

minutes

Annual General Meeting of Electors

MEETING HELD ON

TUESDAY 8 FEBRUARY 2022

This document is available in alternate formats upon request

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP ON TUESDAY 8 FEBRUARY 2022

OPEN AND WELCOME

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 5.30pm.

ATTENDANCES

Mayor:

HON. ALBERT JACOB, JP

Councillors:

CR TOM MCLEAN, JP	North Ward	
CR ADRIAN HILL	North Ward	
CR NIGE JONES	North Central Ward	
CR DANIEL KINGSTON	North Central Ward	
CR RUSSELL POLIWKA	Central Ward	
CR CHRISTOPHER MAY	Central Ward	<i>from 6.30pm</i>
CR SUZANNE THOMPSON	South Ward	<i>absent from 7.02pm to 7.03pm</i>
CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP	South Ward	
CR JOHN RAFTIS	South-West Ward	
CR CHRISTINE HAMILTON-PRIME, JP	South-West Ward	
CR JOHN LOGAN	South-East Ward	<i>absent from 6.29pm to 6.34pm</i>
CR JOHN CHESTER	South-East Ward	

Officers:

MR JAMES PEARSON	Chief Executive Officer	
MR JAMIE PARRY	Director Governance and Strategy	<i>absent from 6.46pm to 6.47pm</i>
MR CHRIS LEIGH	Director Planning and Community Development	
MR NICO CLAASSEN	Director Infrastructure Services	
MR MAT HUMFREY	Director Corporate Services	
MRS KYLIE BERGMANN	Manager Governance	<i>absent from 5.54pm to 5.57pm</i>
MR GRAEME CATCHPOLE	Acting Manager Planning Services	
MRS VIVIENNE STAMPALIJA	Governance Coordinator	
MR STUART MCLEA	Media and Communications Officer	
MRS WENDY COWLEY	Governance Officer	
MRS DEBORAH GOUGES	Governance Officer	
MRS NATASHA MOSSMAN	Governance Officer	

CONTENTS OF THE 2020-21 ANNUAL REPORT

The Mayor advised that the purpose of the Annual General Meeting of Electors was to discuss the contents of the [Annual Report](#) and raise any general business that Electors may have. In accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995*, Council adopted the Annual Report at its meeting held on 21 December 2021 (JSC04-12/21 refers).

The following questions in respect of the 2020-21 Annual Report were asked at the meeting:

B Hewitt, Edgewater:

Re: Edgewater Quarry Park.

Q1 *Why does the annual report not mention the community rejecting the proposed development of Edgewater Quarry Park?*

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised Council resolved to suspend the project pending the outcome of an environmental report which is currently being produced and a report will be brought to Council in due course.

Q2 *When will the City provide a full report disclosing the level of asbestos contamination at Edgewater Quarry Park?*

A2 The Director Infrastructure Services advised the consultants are engaged with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and anticipates a report to Council in the first part of the calendar year.

R Roussel, Currambine:

Re: Ocean Reef Shopping Centre.

Q1 *What is the plan for the shopping centre on Venturi Drive, Ocean Reef?*

A1 The Director Planning and Community Development advised the City was yet to receive a formal application to develop the site.

D Luketin, Padbury (representing Windsurfing WA):

Re: Pinnaroo Point Development.

Q1 *Would the City consider Windsurfing WA's proposal for a small change in the phase three parking plan to accommodate the windsurfing community?*

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised there was still an opportunity for members of the public to write to the City to make their opinions known.

The Director Infrastructure Services confirmed in the Council approved project the number of car parking bays was to be increased and would coincide with the development of the site.

Mayor Jacob advised the resident to email the City at info@joondalup.wa.gov.au and himself Mayor.Jacob@joondalup.wa.gov.au so that Windsurfing WA can be engaged with as a group in the planning of the site's car parking area.

P Ryan, Mullaloo:

Re: Pinnaroo Point Development.

Q1 Has the City received my suggestions in relation to the Pinnaroo Point Development carparking, as I have not received feedback?

A1 The Director Infrastructure Services advised he was not aware of Mr Ryan's suggestions however would investigate them and suggested Mr Ryan email him at info@joondalup.wa.gov.au and the suggestions would be considered.

GENERAL BUSINESS

The Mayor commented that any questions of a general nature raised at the Annual General Meeting of Electors would be responded to and questions requiring a more detailed response would be taken on notice.

The following questions were submitted prior to the Annual General Meeting of Electors:

J Kung, Edgewater:

Re: Edgewater Quarry – Community Consultation.

Q1 Has Quarry Park been investigated for contamination, asbestos or otherwise?

A1 An initial contamination assessment was carried out on the site in 2014. The City is currently liaising with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation to finalise the requirements for a further contamination investigation.

Q2 What is the status of the Edgewater Quarry Community Reference Group?

A2 At its special meeting held on 1 November 2021 (JSC03-11/21 refers), Council agreed the Edgewater Quarry Community Reference Group would not be re-established at that time.

Q3 *The Edgewater Quarry site is still included in the Annual Report (Facilitation of Local and International Investment) as a key location with potential for investment, despite the community's strong preference for that area to remain as public open space. Is this an oversight in the report or is the Edgewater Quarry site still being touted as an investment opportunity?*

A3 As agreed by Council at its meeting held on 16 March 2021 (CJ040-03/21 refers), a report will be presented on the results of the contamination investigation and its impact on the future of the Edgewater Quarry. At this stage no further actions are being undertaken regarding future development options for the Edgewater Quarry site.

Re: *Residential Subdivision.*

Q4 *Where a residential building is being demolished in order to subdivide, is there a requirement for the developer to remove any asbestos fencing and replace it with a safe option?*

A4 There is no legislative requirement to remove an asbestos fence as part of a demolition.

Q5 *Where a subdivision has taken place and a new residence is established, does the City follow up on any tree planting requirements?*

A5 It is the applicant's and owner's responsibility to ensure compliance with the conditions and requirements of approvals issued for a development, including the planting of trees when required, however the City does have the ability to investigate potential compliance matters when concerns are raised.

P Ryan, Mullaloo:

Re: *Proposed Tavern at Pinnaroo Point.*

Q1 *Please advise whether the Western Australian Planning Commission Director General and the Corporate Executive have responded to the WAPC Statutory Planning Committee members concern and has the City of Joondalup been involved in those investigations?*

A1 The City has not been contacted nor is aware of any investigations being undertaken by the Western Australian Planning Commission in relation to the development approval granted at Pinnaroo Point.

Q2 *Why didn't the City of Joondalup classify the development application as "complex"?*

A2 The subject site is reserved 'Parks and Recreation' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme. As such, the application was not subject to Local Planning Scheme No. 3 or the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations) including the consultation requirements for a 'complex' application.

The consultation for the proposal was guided by the City's *Planning Consultation Local Planning Policy* and included letters sent directly to residents up to 370 metres from the development site. This exceeds the provisions for 'complex' applications as defined by the Regulations which requires letters to be sent to properties up to 200 metres of the development site which would have resulted in a letter being sent to only one residential property.

Q3 *Please explain why the Western Australian Planning Commission File number 34-50200-1 receipt date of 21/07/2021 preceded the completion of the City of Joondalup D/A 21/01725 14-day advertising and consultation period from 08/12/2021 to 23/09/2021, hence it was RECOMMENDED for approval by City of Joondalup before it conducted mandatory public consultation?*

A3 In accordance with the Metropolitan Region Scheme, upon receipt of a complete development (planning) application, a local government must refer a copy of the application and all supporting information to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) as the determining authority within seven days.

The City provided the application package to the WAPC on 20 July 2021 in accordance with this requirement. This did not include the City's recommendation on the proposal.

The City then undertook the process of assessment and consultation, and subsequently provided a recommendation on the application to the WAPC on 12 October 2021.

Q4 *Why does a discrepancy exist between the PlanWA lease outline and area and the development outline and area and how does the development overlapping the lease boundary impact the City's legal position with regards to predicted inundation due to sea level rise?*

A4 In accordance with condition 3 of the Development Approval all development is required to be contained within the lease area.

Q5 *Why does the City of Joondalup public website not contain development application records including: DA21/0725 City of Joondalup e-applications - service search application; "Disposal of Land" tabulated public consultation records, up-to-date CHRMAP containing reference to the COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OUTCOMES REPORT 2018 and other evidence to meet SPP 2.6 - 4.6.1 public interest requirement for community and stakeholder engagement; "DA21/0725" tabulated public consultation records; or any other records?*

A5 The submissions received to the public notice period in relation to the Disposal of Land are summarised in a report considered by Council on 17 November 2020 (CJ166-11/20 refers). The minutes of this meeting are available on the City's website.

The CHRMAP is not intended to be a public document and was utilised for the creation of the area for entertainment/commercial purposes. It was then provided to the City as part of the development application process and sent to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage for consideration in its decision-making.

A schedule of submissions summary for the Development Application was included as an attachment to the Statutory Planning Committee report and is available on the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage's website.

Plans and supporting information associated with development applications can only be included on the City's website for the purposes of public consultation. Unless included on a public agenda (such as Council or WAPC) plans and supporting information cannot be provided for applications that have been determined.

N Dangar, Beldon:

Re: Annual Returns and Gift Donation Register.

Q1 According to the Local Government Act the ratepayer may request copies of the Members Annual Returns but today, at the last moment only just obtained, so please in the interest of transparency will the City of Joondalup consider printing them within the City of Joondalup Annual Report along with the Financial Reports?

A1 The City meets its legislative obligations with regard to clause 29C of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 and s5.96A(1)(i) of the Local Government Act 1995 in relation to publishing Annual and Primary Return information on its official website.

These returns are available for inspection by members of the public.

Given the accessibility of Annual and Primary Return information and the significant number of Returns it is not proposed to publish this information in full within the City's Annual Report.

Q2 What can ratepayers do to improve their access to the City of Joondalup documents (Gifts and Donations register) which we are informed are available every time we vote but have been refused that access?

A2 The City of Joondalup Gifts Register and Electoral Gifts Register is available on the City's website as follows:

[Gifts Register for Elected Members and Chief Executive Officer – City of Joondalup](#)

[Gifts Register for Employees – City of Joondalup](#)

[Electoral Gifts Register – City of Joondalup](#)

The following questions were submitted verbally at the Annual General Meeting of Electors during General Business:

E Ender, Padbury:

Re: Community Garden.

Q1 The City's support of a community garden in Padbury includes a requirement for a public toilet to be built. How will the toilet be able to be flushed and the garden to be watered if there is no water allowance?

A1 The Director Infrastructure Services advised the water source would need to be from scheme water.

Q2 The community garden will have raised garden beds and a request has been submitted for shading, has this request been received by the City?

A2 The Director Infrastructure Services advised options around facilitating the request would be considered.

Mayor Jacob advised the Ward Councillors and Mayor would continue to liaise with residents and consider options for the water requirement and shading.

The Manager Governance left the Chamber at 5.54pm.

M O'Byrne, Kinross:

Re: Review of City Procurement Processes.

Q1 When was the last review of the City's procurement process conducted?

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised the City are required under the Financial Management Regulations to undertake reviews once every three years, with a review due in 2022 on its procurement processes.

Q2 What actions are taken to identify areas of improvement in procurement practices?

A2 The Director Corporate Services advised the City continually assess for areas of improvement and have a detailed analytics program which compares data from the procurement and finance systems which are monitored by the City's internal Auditor.

The Manager Governance entered the Chamber at 5.57pm.

M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: *Pinnaroo Point Development.*

Q1 *Please advise when the change from a single storey to a double storey building was approved for the Pinnaroo Point site?*

A1 The Director Corporate Services advised that a response would be provided for the minutes of the AGM meeting, as follows:

The decision of Council related to stage one (CJ105-06/14 refers) of the Expressions of Interest process. The concept design from White Salt at stage one was a single storey building.

“MOVED Cr Amphlett, SECONDED Cr McLean That Council:

- 1 *CONSIDERS the information provided by respondents to the Expression of Interest and the assessment by the Evaluation Panel contained with Report CJ105-06/14 and DETERMINES that The Fig Group and Rock (WA) Pty Ltd T/as White Salt are the Stage One preferred respondents for the development of a cafe/kiosk at Pinnaroo Point;*
- 2 *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to invite a Stage Two proposal from The Fig Group and Rock (WA) Pty Ltd T/as White Salt;*
- 3 *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to provide a further report to Council at the conclusion of Stage Two.”.*

The decision of Council below (CJ048-03/15 refers) relates to stage two of the Expression of Interest process which had a second storey.

“MOVED Cr Amphlett, SECONDED Cr McLean That Council:

- 1 *NOTES the information provided by respondents to Stage Two of the Expression of Interest process and the assessment by the Evaluation Panel contained within the report and DETERMINES that Rock (WA) Pty Ltd T/as White Salt is the preferred respondent for the development of a cafe/kiosk at Pinnaroo Point;*
- 2 *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to invite Rock (WA) Pty Ltd T/as White Salt to negotiate a Memorandum of Understanding to facilitate the development of a cafe/kiosk at Pinnaroo Point;*
- 3 *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to provide a further report to Council, after negotiating a Memorandum of Understanding, outlining the intended process for the development of a cafe/kiosk at Pinnaroo Point.”.*

Q2 *Please advise when and where the decisions were made in the public domain?*

A2 The Director Corporate Services advised the reports and decisions were made at a Council meeting, however some of the information was confidential and not available to the public.

- Q3 *Please advise who changed the development proposal from a restaurant bar to a tavern and when was the request presented to Council?*
- A3 The Director Corporate Services advised the purpose of the development has not changed, the terminology used so that the application under the Planning and Liquor licences were the correct classification, that of tavern.
- Q4 *Please explain why public comments received for the development application were not provided in the report to Council prior to being sent to the Strategic Planning Committee?*
- A4 The Director Planning and Community Development advised that the site was in a metropolitan region scheme reserve therefore the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) were the decision makers. The City's role in these types of applications are to make recommendations to the WAPC which was done through the consultation process.

M O'Byrne, Kinross:

Re: *WALGA Services.*

- Q1 *How does the City audit WALGA in relation to the City's procurement of their services?*
- A1 The Director Corporate Services advised the City does not audit WALGA as the services utilised are preferred supplier arrangements. The City would only enter into a preferred supplier arrangement with WALGA if it received no quotes or tenders for services.
- Q2 *What argument would the City make to encourage WALGA to make their services and procedures more transparent to the public?*
- A2 The Director Corporate Services advised it would be very difficult for the City to require WALGA to do any one particular thing, the City is one of 139 local governments who collectively are members of WALGA and in regards to auditing of WALGA's activities and being more transparent, this question should be addressed to WALGA themselves.

The following public statements were submitted verbally at the Annual General Meeting of Electors during General Business:

P Navas-Parejo, Greenwood:

Re: Request for Special Meeting of Electors.

Mr Navas-Parejo informed Mayor Jacob, under section 5.28 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, of his intention to present a petition signed by 279 electors from the City of Joondalup for a Special Meeting of Electors to discuss the following matters:

- The effects of mandatory vaccinations on electors, ratepayers, residents, and businesses in the district.
- The effects of mandatory vaccinations on the local government's affairs and the performance of the local government's functions.
- Motions to be voted on for an advocacy position against mandatory vaccinations for the Council to consider adopting to provide leadership and guidance to the community in the district.

Mayor Jacob acknowledged the request served by Mr Navas-Parejo and advised it would be accepted at the Briefing Session meeting being held directly after the Annual General Meeting of Electors.

R Murphy, Marmion (representing Save Duncraig Leisure Centre):

Re: Duncraig Leisure Centre.

Ms Murphy spoke against the leasing of the Duncraig Leisure Centre (DLC) to the Churches of Christ Sport and Recreation Association (CCSRA) as a result of Council's decision at its meeting held on 18 August 2020.

Ms Murphy commented the DLC was built in 1976 for the community and managed by the City for over 40 years. Ms Murphy stated the community first became aware of the proposed lease in February 2020 and advised the Save Duncraig Leisure Centre group had, through Freedom of Information (FOI) request, obtained documents revealing the negotiations had been taking place since 2017 without community consultation.

Ms Murphy advised since the CCSRA had obtained the lease at least two groups had left due to an increase in hire fees, and she was aware that other groups were contemplating leaving as well.

K Kerr, Ocean Reef:

Re: Glyphosate.

Ms Kerr spoke against the use of glyphosate advising her dog had recently passed away from Hodgkin's lymphoma and raised concerns about the once a month spraying in Ocean Reef and the four times a month spraying in Iluka which was 500 metres away from her property.

A Roelandt, Hillarys:

Re: Pinnaroo Point Development and Glyphosate.

Mrs Roelandt spoke in support of the comments made by residents with regard to the Pinnaroo Point Development parking and stated that there was space in Hillarys where the tavern could be placed. Mrs Roelandt commented that significant natural habitat was being removed at Pinnaroo Point and stated that the horse beach and dog beach were so close that the development at Pinnaroo Point was not required.

Mrs Roelandt spoke against the use of glyphosate in Broad Beach Park advising there was a significant number of dogs off lead and the park was utilised by a large number of children and implored the City to reduce the glyphosate spraying in the park.

The Mayor advised that motions raised at the Annual General Meeting of Electors would be considered by Council at its meeting to be held on Tuesday 19 April 2022.

The Mayor invited Electors to move motions and commenced by inviting those Electors who had registered to move motions on the 'Order of Speakers' register to come forward when called.

MOTION 1 - H Esterhuizen, Burns Beach:

MOVED Herman Esterhuizen, SECONDED Joyce Rubelli that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUEST that Council investigate the following traffic issues that currently, and in the future, impact Burns Beach as a suburb:

- 1 controlling current traffic issues experienced on Burleigh Drive;**
- 2 future traffic problems that will be experienced due to the development of the Northern Residential Precinct;**
- 3 upgrading the exit at Toowoan Way onto Marmion Avenue to a south turn as well.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 2 - E Johnson, Kinross:

MOVED Elisha Johnson, SECONDED Sarah Evans that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUESTS that Council:

- 1 AGREES to enter into an agreement with the Kinross Community Garden to develop part of MacNaughton Reserve into a Community Garden, and in doing so acknowledging the far-reaching benefits that community gardens bring to the community by activating unused space, creating social connections and sharing knowledge and produce that is harvested as demonstrated by our friends at Duncraig Edible Garden;**
- 2 NOTES that the progress of this project is time critical as the Kinross Community Garden has received significant grant funding that must be expended and acquitted by 31 December 2022.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 3 - A Leyland, Burns Beach:

MOVED Andrew Leyland, SECONDED Terry Schaafsma that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUESTS that Council Trials Weedseeker technology to achieve a reduction of the use of glyphosate products in locations not listed as sensitive areas. The Weedseeker technology has been reported to reduce the use of glyphosate by up to 90% leading to the benefits of both; significant savings for ratepayers, and a reduction in unnecessary chemical exposure.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 4 - R Elliott, Kinross:

MOVED Roxanne Elliott, SECONDED Ivo Selent that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUESTS that Council prepare a full list of sensitive areas, other than those detailed in Part 4 to Report CJ184-12/21, the sensitive areas list should include but not be limited to:

- 1 sensitive facilities detailed in the City's *Weed Management Plan 2016*, being schools and pre-schools; kindergartens, childcare centres, hospitals, community health centres and nursing homes;**
- 2 footpaths and kerblines surrounding community gardens, dual paths along coastal route, cafes and restaurants with outside seating.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 5 - R Pizzey, Woodvale:

MOVED Rebecca Pizzey, **SECONDED** Linda Smith that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council on an annual basis in July and January advertise through social media platforms, community news and the City's website the following:

- 1 Pesticide Exclusion Register (no spray verge);**
- 2 Pesticide Use Notification Register;**
- 3 Pesticide Use Notification – Locations Map and Schedule.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Logan left the Chamber at 6.29pm.

MOTION 6 - J Kung, Edgewater:

MOVED Jane Kung, **SECONDED** Mike Moore that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council in relation to the *Edgewater Quarry Master Plan*, instructs the administration to note and act on the following:

- 1 to abide by the 2021 consultation results where the community clearly said that the Edgewater Quarry site should remain as public open space and be improved as public open space;**
- 2 to acknowledge that the area is a park and a conservation zone and should remain as such;**
- 3 that the community be meaningfully consulted with, on any future improvement proposals in an unbiased and transparent way;**
- 4 that any improvements should enhance and protect the surrounding bushland including St Clair Park;**
- 5 that any improvements are in the interest of the local and broader residents as well as the natural environment;**
- 6 that any improvements should be freely accessible to all members of the community for passive recreational use.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr May entered the Chamber at 6.30pm.

MOTION 7 - C Gonser, Ocean Reef:

MOVED Corinne Gonser, **SECONDED** Bettina Gould that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instructs the City to present a report by April 2022 on the petition tabled at the July 2021 Council Meeting (C60-07/21) and the report not be delayed until the *Weed Management Plan* is finalised.

The details of the petition are as follows:

- 1 petition in relation to supporting glyphosate use advisory signage being left in place for a minimum of 24 hours:
 - 1.1 glyphosate use advisory signage being left in place for a minimum of 24 hours following the application of glyphosate as undertaken within City of Joondalup managed land;
 - 1.2 glyphosate advisory signage being left in place to protect health despite the very small cost to Special Area Rate (SAR) payments each year.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Logan entered the Chamber at 6.34pm.

MOTION 8 - B Hewitt, Edgewater:

MOVED Beth Hewitt, **SECONDED** Mary O'Byrne that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council:

- 1 instructs the Chief Executive Officer that further contracts with WALGA are not to be placed, unless there has been an audit conducted of WALGA by the Office of the Auditor General to verify that WALGA provide best value to the City.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 9 - R Murphy, Marmion:

MOVED Robyn Murphy, **SECONDED** Mary O'Byrne that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instruct the City in relation to questions submitted in writing to Council by residents and ratepayers of the City of Joondalup be printed in the Agenda in full and not be edited, amended or summarised by the City's administration without prior reference to and discussion with the questioner.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Director Governance and Strategy left the Chamber at 6.46pm and returned at 6.47pm.

MOTION 10 - M O'Byrne, Kinross:

MOVED Mary O'Byrne, **SECONDED** Robyn Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instruct the City that future Electors Annual General Meetings be held on a date and time that is set aside for this purpose and not be time constrained by being scheduled prior to a Briefing or Council Meeting, to enable sufficient time for statements, questions and motions from residents and ratepayers.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 11 - G Boyland, Marmion:

MOVED Geoffrey Boyland, **SECONDED** Mike Moore that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that the Council instruct the City to proceed with the residential down coding of the areas west of the freeway in Housing Opportunity Area 1 as per Council's resolutions in June and December of 2017.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 12 - F Gilbert, Kallaroo:

MOVED Fay Gilbert, **SECONDED** Suzanne Apps that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instructs the City to investigate and review the disadvantages and potential safety issues with retaining the requirement for speakers to publicly state their home addresses at Council meetings in the upcoming development of the new live streaming policy. This review should include:

- 1 restricting access to the Public Statement and Public Question registers on the night;
- 2 alternatives to requiring home addresses to be stated prior to speaking at a meeting.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 13 - J Kung, Edgewater:

MOVED Jane Kung, **SECONDED** Mike Moore that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instructs the City to make the following requirement for developers who are demolishing houses and subdividing residential blocks in the City of Joondalup:

- 1 where asbestos fencing is present on the block, the developer is required to remove the fencing and replace it with a safe option.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Cr Thompson left the Chamber at 7.02pm and returned at 7.03pm.

MOTION 14 - B Hewitt, Edgewater:

MOVED Beth Hewitt, **SECONDED** Mary O'Byrne that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instructs the City that all motions from the Electors Annual General Meetings are addressed fully and separately with individual reports and are voted on separately when presented to Council.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 15 - M O'Byrne, Kinross:

MOVED Mary O'Byrne, **SECONDED** Beth Hewitt that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council instructs the City to:

- 1** set up an online facility for the submission of Petitions (e-Petition) and continues with hard copy petitions and that provision is made for both hard copy and e-petition to be concurrent on the same subject matter and counted as one if submitted at the same time;
- 2** amend its relevant Local Law(s) so that the authenticity of petitions overall is safeguarded;
- 3** establish a concurrent Petition Status Page so residents can see how their petition is progressing and the historical outcome of previous petitions.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 16 - Z Murphy, Edgewater:

MOVED Ziggy Murphy, **SECONDED** Andy Murphy that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** that Council develop a policy that ensures that the City of Joondalup remains an inclusive pro-choice city and does not promote discrimination in any form.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 17 - M Harrison, Mullaloo:

MOVED Mark Harrison, **SECONDED** Raphael Roussel that the Electors of the City of Joondalup **REQUESTS** the advisory signage for glyphosate advises the public of the number of days that are required to pass before 50% of the applied glyphosate has decayed and of the initial concentrate applied, that is of the half life of glyphosate. This to enable the public to be informed of the latent site contamination and its effective persistence beyond the initial 24 hours.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOTION 18 - M Moore, Edgewater:

MOVED Mike Moore, SECONDED Jane Kung that the Electors of the City of Joondalup REQUESTS that at the Annual General Meetings of Electors residents and ratepayers are given the opportunity to speak for motions.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, Mayor Jacob declared the Annual General Meeting of Electors closed at 7.23pm. The following Elected Members were present at that time:

MAYOR HON. ALBERT JACOB, JP
CR JOHN CHESTER
CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP
CR CHRISTINE HAMILTON-PRIME, JP
CR ADRIAN HILL
CR NIGE JONES
CR DANIEL KINGSTON
CR JOHN LOGAN
CR CHRISTOPHER MAY
CR TOM MCLEAN, JP
CR RUSSELL POLIWKA
CR JOHN RAFTIS
CR SUZANNE THOMPSON