

MINUTES

City of Joondalup

MINUTES OF
ORDINARY MEETING OF THE COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF JOONDALUP
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE,
BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP

MEETING DATE



ON TUESDAY, 8 AUGUST 2006



City of
Joondalup

www.joondalup.wa.gov.au



**MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING
HELD ON 8 AUGUST 2006**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No:	Item	Page
	DECLARATION OF OPENING	1
	ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	1
	ATTENDANCES	1
	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	2
C50-08/06	EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME - [01122] [02154]	23
	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME	27
	APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	27
	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	
C51-08/06	MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING, 18 JULY 2006.....	27
C52-08/06	MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL, 25 JULY 2006.....	28
	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON WITHOUT DISCUSSION	
	STUDENT INVITATION MEETING – LOCAL GOVERNMENT WEEK.....	28
	WOODVALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL PRESENTATION.....	28
	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	29
	IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY SIT BEHIND CLOSED DOORS	29

C53-08/06

PETITIONS

PETITION SUPPORTING PROPOSED BELDON CHILDCARE CENTRE, 255 EDDYSTONE AVENUE, BELDON	29
PETITION OBJECTING TO PROPOSED CHILDCARE CENTRE, 255 EDDYSTONE AVENUE, BELDON	30
PETITION RELATING TO ACCESS TO FUTURE CONSTRUCTION OF MULTIPLE DWELLING (APARTMENTS) BORDERING LAKESIDE DRIVE, SITTELLA TURN, PIPIT LANE AND JOONDALUP COUNCIL PARK LAND - [41249] [88007].....	30

REPORTS

CJ127-08/06	ANNUAL PLAN 2005/06 QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT APRIL TO JUNE 2006 – [20560]	31
CJ128-08/06	CITIES FOR CLIMATE PROTECTION PROGRAM - MILESTONE 5 AWARD – [59091]	34
CJ129-08/06	JOONDALUP JINAN SISTER CITIES RELATIONSHIP PLAN AND WOODVALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SISTER SCHOOL RELATIONSHIP – [52469]	41
CJ130-08/06	LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF JUNE 2006 – [09882]	50
CJ131-08/06	MINUTES OF STRATEGIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 4 JULY 2006 – [51567]	53
CJ132-08/06	THE MINUTES OF 28 JUNE 2006 MEETING OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE – [12168]	56
CJ133-08/06	PROPOSED ROAD CLOSURE FOR EXCESS ROAD RESERVE: OCEAN REEF ROAD AND MITCHELL FREEWAY, BELDON – [42015] [00374]	63
CJ134-08/06	MONTHLY TOWN PLANNING DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT, DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS – JUNE 2006 – [07032] [05961]	70
CJ135-08/06	PROPOSED REVOCATION OF CURRAMBINE VILLAGE STRUCTURE PLAN - LOTS 9018 & 9019 BURNS BEACH ROAD, CURRAMBINE – [60560]	73
CJ136-08/06	MINUTES OF SENIORS INTERESTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 7 JUNE 2006 – [55511]	78

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

C054-08/06

NOTICE OF MOTION – CR S HART – [61581] [22548].....	83
---	----

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE NEXT MEETING

C055-08/06	NOTICE OF MOTION - CR B CORR - [61581]	84
C056-08/06	NOTICE OF MOTION - CR B CORR - [61581].....	85
	CLOSURE	85

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP, ON TUESDAY, 8 AUGUST 2006

DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 1202 hrs.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

Mayor Pickard welcomed students and teachers from Belridge Education Support Centre, Duncraig Senior High School, Greenwood Senior High School, Padbury Senior High School, Woodvale Senior High School, Mater Dei Catholic College, Prendiville Catholic College, St Mark's Anglican Community School, St Stephen's School and Sacred Heart College.

ATTENDANCES

Mayor:

T PICKARD

Councillors:

Cr K HOLLYWOOD	North Ward
Cr T McLEAN	North Ward
Cr A JACOB	North-Central Ward
Cr J PARK	Central Ward
Cr G AMPHLETT	Central Ward
Cr M JOHN	South-West Ward
Cr S HART	South-East Ward
Cr B CORR	South-East Ward
Cr R FISHWICK	South Ward
Cr R CURRIE	South Ward

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer	G HUNT	
Acting Director, Planning and Community Development:	C TERELINCK	
Director, Corporate Services:	M TIDY	
Director, Infrastructure Services:	D DJULBIC	
Director, Governance & Strategy:	I COWIE	
Manager, Community Development:	G HALL	<i>to 1254 hrs</i>
Media Advisor:	L BRENNAN	
Administrative Services Co-ordinator:	J HARRISON	
Administrative Secretary:	L TAYLOR	

There were 120 members of the Public and 1 member of the Press in attendance.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following questions were taken on notice at the Council meeting held on 18 July 2006:

Mr M Caiacob, Mullaloo:

All questions relate to the Mullaloo Tavern, Oceanside Promenade, and Mullaloo.

- Q1 *What fees and charges are applicable to amended Development Application drawings for a commercial development like the Mullaloo Tavern?*
- Q2 *For the development application (including amended drawings) submitted in May 2002 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*
- Q3 *For the development application (including amended drawings) submitted in June 2002 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*
- Q4 *For the development application (including amended drawings) submitted in July 2002 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*
- Q5 *For the development application (including amended drawings) submitted in August 2002 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*
- A1-5 There are no fees or charges for the assessment of amended drawings for a development application that has already been received by the City.

The fee schedule for various planning functions has been determined in the Town Planning (Local Government Planning Fees) Regulations 2000, which became operative on 19 December 2000. The fee schedule prescribes a maximum fee for the determination of a development application. Any subsequent changes to the development application do not incur an additional fee.

The fee for the determination of the development application when it was lodged in December 2001 was \$7,000, based on a cost of \$4,000,000 (excluding GST). This fee was paid by the applicant during December 2001.

There were no planning fees reimbursed or refunded.

- Q6 *For the building licence application (including amended drawings) submitted in June 2003 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A6 The fee for the building licence was as follows:

Building licence fee \$8,181.81.

Q7 *For the building licence application (including amended drawings) submitted in November 2003 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A7 The fee for the building licence submitted was as follows:

Building licence fee \$8,181.81. The fee was paid. This fee was not refunded.

Q8 *For the building licence application (including amended drawings) submitted in December 2003 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A8 There was no building licence application received by the City in December 2003. The City received the amended plans and therefore no fees were applicable or charged.

Q9 *For the building licence application (including amended drawings) submitted in July 2004 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A9 There was no building licence application received by the City in July 2004. The City received the amended plans and therefore no fees were applicable or charged.

Q10 *For the building licence application (including amended drawings) submitted in August 2004 for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A10 There was no building licence application received by the City in August 2004. The City received the amended plans and therefore no fees were applicable or charged.

Q11 *For any development application or building licence application including fit out applications and the like submitted but not identified above for the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment, what fees and charges were applicable, what fees were imposed, were these fees reimbursed or refunded?*

A11 Tavern level fit out application, the building licence fee applicable was \$1,485.27.

Shop fitout application, the building licence fee applicable was \$70.00.

Shop fitout (Bottle shop) the building licence fee applicable was \$139.15.

Bin Store alterations, the building licence fee applicable was \$70.00.

Fresh alternate shop fitout (Bottle shop) application building licence fee applicable was \$506.96.

Application for acknowledgement of unauthorised works in the Bottle Shop. The application fee paid was \$240.00.

The following planning applications have been either determined or are waiting to be determined:

Planning Application	Cost (\$)	Fee (\$)	Paid	Status
Signs	5,000	100	Yes	Approved
Change of land use for shop/office/Restaurant (re-configuration of uses)	20,000	100	Yes	Pending
Proposed addition of shade sail structures to upper level and proposed roof over ground floor exit driveway	39,000	100	Yes	Pending

There were no planning fees reimbursed or refunded.

Q12 *Were all levies including the training fund levy, paid in full?*

A12 Note that in regard to the initial approved building licence the Building Construction Training Levy was paid directly to the Building Construction Industry Training Fund. Other levies were paid direct to the City.

Q13 *What is the total value of any monies, levies, fees or charges reimbursed, rebated or waived between January 2001 and July 2006 that relate to the Mullaloo Tavern redevelopment?*

A13 The City received a fine of \$4,050.00 imposed by the court of petty sessions following a successful prosecution when the builder departed from the building licence approval.

The City was also required to defend an action in the Supreme Court alleging, in brief, that the development had not been properly assessed or determined. The action was initiated by the Mullaloo Progress Association (MPA). The Court ordered that the MPA pay costs of approximately \$60,000 to the Council. The Council subsequently agreed to waive the majority of the costs to the extent that \$10,000 is owed by Association.

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Q1 *In a recent article published in the local community newspaper it states that "Joondalup Officers have calculated that the [Mullaloo Tavern] Hotel is short four parking bays from the original approval, but the number is not certain because not all the bays have been marked yet". This does not appear to be a statement by the CEO nor an official media release (and published on the CoJ website) so could you please advise?*

(i) *Which City officer calculated this short fall of only 4 bays?*

(ii) *Did this City officer consult the other City officer who calculated the 40 bay shortfall? As stated in a legal notice in April 2006 and which is currently before the SAT?*

(iii) *Which City official advised the press incorrectly that this short fall was only 4 bays?*

- (iv) *Why was this error of fact not corrected immediately as it was not only untrue but blatantly contradicted the official letter from McLeods – the lawyers acting for the City - in which the Tavern owners were advised that because there were only 86 bays onsite they had breached their development conditions?*
- (v) *Since this clearly represents a huge onsite shortfall of 40 bays on even the City's carparking figures would the Mayor also explain why when he was asked about this by the Community News, why he did not seize that opportunity to publicly correct the City's position and act to protect the City's legal action, as it appears his decision not to do so has now also undermined the City's legal case currently still before the SAT?*

A1 There is considerable confusion and conjecture in relation to this issue. The shortfall of bays referred to in the newspaper article related to the comparison of the proposed bays on the plan to the number of bays required by the original planning approval. The matter relating to the actual bay shortfall on site in the matter before the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) related to the actual bays marked on site at that particular time. The information relates to two totally separate issues.

One of the matters before the SAT concerns the non-compliance with conditions 1 and 2 of the original planning approval. This relates to the number of car parking bays that comply with those conditions of approval and the number of parking bays that have been marked on-site.

There have been three changes made to the marking of on-site car parking bays, which then affects the number of marked parking bays provided on the site.

Evidence to the SAT on the number of parking bays that comply with conditions 1 and 2 has been identified as 86. However, the number of parking bays provided on-site has been shown to be 119 (plus five stacked car bays for the drive through), whereas the number required in the original approval was 121 (plus five stacked car bays for the drive through).

The determination of these various assertions about parking is one of key matters that SAT is expected to determine in its role as the decision maker on this issue rather than information that may appear in other forums.

The response given to the media was in relation to a particular quotation. There is conjecture, debate and confusion relating to the designated bays as per the planning approval. Designated bays as provided on plans are those that have been accurately calculated and marked on site.

Q2 *Explain where specifically is the written authorisation of Council which allowed City officers in 2002 to dispense with any and all of the specified requirements of acting Policy 3.1.12 for the purposes of preparing the report to Council for the redevelopment of the Mullaloo Tavern? Please provide reference to Council meeting and minutes?*

Q3 *Explain why City officers did not even have to mention let alone identify all the requirements of its old cash in lieu policy 3.1.12 to Council, in order to ensure that the Council was properly informed when considering the report in 2002 for the redevelopment of the Mullaloo Tavern before they made an uninformed decision that reduced the revenue intake by the City completely contrary to their written policy?*

A2-3 The matter of cash in lieu for parking was raised in the August 2002 report to Council. The supporting information lodged with the development application indicated that there would not be a significant shortfall in the number of parking bays due to cross-visitation. A cash in lieu payment was not considered necessary and Council subsequently approved the development application, without the need for the applicant to pay a cash in lieu payment.

Q4 *Explain how the City has allowed the Tavern to be completed with inadequate onsite car parking – a figure below even 50% (86 from 210) – and did so in full knowledge that concerned members of the community had raised the issue at the basement construction stage some 12 months prior to the building being opened with Chris O'Neill – the consultant specifically selected by the CEO at that time (as minuted in Council) to investigate this and other breaches of the development conditions?*

A4 The reference in the question to 210 bays is misleading. As has been stated before, the 210 bays mentioned in the question relate to the number of bays that would have been required if each of the elements of the Mullaloo Tavern was to occur separately individual pieces of land.

Because the development combines these various elements on one site and their parking demand peaks at different times, the Council approved the development with 160 bays. Consequently, the developer was only required to provide 160 bays, not 210 as the question states.

Responses to questions about the shortage of on site car parking have been provided previously. For information, the explanation is repeated again here.

The City became concerned that the development was not going to achieve the 126 bays on site. Consequently, the City placed a stop notice on the development and has sought to have the developer comply with the 126 bays required on site.

The State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) is currently considering this matter.

There is debate about how many bays the developer is able to provide on the site. The actual number of bays provided will ultimately depend on the configuration of the parking bays and this will be addressed by the SAT. Consequently, to refer to a specific shortfall of bays is currently speculative.

The City is seeking to have the developer provide all of the 126 bays required in the site. The City has argued for this outcome at the SAT.

Q5 *When will the CEO release the secret O'Neill report to those concerned members of the community as he promised at that time and it was completed nearly a year ago?*

A5 The report has been released to Council members and will be released publicly following the conclusion of SAT proceedings.

Q6 *Advise specifically if and when a reciprocal car parking agreement was signed off between the owners of the Mullaloo tavern and the City of Joondalup as required by Council policy in 2002?*

Q7 *What was specified in this reciprocal car parking agreement if it exists?*

A6-7 There was no reciprocal parking agreement required by the Council, as a result of the development approval.

It is assumed that the policy referred to in Question 6 relates to former Policy 3.1.12. Former Policy 3.1.12 did not require any reciprocal car parking agreement to be signed off between the owners and the CoJ.

Q8 *Why did the City prepare a report to Council advocating the disposal of land using a policy from the Town of Vincent as opposed to using their own COJ Policy 3.1.12 in COJ 204 - 08/02?*

Q9 *Is recommending the disposal of land at no cost in a report to Council (COJ 204-08/02) using a policy borrowed from another Council in preference to following the written policies of the Council of the City of Joondalup normal practice for COJ officers?*

A8-9 There was no disposal of land, either proposed by the applicant or required by the Council.

Q10 *When did the COJ purchase the Crown land designated road reserve on which the car park in front of the Mullaloo Surf Club is set and how much did they pay for this road reserve land?*

Q11 *How much do the COJ pay for the use of this Crown land designated road reserve per year as a car park in front of the Mullaloo Surf Club, and to whom do they pay it?*

A10-11 The City of Joondalup did not purchase the Crown land designated road reserve in front of the Mullaloo Surf Club, nor is it required to pay for the use of the Crown land.

Q12 *Why does the CoJ take Crown land designated road reserve into account as available for car parking for the purposes of long term planning decisions that ultimately will require the disposal of public open space to replace it when the Crown land is resumed for its designated purpose - to build road?*

A12 Road reserves can be used for various purposes including car parking, provision of services and landscaping. If ever it was determined that there is a need to use a road reserve to build or widen a road, then the Council of the day would need to consider that matter at that time.

Q13 *How much Crown land designated road reserve has been assumed by the Planning Department at the City of Joondalup to be available in perpetuity for car parking and other purposes and taken into account in this way in order to facilitate new development approvals at minimum cost to private developers?*

A13 The District Planning Scheme provides that applications may be lodged inclusive of off site parking. Such an approach is common within local government. Applications including such aspects are regularly determined by the Council and decisions remain in force until such time as subsequent applications may arise in the long term future.

Mr D Biron, Mullaloo:

Re: Electronic Document Management System

Q1 *When was the City's Electronic Document management System first introduced?*

A1 1997.

Q2 *What documents were automatically supposed to be entered onto this from the point of introduction?*

A2 All documents are deemed as corporate records.

Q3 *How much was budgeted and spent on this system in the years 2001/2, 2002/3, 2003/4 and 2004/5?*

A3 2001/2002 – \$82157
2002/2003 – \$74599
2003/2004 – \$55114
2004/2005 – \$100,040

Funds expended relate to system upgrade, maintenance and licence agreements.

Q4 *Is it compulsory yet for all City and Council documents to be entered and stored onto this system?*

A4 Yes.

Q5 *If not why not?*

A5 Not applicable.

Q6 *Which bodies oversee and certify the standards of the City's Electronic Document management System?*

A6 State Records Commission, as per the City's Recordkeeping Plan.

Q7 *Are printouts from this system provided to FOI applicants?*

A7 Not as a normal practice.

Q8 *If not why not exactly?*

A8 Edited printouts (removing personal information) have been provided to applicants in the past when the scope of documents is required to be reduced.

A document schedule is produced as part of the freedom of information process, which provides different information to that generated from the City's record-keeping system.

Q9 *Are paper files still kept?*

A9 Yes, in some circumstances as working files. Once the matter has concluded the documents are incorporated into the corporate system.

Q10 *Who keeps these and why exactly?*

A10 Corporate files are retained by Records Services. Working files may be retained by officers. Some corporate documents are required to be retained in their original format, as directed by the Standing Committee on Public Records (State Records Office of Western Australia). Processes were developed to retain some original documentation that was not required to be kept in its original format.

- Q11 *Is there a backlog of records and documents to be transferred over?*
- A11 No. Some documents prior to 2000 are only retained in the corporate files and not electronically.
- Q12 *What are the City's plans to store these paper files electronically?*
- A12 There are no plans. Documents retained in corporate files from 2000 onwards are also retained electronically.
- Q13 *What is the timetable for this?*
- A13 Not applicable.
- Q14 *When were reports and decisions of Council made for all the above?*
- A14 19 February 1997 – acceptance of tender 94-69/97.
- Q15 *What policies have been approved by Council since Wanneroo Inc in regard to Electronic record management exactly and when?*
- A15 October 2005 – Records Management Policy
March 2004 – Recordkeeping Policy
June 2002 – Corporate Procedures Manual
November 1999 – Corporate Procedures Manual
- Q16 *Are printouts from this Electronic Document Management System provided to FOI applicants to speed up processing time and reduce the costs for all parties at the outset?*
- A16 Refer to A7.
- Q17 *If not why not?*
- A17 Refer to A8.
- Q18 *Are all emails now stored automatically or not?*
- A18 Not to the City's electronic recordkeeping system. Each officer is responsible for deciding if an email is deemed a corporate record and stored appropriately.
- Q19 *Is it still optional for Officers to store their correspondence electronically or not?*
- A19 No.
- Q20 *Please provide details of all policies and procedures in the City and Council covering all of the above.*
- A20 Records Management Policy 8.4
Corporate Procedures Manual – Records Section
Records Services Procedure Manual – used for staff within Records Services
Recordkeeping Responsibilities guide, brochures and user guides – used for all staff within the City
Recordkeeping Responsibilities guide for Elected Members

Q21 *Is full access to the Electronic Document Management System provided to all elected members?*

A21 No.

Q22 *If not why not?*

A22 Elected members are only able to access information via the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with section 5.92 of the Local Government Act 1995.

Mr E Burton, Kingsley:

Q1(a) *With regard to the total project that is a joint and integrated development of the Aged Persons facility, the extension of Woodlake Retreat and the traffic lights.*

As there is currently only one way in and the same way out, consideration has to be given to the logistics of the site. Consideration must be given to residents and developers in equal proportions.

The following matters need consideration:

Access to the site from Wanneroo Road during the construction period for the developer. An approach to the Main Roads Department is required.

A1(a) The applicants are currently liaising with Main Roads WA in relation to installing a temporary access from Wanneroo Road to the development site during the construction period. The City is aware of those discussions, however, the matter has yet to be resolved.

Q1(b) *Commence construction of the extension of Woodlake Retreat from the retirement village to facilitate and provide additional parking areas for workmen etc.*

A1(b) The construction of the road is dependent upon the required land being held in public ownership. The land immediately west of the Kingsley Retirement Village is currently in private ownership, and subject to a forthcoming subdivision application to create a portion of the total road reserve. Consequently, it is not possible to extend the road until the land is transferred into public ownership. It is noteworthy that a meeting with the Kingsley Retirement Village administrators has been sought to discuss and promote the resolution of this issue.

Q1(c) *Limitation of loads exiting via Woodlake Retreat, as this street was built for residential traffic only and a truck and jinker full of sand could exceed 30 tonne and will damage the road.*

Q1(d) *A provision for turning is required. The recent use of Grasslands Loop to back into has already been shown to damage the surrounds*

A1(c&d) The optimum scenario for construction vehicle access is that this be provided from Wanneroo Road, subject to MRWA requirements. Should that approval not be given, other options are under evaluation to minimise the possible impacts of construction vehicle traffic movements on the road network. In doing so it should be noted that the Council does not have the jurisdiction to prevent certain types of trucks from using or entering public roads.

Q1(e) *Insurance is required of the Developer for damage that may occur. Not only for persons adjacent to Lot 550, but also to residents of Woodlake Retreat. Many are only four metres from the edge of the road*

That the development approval contains conditions concerning insurance and parking restrictions to protect the rights of residents.

A1(e) In terms of the development approval, the District Planning Scheme does not give powers to the Council to allow it to compel a third party to be insured, or to place parking constraints on the road reserve.

The Council has, however, previously committed itself to monitor parking in the area, and this will be done both during and after the construction phase.

Q1(f) *To what extent will consideration be given to the above and like matters, to facilitate the completion of the project?*

A1(f) Refer to the comments made above and please note that staff are available at the administration centre should any queries become apparent during the development of the facility.

Mr M Dickie, Duncraig:

Q1 *Re: Council Policy – What steps is Council taking to ensure that its Height and Scale of Buildings Policy 3.2 is explained correctly to members of the public? Applicants are not told that houses cannot extend outside the building threshold envelope.*

Q2 *Can Council please explain why the processes set out in Policy 3.2 are not currently being followed, particularly in regard to the assessment of applications according to the objectives of the Policy and reference of applications to Council for determination?*

A1-2 These questions were submitted prior to the Council Meeting of 18 July 2006 and a written response was provided on the evening. Please refer to page 6 of the Council Minutes, 18 July 2006.

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Q1 *With regard to the answer to my Question 2 that is in this evening's Agenda (Page x refers), I am not satisfied with the answer given and I resubmit the question accordingly:*

“Q2 Council has informed me that 34 bays are owned by the Tavern over the road off site and form part of the required 160 on-site bays. However, the car park over the road is designated road reserve and nobody in the City owns it or controls it. Leaving aside that this road reserve, I refer to an email from the City that \$40,000 may have been paid in 1982 to the Shire in respect OF CASH IN LIEU FOR NOT providing 34 bays onsite. This involved a possible land transaction and would Council please provide:

- (i) the lot number which formed the exchange of titles and*
- (ii) when this exchange took place.”*

A1 Mayor Pickard invited Mr Sideris to make an appointment to meet with him and the relevant officers to ensure the question is being interpreted correctly. The question and response to that question is reproduced below:

“Q2 Council has informed me that 34 bays are owned by the Tavern over the road off site and form part of the required 160 on-site bays. However, the car park over the road is designated road reserve and nobody in the City owns it or controls it. Leaving aside that this is road reserve, I refer to an email from the City that \$40,000 may have been paid in 1982 to the Shire in respect to providing 34 bays on site. This involved a possible land transaction and would Council please provide:

(a) the lot number which formed the exchange of titles;

(b) when this exchange took place;

A2(a)&(b)

In terms of the 34 off site bays, the situation is that the Council of the day (at some time around 1982) allowed the provision of 34 off site parking bays for the then tavern owners. The bays were constructed on the opposite side of Oceanside Promenade. The Council constructed them partly on the road reserve, with some of the accessway on the adjoining land (which forms part of Tom Simpson Park). This is a normal situation, where off site parking is provided. The 34 off site bays consequently contribute to the supply of car bays by the tavern site.”

Q2 *With reference to the answers as printed on Page X at A2 (a) and (b):*

Clearly in order to provide the different answers provided, the CEO must have consulted Council papers since he refers to Council being responsible for the decision to construct these 34 bays in 1982 with part of Tom Simpson Park and part of the road reserve, and he is well noted for separating out responsibility for decisions of Council and the City.

When precisely was the decision of Council taken and approved, and in which Council (or Committee) minuted for the record, since to give the answers as printed those Council records must have been accessed by City officers?

A2 Council granted approval at its September 1980 meeting for a proposal to incorporate a restaurant into the existing premises. Approval was granted for the proposal subject to satisfactory arrangements being arrived at, in respect to the provision of parking. The proposal had a shortfall of 34 car parking spaces.

The Council's Approval to Commence Development was issued on the 14 October 1980. Condition 8 of that approval is reproduced below:

“8 Council’s approval is subject to “satisfactory arrangements being arrived at in respect to the provision of parking”. In view of the under-provision of parking on the property it will be necessary to make a cash payment in lieu of providing parking spaces. Under Clause 5.15 of Shire of Wanneroo, Town Planning Scheme No 1, Council may accept a cash payment in lieu of the provision of paved car parking spaces, subject to the following:

- (a) *A cash-in-lieu payment shall be not less than the estimated cost to the owner of providing and constructing the parking spaces required by the Scheme, plus the value, as estimated by the Council, of that area of his land which would have been occupied by the parking spaces.*
- (b) *Before the Council agrees to accept a cash payment in lieu of the provision of parking spaces, the Council must either have already provided a public parking station nearby, or must have firm proposals for providing a public parking station nearby within a period of not more than eighteen months from the time of agreeing to accept the cash payment.*
- (c) *Payments made under this clause shall be paid into a special fund to be used to provide public parking stations and the Council may use this fund to provide public parking stations anywhere in its district.”*

At its November 1980 meeting, Council authorised the Shire Clerk and Shire Planner to negotiate with the owner of the property a cash in lieu payment. Advice had previously been received from the Valuer General's office that the 34 shortfall in car parking would result in a cash-in-lieu payment of \$30,000.

At its May 1982 meeting, Council considered a proposal to extend the existing Tavern. The City's report indicated that a \$40,000 cash-in-lieu payment had been made for the 34-car parking space shortfall, and as such, that parking had been fulfilled on the Mullaloo beachfront for the benefit of tavern patrons.

Due to the historical nature of this information from 24-26 years ago, there may be more information available. However, it is considered that further research on this historical matter is not required due to the age of this matter and its lack of relevance to any current applications before the Council.

Such research requires the diversion of significant resources from other matters of relevance to the City's current operations, and the value of continuing historical research is therefore questionable.

Q3 *With reference to the answers as printed on Page XI A2 (a) and (b):*

- (a) *Where precisely in the decision of Council concerning the Tavern redevelopment did Council specify by recorded resolution i.e., decision, that while they physically wrote they required 160 onsite bays, that this only meant the provisions of 126 onsite bays as the City now asserts?*
- (b) *Where precisely in the decisions of Council concerning the Tavern redevelopment, did Council specify by recorded resolution or decision that they were reducing the total bays required by 50 bays and that this bay reduction did not include the 34 bays that the City now claims in writing variously were built by the Tavern or was it that they were built by the City, or was that owned by the Tavern or was that owned by the City, albeit that this is on Crown land road reserve?*

A3 The report to Council provided details in relation to the number of car parking spaces that had been provided on-site and offsite for No. 10 Oceanside Promenade. The number of car parking spaces that were identified as being onsite was 126, with 34 offsite car parking spaces, totaling 160 car parking spaces. The December 2001 planning application clearly reported that the development included bays both on and off site.

Part 1 of the Council determination required parking spaces for 160 cars. This was the number of parking spaces that had been identified in the report as being available for the development.

Further, the planning application was conditionally approved by Council in Part 2 without requiring the applicant to amend the application to provide any further car parking spaces. Consequently, the 160 parking spaces that are available to the development site satisfied the car parking requirement of 160 car parking spaces.

Mrs M Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

Q1(a) Regarding the monthly rent of approximately \$6,600 paid to Westfield for the “office” in the Whitford Shopping Centre, has this expense with associated costs for electricity etc. been considered as an essential expense by the Mayor and Councillors when trimming the budget?

A1(a) The total annual rent of \$78,000 incorporates all operating costs of the customer service centre located at the Whitfords Shopping Centre, including electricity. The lease for the service centre expires on 10 November 2007. These costs are governed by the lease conditions for the period of the lease. The facility will continue to operate until the expiry of the lease.

Q1(b) If it has indeed been considered essential, could the reasons and justification for the expense in renting this office space be made known to them and to the ratepayers?

A1(b) The customer service centre provides valuable and varied services to the residents of the City, particularly those residents in the southern regions of the City who have difficulty accessing the Administration Centre in Joondalup or seeking information during normal office hours. Such services include:

- Payments of rates and infringements;
- Registering of dogs;
- Council and Community information.

Q2 If the Whitford Shopping Centre rented office is considered a central venue for payment of the annual rates and for information sheets:

(a) have the Mayor and Councillors considered alternatives that could be less expensive and more convenient for payment of the annual rates, such as through local post offices, and for information sheets to be visible and available in each library?

A2(a) The City utilises all of its facilities as points of communication with its customers and the customer service centre at the Whitfords Shopping Centre is one location, along with the City’s libraries, Leisure Centres and Administration Centre.

Residents are able to pay their rates through electronic methods, by post, or in person at customer service centres at the Administration Centre and Whitfords Shopping Centre, and post offices.

Q2(b) Will the Mayor and Councillors be notified of the lease renewal date to assist Councillors make a decision or is the lease long term and non negotiable?

A2(b) The current lease for the Customer Service Centre located at the Whitfords Shopping Centre expires on 10 November 2007. At that time a decision will be made as to whether to extend the lease and provide the service to the residents of the City.

The following questions were submitted in writing prior to the Council meeting on 8 August 2006:

Mr S Kobelke, Sorrento:

Thank you for your response to my question regarding the Monday May 8 2006 West Australian Newspaper article that indicated that Mayor Pickard was a board member of a State Political Party's State Council and State Executive.

I take from the response that the City of Joondalup is adopting what would be called the "City of Wanneroo Model" for Declarations of Financial Interest as it was prior and after the last State Election.

Q1 *To avoid the embarrassment and negative publicity that impacted on the City of Wanneroo regarding state political candidates who also happen to hold elected positions on the Council not declaring financial interest or otherwise when applications come before Council from one of their state political campaign donors, will the Council be drawing up a policy document for the elected members on this matter so there is no confusion and thus ensuring the City of Joondalup will not be embarrassed?*

A1 The provisions of the Local Government Act 1995, and the adopted Code of Conduct of the City specifies the requirements that all elected members and employees of the City must abide by when dealing with conflict of interests, both of a financial and impartiality nature.

With the requirements of the Act and the Code of Conduct clearly specifying the requirements, there is no requirement for the City to adopt any policy in the matter relating to conflict of interests.

Q2 *With it highly likely that at least one of the recently elected council members being a candidate at the next State election can Council give a timeline as to when a policy might be in place?*

A2 The City is not aware of the candidates of upcoming Federal, State or Local Government elections. See the response in (1) above.

Q3 *Referring to the original question on the West article. In a general sense, if an elected member of a local government authority also holds a senior decision making role on any State political party eg: Board Member State Council or State Executive, and with one of the most important and strategic roles of a State political party's Council or Executive being the raising of political donations, then it is taken as a given that success in the role of a senior Council/ State Executive member would be gauged on success with political donations. On that basis if a matter came before Council, and the application was from a donor to that State Political Party would not the elected member be required to at the very least make a Declaration of Interest and probably a declaration of financial interest?*

A3 See the response in (1) above.

Mr Dean Stephens, Currambine:

I am concerned that the next ordinary meeting is being held at what would be considered the most inconvenient time, making it impossible for the general public to attend. The next ordinary meeting of the Council is to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on Tuesday, 8 August 2006, commencing at 12 noon.

Q1 *Why is this being held at this time?*

Q2 *Is this to do with Local Government Week for schools to attend? If so, it is fully supported and encouraged.*

A1-2 The Council meeting scheduled to be held on 8 August 2006 will commence at 12 noon to afford the opportunity for students from the high schools within the City to attend and experience the decision making process of a local government. The meeting is held during Local Government Week 2006.

Q3 *But even If it is for Local Government Week, would it not be more appropriate to hold a meeting in reference to Joondalup Youth Advisory Council or the Youth Affairs Advisory Committee?*

Q4 *Would not the attendance of the school children at council be the BEST time to raise these issues?*

A3-4 The Council resolved on 27 June 2006 (CJ107-06/06 refers) to disband the Youth Advisory Council and the Youth Affairs Advisory Committee and review how it engages with the youth of the City. Part of the proceedings of the Council meeting scheduled for 8 August 2006 will allow for elected members and senior employees of the City to liaise with the students of the high schools before and after the meeting. This will allow the students to engage with the elected members and provide feedback on issues relating to youth.

Q5 *Does holding a full ordinary meeting at noon, pose a contradiction to the Council's open and accountability?*

A5 No. The ordinary meeting of the Council will be held in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995, and the City's Standing Orders Local Law 2005.

Q6 *Does this action not "appear" to be deceitful, considering this meeting is the very next ordinary meeting after the Budget release?*

A6 No.

Q7 *Do you agree, holding a full ordinary meeting at noon would not be considered "fair and equitable opportunity" for ratepayers to attend?*

Q7 It is acknowledged that the commencement time of 12 noon for the ordinary meeting to be held on 8 August 2006 may be restrictive for some members of the public to attend due to competing priorities, such as employment.

Feedback from students and representatives from the high schools following last year's day time Council meeting was for it to be continued to enable high numbers of students to attend.

Q8 *Please consider convening the meeting as "special meeting for the review of the City's Youth Related Issues and Policies".*

A8 See the response in 3-4 above.

The following questions were taken on notice at the Special Council meeting held on 25 July 2006:

Mrs M Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

Re: *Attachment 6 – Page 2 of 5 - Foreshore Management*

Q1 *FNM1009 – Foreshore Access Path Redevelopment - \$6,000 – What account are these funds coming from and where is this planned for?*

BCW014 – Foreshore Park Toilets - \$25,000 – Where are these toilets planned for?

A1 **FNM1009 - Foreshore Access Path Redevelopment** - \$6,000 Carry Forward from 2005-06 Budget

Funds for this project are part of the Foreshore Development and Natural Areas Management Program of the Capital Works Program for 2005-06.

The work involves the realignment of an access path impacted by coastal erosion damage (Merrifield Place). Works will be undertaken when the extent of erosion damage is clearly identified.

BCW014 - Foreshore Park Toilets - \$25,000 - This amount is carry forward funds allocated towards the recently completed Foreshore Toilets constructed at Iluka.

The following questions were submitted in writing prior to the Council meeting on 8 August 2006:

Ms M Moon, Greenwood:

Re: *Currambine Village Structure Plan report*

The current provisions of DPS2, the R-Codes and relevant policies are therefore considered adequate to assess future development applications for the intended land uses. Furthermore, the site is to be developed by one owner, enabling a comprehensive assessment of the proposed land uses over the whole site and the potential impacts of these proposed land uses on surrounding areas.

Q1 *What is the definition for an aged persons' facility and or aged persons development?*

DPS2 nursing home : means premises in which persons who do not require constant medical attention are received as patients and lodged for the purposes of medical supervision and nursing care.

Q2(a) *Will the nursing home style accommodation be for patients?*

- Q2(b) *Will the nursing home style accommodation be for lodging or the person's permanent place of residence? (Very important)*
- Q3 *As Nursing Home style accommodation is not a land use in the DPS2, what do the planners consider the appropriate land use for the nursing home style accommodation?*
- Q4(a) *In the report it is stated: The current provisions of DPS2, the R-Codes and relevant policies are therefore considered adequate to assess future development applications for the intended land uses (p45).*
- What are the current development controls for nursing home under the DPS2 and Residential Design Codes?*
- Q4(b) *What are the current development controls for nursing home style accommodation under the DPS2 and Residential Design Codes?*
- Q4(c) *What are the current development controls for Residential building and or Lodging house under the DPS2 and Residential Design Codes?*
- Q4(d) *What are the current provisions of the DPS2 and R-Codes that would apply to the nursing home style accommodation?*
- Q5(a) *Does the R-Code on the land apply to Nursing Home Style accommodation?*
- Q5(b) *Does the R-Code on the land apply to Nursing Home?*
- Q5(c) *Does the R-Code apply to Residential Building and or lodging house?*
- Q5(d) *Does the R-Code apply to any use other than one specified as dwelling?*
- Q6(a) *Does the R-Code on the land apply over the whole mixed use development or only over apartments allocated for dwellings? (EG if nursing home style apartments are located in the mixed use area will the R-Code apply?)*
- Q7(a) *Does the Policy 3.2 Height and Scale of Buildings within Residential Area apply in the mixed-use zone?*
- Q7(b) *Is there any height restrictions that apply to the mixed-use zone?*
- Q8 *Is the policy intended to apply to buildings which uses are not dwelling?*
- The density, building height, setbacks, car parking, open space and privacy requirements of residential development can be assessed in accordance with the R-Codes for residential development. Mixed Use development would be assessed under DPS2 in terms of setbacks, car parking, and landscaping, as well as under the provisions of the R-Codes (item 9 p 45).*
- Q9(a) *Is nursing home style accommodation considered to be residential development in context with the above statement?*
- Q9(b) *Is nursing home style accommodation a residential use dealt with by the Residential Design Guides?*

Q9(c) *If the R-Code of the land does not apply to nursing home style accommodation which setbacks, building height, car parking and open space and privacy requirements will be used to assess this component?*

I believe that without being informed what the land use for the nursing home style accommodation will be, without being informed if the tenants will reside permanently or as patients and lodged, without being informed if the nursing style accommodation is controlled by density or has any development controls or which provisions of the DPS2 and R-Codes would apply the Council is not in a position to say whether the DPS2 and R-Codes are adequate to assess a planning application. It seems the provision within the DPS2 is purely the Discretionary clause.

If the answers show that the R-Code will not apply to the nursing home style accommodation and the height policy does not apply in the mixed used area it is already clear that development controls need to be determined in consultation with the community through a structure plan and that the DPS2 does not adequately allow assessment of nursing home style accommodation and height in the mixed use zone.

Aged persons dwellings receive a land bonus allowing 1/3 more dwellings and with nursing style accommodation which does not need to meet the code on the land this development has the potential of being far larger in scale than which was put to the community in the structure planning process.

Tell me why the structure plan needs to be removed rather than changed the existing structure plan was already for the aged, a retirement village?

A1-9 These questions will be taken on notice.

The following questions were submitted verbally at the meeting; a summary of each question and the response given is shown below:

Natalie Lowcock, Duncraig Senior High School:

Q1 *Is there a possibility that the City of Joondalup will need to use recycled water in the foreseeable future? If so, how would it be used?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard: As the greenhouse effect becomes more and more evident, water will become an increasingly scarce commodity within our community. To address this issue there is a need to manage our water sources better. The City of Joondalup has a number of strategies in place to better manage stormwater and nutrients washing into our wetland areas. In terms of the future use of recycled wastewater, this is a matter of State Government policy and the authority that has responsibility for the management of the water resource is the Department of Water and the City will obviously have opportunities to work in close collaboration with the Department of Water to address the potential future shortages of this resource. Their website is www.wrc.wa.gov.au.*

Alison Fildes, Duncraig Senior High School:

Q1 What criteria are used to assess the effectiveness of Youth Programs offered by the City of Joondalup? By these criteria, how effective are they? What Youth problems are not being addressed?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: Young people who attend programs that the City run are surveyed to ascertain their level of satisfaction. The Anchors Youthlink school holiday program also surveys parents of young people who attend the program. Most questionnaires are presented in an easy to complete format and use a graded tick-box scale to gain feedback.

Typical questions that the City asks of young people through this process include:

- Overall did you have an enjoyable experience at Anchors?
- What things did you like best?
- What things didn't you like?
- How happy are you with the selection of activities provided by the program?
- Can you think of any activities that we could include in the program in the future?
- What did you think of the staff?
- How did you hear about the program?

The City of Joondalup Libraries also provides a range of youth programs including Find My Place and School Connections whilst offering youth areas within each Library. The Libraries assess the effectiveness of current programmes via feedback, evaluation forms and overall attendance to events.

Generally feedback is positive, gaining an average of 75 to 80% satisfaction rate.

Improvements are made to programs in response to trends and themes identified by people's feedback. If individuals raise isolated issues, staff members follow them up on a one-to-one basis and discuss the issue further with them and look at possible solutions.

The primary role of all youth programs within the City of Joondalup is the provision of information on issues that impact on young people, providing them with support, advocacy and referral to the appropriate agency when particular issues are identified.

Other matters outside the role and expertise of the Council are referred to crisis agencies, such as drug support groups, accommodation providers, employment programs, education and training programs, financial counseling, Department for Community Development and the police.

James Howlett, Belridge Education Support Centre:

Q1 We have noticed that there is a lot of graffiti around the Joondalup area. Is it possible for school students to volunteer or participate in programs to help with cleaning graffiti?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: Graffiti is a scourge on our community and it is heartening to see you take an interest in the matter. Unfortunately, due to the chemicals involved in removing graffiti all volunteers have to be over the age of 18 to be part of the City's volunteer programme. However, you do play an important role as a young member of our community ensuring that other young members do not graffiti our assets, buildings, fences and parks and if you do find somebody report them to the appropriate agency, either to the City or to the police.

Michael Youssef, Belridge Education Support Centre:

Q1 We'd like to find out more about recycling programs in the Joondalup area. How should we go about it?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: The City has recently adopted some new and exciting recycling programmes for household waste and green waste.

This year, the City will be looking to ultimately provide recycling facilities for every household in the City of Joondalup so everyone participates in reducing landfill which is the ultimate objective. The City is spending \$1.2 million on upgrading the Materials Recovery Facility, which is a recycling plant that is located in Wangara, and is a joint venture between the Cities of Joondalup, Wanneroo and Swan.

Our second programme this year involves the introduction of a 240 litre recycling bin to all residential properties within the City, which will replace our current programme of crates and bags. This project will cost the City in excess of \$2.115 million. The third key initiative being undertaken this year is the joint Resource Recovery Facility project, involving seven local governments in the northern metropolitan corridor. This initiative will convert household waste and turn it into compost.

The project will involve a capital cost in excess of \$80 million and a 20-year contract for the recycling facility. The City is committed to improving the recycling within our community and the impact of landfill on our society and those three specific initiatives will be coming to fruition this year so it is an exciting stage for recycling in the City.

Praveen Perera, Mater Dei College:

Q1 What is the possibility of planning and allocating revenue for more youth facilities such as skate parks with graffiti walls and possible youth club premises with a variety of recreational activities such as table tennis, pool, badminton, etc and, most importantly from parents' point of view, qualified supervisors and youth leaders who would coordinate and monitor activities? Young people could apply for membership and pay a fee to help cover expenses. We think that having such a facility will not only be fun but it will also stop people from doing bad things, such as graffiti in places where it is illegal and going into drugs. It will also help the youth to interact with more people their age and build friendships. It will help the community greatly in the future.

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: When the City considers how it spends its annual budget of \$115M there are many factors that the City needs to consider, such as running costs, associated programmes and facilities, maintenance costs, finding appropriate sites, possible shared funding sources with other local authorities or State Government agencies, the demands for other facilities, and competing financial bids for other possible projects in each area.

The City has allocated \$10,000 in this year's budget to plan for a skate park in a central location of the City's boundaries. There is one located in the north, there is one located in the south and there is a void in the centre. I will mention during my presentation that there is a Youth Advisory Council that is the perfect forum where the City encourages young people to express their views, ideas, how it will work and to encourage interested people and, hopefully, Praveen you will be involved in the future shape and face of the Youth Advisory Council.

Elinor Fleming, Mater Dei College:

Q1 Would it be possible to distribute youth questionnaires through local schools to survey ideas about what young people would like to see being included in new developments?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: Yes, the City has recently established a Working Group to explore ways in which Council can engage young people more effectively and identify issues and matters that impact them. The Working Group will be responsible for holding youth forums where your views will be listened to and taken on board as the City shapes the future of youth decision-making processes.

The youth forums will be publicised through all high schools in the City to create awareness and ultimately participation.

Grant Welch, Padbury Senior High School:

Q1 Does the City of Joondalup meet with other City/Shire Leaders to discuss/plan/implement youth services and programs, and if so could you please give us some examples of how this has benefited youth in the City of Joondalup?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: The City most definitely works with other local authorities, not only at an officer level but also at an Elected Member level. I think a good example of that is the Carine Skate Park, which was a joint initiative between the City of Stirling and the City of Joondalup. It provides an opportunity for young people to recreate and be entertained and it sits right on the City's border so it is located in a good area.

It is one particular programme that the Cities have worked together with and it raises the bar on how we can work with other local authorities to share resources, rather than duplicating them for the benefit of providing additional services and facilities for young people to participate in.

Meziel Rodda, Padbury Senior High School:

Q1 Is there a Youth Council in the City of Joondalup that young people can have representation on, and if so how does this Council work with the City of Joondalup Council?

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: The City has recently disbanded its Youth Advisory Council so that it can start with a clean sheet and Council will be engaging young people in our City to see what issues there are and how they would like that to be structured and how it would operate within our Council.

If Council thought it was appropriate on a particular youth issue to seek advice, the City would refer the matter to the Youth Advisory Council for comment. The Youth Advisory Council at its ordinary meeting would consider that and move a recommendation that would then be considered by this Council and hopefully adopted. That is the mechanism that is provided so that young people can have input and contribution into the agenda for our Council Meetings.

Sally Tindall, Woodvale Senior High School:

Q1 *Having hosted 18 delegates from Jinan recently, what do you see as the major benefits of this particular visit for the Joondalup community?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* The Delegation that recently visited Joondalup undertook a six months training program to develop an understanding of management practices in Australia. The program was coordinated by the International Institute of Business Technology and included delivery of information and training from the City of Joondalup staff, ECU and local businesses within Joondalup. The benefits derived from this type of exchange include economic benefits by having these visitors living and studying in our City as well as socializing and engaging in shopping activities and restaurants. These are positive benefits that the City can have these relationships and the recent visit from Jinan is a testament to that.

C50–08/06**EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME – [01122] [02154]**

MOVED Cr Jacob, SECONDED Cr Park that public question time be extended for a period of fifteen minutes.

The Motion was Put and**CARRIED (11/0)**

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Julie Pham, Woodvale Senior High School:

Q1 *We have gained so much from our visit to Jinan and the International Children's Festival the Jinan Government hosted, that we would love the opportunity to help at next year's City of Joondalup Festival. What is the likelihood of the City of Joondalup similarly hosting a group of Jinan children at the festival?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* The City has been liaising with our Sister City in Jinan with a view to bringing out some of the acrobats to the Joondalup Festival. The City will investigate the feasibility of the suggestion made by the Woodvale School students to invite a delegation including children from Jinan to visit our City during the festival season.

Bronwyn Edwards, St Stephen's School Duncraig:

Q1 *What is being done to create a safe environment for youth to spend their free time, instead of drinking or doing drugs?"*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* The City of Joondalup provides many services to local young people in order to provide them with positive and safe options other than drinking and drug use, obviously there is always room for improvements. Services the City provides include:

- drop in centres in Warwick and Heathridge twice a week,
- several Young Women's programs,
- a school holiday program every school holidays,
- 2 hip hop programs per week,

- a graffiti art program,
- BMX and Skate Competitions in Summer,
- a Battle of the Bands in October,
- a youth festival in December,
- youth events at the Joondalup Festival in March, and
- youth workers who go to public spaces (such as parks) to work with young people on issues of concern to them, including drug use.

Claire Cordner, St Stephen's School Duncraig:

Q1 What improvements are being made in aged care facilities and recreation? For example, walking clubs, aerobics and creative activities.

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: Aged care facilities in the City are provided by private organisations. Recently there has been an increase in the number of planning approvals for the development of additional facilities, which will include low, medium and high care.

The City provides 28 community facilities that are available to groups including senior citizens clubs. Currently, there are 6 senior citizen clubs who utilise the City's facilities free of charge to deliver their programs for elderly people. These programs include carpet bowls, dancing, art and crafts, pool/snooker.

In addition, the City provides a number of programs and events offering leisure and recreation based activities to the community including seniors. These programs include:

- Seniors: This is your Life. Annual event encouraging seniors to remain connected and engaged in the community through social, physical, spiritual and economic participation.
- Be Active Together Walking Groups. Free walking program coordinated by the City throughout the Joondalup area.
- GOLD Adventure (Platinum 50+). Subsidised recreation and adventure activities for people over 50 years of age. Activities include River Cruises, Tai Chi & Yoga, Dinner Dance, Spring in the Valley, Abseiling, Archery & Rock-climbing, fitness classes.
- Term Programs Provided at the City's Leisure Centres for all ages. Activities include crafts & hobbies, painting, pottery, dancing, yoga, music & movement, tennis coaching, martial arts and multi sports.

Michael Lane, Greenwood Senior High School:

Q1 Is the Council planning to fund any public art works in the Greenwood area to raise community morale and provide a constructive outlet for young artists?'

A1 Response by Mayor Pickard: No, not at present, however those interested should contact the City's Cultural Development Coordinator to discuss their proposal.

The City has a \$20,000 Public Art Project budget for financial year 2006 – 2007.

In the past the City has developed proposals from the community such as Kingsley Memorial. It has also developed its own projects such as the Yellagonga Sculpture Trail in 2005.

Katherine Silich, Greenwood Senior High School:

Q1 *Would the Council be prepared to develop resources for a Greenwood Theatre Group to foster a constructive use of creative expression in the young thespians of the Greenwood community?'*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* There is no specific budget for such a proposal. The City would however, normally support proposals for such a venture through our community funding programme, so there are schemes in place to address opportunities for funding such as your suggestion and I encourage you to take it up with the officer to present to Council.

Jackson Brown, Prendiville Catholic College:

Q1 *Could the youth get more involved in the Joondalup Festival, for example by putting ideas forward?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* The City encourages members of the community to become actively involved in the Joondalup Festival, either as a participant as part of the festival such as a parade participant, or by entering a submission to present an item such as a band, or by volunteering. All enquiries should be forwarded to the Cultural Development Coordinator.

Samantha Roberts, Prendiville Catholic College:

Q1 *Are there any new programs regarding sustainability?*

A1 *Response by Mayor Pickard:* The City is very committed to sustainability and ensures that all its projects consider the long-term impacts on future generations.

The City's current Strategic Plan has a vision to be a sustainable City and community that are recognised as innovative, unique and diverse and it has a Sustainability Policy that sets broad direction for achievement of sustainability within the City of Joondalup.

The City has a high level advisory group called the Sustainability Advisory Committee that provides advice to Council on all matters relating to sustainability. More specifically the City has partnered with ECU in 2005 to secure a substantial federal grant that enabled schools in the region to undertake sustainability projects.

The City has participated in the Cities for Climate Protection program, which is a federal program that seeks to reduce greenhouse emissions across the City.

The City has piloted a number of projects including cool schools, eco-house and eco-business which all provide our community with cost effective ways to reduce reliance on energy and hence reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

To conclude, the City is very committed to sustainability and you will find that all reports outline sustainability implications of all matters being referred to Council.

Mr S Kobelke, Sorrento:

Q1 *Re: Changes to the DPS2 regarding coastal height, forwarded to the Minister- Is there any update from the Minister?*

A1 No, not as this stage.

Q2 *In response to a question on the current agenda papers xxii – in relation to Question 1 the indication that the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 specify very clearly to Elected Members and employees of the City what they must abide by.*

Based on the fact that the City of Wanneroo was called into question by the Anti-Corruption Commission over political donations, what has our Council done to specifically advise our Councillors, many of them who are new, about political donations and donations generally?

A2 The City has provided information in two ways. Firstly, the City held two sessions for candidates in the lead up to the election to deal with a wide range of activities, with representation from the City, the Department of Local Government and Regional Development and the Western Australian Electoral Commission.

Secondly, following the swearing-in of Elected Members on the Tuesday after the election, the City had a three week period where there were no formal meetings of Council, when extensive briefing sessions were held, in total approximately 32 hours. The briefings canvassed the role of Elected Members, the issues relating to the town planning schemes and financial interests. Based on this induction, this Council is better able to make decisions in relation to their role than most others. Since the matter has been raised again tonight, it will cause Elected Members to again reflect on their requirements.

Ms M Macdonald, Mullaloo:

Q1(a) *I refer to your answer to Mr Sideris' question in tonight's agenda regarding the matter before the State Administrative Tribunal and the Mullaloo Tavern. Where is it stated that the number of bays provided is 119 instead of 121 and that the remaining 38 bays in question do not meet conditions 1 or 2 of the approval?*

Q1(b) *As these facts were known before the building certificate was issued and therefore the plans were not consistent with the planning approval, how was the building certificate able to be issued?*

Q2 *The stop direction issued to the owners of the Mullaloo Tavern and to the Planning and Development Act 2005 contains a schedule, which states:*

"Item 1 The Development – Use or part of the land mainly Level 1 for hotel/tavern."

I have been informed by the Mayor that this description of the use relates to the Liquor Licensing Act and not the Planning and Development Act 2005. Given that this estoppel is the primary document before the State Administration Tribunal, has the Tribunal been misled as to the use approved for this building?

A1-2 These questions will be taken on notice.

Mrs M Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

Re: CJ127-08/06 – Appendix 1, Stamped Page 49 – Annual Plan Quarterly Progress Report April – June 2006. My questions relate to the statements on Pages 49 and 50 which are that the Spring, Summer and Autumn Editions of Council News have been printed and Distributed to the Community.

As I have received the Council's "Budget News" with my Annual Rates notice by mail, but in the last year I have not received any other Council News publications.

Q1 *How are the other issues of Council News distributed?*

Q2 (a) *Specifically, if Council distributes the quarterly editions through a hand delivered system, what is the name of the contractor that Council uses for its "Council News" distribution?*

(b) *If not this system, then what system does Council use for its distribution of "Council News" to the Community to back the statement on stamped Pages 49 and 50 in Appendix 1?*

A1-2 These questions will be taken on notice.

PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

The following statements were submitted verbally at the meeting; a summary of each statement is shown below:

Mr S Kobelke, Sorrento:

Mr Kobelke spoke about the Budget 2006/07 and his concern about the use of one word in the Budget News document.

Ms M Macdonald, Mullaloo:

Ms Macdonald raised her concerns in relation to the Mullaloo Tavern development.

Manager, Community Development left the Chamber, the time being 1254 hrs.

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies for absence - Crs Evans and Magyar

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

C51-08/06 MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING, 18 JULY 2006

MOVED Cr Corr, SECONDED Cr McLean that the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 18 July 2006 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

C52-08/06**MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL, 25 JULY 2006**

MOVED Cr Currie, SECONDED Cr Fishwick that the Minutes of the Special Meeting of Council held on 25 July 2006 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON WITHOUT DISCUSSION**STUDENT INVITATION MEETING – LOCAL GOVERNMENT WEEK**

Welcome students, teachers and members of the public.

There are approximately 95 students and 20 teachers here today for this special Local Government Week meeting of the City of Joondalup Council, comprised of myself Troy Pickard as Mayor, Deputy Mayor Sue Hart and Councillors Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Corr, Fishwick and Currie.

Two Councillors represent the residents in each of the six wards across the City from Burns Beach to Marmion.

When elected members come together, they form the Council and put their residents' views and issues forward for the vote.

Together today, you will see us make some important decisions on behalf of the 160,000 residents of the City of Joondalup.

You will see us vote on matters including:

- The City's Annual Plan;
- How the City is progressing with the budget of \$115 million;
- A report from the Conservation Advisory Committee;
- And an environmental award for cutting the emission of greenhouse gas.

It is great that so many students are here today during Local Government Week to see how the Council works on behalf of the community.

I welcome students from Belridge Education Support Centre, Duncraig Senior High School, Greenwood Senior High School, Padbury Senior High School, Woodvale Senior High School, Mater Dei Catholic College, Prendiville Catholic College, St Mark's Anglican Community School, St Stephen's School and Sacred Heart College.

Welcome all.

WOODVALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL PRESENTATION

As part of this meeting, Woodvale Senior High School will be making a special presentation to the City.

You are all probably aware that the City of Joondalup has a Sister City relationship with the City of Jinan in China.

As part of that Sister City relationship, Woodvale Senior High School has formed a Sister School relationship in Jinan.

Twelve students, and teachers Agnelo D'Sousa and Carmel Agnello have just returned from China two weeks ago.

They report it was a very successful tour, for the official business of establishing the sister school relationship and participating in the International Children's Festival, as well as for visiting tourist sites in Jinan and Beijing.

Most of the Jinan Tour students from Woodvale Senior High School are here today and representing them are Caroline Asbury and Lani Jakovich, who will present a Chinese Fan to the Council on behalf of the Jinan Government and Woodvale Senior High School.

Congratulations to Woodvale Senior High School, the principal, teachers and students for showing great initiative.

Thank you all very much for coming along to see how decisions are made for the community and for showing your interest in local government.

I hope today will spark your interest even further and you will become involved in the City's Youth Forums to be held in September on the involvement of young people in the Council, how you can feed your ideas and issues into the Council and become involved in the affairs of the City.

Teachers will be informed as to the dates the forums will be held. I hope you will attend, perhaps as a precursor to a career in local government or as an Elected Member in the future.

Once again, thank you for coming to see how decisions are made for the community.

There will be light refreshments afterwards and a gift bag for you all.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil.

IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY SIT BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

Nil.

PETITIONS

C53-08/06 PETITIONS SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL MEETING – 8 AUGUST 2006

- 1 PETITION SUPPORTING PROPOSED BELDON CHILDCARE CENTRE, 255 EDDYSTONE AVENUE, BELDON - [36418]

A 62-signature petition has been received supporting the proposed Childcare Centre located at 255 Eddystone Avenue, Beldon.

MOVED Cr Amphlett, SECONDED Cr Hart that the petition supporting the proposed Beldon Childcare Centre located at 255 Eddystone Avenue, Beldon be RECEIVED and referred to the CEO for action.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

2 PETITION OBJECTING TO PROPOSED CHILDCARE CENTRE, 255 EDDYSTONE AVENUE, BELDON - [36418]

A 26-signature petition has been a received from Beldon resident objecting to the proposed childcare centre located at 255 Eddystone Avenue, Beldon.

MOVED Cr Park, SECONDED Cr Corr that the petition objecting to the proposed Childcare Centre located at 255 Eddystone Avenue, Beldon be RECEIVED and referred to the CEO for action.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

3 PETITION RELATING TO ACCESS TO FUTURE CONSTRUCTION OF MULTIPLE DWELLING (APARTMENTS) BORDERING LAKESIDE DRIVE, SITTELLA TURN, PIPIT LANE AND JOONDALUP COUNCIL PARK LAND - [41249] [88007]

Cr Hollywood tabled a 43-signature petition on behalf of Joondalup residents requesting that Council ensures direct access to future construction of the multiple dwelling (apartments) bordering Lakeside Drive, Sittella Turn, Pipit Lane and Joondalup Council park land.

MOVED Cr Hollywood, SECONDED Cr McLean that the petition requesting that Council ensure direct access to future construction of the multiple dwelling (apartments) bordering Lakeside Drive, Sittella Turn, Pipit Lane and Joondalup Council park land be RECEIVED and referred to the CEO for action.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

CJ127 - 08/06 ANNUAL PLAN 2005/06 QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT APRIL TO JUNE 2006 – [20560]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr Ian Cowie
Governance and Strategy

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 1

PURPOSE

To provide Council with the Quarterly Progress Report against the 2005/06 Annual Plan for the period 1 April 2006 to 30 June 2006.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the meeting of 14 December 2004, Council endorsed the new *Corporate Reporting Framework* and also the recommendation that quarterly progress reports against the Annual Plan be provided to Council and the community (*Item CJ307-12/04 refers*). Accordingly, regular progress reports have been provided to Council.

The Quarterly Progress Report – April to June 2006 is shown as Attachment 1 to this report. This is the final progress report for the 2005/06 Annual Plan.

The highlights of the quarter include:

- 2,160 people participated in Lifelong Learning Programs offered through Library Programs, and upgrades to the interior of the Libraries at Whitfords and Woodvale were completed resulting in improved accessibility to the Libraries collection;
- The City's achievement of Milestone 5 of the Cities for Climate Protection Program;
- Significant progress with the City's Waste Management Strategy, including progress with the upgrade of the Materials Recycling Facility, and collaboration with Mindarie Regional Council on the development of a community education program;
- The refurbishment of the Craigie Leisure Centre was completed and the facility is now open to the public;
- Consultants have been appointed to progress the Ocean Reef Marina Redevelopment Project, and the City's Capital Works Program was completed in accordance with milestones;
- Development of a Strategic Financial Plan 2006/07 – 2009/10 including 20-year financial projections.

It is recommended that the Council ACCEPTS the Quarterly Progress Report against the Annual Plan 2005/06 for the period 1 April 2006 to 30 June 2006 shown as Attachment 1 to Report CJ127-08/06.

BACKGROUND

On 14 December 2004, following a review of the City's Corporate Planning and Reporting System, Council endorsed the recommendations contained with the report *CJ307 – 12/04* proposing a new Corporate Reporting Framework. The new framework included:

- The development of an Annual Plan, which would document the organisation's annual priorities for the achievement of the Strategic Plan;
- Quarterly progress reports against the milestones in the Annual Plan.

Accordingly, regular progress reports against the Annual Plan have been provided to Council. (*Items CJ029 – 03/05, CJ085 – 03/05, CJ171 – 08/05, CJ252 – 11/05, CJ001 – 02/06 and CJ063 – 04/06 refer*).

DETAILS

Issues and options considered:

The Annual Plan 2005/06 highlights the annual priorities for the organisation to achieve the Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008 and is structured around the four key focus areas of:

- Community Wellbeing;
- Caring for the Environment;
- City Development; and
- Organisational Development.

The Annual Plan 2005/06 contains a brief description of the key projects and services to be delivered in the 2005/06 financial year, and also includes pre-determined quarterly milestones.

Progress reports are provided to Council at the end of each quarter and contain:

- Updates against key projects;
- Progress against milestones due to be completed in each quarter; and
- Revised milestones for the next quarter where a target has not been achieved.

The *Quarterly Progress Report – April to June 2006* is shown as Attachment 1 to this Report.

Link to Strategic Plan:

Key Focus Area 4 – Organisational Development

Outcome

The City of Joondalup is a sustainable and accountable business

Objective 4.1

To manage the business in a responsible and accountable manner

Strategy 4.1.2

Develop a corporate reporting framework based on sustainable indicators.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

The Local Government Act 1995 promotes planning and regular reporting in a variety of places.

Risk Management considerations:

The Quarterly Progress Reports are a mechanism for measuring and analysing current performance, and for predicting and managing any risks associated with future service delivery.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Not applicable

Policy implications:

Policy 8.6 – Communication

Policy Objective To achieve quality and consistent communications with all the City's stakeholders.

Regional Significance:

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications:

The Annual Plan 2005/06 aligns with the strategic directions established by Council and outlined in the Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008. Council's vision is to be: *A sustainable City and community that are recognised as innovative, unique and diverse*. Reports against the Annual Plan provide regular assessments against the progress of the City's key projects, programs and services and therefore the City's achievement of the Strategic Plan.

Consultation:

Not applicable.

COMMENT

The April – June Progress Report is for the period 1 April 2006 to 30 June 2006.

The highlights for this quarter, as detailed within Attachment 1 to this Report, include:

- Achievement of Milestone 5 of the Cities for Climate Protection Program. The award was presented to the City at an ICLEI – A/NZ Recognition Event on May 22, 2006;

- Significant progress with the City's Waste Management Strategy, including progress with the upgrade of the Materials Recycling Facility and collaboration with Mindarie Regional Council on the development of a community education program;
- Development of a Strategic Financial Plan 2006/07 – 2009/10 including 20-year financial projections.

As this is the final quarterly progress report against the 2005/06 Annual Plan an *End of Year Final Status Summary Report*, detailing progress against all projects during the year is shown as Attachment 2.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Annual Plan Progress Report – April to June 2006 Quarter
Attachment 2 End of Year Final Status Summary Report

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr John that Council ACCEPTS the Quarterly Progress Report against the Annual Plan 2005/06 for the period 1 April 2006 to 30 June 2006 shown as Attachment 1 to Report CJ127-08/06.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 1 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach1brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ128 - 08/06 CITIES FOR CLIMATE PROTECTION PROGRAM - MILESTONE 5 AWARD – [59091]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr Ian Cowie
Director Governance & Strategy

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 2

PURPOSE

To notify Council of the City of Joondalup's Milestone 5 award achievement in the Cities for Climate Protection (CCP) Program and to seek acceptance from Council of the Milestone 5 report in order to complete the process of becoming a Milestone 5 awarded Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Joondalup has been participating in the CCP program for over six years and has completed the Milestone 5 stage of the CCP program. The CCP program is administered by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives – Local Governments for Sustainability (ICLEI) in collaboration with the Australian Greenhouse Office (AGO).

The City of Joondalup Milestone 5 Report 2006 has provided the City with the opportunity to present its resource efficiency achievements while reviewing and assessing its progress in greenhouse gas emission reduction. The City managed to achieve a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions despite population and infrastructure growth.

In April 2006, ICLEI validated the milestone 5 report and advised that the City has met the prescribed standards for achieving milestone 5. In order to finalise the whole process the Council is required to accept its Milestone 5 report, once officially validated and awarded by ICLEI.

Furthermore by accepting the Milestone 5 Report, the Council is required to approve its participation into the next stage of CCP program, which is the “CCP Plus” program. This program will require the City’s CCP Local Greenhouse Action Plan to be reviewed in order to meet our overall reduction target of 20% by 2010. Completion of the City’s Milestone 5 report indicates a commitment to proceed with the CCP program’s next stage - CCP Plus.

This report recommends that Council:

- 1 *ACCEPTS the City of Joondalup CCP Milestone 5 Report forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ128-08/06;*
- 2 *ENDORSES the City’s participation in the Cities for Climate Protection Plus program.*

BACKGROUND

The City of Joondalup formally joined the CCP program on 30 October 1999. The program is designed to assist local governments and their communities reduce their greenhouse gas emissions via monitoring and assessing environmentally sustainable initiatives.

The CCP program framework consists of a milestone process for participating councils to achieve. The City has completed the following five milestones.

Milestone 1

Collation of an inventory of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from council (corporate) and community activities for the baseline year 2000 (corporate) and 1996 (community) and forecast year 2010. Corporate emissions for 2000 were 22,791 CO₂e tonnes and the forecast year was projected as 23,529 CO₂e tonnes. *Milestone 1 was achieved in October 2000.*

Milestone 2

Establishment of CO₂e emission reduction goals for corporate and community greenhouse gas emissions by 20% from 1996 levels by 2010, with a stretch target of 35%. *Milestone 2 was achieved following community consultation and council endorsement in 2002.*

Milestone 3

Preparation and endorsement of a local greenhouse action plan. The City developed a local action plan titled “The City of Joondalup Greenhouse Action Plan”. The Greenhouse Action Plan identifies prioritised corporate and community sector actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. *Milestone 3 was achieved in December 2003 and awarded in August 2004.*

Milestone 4

Implementation of the City of Joondalup Greenhouse Action Plan. Actions implemented to reach the Milestone 4 reduction target included a major lighting retrofit and energy audit implementation of measures. The target reduction set for milestone 4 was 5% and the City achieved an 8% reduction. *Milestone 4 was achieved in April 2005.*

Milestone 5

Requirements fulfilled included the completion of a corporate and community re-inventory entered into the ICLEI CCP database, review of the City of Joondalup Greenhouse Action Plan, quantification of greenhouse gas abatement measures and preparation of a Milestone 5 report (including comparisons between re-inventory and baseline years and identification of qualitative measures / future abatement actions). *Milestone 5 was awarded 22 May 2006 by ICLEI and is now requiring that Council accept the report in order to finalise the process.*

CCP Plus

Following the Milestone 5 stage is CCP Plus in the CCP program. CCP Plus involves three key areas: Organisational Review, Planning and Review, and Advancing Action Projects. Participating councils generally proceed with CCP Plus. The City’s Milestone 5 report indicates a commitment to proceed with the CCP Plus in order to meet the City’s overall reduction target of 20% or stretch target 35% by 2010.

DETAILS

Issues and options considered:

The CCP program is a high profile international program, which has 80% of councils participating nationally (82% in WA) and 600 councils worldwide. *The ICLEI Bigger and Better in 2005* report is shown attached to provide further information about the CCP program and an indication of local government participation at state and national levels.

The City through its Greenhouse Action plan has reduced greenhouse emissions by 8% and this was validated through the milestone 5 processes. In order to finalise the process for milestone 5 the Council must accept its Milestone 5 report, once validated and awarded by ICLEI.

ICLEI completed the validation processes in April 2006 and officially awarded Milestone 5 to the City in May 2006 at its annual recognition event.

The Council by accepting its Milestone 5 Report is required to endorse its further participation in the CCP program by entering into CCP Plus. CCP Plus requires the City to review its Greenhouse Action Plan and to aim to reduce greenhouse emissions by the targets agreed at Milestone 2. For the City of Joondalup this means that the City has committed to a reduction target of 20 % by 2010 or a stretch target of 35% by 2010.

Given the new initiatives coming online as outlined in the report, for example the switch to landfill gas recovery, geothermal heating of Craigie Pool and the implementation of the Travel smart program, the City is in a strong position to achieve its base target and, possibly, its stretch target.

Link to Strategic Plan:

The CCP program has provided the City with a structured approach to implement the *Key Focus Area 2: Caring for the Environment* objectives of the City of Joondalup Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008:

2.1: “To plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability”.

2.2: “To manage waste effectively and efficiently in alignment with environmentally sustainable principles”.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

The City meets key relevant requirements of the *Local Government Act 1995 (WA)* by participating in the CCP program. These include:

Part 1 – Introductory matters

Section 1.3 (2):

- (a) “greater accountability of local governments to their communities;
- (b) “more efficient and effective local government”;

Section 1.3 (3):

“using its best endeavours to meet the needs of current and future generations through an integration of environmental protection, social advancement and economic prosperity”.

Risk Management considerations:

The key risk associated with continuation of the CCP program relates to the organisation’s ability to effectively provide resources for program implementation.

As the vast majority of Australian councils are participating in the CCP program, if the City chose to discontinue with the program it:

- will be left behind with the risk of being identified as a council not taking adequate steps to reduce greenhouse gas emissions;
- will lose opportunities to receive grants associated with the program e.g. Australian Greenhouse Office, Sustainable Energy Development Office;
- will not have a structure with ongoing professional support and tools from ICLEI to guide it with planning, assessing and reviewing resource efficiency /greenhouse gas reduction measures;

- will be at risk of not adequately assessing its achievements in resource efficiency;
- may miss out on energy cost savings through lack of guidance and initiatives undertaken.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Costs associated with the CCP program:

- Temporary staff for Milestone 5 (received \$4000 AGO grant);
- Costs of implementing initiatives eg. \$15,000 for EcoBusiness project free energy assessments (NB: Initiatives could be a cost to the City with or without the CCP program).

Financial opportunities associated with the CCP program:

- Grant opportunities;
- Financial savings through resource efficiency and account saving advice from ICLEI.

Account No:	2110 – F497
Budget Item:	Cities for Climate Protection
Budget Amount:	\$15,000 (2006/07 – 2010/11)
YTD Amount:	NIL
Actual Cost:	\$15,000 (2006/07 – 2010/11)

Policy Implications:

Undertaking community and corporate resource efficiency initiatives using the CCP framework enables the City to meet Policy 5.4 – Sustainability objective and strategies.

The City of Joondalup Policy 5.4 proposes that the City “ will use its best endeavours to meet the needs of current and future generations through an integration of environmental protection, social advancement and economic prosperity”. To achieve this objective the City proposes to:

- Act to raise awareness and understanding of the community and other stakeholders by engaging in effective public participation processes and supporting community involvement in sustainable activities;
- Show leadership and community influence by demonstrating commitment and the benefits of improved sustainable practices.

Regional Significance:

Participation in the CCP program has a positive regional impact with the City identifiable by community members, other government sectors and businesses as a council making a commitment to sustainable initiatives.

As neighbouring councils including the Cities of Wanneroo and Stirling are participating in the CCP program, collaborative efforts may be undertaken (eg. with specific initiatives or project planning) between the City and other councils in the greater region.

Sustainability Implications:

Participation in the CCP program promotes the City's Strategic Plan 2003 –2008 Key Focus Area 2: *Caring for the Environment* - *"The City of Joondalup is recognised as a leader in environmental sustainability, and continues to promote and enjoy a lifestyle which engenders social and economic sustainability"*.

Through the CCP program the City has met and will continue to meet this sustainability focus in the following ways:

- Environmentally:
 - Reductions in greenhouse gas emissions/waste output and water consumption;
 - Encouraging greater levels of resource efficiency; and
 - Investigating and encouraging the use of alternative fuel sources.

- Socially:
 - Providing environmental education in response to community demand/ interest eg. Great Gardens workshops, free energy audits and resource efficiency planning;
 - Encouraging behavioural change towards greater environmental concern;
 - Providing leadership of sustainable behaviour;
 - Enhancing public health via educating the community in reducing pollution.

- Economically:
 - Promoting economic growth by educating the community in cost reduction practices;
 - Increasing business development opportunities and additional investment eg. environmentally sustainable technologies.

Consultation:

The CCP program contains a community component of resource efficiency initiatives that may require community consultation.

COMMENT

Milestone 5 marks the completion of an important monitoring and reviewing stage of the CCP program. The CCP program provides guidance for the City to continue implementing resource efficiency initiatives and assessing the City's greenhouse gas emission reduction success.

It is to the City's advantage to continue with the CCP program as it assists the City to meet its Strategic Plan objectives, legislation and policy, and continues better practice as a local government, amongst the majority of other councils nationwide committing to the program.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Milestone 5 Report
Attachment 2 ICLEI / AGO *Bigger and Better in 2005: Cities for Climate Protection Australia Reporting 2005*

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Jacob, SECONDED Cr John that Council:

- 1 ACCEPTS the City of Joondalup CCP Milestone 5 Report forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ128-08/06;**
- 2 ENDORSES the City’s participation in the Cities for Climate Protection Plus program.**

Discussion ensued.

AMENDMENT MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Corr that an additional Point 3 be added to the Motion as follows:

- “3 REFERS the Report on CCP Milestone 5 to the Sustainability Advisory Committee for information.”**

Discussion ensued.

The Amendment was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Amendment: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

The Original Motion as amended, being:

That Council:

- 1 ACCEPTS the City of Joondalup CCP Milestone 5 Report forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ128-08/06;**
- 2 ENDORSES the City’s participation in the Cities for Climate Protection Plus program;**
- 3 REFERS the Report on CCP Milestone 5 to the Sustainability Advisory Committee for information.**

was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 2 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach2agn010806.pdf](#)

CJ129 - 08/06 JOONDALUP JINAN SISTER CITIES RELATIONSHIP PLAN AND WOODVALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SISTER SCHOOL RELATIONSHIP – [52469]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr Ian Cowie
Governance and Strategy

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 3

PURPOSE

For Council to endorse the draft Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan and to support the Woodvale Senior High School's request to join the Joondalup - Jinan Stakeholders Group.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Friendly relations between the City of Joondalup and the City of Jinan in eastern China have been developing since 2000. The Cities of Joondalup and Jinan signed official protocols in 2004 formalising their relationship as sister cities. A number of official delegations have been made between the two cities to date and this report outlines work that has been completed to develop a long-term plan for the relationship.

Since January 2006, the sister city relationship has expanded to include our secondary school sector. The Woodvale Senior High School have developed a relationship with Jinan Number 9 Middle School and formed a delegation in July 2006 to travel to Jinan to sign an official sister school protocol and to attend the inaugural International Children's festival. Following the signing of the protocol forming the sister school agreement, the Principal of the Woodvale Senior High School, Mr Paul Leech, formally approached the City of Joondalup seeking support for the school to become a member of the Joondalup-Jinan Stakeholders Group.

This report recommends that Council adopts the final Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan 2006-2016 and supports the Woodvale Senior High School's request to become a member of the Joondalup - Jinan Stakeholders Group.

BACKGROUND

Friendly relations between the City of Joondalup and the City of Jinan in Eastern China have been developing since 2000. A Memorandum of Friendly Talks was signed between the two Cities in 2002 and, in late 2003, the City received an invitation to lead a delegation to Jinan in September 2004, where a Protocol of Friendly Relations to establish a formal Sister City Relationship was signed.

In August 2004 the City extended an invitation to official representatives from the City of Jinan to form a delegation to visit Joondalup in November 2004. The delegation lead by Mr Duan Yihe, Director of the Standing Committee of Jinan's People Congress from Jinan, was received by the City of Joondalup from November 19 – 22, 2004. During this visit the two Cities signed an official protocol agreement formalising the Sister City relations between the two Cities.

In March 2005 the City of Joondalup received advice from the Jinan Foreign Affairs Office that The Mayor of Jinan City, Mr Bao Zhiqiang was proposing to make a visit to Joondalup in August 2005. Further to his visit, Mayor Bao extended an official invitation to the City of Joondalup to travel to Jinan to attend the China Shandong (Jinan) Twin Cities Tourism Conference to be held from 21-23 October 2005.

Council, at its meeting on 12 April 2005, resolved to:

- 4 *Defer the decision to accept the invitation from Mayor Bao Zhiqiang of the Municipal People's Government of Jinan for the Chairman of Commissioners to lead a delegation to Jinan to attend the International Tourism Fair from 21-23 October 2005, until the draft relationship plan is presented to Council in May 2005;*

It should be noted that Council at its meeting on 9 August 2005, (CJ157-08/05 refers) received the draft Joondalup–Jinan Relationship Plan and resolved to:

- 1 *Endorse the draft Plan shown as Attachment A to be disbursed to all interested parties for a comment period no longer than 30 days;*
- 2 *Present the draft plan to Mayor Bao and his delegation from Jinan in August 2005 seeking support for the draft plan;*
- 3 *Request a further report on the draft plan be presented to Council for final endorsement following the receipt of comments from interested parties and the Jinan delegation.*

In August 2005 Mayor Bao of Jinan City arrived in Joondalup and brought a high level delegation, which included:

- Mr Hu Zhanping, Secretary-General, Jinan Municipal Government
- Mr Fu Zhenkuan, Deputy President China Council for the Promotion of International Trade - Jinan Branch
- Mr Sun Xiaogang, Director, Jinan Hi-Tech Zone
- Mr Li Zhongxue, Director, Jinan Foreign Affairs Office
- Mr Meng Xianghuan, Director, Jinan Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation Bureau
- Mr Lin Shuhong, Deputy Director, General Office Jinan Municipal Government
- Ms Liu Yanqiu, Division Chief, Jinan Foreign Affairs Office

The delegation spent a total of two days in Joondalup undertaking a number of key activities to further the understanding between the two Cities and to raise awareness within the community of the Sister City Relationship.

During his visit Mayor Bao extended another formal invitation to the Council to lead a delegation to Jinan in October 2005. Council received a report on this matter (CJ187 – 09/05 refers) and resolved to:

- 1 *NOTE that the Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan is currently being advertised inviting public comment and closes 30 September 2005;*

- 2 *REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer when preparing the report evaluating the public comments received as part of the consultation period on the Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan to ensure that it encompasses five (5) and ten (10) year strategic horizon action plans;*
- 3 *DEVELOP, in conjunction with the City of Joondalup stakeholders, a clear-shared vision for the future of the Joondalup-Jinan sister city relationship over the next 10 and 20 years;*
- 4 *ADVISE Mayor Bao of the City of Jinan that due to critical scheduled government activities in Perth and Joondalup at the time of the Twin City Tourism Conference, it will be impossible for the City of Joondalup to send a delegate to the conference;*
- 5 *ADVISE Mayor Bao that the Joint Commissioners will recommend that the incoming Council sends an official delegation to the City of Jinan as early as is feasible 2006 in order to further grow and strengthen the sister city relationship with the City of Jinan;*
- 6 *ADVISE all Joondalup stakeholder group members of these decisions of Council at the earliest opportunity so that development of the shared vision can be progressed.*

Following the end of the public comment period for the Draft Relationship Plan, Council received a report (CJ 224-11/05 refers) recommending that the Plan be adopted. Council resolved to:

- 1 *DEFER the adoption of the Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan, until after completion of the workshop referred to in 2 and 3 below;*
- 2 *REFER the plan to a workshop comprising the Joondalup Stakeholder Group and members of the last delegation to Jinan, not being members of the Stakeholder Group;*
- 3 *That the workshop is to consider the long term strategic implications (over the next 20 years) of the plan, and to identify meaningful and appropriate long term strategic key performance indicators and appropriate measures to be included with the plan.*

Full details of the history of the relationship with Jinan can be found in the following reports, previously submitted to Council:

- CJ007 - 02/04 – Invitation to further formalise friendly relations with Jinan in Shandong Province, China
- CJ155 - 07/04 – Delegation to formalise friendly relations with Jinan in Shandong Province, China
- CJ250 - 11/04 – Overview of the official visit to Jinan (Shandong Province), China by the Joondalup delegation – September 2004
- CJ306 - 12/04 – Overview of the Official Visit from Jinan Delegation (Shandong Province), China to Joondalup – November 2004
- CJ066 - 04/05 – Notification of visits between Joondalup and Jinan sister cities during 2005
- CJ157 - 08/05 – Draft Jinan – Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan
- CJ187 - 09/05 – Overview of the Official visit from Jinan Delegation (Shandong Province), China to Joondalup – August 2005 and to provide further notification of an invitation to visit Jinan in October 2005
- CJ224 - 11/05 – Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan

DETAILS

Issues and options considered:

Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan

- 1 The Plan will require ongoing monitoring and resources will need to be allocated to ensure the plan is implemented.
- 2 The Plan will need to be reviewed annually to determine progress and will need a major review after 5 years.
- 3 The Plan may require that further consultation be undertaken in the future, requiring the allocation of more time and resources.

The City developed the Joondalup–Jinan Relationship Plan through a consultative process that called upon Joondalup – Jinan stakeholders to provide their specialist input. A survey was circulated in early 2005 and all of the responses were analysed in order to develop relevant themes that may apply to the plan. The Plan was drafted in June 2005 and submitted to Council for approval to go out for community consultation. The draft plan was advertised in late August 2005 for 30 days and submissions closed on 31st September 2005.

During the visit in August 2005 from Mayor Bao and his delegation, the draft Plan was discussed at the industry meetings. The City explained to the stakeholders and delegates from Jinan that the draft Plan would provide a blueprint for the Cities to guide their proposed activities over the next five years. The draft Plan was also described as providing assistance to the City of Joondalup in supplying information to its community about the ongoing relationship and to create measures for showing how the relationship would benefit both Cities.

The City sought agreement from the Jinan delegates to review the draft Plan to ensure that it is feasible and is able to progress. The Jinan Delegates expressed satisfaction with the draft Plan indicating that it was a good Plan for achieving what needs to be done in the long term. They further advised that they would provide formal comment on the Plan in the near future. The City received a letter from Mayor Bao in September 2005 stating “ I look forward to a long term relationship with substantial cooperation between the two Cities and will do our best to contribute to the Joondalup- Jinan Relationship Plan.”

Stakeholder comments have been received from Edith Cowan University and West Coast TAFE and these comments are shown in Attachment B to this report.

The City has reviewed the comments and recommended changes to the draft Plan are shown at Attachment C.

Woodvale Senior High School

In January 2006, the City received an official invitation from the Jinan Foreign Affairs Office to form a delegation of young children to attend the International Children’s Festival. The Festival was scheduled to be held in July 2006. Following extensive engagement with local high schools, Woodvale Senior High School gave a strong commitment that they would take up the offer. The School developed a campaign to attract students from year 10 which resulted in twelve students being selected to attend the festival.

The Students and parents formed a fundraising group that raised significant funds in order to subsidise the total cost of the trip. The City of Joondalup provided a sponsorship of \$2500 to the school, which was used for developing marketing and multi-media materials to take to Jinan for promoting the school and the City of Joondalup.

The Delegation left for Jinan on 5 July 2006 and was received as VIP guests by the City of Jinan. The Woodvale High School was the only representative from Australia and one of a number of visiting international countries that attended the event.

Other countries attending included:

- Vitebsk - Belarus
- Vantaa - Finland
- Augsburg - Germany
- Hong Kong Special Administration Region
- Hong Kong Yew Wah International Education School
- Hungary
- Calcutta - India
- East Java - Indonesia
- Wakayama - Japan
- Incheon – Korea
- Jinan Korean Children Delegation
- Nizhny Novgorod - Russia
- Ukraine Embassy
- Coventry – United Kingdom
- Sacramento – USA
- The overseas Chinese delegation

The festival consisted of an extensive program outlined as follows:

July 6	Visit to Jinan Number 9 Middle School	Sister School Protocol was signed by both schools to form a sister school relationship and a tour of the school was provided.
July 7	Opening Ceremony	Australia was honoured by presenting the opening speech on behalf of all international countries.
	Tour of exhibition stands and games	Jinan Exhibition Centre.
	Tour of Baimai Springs	A natural heritage park centred on lakes of fresh water springs
	Planting in the Friendship Forest	Each delegation from each County planted a tree to symbolise the importance of forests across the world
	Welcome banquet and international performances	The Woodvale School performed the song “ We are Australian” – 300 people attended the banquet and joined in the chorus.
July 8	Attended a performance of the Jinan Acrobatics Troupe of China	A world-class performance was presented to the visiting delegations. The Jinan Acrobats are globally recognised and have travelled the world performing across a total of 50 countries to date.
	Visit to Five Dragon pond	Participated in an ancient Chinese tea drinking ceremony held in an ancient pagoda that was built in the centre of the park’s natural springs.
	Visit to Baotu Spring	Viewed performances of the “Beijing Opera” performed by children from the Children’s Palace and a demonstration of Chinese martial arts performed by young children from Jinan.

	Attended an aero modelling show in Quancheng Square	Quancheng Square is the main centre square of Jinan City. Thousands of local people lined the street around the square to watch the model aeroplanes and to meet the international children.
	International performances	All of the international delegation, including Woodvale Senior High School, performed to dignitaries and children of Jinan City.
July 9	Visit to Jinan number 13 school	Tour of school and performances by the school.
	City Centre visit	The Woodvale delegation was taken on a tour of the central shopping precinct of Jinan – followed by a traditional Chinese meal in a local restaurant.
	Final Farewell Party	Held at the Jinan Theatre, the farewell party was televised on national Chinese television. The performances included an orchestra performance, opera singing, traditional Chinese instruments, acrobatics and martial arts. The finale allowed the stage to be filled by all the children from all the countries – over 1000 children in costume filled the stage.
	Water globe start up ceremony	The City of Jinan launched its water globe monument to celebrate the importance of water across the world. The water globe was commissioned by the Jinan government and is a replica of the world. Each nation that attended the Inaugural Children's Festival was required to bring 2 litres of water from their mother rivers and one student from each country was asked to pour their country's water in to the globe. Mayor Bao then switched on the globe.

The Woodvale High School have shown serious commitment to developing a relationship with Jinan and have expressed an interest in becoming a member of the Joondalup-Jinan stakeholder group.

The Woodvale Senior High School seeks to build a relationship with Jinan Number 9 Middle school that will not only build upon socio-cultural outcomes but will also encourage and facilitate the exchange of fee paying students from Jinan to the Woodvale school.

The draft Relationship Plan supports the promotion of educational exchange – *Attachment A - strategy 4.1.1 refers.*

The formation of the Sister School Relationship between Woodvale Senior High School and Jinan Number 9 Middle School will provide a strategic link for the Secondary School sector. The Woodvale Senior High School will host students from Jinan and students from Woodvale will continue to visit Jinan into the future. The Woodvale Senior High School will embed Chinese cultural studies into its year eight curriculum commencing 2007. It is desirable for the City of Joondalup to embrace and support the relationship that has been formed by the Woodvale Senior High School.

Link to Strategic Plan:

This item links to the City's Strategic Plan 2003-08 under Key Focus Area One - Community Well-being through the following outcome:

“The City of Joondalup is recognised globally as a community that value and facilitates Lifelong Learning”

- 1.1 To develop, provide and promote a diverse range of lifelong learning opportunities
 - 1.1.1 Continue the development of the City as a learning city – plan for student growth
 - 1.1.2 Continue the development of learning precincts and relationships with local stakeholders and service providers

To meet cultural needs and values of community

Continue to enhance and create new cultural activities and events

And under Key Focus Area Three – City Development

“The City of Joondalup is recognised for investment and business opportunities.”

3.5 To provide and maintain sustainable economic development

- 3.5.1 Develop partnerships with stakeholders to foster business development opportunities

3.5.2 Assist the facilitation of local employment opportunities**Legislation – Statutory Provisions:**

There are no legislative requirements that relate to this report.

Risk Management considerations:Ethical Risk

The Plan must be considered as the foundation upon which the Sister City Relationship can be built. Ongoing community consultation will be a key success factor for ongoing planning in order to maintain integrity, accountability and ongoing support to the Sister City Relationship.

Project Risk

The Plan provides a discreet project for the City to undertake which will require ongoing and adequate project management skills and the allocation of resources. Project planning will need to identify all tasks, actions and associated costs.

Funding Risk

The cost of funding the Plan in its entirety has not yet been determined and this will need to be done in the implementation-planning phase. The implementation phase will need to identify specific projects and potential costs over the next 5 years and will require approval through the annual planning and budget approval process.

Financial/Budget Implications:

An amount of approximately \$15,000 has been allocated this year to accommodate the possibility of either an inbound or outbound delegation.

Policy Implications:

The City does not have any policy to guide Sister City Relationships.

Regional Significance:

The potential for Sister City Relationships to influence regional economic development is quite significant. The potential for development with a country like China and its massive markets has real opportunities for the northwest metropolitan region. The City can facilitate interest and involvement in the Jinan marketplace through partnerships with local agencies such as the Business Enterprise Centre; the Joondalup, Wanneroo and Stirling Business Associations; and the City's Home Based business network.

The Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan does not specifically emphasise regional approaches but it does not preclude developmental activities of regional significance.

Sustainability Implications:

The Sister City Relationship with Jinan, China has been constructed with the intent of achieving positive social, environmental, economic and relationship management outcomes.

The draft Relationship Plan is clearly built to reflect the constructs of sustainability; to date, social and economic outcomes have been achieved. The Relationship Plan, once endorsed, will further strengthen this relationship with respect to its sustainability and environmental outcomes.

Consultation:

A web page has been constructed on the City of Joondalup website under key projects, that contains up to date information on the progress of the relationship with online opportunities for the community to comment on or provide ideas for the City to consider.

The draft Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan was posted on the web page during the public comment until the end of September 2005. Further advertisements were placed in the community news and copies were made available through the City's libraries and customer service centre outlets.

In April 2006, a stakeholders meeting was held and further feedback on the Plan was requested from the stakeholders

COMMENT

To date the Joondalup-Jinan Sister City relationship has been highly successful with a number of key outcomes being achieved. These include:

- 1 The delivery of a 3-month police-training program with 20 officers from the Jinan Police Bureau being trained in Joondalup.
- 2 The development of a draft long-term Relationship Plan.
- 3 The delivery of a 6 month public servant training program for 18 senior officers from Jinan who were trained in Joondalup from January – July 2006.
- 4 A number of business initiatives have been instigated, including China-Link and Salon Culinaire.
- 5 A '2+2' agreement between ECU and Jinan University to provide degree courses to students who complete two years of training in Jinan then 2 years in Joondalup.
- 6 Hosting of 2 very successful civic delegations by the City of Joondalup and the provision of social opportunities for the community to participate in the Relationship.
- 7 A delegation of 12 children and 2 teachers from the Woodvale Senior High School who attended the International Children's Festival in July 2006.
- 8 West Coast TAFE has been progressing its relationship with Jinan Vocational College since the two institutions signed a Sister College Agreement during the October 2005 visit to Jinan. West Coast TAFE's Program Manager of the West Coast Academy of Hospitality and Tourism travelled to Jinan in March 2006 and spent two weeks working with academic staff at Jinan Vocational Institute mapping their programs to West Coast TAFE's hospitality operations and management programs. As a consequence of this agreement, a three-year program has been negotiated between the institutions whereby students from Jinan's hospitality and hotel management courses will come to Joondalup to complete a Certificate IV and Diploma in Hospitality Management. An Agreement on Co-operative Education has just been signed and the first students will be recruited in Jinan later this year.

A recent development that is currently at approval stages with the Commissioner of Police and Director General of Department of Education and Training is a proposal to establish an international training facility for security services. An area of land has been designated by the WA Police Academy and a Memorandum of Understanding between the two institutions is being considered that will enable the vesting of land from the WA Police Academy to West Coast TAFE. If the proposal is supported the construction program will involve a 25 million dollar facility being built that will result in Joondalup entering the international educational market place in relation to security services.

There can be no doubt that the results being achieved from the Joondalup – Jinan relationship are significant relative to the time the relationship has existed. The momentum and commitment that has been gathered by the stakeholders highlights their commitment and the City of Joondalup's commitment in developing a long-term plan which will provide continued leadership and continuity for the relationship to further grow and flourish.

The Joondalup-Jinan Relationship Plan will give greater impetus and direction to the City in establishing potential exchange programs and markets within the City of Joondalup and will clearly articulate an appropriate role for the City to fulfil. Once endorsed, the Plan will be operationalised and a number of long-term action plans will be developed to facilitate the direction of the Plan. At all times the Plan will seek to facilitate interest and commitment from the community, as was highlighted by the Woodvale Senior High School example. It proved how important and tangible the broadening of the Sister City Relationship could be for the socio-cultural development of a community.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Draft Joondalup – Jinan Relationship Plan
Attachment 2 Schedule of comments received

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Park, SECONDED Cr Amphlett that Council:

- 1 **ENDORSES** the Joondalup - Jinan Relationship Plan 2006-2016 shown as Attachment 1 to Report CJ129-08/06;
- 2 **SUPPORTS** the Woodvale Senior High School's request to become a member of the Jinan Stakeholders Group.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 3 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach3brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ130 - 08/06 LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF JUNE 2006 – [09882]

WARD: All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr Mike Tidy
 Corporate Services

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 4

PURPOSE

To present to Council the list of accounts paid under the CEO's delegated authority during the month of June 2006 to note.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the list of payments made under delegated authority during the month of June 2006, totalling \$7,261,784.19.

It is recommended that Council NOTES the CEO's list of accounts for June 2006 paid under delegated power in accordance with regulation 13 (1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations at Attachments A and B to Report CJ130-08/06, totalling \$7,261,784.19.

BACKGROUND

Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer the exercise of its power to make payments from the City's Municipal and Trust funds. In accordance with Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 a list of accounts paid by the Chief Executive Officer is to be provided to Council, where such delegation is made.

DETAILS

The table below summarises the payments drawn on the funds during the month of June 2006. A list detailing the payments made is appended as Attachment A. The vouchers for the month are appended at Attachment B.

FUNDS	DETAILS	AMOUNT
Municipal Account	Cheques 75631 - 76030 EFT 6585 - 6980 Net of cancelled payments Vouchers – 164A – 166A, 168A- 169A & 171A – 173A	\$5,338,583.77 \$1,932,200.42
Trust Account		Nil
		\$7,261,784.19

Issues and Options Considered:

Not Applicable

Link to Strategic Plan:

Strategy 4.1.1 – Ensure financial viability and alignment to plan.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

The Council has delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds, therefore in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, a list of accounts paid by the CEO is prepared each month showing each account paid since the last list was prepared.

Risk Management Considerations:

In accordance with section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995, a local government is not to incur expenditure from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expenditure is authorised in advance by an absolute majority of Council.

Financial/Budget Implications:

All expenditure from the Municipal Fund was included in the 2005/06 Annual Budget as revised by Council at its meeting of 21 February 2006, or approved in advance by Council.

Policy Implications:

All expenditure included in the list of payments is drawn from the City's accounting records.

Sustainability Implications:

Expenditure has been incurred in accordance with budget parameters, which have been structured on financial viability and sustainability principles.

Consultation:

In accordance with Section 6.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, the annual budget was prepared having regard to the Strategic Financial Plan 2005/06-2008/09 which was advertised for a 30 day period with an invitation for submissions in relation to the plan.

COMMENT

All expenditure included in the list of payments is in accordance with the 2005/06 Annual Budget as revised by Council at its meeting of 21 February 2006, or has been authorised in advance by Council where applicable.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 CEO's Delegated Payment List for the month of June 2006
Attachment 2 Municipal Fund Vouchers for the month of June 2006

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr McLean, SECONDED Cr Jacob that Council NOTES the CEO's list of accounts for June 2006 paid under delegated power in accordance with regulation 13 (1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 forming Attachments 1 and 2 to Report CJ130-08/06, totalling \$7,261,784.19.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 4 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach4brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ131 - 08/06 MINUTES OF STRATEGIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 4 JULY 2006 – [51567]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr Mike Tidy
Corporate Services

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 5

PURPOSE

To submit the unconfirmed minutes of the Strategic Financial Management Committee to Council for information.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A meeting of the Strategic Financial Management Committee was held on 4 July 2006.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1 *NOTES the unconfirmed Minutes of the Strategic Financial Management Committee meeting held on 4 July 2006, forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ131-08/06;*
- 2 *REQUESTS a further report be submitted to the next meeting of the Strategic Financial Management Committee on:*
 - (a) *options and potential role of the City in the development of the Joondalup Central Business District;*
 - (b) *a framework and work plan for:*
 - Alternative Revenue Streams;*
 - Asset Management;*
 - Property Portfolio;*
 - Expenditure;*
 - Strategic Financial Management Plan (Plan for the Future).*

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on 2 November 2004 (Item CJ249-11/04 refers) Council established the Strategic Financial Management Committee (SFMC), with the following terms of reference:

- 1 Promote and advocate sound financial management within the City and provide advice to the Council on strategic financial management issues;
- 2 In particular advise Council on:
 - (a) How funding can be achieved for any major capital works project before the Council makes a commitment to a project;

- (b) Levels of service delivery – determine:
 - (i) which services to be provided;
 - (ii) Standards of service. Such standard will be determined with reference to:
 - best industry practice standards where applicable;
 - internally agreed standards which will be determined with reference to local community expectations;
 - (c) Preparation of the Plan for the Future with high priority being given to ensure that the Plan is achievable in the long term;
 - (d) Alignment of the Plan for the Future to the Council's Strategic Plan;
 - (e) Consideration of public submissions to the Plan for the Future;
 - (f) Final acceptance of the Plan for the Future'
- 3 Policy development and review of policies with financial implications for the City.

DETAILS

A meeting of the Strategic Financial Management Committee was held on 4 July 2006 to consider a programme for 2006/2007. The minutes of the meeting of 4 July 2006 form Attachment 1 to this Report.

Issues and options considered:

As detailed in the minutes of the meeting held on 4 July 2006.

Link to Strategic Plan:

Key Focus Area 4 - Organisational Development

- 4.1 To manage the business in a responsible and accountable manner;
- 4.1.1 Ensure financial viability and alignment to plan.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

In accordance with Section 2.7 of the Local Government Act 1995, included in the role of the Council is the responsibility to oversee the allocation of the local government's finances and resources.

Section 5.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 provides for a local government to establish a committee to assist the Council.

Risk Management considerations:

The main risk considerations related to the SFMC are of an economic nature and pertain principally to issues of sustainability.

Financial/Budget Implications:

The terms of reference of the SFMC include promoting and advocating sound financial advice to the Council on strategic financial management issues.

Policy Implications:

Not Applicable.

Sustainability Implications:

The terms of reference of the SFMC are consistent with establishing a sustainable financial plan for the future by advising Council on funding for capital works projects, levels of service and preparation of the Strategic Financial Plan.

Sustainability Implications:

Not Applicable.

Consultation:

Not Applicable.

COMMENT

The unconfirmed Minutes of the Strategic Financial Management Committee meeting held on 4 July 2006 are submitted to Council for information.

At the meeting held on 4 July 2006 the Committee moved the following motion:

That it be recommended that Council requests a further report be submitted to the next meeting of the Strategic Financial Management Committee on:

- 1 *options and potential role of the City in the development of the Joondalup Central Business District;*
- 2 *a framework and work plan for:*
 - *Alternative Revenue Streams;*
 - *Asset Management;*
 - *Property Portfolio;*
 - *Expenditure;*
 - *Strategic Financial Management Plan (Plan for the Future).*

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Minutes of the Strategic Financial Management Committee meeting held on 4 July 2006.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Fishwick, SECONDED Cr McLean that Council:

- 1 NOTES the unconfirmed Minutes of the Strategic Financial Management Committee meeting held on 4 July 2006, forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ131-08/06;**
- 2 REQUESTS a further report be submitted to the next meeting of the Strategic Financial Management Committee on:**
 - (a) options and potential role of the City in the development of the Joondalup Central Business District;**
 - (b) a framework and work plan for:**
 - Alternative Revenue Streams;**
 - Asset Management;**
 - Property Portfolio;**
 - Expenditure;**
 - Strategic Financial Management Plan (Plan for the Future).**

Cr Fishwick spoke in support of the Motion.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 5 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach5agn010806.pdf](#)

CJ132 - 08/06 THE MINUTES OF 28 JUNE 2006 MEETING OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE – [12168]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR: Mr David Djulbic
Infrastructure Services

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 6

PURPOSE

To submit the unconfirmed minutes of the Conservation Advisory Committee Meetings held on 28 June 2006 for endorsement by Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The intention of this report is to inform Council of the proceedings of the Conservation Advisory Committee meetings held on 28 June 2006.

The Committee resolved the following recommendations:

- 1 That the Conservation Advisory Committee recommends that Mr John Chester become a CAC member.
- 2 That the Conservation Advisory Committee reluctantly accepts the resignation of Mr David Pike from the CAC.
- 3 That the Conservation Advisory Committee recommends that Mrs Wendy Herbert become a CAC member.
- 4 That the words “when requested” to be removed from the CAC Terms of Reference.
- 5 That the CAC convene the July 2006 meeting as normal then commences with bi-monthly schedule and that all future meetings would commence at 6.00pm.
- 6 That the word “monthly” is deleted from paragraph 4.3 Meetings within the Terms of Reference, which will enable the CAC to continue business.
- 7 That the CAC hold a (Strategic Planning) workshop towards the end of the current year, at a time that is convenient for members.
- 8 That the CAC defers item 3 (Western Australian Local Government Association Review of Current Public Open Space Policy and Practice and Draft Guideline for the Determination of Wetland Buffer Requirements) to enable the committee to gather more information.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1 *NOTES the unconfirmed Minutes of the Conservation Advisory Committee held on 28 June 2006 forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ132-08/06;*
- 2 *ENDORSES BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY the Conservation Advisory Committee’s recommendation for Mr John Chester to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;*
- 3 *NOTES the resignation of Mr David Pike from the Conservation Advisory Committee;*
- 4 *ENDORSES BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY the Conservation Advisory Committee’s recommendation for Mrs Wendy Herbert to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;*
- 5 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee’s request for the words “when requested” to be removed from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference;*
- 6 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request to convene the July 2006 Conservation Advisory Committee meeting as normal then commences with a bi-monthly schedule and that all future meetings will commence at 6.00pm.*

- 7 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request for the word “monthly” to be deleted from paragraph 4.3 Meetings, from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference.*
- 8 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request to hold a Conservation Advisory Committee Strategic Planning Workshop towards the end of the current year.*
- 9 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request to defer item 3 on the Agenda to enable the committee to gather more information.*

BACKGROUND

The Conservation Advisory Committee is a Council Committee that advises Council on issues relating to biodiversity and the management of natural areas within the City of Joondalup. The Conservation Advisory Committee meets on a monthly basis.

The Committee membership comprises of a representative from each of the City’s Bushland Friends Groups and community members with specialist knowledge of biodiversity issues.

DETAILS

At the 28 June 2006 the CAC welcomed six new committee members, including four elected representatives. Two guests at the meeting were nominated for committee membership. Cr S Magyar was elected Presiding Person.

The current CAC Terms of Reference were discussed in detail and the Committee resolved that future meetings should commence at 6pm instead of the current 5.30pm. It was also resolved that meetings should be held on a bi-monthly basis. The Committee requested that minor changes be made to the CAC Terms of Reference to reflect these proposed committee-sitting adjustments.

The Committee requested that Council endorse a request by the CAC to hold a workshop to discuss what priority actions should be considered for 2006/07. The Committee reflected on the past achievements of the CAC and considered it appropriate to review strategic directions.

Item three on the CAC Agenda, which consisted of two planning reports (Public Open Space and Wetland Buffers, and Western Australian Local Government Association Review of Current Public Open Space Policy and Practice) was deferred to allow Committee members to fully consider the issues in more detail.

The members requested that officers provide a report on the use of off-road vehicles in Craigie Open Space after a Committee member reported seeing motorbikes in the reserve on a number of occasions. A request was also made for a report detailing the impact irrigation sprinklers have on bushland that sits adjacent to reticulated turf areas.

Issues and options considered:

Link to Strategic Plan:

Key Focus Area

Caring for the environment.

Outcomes

The City is environmentally responsible in its activities.

Objectives

To plan and manage the City's natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability.

Strategies

- 2.1.1 Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity.
- 2.1.2 Further develop environmentally effective and energy-efficient programs.
- 2.1.3 Develop a coordinated environmental framework, including community education.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

The Local Government Act 1995 allows a council to establish committees to assist a council to exercise the powers and discharge duties that can be delegated to a committee.

Risk Management considerations:

Not Applicable.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Not Applicable.

Policy Implications:

Not Applicable.

Regional Significance:

Not Applicable.

Sustainability Implications:

Environmental

Conservation Advisory Committee objective - "To make recommendations to Council for the Conservation of the City's natural biodiversity".

Social

To promote partnerships between Council and the Community to protect the City's natural biodiversity as contained within its various natural areas (bushland, wetlands and the coastal environment).

Consultation:

The Conservation Advisory Committee provides a forum for community consultation and engagement on natural areas.

COMMENT

The following comments are provided in regard to the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendations:

- 1 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mr John Chester to become a member of the CAC.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 2 *NOTES the resignation of Mr David Pike from the CAC.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 3 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mrs Wendy Herbert to become a member of the CAC.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 4 *ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's request for the words "when requested" to removed from the CAC Terms of Reference.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 5 *ENDORSES the CAC request to convene the July 2006 CAC meeting as normal then commences with a bi-monthly schedule and that all future meetings will commence at 6.00pm.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 6 *ENDORSES the CAC request for the word "monthly" to be deleted from paragraph 4.3 Meetings, from the CAC Terms of Reference.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

- 7 *ENDORSES the CAC request to hold a CAC strategic planning workshop towards the end of the current year.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

The CAC Strategic Plan has been the blueprint for the activities of the CAC since 2004. Many of the goals and priority actions contained within the plan have either been accomplished or are nearing completion. It is considered appropriate that a review of the CAC Strategic plan be undertaken in the near future.

- 8 *ENDORSES the CAC request to defer item 3 on the agenda to enable the committee to gather more information.*

Officer's Comment:

The recommendation is supported.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Minutes of 28 June 2006 meeting of the Conservation Advisory Committee.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Absolute Majority

MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Park that Council:

- 1 **NOTES the unconfirmed Minutes of the Conservation Advisory Committee held on 28 June 2006 forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ132-08/06;**
- 2 **ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mr John Chester to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;**
- 3 **NOTES the resignation of Mr David Pike from the Conservation Advisory Committee;**
- 4 **ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mrs Wendy Herbert to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;**
- 5 **ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee's request for the words "when requested" to be removed from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference;**
- 6 **ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request to convene the July 2006 Conservation Advisory Committee meeting as normal then commences with a bi-monthly schedule and that all future meetings will commence at 6.00 pm;**
- 7 **ENDORSES the Conservation Advisory Committee request for the word "monthly" to be deleted from paragraph 4.3 Meetings, from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference;**

- 8 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request to hold a Conservation Advisory Committee Strategic Planning Workshop towards the end of the current year;
- 9 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request to defer item 3 on the Agenda to enable the committee to gather more information.

Discussion ensued. Cr Park questioned the reference to Item 3 in point 9 of the recommendation and indicated concern with recommendations 6 and 7 which changed the frequency of meetings. At the direction of the Mayor, the motion was divided into two parts, each voted on separately.

MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Park that Council:

- 1 **NOTES** the unconfirmed Minutes of the Conservation Advisory Committee held on 28 June 2006 forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ132-08/06;
- 2 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mr John Chester to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;
- 3 **NOTES** the resignation of Mr David Pike from the Conservation Advisory Committee;
- 4 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee's recommendation for Mrs Wendy Herbert to become a member of the Conservation Advisory Committee;
- 5 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee's request for the words "when requested" to be removed from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference;
- 8 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request to hold a Conservation Advisory Committee Strategic Planning Workshop towards the end of the current year;
- 9 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request to defer item 3 on the Agenda to enable the committee to gather more information.

The Motion was Put and

**CARRIED BY AN
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (11/0)**

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Park that Council:

- 6 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request to convene the July 2006 Conservation Advisory Committee meeting as normal then commences with a bi-monthly schedule and that all future meetings will commence at 6.00 pm;
- 7 **ENDORSES** the Conservation Advisory Committee request for the word "monthly" to be deleted from paragraph 4.3 Meetings, from the Conservation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference;

The Motion was Put and

LOST

Appendix 6 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach6agn010806.pdf](#)

CJ133 - 08/06 PROPOSED ROAD CLOSURE FOR EXCESS ROAD RESERVE: OCEAN REEF ROAD AND MITCHELL FREEWAY, BELDON – [42015] [00374]

WARD: Central

RESPONSIBLE A/DIRECTOR: Mr Chris Terelinck
Planning and Community Development (Acting)

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 7

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider submissions received during the advertising period for the proposed road closure of surplus portion of Ocean Reef Road and Mitchell Freeway.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department for Planning & Infrastructure (DPI) proposes rationalising the road reserve and status of surrounding reserves/lots in proximity to the Ocean Reef Road frontage to the Beenyup Water Treatment Plant. At present, the surplus road reserve in this area extends up to 96m in depth into land that effectively forms part of the Water Corporation reserve. It is proposed to include the former road reserve and other land into the adjoining Reserve 28971 (the Beenyup Water Treatment Plant). The proposed closure will not change the existing road alignment nor will it change the existing access to the Waste Water Treatment Plant from Ocean Reef Road.

The Council's statutory involvement in this process is to advertise the proposed road closure and resolve whether to support its closure. The road reserve land is not owned or managed by Council and therefore the Council has no entitlement to the land.

On 14 March 2006, Council resolved to initiate the proposed road closure for the purposes of public advertising for a period of 35 days. During the advertising period, five neutral submissions were received from service authorities and one submission was received from the Jehovah's Witnesses Congregation (adjoining landowner).

It is recommended that Council advises the DPI that it supports the road closure and amalgamation of the road land and other reserves with the adjacent Water Corporation reserve subject to the existing services and access arrangements being adequately protected via easements or other satisfactory mechanisms.

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location:	Beldon
Applicant:	Department for Planning & Infrastructure
Owner:	Crown (State of Western Australia)
Zoning:	DPS: Residential
	MRS: Urban
Structure Plan:	Not Applicable

Attachment 1 shows the location of the subject land.

In October 2005, DPI wrote to the City advising that it is proposed to:

- (a) Close those portions of Ocean Reef Road as shown light blue and dark blue on the attached plan (Attachment 2) for inclusion into Reserve 28971 (Beenyup Water Treatment Plant), being the land shown pink on the plan;
- (b) Close the portion of the Mitchell Freeway reserve as shown orange on the attached plan (Attachment 2) for inclusion into Reserve 28971 (Beenyup Water Treatment Plant);
- (c) Transfer land coloured green on the attached plan being Lot 96 (currently owned by Main Roads) to the State of WA for inclusion into Reserve 28971 (Beenyup Water Treatment Plant);
- (d) Cancel Reserve 41897 (land for St Johns Ambulance) shown red on the attached plan and amalgamate this land into Reserve 28971 (Beenyup Water Treatment Plant).

At present, the surplus road reserve in this area extends up to 96m in depth. The closure of the subject portion of road reserve will result in the reserve of Ocean Reef Road being not less than 40m and will not affect the existing road alignment.

In regard to the above, Council, at its meeting of 13 March 2006 (Item CJ036 – 03/06 refers) resolved to initiate the permanent closure of the excess portion of the road reserve of Ocean Reef Road, Beldon and the adjacent section of the Mitchell Freeway reserve, as shown on Attachment 2 for the purposes of public advertising for a period of 35 days.

At its December 2005 meeting, Council determined that an area of 2.5 hectares within the Beenyup Water Treatment Plant reserve is the preferred site for the proposed Council works depot (resolution 1 of Item CJ295-12/05 refers). The preferred site is contained within the existing reserve for Beenyup Water Treatment Plant and the proposed road closures and other proposals outlined above would not affect the area proposed for the City of Joondalup works depot relocation.

The City has not initiated the proposed road closures, and will not be the determining authority for the proposal. The City is however required by the Land Administration Act to undertake the public consultation on this matter. The subject land is not owned or managed by the City, and therefore has no entitlement to or control over the land.

The property to the immediate west of the proposed road closure land, Lot 75 as shown on Attachment 2, contains the Jehovah's Witnesses church. The church has an existing access to Coyle Road. In March 2005, planning approval was granted for refurbishment of the existing church and creation of a new access connecting to the Water Corporation access road, located in the portion of Ocean Reef Road that is proposed to be closed. This additional access point to the Water Corporation service road has not been constructed to date.

DETAILS

Options

The subject portions of road reserves were identified as surplus to Main Roads WA (MRDWA) and DPI requirements. The Council has the option to:

- (a) resolve to support the road closures, or
- (b) resolve to not support the road closures.

The Council is also requested to comment on the proposed transfer of Lot 96 and cancellation of Reserve 41897 (originally set aside for an ambulance depot) for inclusion into Reserve 28971.

Consultation:

The proposal was advertised for public comment for 35 days by way of a sign on site, an advert in the Joondalup Times, information on the City's website and letters to local residents and service authorities. In response to the advertising of the proposed closure a total of six (6) submissions were received. Refer Attachment 4 for a summary of the submissions received.

Five of the submissions were from service authorities and one submission was received from the Jehovah's Witnesses (adjacent landowner). Water Corporation and Main Roads advise that they have no objection to the proposed road closures. Alinta, Western Power and Telstra advise that they have no objection subject to easements being put in place to protect their services located within the land subject of this request. As the land is to be incorporated into the Water Corporation reserve and as there are no plans to locate any buildings on the subject land, this requirement of these service authorities can be accommodated.

The Jehovah's Witnesses church site abuts the road reserve land. They have advised that they have no objection to the proposed closure subject to them having the option to be able to construct a vehicle access point to the water corporation service road (located in the land the subject of this road closure). In addition they request that visibility of their sign, which is located on the truncation to their property (north eastern corner of their property), is not reduced.

Policy Implications:

Not Applicable.

Risk Management considerations:

If the City did not support the proposed road closures, the land may remain as a road reserve. It is considered that if the land is included in the Beenyup Water Treatment Plant reserve, then it can be effectively managed by the Water Corporation. Overall, there are no identified risk management considerations.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

The road closure is required to be undertaken in accordance with Section 58 of the Land Administration Act (LAA). This section of the Act outlines that the proposed road closure must be advertised for 35 days with a notice to be placed in a newspaper. The advertising has been undertaken and now Council is to consider any submissions lodged, resolve whether to close the road, and forward the recommendation to the Minister for Planning & Infrastructure via DPI for a determination.

In regard to the inclusion of Lot 96 and Reserve 41897 into Reserve 28971, the Council is requested to provide comment to the DPI, however, there is no statutory process that the Council is required to conduct.

Link to Strategic Plan:

Objective 2.1

To plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability
Strategies 2.1.1

Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity

Objective 4.2

To provide quality services within the best use of resources

Strategies 4.2.1

Provide efficient and effective service delivery

Financial/Budget Implications:

There are no cost implications for Council in regard to this resolution.

Regional Significance:

The subject land contains mature native vegetation that has been identified in Bush Forever. The adjoining Reserve 28971 is identified in Bush Forever as Site 303. By including the subject land into Reserve 28971, this will result in better protection mechanisms for this regionally significant vegetation. Attachment 3 (aerial photo) shows the extent of vegetation on the subject land.

Sustainability Implications:

The inclusion of this land into the adjoining reserve will result in stronger mechanisms being in place for the protection of the existing mature native vegetation on site.

COMMENT

Services with the road reserve land

The subject road reserve land contains a Water Corporation water main located along the southern boundary of the land (adjacent to the Water Treatment Plant). As it is proposed to amalgamate this land into the adjacent Water Corporation reserve, they do not require an easement to protect the water main.

The subject road reserve land also contains Telstra services, an Alinta gas main and Western Power switchgear and cables. Alinta, Telstra and Western Power have no objection to the proposed road closure subject to easements being created to protect their services. It is recommended that the easement documentation be required to be prepared and lodged by the Water Corporation and/or the state government, in consultation with Alinta, Telstra and Western Power respectively, at no cost to the City, Alinta, Telstra or Western Power.

Access to church

The existing Jehovah's Witnesses church fronts and has vehicle access to Coyle Road. Planning approval issued in March 2005, identified an additional access to the church being from the church onto the Water Corporation access road, located within the land subject of this road closure. The Jehovah's Witnesses request that they have the option to construct this access in the future, and that this right be protected by some means. This could be achieved by a right of carriageway being registered on the title. Attachment 3 (page 2) shows the church and existing and proposed future access.

The Jehovah's Witnesses also requests that the visibility of their sign not be reduced. The sign is located on the north eastern truncation to the lot, refer Attachment 3 (page 2) for sign location. If the surplus road land was amalgamated into the Water Corporation reserve, the sign would then abut the Water Corporation reserve. Whilst there is no mechanism to protect this sign, Water Corporation could be requested to not install a fence between the service road and the new western boundary and this may help to ensure ongoing visibility of their sign. The request for no fencing in this location would also ensure ongoing access to the existing pedestrian/cycle path.

Pedestrian/Cycle Path

The City has constructed a concrete pedestrian path from the cul de sac of Coyle Road up to Ocean Reef Road. Attachment 3 (page 2) shows the subject path. The path forms part of the Perth Bicycle Network and is located within land subject of this road closure. In order to ensure that this path remains available for use, it is recommended that a public access easement be granted over this land and that the easement documentation be required to be prepared and lodged by the Water Corporation and/or state government, in consultation with the City, at no cost to the City. Alternatively the continuing right for pedestrian access should be retained in a manner satisfactory to the City.

Other land transfers

Reserve 41897 was originally set aside as a reserve for a future depot for St John Ambulance. St John Ambulance have advised that the reserve is now surplus to their requirements and the recent construction of a Joondalup depot precludes the need for an ambulance depot in the Beldon vicinity. Cancellation of this reserve and inclusion into Reserve 28971 is supported.

Adjacent Lot 96 is currently owned by Main Roads WA (MRDWA) however the land is no longer required by MRDWA. They have therefore agreed to transfer this land into Reserve 28971. In addition, the section of Mitchell Freeway as shown on Attachment 2 is surplus to requirements and MRDWA have also proposed this be amalgamated into Reserve 28971.

In regard to these specific other land transfers, the Council is not required to undertake any processes, but it is recommended that the Council advise DPI of its comments on these matters.

MRS Amendment Process

In regard to the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS):

- the Ocean Reef road reserve is currently zoned Urban,
- the surplus freeway land is reserved for Primary Regional Roads; and
- the Water Corporation reserve is reserved for Public Purpose, specifically for the Water Authority of WA.

If all of the land is to be included in the existing Water Corporation reserve, it is recommended that the Department for Planning & Infrastructure be requested to initiate an amendment to the MRS to reserve all the land for Public Purpose. Once the land is reserved under the MRS, in accordance with the relevant legislation, it is automatically reserved under the City's DPS2, without the need for a separate local scheme amendment.

Future use of the land

At this time the City is not aware of any proposed use of the land. Given there are a number of services that traverse the subject land, that land will be constrained for development in the future. If the land is reserved under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and included in the Water Corporation reserve then approval must be obtained should it be proposed to use the land for anything other than uses associated with the Water Corporation.

Summary

In conclusion, the road closure and other land transfers are supported, subject to easements being put in place to protect the relevant services and ongoing public access to the existing footpath and future access for the Jehovah's Witnesses Congregation.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1	Locality Plan
Attachment 2	Plan showing proposed road closures and land amalgamation
Attachment 3	Aerial Photo of the subject land
Attachment 4	Submission table

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Amphlett, SECONDED Cr Hart that Council:

- 1 SUPPORTS closure of the portions of Ocean Reef Road and Mitchell Freeway reserve, Beldon as shown on Attachment 2 to Report CJ133-08/06 and subsequent amalgamation into adjacent Reserve 28971 in accordance with Section 58 of the Land Administration Act;**
- 2 FORWARDS the proposed road closure to the Department for Planning & Infrastructure and REQUESTS the Minister for Planning & Infrastructure to close the road reserve as detailed in Point 1 above;**
- 3 ADVISES the Department for Planning & Infrastructure that Council has no objection to:**
 - (a) the transfer of Lot 96 to the State of WA for revestment and inclusion into Reserve 28971;**
 - (b) Cancellation of Reserve 41897 and inclusion into Reserve 28971;**

- 4** In the event that the Minister approves the road closure as detailed in point 1 above, **REQUESTS** the Department for Planning & Infrastructure to initiate an amendment to the Metropolitan Region Scheme to reserve the subject road reserve land, Lot 96 and existing Reserve 41897 'Public Purpose – Water Authority of WA';
- 5** **ADVISES** the Water Corporation and the Department for Planning & Infrastructure that:
- (a) easements will be required to accommodate the gas mains, Telstra assets and Western Power cables;
 - (b) a public access easement or other mechanism to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning & Environmental Services is required to ensure ongoing public access to the cycle/pedestrian path connecting the cul-de-sac head of Coyle Road to Ocean Reef Road;
 - (c) A right for Lot 75 (47) Coyle Road, Beldon (owned by Jehovah's Witnesses Congregation) is required to be provided for vehicular access to the existing Water Corporation access road for the section of the access road currently located to the north of the existing Water Corporation reserve;
 - (d) The relevant documentation is to be prepared and lodged to the satisfaction of the relevant service authorities, Jehovah's Witnesses Congregation & the City of Joondalup, at no cost to the City of Joondalup, Jehovah's Witnesses Congregation, Alinta, Western Power or Telstra;
- 6** **REQUESTS** the Water Corporation not install any fencing between the Water Corporation access road and the proposed new western boundary to ensure ongoing access to the pedestrian /cycle path and maintain visibility and future vehicle access to the church building on Lot 75 (47) Coyle Road, Beldon.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 7 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach7brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ134 - 08/06 MONTHLY TOWN PLANNING DELEGATED AUTHORITY REPORT, DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS – JUNE 2006 – [07032] [05961]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE A/DIRECTOR: Mr Chris Terelinck
Planning and Community Development (Acting)

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 8

PURPOSE

To report on the number and nature of applications considered under Delegated Authority.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The provisions of clause 8.6 of the text to the District Planning Scheme No 2 allows Council to delegate all or some of its development control powers to those persons or committees identified in Schedule 6 of the Scheme text.

The purpose of delegation of certain powers by Council, in addition to other Town Planning matters, is to facilitate timely processing of development applications and subdivision applications. The framework for the delegation of those powers is set out in resolutions adopted by Council and is reviewed generally on a two yearly basis, or as required. All decisions made by staff, acting under delegated authority as permitted under the delegation notice, are reported to Council on a monthly basis.

The normal monthly report on Town Planning Delegations identifies:

- 1 Major development applications
- 2 Residential Design Codes
- 3 Subdivision applications

This report provides a list of the development and subdivision applications determined by those staff members with delegated authority powers during the month of June 2006 (see Attachment 1 and 2 respectively) for those matters identified in points 1-3 above.

BACKGROUND

The number of development and subdivision applications determined for June 2006 under delegated authority and those applications dealt with as an "R-code variations for single houses" for the same period are shown below:

Approvals Determined Under Delegated Authority – Month of June 2006		
Type of Approval	Number	Value (\$)
Development Applications	109	\$17,933,970
R-Code variations (Single Houses)	52	\$ 810,481
Total	161	\$18,744,451

The number of development applications received in June 2006 was 82.

Subdivision Approvals Determined Under Delegated Authority Month of June 2006		
Type of Approval	Number	Potential new Lots
Subdivision Applications	7	5
Strata Subdivision Applications	4	6

Suburb/Location: All
Applicant: Various – see attachment
Owner: Various – see attachment
Zoning: **DPS:** Various
MRS: Not Applicable

The District Planning Scheme No 2 requires that delegation be reviewed annually, unless a greater or lesser period is specified by Council. The Council, at its meeting of 13 December 2005 considered and adopted the most recent Town Planning Delegation.

DETAILS

Issues and options considered:

Not Applicable.

Link to Strategic Plan:

The strategic plan includes a strategy to provide quality value-adding services with an outcome to provide efficient and effective service delivery. The use of a delegation notice allows staff to efficiently deal with many simple applications that have been received and allows the elected members to focus on strategic business direction for the Council, rather than day-to-day operational and statutory responsibilities.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

Clause 8.6 of the District Planning Scheme No 2 permits development control functions to be delegated to persons or Committees. All subdivision applications were assessed in accordance with relevant legislation and policies, and a recommendation made on the applications to the Western Australian Planning Commission.

Risk Management considerations:

The delegation process includes detailed practices on reporting, checking and cross checking, supported by peer review in an effort to ensure decisions taken are lawful, proper and consistent.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Not Applicable.

Policy Implications:

Not Applicable.

Regional Significance:

Not Applicable.

Sustainability Implications:

Not Applicable.

Consultation:

Consultation may be required by the provisions of the Residential Design Codes 2002, any relevant Town Planning Scheme Policy and/or the District Planning Scheme.

Of the 112 development applications determined during June 2006, consultation was undertaken for 22 of those applications. Of the 11 subdivision applications determined during June 2006, no applications were advertised for public comment, as the proposals complied with the relevant requirements.

All applications for an R-codes variation require the written support of the affected adjoining property owner before the application is submitted for determination by the Coordinator Planning Approvals. Should the R-codes variation consultation process result in an objection being received, then the matter is referred to the Director Planning and Community Development or the Manager, Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services, as set out in the notice of delegation.

COMMENT

Large local governments utilise levels of delegated authority as a basic business requirement in relation to Town Planning functions. The process allows determination times to be reasonably well accepted and also facilitates consistent decision-making in rudimentary development control matters. The process also allows the elected members to focus on strategic business direction for the Council, rather than day-to-day operational and statutory responsibilities.

All proposals determined under delegated authority are assessed, checked, reported and crosschecked in accordance with relevant standards and codes.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 June 2006 decisions – Development Applications
Attachment 2 June 2006 decisions – Subdivision Applications

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Jacob, SECONDED Cr John that Council NOTES:

- 1 the determinations made under Delegated Authority in relation to the development applications described in Report CJ134-08/06 for the month of June 2006;**
- 2 the determinations made under Delegated Authority in relation to the subdivision applications described in Report CJ134-08/06 for the month June 2006.**

Cr Corr gave notice of his intention to submit a Notice of Motion for the Council meeting to be held on 29 August 2006.

The Motion was Put and**CARRIED (11/0)**

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 8 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach8brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ135 - 08/06 PROPOSED REVOCATION OF CURRAMBINE VILLAGE STRUCTURE PLAN - LOTS 9018 & 9019 BURNS BEACH ROAD, CURRAMBINE – [60560]

WARD: North

**RESPONSIBLE
A/DIRECTOR:** Mr Chris Terelinck
Planning and Community Development (Acting)

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 9

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider revocation of the Currambine Village Structure Plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Currambine Village Structure Plan (CVSP) area relates to Lots 9018 and 9019 Burns Beach Road, Currambine, which are bounded by Burns Beach Road, Connolly Drive, Currambine Boulevard, Sunlander Drive and Mistral Meander.

At its meeting on 14 December 2004, Council adopted the CVSP, with minor modifications, for the purpose of guiding residential development on the site (CJ337-12/04 refers). The Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) adopted and certified the structure plan documents on 18 May 2005.

A new landowner now wishes to develop the land in a form that is substantially different from that outlined by the CVSP. In order for a development application to be considered for the intended predominantly aged persons' development, the CVSP will need to be revoked, as requested.

Under clause 9.7 of the City's District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2), a structure plan may be revoked by Council, subject to public advertising and the approval of the WAPC.

The CVSP envisages residential development. In view of the new landowner's advice that an aged persons' development is proposed for the site, it is considered that such a development can be appropriately considered under the existing provisions of the DPS2.

It is recommended that Council adopts the revocation of the CVSP for the purposes of public advertising.

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location:	Lots 9018 & 9019 Burns Beach Road, Currambine
Applicant:	Taylor Burrell Barnett
Owner:	Peet & Co
Zoning:	DPS: Urban
	MRS: Residential/Mixed Use R80
Site Area:	5.2 hectares
Structure Plan:	Currambine Village Structure Plan

Location

The subject site comprises Lots 9018 and 9019 Burns Beach Road, Currambine and is bounded by Burns Beach Road, Connolly Drive, Currambine Boulevard, Sunlander Drive and Mistral Meander (see Attachment 1). The Currambine railway station is located to the east of the site.

Council's Decision

Council resolved to adopt the CVSP, with minor modifications, at its meeting on 14 December 2004 (CJ337-12/04 refers). The structure plan documents were then submitted to the Western Australian Planning Commission for final adoption and certification as an Agreed Structure Plan, which occurred on 18 May 2005.

DETAILS

The CVSP relates to Lots 9018 and 9019. The majority of the site is zoned 'Residential' with a small portion of the site fronting Sunlander Boulevard zoned 'Mixed Use'.

The CVSP is divided into two parts: Part 1 - Statutory Planning Section and Part 2 - Explanatory Report. Part 1 includes objectives and provisions for the development of Precincts A and B for residential purposes. No provisions are included for the development of the eastern portions of the subject site, shown as 'Future Development', that includes land zoned 'Mixed Use'. The CVSP is Attachment 2.

The landowner now intends to submit a development application to the City for a predominantly aged persons' development and associated land uses (see Attachment 3).

The development is likely to include:

- Aged persons' dwellings
- Nursing home style accommodation
- Development of the Mixed Use zoned portion of the site.

Issues and options considered:

The options available to Council in considering revocation of the structure plan are:

- Adopt the revocation for the purposes of public advertising.
- Refuse the revocation and require amendments to the structure plan to facilitate the intended future development of the site.

Link to Strategic Plan:

The recommendation in this report is not linked to the objectives and strategies of the City's Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

Clause 9.7 of DPS2 enables Council to revoke an Agreed Structure Plan, subject to the approval of the WAPC. Public notice of the proposed revocation is to be given for a minimum period of 21 days.

Risk Management considerations:

There are no apparent risks associated with revoking the structure plan.

Financial/Budget Implications:

There are no financial or budget implications associated with revoking the structure plan.

Policy Implications:

There are no policy implications associated with revoking the structure plan.

Regional Significance:

Revocation of the structure plan is unlikely to have any regional significance.

Sustainability Implications:

The potential development of an aged persons' facility would provide a range of housing that is not currently provided in the area. The site is also located close to the Currambine railway station, which allows opportunities to maximise use of public transport.

Consultation:

Clause 9.7 of DPS2 requires public notification of the proposed revocation of a structure plan, in accordance with Clause 9.5. A minimum public advertising period of 21 days is required. Public advertising would consist of a notice in the local newspaper, signs on the site, letters to the adjoining landowners and a notice on the City's website.

COMMENT

The CVSP was developed according to the intentions of the owner of the site at that time. A new landowner is now seeking to develop the site principally as an aged persons' development, as shown on Attachment 3.

In order for a development application for the intended aged persons' facility and mixed use development of the site to be appropriately considered by the City, the structure plan would need to be either amended or revoked. In the absence of a structure plan to guide development of the site, the provisions of DPS2 relating to the Residential and Mixed Use zones, and the provisions of the R-Codes as they relate to residential land uses, would apply. In addition, relevant Council and City policies would apply, including Policy 3.2 Height and Scale of Buildings within Residential Areas.

The density, building height, setbacks, car parking, open space and privacy requirements of residential development can be assessed in accordance with the R-Codes for residential development. Mixed Use development would be assessed under DPS2 in terms of setbacks, car parking, and landscaping, as well as under the provisions of the R-Codes.

The current provisions of DPS2, the R-Codes and relevant policies are therefore considered adequate to assess future development applications for the intended land uses. Furthermore, the site is to be developed by one owner, enabling a comprehensive assessment of the proposed land uses over the whole site and the potential impacts of these proposed land uses on surrounding areas.

In view of the above, a structure plan to guide development is considered unnecessary. It is therefore considered appropriate to adopt the proposed revocation of the CVSP for the purpose of public advertising.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1	Aerial/ Location Plan
Attachment 2	Currambine Village Structure Plan
Attachment 3	Concept Plan – Currambine Retirement Estate

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: That Council ADVERTISES its intention to consider the revocation of the Currambine Village Structure Plan for a period of 21 days, in order to gauge public comment on the proposal.

MOVED Cr McLean, SECONDED Cr Hollywood that Council ADVERTISES for 35 days its intention to revoke the Currambine Village Structure Plan, which will include letters to the landowners of all properties located within 100 metres of the boundary of the subject land in order to gauge the public comment on the proposal.

AMENDMENT MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Corr that an additional Point 2 be added to the Motion as follows:

“2 GIVES notice that in the event that it agrees to the revocation of the Currambine Village Structure Plan, it will require a new Structure Plan over the designated site, and the form and detail of the Structure Plan would be equivalent to Attachment 2 to Appendix 9 to this Report.”

Discussion ensued.

Cr Hart as Mover and Cr Corr as Seconder agreed to the inclusion of additional wording on the amendment being “and the form and detail of the Structure Plan would be equivalent to Attachment 2 to Appendix 9 to this Report.”

The Amendment was Put and

LOST (5/6)

In favour of the Amendment: Crs Corr, Currie, Hart, John and Park
Against the Amendment: Mayor Pickard, Crs Amphlett, Fishwick, Hollywood, Jacob and McLean

With the approval of Cr McLean as Mover and Cr Hollywood as Seconder, the words “consider revoking” were inserted after the words “intention to” in the original motion. This Motion, as amended by the insertion now reads as follows:

MOVED Cr McLean, SECONDED Cr Hollywood that Council ADVERTISES for 35 days its intention to consider revoking the Currambine Village Structure Plan, which will include letters to the landowners of all properties located within 100 metres of the boundary of the subject land in order to gauge the public comment on the proposal.

Further discussion ensued.

Cr Corr foreshadowed an alternative Motion should the current Motion under consideration be unsuccessful.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (8/3)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Amphlett, Currie, Fishwick, Hollywood, Jacob, McLean and Park
Against the Motion: Crs Corr, Hart and John

Appendix 9 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach9brf010806.pdf](#)

CJ136 - 08/06 MINUTES OF SENIORS INTERESTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 7 JUNE 2006 – [55511]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE A/DIRECTOR: Mr Chris Terelinck
Planning and Community Development (Acting)

CJ060801_BRF.DOC:ITEM 10

PURPOSE

To note the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee meeting held on 7 June 2006.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Seniors Interests Advisory Committee (SIAC) met on 7 June 2006 and considered the proposed seniors event; the Absolutely Everybody program; seniors participation in the 2007 Joondalup Festival; and the presentation to the Committee of the draft Community Development Strategy.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1 *NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 7 June 2006 forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ136-08/06 and ENDORSES the decisions contained therein;*
- 2 *ENDORSES that the proposed seniors event be named “Seniors: This Is Your Life, and that it incorporates the following components:*
 - *financial guidance;*
 - *personal and home safety;*
 - *physical health and fitness;*
 - *entertainment.*

That activities be held in various venues during the week of 11-15 September 2006 and a working group assist the Community Development Officer to oversee the planning for the event;

- 3 *ENDORSES that the action in the Seniors Plan be amended to indicate that the City will not be progressing with the Absolutely Everybody program in the future.*

BACKGROUND

The SIAC was established because Council identified the benefit of exchanging views with residents of the City on matters related to seniors, an ageing population and the need for community input into the Seniors Plan, the Strategic Plan and other matters that impact upon seniors.

In accordance with its role, the Committee identified priority key focus areas that complement various tasks and actions of the City's Seniors Plan 2004 - 2008, and the objectives of the Strategic Plan 2003 –2008. These include: seniors health issues, transport accessibility and affordability, and staying active through leisure and entertainment.

Recommendations by the Committee will facilitate progress on initiatives including hosting a seniors event in 2006, focusing on positive active ageing and developing a strategy that encourages seniors to actively participate in the planning process for the 2007 Joondalup Festival.

DETAILS

The Committee meeting on Wednesday 7 June 2006, focused on:

- discussing options for a proposed 2006 seniors event;
- the Absolutely Everybody program as it relates to actions in the City's Seniors Plan;
- ways to enable the active participation of seniors in the 2007 Joondalup Festival.

A presentation was provided with background information regarding the Community Development Strategy.

Matters considered by the Committee

Proposed seniors event – consideration of theme and format

The proposed 2006 seniors event includes various options, these are: financial; safety; health and wellbeing; resource information; lifelong learning; entertainment; culture and art; and showcasing existing activities.

It is envisaged that the proposed 2006 seniors event will promote positive active ageing, health and wellbeing. "Seniors: This is Your Life" will be held over several days in a range of venues to be community based and accessible.

A working group of Committee members will assist the Community Development Officer to with the planning and development of the "Seniors: This is Your Life" event.

Officer's Comment:

The City's provision of a third seniors event complements the Seniors Plan 2004 – 2008. The event will promote positive active ageing, health and wellbeing and encourage seniors to remain valuable contributors and participants in the community.

Absolutely Everybody Program – Consideration of Future

A task of the Seniors Plan 2004 –2008 is "to continue to support the Absolutely Everybody program." The program is facing challenges as young people are not available to participate in the program because of curriculum and time constraints, which means it is no longer effective. As a result, the action in the Seniors Plan to "promote the development of intergenerational activities," has not been possible. The Committee discussed and reviewed this action, concluding not to progress with the program in the future and to amend the Seniors Plan action to reflect this. The Committee requested that the Officers prepare a report for the next meeting, providing information about existing intergenerational school programs.

Officer's Comment:

The Committee's resolution to amend the Seniors Plan task related to the Absolutely Everybody program fits with the role of the Committee, which is to review and evaluate the Plan on an ongoing basis. Other existing intergenerational programs are likely to offer the desired outcomes of the Absolutely Everybody program with the advantage that they are being offered on an ongoing basis. The City's role could be to promote existing acknowledged programs within its community.

Seniors participation in the 2007 Joondalup Festival

The Committee has indicated an interest in developing strategies to encourage seniors to actively participate in planning and implementing the 2007 Festival. Some ways that this may occur include: inviting expressions of interests from individual seniors and groups; asking seniors how they could be involved; Committee members to become involved; encouraging intergenerational activities and events; holding seniors specific activities and events; and providing opportunities for seniors as entertainers and performers.

Officer's Comment:

This matter was held over for comment until the next meeting on Wednesday, 2 August 2006; ongoing discussions indicate that seniors' participation in the planning for the 2007 Festival is strongly supported by the Committee. Attendance by the relevant Officers at the next Committee meeting will enable the members to progress with this matter.

Community Development Strategy document presented to Seniors Interests Advisory Committee

The City's draft Community Development Strategy document was made available to the Committee at the previous meeting on Wednesday, 5 April 2006, with Committee members being encouraged to provide feedback.

The purpose of the presentation was to provide detailed background information, to enable the Committee to comprehensively understand the document and to note the information provided.

Officer's Comment:

Informing the Committee of the draft Community Development Strategy document at a previous meeting and following this with a presentation of the document is consistent with keeping seniors informed and included in the City's consultation processes. Although the Seniors Plan, previously endorsed by Council is the plan that provides direction for the Committee, seniors do not exist in isolation. Seniors are part of a diverse society, which includes children, young people, adults and older people from a range of backgrounds, experiences and abilities.

Link to Strategic Plan:

The Seniors Interests Advisory Committee is linked to the Strategic Plan through the following objectives:

- 1.1 To develop, provide and promote a diverse range of lifelong learning opportunities.
- 1.2 To meet the Cultural needs and values of the community.

- 1.3 To continue to provide services that meet changing needs of a diverse and growing community.
- 1.4 To work with the community to enhance safety and security in a healthy environment.
- 3.3 To continue to meet changing demographic needs.
- 4.3 To ensure the City responds to and communicates with the community.

Financial Implications:

The recommendation supported by the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee and presented for endorsement by Council related to the seniors event “Seniors: This is Your Life” has cost implications. It is important that Council be aware of the budgetary provisions that have been made for this event.

- \$5,000 is included in the 2006/2007 budget to implement this project.

Regional Significance:

The Seniors Interests Advisory Committee is a locally focused group, established by Council to represent and advocate for the needs of seniors within the City of Joondalup. Although there may be some particular issues and concerns unique for seniors within the City, it is probable that these issues and concerns may be similar for all seniors.

Sustainability Implications:

The Seniors Interests Advisory Committee enables seniors the opportunity to actively participate and meaningfully contribute to Council processes and to the development and maintenance of a healthy and equitable community that considers their needs.

Consultation:

Not Applicable.

COMMENT

The “Seniors: This is Your Life” event will continue to reflect the City’s contemporary approach to active ageing policies, whilst acknowledging the importance of seniors as valuable contributors and participants in their community. Amendment of the Seniors Plan task related to the Absolutely Everybody program is an example of the Committee undertaking its role, which includes reviewing and evaluating the Plan on an ongoing basis.

Given that the task in the plan cannot be progressed, it is appropriate to research and identify other existing intergenerational programs that promote the development of activities and challenge negative stereotypes across generations.

The process of encouraging seniors active participation the planning and development process for the 2007 Joondalup Festival is progressing and is strongly supported by the Committee and the relevant City Officers. Including seniors in the City’s consultation process for the draft Community Development Strategy document acknowledges that seniors are part of our diverse community, which includes children, young people, adults and older people from a range of backgrounds, experiences and abilities.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee meeting held on 7 June 2006.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

MOVED Cr Corr, SECONDED Cr Currie that Council:

- 1 NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 7 June 2006 forming Attachment 1 to Report CJ136-08/06 and ENDORSES the decisions contained therein;**
- 2 ENDORSES that the proposed seniors event be named “Seniors: This Is Your Life, and that it incorporates the following components:**
 - Financial guidance;**
 - Personal and home safety;**
 - Physical health and fitness;**
 - Entertainment;**

That activities be held in various venues during the week of 11-15 September 2006 and a working group assist the Community Development Officer to oversee the planning for the event;
- 3 ENDORSES that the action in the Seniors Plan be amended to indicate that the City will not be progressing with the Absolutely Everybody program in the future.**

Discussion ensued.

Cr Corr gave notice of his intention to submit a Notice of Motion for the Council meeting to be held on 29 August 2006.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Pickard, Crs Hollywood, McLean, Jacob, Park, Amphlett, John, Hart, Corr, Fishwick and Currie

Appendix 10 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach10brf010806.pdf](#)

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**C054-08/06 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR S HART - [61581, 22548]****WARD** - South East

In accordance with Clause 26 of Standing Orders Local Law 2005, Cr Hart gave notice of her intention to move the following motion at the Council Meeting to be held on 8 August 2006:

- 1 *That the City of Joondalup protect the amenity and lifestyle and choices of ratepayers, electors and the community in the south east ward of the City of Joondalup, and reject Network City in its entirety in the south east ward, until there is clear and demonstrable support from electors, ratepayers and the community in that Ward, after open and transparent consultation;*
- 2 *That the City of Joondalup informs the State Government of (1) above.*

OFFICER'S COMMENT

Network City is a state government initiative that provides a broad framework for the future planning of Perth. The implementation of Network City will require community consultation prior to future consideration of the implementation of the Network City strategies.

Network City will be implemented through a Statement of Planning Policy (SPP), prepared by the WAPC and currently in draft form. An SPP is primarily directed towards broad general planning and facilitating coordination of planning throughout the state or a particular region. The draft Network City SPP sets out the vision, values, principles and eight 'headline statements' to guide planning decisions for Perth and Peel. Local Town Planning Schemes are required to have due regard to any SPP which affects its district. If adopted, the SPP would have implications for the development of new policies, strategies, plans and schemes by the City of Joondalup, as these would need to align with the SPP. It is unlikely that the WAPC would allow the south-east ward of the City of Joondalup to be exempt from the SPP.

MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Corr:

- 1 **That the City of Joondalup protect the amenity and lifestyle and choices of ratepayers, electors and the community in the south east ward of the City of Joondalup, and reject Network City in its entirety in the south east ward, until there is clear and demonstrable support from electors, ratepayers and the community in that Ward, after open and transparent consultation;**
- 2 **That the City of Joondalup informs the State Government of (1) above.**

Discussion ensued.

PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE MOTION BE DEFERRED

MOVED Cr John, **SECONDED** Cr McLean that the following motion be **DEFERRED** pending a presentation being made to the Elected Members by the WA Planning Commission.

“MOVED Cr Hart, SECONDED Cr Corr:

- 1 That the City of Joondalup protect the amenity and lifestyle and choices of ratepayers, electors and the community in the south east ward of the City of Joondalup, and reject Network City in its entirety in the south east ward, until there is clear and demonstrable support from electors, ratepayers and the community in that Ward, after open and transparent consultation;*
- 2 That the City of Joondalup informs the State Government of (1) above.”*

The Procedural Motion was Put and

CARRIED (8/3)

In favour of the Procedural Motion: Crs Amphlett, Corr, Hart, Hollywood, Jacob, John, McLean and Park
Against the Procedural Motion: Mayor Pickard, Currie and Fishwick

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE NEXT MEETING**C055-08/06 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR B CORR - [61581]**

In accordance with Clause 26 of Standing Orders Local Law 2005, Cr B Corr has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council Meeting to be held on 29 August 2006:

“That the following procedure be ADOPTED:

That, with regard to Delegated Authority, if there are any objections or complaints from any source, verbal or written, about a Planning Application, the application must be brought to the attention of the Elected Members in the following way:

Full details, including the objections or complaints, to be brought to the attention of Elected Members at the next Briefing Session;

If one, or more, of the Elected Members wants more details or to investigate further, the application to be put on the agenda for a decision at the next Ordinary Meeting;

If no Elected Member wants more details or to investigate further, the application to be passed under Delegated Authority.”

C056-08/06 NOTICE OF MOTION – CR B CORR - [61581]

In accordance with Clause 26 of Standing Orders Local Law 2005, Cr B Corr has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council Meeting to be held on 29 August 2006:

“That the Community Facilities Reserve (Kingsley), \$274,545 as at 30 June 2006, be used as follows:

A room to be added to the ‘Kingsley Football Club’ premises for the ‘Kingsley Seniors’ group;

A report to be prepared this year for the Elected Members detailing the design, costs etc;

This report to include details of any State/Federal/other grants that may be available.”

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the Meeting closed at 1410 hrs; the following Elected members being present at that time:

MAYOR T PICKARD
Cr K HOLLYWOOD
Cr T McLEAN
Cr A JACOB
Cr J PARK
Cr G AMPHLETT
Cr M JOHN
Cr S HART
Cr B CORR
Cr R FISHWICK
Cr R CURRIE