-signature petition requesting that Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 preserve the amenity and family access to Mullaloo’s Tom Simpson Park, surf club/community Hall, emergency evacuation area and Mullaloo Beach</li> <li>2 ensure that future development at Mullaloo Beach does not reduce grassed area of Tom Simpson Park, or natural vegetation</li> <li>3 consider the wishes of the residents and concerned citizens who have lodged submissions.</li> </ol> <p><b>Comment: In relation to Points 1 and 3 Council has approved the development of the Tavern and has given due consideration to the comments raised by persons lodging submissions.</b></p> <p><b>Council notes the comments made in relation to Point 2 and will give due regard to those comments when it considers the beach front development proposal in November 2002.</b></p>	<p>24 September 2002/ 15 October 2002</p> <p>Director, Planning &amp; Community Development</p>
<p>A 208-signature petition in relation to traffic issues – Beaumaris Primary School.</p> <p><b>Comment: It is anticipated that a report will be presented to Council in December 2002.</b></p>	<p>15 October 2002</p> <p>Director, Infrastructure &amp; Operations</p>



<p>A 37-signature petition in relation to Wakeley Way, Duncraig Neighbourhood Square.</p> <p><b>Comment: It is anticipated that a report will be presented to Council in November/December 2002.</b></p>	<p>15 October 2002</p> <p>Director, Infrastructure &amp; Operations</p>
<p>A 36-signature petition in relation to additional play equipment/amenities – Churton Park, Warwick.</p> <p><b>Comment: Matter handled administratively and a letter written to petitioner on 14 October 2002. This matter may therefore be removed from the agenda.</b></p>	<p>15 October 2002</p> <p>Director, Infrastructure &amp; Operations</p>
<p>A 13-signature petition on behalf of the Burns Beach Ratepayers, Residents &amp; Community Recreation Association requesting a change of name of the locality Burns to Burns Beach.</p> <p><b>Comment: A report to be presented as soon as possible including consideration of the processes and costs associated with the request.</b></p>	<p>15 October 2002</p> <p>Director, Planning &amp; Community Development</p>

## REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED AT BRIEFING SESSIONS

	<b>DATE OF REQUEST - REFERRED TO -</b>
<p>Playing Surface – Iluka Sports Complex.</p> <p>Cr Baker requested a report on the following issue:</p> <p>The playing surface of the rugby pitch has become denuded of any vegetation, due to the fact that the local Rugby Club plays and trains on this field, as does the Heathridge Soccer Club. It is not appropriate for either club's teams to train on any other area of the facility due to the lack of lighting. Further the type of grass on this part of the complex is not winter grass.</p> <p>Cr Baker proposed that the grass be replaced with winter grass or a winter grass be sown into the existing grass during the period between the conclusion of the Rugby/Soccer season and the commencement of the cricket season later this year.</p> <p>Cr Baker further requested that additional lighting be erected at this facility. In the first instance, he stated that two large floodlights can be affixed to the upper roof fascia area of the Clubrooms, having the effect of illuminating other areas within the complex and remove the need for all teams to train on the main oval.</p> <p>Cr Baker asked whether the lighting and grassing can be dealt with under the existing budget or whether it will be necessary for Beaumaris Sports Association to lodge a CSRFF funding application.</p> <p><b>Comment: Beaumaris Sports Association have advised the Department of Sport and Recreation that they have withdrawn their application for the CSRFF. They are working on a full scheme for the whole project and expect to have project plans for the design and specifications by approximately 9 August 2002. They will be submitting a new CSRFF application this year.</b></p> <p><b>It is now anticipated a report will be presented to Council on either 26 November or 17 December 2002 covering all issues associated with the BSA.</b></p>	<p>16 July 2002</p> <p>Director Planning &amp; Community Development</p>