
APPENDIX 15



Guidelines to locality renaming

Renaming proposals submitted to the Geographic Names Committee, which conform to the following guidelines, are LIKELY to succeed only if there are sound reasons for a change. The proposal should have some of the following typical requirements:


- the proposal is supported by a broad-based community survey indicating very strong community support for the name change
- the proposal has strong local government support
- the proposal is to rename all or part of a locality before urban development occurs
- the proposal is based on a locality being divided by a newly constructed major road or a railway
- the existing name can be easily confused with another name, has mail delivery or emergency services problems
- the proposed name has some long standing association with the locality .
- the proposal is based on a change to local government boundaries

Renaming proposed submitted to Geographic Names Committee which conform to the following guidelines, are UNLIKELY to

- the proposal does not have strong local community support
- the proposal seeks to adopt a developers name coined to promote a development
- the proposed name has no relationship to the area or is a made up name
- the proposed name is duplicated or similar in spelling or sound to an existing Western Australian town or locality name
- petitions presented in support only present one point of view
- the proposal is not supported by local government

age No.1

104



105

SURVEY FOR THE RENAMING OF THE LOCALITY OF BURNS

DO YOU SUPPORT THE CHANGE OF LOCALITY NAME FROM BURNS TO

BURNS BEACH

	YES			
	NO			
Comment		••••••••		
Printed Name ar	nd Address (Please	print clearly)		
Name	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••
Address	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••
Signature	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Date	************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	