

**PARKING PERMIT SCHEME
COMMUNITY FORUM**

Joondalup Reception Centre
10am, Saturday 13 December 2008

1. OPENING REMARKS

Joondalup CEO Garry Hunt opened the workshop by welcoming the workshop participants and highlighting the following points:

- We are here to listen to the communities views in relation to the parking permit scheme;
- The tables are established roughly by areas of interest;
- High density living often has associated use of parking permits;
- Unusual hours worked have resulted in observations that may be confirmed today;
- Emails show distinct differences between the precincts;
- From the freeway people enter Precinct 3 and go through the others subsequently;
- Lots of part time and shift work happening in the area; and
- Consensus may be strong at the tables but individual views are important to get the best solution.

2. WELCOME

Joondalup Mayor Troy Pickard welcomed all workshop participants, and thanked them for their participation.

3. WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSE

The workshop objectives were to devise options for an outcome that would:

- Protect residential amenity allowing for residents, visitors and service providers,
- Seek solutions that are at no cost to residents,
- Protect the interests of local residents over regional visitors, and
- In doing so - recognise the needs of (small and home based) business, students and other land uses with a need to provide for customers, visitors and others.

The agenda can be seen in **Attachment A: Agenda**.

A list of the participants who attend the workshop can be seen in **Attachment B: Participant List**.

4. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Workshop participants were given the opportunity to ask any questions they may have had. These questions and their answers are recorded below:

Q	What are the commercial impacts for businesses in the area of Boas and Lakeside Ave if metered parking is introduced? Short stay free parking is needed for customers, cleaners and service staff.
A	We are here to listen.
Q	How many residents are there in the 3 precincts?
A	City of Joondalup has issued around 450 letters.
Q	If every resident bought their permits what value would that produce?
A	We need to focus on the intent of today and their expectations. Set what was proposed to one side and find out your preferences. If every residence took two permits it would raise around \$45,000.
Q	The issue is we are a group of people within a large Council. Fees are inappropriate for a small specific area of Joondalup.
A	Please look for the preferred solution from a community viewpoint.
Q	Precinct 1 resident with 4 adults and potentially four cars with no provision for visitors. Prior to parking changes there was no on street parking. We now have visitors being fined and empty car parking spots. Is that being addressed? How will these differences be treated between multiple dwellings and individual or small single bed apartments?
A	Please identify the constraints and information needed to successfully resolve this process.
Q	As a resident of Precinct 3 we are affected by university student parking. What is the situation with people renting or building over apartments? This is a part of the problem we face. Why is this allowed when it contravenes the building code? Why has it taken so long to deal with this?
A	If it is non-compliant something should be done about it. The university issue is a common one that happens in similar situations around the metro area. The parking permit scheme is about trying to address this issue.
Q	During the week there are lots of students could it just be during office hours?

A	This is a possibility.
Q	Will the permits be valid for multiple locations?
A	They are area specific only.
Q	What happens after today for further resident feedback and comment?
A	Feedback recorded and reported back to the community. The information must go to Council before agreeing the resolution.
Q	Earlier planning policy required two parking bays to get cars off the street. If the city can police this more efficiently it would be beneficial with people using garage space for other purposes. Can this be policed?
A	It is a valid suggestion.
Q	Is provision required for visitor parking as part of proposed developments?
A	Yes.

5. WORKSHOP SESSION

The participants worked in small groups to identify the opportunities, constraints, and possible outcomes. A brief outline of the feedback received can be seen below with a full summary of feedback found in **Attachment C: Feedback**.

5.1 Precinct 1: Boas Avenue

Outcomes

- Free permits for all residents.
- Extra permits possible on application.
- Increase parking fees on Lakeside to make it less attractive for non permit holders.
- Permits are needed.
- Transferable for visitor and residents.
- Max time limited – self policing by residents?
- Permits for special days.
- Changes have been ongoing over time, on verge parking, commercial vehicles on street.
- 900 to 1700 M – F
- Provision for other registered vehicles, trailer, caravans, etc.

5.2 Precinct 2: Shoveler Terrace

Constraints

- Can't please everyone with lots of views and interests.
- Many different agendas and we focused on our area from an owner occupier perspective.

- Recognising the difference between owner/occupiers and residents.

Outcomes

- Flexible exception system – allowing for resident and visitor permits, party or special event, builders, contractors, service providers.
- Lakeside resident exempt from parking meters.
- Parking to be policed and infringements issued.
- Reasonable number of visitor parking provisions (say 4).
- Parking charges to reflect duration of stay on Lakeside Drive for non residents.
- No charge - but paid for already under rates.
- Seek a commitment by Council to not make further changes without consulting with people.

5.3 Precinct 3: Cornell Parade

Outcomes

- Exception was vehicles parking on vacant blocks to be policed.
- The number per house is difficult to define and should reflect household characteristics.
- No problem so no change.
- No need for paid parking
- Police Service encouraged to park at TAFE or elsewhere.
- Time limited parking to replace resident only parking signs.
- Weekly tickets to be discounted when compared to daily charges.
- Provision for loading zones.
- City of Joondalup to be considerate and caring Council.

6. GENERAL COMMENTS

Garry Hunt highlighted that there are diverse views through the community and the City of Joondalup agreed to come and listen to your views not to come with a solution.

What is clear is that:

- Permits are needed Monday to Friday,
- Free of charge,
- Additional allocation by nominal fee,
- Liaison with ECU, Police Academy and others has taken place,
- Permit scheme essential to allow for effective enforcement,
- Vehicle on blocks are an issue and needs active community reporting,
- Laneway parking is an issue, and
- Ongoing comment and input from here for/by the community.

The proposal for the City of Joondalup will be formulated to include additional input from today. The comments received from workshop participants are greatly appreciated.

Apologies were sent to people who felt left out and it was explained that Joondalup is a different context to other Councils. The many different views and expectations of the residents of Joondalup have presented challenges.

The 3 precincts presented today were identified to reflect monitoring outcomes of Lakeside Drive resulting from the introduction of paid parking and the associated parking spill to residential areas. The 3 precincts were the identified hotspots.

7. THANK YOU AND CLOSE

Troy Pickard thanked workshop participants for their participation and wished them a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. He additionally noted the following:

- Today wasn't about presenting a fix to this problem it was about hearing from you.
- We didn't want to spoon feed a solution to you in what is a challenging issue.
- The current Structure Plan will result in changes around Joondalup with some taller building in the CBD as we grow as the second city.
- Apologised for the earlier mistake and looks forward to seeing the summary.
- Will go to Council with opportunities for input through Council processes.

Workshop closed at 12:45pm