



Digital Mapping Solutions does not warrant the accuracy of information in this publication and any person using or relying upon such information does so on the basis that DMS shall bear no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any errors, faults, defects or omissions in the information.

Iluka Open Space

Tuesday, 29 May 2012

1:2519



## ANALYSIS OF 'ILUKA OPEN SPACE — PROPOSED FLOODLIGHTING UPGRADE PROJECT' SURVEY

The following provides an analysis of the quantitative and qualitative data gathered from the *Iluka Open Space, Iluka — Proposed Floodlighting Upgrade Project Survey*.


### RESPONSE RATES

The City sent out a total of 492 surveys and received 228 responses. Of the 228 responses received the City collected a total of 228 valid survey responses through online surveys and hard-copy surveys; these are summarised in Table 1 and Chart 1 below.

**Table 1: Survey responses by collection method**

Collection method	N	%
Online	168	74.0%
Hard-copy	60	26.0%
<b>total (valid) survey responses</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Chart 1: Survey responses by collection method**




### DEMOGRAPHICS

Of the 492 survey packs sent out, 479 were sent to surrounding local residents, 7 were sent to current park user groups, 1 was sent to the Iluka Homeowners Association and 5 were sent to State Sporting Associations. The response data is summarised in Table 2 and Chart 2 below.

**Table 2: Survey responses by type of respondent**

Type of respondent	N	%
Surrounding local residents	222	97.4%
Current park user groups	5	2.2%
State Sporting Associations	1	0.4%
<b>total (valid) respondents</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Chart 2: Survey responses by type of respondent**



**QUESTION 1: DO YOU SUPPORT/NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE THE FLOODLIGHTING AT ILUKA OPEN SPACE, ILUKA AS DESCRIBED IN THE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS?**


All 228 survey respondents provided a response to this question; responses have been summarised in Table 3 and Charts 3 and 4 below.

**Table 3: Types of responses to the question: “Do you support/not support the proposal to upgrade floodlighting at Iluka Open Space in Iluka”**


Type of respondent	Support		Do Not Support	
	N	%	N	%
Surrounding local residents	208	91.2%	14	6.1%
Current park user groups	5	2.2%	0	0.0%
State sporting associations	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
<b><i>total (valid) respondents</i></b>	<b>214</b>	<b>93.8%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6.1%</b>

**Charts 3 and 4: Types of responses to the question: “DO YOU SUPPORT/NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE THE FLOODLIGHTING AT ILUKA OPEN SPACE, ILUKA AS DESCRIBED IN THE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS?”**

**Chart 3:**  
Responses from surrounding local residents.




**Chart 4:**  
Responses from current park user groups and state sporting associations



The Survey asked respondents to outline their reasons for **not** supporting the proposal. As there were only 14 respondents that did not support the proposal, these responses have been quantified and are shown below in Chart 4 (also includes any relevant comments received on responses that were in support of the project).

**Chart 4: Reasons for not supporting the proposal**





Proposed floodlighting	
Existing floodlighting	



Digital Mapping Solutions does not warrant the accuracy of information in this publication and any person using or relying upon such information does so on the basis that DMS shall bear no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any errors, faults, defects or omissions in the information.

Prince Regent Proposed Floodlighting

Wednesday, 5 September 2012

1:1326



## ANALYSIS OF ‘PRINCE REGENT PARK — PROPOSED FLOODLIGHTING UPGRADE PROJECT’ SURVEY

The following provides an analysis of the quantitative and qualitative data gathered from the *Prince Regent, Heathridge — Proposed Floodlighting Upgrade Project Survey*.


### RESPONSE RATES

The City sent out a total of 349 surveys and received 109 responses. Of the 109 responses received the City collected a total of 109 valid survey responses through online surveys and hard-copy surveys; these are summarised in Table 1 and Chart 1 below.

**Table 1: Survey responses by collection method**

Collection method	N	%
Online	49	45.0%
Hard-copy	60	55.0%
<b>total (valid) survey responses</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Chart 1: Survey responses by collection method**




### DEMOGRAPHICS

Of the 349 survey packs sent out, 345 were sent to surrounding local residents, 2 were sent to current park user groups, and 2 were sent to State Sporting Associations. The response data is summarised in Table 2 and Chart 2 below.

**Table 2: Survey responses by type of respondent**

Type of respondent	N	%
Surrounding local residents	106	97.0%
Current park user groups	2	2.0%
State Sporting Associations	1	1.0%
<b>total (valid) respondents</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Chart 2: Survey responses by type of respondent**



**QUESTION 1: DO YOU SUPPORT/NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE THE FLOODLIGHTING AT PRINCE REGENT PARK, HEATHRIDGE AS DESCRIBED IN THE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS?**


All 109 survey respondents provided a response to this question; responses have been summarised in Table 3 and Charts 3 and 4 below.

**Table 3: Types of responses to the question: “Do you support/not support the proposal to upgrade Floodlighting at Prince Regent Park, Heathridge”**


Type of respondent	Support		Do Not Support	
	N	%	N	%
Surrounding local residents	102	93.6%	4	3.7%
Current park user groups	2	1.8%	0	0.0%
State sporting associations	1	0.9%	0	0.0%
<b><i>total (valid) respondents</i></b>	<b>105</b>	<b>96.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>

**Charts 3 and 4: Types of responses to the question: “DO YOU SUPPORT/NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE THE FLOODLIGHTING AT PRINCE REGENT PARK, HEATHRIDGE AS DESCRIBED IN THE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS?”**

**Chart 3:**  
Responses from surrounding local residents.



**Chart 4:**  
Responses from current park user groups and state sporting associations



The Survey asked respondents to outline their reasons for *not* supporting the proposal. As there were only 4 respondents that did not support the proposal, these responses have been quantified and are shown below in Chart 4 (also includes any comments received on responses that were in support of the project).

**Chart 4: Reasons for not supporting the proposal**

