


LOT 200 157 Kinross Drive
Kinross
(site now zoned Residential)

LOT 2278 3 Selkirk Drive
Kinross
(subject site)

LOT 1255 59 Kinross Drive
Kinross
(existing Shopping Centre)





LOT 200 157 Kinross Drive
Kinross
(site now zoned Residential)

LOT 2278 3 Selkirk Drive
Kinross
(subject site)

LOT 1255 59 Kinross Drive
Kinross
(existing Shopping Centre)

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 34
SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING ADVERTISING
(CLOSED 8 MARCH 2006)**

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
1	Western Power	N/A	No Objection	Noted.
2	M Pacey	12 Carnock Way Kinross 6028	No Objection. But does not support destruction of the vegetation at Burns Beach.	Noted. Burns Beach is not the subject matter of this report.
3	Alinta	N/A	No objection, however care should be taken with regard to gas services during excavation works.	Noted.
4	A Bostock	17 Geoff Russell Avenue Kinross 6028	<p>Objection. Does not support the land use classification of the site as the plan will bottleneck the junction of Connolly Drive and Selkirk Avenue. This will cause a traffic hazard. The entry and exit should be further away from the Connolly Drive. Car parking should not front Connolly Drive as it will be an eyesore. The community purpose building should be on the corner of Connolly Drive and Selkirk Ave as a focus point, with retail and parking areas and associated entries and exits further along Selkirk Ave (east)</p> <p>Another roundabout should be located at the corner of Geoff Russell Avenue and Connolly Drive to slow traffic. Traffic will get busier and traffic already exceeds the speed limit. Signage on Connolly Drive should also be upgraded.</p>	<p>Dismiss The submission appears to address the submitters concerns with the existing (approved) Kinross Structure Plan. The structure plan is only proposed to be modified by the increase in retail floorspace limit. Other aspects, such as the location of the community centre and car parks, and entry points, are not proposed to be modified.</p> <p>The comments generally relate to traffic concerns, including speed, volume and safety. The proposal has been assessed and it is not considered that an increase in the retail floorspace will have any major impact on the existing road network. Vehicles exceeding the speed limit is a police</p>

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 34
SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING ADVERTISING
(CLOSED 8 MARCH 2006)**

			Traffic on Connolly Drive is only going to increase.	matter.
5	B & L Davidson	48 Selkirk Drive Kinross 6028	<p>Objection. Concerned about that the increased traffic will have a social and environmental impact on Selkirk Drive. Exits and entrances must be severely restricted to minimise the impact on the residents of Selkirk Drive. Believes that the addition of so many extra vehicles onto Selkirk Drive will interfere with peace and tranquillity. The addition of more vehicles will increase opportunities for more traffic and pedestrian trauma.</p> <p>There have been accidents both major and minor and will lead to more opportunities for crashes.</p> <p>Traffic should enter and exit from Connolly Drive only.</p> <p>Requests that traffic calming devices be installed in that section of Selkirk Drive between Connolly Drive and the top/eastern end of Stonehaven Parade, or this section of road be made local traffic only.</p> <p>As an alternative local traffic only restrictions should be placed on Selkirk Drive.</p>	<p>Dismiss.</p> <p>The retail net lettable area of the approved shopping centre is proposed to be increased from 3000 sqm to 4000 sqm. This does not necessarily translate into a larger shopping centre. Entrances and exits to the shopping centre have be established in accordance with the approved structure plan, and with the exception of the retail floorspace limit, no other provisions are proposed to be modified. The proposal has been assessed and it is not considered that an increase in the retail floorspace will have any major impact on the existing road network.</p>