

minutes

Annual General Meeting of Electors

ON

TUESDAY 23 MARCH 2021

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP ON TUESDAY 23 MARCH 2021

OPEN AND WELCOME

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 5.30pm and introduced the Councillors and Mr Mikey Fiorucci, Assistant Director (Financial Audit) from the Office of the Auditor General.

ATTENDANCES

Mayor:

HON. ALBERT JACOB, JP

*absent from 7.41pm to 7.46pm
absent from 9.41pm to 9.44pm*

Councillors:

CR KERRY HOLLYWOOD

North Ward

*absent from 7.21pm to 7.24pm
until 9.59pm*

CR TOM McLEAN, JP

North Ward

absent from 8.46pm to 8.49pm

CR PHILIPPA TAYLOR

North-Central Ward

*absent from 7.27pm to 7.31pm
until 10.14pm*

CR NIGE JONES

North-Central Ward

*from 5.31pm
absent from 7.30pm to 7.35pm
until 7.57pm*

CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP – *Deputy Mayor*

South Ward

absent from 10.02pm to 10.05pm

CR SUZANNE THOMPSON

South Ward

absent from 7.09pm to 7.12pm

CR JOHN RAFTIS

South-West Ward

*from 5.49pm
absent from 7.36pm to 7.39pm*

CR JOHN CHESTER

South-East Ward

absent from 8.25pm to 8.32pm

CR JOHN LOGAN

South-East Ward

absent from 7.26pm to 7.31pm

Officers:

MR JAMES PEARSON

Chief Executive Officer

absent from 9.37pm to 9.40pm

MR JAMIE PARRY

Director Governance and Strategy

absent from 7.19pm to 7.21pm

MS DALE PAGE

Director Planning and Community Development

*absent from 7.31pm to 7.33pm
absent from 8.32pm to 8.34pm*

MR MAT HUMFREY

Director Corporate Services

MR BRAD SILLENCE

Manager Governance

absent from 7.32pm to 7.35pm

MR CHRIS LEIGH

Manager Planning Services

MR MATTHEW MACPHERSON

Manager Infrastructure Management Services

MR RONEY OOMMEN

Manager Financial Services

until 5.50pm

MS VIVIENNE STAMPALIJA

Governance Coordinator

*absent from 8.17pm to 8.21pm
absent from 9.05pm to 9.07pm*

MR DANIEL DAVINI	Media Advisor	<i>absent from 8.48pm to 8.53pm</i>
MRS WENDY COWLEY	Governance Officer	
MRS DEBORAH GOUGES	Governance Officer	<i>from 5.41pm</i>
MRS NATASHA MOSSMAN	Governance Officer	<i>from 6.32pm</i>

In Attendance:

MS REBECCA MACCARIO	Manager Strategic and Organisational Development	<i>until 6.18pm</i>
---------------------	--	---------------------

There were 67 members of the public and one member of the press in attendance.

ADDRESS BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor welcomed members of the public to the Annual General Meeting of Electors.

The Mayor advised that the meeting is being held in accordance with section 5.27 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

The Mayor advised the meeting was advertised as follows:

- *Joondalup Times* newspaper on 25 February 2021.
- Weekly Facebook posts.
- Public notices on the City's website and public notice boards.

The Annual Report was made available for public viewing after the Special meeting of Council held on 22 December 2020, where Council adopted the *2019-20 Annual Report*.

Cr Jones entered the Chamber at 5.31pm.

PROCEDURES AT ELECTORS' MEETINGS

The Mayor outlined the requirements for voting and speaking at an Electors' Meeting.

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies

Cr Christine Hamilton-Prime.
Cr Christopher May.
Cr Russell Poliwka.

VIDEO PRESENTATION

A video presentation was given outlining the events, activities and achievements of the City of Joondalup for the 2019-20 financial year.

CONTENTS OF THE 2019-20 ANNUAL REPORT

The Mayor advised that the purpose of the Annual General Meeting of Electors was to discuss the contents of the [Annual Report](#) and raise any general business that Electors may have. In accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995*, Council adopted the Annual Report at its meeting held on 22 December 2020 (JSC09-12/20 refers).

The Governance Officer entered the Chamber at 5.41pm.

Cr Raftis entered the Chamber at 5.49pm.

The following questions in respect of the 2019-20 Annual Report were asked at the meeting:

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: 2019-20 Annual Report – Employee Costs.

Q1 In reference to the increase of employee numbers from 150 to 172 who earn greater than \$100,000, please explain why the salaries are not indexed to CPI?

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised the details of staff wages are contained in their contracts and are not necessarily indexed to CPI. The Director Corporate Services advised the increase in employee numbers is more likely a representation of the positions being filled rather than staff receiving pay increases.

Re: 2019-20 Annual Report – Performing Arts Centre.

Q2 Why is the Performing Arts Centre still seen as a major capital project considering that although there is money set aside in reserve accounts for the project, no funds are available for the project to proceed in the foreseeable future?

A2 Mayor Jacob advised that it was a decision of Council, the City continues to own the site and the relevant reserve funds have accumulated over many years towards this project, until being deferred by Council recently. Mayor Jacob stated the Performing Arts Centre has always formed part of the long-term aspiration for the City of Joondalup.

Mr M Dowling, Woodvale:

Re: 2019-20 Annual Report – Green House Gas Emissions.

Q1 Will the City commit to act with urgency, to divest from banks that fund fossil fuels; declare a climate emergency; convert its fleet of vehicles to electric vehicles; expand its infrastructure for charging electric vehicles; further tighten its building codes to ensure higher standards on producing smaller carbon footprints; and reduce urban heat island effect in its communities?

A1 Mayor Jacob acknowledged Mr Dowling's question, and expressed that Council will make decisions relating to these matters in due course.

Q2 *Will the City show leadership and formally investigate and make a climate emergency declaration?*

A1 Mayor Jacob acknowledged Mr Dowling's question, and expressed that Council will make decisions relating to this matter in due course.

Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: 2019-20 Annual Report – Coastal Vulnerability and Managed Retreat.

Q1 *The Annual Report lacks detail in regard to coastal vulnerability and managed retreat, when will the City start dealing with these issues?*

A1 Mayor Jacob advised the City has done extensive work on coastal vulnerability.

The Director Governance and Strategy advised the City is aware of the coastal vulnerability issues and advised the City is currently developing a series of coastal hazard assessment maps that will inform the City's Coastal Hazard Risk Assessment planning. The Director Governance and Strategy advised consultation with the community would follow.

Q2 *Why is the information not in the Annual Report and what is being done about managed retreat in coastal areas?*

A2 The Director Governance and Strategy advised the process is ongoing throughout the year and the City has not finalised any of those matters. It is likely to be included in this years Annual Report.

Q3 *What is the City doing about managed retreat in accordance with Western Australian Planning Commission Guidelines?*

A3 The Director Governance and Strategy advised the City is fully aware of the guidelines. The coastal hazard mapping will guide the City in regard to its intent as to where it will have managed retreat and other circumstances around coastal vulnerability.

The Manager Financial Services and the Assistant Director (Financial Audit), Office of the Auditor General left the Chamber at 5.50pm.

GENERAL BUSINESS

Any questions of a general nature raised at the Annual General Meeting of Electors would be responded to and questions requiring a more detailed response would be taken on notice.

The following questions were submitted prior to the Annual General Meeting of Electors:

Mrs S Leuzzi, Joondalup:

Re: Glyphosate.

Q1 *Does the City have a 100 metre pesticide exclusion zone for residents with adverse reactions to glyphosate?*

A1 City residents and/or property owners wishing to exclude the verge immediately abutting their property/residence from chemical weed control can apply to the City to be added to the City's Pesticide Exclusion Register.

Ms D Bullock, Connolly:

Re: Glyphosate.

Q1 *Can the City of Joondalup please advise if steam weed killing method has been trialled?*

A1 The City has undertaken a number of trials over the years involving the use of hydrothermal methodology.

There are currently two hydrothermal trials being conducted by the City within the suburb of Joondalup that have been ongoing since December 2020 as follows:

- Trial one (Joondalup south) consists of hot water technology which is being used to manage weeds within the road reserve. This trial is bounded by Lakeside Park, Cockatoo Ridge, Grassbird Avenue and Lakeside Drive and encompasses all the streets and laneways.
- Trial two (Joondalup north) consists of steam technology which is being used to manage weeds within the road reserve. This trial is bounded by Aldgate Street, Lakeside Drive, Upney Mews and Plaistow Street and encompasses all the streets and laneways.

Ms E Ender, Edgewater:

Re: Glyphosate.

Q1 *Did the Chief Executive Officer notify schools of the ability to register for the "no spray verge list" before the Christmas break or on the return to school?*

A1 The City notified schools and established childcare facilities of the ability to register the verge(s) adjacent to their property on the Pesticide Exclusion Register (no spray verge list) on 10 February 2021 to coincide with the start of the new school year.

Q2 *Was the Chief Executive Officer debriefed on a drive to recruit residents to sign a no spray verge near their property and same for schools, childcare centres, sporting grounds, parks and ovals?*

A2 Council's decision to develop and implement a Pesticide Exclusion Register (no spray verge list) and notify schools and childcare facilities of their ability to register the verge(s) adjacent to their property was implemented by the City from 4 December 2020. Information in relation to the ability for residents and organisation to register was widely advertised through a variety of communication channels including the following:

- Direct correspondence to persons listed on the City's existing Pesticide Use Notification Register.
- Direct correspondence to all schools and established childcare facilities.
- Updates to the City's website.
- Promotion through various media platforms (for example; social media, community newspaper).

Both the former and current Chief Executive Officers were briefed on the City's implementation approach.

Q3 *Why was there a 'soft launch'?*

A3 The soft launch on the City's public website provided an opportunity for the City to test its registration system to ensure its effectiveness prior to promoting the register more broadly.

Q4 *Has the City informed the community regarding the new pesticide exclusion register and the upgraded Pesticide User Notification Register?*

A4 Yes.

Q5 *If yes to Q1, please explain when and where this was done?*

A5 As per A1 above.

Ms C Bradley, Joondalup:

Re: Glyphosate.

Q1 *Can you please explain why Joondalup Council is using a chemical which has been banned and has not tried to replace it with a safe alternative?*

A1 Chemical weed control methods, including the use of glyphosate, is undertaken in alignment with the regulatory requirement for the use of herbicides as set by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) and the Department of Health WA.

The City has, and continues, to consider alternative weed management opportunities through an integrated approach to weed control that is transparent and informed by all current regulatory requirements.

Information pertaining to the City's historical use and review of alternative methodologies and their effectiveness has been reported on a number of occasions to Council, including most recently at its meeting held on 21 July 2020 (CJ096-07/20 refers).

The City continues to undertake weed control trials, both chemical and non-chemical such as hot water and steam, as new products and technologies become available these will inform the City's integrated weed management approach.

Ms E Kuznetsova, Currambine:

Re: Weeding Methods

Q1 Can you please advise the areas of trial (how many streets) in the North and South of Joondalup where non-chemical methods were used?

A1 There are currently two hydrothermal trials being conducted by the City within the suburb of Joondalup that have been ongoing since December 2020 as follows:

- Trial one (Joondalup south) consists of hot water technology which is being used to manage weeds within the road reserve. This trial is bounded by Lakeside Park, Cockatoo Ridge, Grassbird Avenue and Lakeside Drive and encompasses all the streets and laneways.
- Trial two (Joondalup north) consists of steam technology which is being used to manage weeds within the road reserve. This trial is bounded by Aldgate Street, Lakeside Drive, Upney Mews and Plaistow Street and encompasses all the streets and laneways.

Q2 What type of machines/technology is used for the trial that takes place in the North and South of Joondalup?

A2 As per A1 above.

Mr P Westcott, Ocean Reef:

Re: Ocean Reef Marina Project Business Plan

Q1 Why was information removed from the original Business Plan submitted to the Council Briefing Session on 9 February from the Ordinary Council Meeting version one week later that gave the most likely breakeven date of 2054 with a graph of projected revenue and expenses against a timeline?

A1 The information was not relevant for the purposes of the business plan on the City's major land transaction as required under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Q2 Who decided to omit the above?

A2 The content of the agendas for the February Briefing Session and Council meeting in this instance was determined by the acting Chief Executive Officer at that time.

Q3 *Were elected members informed of the change before they voted to advertise this Business Plan to the public?*

A3 Yes.

Q4 *Did the City of Joondalup Audit and Risk Committee assess the first or the revised Business Plan for the Ocean Reef Marina, if so please provide the link to the council report; if not why not?*

A4 The Ocean Reef Marina project does not fall under the role of the Audit and Risk Committee. The business plan in regard to the City's land transactions was presented to Council at its meeting held on 16 February 2021 and the details of the report are included in the agenda and minutes for this meeting.

Q5 *Has the financial sustainability of the Ocean Reef Marina Project been assessed and reported to Council as part of the Local Government Act required Corporate Business Plan?*

A5 The Business Plan advertised for public comment deals with the relevant land transactions of City property, not the financial sustainability of the project. The contents of the business plan in regard to the land transactions comply with the requirements of the *Local Government Act 1995*. There is no requirement to detail financial sustainability information in the City's *Corporate Business Plan* as it is not the proponent or developer of the Ocean Reef Marina Project.

Ms R Anderson, Joondalup:

Re: *Glyphosate.*

Q1 *Why is Council not considering alternative methods of safer weed control and protecting the health of residents by stopping the use of glyphosate?*

A1 Chemical weed control methods, including the use of glyphosate, is undertaken in alignment with the regulatory requirement for the use of herbicides as set by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) and the Department of Health WA.

The City has, and continues, to consider alternative weed management opportunities through an integrated approach to weed control that is transparent and informed by all current regulatory requirements.

Information pertaining to the City's historical use and review of alternative methodologies and their effectiveness has been reported on a number of occasions to Council, including most recently at its meeting held on 21 July 2020 (CJ096-07/20 refers).

The City continues to undertake weed control trials, both chemical and non-chemical such as; hot water and steam, as new products and technologies become available these will inform the City's integrated weed management approach.

Ms B Hewitt (Edgewater Community Residents Association Inc), Edgewater:

Re: City Ranger Services.

Q1 Please explain the requirement for 10 new rangers, are these additional to the staff already in place?

A1 The City does not currently require 10 new rangers.

Q2 What action is being taken to address the Notices of Improvements from Worksafe?

A2 The City is currently assessing its work place culture and reviewing its hazard reporting system.

Re: Edgewater Quarry Site Asbestos Contamination.

Q3 What has the City done since receiving the report in 2014 to mitigate the potential impact of asbestos to the community at the Edgewater Quarry site?

A3 Council were advised that further investigations into site contamination would be required should the project proceed and the Edgewater Quarry Community Reference Group (EQCRG) were advised that any asbestos located on site would be removed in accordance with the relevant statutory protocols and guidelines.

With respect to asbestos, given that the Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) did not include sampling and analysis for asbestos contamination in accordance with the Department of Health (DoH, 2009) *Guidelines for the Assessment, Remediation and Management of Asbestos-Contaminated Sites* in Western Australia, the risk currently posed by the presence of asbestos containing material cannot be assessed based on the limited information available from the PSI.

Council was informed should asbestos be located on site during any future works, all necessary and statutory compliance measures will be implemented to ensure its safe removal.

On 2 November 2020 the City provided DWER with a copy of the 2014, PSI report, a summary of the report and a statement that future engagement with DWER is welcomed.

Q4 What legislative requirements are in place to require the City to take immediate action upon coming into possession of the 2014 report?

A4 DWER administers and enforces the Act which includes classifying sites (in consultation with the Department of Health) and making information on contaminated site available to the public.

Under the Act, DWER can allocate any one of seven possible classifications to a site:

- *Contaminated – remediation required*
- *Contaminated – restricted use*
- *Remediated for restricted use*
- *Possibly contaminated – investigation required*
- *Decontaminated*
- *Not contaminated – unrestricted use*
- *Report not substantiated.*

The City received a Notification of Classification letter issued under s.15 of the *Contaminated Sites Act 2003*. DWER has classified the site as, “Possibly contaminated – investigation required” No classification requiring remediation or restriction of use was included in the classification allocated to the site.

On 16 March 2021 it was resolved: CJ040-03/21

“That Council:

3 *NOTES the Chief Executive Officer will undertake the required investigation to determine the presence and level of contamination of the site as required by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation.*

4 *AGREES that a report be presented on the results of the contamination investigation and its impact on the future of the Edgewater Quarry.”*

Q5 *Why did the City delay the action?*

A5 Council were advised that further investigations into site contamination would be required should the project proceed and the EQCRG were advised that any asbestos located on site would be removed in accordance with the relevant statutory protocols and guidelines.

Ms M O’Byrne, Kinross:

Re: Sunlander Coles New Shopping Centre.

Q1 *Can you please explain the steps in interpretation that led the City of Joondalup to the conclusion that the new Coles shopping development located at Lot 1 Sunlander Drive, Currambine, required the Restrictive Covenant (Condition 5) which was applied on 3 December 2020?*

A1 The Restrictive Covenant refers to a condition of subdivision approval. All subdivision applications are submitted to, and determined by, the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC). The City’s role in the subdivision application process is to provide comments when requested by the WAPC.

In regard to the subdivision proposal at Lot 1 Sunlander Drive, Currambine, the City did not recommend an access restriction on Connolly Drive; this was placed on the conditional approval by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage under delegation by the WAPC.

The access restriction on Currambine Boulevard was recommended in the interests of vehicle safety, with a principal consideration to avoid potential vehicle queuing on Currambine Boulevard that might arise if vehicles were entering the site from Currambine Boulevard.

Q2 *Subsequently, why did the City of Joondalup support the Coles application to the Statutory Planning Committee (SPC) for the reconsideration of the Site Access Restrictions (Condition 5) on 9 March 2021?*

A2 Subsequent to the City’s recommendation to the WAPC on the subdivision application, a development (planning) application was received that proposed a left-out access (that is, exit only) onto Currambine Boulevard which was assessed to be suitable given the restricted movement. In this context only, the City was supportive of the reconsideration of the access restriction placed on the subdivision approval.

The City did not recommend an access restriction on Connolly Drive and therefore did not object to the removal of the restriction to this road.

Q3 *What explanation can the City of Joondalup give residents for placing the New Coles Shopping Centre planning out for Public Consultation on 22/01/2021 with the Restrictive Covenant (Condition 5) already removed from the Coles Planning – exactly 47 days before that Restrictive access / Condition 5) was placed before the SPC for Reconsideration?*

A3 The subdivision process and the development (planning) application process are independent processes that can be run in parallel.

Statutory timeframes apply to planning applications, which in this case is a Joint Development Assessment Panel (JDAP) application, and the City was required to advertise the application when it did in order to meet those timeframes.

Q4 *Can the City of Joondalup explain how these contradictory positions line up with the City's Primary Values: to be Transparent, Accountable, Honest, Ethical, Respectful, Sustainable and Professional in its dealings with residents?*

A4 The City has followed the required statutory processes under both the subdivision process and planning application process, noting that in both processes, the City does not determine either application in this instance.

Q5 *How may a resident of the City of Joondalup access the Traffic Report(s) that underpinned the decision making on the application and reconsideration of Condition 5?*

A5 Requests for supporting information that accompany subdivision applications, including applications for reconsideration, should be directed to the WAPC as the responsible authority for such applications.

Ms J Quan, Edgewater:

Re: Ordinary Council Meetings.

Q1 *How many people registered to make a statement at each Ordinary Meeting in the last 12 months?*

A1 The registration details are as follows:

ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING DATE	NO. STATEMENTS REGISTERED
18 February 2020	12
17 March 2020	5
21 April 2020	15 statements received in writing and included in Council Minutes.
19 May 2020	9 statements received in writing and included in Council Minutes.
23 June 2020	7
21 July 2020	11
18 August 2020	18
15 September 2020	4

ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING DATE	NO. STATEMENTS REGISTERED
20 October 2020	11
17 November 2020	8
8 December 2020	19
16 February 2021	16
16 March 2021	13

Q2 *How many minutes did the question time take in each Ordinary Meeting in the last 12 months?*

A2 The length of time can be determined by listening to the audio recordings that are available on the City's website.

Q3 *Which specific clause in which local government law bans clapping in the council chamber during a Council meeting?*

A3 Prevention of disturbances at meetings is detailed in clause 5.17 of the City's *Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*.

Re: *Waste Collections.*

Q4 *What is the hard waste collections tonnage and expenditures along with green waste collection tonnages and expenditures been in the past 10 years?*

A4 Council, at its meeting held on 16 March 2021, requested a comprehensive report on the City's Bulk Hard and Bulk Green Waste Services and such information will be considered as part of that report.

Q5 *Why is the help to lift waste from verge into the skip bin for needed people not advertised on the website, but only in the FAQ, which is a pdf attachment?*

A5 The following extract is from the City of Joondalup's website on the page relating to Bulk Hard Waste Collection Service:

"The on-request service allows residents to book skip bins for a time when friends, family and neighbours are able to assist, if required. However, the City understands that there may be exceptional circumstances where residents have a genuine need for additional assistance. If this situation occurs, you are encouraged to speak with the City's Waste team to discuss other options available."

Mrs Z Murphy, Edgewater:

Re: *Housing Opportunity Areas.*

Q1 *At which Strategy Session was it decided that the current Housing Opportunity Areas would be the City's infill areas prior to coming to Council for a vote?*

A1 No decisions are made by elected members at Strategy Sessions in regard to matters that are presented from time to time. Matters around Housing Opportunity Areas have been determined by Council at various meetings.

Q2 *Who were present at these Strategy Sessions?*

A2 All elected members are available to attend Strategy Sessions and may or may not attend depending upon their individual availability or circumstances.

Q3 *Which Members voted for and against this Housing Opportunity Area infill strategy when it came before Council?*

A3 Details on voting is listed in the Council minutes available on the City's website.

The Mayor invited Electors to move motions, ask questions or make statements and commenced by inviting those Electors who had registered to move motions on the 'Order of Speakers' register to come forward when called.

Ms Samantha Broadhurst, Joondalup:

MOVED Ms Samantha Broadhurst, SECONDED Ms Amy Stewart that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council:

- 1 investigate installing a playground in Nanika Park, Joondalup to meet the needs of surrounding families who are well outside the standard walkable catchment for playground facilities;**
- 2 list funding the provision of a playground in Nanika Park in the City's Capital Works program.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mr Anthony Lenzarini, Hillarys:

MOVED Mr Anthony Lenzarini, SECONDED Dr Peter Turner that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council in an effort to protect pedestrian movement and improve road safety and reduce traffic noise to adjacent residents, advocates to Main Roads WA to establish a maximum 50 kilometres per hour speed limit along all City of Joondalup roads adjoining the foreshore between the suburbs of Burns Beach and Marmion.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mr Adrian Hill, Burns Beach:

MOVED Mr Adrian Hill, SECONDED Mr David Hudson that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council considers rescinding the decision made in the July 2020 Council Meeting for Glyphosate spraying signage to remain in place for 24 hours after spraying, subject to using a marker dye that remains visible for at least three days. The reason for this motion is that the City of Joondalup displays signage on the day of spraying, uses blue marker dye, and provides a web page that provides detailed locations and schedules for all pesticide use, including Glyphosate. Leaving the signage in place for 24 hours after spraying is not justified. Furthermore, this decision has resulted in a substantial increase in costs for the ratepayers across the City with no tangible or measured benefit. We consider that this Council decision was outside and beyond the scope of the Glyphosate petition and was made without consideration or knowledge of the resulting cost impost on ratepayers.

The Motion was Put and

LOST

Ms Jacqueline Hope, Beldon:

Q1 Does the marker dye have any carcinogenic properties similar to glyphosate?

A1 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised the question would be taken on notice.

Q2 The \$15,000 spent on signage would it not be better to spend these funds on using a product that is not as dangerous as glyphosate?

A2 Mayor Jacob advised that glyphosate is the most cost effective measure and other measures being trialled around the City are more expensive.

Mayor Jacob advised the intent of the motion is to reduce the Specific Area Rates (SAR) in those areas who have received an increase in recent times to meet the new requirements relating to the set up and removal of signage for weed management in SAR areas.

Q3 Is the spraying of weeds undertaken by City employees or external contractors?

A3 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised due to the size of the areas weed management is being contracted out, however City staff will undertake spraying from time to time.

The Manager Strategic and Organisational Development left the Chamber at 6.18pm.

Mr Martin Dickie, Duncraig:

MOVED Mr Martin Dickie, SECONDED Mr Michael Dowling that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council conduct a comprehensive study for the conversion of its vehicle fleet to electric vehicles, including running and repair costs of each type of vehicle compared to the current fleet, using both vehicles anticipated to be available in Australia in the next few years as well as those currently in the market.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mr Don Poynton, Connolly:

MOVED Mr Don Poynton, SECONDED Ms Mary O’Byrne that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council review its decision CJ019-02/16 made on 2 February 2016, so that the City has discretionary power to allow Containers for Cash collection bins to be installed on council property including land leased by the City to third parties and land managed by the City.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Governance Officer entered the Chamber at 6.32pm.

Dr Tim Green, Padbury:

MOVED Dr Tim Green, SECONDED Ms Fay Gilbert that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the City to immediately commence a full strategic review of the City’s Planning Framework including the City’s approach to infill in the Housing Opportunity Areas and elsewhere, applying all relevant and current State Planning Policy. This review should include:

- 1 a total review of the City of Joondalup Local Planning Strategy;**
- 2 a total review of the City of Joondalup Local Housing Strategy;**
- 3 a total review of the City of Joondalup Local Commercial Strategy.**

These reviews will then inform a review of the City of Joondalup *Local Planning Scheme No. 3*.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Fay Gilbert, Kallaroo:

MOVED Ms Fay Gilbert, SECONDED Mr Michael Moore that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the Planning Decision Makers / Planning Assessor to provide written explanations of how each design principle has been met and how a better built form outcome has been achieved. This is to apply to all Development Applications including those done under Delegated Authority and those in Responsible Authority Reports and in Reports to Council. These explanations to be provided in Plain English and not ‘Planning Speak’ from April 2021 and via the following methods:

- 1 on the publicly searchable database of Development Approvals;**
- 2 in any report provided to the Council for determination of development applications;**
- 3 in any Responsible Authority Report.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mrs Susan Boylan, Mullaloo:

MOVED Mrs Susan Boylan, SECONDED Mr Peter Westcott that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council reject the Business Plan for the Ocean Reef Marina on behalf of the residents of Joondalup and engage an independent agency to evaluate the current risk financially, environmentally and socially associated which should also include the incorporation of the \$5.5 million sunk cost into the business plan so that a true reflection of the economic burden of this development is made to the ratepayers and decision makers with the current development now owned by Development WA.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mrs Carolyn Baldwin, Iluka:

Q1 Why does the City value the 24.4 hectare Bush Forever site (1029) at one dollar, when it is rich with flora and fauna?

A1 Mayor Jacob advised the transaction is more of a land swap than selling the site for a dollar. The City would gain the management order over the club site which will be one of the first activity nodes, as well as various other benefits including small sites which would fall within the development. These benefits are outlined in the Business Plan and the Heads of Agreement.

Q2 What will be gained from the sale of the Bush Forever site 1029, in respect to residents, flora and fauna and various endangered species?

A2 Mayor Jacob responded that over the past fifteen years residents and ratepayers have repeatedly been asking for the development of a marina in Ocean Reef and its improved amenity, and this project is supported by the vast majority of the community.

Mayor Jacob advised the environmental management of the site is being dealt with by DevelopmentWA and is not a process the City oversees; however, it has been assessed at the highest level of environmental assessment in the State.

Ms Penelope Scull, Beldon:

Q1 If the City is hesitant to negotiate with the State on a fair market price for Lots 1032 and 1029, and does not seek an independent review of the Business Plan, then how much faith can residents have in the City to strive for the best overall outcome for residents?

A1 Mayor Jacob advised that the site consideration is more than the one dollar amount involved in the project, such as the management order in perpetuity over the first site which is an importantly located site. Mayor Jacob stated there is also a commitment of approximately \$6.5 million to go into the new facility within the development site.

Cr Thompson left the Chamber at 7.09pm.

Ms Shailee Desai, Iluka:

Q1 Has the \$5.5 million sunk cost been calculated into the business plan?

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised the \$5.5 million sunk cost is mentioned in the business plan however the cash projections only consider future cash flows.

Cr Thompson entered the Chamber at 7.12pm.

Mr Daniel Kingston, Edgewater:

MOVED Mr Daniel Kingston, SECONDED Ms Beth Hewitt that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the City to create a new Council Policy: “Elected Members Access to Information” and present it back to the Council for approval by May 2021. The intent of the Policy is to ensure that:

- 1 the City of Joondalup Elected Members must generally be able to access any record held by the City of Joondalup;**
- 2 all information, including records and documents, held by the City of Joondalup may be relevant to performance of an Elected Member of the City of Joondalup and will generally be provided upon request unless subject to legislated privacy and confidentiality provisions such as tender documents and recruitment processes;**
- 3 records are as defined by the State Records Act;**
- 4 where information is not provided to Elected Members, a reason must be given and the item referred to the Governance Committee within 14 days;**
- 5 where no reason or information has been provided, the item is to be addressed by the Governance Committee within 21 days after the information was first sought by the Elected Member;**
- 6 matters so referred to the Governance Committee will be included in an annex to the City’s Annual Report.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Director Governance and Strategy left the Chamber at 7.19pm.

Ms Jane Kung, Edgewater:

MOVED Ms Jane Kung, SECONDED Ms Elaine Chatterton that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the administration to note and act on the following in respect of the Quarry area of Edgewater:

- 1 to abide by the recent consultation result where the community clearly said that Quarry Park and its surrounds should remain as public open space and be improved as public open space;**
- 2 to acknowledge that the area is a park and a conservation zone and that it will remain as such;**
- 3 to immediately start improving this area as public open space;**
- 4 that the community be meaningfully consulted with, on any future improvement proposals in an unbiased and transparent way;**
- 5 that any improvements should enhance and protect the surrounding bushland including St Clair Park;**
- 6 that any improvements are in the interest of the local and broader communities as well as the natural environment;**
- 7 that any improvements should be freely accessible to all members of the community for passive recreational use.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Hollywood left the Chamber at 7.21pm and returned at 7.24pm.

The Director Governance and Strategy entered the Chamber at 7.21pm.

Cr Logan left the Chamber at 7.26pm.

Ms Elena Kuznetsova, Currambine:

MOVED Ms Elena Kuznetsova, SECONDED Ms Michele Kwok that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that the City carry out hydrothermal trials on a larger area for a more accurate representation of the cost, to include at a minimum 10% or up to 20% of the usual spray area.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Taylor left the Chamber at 7.27pm and returned at 7.31pm.

Cr Jones left the Chamber at 7.30pm and returned at 7.35pm.

Cr Logan entered the Chamber at 7.31pm.

The Director Planning and Community Development left the Chamber at 7.31pm and returned at 7.33pm.

The Manager Governance left the Chamber at 7.32pm and returned at 7.35pm.

Cr Raftis left the Chamber at 7.36pm.

Mr Michael Moore, Edgewater:

MOVED Mr Michael Moore, SECONDED Ms Jane Kung that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Briefing Session agendas be provided to Elected Members and published publicly seven calendar days before Briefing Sessions, so Elected Members and the public have sufficient time to read and understand the agenda items before the Briefing Session.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Raftis entered the Chamber at 7.39pm.

Mayor Jacob left the Chamber at 7.41pm and the Deputy Mayor, Cr Fishwick assumed the Chair.

Ms Beth Hewitt, Edgewater:

MOVED Ms Beth Hewitt, SECONDED Mr Daniel Kingston that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the City that all motions from the Electors AGM are addressed fully and separately with individual reports and are voted on separately when presented to Council.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mayor Jacob returned to the Chamber at 7.46pm and resumed the Chair.

Mr Malcolm Smeal, Kallaroo:

MOVED Mr Malcolm Smeal, SECONDED Dr Tim Green that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council immediately instruct the City to repeat the 2009 Housing Intentions Survey across all ratepayers. The new survey should include additional questions on the amenity that residents derive from:

- 1 car use and parking;**
- 2 use of a backyard;**
- 3 trees and landscaping.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mr Andy Murphy, Edgewater:

MOVED Mr Andy Murphy, SECONDED Mrs Ziggi Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council cap the term of elected members to a max of two terms that being a total altogether of 8 years. This should start immediately and include past and present time served. The next election date in October 2021 would therefore open more positions to the community and allow for new faces and ideas, growth and involvement by residents in Council decisions and vision.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Jones left the Chamber at 7.57pm.

Mr Steve Evans, Kallaroo:

MOVED Mr Steve Evans, SECONDED Mr Campbell Nunn that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that the scope of community consultation be broadened and tightened, in order to improve community engagement and Council decision making processes, and that the decision making process be made more visible and transparent to ratepayers. Council instructs the City in relation to significant capital works:

- 1 to provide, to all residents that may be directly or indirectly impacted by proposed works, and publish on both the Council Website and on signage at the location of the proposed works, a detailed site plan overlaid on coloured aerial imagery of the existing area, showing the proposed works;**
- 2 to calculate and provide to all such residents and publish as above, the (square metre) area of undeveloped land which will be developed by the proposed works;**
- 3 to collect and provide to Councillors comprehensive details of public comments received in relation to proposed works, including both comments received and numerical analysis of ratepayer support/objection, a minimum of 30 days prior to seeking budget approval for said works;**

- 4** to ensure that significant capital works projects are both presented and voted for individually, and to publish on a 'How they Voted' link on the City website homepage, a simple matrix showing which Councillors voted for or against which project.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Robyn Murphy, Marmion:

MOVED Ms Robyn Murphy, SECONDED Ms Mary O'Byrne that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council maintain the Crown Land comprising the entirety of the existing Percy Doyle Reserve (approximately 22.83 ha) and the northwest corner Lot 14077 for the ratepayers for civic and community use only, including public open space, sporting and recreation use, community buildings to be owned and managed by the City, the public library and bushland in perpetuity on behalf of the ratepayers of the City of Joondalup.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mrs Ziggi Murphy, Edgewater:

MOVED Mrs Ziggi Murphy, SECONDED Mr Andy Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council refrains from leasing out any further council buildings to private organisations or religious groups for their exclusive use and sub-leasing out at commercial rates and that the council retains the council property in good condition for the enjoyment of all residents of the City of Joondalup as was their objective and intended purpose.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Governance Coordinator left the Chamber at 8.17pm and returned at 8.21pm.

Cr Chester left the Chamber at 8.25pm.

Ms Carolyn Hollick, Edgewater:

MOVED Ms Carolyn Hollick, SECONDED Ms Beth Hewitt that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council make public access ways eligible to be included in the pesticide exclusion register, and to allow residents the option to plant and maintain native shrubs along public accessways.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Chester entered the Chamber at 8.32pm.

The Director Planning and Community Development left the Chamber at 8.32pm and returned at 8.34pm.

Ms Emily Oliveira, Kinross:

MOVED Ms Emily Oliveira, SECONDED Ms Michele Kwok that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council enable residents who experience adverse medical reactions to weed control chemicals, a ‘no spray buffer zone’ of 100 metres from their place of residence.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr McLean left the Chamber at 8.46pm.

Ms Shailee Desai, Iluka:

Q1 Does the City have statistics on how many complaints have been received from residents and their medical professionals and will the City use that information going forward to incorporate good decision making in managing the adverse effects of glyphosate and potential lawsuits?

A1 Mayor Jacob acknowledged Ms Desai’s question.

Mr Mitch Sideris, Mullaloo:

Q1 What control mechanisms does the City have in place with weed spraying contractors for safe application of herbicides?

A1 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised the City has a number Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) that contractors are required to follow, including; maintaining a spray log with information relating to when and where they have applied the product, how much chemical treatment was used in the area, and the wind speed at the time of spraying.

Q2 Has the City actually reviewed the contractors spray logs to ensure that Key Performance Indicators s are being met?

A2 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised the question would be taken on notice.

Ms Michele Kwok, Ocean Reef:

MOVED Ms Michele Kwok, SECONDED Mr Mike Norman that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council:

- 1 does not replace the use of Glyphosate with other chemical herbicides;**
- 2 move to the use of manual weeding, brush cutting, mowing and / or hydrothermal where Glyphosate has been previously generally used;**
- 3 schedule the timing of weeding and availability of resources, staff and contractors, to control as much weed presence as possible before seeds set.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Media Advisor left the Chamber at 8.48pm.

Cr McLean entered the Chamber at 8.49pm.

Ms Mary O’Byrne, Kinross:

MOVED Ms Mary O’Byrne, SECONDED Ms Beth Hewitt that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council:

- 1 works in conjunction with its peak body, the West Australian Local Government Association; the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Activities and Health WA; the West Australian Electoral Commission; and Australia Post to achieve the necessary changes to the current postal voting system to maximise the postal ballot return on 16 October 2021;**
- 2 seek to extend the period available for package production, distribution and return and institute a means of adequately dealing with a COVID-19 induced lockdown which prevents residents from posting back their ballots or attending the in-person voting location.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Media Advisor entered the Chamber at 8.53pm.

Mr Martin Dickie, Duncraig:

MOVED Mr Martin Dickie, SECONDED Mr Michael Dowling that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council include verbal and written Recognition of Traditional Owners prior to each meeting of Council and all Committees, to be based on the Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians on page five of this year’s Annual Report.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mrs Susan Boylan, Mullaloo:

MOVED Mrs Susan Boylan, SECONDED Ms Nannette Brammer that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council immediately commence a comprehensive survey of all residents in Joondalup about the Ocean Reef development with the necessary details of the latest concept plan, including the housing development, the loss of bush forever and reef system, the effects to Mullaloo Beach and the ownership of the project now belonging to Development WA.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Governance Coordinator left the Chamber at 9.05pm and returned at 9.07pm.

Mr Mitch Sideris, Mullaloo:

Q1 *Will the City once again make public the un-edited Ocean Reef Marina survey results?*

A1 Mayor Jacob acknowledged Mr Sideris' question.

Ms Carolyn Baldwin, Iluka:

Q1 *Why did the City of Joondalup not take into consideration the results of the 2018 Coastal Values survey where the majority of ratepayers and residents objected to coastal development and wanted to keep natural areas and access to the coast and beaches?*

A1 Mayor Jacob advised the survey being referred to was not related to the Ocean Reef Marina, but the entire coast line.

Q2 *Why does the City continue to develop on coastal areas predicted to be inundated within the next 100 years?*

A2 Mayor Jacob advised some of the areas being developed are nodes and the projected inundation barriers are considered during the planning process.

Mr Peter Westcott, Ocean Reef:

Q1 *Can the City provide evidence to confirm that Lots 1029 and 1032, Ocean Reef were sold in accordance with the Local Government Act 1995 (Act) and relevant Regulations for disposal of land?*

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised as outlined in the Business Plan, the City has complied with Section 3.59 of the Act, and the public notice for the proposed disposal of the major land transactions is currently out for public comment.

Q2 *Do elected members or senior employees of the City have any conflict of interest associated with the Ocean Reef Marina project?*

A2 Mayor Jacob advised all declarations of interest are recorded on the public record.

Ms Marie MacDonald, Mullaloo:

Q1 *Does the City have the updated version of the 2016 Coastal Processes Management Plan?*

A1 Mayor Jacob advised the *Coastal Processes Management Plan* was approved last month by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and suggests that the question be directed to DevelopmentWA as the proponent.

Mr Michael Moore, Edgewater:

MOVED Mr Michael Moore, SECONDED Dr Tim Green that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that the City requests the State to indemnify it against claims for damages due to adverse impacts of the urban heat island effect.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Beth Hewitt, Edgewater:

MOVED Ms Beth Hewitt, SECONDED Mr Daniel Kingston that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the City to create a new Council Tree Protection Policy informed by City of Stirling's, the Towns of Vincent's and Victoria Park's, and is based on ensuring that:

- 1 that all trees over 10m in height be determined to be "significant" trees;**
- 2 all "significant" trees are given a financial value: the larger the tree the higher the value, the greater the penalty from its removal;**
- 3 that all trees in developments, parks and public space be retained and the City planners prioritise the retention of urban tree canopies in all development applications and its own parks and public spaces;**
- 4 the tree removal on public and private property be minimised where unavoidable;**
- 5 that any trees removed be replaced with native and preferably indigenous species trees that have the potential to grow to provide the same tree canopy as that which was removed;**
- 6 that trees planted by the City are cared for with watering and monitoring for at least 5 years to ensure they thrive, and if they fail to grow, or die, they must be replaced;**
- 7 that individual landholders may not remove significant trees from their property without applying for permission from the City and providing evidence of real need to remove the tree. Any tree removed must then be replaced. If not possible within the property, then within the streetscape or a nearby park at the expense of those applying to have the original tree cut down;**
- 8 that significant fines be applied to protect "significant" trees from being cut down without approval from the City;**
- 9 that the city plans more destination parks, with dense tree cover and gardens, not just grass and lawns;**
- 10 the City takes advantage of all opportunities provided through State and Federal Government initiatives to plant more trees.**

The Policy is to be presented to the Council at the Ordinary Meeting of Council at May 2021 for their approval.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Chief Executive Officer left the Chamber at 9.37pm and returned at 9.40pm.

Mr Andy Murphy, Edgewater:

MOVED Mr Andy Murphy, SECONDED Mrs Ziggi Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Elected Members have advertised ward surgery times monthly during their term (not just pre-election) to be available for community members to air concerns and to be updated on important ward / City issues. These ward surgeries could be held in community club rooms or centres and alternate between suburbs of each ward and advertised in local papers and resident association publications.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Mayor Jacob left the Chamber at 9.41pm and the Deputy Mayor, Cr Fishwick assumed the Chair. Mayor Jacob returned to the Chamber at 9.44pm and resumed the Chair.

Mrs Ziggi Murphy, Edgewater:

MOVED Mrs Ziggi Murphy, SECONDED Mr Andy Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council in order to offset rate rises and minimise the city spread, all prime commercial areas be given specific commercial or business designation and as such require mandatory commercial rates without regard for the type of business or organisation using that area.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ms Fay Gilbert, Kallaroo:

MOVED Ms Fay Gilbert, SECONDED Ms Beth Hewitt that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council instructs the City when assessing development applications which do not meet the deemed to comply pathway and require a judgement or discretion against a design principles that schedule 2, part 9 clause 67 (m) and (n) of the Planning Regulations be given greater weighting / priority in the assessment process being:

- 1 clause (m), the compatibility of the development with its setting including the relationship of the development to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the development;**
- 2 clause (n), the amenity of the locality including the following:**
 - (i) environmental impacts of the development;**
 - (ii) the character of the locality;**
 - (iii) social impacts of the development.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The following summarised questions were submitted verbally during General Business at the Annual General Meeting:

Mr Michael Dowling, Woodvale:

Re: Climate Emergency Declaration.

Q1 I request the City show leadership and urgently make a climate emergency declaration and do all things it can to achieve a goal of zero emissions in all the ways in which it has responsibility, and I ask Council to seek a report as soon as possible on making the declaration so that it can help guide strategic planning?

A1 Mayor Jacob acknowledged Mr Dowling's position and would take it on board.

Ms Nannette Brammer, Iluka:

Re: Ocean Reef Marina Project.

Q1 Where is the website link to the 2015 Heritage Report that was provided by Aboriginal Tribal elders relating to the Ocean Reef Marina project?

A1 Mayor Jacob advised that the question would be taken on notice.

Cr Hollywood left the Chamber at 9.59pm.

Ms Ellen Ender, Padbury:

Re: Glyphosate.

Q1 Why did the City decide to do a 'soft launch' on the upgraded Pesticide User Notification register?

A1 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised that the soft launch of the register on the City's public website provided an opportunity for the City to test its registration system to ensure its effectiveness prior to promoting the register more broadly.

Mr Mitch Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: Mullaloo Coastal Foreshore Dune Area Fencing.

Q1 When will the City develop a policy or a strategy for identifying the coastal foreshore dune area for low cost but effective fencing for Mullaloo?

A1 The Manager Infrastructure Management Services advised that the City has a number of foreshore management plans, however with regard to Mullaloo area the question would be taken on notice.

Cr Fishwick left the Chamber at 10.02pm.

Ms Marie Macdonald, Mullaloo:

Re: Dune Fencing and Insurance.

Q1 Does the City have the relevant insurance cover if a member of the public was injured due to fencing that is covered by sand drift along the coast?

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised that the City has full comprehensive insurance cover.

Q2 Does the insurance cover for an incident that could have been prevented?

A2 The Director Corporate Services advised that the City's insurance will cover for people on public land who are injured through an act or non-act of the City.

Cr Fishwick entered the Chamber at 10.05pm.

Ms Marilyn Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

Re: Annual Community Grants.

Q1 Will the annual grants to the Friends Bushcare Groups be increased in this years budget in line with CPI?

A1 Mayor Jacob advised that this request will be considered by Council as part of the annual budget process.

Q2 Will Council consider increasing the budget for the natural areas team that manage the 500 hectares of coastal vegetation and bushland, to allow for better management of introduced animals and onground works?

A2 Mayor Jacob advised that this request will be considered by Council as part of the annual budget process.

The following summarised statements were submitted verbally during General Business at the Annual General Meeting:

Ms Marilyn Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

Re: City of Joondalup Volunteer Desk.

Ms Zakrevsky sought clarification on the location of the volunteer desk that was previously located at the Joondalup Library. The Director of Planning and Community Development advised that the volunteer desk is now located at the Whitfords Library.

Ms Zakrevsky implored the City to do more to promote the local friends groups and equally acknowledge their work in the community.

Ms Robyn Murphy, Marmion:

Re: Duncraig Leisure Centre.

Ms Murphy stated that the 18 August 2020 decision to privatise the operation of the Duncraig Leisure Centre was made without any community consultation. Ms Murphy advised that this decision has impacted former longstanding users of the facility who have since left the Centre.

Ms Ellen Ender, Padbury:

Re: Pesticide Exclusion and Upgraded Notification Registers.

Ms Ender requested the City to advertise through their approved communication channels, the Pesticide Exclusion Register and upgraded Pesticide User Notification Register so that community members can make a decision on available options.

Mr Mitch Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: Access to Information.

Mr Sideris implored Council and City administration to adhere to the the *Disability Discrimination Act 1992* by ensuring that information at Council meetings is available in alternative formats.

Cr Taylor left the Chamber at 10.14pm.

CLOSURE

Mayor Jacob advised that all motions carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors would be referred to Council for consideration at its proposed meeting to be held on Tuesday 18 May 2021.

There being no further business, Mayor Jacob declared the Annual General Meeting of Electors closed at 10.15pm. The following Elected Members were present at that time:

MAYOR HON. ALBERT JACOB, JP
CR JOHN CHESTER
CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP
CR JOHN LOGAN
CR TOM McLEAN, JP
CR JOHN RAFTIS
CR SUZANNE THOMPSON




Gaps and Overlaps in Playspace Provision

Legend

- Parks with playspace
- Parks with no playspace
- Properties not serviced by playspace
- Suburb Boundary w/Non-serviced property count
- 400m Catchment of park with playspace
- Area serviced by a Playspace
- Nanika Park





Potential Parks for new Playspace

- Legend**
- Parks with playspace
 - Parks with no playspace
 - 400m walkable catchment of park w/no playspace (33)
 - Walkable Catchment (400m) - Current Playspace Project
 - Properties not serviced by playspace
 - Top10
 - Suburb Boundary w/Non-serviced property count
 - 400m Catchment of park with playspace
 - Area serviced by a Playspace
 - Nanika Park



DISCLAIMER: While every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of this data, the City of Joondalup makes no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose and disclaims all liability for all expenses, losses, damages, and costs which you might incur as a result of the data being inaccurate or incomplete in any way and for any reason.

Upgraded Public Access Way

