



NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
1	J Gregory	1 Stonesfield Court PADBURY WA 6025	Pleased that a decision has been made and also the location being portion of Lilburne Reserve.	Noted.
2	G & S Boulton	22 Colac Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Non support. Prefer that the fire station be built on the current site. Ensure Lilburne Reserve is developed as a park.	Noted. A 2931m ² portion of the reserve is sought to host the station, leaving the remainder of the reserve as a conservation area for passive recreational
			Unsure who participated in the workshops, except single interest groups supporting the bushland. Does not believe any resident in close proximity to the site being invited to the workshops.	use (refer Attachment 2). A list of workshop participants is shown on page 4 of the workshop outcomes report and can be made available for perusal at the objectors request, however included a representative of the Friends of Lilburne Reserve.
			The proposed location is closer to housing than the current site and is likely to cause aggravation with noise from vehicles.	The preferred site has been identified through the workshops as being the most favourable site based on several factors, including potential noise impacts upon residents.
3	Water Corporation	N/A	Supply of reticulated water and sewer is available to the proposed site by extension from the existing scheme, at the developers cost.	Noted.
4	R Lloyd	31 Redfin Crescent BELDON WA 6027	Support. The workshops were run very well and their decision to sight the fire station upon portion of Lilburne Reserve is the best option.	Noted.
5	M D'Alton	Villa 28/10 Timbercrest Rise WOODVALE WA 6026	Support. Agrees to the sighting of the fire station upon portion of Lilburne Reserve.	Noted.
6	G & T Moore	2 Edna Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Feels the location holds no obvious disadvantages and in the unfortunate case of a fire at our property holds some very real advantages. Concern over aesthetic appeal and request consideration of some form of screening so that the station is only visible from Hepburn Avenue.	Noted. Noted. The location of the station will result in some screening and the development approval process will ensure further screening.
7	M & M Cook	19 Partlet Road	Non Support.	

		DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Previous advice obtained stated the land is vested for public recreation.	The land is a local reserve and zoned 'Parks and Recreation' under the City's District Planning Scheme No 2. The land is owned by the Crown and is currently
			Fire station should be built on the land already allocated. The fire station will scar the tranquillity and serenity of the bushland.	vested as 'Public Recreation'. Noted. A 2931m² portion of the reserve is sought to host the station, leaving the remainder of the reserve for passive recreational use. It is envisaged that natural vegetation within the excised area will be retained wherever possible and additional landscaping can be
			Will the power substation be built on this land as well?	incorporated in the future development proposal. This is unknown.
			Considerable noise created by the station (vehicle sirens, PA systems and outdoor training).	Given the location of the site, surrounding vegetation to be retained and security fencing, noise created is envisaged to be largely contained. Sirens don't go on until the trucks have left the
			Visual impact upon adjoining properties was not a selection criteria of the workshops.	premises. Noted. Several criteria were used, however visual impact was not a criterion. Given the proposed location, the station is envisaged to be screened
			Devaluation of property.	from view (except along Hepburn Avenue). Not a relevant town planning related issue.
8	P & A Jackson	221 Lilburne Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Non Support. Roof and building materials of the station should match existing built form of the locality (brick and tile construction, not concrete tilt panel and colourbond roof).	Noted. Refer to resolution section of the report.

			The current reclassification of the reserve to conservation trade off is not fair. The inside of the reserve and the surrounding road reserve has been neglected. Request upgrading of the reserve and the area surrounding the reserve.	Noted. Refer to resolution section of the report. Council may consider allocating additional funds through the next 5 year capital works program review to allocate additional funds to improve maintenance of the reserve and immediate surrounds.
			Questions the workshop participants and the process of identifying the site, the reasons for not proceeding with the two sites and why there seems to be little communication with surrounding residents.	These issues are addressed within both the current Council report, and the report presented to Council previously at its meeting on 15 October 2002 (CJ255-10/02 refers). Council has exceeded legislative consultation requirements.
9	D Wallace	27 Katisha Street DUNCRAIG WA 6023	What areas are to be served by the station? Why is it referred to as serving the Padbury area if it is located in Duncraig. What is the difference in planning zones from public recreation to conservation?	The station is envisaged to serve several nearby suburbs including Padbury and Duncraig. This question relates to the vesting of crown land (reserves) for specific uses (ie public recreation/conservation). The zoning of the land under the City's District Planning Scheme No 2 is not being modified, only the vesting of the land, which is controlled by the
			Lilburne reserve is only a small reserve. Perhaps the station could be positioned on already cleared land, or excised from a larger area of native vegetation, hence limiting the effect on local ecology. Was this addressed during the community workshops?	Department of Land Administration. The excised area as shown in attachment 2 has been identified to ensure removal of remnant vegetation is kept to an absolute minimum as required by Council's resolution at its meeting on 15 October 2002. This issue appears to be addressed through the community workshops whereby sites were rated on the following criteria; Extent of clearing of regionally

significant vegetation. • Extent of clearing of locally significant vegetation.
 Impact on remnant vegetation. Noise impacts on residents. Ability to provide community facilities.