

## ATTACHMENT 3 - Summary of Comments Received from Other Suburbs (where indicated)

### Question 2. Rationale for overall rating of the Concept Plan

Survey Rating Score (n=45) 67% Strongly approve/approve

| No. of individual comments received | Direct Quotations  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 17                                  | <p><b>Positive support</b><br/>                     “My husband &amp; I use the path a lot - almost every day for running &amp; walking the dog. I like the idea of making the area more social &amp; friendly &amp; the path is in need of repair &amp; widening in areas. Also happy to see the inclusion of pedestrian crossings. The drawback is the natural beauty of the coastline and the plan seems to acknowledge this and work it, whilst improving the path itself.” (Craigie resident)</p> <p>“Looks to be an excellent upgrade to an under-utilised area of the West Coast Highway” (Woodvale resident)</p>   |
| 18                                  | <p><b>Negative</b><br/>                     “...It will not enhance the visual appearance of the coastline - rather, all the cluttered ‘features’ will get in the way of the ocean views. It does not enhance pedestrian safety on the path, because it doesn’t get the road bikes off the path, which is the main problem.” (Ocean Reef resident)</p> <p>“...being a frequent user, cyclist, the main issue is the width of the path which is only going to be widened "where possible". If the path is not widened the conflict between users will continue.” (Duncraig resident)</p> <p>“ I strongly disapprove of the relocation of the ablution block. The current block...is very important as this is where it is safe to come and swim, is sheltered from the prevailing winds, and has a strong community aspect as there are people who meet there on a daily basis.” (Duncraig resident).</p> |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

### Question 3: Rating of Features and Commentary

| Question                    | Survey rating score    | No. of individual comments received | Direct Quotations   |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>3.1 Fencing</b>          | 78% Love it or like it | 11                                  | <p><b>Concerns about fencing materials</b><br/>           “At the coast corrosion is a certainty and rusting of materials occurs, unless marine grade products, including screws and brackets etc is specified in the tender and installed Jarrah is likely to be eaten by white ants - treated pine lasts better.” (Mullaloo resident)</p> <p>“Re the wood post - maintenance (i.e. painting, staining) would be an ever increasing cost. Re steel post - the salt air and off spray from the ocean would soon corrode these posts. The fence should be made of powdered coated aluminium.” (Greenwood resident)</p>                 |
| <b>3.2 Bollard lighting</b> | 84% Love it or like it | 17                                  | <p><b>Impractical design</b><br/>           “Expensive and non-functional lighting system, only lights feet, not faces. Also a vandalism target - convenient height for a hammer.” (Ocean Reef resident)</p> <p>“Not much use, none whatsoever to cyclists.” (Hamersley resident)</p> <p>“I really like the minimalist nature of the proposed lighting (compared with the more usual overhead street lamps) but have some concern about whether this would provide sufficient light for the pathway at night (my walks during winter are often at night on weekdays), both for safety and security purposes.” (Kingsley resident)</p> |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

| Question                      | Survey rating score   | No. of individual comments received | Direct Quotations   |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>3.3 Path</b>               | 91% Love it or like it  | 27                                  | <p><b>Make it wider</b><br/>           “The path must be widened along the full length, to increase functionality and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. Particularly with projections of increased population and path usage.” (Woodvale resident)</p> <p>“...the wider the better [as] it can get pretty busy on the weekends. If you improve it - it will only attract more patrons on bikes etc.” (Churchlands resident)</p> <p>“As wide as possible, to allow walkers to be passed in safety by bicycles. Keep left painted on path.” (Ocean Reef resident)</p> <p><b>Positive support</b><br/>           “This will make the pathway safer as the present concrete pathway has moved with the soil and has potential to cause injury to pedestrians and cyclists.” (Karrinyup resident)</p> <p>“Maybe all dual purpose paths should be made of this - not just in the tourist precinct.” (Greenwood resident)</p> |
| <b>3.4 Vertical Sculpture</b> | 56% Love it or like it<br>18% Neutral<br>27% Don't like it or hate it | 15                                  | <p><b>Not required</b><br/>           “Not needed. Money better spent on small landings where people could stop and talk - not blocking the way.” (Heathridge resident)</p> <p>“Too prone to vandalism.” (Burns Beach resident)</p>   |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

| Question                            | Survey rating score   | No. of individual comments received | Direct Quotations  |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>3.5 Relocated ablution block</b> | 42% Love it or like it<br>27% Neutral<br>31% Don't like it or hate it | 22                                  | <p><b>Why move it?</b><br/>           “The swimming beach is where the existing ablution block is located. Placing it at the north end will be inconvenient and doesn't make sense.” (Hillarys resident).</p> <p>“Bit strange that you are considering moving the Ablution Block AND change room to an area where one is not able to swim.” (Heathridge resident)</p> <p>“Having taught swimming at the beach on the south side of the MAAC, I know that the toilets and change rooms need to be on the south side. The toilet block on the extreme north side is impractical and will pose a danger to children from vehicles moving in and out of this large carpark.” (Mullaloo resident)</p> |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

#### Question 4: Strengths of the Concept Plan

| No. individual comments received | Direct Quotations   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 27                               | <p><b>Recognises a need</b><br/>           “Will upgrade area and... [make it]... more user friendly.” (Burns Beach resident)</p> <p>“Widening of path - lessening congestion and cyclist/pedestrian conflict. Limestone fence base - preventing sand/vegetation encroaching and narrowing the path. Resurfacing of path - reducing risk of accident.” (Iluka resident)</p> <p>“An enticing area to attract more tourists. The City has a wonderful coastline, which should be promoted strongly. With an inviting area on the coast that is modern, clean, safe &amp; easy to use this may assist the local community in engaging in more physical activity and in turn increase general health &amp; well being.” (Woodvale resident)</p> <p>“It compliments the surrounding upgrades completed in the area. Also with the prestige that now follows this corridor of suburbs the area should be beautified appropriately.” (Ocean Reef resident)</p> |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

## Question 5: Weaknesses of the Concept Plan

| No. individual comments received | Direct Quotations  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 26                               | <p><b>Fundamentals missed</b><br/>           "The plan is not addressing the fundamental problem for pedestrians and bike riders: traffic on West Coast Drive. On evenings and weekends, there is a huge amount of vehicle traffic along this road and many vehicles are travelling over the speed limit. Instead of just building a nicer path and fence, you need to address the traffic issue. The major thing annoying me when I walk along the path is the traffic and the fact that some idiot driver going too fast is going to run over me while I'm on the path (the path is only about 10 cm above road level with no barrier)." (Duncraig resident)</p> <p>"The widening [of the path] is probably going to be insufficient to meet the growing needs of people using the DUP in the near future. I have noticed a significant increase in volume of people using the DUP in last few years. With an increase in the population the use of the path is going to be increasing further."(Duncraig resident)</p> <p>"No plans to alter road marking at all. Entry and exit points to car parks." (Ocean Reef)</p> <p><b>Of questionable value</b><br/>           "The bollard lighting does not seem very practical." (Duncraig resident)</p> <p>"I feel this upgrade is necessary, however do question the relocation of the ablution block at the Marmion Angling Club." (Woodvale resident)</p> <p>"Planting trees to visually soften the area is impractical. Planting Norfolk Island Pines or Sheoaks is anti social and not good for the environment. Nothing grows under them, they drop branches and cones." (Mullaloo resident)</p> <p>"I think money could be wasted on some things like the sculptures. Not sure if we need all of the wooden viewing platforms or if the proposal was just for 1 or some to be included. Also not sure if grassed areas will be used, but in the current water-stressed environment I think other materials should be considered." (Craigie resident)</p> |

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.

\* For each open question in the survey, the most commonly recurring responses have been identified under a theme. Each theme (there may be several for each question) is identifiable as a subheading in bold text in the table e.g., **Conditional approval**. The direct quotation under each subheading has been selected based on the extent to which it illustrates the theme. The order in which recurring themes are listed reflects the level of importance attached to them by the community.