City of Joondalup

minutes

Ordinary Meeting of Council

MEETING HELD ON **TUESDAY 28 JULY 2026**

Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians
The City of Joondalup acknowledges the traditional custodians of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation, and recognises the culture of the Noongar people and the unique contribution they make to the Joondalup region and Australia. The City of Joondalup pays its respects to their Elders past and present and extends that respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

This document is available in alternate formats upon request

joondalup.wa.gov.au

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS 4

2 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS 4

3 DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST / PROXIMITY INTEREST / INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY 6

4 DEPUTATIONS 8

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME 9

6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME 40

7 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE 42

8 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES 43

9 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION 44

10 IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC 45

11 PETITIONS 46

11.1 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO PROTECT THE LAWN AND FOOTPATH ALONG MERIVALE WAY IN FRONT OF GREENWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL 46

11.2 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO REINSTATE THE 7PM CLOSING TIME AT DUNCRAIG, WHITFORD AND WOODVALE PUBLIC LIBRARIES 46

11.3 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO CEASE ONGOING USE OF GLYPHOSATE AND FLUAZIFOP IN PUBLIC SPACES ACROSS THE CITY OF JOONDALUP 46

12 REPORTS 49

12.1 DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS - MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL) 49

12.2 SMALL BAR (ADDITIONS) AT 98 O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA - SECTION 31 RECONSIDERATION UNDER STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 2004 (WARD - NORTH-CENTRAL) 54

12.3 EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS (WARD - ALL) 69

12.4 CONFIDENTIAL - STATUS OF LEGAL ACTIONS REPORT (WARD - ALL) 73

12.5 STATUS OF COUNCIL DECISIONS - JULY 2026 (WARD - ALL) 74

12.6 MINUTES OF REGIONAL COUNCIL MEETINGS (WARD - ALL) 77

12.7 ELECTED MEMBER TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE 2025-26 (WARD - ALL) 81

12.8 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION 2026 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING DELEGATES (WARD - ALL) 86

12.9 APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL) 90

12.10 ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS RESEARCH REPORT (WARD - ALL) 91

12.11 LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL) 101

12.12 FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT FOR MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL) 106

12.13 SORRENTO SURF LIFE SAVING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (WARD - SOUTH-WEST) 114

13 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES 131

14 REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER 131

15 URGENT BUSINESS 131

16 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 132

16.1 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1 – CR DENISE MERCER – ADMIRAL PARK UPGRADES 132

16.2 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2 – CR REBECCA PIZZEY - RESUMPTION OF MARRI (CORYMBIA CALOPHYLLA) PLANTING 134

16.3 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3 – CR MATTHEW COUNT - NATIONAL CLIMATE ADAPTATION FUNDING AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR AUSTRALIAN CITIES 135

CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS 140

12.4 CONFIDENTIAL - STATUS OF LEGAL ACTIONS REPORT (WARD - ALL) 140

12.9 APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL) 141

17 ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE NEXT MEETING 151

18 CLOSURE 151

CITY OF JOONDALUP

COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP ON TUESDAY 28 JULY 2026

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

2 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

2.1 MEETING OPENING AND ATTENDANCE

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 6.30pm.

Mayor:

MAYOR DANIEL KINGSTON

Councillors:

CR ADRIAN HILL	North Ward	*absent from 8.34pm to 8.59pm*
CR LEWIS HUTTON	North Ward	*from 6.32pm*
CR DENISE MERCER	North Central Ward	
CR BETTINA GOULD	North Central Ward	
CR REBECCA PIZZEY	Central Ward	
CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP	South Ward	
CR JOHN RAFTIS	South Ward	
CR MATTHEW COUNT	South-West Ward	
CR PHILLIP VINCIULLO	South-West Ward	
CR JOHN CHESTER	South-East Ward	
CR ROHAN O'NEILL	South-East Ward	*absent from 7.18pm to 7.19pm*

Officers:

MR CRAIG LLOYD	Chief Executive Officer	
MR JAMIE PARRY	Director Governance and Strategy	
MR MAT HUMFREY	Director Corporate Services	
MR CHRIS JANSEN	Acting Director Infrastructure Services	
MRS MARLAINE LAVERY	Director Planning and Community Development	
MR RYAN FERTS	Manager Asset Management	
MRS CATHRINE TEMPLE	Manager Planning Services	*to 7.23pm*
MRS REBECCA MACCARIO	Manager Strategic and Organisational Development	*absent from 7.07pm to 7.09pm* *absent from 7.44pm to 7.46pm* *to 9.00pm*
MR CHARLES SULLIVAN	Principal Project Engineer Coastal and Civil	*to 9.00pm*
MRS KYLIE BERGMANN	Manager Governance	
MS HANNAH TAGORE	Manager Communications and Stakeholder Relations	*absent from 7.10pm to 7.12pm* *to 9.00pm*
MR LEIGH NASTASI	Media and Communications Officer	*absent from 8.37pm to 8.39pm* *to 9.00pm*
MRS LAURA NAPIER	Senior Governance Officer	
MISS CAITLIN WOOD	Governance Officer	
MISS CHARLENE BROWN	Governance Officer	

There were 31 members of the public and no member of the press in attendance.

2.2 REQUESTS FOR ELECTRONIC ATTENDANCE

Nil.

3 DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST / PROXIMITY INTEREST / INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY

3.1 DISCLOSURES OF FINANCIAL INTEREST / PROXIMITY INTEREST

A declaration under this section requires that the nature of the interest must be disclosed. Consequently, a member who has made a declaration must not preside, participate in, or be present during any discussion or decision-making procedure relating to the matter the subject of the declaration. An employee is required to disclose their financial interest and if required to do so by the Council must disclose the extent of the interest. Employees are required to disclose their financial interests where they are required to present verbal or written reports to the Council. Employees are able to continue to provide advice to the Council in the decision-making process if they have disclosed their interest.

Name / Position	**Cr Rohan O'Neill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.1 – Development and Subdivision Applications – May 2026 (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Financial Interest.
Extent of Interest	Clients that Cr O'Neill is working with appear on the list of approvals.

Name / Position	**Cr Adrian Hill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 16.3 – Notice Of Motion No. 3 – Cr Matthew Count - National Climate Adaptation Funding and Accountability for Australian Cities.
Nature of Interest	Financial Interest.
Extent of Interest	As stated in Cr Hill's Annual Return, his self-managed superannuation fund has significant shareholdings in a number of companies that would fall into the business categories identified in this Notice of Motion.

3.2 DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST AFFECTING IMPARTIALITY

Elected Members (in accordance with clause 22 of Schedule 1 of the *Local Government [Model Code of Conduct] Regulations 2021*) and employees (in accordance with the Code of Conduct) are required to declare any interest that may affect their impartiality in considering a matter. This declaration does not restrict any right to participate in or be present during the decision-making process. The Elected Member / employee is also encouraged to disclose the nature of their interest.

Name / Position	**Cr Bettina Gould.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.2 - Small Bar (Additions) at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka - Section 31 Reconsideration Under State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (Ward – North-Central).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Residents at the Iluka One Apartments are known to Cr Gould.

Name / Position	**Cr Rohan O'Neill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.2 - Small Bar (Additions) at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka - Section 31 Reconsideration Under State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (Ward – North-Central).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr O'Neill is a member of Metro Development Assessment Panel (MODAP).

Name / Position	**Mayor Daniel Kingston.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Candidate J may be known to Mayor Kingston.

Name / Position	**Cr Bettina Gould.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	One of the applicants is known to Cr Gould.

Name / Position	**Mayor Daniel Kingston.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Mayor Kingston is a Vice Patron of Surf Life Saving Western Australia.

Name / Position	**Cr Matthew Count.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Some of the members of the club are known to Cr Count.

Name / Position	**Cr Russ Fishwick, JP.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	14 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr Fishwick is a Vice Patron of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club and a Senior Assessor for Surf Life Saving Western Australia.

Name / Position	**Cr Phillip Vinciullo.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	14 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr Vinciullo is a Vice Patron of Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club.

Name / Position	**Mr Mathew Humfrey, Director Corporate Services.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	14 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Members of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club are known to Mr Humfrey.

4 DEPUTATIONS

This item was dealt with at the Briefing Session.

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

5.1 QUESTIONS ASKED PRIOR TO AND VERBALLY AT THE BRIEFING SESSION HELD ON TUESDAY 14 JULY 2026

Questions asked prior to and verbally at the Briefing Session held on Tuesday 14 July 2026 are included in the Agenda for this Ordinary Meeting of Council.

5.2 QUESTIONS ASKED PRIOR TO AND VERBALLY AT THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 28 JULY 2026

The following summarised questions were received prior to the Council meeting held on Tuesday 28 July 2026:

T Lennox, Kallaroo:

Re: City of Joondalup Weed Management and Petition on Glyphosate.

Q1 Why would the City of Joondalup spray Fluazifop, a chemical carrying an official hazard warning of 'suspected of damaging the unborn child' (H361d) and 'very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects' (H410 and H401) in their parks and urban spaces, including those with playgrounds and waterways?

A1 Fluazifop is a selective herbicide used by the City to control specific grass weed species where alternative methods are not practical or effective. It is a registered agricultural and veterinary chemical that has been independently assessed and approved for use by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA). As with all registered herbicides, the product label includes hazard statements that identify the risks associated with the concentrated product and prescribe the controls required to ensure it is used safely.

The hazard statement on the Safety Data Sheet "Suspected of damaging the unborn child" (H360D) relates to the concentrated product and is addressed through strict handling requirements, including the use of appropriate personal protective equipment, training and safe handling procedures. All City staff and contractors are required to comply with the product label, the Western Australian Department of Health's guidelines for the safe use of pesticides and the City's Pesticide Management Procedure, which sets out requirements for training, transport, storage, handling, application, record keeping, spill response and the assessment of new herbicides before they are introduced for operational use.

The hazard statements relating to aquatic toxicity recognise that Fluazifop can be harmful to aquatic organisms if it enters waterways. For this reason, the product label contains specific environmental protection directions requiring the herbicide not to be applied directly to water and to maintain an exclusion distance from waterways, wetlands and other aquatic environments. The City complies with these label requirements and does not apply Fluazifop directly to water or within the specified exclusion distance.

In addition to complying with the product label, the City's Pesticide Management Procedure includes specific work instructions to minimise the potential for spray drift and off-target movement. Herbicides must not be applied when wind speeds exceed 15 km/h. Prior to and during every application, staff and contractors are required to measure onsite wind speeds using a calibrated anemometer and record these readings on a spray record form. This represents industry best practice and helps ensure herbicides are applied accurately, reducing the risk of the product entering sensitive areas, including waterways. With regard to playgrounds, weed control within all play spaces in the City use steam/hydrothermal weed control methods, no herbicides are applied within any City play spaces.

The City only uses Fluazifop where it is considered the most appropriate weed management option and always in accordance with the product label, regulatory requirements and the City's procedures to protect both public health and the environment.

Q2 *The basis for continued use of these chemicals across the City appears to rely heavily on the expectation that products are administered in 'accordance with label instructions'. I have on several occasions seen contractors administering chemicals across the City of Joondalup without proper Personal Protective Equipment, (PPE), which suggests the City's oversight of compliance is not adequate.*

How does the City assure itself that subcontractors engaged to administer these chemicals on behalf of the City are in fact doing so in accordance with the safety requirements outlined in the instructions, and not putting themselves or the community at risk?

A2 All staff and contractors engaged by the City are required to adhere to the safety and personal protection requirements as detailed in the chemical's Safety Data Sheet. The City takes the safety of its workers seriously and ensures all required personal protective equipment is made available. The City's minimum requirement will always be as detailed in the product's SDS; however, some staff and/or contractors may wish to wear extra personal protective equipment than detailed.

Site inspections are undertaken periodically by staff supervisors and contract managers to ensure adherence to all safety requirements, including placement of signage to alert the public of chemicals being applied in public spaces. If you observe staff or contractors administering chemicals without proper PPE or not having signage in place, please notify the City and investigation will be undertaken. If instances of non-compliance from contractors are substantiated, this constitutes a breach of contract and may result in formal action such as termination of contract.

Q3 *The City's Pesticide Use Notification Plan indicates its purpose is to allow the community to take action to avoid, or minimise contact with, pesticide applications applied in public spaces, however those who register need to reapply every year, and only receive vague text message/email alerts when spraying is being conducted 'in areas they asked to be notified about'. Why do these notification mechanisms exist if they are onerous for residents to maintain and provide no meaningful information?*

A3 The City's ability to notify residents on the Pesticide Use Notification Register depends on the correct contact details to enable accurate and timely notifications. The annual re-registration process is the best way to ensure that the City obtains the most up to date contact details from registrants. It also provides the opportunity for registrants to consider if they want to continue to receive the notification or if they prefer to view the Pesticide Use Notification Locations Map and Schedule. Registrants are reminded to re-register via a notice on the City's website and social media posts. These notices also advertise the opportunity for residents to register that may not have been registered previously.

With respect to the notification content, the City's current system has been designed to provide early advice that spraying activities are planned within locations selected by the recipient. The notification includes a link to the City's public website, where information relating to scheduled pesticide application activities can be accessed. The intent of the notification is to alert registered users to upcoming activity so they can make informed decisions regarding their use of public spaces. The City acknowledges that community members may have differing expectations regarding the level of detail provided in notifications and your feedback will be considered as part of any future review of the notification arrangements.

Q4 Section 1.2.4 of the Weed Management Plan 2023-2033 talks to the community consultation that took place to support the Plan's finalisation noting that community feedback was incorporated into the final Weed Management Plan, 'where relevant' prior to being presented to Council for endorsement. Despite 83.6% of respondents noting they strongly opposed or opposed the City's use of chemical weed control, and a further 76.2% providing written comments regarding their concerns, this extensive opposition to the use of chemical weed control was not deemed 'relevant' to be referenced in the finalised Plan. Why does the City spend time and money seeking community feedback on this issue if feedback received is largely ignored?

A4 The City implements an integrated weed management approach with the objectives to protect biodiversity, maintain amenity, minimise bushfire risk and reduce reliance on herbicide use by increasing non-chemical weed control methods, where appropriate. The City is implementing alternative weed control methods to meet the objectives in the plan including an increase in the use of steam and hot water weed control and hand weeding.

Community consultation on the draft plan informed decision making and was considered in conjunction with a peer review process and scientific research and technical advice.

The City undertook a peer review process with relevant agencies on the draft Weed Management Plan. Feedback was received from the Department of Health and Edith Cowan University experts which indicates that the City's current approach is in accordance with regulatory requirements and poses minimal risks to staff, the community, animals and the environment.

The City's integrated approach to weed management considers the latest science, research and relevant advice from State Government and industry agencies. In addition, the Plan provides a balance between the use of chemical and non-chemical weed management to ensure biodiversity and amenity within the City is maintained and fire risk is reduced. The Plan considers the financial and resource implications related to the delivery of weed management services and provides for a sustainable approach into the future.

Q5 *Despite the Weed Management Plan 2023-2033, (p44), stating that 'the City is committed to delivering an innovative, holistic and integrated weed management program and will continue to undertake research and complete trials into alternate and emerging weed control and monitoring methods', the approach to weed management described in the Plan appears to be very much 'business as usual' with limited innovation, or clear focus on reducing chemical weed control evident. If the City is truly committed to delivering an innovative, holistic and integrated weed management program why is the investigation of alternative methods not explicitly reflected in Recommended Management Actions or KPIs to enable transparent measures of performance in this space?*

A5 Since the adoption of the *Weed Management Plan 2023-2033* in March 2023 (CJ040-03/23 refers), the City has continued to undertake research and, in alignment with Council's decision at its meeting held on 28 May 2024 (CJ117-05/24 refers), engaged a consultant to explore the feasibility of reducing glyphosate and other pesticide use over a three to five-year period, including reduction targets of 50%, 70% and 90%, while maintaining effective weed management across the City's public open space network.

A report has been prepared which evaluates scenarios provided by the City for reductions of 50%, 70%, and 90% over three to five years, balancing costs, efficacy, risks, and stakeholder feedback. This report was presented to Elected Members in February 2026 and it, along with the City's recommendations, is scheduled to be considered by Council at a future meeting.

The *Weed Management Plan 2023-2033* includes several recommended management actions related to the investigation or implementation of alternative weed control methods including the following actions:

- Implement steam and hot water weed control in accordance with specified scope. Undertake review of non-chemical weed control at expiration of contract.
- Continue to investigate opportunities to participate in research projects and take up opportunities for sharing information relating to best practice approaches to weed management.
- Continue to participate in the Western Australian Local Government Association's (WALGA's) Local Government Herbicide Use and Integrated Weed Management Working Group. The group's purpose is to share information, address knowledge gaps and help local governments with making informed decisions on weed management approaches that are most suitable for their locality.

The *Weed Management Plan 2023-2033* includes an annual performance measure for weed control in play spaces with the measure of percentage of play spaces using non-chemical weed control methods. The Plan also includes an annual performance measure for weed control in sensitive areas with the measure of percentage of kerblines and footpaths within 50m of sensitive activities using non-chemical weed control.

G Boswell, Ocean Reef:

Re: Land Acquisition and Disposal.

Q1 The City has indicated that land optimisation decisions are informed by various plans, processes, governance mechanisms and a proposed Land Acquisition and Disposal Framework. Can the City advise what is the rationale for adopting this approach rather than implementing a Strategic Land Asset Management Plan or similar portfolio-wide land strategy, as is common practice among many local governments?

A1 At this point in the City's investigations regarding the draft Land Acquisition and Disposal Framework, it is considered that these land optimisation decisions are better made through an integrated framework of strategic plans, governance processes and internal procedures rather than a standalone Strategic Land Asset Management Plan. This allows the City to make comprehensive land-related decisions taking into account the City's Strategic Community Plan and broader City objectives, recognising that land assets support a range of social, civic, environmental and economic outcomes. This approach is currently under consideration by our new CEO.

Q2 Regarding the City's current and future community purpose requirements, it has been stated that land disposal recommendations will be evaluated in relation to long-term community infrastructure needs, among other factors. Can the City advise what processes does the City use to identify and quantify future long-term community needs prior to determining the suitability of a strategically located site for disposal?

A2 The primary document the City currently uses to inform decision making regarding long-term community needs is the City's *Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032* (Joondalup 2032) which sets out the community's aspirations and objectives for the next 10 years. This subsequently informs the City's Corporate Business Plan which sets priority actions, projects and resources to address this vision.

When undertaking land transaction related decisions, the City assesses each land asset against these strategic and operational documents. This process will be further strengthened through the implementation of the proposed Land Acquisition and Disposal Framework, which will include a consistent and process-driven approach to evaluate these land transaction opportunities.

Re: High-Density and Mixed-Use Development.

Q3 In relation to the City's current Expression of Interest (EOI) for high-density, mixed-use development within the Joondalup City Centre, what weighting and/or priority will be given to submissions that provide a diverse range of housing options, including those suitable for smaller households, older residents, key workers and those seeking more affordable housing outcomes?

A3 The City's current Expression of Interest (EOI) for high density, mixed-use development within the Joondalup City Centre includes evaluation criteria setting out key priorities and deliverables for any proposed development concept. One of the evaluation criteria under Stage 1 of the EOI includes 'Diverse Housing' which encourages submissions to incorporate diverse residential components which services a variety of living arrangements and family compositions of future residents. For the purpose of this EOI, diverse housing products can include (but are not limited to) aged persons dwellings, dependent persons (NDIS) accommodation, affordable housing, studio/ one-bedroom apartments, shared living (dual-key) apartments, social housing, student accommodation etc.

Q4 The City has previously facilitated specific housing outcomes through the disposal of City-owned land for people aged over 55 and is currently inviting mixed-use residential development proposals within the Joondalup City Centre. Given that housing policy is primarily a State Government responsibility, what strategic basis does the City use to determine when residential development should be prioritised over other community purposes for City-owned land?

A4 The City acknowledges that housing policy is primarily a State Government responsibility, however the City can support and facilitate these objectives where they align with local planning, economic development and community outcomes.

In order to determine which land uses are prioritised over others, the City's Strategic Community Plan provides an overarching framework which informs the Corporate Business Plan, Investment Attraction Framework, proposed Land Acquisition and Disposal Framework, local land-use planning strategies and policies, as well as relevant place-making initiatives. Additionally, site specific investigations help the City understand the context and circumstances surrounding each specific land asset, acknowledging that preferred land uses are often heavily influenced by its location.

In respect to the City's recent EOI, public parking facilities are intended to be retained as part of any future development outcome. This maintains an important community asset which services businesses, visitors and residents within the Joondalup City Centre. Other community purposes proposed as part of any EOI submission will be evaluated and further detailed analysis and consideration will be given via Stage 2 of the EOI process.

Re: Asset Management Strategy 2024-2034.

Q5 The Asset Management Strategy 2024–2034 values the City's freehold land assets at approximately $115 million, yet makes limited reference to their strategic management. Does the City intend to prepare a Strategic Land Asset Management Plan or similar Council-endorsed framework for the management of its land portfolio, and if not, why not?

A5 Further to A1 above, the endorsed *Asset Management Strategy 2024-2034* includes an improvement road map which identifies future initiatives of which the development of a Land Asset Management Plan or strategic framework may be considered. As mentioned in response to Q1, the newly appointed CEO is giving consideration to a future approach and future initiatives.

G Cowcher, Woodvale:

Re: Library Service Review.

Q1 It is noted that Tier 1 recommendation A3 from the Library Service Review – "update and implement Collection Development Guidelines with a priority in weeding the collection" – has been completed. Given that the Review notes that "at least 20% of the physical fiction stock in Joondalup Libraries could be removed and up to 50% of the non-fiction stock", what percentage of fiction and non-fiction items (for each of adult and junior) were weeded from each of Joondalup's four libraries in 2025-26?

A1 Please see table below:

	Adult Fiction	Junior Fiction	Adult Non Fiction	Junior Non Fiction	Total
Duncraig	15%	12%	16%	12%	**14%**
Joondalup	11%	18%	17%	13%	**15%**
Whitford	11%	17%	15%	21%	**14%**
Woodvale	13%	13%	14%	13%	**13%**
Total	**12%**	**15%**	**16%**	**15%**	**14%**

Q2 The Review provided a sequence for action in respect of the Tier 1 recommendations with recommendation A3 (see above) being the second for actioning as other recommendations (e.g. layout review) flow from this. Was recommendation A3 – in particular, the weeding and the subsequent freeing of space – actioned before recommendation A6 - "review the layout at all library branches to maximise the potential of the available space" - was completed?

A2 The Collection Management Guidelines were completed in November 2025, noting that the implementation of these guidelines (including the weeding of the collection) is not a point in time activity and will occur over the coming years.

Further to the Collection Management Guidelines, the City is in the process of finalising a review of its collection, this is expected to provide direction and clarity as to the reduction of the library collection in line with the Library Service Review findings.

Q3 *Following the weeding and review of layout, how many PCs (and what percentage) will be moved from the ground floor to the first floor in Joondalup Library given the Review recommends (B19) "most (at least 50%)" be moved?*

A3 This is still to be determined.

Q4 *Why was the historical decision made for the majority of books in Joondalup Library on Australia and Western Australia and in the Indigenous Peoples of Australia collection to be made part of the reference collection and not available for loan?*

A4 As part of the review of the Reference Library and Local History, the decision was made to create a Local History, Genealogy and Heritage collection once the Reference collection had been removed, which would include items from the Australian, Western Australian and Indigenous Peoples of Australia collections. Once this work has been completed by the end of 2026, items remaining in this collection will be available for 28-day loan, except for items that are rare and/or have regional significance.

Q5 *In speaking with a Library Coordinator from an adjacent local government, I was told that Joondalup Libraries does not purchase shelf-ready books from library suppliers for stock purchased using local funds. Assuming this is correct, when and why was this decision made given that it has been known for decades that this is the most cost-effective way of purchasing books for public libraries?*

A5 The practice of purchasing shelf-ready as opposed to in-house processing of books has been considered a number of times over the past five years.

As of right now the City believes this is still more cost effective to be done in-house, however this is being re-considered as part of the holistic review into the collection as referenced in A2.

M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: Active Reserves and Community Facilities.

Q1 Referring to the Officers response to Notice of Motion No. 1, and a submitted at the Briefing Session regarding the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club similarly advising that the City undertakes a three yearly review of the functionality of all City facilities, to provide a strategic approach, also known as 'Active Reserve and Community Facility Review (2023)', and as mentioned in Report 13.1.1 26 May 2026 page 143, indicating that "while functionality issues were identified and ranked using a functionality matrix", can the City please provide a detailed listing of ALL those community facilities that are ranked at 3.1 (Poor) to 5 (Very Poor), with a copy provided to all Elected Members?

A1 As previously advised the City conducts a review of its active reserves and community facilities approximately every three years. This review is referred to as the Active Reserve and Community Facilities Review (ARCFR) and does not include such assets like the Libraries, Craigie Leisure Centre, Administration building, Works Operations Centre and so on, as it focusses on the provision of community sporting facilities and infrastructure. The ARCFR determines priority order based on a prioritisation matrix assessment which includes facility category, existing facility condition, project planning, utilization, functionality, affected users, project outcomes, and value/timing of previous works. The result of the evaluation is expressed via a percentage (the higher the percentage, the higher the recommended priority the venue is for improvement works). The functionality audit is one of several criteria used to determine the priority order. A copy of the full priority list of facilities requiring either redevelopment or refurbishment has been previously provided.

Within the report presented to Council at the May meeting, there was reference to a functionality review of the City's four libraries conducted in 2025. As part of this review each library was assessed using a functionality matrix considering elements such as spatial relationship; environmental comfort; provision and amenity; and aesthetics. It is within this review that a rating system of very good (1) to very poor (5) is applied. A copy of the Libraries Facility Functionality Assessment (for all four libraries) as well as explanatory notes have been previously provided. This rating system is not applied to the building prioritisation matrix assessment of the ARCFR as they are separate evaluations.

To provide further clarity, a copy of the 2023 ARCFR Building Prioritisation Matrix (with explanatory assessment criteria definitions) is attached (Attachment 5.2.1 refers). This document also includes the functionality audit assessment for community and sporting facilities (which is one of the assessment criteria within the building prioritisation matrix).

Re: Item 12.10 – Alternative Coastal Hazard Adaptation Options Research Report.

Q2 In response to Question 4 submitted to the Briefing Session the City advised that it "was successful in an application to the Federal Government's Disaster Ready Fund for partial funding of a Coastal Hazard Assessment that will be undertaken in 2026-27".

Can the City kindly advise if the funding application meet the requirements of the Annual General Meeting of Electors' Motion 26:

"1 PROVIDE a quarterly report to the Major Projects and Finance Committee on all grants applied for, including their value, source, purpose and timeline;

1.1 INCLUDE in the report a list of successful applications and those that were not successful;

1.2 INCLUDE any additional co-contributions required of the City in order to undertake projects funded through these grants."

A2 Motion 26 from the Annual General Meeting of Electors was endorsed by Council on 24 March 2026 (CJ053-03/26 refers) and notes that the first report detailing recurrent and non-recurrent grant funding be provided to the Major Projects and Finance Committee in Quarter 4 2025-26. The Disaster Ready Funding application for the Coastal Hazard Management Program (including the Coastal Hazard Assessment) was submitted in March 2024 and the City was advised that the application was successful in December 2024.

As such the project will not be included in the quarterly report to the Major Projects and Finance Committee that is due to be presented at the August 2026 meeting. The quarterly report will only include grants that have been submitted and approved or not approved for the relevant financial year i.e. grants for 2025-26 will be presented in August 2026.

Q3 Further to Q2 above, can the City please provide and explain how and what the Disaster Ready Fund "for partial funding of a Coastal Hazard Assessment that will be undertaken in 2026-27"

A3 The City will undertake a Coastal Hazard Assessment in 2026-27 that was partially funded by the Disaster Ready Fund for an amount of $17,798. The City will also co-contribute funds towards the project.

The intent of the Coastal Hazard Assessment is to predict the potential exposure of the City's 17km of coastline to coastal hazards (erosion and inundation) for present day, 25, 50 and 100-year timeframes, in accordance with *State Planning Policy 2.6 – State Coastal Planning Policy* and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning (CHRMAP) Guidelines. The Coastal Hazard Assessment will be used to inform the development of a new CHRMAP and site specific detailed coastal adaptation options assessments.

Re: Iluka Beach.

Q4 The City recently dumped a number of large limestone rocks at Iluka Beach. Can the City please provide further details as to why, on whose authority and if the Ward Councillors where advised in advance?

A4 The City can confirm that a small number of limestone boulders were placed at Iluka Foreshore to stabilise erosion around the beach access (J39) following significant storm damage. The works were undertaken under the authority of the City as operational maintenance to address storm-related coastal erosion and ensure the beach access remained safe for public use. The placement of the limestone boulders formed a minor component of the broader emergency response, which also included the decommissioning and removal of beach shelters that had become structurally compromised and repairs to the southern beach access stairway.

Elected Members were advised prior to the works commencing.

Re: Project Axiom.

Q5 Can the City please provide details of the proposed Project Plan and Implementation Plan and Total Cost to the Ratepayers to resolve those matters identified in the Gap Analysis

A5 At this stage, the City is unable to provide details of the proposed Project Plan, Implementation Plan, or the total cost of addressing the matters identified in the Gap Analysis. This information will be considered as part of Statements of Work 2 and 3, which is anticipated to be presented to Council in August 2026.

L Schroeder, Ocean Reef:

Re: Attachment 12.5.1 – CJ053-03/26 – e-Rideables Signage at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef (page 133 refers).

Q1 Could the City please advise if any progress has been made as to the erecting of appropriately worded signage relating to e-Rideables as soon as practicable at Mirror Park?

Q2 Can the City advise when residents should expect to see the signage?

A1&2 Appropriately worded signage related to e-Rideables have been designed, manufactured and are scheduled to be installed at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef and Sir James McCusker Park, Iluka by 7 August 2026.

Q3 The photos below show this section of grassed area and the large mud area at Mirror Park where riders on their e-Rideables have been carving up the grass and the mud patch alongside. A resident who regularly walks her dog each morning noticed these tyre marks on the morning of Tuesday 14 July 2026 around 7.30am. The resident stated that she had not noticed them on Monday 13 July 2026 whilst on her regular walk with her dog at around the same time.



How will the City address this unlawful behaviour?

A3 Riding on grassed areas is not unlawful, however, advisory signage will be installed at Mirror Park and Sir James McCusker Park to discourage e-rideable users from riding on grassed areas and to help preserve the parks by minimising damage to the turf. Unfortunately, incidents of this nature can occur due to the behaviour of some riders. Enforcement of e-rideable regulations falls under the responsibility of the WA Police

Q4 With the recent announcement by Caitlin Collins MLA, the State Government will be installing CCTV cameras at Mirror Park, can the City please advise which authority will be responsible for the monitoring of same?

A4 The City will be responsible for overseeing the CCTV system at this location however, it is not monitored in real time. The City can access and provide recorded footage if requested by WA Police or other authorised agencies.

N Marchant, Ocean Reef:

Re: Statement Regarding the Reopening of the Coastal Path – 23 June 2026.

Q1 In regard to a response to a written question submitted to the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 23 June 2026, the City advised that the coastal path south of the Ocean Reef Marina could not be reopened because active construction works were yet to be completed, however no works have been completed since prior to 18 April 2026 (see photos below taken on 18 April, 17 June and 24 July 2026 for reference).

Can the City advise if it would be fair to say that the statement made on the 23 June 2026 was false and misleading that the path could not be reopened because of works?



A1 As responsibility for the management and delivery of the Ocean Reef Marina project rests with DevelopmentWA, the City relied on information provided by DevelopmentWA in responding to the questions raised at the 23 June 2026 Council Meeting. Accordingly, the City does not consider the statement made at that time to be false or misleading.

S Kelly, Duncraig:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Can the City advise if the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club will be formally represented in any redesign or value-management process arising from the Council decision?

A1 This can only be determined following Council decision on how to proceed with the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment project.

Q2 Can the City advise if the operational requirements previously developed and agreed with the Club will remain the minimum project brief for any redesign?

A2 The impacts of any investigation can only be determined following the Council decision on how to proceed with the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment project.

Q3 Can the City advise which elements of the existing design does the City consider could be reduced or removed without compromising lifesaving operations, public safety, accessibility and service delivery?

A3 Refer A2 above.

Q4 *Can the City advise what budget and timeframe does the City anticipate will be required to redesign, document, approve and retender the community facilities?*

A4 Refer A2 above.

Q5 *Can the City advise if any redesign will require new planning, environmental, clearing, design-review or community-consultation processes, and what delay could those processes create?*

A5 As the majority of approvals are based on the current design and scope of works this can only be determined following Council decision on how to proceed with the project.

G Ridley, Hillarys:

Re: *Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.*

Q1 *Can the City advise why Council is being asked to revoke its previous approval of the redevelopment design before the additional funding and cost-reduction investigations have been completed?*

A1 As the existing Council decision endorsed the Club supported concept and design parameters are in place, the City is bound by that decision to deliver that scope of works.

Q2 *Can the City advise what is the practical and legal effect of revoking the Council decisions made in June 2022, June 2023 and November 2023?*

A2 The legal effect of the revocations is as follows:

- Part 6 – revocation of Part 2 of its decision of 27 June 2023
  - Will result in the following Parts remaining:
    1 NOTES the information detailed within report CJ099-06/23 relating to the alternate concept design Options A, B and C for the redevelopment of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club facility;
    3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report to the November Ordinary Council meeting with the further concept design option and estimated capital costs for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project, as outlined in Part 2 above, including the new public amenity and commercial facilities, within an approximate budget of $14 million, as detailed within Part 2 of the Council decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers), prior to undertaking community engagement for the project.

- Part 7 – Revokes Part 2 of its decision of 28 November 2023
  - Will result in the following Parts remaining:
    1 NOTES the information detailed in this Report relating to the amended Option 1 concept design as requested by Council at its meeting held on 23 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers);

3 REQUESTS that $14 million be listed for consideration in future budgets for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project;
4 NOTES that external funding from the State Government of Western Australia of $8,000,000 and the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Inc of $1,000,000 has been committed to the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project;
5 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to arrange community engagement on the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project prior to undertaking detailed design.

- Part 8 – Revokes Part 1 of its decision of 28 June 2022
  - Will result in the following Parts remaining:
    2 REQUESTS the following amounts be listed for consideration in the following budgets for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project, subject to external funding from the State Government of Western Australia of $8,000,000 and the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Inc of $1,000,000: $814,000 for 2022-23; $3,519,800 for 2023-24; $6,904,000 for 2024-25; $2,762,200 for 2025-26;
    3 NOTES that the amount of $814,000 listed for consideration in the 2022-23 budget for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project (Option 1) is to undertake community engagement and detailed design;
    4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to arrange community engagement on the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project prior to undertaking detailed design.

The Officers recommendation to revoke these prior decisions of Council is to remove the concept and design parameters to enable the City the opportunity to redesign the project with the available funding.

Q3 Can the City advise if the previous decisions are revoked, will the current design cease to be an approved option that Council could return to if additional funding becomes available?

A3 The current design could still be an option should funding become available.

Q4 Can the City advise if Council could retain the existing design approvals while directing the Chief Executive Officer to investigate funding, staging, value management and alternative designs?

A4 The existing design approvals will need to be re-lodged for approval should the design change.

Q5 Can the City advise what benefit the City obtains by revoking the current approvals immediately rather than reconsidering them when the Chief Executive Officer's investigations are reported back to Council?

A5 The City's recommendation to revoke existing Council decisions on the scope of works will permit the City to reassess the scope of works without needing to adhere to the concept and design parameters.

A Ridley, Mullaloo:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Can the City advise what date the preferred construction tender expires or ceases to remain valid?

A1 As this forms part of the confidential attachment to the Council Report the City cannot provide this information.

Q2 Can the City advise if the City has asked the preferred tenderer to extend the tender-validity period while funding and delivery options are considered?

A2 All tenderers were requested to extend the tender-validity period to support the Council decision process.

Q3 Can the City advise what additional costs the City would expect to incur if the current tenders are declined and the project is subsequently redesigned and re-tendered?

A3 As the existing Council decision endorsed the concept and design parameters are in place, this can only be determined following Council decision on how to proceed with the project.

Q4 If all tenders are declined, can the City advise if the City would be able to negotiate with the preferred tenderer at a later date, or would a new procurement process be required?

A4 Once all offers are declined, that ends the current procurement process, no further negotiation would be allowed, and a new procurement process would be required.

Q5 Can the City advise if the City has assessed whether elements or separable portions of the preferred tender could be awarded within the funding currently available?

A5 Yes, as detailed on page 103 of the Report, with the required community components of the project unable to the fully funded at this time.

R Milloy, Duncraig:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 The project was originally estimated at $14 million, increased to $17.8 million during detailed design and is now estimated at $30.44 million. Can the City provide a breakdown of the principal factors responsible for each increase?

A1 The early estimate of $14 million was based on a single building scope of works at that time. Following Council's decision to progress with a three building scope of works, the estimate increased to $17.8 million, primarily due to the additional works required for the separate buildings.

Q2 Of the increase from $17.8 million to $30.44 million, can the City advise how much is attributable to construction-market escalation and how much is attributable to changes in scope, design or specification?

A2 The $17.8 million figure was based on the three building concept design of February 2025. The concept could not consider the significant civil works required in the coastal foreshore environment which were assessed during the detailed design process to inform the pre-tender estimate.

The concept estimate did not include cost escalations from that time and that over the last six month period the current construction industry has also seen cost increases of between 7% and 9% due to the international conflicts and supply chain impacts.

Q3 Can the City advise what dates the City received quantity surveyor or other professional estimates indicating that the project was likely to materially exceed the available budget?

A3 The pre-tender estimates were received in December 2025, prior to the tender being released in early 2026, and it was approximately $1.5 million, or approximately 7.6%, over the available funding at that time.

Q4 Can the City advise when the City first advised Council that the anticipated project cost could exceed $30 million?

A4 The City is only able to advise Council of project costs following completion of the tender evaluation process through the tender report, which in this case was presented at the July 2026 Council Briefing Session.

Q5 Can the City advise what independent review the City has undertaken to confirm that the current $30.44 million estimate represents reasonable market value for the project?

A5 The City received seven submissions to the public tender request, and engaged an external quantity surveyor to provide technical advice on the submissions, which provided an appropriate indication of the current market value for this project.

F Gilbert, Kallaroo:

Re: Active Reserves and Community Facilities.

Q1 In the Officer's Comment for Item 16.1, the City refers to the Top 10 priorities identified during the 2023 review of all active reserves and community facilities. I am aware that this is currently being reviewed, but in the meantime, can the City please list the Top 10 Priorities from the 2023 list?

A1 The Active Reserve and Community Facility (ARCFR) review is undertaken every three years and reported to Council for information only and is not adopted. The review is then used to assist the Council in determining the priority of projects as part of its five-year capital works program as part of the annual budget process.

The following facilities were identified as the top 10 priorities requiring either a redevelopment or refurbishment as part of the 2023 ARCFR (*note there are 11 facilities listed as the last two had equal scores*):

- Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club, Sorrento.
- Heathridge Community Centre, Heathridge.
- Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club, Ocean Reef.
- Heathridge Park Clubroom, Heathridge.
- Warwick Hall / Greenwood Warwick Community Care Centre, Warwick.
- Dorchester Hall, Warwick.
- Greenwood Scout and Guide Hall, Greenwood.
- Grove Childcare Centre, Warwick.
- Guy Daniel Clubrooms, Heathridge.
- Percy Doyle Football / Teeball Clubroom, Duncraig.
- MacNaughton Park Clubroom, Kinross.

Q2 Can the City advise what method and inputs the City uses to rank the priorities for active reserves and community facilities referred to in the Officer's Comment for Item 16.1?

A2 The priority order is based on a prioritisation matrix assessment which includes facility category; and existing facility condition; project planning; utilisation; functionality; affected users; project outcomes; and value / timing of previous works.

Q3 Can the City provide a list of active reserves and community facilities on the list of priorities from 2023 in positions 11 to 20 on that list?

A3 The following facilities were identified as the second top 10 priorities requiring either a redevelopment or refurbishment as part of the 2023 ARCFR (note there are 12 facilities listed as the last four had equal scores):

- Beaumaris Community Centre, Ocean Reef.
- Warwick Stadium, Warwick.
- Calectasia Hall, Greenwood.
- Rob Baddock Community Hall, Kallaroo.
- Padbury Hall, Padbury.
- Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms, Mullaloo.
- Timberlane Park Hall, Woodvale.

- Warrandyte Park Clubroom, Craigie.
- Fleur Freame Pavilion, Padbury.
- Iluka Sports Complex, Iluka.
- Sorrento Community Hall, Sorrento.
- Kingsley Park Memorial Clubrooms, Kingsley.

Q4 *Can the City provide a list of the Top 10 priorities identified during your 2023 review of all active reserves and community facilities that have been resolved/addressed to date?*

A4 The following is a status on each of the top ten priority facilities requiring either a redevelopment or refurbishment as identified as part of the 2023 Active Reserve and Community Facility Review (*note there are 11 facilities listed as the last two had equal scores*):

- Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club – the project is being considered at the July 2026 Council meeting.
- Heathridge Community Centre– Council adopted a preferred option for the Heathridge Park Masterplan project at the 10 December 2024 Council meeting (CJ340-12/24 refers), with funds listed in the 2026-27 capital works program to progress the project.
- Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club – completed
- Heathridge Park Clubroom - will be considered as part of the Heathridge Park Masterplan project.
- Warwick Hall / Greenwood Warwick Community Care Centre – not progressed (subject to a possible redevelopment of the area as part of the Warwick Activity Centre project).
- Dorchester Hall – not progressed (subject to a possible redevelopment of the area as part of the Warwick Activity Centre project).
- Greenwood Scout and Guide Hall (and Calectasia Hall) – improvement works were completed in 2024-25 as part of a 2021 State Government Election commitment.
- Grove Childcare Centre – not progressed (subject to a possible redevelopment of the area as part of the Warwick Activity Centre project).
- Guy Daniel Clubrooms – will be considered as part of the Heathridge Park Masterplan project.
- Percy Doyle Football / Teeball Clubroom, Duncraig - completed
- MacNaughton Park Clubroom, Kinross – Council adopted a preferred option at the 29 April 2025 Council meeting (CJ091-04/25 refers), with funds listed in 2026-27 capital works program to progress the project.

Q5 *Can the City provide a list of the Top 10 priorities identified during the 2023 review of all active reserves and community facilities that are currently outstanding?*

A5 See response to Q4.

C Penney-Owen, Padbury:

Re: Item 12.13 -Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Can the City advise if the City has assessed constructing the new surf lifesaving facility and essential public amenities first, with other works deferred until further funding becomes available?

A1 Yes, as detailed on page 103 of the Report.

Q2 Can the City advise if the City has undertaken a formal value-management process with the Club, design team and preferred tenderer since receiving the construction tenders?

A2 While a preferred tenderer has been identified, Council have not endorsed to accept any tender submission, and the City cannot engage with any external parties until such time.

Q3 Can the City advise if the preferred tenderer has been asked to identify cost savings, alternative materials, construction efficiencies or revised staging options?

A3 Refer A2 above.

Q4 Can the City advise if the northern public toilet block, surf lifesaving facility and associated public amenities can be delivered as separate stages within the available funding?

A4 While the community components of the project are separately staged from the commercial facility, they are not fully funded at this time and therefore cannot be delivered within the available funding.

Q5 Before commissioning a substantial redesign, will the City provide Council with a comparison of the cost, timing and risk of staging the current design against redesigning and retendering the entire community component?

A5 Options based on the existing Council decision, which endorsed the concept and design parameters, have been discussed with Elected Members this can only be determined following Council decision on how to proceed with the project.

A Bevan, Hillarys:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Can the City advise what additional State Government funding the City has formally sought for the project since the revised $17.8 million estimate was identified?

A1 While initial discussions have commenced with the State Government, no additional funding has been formally sought at this time.

Q2 *Can the City advise if the City has approached the Australian Government regarding funding for the public amenities, accessibility, community safety or lifesaving components of the redevelopment?*

A2 While initial discussions have commenced with the Federal Government, no additional funding has been formally sought at this time.

Q3 *Can the City advise what grant programs, election commitments, borrowings, reserves or partnership arrangements have the City identified as potential sources of additional funding?*

A3 The City has no additional funding sources it has recommended to Council at this time.

Q4 *Can the City advise if the City has requested that the State Government reconsider or increase its $8 million commitment in light of construction-cost escalation since that commitment was made?*

A4 Refer A1 above.

Q5 *Can the City advise if the City will prepare and publish a funding strategy identifying the amounts sought, potential sources, responsible parties and application timeframes?*

A5 A funding plan and its publishing would be subject to Council decision on how to proceed with the project.

K Kelly, Hillarys:

Re: *Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.*

Q1 *Can the City advise when was the most recent comprehensive condition and compliance assessment of the existing Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club facility undertaken?*

A1 External condition assessments of the City's entire building network were completed during the 2025-26 financial year.

Q2 *Can the City advise what structural, accessibility, electrical, fire-safety, storage, changeroom or operational deficiencies have been identified in the existing facility?*

A2 The City undertakes scheduled compliance and inspection activities through accredited contractors as required by the applicable standards or regulations and any defects addressed as specified.

While the Club has identified deficiencies based on their operational requirements these are to be addressed as part of the redevelopment project.

Q3 Can the City advise what is the estimated remaining useful life of the existing facility if the redevelopment is delayed?

A3 On completion in 2025-26 of the external condition assessments, the long life and structural component assets of the facility had an estimated remaining useful life of over 40 years.

Q4 Can the City advise what capital and maintenance expenditure does the City estimate will be required to keep the existing facility operational over the next five years?

A4 As a leased facility the Club is aware of its responsibilities under their current tenure arrangements, with basically all day-to-day maintenance sitting with the tenant and the City responsible for end-of-life replacements due to "wear and tear" and structural day-to-day-maintenance and replacements and the City has existing maintenance and capital budgets to address its responsibilities.

Q5 Can the City advise what contingency arrangements does the City have if the existing facility becomes unsafe, non-compliant or unable to support lifesaving operations before a replacement is delivered?

A5 While the current facility does not have any issues which would stop the lifesaving operations, the City is not required to provide contingency arrangements should unforeseen events lead to the facility being unavailable, however, the City and Council will take action to ensure the important lifesaving operations continue should this occur.

P Gangemi, Sorrento:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Can the City advise what is the projected Return on Investment (RoI) for the proposed commercial development and has this detailed report been provided to the Councillors?

A1 A Return on Investment (RoI) analysis is to be conducted as part of Stage 2 of the Expression of Interest (EOI) process should it be endorsed by Council to proceed.

Q2 Can the City advise what is the update on the City of Joondalup application submitted last year for the proposed Commercial (Cafe/Restaurant) Development to the Department of Planning?

A2 As the applicant, the City is aware that the application is being assessed by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH).

Q3 Can the City advise when were the Council members notified of the extra $10 million building costs applicable to the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club (SSLSC), bearing in mind tenders closed February 2026?

A3 The City is only able to advise Council of project costs following completion of the tender evaluation process through the tender report, which in this case was presented at the July 2026 Council Briefing Session.

Q4 *If the Council Members were not aware of the SSLSC $10 million increase prior to passing the City of Joondalup Annual Budget, can the City advise how the Council Members could make a prudent decision to vote on the City of Joondalup Annual Budget?*

A4 Major projects can be presented to Council outside the budget process. Council adopted the FY2026-27 Budget which included an allocation of $7,927,206 to progress this project. The decision of Council on this report will inform long-term financial planning and future funding allocations for this project as part of the FY2027-28 budget development process.

Q5 *Can the City advise when will the ratepayers/community be notified by the City of Joondalup that all the notices they have received regarding 'additional parking' (sign still shows this at Sorrento Beach) was incorrect and should have shown a 'net loss'?*

A5 Signage and public website information will be updated following Council decision.

M Kwok, Ocean Reef:

Re: *Notice of Motion No. 1 – Cr Denise Mercer – Admiral Park Upgrades.*

Q1 *The Officer's Report states that Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility was not identified as a priority for any upgrade works (outside the top 10 priorities). Can the City provide a list of facilities that have been designated as higher priority than the Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility for upgrades?*

A1 The Active Reserve and Community Facility (ARCFR) review is undertaken every three years and reported to Council for information only and is not adopted. The review is then used to assist the Council in determining the priority of projects as part of its five-year capital works program as part of the annual budget process.

The following facilities were identified a higher priority at the time than the Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility as part of the 2023 ARCFR:

- Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Club, Sorrento
- Heathridge Community Centre, Heathridge
- Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club, Ocean Reef
- Heathridge Park Clubroom, Heathridge
- Warwick Hall / Greenwood Warwick Community Care Centre, Warwick
- Dorchester Hall, Warwick
- Greenwood Scout and Guide Hall, Greenwood
- Grove Childcare Centre, Warwick
- Guy Daniel Clubrooms, Heathridge
- Percy Doyle Football / Teeball Clubroom Duncraig
- MacNaughton Park Clubroom Kinross
- Beaumaris Community Centre, Ocean Reef
- Warwick Stadium, Warwick

- Calectasia Hall, Greenwood
- Rob Baddock Community Hall, Kallaroo
- Padbury Hall, Padbury
- Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms, Mullaloo
- Timberlane Park Hall, Woodvale
- Warrandyte Park Clubroom, Craigie
- Fleur Freame Pavilion, Padbury
- Iluka Sports Complex, Iluka
- Sorrento Community Hall, Sorrento
- Kingsley Park Memorial Clubrooms, Kingsley
- Bramston Park Community Sporting Facility, Burns Beach
- Forrest Park Community Sporting Facility, Padbury
- Seacrest Park Community Sporting Facility, Sorrento
- Flinders Park Community Centre, Hillarys
- Joondalup Reception Centre, Joondalup

Re: *Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.*

Q2 *On stamped page 109 of the Agenda, it states a Prior Expenditure of $1,286,403 on the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club project. Can the City please provide a breakdown of the expenditure?*

A2 The $1,286,403 expenditure includes actuals and commitments since April 2024. The majority being $792,475 ($535,575 actual and $256,900 commitments), related to the architects engaged for the project and $314,180 ($9,181 actual and $304,999 commitments), related to the revegetation works. The remaining costs are shared across other external consultants, contractors, utility and service providers, and the City's internal costs.

Re: *Concrete Kerb Installed along Elevated Portion of the Iluka Coastal Dual Path.*



Q3 *Can the City please explain the purpose of the structure for that location?*

A3 The concrete kerbing has been installed to direct stormwater runoff to designated drainage outlets. This helps protect the pavement by reducing water infiltration, extending the service life of the path, and minimising verge scouring and erosion adjacent to the elevated section of the shared path.

Re: *Tom Simpson and Northshore Drive Car Park.*

Q4 *Could the City please provide information on the cost of upgrades for the Tom Simpson car park and the Northshore Drive beach car park?*

A4 No modifications were made to the Northshore Drive beach car park as part of these works. The only works undertaken in this area comprised construction of the shared path and replacement of the concrete infill between the shared path and the existing car park. These works were delivered as part of the Department of Transport and Main Roads Infrastructure (DTMI) shared path funding.

Works within the Tom Simpson Park car parks were required to facilitate construction of the shared path and to integrate the upgraded path with the surrounding areas of Tom Simpson Park (North and South). The total cost of these works was $1,004,677, which the City fully funded.

Q5 *Can the City please provide information on the number of additional parking bays added to the Tom Simpson car park and the Northshore Drive beach car park since the upgrades?*

A5 As noted above, no modifications were made to the layout of the Northshore Drive beach car park as part of these works. Accordingly, there was no change to the number of parking bays at this location.

The works within the Tom Simpson Park car parks resulted in a net increase of 13 parking capacity being as follows:

- 9 standard parking bays.
- 2 ACROD parking bays with a shared access aisle.
- 1 large parallel ACROD parking bay.
- 1 loading/unloading embayment on Oceanside Promenade.

M Moore, Edgewater:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Referring to the November 2023 Construction Cost Estimate (shown below), what work elements have been added to the project over and above those included in this estimate?

CITY OF JOONDALUP - ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL AGENDA - 28.11.2023 PAGE 428

ATTACHMENT 12.18.4

Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment

Option 1.1 **Cost Breakdown - Ver1.1**

Item	Description	Qty	Unit	Rate	Total
	ITEMISED COST BREAKDOWN				
	The following Itemised Costs are inclusive of Preliminaries, Design & Construction Contingencies, and Professional Fees		*Note*		
1	DEMOLITION & SITE PREPARATION				400,600
2	CLUB AREAS				8,764,500
3	PUBLIC AMENITIES				917,300
4	STAND-ALONE PUBLIC AMENITIES				677,800
5	COMMERCIAL AREA				1,358,200
6	CAR PARKING WORKS				283,100
7	LANDSCAPING & DUNE REMEDIATION WORKS				298,700
8	EXTERNAL WORKS (paving, footpaths, retaining walls, beach access, etc.)				946,300
9	PROVISIONAL SUMS				1,084,600
10	ARTWORK				137,300
	ESTIMATED FINAL PROJECT COST (Ex GST)				**14,868,400**

16/10/2023 11:50:47 PM RW Quantity Surveyors Job No. 22040 Page 1 of 1

A1 No elements have been added to those shown in the 2023 estimate. The costs of these elements have been reassessed as the information available for estimate progressed from a concept level to the detail design level, and cost escalations and contingencies were also reassessed to consider the coastal foreshore environment during the pre-tender estimate. This cost estimate also pre-dates Council's decision to alter the location of the proposed development.

Q2 What is the cost estimate for providing a sewer connection, including headworks charges to the site?

A2 The pre-tender estimate includes an estimate of $200,000 for sewer services and headworks.

Q3 The Development Application documents for the Commercial component show that an electrical sub-station and new site main switchboard is now required. What is the estimated cost of providing an upgraded power supply to the site?

A3 Western Power headworks are currently estimated at $270,000, and the pre-tender estimate includes an estimate of $700,000 for electrical services.

Q4 What are the individual cost estimates for providing upgraded utility service connections to the site for water (domestic and fire services supply), gas and telecommunications (including any headworks charges)?

A4 The pre-tender estimate included an estimate of $150,000 for the hydraulic services and headworks, noting there is no gas connection for the new buildings.

Q5 Are any upgrades outside of the new carpark areas necessary and if so, what is the estimated cost?

A5 No additional carpark works are currently necessary.

The following summarised questions were submitted verbally at the Council meeting held on Tuesday 28 July 2026:

P Gangemi, Sorrento:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 When was the Mayor notified of the $10 million increase in building costs for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club redevelopment, given the tenders closed on 24 February 2026?

A1 The Mayor advised that the notification occurred through the agenda process after the tender assessment was completed. The Manager Asset Management added that the Mayor was advised approximately one week before the agenda for the Briefing Session held on Tuesday 14 July 2026 was released to the public.

M Moore, Edgewater:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Redevelopment Project.

Q1 Why is there a commercial component included in the development, and how much income will it generate for the City relative to the total annual income?

A1 The Manager Asset Management advised that the commercial component was included following a previous Council decision that directed it be considered as part of the redevelopment. The Manager Asset Management added that the anticipated income forms part of the confidential tender documentation which could not be disclosed at this time.

Q2 *Given existing parking pressures at the beach, how will the City ensure access for the general public and address concerns about parking availability associated with the redevelopment?*

A2 The Manager Asset Management advised that the project includes access and inclusion works to improve accessibility to the foreshore, including access from West Coast Drive, service and emergency vehicle access, and coastal footpath improvements.

The Mayor clarified that the beach is for everyone in the district and advised that parking and traffic impact assessments have been undertaken for the surf life saving club and any proposed commercial component. Council will consider those assessments, including the availability of parking for beach users, surf club patrons and any future commercial visitors, when determining whether the development should proceed.

L Rasmussen, Chairperson, Burns Beach Residents Association

Re: Dog Beaches.

Q1 Can the City confirm that a multi-criteria analysis of potential dog beaches, including the petition regarding Burns Beach, will be conducted that will consider the environmental impacts, flora and fauna protection, heritage sites, traffic and parking considerations, noise and community impacts, the proposed Dog Management Plan, and impacts on the Local Planning Scheme?

A1 The Acting Director Infrastructure Services advised that the administration is currently considering the Dog Management Plan, including future and existing dog beaches along the Joondalup coast, and that the criteria raised form part of the normal assessment used to determine where a dog beach can be provided.

Q2 Will the City undertake public consultation with the wider community before determining whether a dog beach should be established at Burns Beach?

A2 The Acting Director Infrastructure Services advised that public consultation had been undertaken as part of the Dog Management Plan and took the question on notice.

The following response is now provided by the City:

The City is aware of an open e-petition supporting the establishment of a dog beach at Burns Beach. As the petition has not yet been formally received by the Council, the City is not able to provide advice or comment on how the matter may be progressed at this stage.

Once the petition has closed and is formally received by the Council, the City will be in a position to consider the petition request in accordance with its relevant policies and procedures.

K Pinto, Ocean Reef:

Re: Pigeon Population in Ocean Reef/Prendiville Area

Q1 How is the City going to manage the pigeon population in the Ocean Reef/Prendiville area?

A1 The Acting Director Infrastructure Services advised the question will be taken on notice.

The following response is now provided by the City:

The City has no current plans to undertake a feral pigeon management program within the Ocean Reef/Prendiville area or elsewhere across the City.

The City's current feral animal management program is focused on the control of foxes and rabbits within natural bushland areas owned or managed by the City, where these species pose a significant threat to native flora and fauna. These programs are undertaken to protect biodiversity and assist the City in meeting its obligations under the *Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007*.

The City will continue to provide residents with general advice on managing pigeon issues, including measures to reduce food sources and discourage roosting on private property. Should a coordinated regional pest bird management program involving State Government agencies and local governments be established in the future, the City would consider participating in such an initiative, as it has previously done for the management of introduced corellas.

Q2 *Will the City organise professionals to manage the situation with the pigeons in the Ocean Reef/Prendiville, noting the matter does not seem complex?*

A2 The Acting Director Infrastructure Services responded that the question will be taken on notice.

The following response is now provided by the City:

The City does not intend to engage pest management contractors to undertake feral pigeon control. The City does not undertake wildlife control on private property or on land that it does not own or manage through an established agreement. The City's responsibility is focused on public land and natural areas under the City's care and control.

Where pigeons are causing issues on private property, the responsibility for managing the nuisance rests with the property owner. Residents may wish to engage a licensed pest management professional who can assess their property and recommend appropriate control measures. Depending on the circumstances, these may include eradication methods, deterrents and, where appropriate and undertaken by a suitably licensed operator in accordance with relevant Western Australian legislation.

L Schroeder, Ocean Reef:

Re: *e-Rideables*

Q1 *Noting the response to Question 3 in the Public Question Time agenda, it is stated that e-rideables are permitted to ride on grassed areas which differs from previous advice received, can the City clarify whether e-rideables are allowed to be ridden on grassed areas within City parks?*

A1 The Director Planning and Community Development answered that the City is in the process of installing signage at Mirror Park prohibiting e-rideables from being used on grassed areas and within play spaces and directing riders to use the shared path only.

Q2 *Can the City clarify whether the reference to riding on grassed areas specifically applies to e-rideables, given the current wording is ambiguous?*

A2 The Director Planning and Community Development answered that the question would be taken on notice, adding that the signs are specific to e-rideables and do not relate to other non-electric bikes or scooters.

The following response is now provided by the City:

Riding on grassed areas is not unlawful for e-rideables, including e-scooters and e-bikes. Advisory signs will be installed at Mirror Park and Sir James McCusker Park to encourage all riders to use these areas safely and to give way to pedestrians.

The City already has local laws that prohibit damage to local government property and conduct that creates a nuisance on local government property.

Responsibility for enforcing laws relating to the use of e-rideables rests with the Western Australia Police Force.

EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME
(Resolution No: CJ168-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Count that Public Question Time be extended for a period of 10 minutes.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

T Newton, Joondalup:

Re: *Joondalup Giants Rugby League Club/Admiral Reserve.*

Q1 *What does the club need to do as a club to improve the ranking in order to be considered for the City's top 10 facility upgrade priorities, noting that it is not even in the top 28 facilities requiring updates?*

A1 The Acting Director Infrastructure Services advised that the City reviews all active facilities every three years. The Acting Director Infrastructure Services added that Admiral Reserve was not ranked in the top 10 during the 2023 review, but the next review is scheduled for Quarter 4 of FY2026–27, providing an opportunity for the reserve’s ranking to be reconsidered.

M Sideris, Mullaloo:

Re: Written Questions Submitted – Infrastructure Listing.

Q1 Can the attachment provided for Q1 of the written questions submitted be provided as an electronic excel document?

A1 The Director Corporate Services answered that a copy of this table can be provided electronically.

Q2 Why is the infrastructure listing provided not a complete list, noting that some items at Mullaloo Park, Thompson Park and the toilet block at Admiral Park were not included, when the report presented to Council in 2023 indicated it was a review of all infrastructure facilities in the City?

A2 The Director Corporate Services advised that the listing related to active reserves, being spaces hired by sporting clubs and community groups for sport or activities, and therefore did not include locations such as Thompson Park. The Director Corporate Services added that separate reviews are undertaken for other City infrastructure and public open spaces, which the information of these can be provided if required.

6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

The following summarised statements were submitted verbally at the Council meeting held Tuesday 28 July 2026:

P Gangemi, Sorrento:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Redevelopment Project.

Mr Gangemi stated that he had been advised the return on investment analysis for the proposed commercial café and restaurant component would not be completed until stage two, which he considered unacceptable given the scale and risk of the investment. Mr Gangemi raised concerns about further ratepayer funds being spent on updated reports and staff time, noted perceived oversupply of cafés and restaurants and parking pressures in the area, and requested that Elected Members vote against the commercial component of the redevelopment.

M Moore, Edgewater:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Redevelopment Project.

Mr Moore raised concerns about a lack of transparency in the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club redevelopment, noting that the project combined the commercial café and restaurant, public toilets and change rooms, and the club facility. Mr Moore questioned whether the club should be described as a community facility as it was only available to members of the club and raised concerns over the condition of the existing public toilets. Mr Moore requested an explanation for the project's cost and scope issues and expressed concern that the proposal would reduce parking despite beach access needing to remain available to the broader community.

M Kwok, Ocean Reef:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Redevelopment Project.

Ms Kwok stated that while the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club building was overdue for an upgrade, the project had grown from an estimated $8.85 million in 2021 to around $30 million with a $10.5 million shortfall, making it financially difficult to proceed. Ms Kwok suggested revisiting the 2021 refurbishment option involving partial demolition and extension on the existing site, which she considered more cost-effective and sustainable, while also noting that a commercial component could be successful and encouraging Council to discuss the option further with the club.

S Eleck, Padbury:

Re: Item 16.3 - Notice of Motion No. 3 – Cr Matthew Count - National Climate Adaptation Funding and Accountability for Australian Cities.

Ms Eleck spoke in relation to historic tobacco industry misinformation and fossil fuel industry climate disinformation, arguing that both delayed action and caused significant harm. Ms Eleck stated that climate disinformation affects whole communities and future generations, and is supportive of Councillor Count's motion seeking climate adaptation funding, disaster recovery support, infrastructure repair and community resilience contributions from major fossil fuel companies. Ms Eleck urged the Council to support the motion in its entirety and hold fossil fuel companies accountable for the damage they cause in communities across Australia.

A Standeven, Greenwood:

Re: Item 16.3 - Notice of Motion No. 3 – Cr Matthew Count - National Climate Adaptation Funding and Accountability for Australian Cities.

Ms Standeven urged the City to support the Make Big Polluters Pay campaign by backing a motion requiring major fossil fuel companies to contribute to the costs of climate change impacts on local communities. Ms Standeven argued that rising costs for infrastructure repairs, coastal protection, emergency response and community resilience should not fall solely on residents, and that supporting the motion would demonstrate the City's commitment to climate justice, responsible governance and protecting ratepayers.

T Newton, President, Joondalup Giants Rugby League Club:

Re: Item 16.1 - Notice of Motion No. 1 – Cr Denise Mercer – Admiral Park Upgrades.

Ms Newton outlined facility concerns at Admiral Reserve on behalf of the Joondalup Giants Rugby League Club, including the lack of women's change rooms, poor toilet and change room conditions, insufficient parking, safety and amenity issues associated with people using the toilets for shelter, and inadequate spectator cover. Ms Newton acknowledged the assistance already provided by City's Sport and Recreation staff, but requested further upgrades, including improved lighting, roofing, paving and facilities at Admiral Park to support the club's continued growth as the largest junior rugby league club in Western Australia.

R Doherty, President, Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club:

Re: Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Redevelopment Project.

Mr Doherty advised that the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club had been working with the City for around 13 years on a possible redevelopment and wished to correct negative commentary about the club's expectations. Mr Doherty noted that members had contributed through a levy, the club had helped secure $8 million from the State Government and $2 million from Lotterywest, and while the funding shortfall remained significant, the club wanted to continue working with the City to deliver the project and support its lifesaving service to the community.

The Manager Strategic and Organisational Development left the Chamber at 7.07pm and returned at 7.09pm.

7 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

7.1 LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

Cr Christopher May	4 July to 31 July 2026 inclusive
Cr Rohan O'Neill	4 August to 6 August 2026 inclusive.
Cr John Raftis	4 August to 7 August 2026 inclusive.
Cr Denise Mercer	17 October to 30 November 2026 inclusive.

7.2 APOLOGIES

Nil.

7.3 REQUEST FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE CR LEWIS HUTTON
(Resolution No: CJ169-07/26)

MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr O'Neill that Council APPROVES the following request for Leave of Absence from Council duties for:

1 Cr Hutton covering the period 10 August 2026 to 1 September 2026 inclusive.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

8 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

8.1 MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY 23 JUNE 2026

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on Tuesday 23 June 2026 be CONFIRMED as a true and correct record.

ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ170-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on Tuesday 23 June 2026 be CONFIRMED as a true and correct record, subject to the following amendment:

Item 12.13 – Draft 2026-27 Budget, Part 9 of the Council Resolution shall be updated to include the correct wording for the purpose of the City Centre Place Activation Reserve, as follows:

"The reserve will be used for the construction of new facilities/infrastructure in the City Centre in accordance with the City's adopted Place Activation Strategy. The funds transferred into the reserve will comprise of the City portion of the project and interest that will accrue over time. At the completion of the project the reserve will be closed."

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

The Manager Communications and Stakeholder Relations left the Chamber at 7.10pm and returned at 7.12pm.

9 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Local Government Blood Drive

Mayor Kingston said he was pleased to be part of the 2026 Local Government Blood Drive, where the City of Joondalup made the most donations of any Western Australian local government for the sixth year in a row.

Mayor Kingston announced that the City's workforce and community made 73 blood and plasma donations during the three-month blood drive, helping to save an estimated 219 lives.

Mayor Kingston acknowledged Deputy Mayor Cr Raftis, who has been donating since 1987 and has made more than 150 donations.

Mayor Kingston thanked everyone who contributed to this incredible result and said it makes a real impact.

Mayor Kingston encouraged everyone to consider donating blood, noting that it is always needed.

Joondalup Business Awards

Mayor Kingston announced that the Joondalup Business Awards will be hosted at Joondalup Resort on 15 August, with the City of Joondalup hosting the awards to recognise excellence, innovation, resilience and entrepreneurship.

Mayor Kingston said local businesses are the backbone of Joondalup's economy, supporting almost 60,000 jobs and generating more than $9 billion each year.

Mayor Kingston stated that the innovation of local businesses strengthens the community and helps make Joondalup a better place to live and work.

Mayor Kingston said he looks forward to attending the awards and meeting some of Joondalup's hard-working business owners and operators.

Plastic Free July

Mayor Kingston said the City was again an active participant in Plastic Free July, encouraging residents to reduce their use of single-use plastics.

Mayor Kingston stated that Plastic Free July not only helps remove plastic from the environment but also reduces the amount of waste sent to landfill, making it an initiative that benefits the whole community.

Mayor Kingston noted that although July is nearly over, residents are encouraged to continue thinking about ways to reduce their use of single-use plastics.

10 IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

- Item 12.4 – Confidential – Status of Legal Actions Report (Ward- All).
- Confidential Attachment 12.9.2 – Item 12.9 – Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
- Confidential Attachments 12.13.1 and 12. 3.2– Item 12.13 – Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).

MOTION TO CHANGE ORDER OF BUSINESS
(Resolution No: CJ171-07/26)

MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council, in accordance with clause 14.1 of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, suspends the operation of clause 4.3 – Order of Business of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, to enable the consideration of:

1 Item 12.4 – Confidential – Status of Legal Actions (Ward – All);

2 Confidential Attachment 12.9.2 – Item 12.9 – Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct;

to be discussed after "Motions of which previous notice has been given".

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

11 PETITIONS

11.1 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO PROTECT THE LAWN AND FOOTPATH ALONG MERIVALE WAY IN FRONT OF GREENWOOD PRIMARY SCHOOL

A 39 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup. The request outlined in the petition reads as follows:

"We the undersigned all being electors of the City of Joondalup, do respectfully request that the Council:

Protect the lawn & footpath along Merivale Way in the front of Greenwood Primary School by the placement of closely spaced posts (as currently on the comer of Chandos Way & Merivale)

Consider also shifting the footpath on the eastern edge of Aldis to allow parking density to be increased over the current inline on the kerb by creating angle parking.

Rationale:

Current illegal parking at the school has not been policed by Council, Education Dept or WAPOL and creates a highly dangerous situation.

Continual flouting of rules sets a deplorable example for children."

11.2 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO REINSTATE THE 7PM CLOSING TIME AT DUNCRAIG, WHITFORD AND WOODVALE PUBLIC LIBRARIES

A 872 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup. The request outlined in the petition reads as follows:

"We the undersigned all being electors of the City of Joondalup, do respectfully request that the Council:

Reinstates 7pm closing at Duncraig, Whitford and Woodvale public libraries. As part of Council endorsed budget parameters that include 0% growth in staffing, materials and contracts costs over each of the next three years, the opening hours of Duncraig, Whitford and Woodvale public libraries have been cut from 7 to 6 pm two days each week. Reducing opening hours directly harms the library services the community relies on most – especially during cost-of-living pressures. We call on Council to reinstate these hours and instead find savings in other ways that don't directly disadvantage the community."

11.3 PETITION REQUESTING COUNCIL TO CEASE ONGOING USE OF GLYPHOSATE AND FLUAZIFOP IN PUBLIC SPACES ACROSS THE CITY OF JOONDALUP

A 484 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup. The request outlined in the petition reads as follows:

"We the undersigned all being electors of the City of Joondalup, do respectfully request that the Council:

Cease the use of glyphosate and fluazifop across the City of Joondalup.

The regular spraying of glyphosate and fluazifop—two chemicals known for their hazardous effects on health—is a persistent threat to the wellbeing of our local families, pets and wildlife.

For years, studies have highlighted the risks associated with these herbicides: eye irritation, skin problems, gastrointestinal issues, and even links to serious conditions like cancer, neurotoxicity, and reproductive toxicity. The use of a simple warning sign urging people to avoid the area while spraying takes place is a grossly insufficient measure of protection. These chemicals don't just stay put—they spread through the air, seep into the soil, and infiltrate our waterways, putting the entire ecosystem at risk.

Other local Cities and Councils have committed to reducing or entirely banning these hazardous chemicals in favour of safer and more sustainable methods for park maintenance. Mechanical weeding, mulching, and the use of non-toxic solutions can effectively manage unwanted vegetation without compromising public health.

To protect our environment as well as the health and safety of City of Joondalup residents we are requesting an immediate and fulsome review of the COJs current vegetation management strategies, including but not limited to:
> renewed discussion around alternate mechanisms to manage unwanted vegetation
> transparency around health risks associated with the use of glyphosate and fluazifop
> a transparent assessment of the adequacy or otherwise of the harm minimisation strategies that COJ use to manage the risk exposure
> a commitment to significantly reducing the use of glyphosate and fluazifop in favour of safer and more sustainable strategies
> development of a transparent plan and timeline to phase out the use of glyphosate and fluazifop across the COJ

This was a key election commitment of the recently elected Mayor and residents are keen to understand what work has been done to progress this matter.

This is an environmental, social and public health matter that deserves urgent focus."

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ172-07/26)

MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that the following petitions be RECEIVED and REFERRED to the Chief Executive Officer for action:

1 A 39 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup requesting Council to protect the lawn and footpath along Merivale Way in front of Greenwood Primary School;

2 A 872 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup requesting Council reinstates the 7pm closing time at Duncraig, Whitford and Woodvale public libraries;

3 A 484 signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup requesting Council to cease the use of glyphosate and fluazifop across the City of Joondalup.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

12 REPORTS

Disclosure of Financial Interest

Name / Position	**Cr Rohan O'Neill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.1 – Development and Subdivision Applications – May 2026 (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Financial Interest.
Extent of Interest	Clients that Cr O'Neill is working with appear on the list of approvals.

12.1 DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS - MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL)

WARD All

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR Mrs Marlaine Lavery
Director Planning and Community Development

FILE NUMBER 07032, 101515

AUTHORITY / DISCRETION Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to note the number and nature of applications considered under delegated authority during May 2026.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Schedule 2 (deemed provisions for local planning schemes) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations) provide for Council to delegate powers under a local planning scheme to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), who in turn has delegated them to employees of the City.

The purpose of delegating certain powers to the CEO and officers is to facilitate the timely processing of development and subdivision applications. The framework for the delegations of those powers is set out in resolutions by Council and is reviewed annually, or as required.

This Report identifies the development applications determined by the administration under delegated authority powers during May 2026 (Attachment 1 refers), as well as the subdivision application referrals processed by the City during May 2026 (Attachment 2 refers).

BACKGROUND

Clause 82 of Schedule 2 (deemed provisions for local planning schemes) of the Regulations enables Council to delegate powers under a local planning scheme to the CEO, and for the CEO to then delegate powers to individual employees. At its meeting held on 27 May 2025 (CJ113-05/25 refers), Council considered and adopted the most recent Town Planning Delegations.

DETAILS

Subdivision Referrals

The number of subdivision and strata subdivision referrals processed under delegated authority during May 2026 is shown in the table below:

Type of subdivision referral	Number of referrals	Potential additional new lots
Subdivision applications	0	0
Strata subdivision applications	10	11
TOTAL	**10**	**11**

Of the subdivision referrals, seven were to subdivide in housing opportunity areas, with the potential for eight additional lots.


Development Applications

The number of development applications determined under delegated authority during May 2026 is shown in the table below:

	Number	Value ($)
Development applications processed by Planning Services	102	$26,810,689.54

Of the 102 development applications, eight were for new dwelling developments in housing opportunity areas, proposing a total of nine additional dwellings.

The total number and value of development applications determined between March 2023 and May 2026 is illustrated in the graph below:



The number of development applications received during May 2026 was 70.

The number of development applications current at the end of May was 193. Of these, four were pending further information from applicants and 18 were being advertised for public comment.

In addition to the above, 261 building permits were issued during the month of May with an estimated construction value of $50,885,940.00.

Issues and options considered

Not applicable.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3.*
Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 3. Place.

Outcome 3-2 Well-planned and adaptable - you enjoy well-designed, quality buildings and have access to diverse housing options in your neighbourhood.

Policy Not applicable.

Clause 82 of Schedule 2 of the Regulations permits the local government to delegate to a committee or the local government CEO the exercise of any of the local government's powers or the discharge of any of the local government's duties. Development applications were determined in accordance with the delegations made under Clause 82 of Schedule 2 of the Regulations.

All subdivision applications were assessed in accordance with relevant legislation and policies, and a recommendation made on the applications to Western Australian Planning Commission.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**REPUTATION**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Loss of community trust
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy	Chief Executive Officer
Residual Risk	High	
Control Effectiveness	Strong	
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.	
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the detailed practices within the delegation process including supported by peer review, to ensure decisions taken are lawful, proper and consistent.	

Financial / budget implications

A total of 102 development applications were determined for the month of May with a total amount of $88,035.77 received as application fees.

All amounts quoted in this report are exclusive of GST.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Consultation may be required by the provisions of the R-Codes, any relevant policy and/or LPS 3 and the Regulations.

COMMENT

Large local governments use levels of delegated authority as a basic business requirement in relation to town planning functions. The process allows for timeliness and consistency in decision-making for rudimentary development control matters. The process also allows the Elected Members to focus on strategic business direction for the Council, rather than day-to-day operational and statutory responsibilities.

All proposals determined under delegated authority are assessed, checked, reported on and cross-checked in accordance with relevant standards and codes.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

Cr O'Neill left the Chamber at 7.18pm.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ173-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES the determination and recommendations made under delegated authority in relation to the:

1 development applications described in Attachment 1 to this Report during May 2026;

2 subdivision applications described in Attachment 2 to this Report during May 2026.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Development Applications Determined - May 2026 [**12.1.1** - 4 pages]
2. Subdivision Referrals - May 2026 [**12.1.2** - 1 page]

Cr O'Neill entered the Chamber at 7.19pm.

Disclosures of Interest affecting Impartiality

Name / Position	**Cr Bettina Gould.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.2 - Small Bar (Additions) at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka - Section 31 Reconsideration Under State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (Ward – North-Central).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Residents at the Iluka One Apartments are known to Cr Gould.

Name / Position	**Cr Rohan O'Neill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.2 - Small Bar (Additions) at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka - Section 31 Reconsideration Under State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (Ward – North-Central).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr O'Neill is a member of Metro Development Assessment Panel (MODAP).

12.2 SMALL BAR (ADDITIONS) AT 98 O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA - SECTION 31 RECONSIDERATION UNDER STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 2004 (WARD - NORTH-CENTRAL)

WARD North-Central

RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR Mrs Marlaine Lavery
Director Planning and Community Development

FILE NUMBER 106012, 101515

AUTHORITY / DISCRETION Administrative - Council administers legislation and applies the legislative regime to factual situations and circumstances that affect the rights of people. Examples include town planning applications, building licences and other decisions that may be appealable to the State Administrative Tribunal.

PURPOSE

For Council to reconsider its previous decision on the proposed additions to the existing 'Small Bar' at Lot 649 (98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka (the subject site), in accordance with section 31 of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004*.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On 7 April 2025, Dynamic Planning & Developments, on behalf of the owner, Agem Pg 33 Pty Ltd, submitted a development application for an alfresco addition and a retrospective storage area associated with the existing Small Bar known as Bar Ole, located at Tenancy 6, Lot 649 (98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka, within the Iluka Plaza Shopping Centre.
The application was considered by Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 25 November 2025 (CJ312-11/25 refers), where Council refused the application for the following reason:

"The proposal, having regard to Cl.67(2)(h), (n)(ii) and (s)(ii) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, does not meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan No.26, as the alfresco and storage area, and associated operations, will result in an increased on-site parking shortfall and increased noise and activity levels that will have a detrimental impact on the amenity of the adjoining owners."

The applicant subsequently lodged an application with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) seeking a review of Council's decision. As a result of the SAT mediation process, the applicant submitted amended plans and supporting information, including an updated Operational Management Plan, Acoustic Assessment and Parking Assessment Report.
The key updates to the proposal include:

- installation of a 400mm solid screen atop the existing 1.8m high wall on the northern side of the storage area.
- Limit of 20 patrons within the alfresco area at all times.
- Alfresco area closing at 7:30pm each evening and limited to seated dining only.

The City undertook public consultation of the amended proposal and additional information with affected landowners and occupiers. A total of 33 submissions were received, comprising 29 objections and four submissions in support of the proposal.

While the proposed amendments represent a refinement of the proposal, the City considers that the changes do not fundamentally address the issues that formed the basis of Council's refusal. In particular, the proposal continues to rely heavily on operational and behavioural management measures to mitigate amenity impacts, rather than demonstrating that the design and location of the alfresco and storage areas inherently minimise potential impacts. The updated acoustic assessment confirms compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997,* however, this does not fully account for the broader amenity impacts associated with outdoor patron activity in close proximity to adjoining residential properties.

In addition, the proposal will result in an increased on-site parking shortfall, and while updated survey data indicates some spare capacity may exist during surveyed periods, the information provided does not give sufficient certainty that parking demand can be accommodated without overflow into surrounding residential streets. On balance, it is considered that the amended proposal remains inconsistent with the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan No. 26 and does not adequately address Council's previous concerns regarding amenity impacts on adjoining landowners.

Pursuant to s31(1) of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (WA)* Council has been invited to reconsider its decision on or before 7 August 2026.

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location		Lot 649 (98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka (Tenancy 6).
Applicant		Dynamic Planning and Developments.
Owner		Agem Pg 33 Pty Ltd.
Zoning	**LPS**	Urban Development.
	MRS	Urban.
Site area		5,552m^2
Structure plan		Iluka Structure Plan.

Context

The subject site is zoned 'Urban Development' under *Local Planning Scheme No. 3* (LPS3) and 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS). The subject site is located within the Iluka Structure Plan No.26 (ISP) area, which applies a 'Commercial' zoning over the site with a 'Small Bar' being a permitted ('P') land use.

The subject site is bounded by Burns Beach Road to the west, O'Mara Boulevard to the south, Calis Avenue to the east and multiple dwellings (apartments) to the north.

The subject site accommodates a two-storey commercial development known as Iluka Plaza across two levels. The subject tenancy (Tenancy 6) is located on the western side of the upper-level of Iluka Plaza.

A Location Plan, including site photographs, is included as Attachment 1 to this Report.

Background

The subject site is located within Iluka Plaza, which received approval from the Development Assessment Panel (DAP) in 2019 (DA18/1336 refers). The subject tenancy was originally approved for Office/Consulting Rooms; however, this was later amended to a Small Bar. A Small Bar did not require planning approval, as the use is classified as a 'P' (Permitted) land use in the Commercial zone under Clause 61(2)(b) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

On 7 April 2025, the City received a development application for an alfresco addition and a retrospective storage area associated with the Small Bar. The application was considered by Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 25 November 2025 (CJ312-11/25 refers). Administration recommended approval of the storage area, subject to conditions, but did not support the alfresco component. Council subsequently resolved to refuse both the alfresco area and the storage area for the following reason:

"The proposal, having regard to Cl.67(2)(h), (n)(ii) and (s)(ii) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, does not meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan No.26, as the alfresco and storage area, and associated operations, will result in an increased on-site parking shortfall and increased noise and activity levels that will have a detrimental impact on the amenity of the adjoining owners."

DETAILS

The Council has been invited to reconsider its previous decision in accordance with s31(1) of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (WA)*.

The fundamental elements of the proposal being reconsidered remain consistent with the proposal refused by Council in November 2025 including:

- An uncovered alfresco addition (approximately 27m^2) located in the existing parking area consisting of:
  - 900mm high timber balustrade around the exterior.
  - Internal timber decking.
- The alfresco area is proposed to be constructed within two existing parking bays.
- A retrospective storage area (approximately 19m^2) with a 1.8m high colorbond fence. The storage area is constructed within one existing parking bay and has rendered a second parking bay unsuitable for use.
- Increase in on-site parking shortfall from seven bays to 11 bays.

The following elements form part of the amended proposal:

- A 400mm high solid screen fixed to the top of the existing brick wall along the northern and western boundaries to provide visual and acoustic shielding.
- An updated Acoustic Assessment with an Operational Management Plan which proposes the following management controls:
  - A fixed maximum alfresco capacity of 20 patrons at all times.
  - Alfresco area closes at 7:30pm, with a soft wind-down from 7:15pm.
  - Seating restricted to dining only within the alfresco area.

The development plans are provided as Attachment 2 to this Report. The applicant's Planning Report is included as Attachment 3 to this Report.

Planning Assessment

Council refused the application on the basis that it did not meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan, as the alfresco and storage area, and associated operations, will result in an increased on-site parking shortfall and increased noise and activity levels that will have a detrimental impact on the amenity of the adjoining owners.

In reconsidering the application under section 31 of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004*, the City is required to undertake a genuine reassessment of the proposal having regard to the amended plans and supporting information, and to determine whether the proposal, on its merits, addresses the grounds for Council's original refusal.

The City's assessment considered whether the amended proposal and additional information satisfactorily addressed Council's reasons for refusal, having regard to the requirements of Local Planning Scheme No.3 (LPS3), the Iluka Structure Plan (ISP) and the local planning framework.

Parking

There are 143 on-site car parking bays, provided across two levels. 75 bays are provided at ground level (accessed from Burns Beach Road) and 68 bays on the upper level (accessed from Calis Avenue). A parking shortfall of seven bays has already been approved for the site.

The updated proposal will still result in the loss of four car parking bays, consistent with the proposal refused by Council in November 2025. If approved, the updated proposal would see the current on-site parking shortfall increase to 11 bays.

To address Council's concerns that the increased on-site parking shortfall would have a detrimental impact on the amenity of adjoining owners, the applicant submitted an updated Parking Assessment Report (Attachment 4 refers). The updated report includes a more recent parking survey undertaken in March 2026.

The original application (November 2025) included a parking survey undertaken over two days in August 2025, as outlined below:

- Friday, 29 August 2025 – between 9:00am and 9:00pm.
- Sunday, 31 August 2025 – between 10:00am and 9:00pm.

The survey assessed both ground and upper-level on-site car parking bays at the subject site, as well as eight on-street bays along O'Mara Boulevard.

The results indicated that on-site parking peaked on Sunday, 31 August at 5:30pm, with 132 bays occupied (87%), followed by Friday, 29 August at 4:00pm, with 121 bays occupied (80%). While the applicant's survey suggested that sufficient on-site parking capacity exists to accommodate the proposal, the City noted that the survey was conducted over only two days in August which may not be representative of peak parking demand during the spring and summer periods.

The Parking Assessment Report includes a parking utilisation survey undertaken in March 2026 to capture peak summer trading conditions on the following dates:

- Friday, 6 March 2026 – between 12:00pm and 9:00pm.
- Saturday, 7 March 2026 – between 9:00am and 9:00pm.
- Sunday, 8 March 2026 – between 12:00pm and 9:00pm.

The highest recorded demand during the supplementary summer survey occurred on Saturday, 7 March 2026 at approximately 5:30pm, when 125 vehicles were recorded, equating to approximately 83% occupancy, with 25 bays remaining available.

On Sunday, 8 March 2026, peak demand also occurred at approximately 5:30pm, with 120 vehicles recorded (approximately 80% occupancy), resulting in 30 bays remaining available.

Peak demand on Friday, 6 March 2026 was lower, reaching approximately 73% occupancy at 1:00pm, when 110 bays were occupied, resulting in 40 bays remaining available.

A summary of the previous and more recent parking surveys is provided in the table below.

	August 2025 – peak demand periods	March 2026 – peak demand periods
Friday	4:00pm, with 121 bays occupied (80%), 30 bays available.	1:00pm, with 110 bays occupied (72%), 40 bays available
Saturday	-	5:30 pm, with 125 bays occupied (83%), 25 bays available
Sunday	5:30pm, with 132 bays occupied (87%), 16 bays available	5:30 pm with 120 bays occupied (80%), 30 bays available

A comparison of the August 2025 and March 2026 parking surveys indicate a consistent pattern of peak parking demand occurring during the late afternoon and early evening periods, particularly on weekends.

Overall, the March 2026 survey demonstrates a similar pattern of demand, with a marginally lower peak utilisation compared to August 2025. Both surveys show that a proportion of parking bays remained available during peak periods, suggesting that the site is not operating at full capacity, even under peak conditions.

The proposed development will increase the approved parking shortfall from seven bays to 11 bays. The updated parking survey indicates that some spare capacity is available on-site during the surveyed periods, suggesting that a portion of the additional demand may be capable of being accommodated within the existing supply.

However, the survey data is limited to a small number of days, including one weekend period in March 2026 and two days in August 2025, and may not accurately represent peak parking demand across different seasons, special events or higher trading periods. As such, it provides only a snapshot of parking conditions rather than a comprehensive assessment of peak demand.

Importantly, even on the basis of the data provided, the proposal will result in a greater reliance on available surplus capacity, thereby reducing the site's ability to absorb fluctuations in demand. In circumstances where demand exceeds supply, there is a likelihood that overflow parking will occur within surrounding streets, which has the potential to adversely impact the amenity of adjoining residential properties.

Accordingly, the City does not consider that the additional information provided by the applicant sufficiently demonstrates that the increased parking shortfall can be accommodated without resulting in unacceptable amenity impacts.

Notwithstanding, that some spare capacity was observed during surveyed periods, the consistent outcome of the assessment is the proposal will formalise and increase a parking deficiency on the site. The deliberate reduction in parking supply to facilitate non-essential components of the development is not supported, particularly where it increases the likelihood of overspill parking into surrounding residential streets. This outcome is inconsistent with orderly and proper planning and fails to achieve an acceptable interface with adjoining residential land use.

Noise and Amenity

As outlined above, the fundamental elements of the proposal refused by Council remain the same in the proposal being reconsidered. To address Council's concerns that the increased noise and activity levels associated with the storage and alfresco areas will have a detrimental impact on the amenity of adjoining landowners, the applicant submitted an updated acoustic assessment (Attachment 5 refers) and an Operational Management Plan (OMP) (Attachment 6 refers).

Storage Area

In the November 2025 report to Council, the City had recommended approval for the storage area subject to conditions requiring rubber matting and gate closing mechanisms, and a noise management plan containing operational measures to ensure the use of the storage area did not adversely impact on nearby residential properties. The noise management plan was intended to address potential amenity impacts associated with the area, and comments in the initial acoustic report which identified a need for operational controls to ensure items were handled during the day period and not placed in a manner that could generate impulsive noise.

However, Council was not satisfied that these measures would adequately mitigate impacts and refused the application due to concerns regarding increased noise and activity levels affecting adjoining landowners.

As part of the amended application, the applicant is proposing to install a 400mm high solid screen on top of the existing 1.8m high masonry wall to reduce the impacts of noise from the storage area to the neighbours to the north. The updated acoustic assessment outlines that with this additional screen and rubber matter installed in the storage area, noise emissions from typical item placement will comply at all hours.

The updated acoustic assessment also includes an OMP, which outlines that the storage area is not to be used for back-of-house storage of kegs or other large items typically stored externally, and proposes the following controls:

- No waste is to be stored in the area, with all waste (including bottles and cans) to be stored internally and transferred to the communal bin store between 7:00am and 7:00pm.
- Rubber matting is to be installed to reduce noise impacts.
- The area is to be locked and accessible to staff only.

While the amended application includes the addition of an acoustic screen, the City notes that the operational controls proposed in the OMP are broadly consistent with those previously recommended through conditions at the time of the original assessment. As such, the key issue of reliance on operational measures to manage noise impacts remains largely unchanged.

In addition, at the time of the November 2025 Council meeting, concerns were raised regarding the use of the storage area for waste, bottles, and other materials. The City's earlier report noted that the application initially sought retrospective approval for both waste and general storage but was amended to general storage only after it was identified the area did not meet health requirements for a bin store.

Despite this, during assessment of the amended application, photographic evidence sent to the City indicated the area was still being used for waste storage. While the operator subsequently advised they were unaware this was occurring and rectified the matter, and a follow-up inspection confirmed removal of waste bins, this raises concerns regarding ongoing compliance with the proposed management measures.

Furthermore, the OMP does not include specific measures for staff training or procedures to ensure items are handled in a manner that minimises noise, despite this being recommended in both the original and updated acoustic assessments. The description of items permitted to be stored in the area is vague and lacks specificity, referring only to "other cumbersome items," which provides limited certainty as to the nature of activities that may occur.

Accordingly, the City considers that the proposed management measures rely heavily on behavioural controls without sufficient detail or enforceable mechanisms, and do not provide adequate certainty that the use of the storage area will not result in adverse amenity impacts for adjoining residents.

Alfresco Area

In the November 2025 report to Council, the City did not support the proposed alfresco area and recommended that Council advise the applicant the following with respect to this element of the application:

"Having regard to Cl.67(2)(h) and (n)(ii) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, the proposal does not meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan No.26, as the alfresco area and associated operations, along with the storage area, will result in increased noise and activity levels that will have a detrimental impact on the amenity of the adjoining owners."

The below table summarises the key differences between the alfresco proposal considered by Council in November 2025 and the amended proposal:

	November 2025	**Amended Proposal**
Number of patrons/hours of operation	**40 patrons** Up to 7pm (Mon to Sat) **20 patrons** 7pm to 10pm (Mon to Sat) & 9am to 10pm (Sun)	**20 patrons** Up to 7.30pm Mon to Sun (wind-down from 7.15pm)
Outdoor music	62dB(A) – to 7pm 57dB(A) – 7pm to 10pm	57dB(A) – all times
Use of area	All patrons	Limited to seated dining only

The updated acoustic assessment indicates that the amended proposal can comply with the requirements of the Noise Regulations, with the OMP offering the following strategies and procedures that will be implemented by the operator to manage amenity impacts on surrounding residential properties:

- Staff taking reasonable steps to prevent patrons from leaving the premises with open cans, bottles or glassware.
- Ongoing monitoring of patron numbers to ensure that no more than 20 patrons occupy the alfresco area at any time.
- Restricting the alfresco area to seated dining with table service, with patrons seated and managed by staff to prevent standing, congregation and crowding.
- Maintaining background music at a maximum level of 57 dB(A) to ensure compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.
- Regular collection of empty glasses, cans and bottles throughout service.
- Requiring all patrons to vacate or relocate indoors by 7:30pm.
- Advising patrons of the alfresco closure time upon arrival to facilitate completion of meals prior to closure.
- Prompt clearing and cleaning of tables following patron use, with dishes returned internally for washing and waste disposed of within the tenancy.
- Regular monitoring and cleaning of the alfresco area throughout operating hours.
- End-of-day cleaning and relocation of furniture from the alfresco area to the internal tenancy or storage area.

It is acknowledged that limiting patron numbers to 20, restricting use to seated dining, and reducing operating hours to 7:30pm represents an improvement from the previously proposed arrangement and may assist in reducing potential impacts on adjoining residents. However, the proposed management procedures rely heavily on ongoing staff monitoring and intervention, as well as patron compliance. The OMP does not include detail regarding staff training or accountability mechanisms to ensure these measures are consistently implemented, and it is unclear how the alfresco area would be secured to prevent unauthorised use outside approved hours.

Notwithstanding the above, the fundamental issue is not solely whether the proposal can achieve compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*. Rather, it is the broader amenity impacts associated with introducing an outdoor alfresco area for a Small Bar in a location that directly adjoins residential properties.

By its nature, an outdoor alfresco area facilitates people congregating, conversation and extended dwelling in an open-air environment. These activities can result in intermittent and impulsive noise, including talking, laughter and general patron movement, which are inherently more difficult to predict, manage and attenuate than controlled indoor activities. While such noise may not exceed prescribed limits under the Noise Regulations, it can still result in a perceptible and ongoing impact on the amenity of adjoining residents, particularly during evening periods when background noise levels are lower.

Given the proximity of the alfresco area to neighbouring dwellings and its location within an existing car park interface, the proposal has the potential to introduce increased activity, human interaction and noise disturbance in a setting that is otherwise not characterised by such use. These impacts are not fully addressed through the proposed operational measures and are considered likely to detrimentally affect the reasonable residential amenity of adjoining properties.

Iluka Structure Plan No.26 (ISP)

The subject site is located within the Commercial zone of ISP. The relevant objectives of the Commercial zone include the following:

- To provide efficient and safe access arrangements with pedestrian and cycle priority.
- To promote buildings with active street frontages that properly address streets and public spaces.
- To encourage high standards of built form and streetscape.
- To ensure that development is not detrimental to the amenity of adjoining owners or residential properties in the locality; and
- To ensure that commercial uses remain reflective of the local scale and function of the centre.

The amended proposal is not considered to meet the objectives of theIluka Structure Plan No. 26. While some design and operational changes have been made, the introduction of an outdoor alfresco area and associated storage functions directly abutting residential properties and will result in increased activity and potential for noise and disturbance that is not consistent with maintaining the amenity of adjoining owners. The reliance on operational controls does not provide sufficient certainty that impacts will be appropriately managed. In addition, the nature and intensity of the proposed use is not reflective of the intended local scale and function of the centre, nor does it meaningfully contribute to high-quality built form or an active, well-integrated streetscape outcome.

Importantly, the issue extends beyond the mitigation of impacts and relates to the fundamental suitability of the proposed development in this location. While a 'Small Bar' is a permitted use within the Commercial zone, the proposed alfresco area on the upper floor of a car park and in close proximity to adjoining residential properties is not considered compatible with the site context. It is considered that the proposal will generate impacts that cannot be satisfactorily resolved and therefore does not represent orderly and proper planning within the centre.

Issues and options considered

Council may choose to:

- Affirm its previous decision to refuse the application.
- Vary its previous decision.
  or
- Set aside its previous decision and substitute a new decision.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3. Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015. Planning and Development Act 2005.*

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 1. Community.

Outcome 1-1 Healthy and safe - you feel healthy and safe in your local community.

Key theme 3. Place.

Outcome 3-2 Well-planned and adaptable - you enjoy well-designed, quality buildings and have access to diverse housing options in your neighbourhood.

Policy *Commercial, Mixed Use and Service Commercial Zone Local Planning Policy. Planning Consultation Local Planning Policy.*

Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3)

Clause 16 (2) of LPS3 sets out the following objectives for development within the 'Commercial' zone:

- *To provide for a range of shops, offices, restaurants and other commercial outlets in defined townsites or activity centres.*
- *To maintain the compatibility with the general streetscape, for all new buildings in terms of scale, height, style, materials, street alignment and design of facades or improve the existing streetscape.*
- *To ensure that development is not detrimental to the amenity of adjoining owners or residential properties in the locality.*

Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015

Clause 67 of Schedule 2 of the Regulations sets out the matters to be considered by Council when determining an application for development approval.

"In considering an application for development approval the local government is to have due regard to the following matters to the extent that, in the opinion of the local government, those matters are relevant to the development the subject of the application —

(a) the aims and provisions of this Scheme and any other local planning scheme operating within the Scheme area;

(b) the requirements of orderly and proper planning including any proposed local planning scheme or amendment to this Scheme that has been advertised under the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 or. any other proposed planning instrument that the local government is seriously considering, adopting or approving;

(c) any approved State planning policy;

(d) any environmental protection policy approved under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 section 31(d);

(e) any policy of the Commission;

(f) any policy of the State;

(g) any local planning policy for the Scheme area;

(h) any structure plan, activity centre plan or local development plan that relates to the development;

(i) any report of the review of the local planning scheme that has been published under the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015;

(j) in the case of land reserved under this Scheme, the objectives for the reserve and the additional and permitted uses identified in this Scheme for the reserve;

(k) the built heritage conservation of any place that is of cultural significance;

(l) the effect of the proposal on the cultural heritage significance of the area in which the development is located;

(m) the compatibility of the development with its setting including the relationship of the development to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the development;

(n) the amenity of the locality including the following —
(i) environmental impacts of the development;
(ii) the character of the locality;
(iii) social impacts of the development;

(o) the likely effect of the development on the natural environment or water resources and any means that are proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural environment or the water resource;

(p) whether adequate provision has been made for the landscaping of the land to which the application relates and whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be preserved;

(q) the suitability of the land for the development taking into account the possible risk of flooding, tidal inundation, subsidence, landslip, bush fire, soil erosion, land degradation or any other risk;

(r) the suitability of the land for the development taking into account the possible risk to human health or safety;

(s) the adequacy of —
(i) the proposed means of access to and egress from the site; and
(ii) arrangements for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking of vehicles;

(t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity of the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety;

(u) the availability and adequacy for the development of the following —
(i) public transport services;
(ii) public utility services;
(iii) storage, management and collection of waste;
(iv) access for pedestrians and cyclists (including end of trip storage, toilet and shower facilities);
(v) access by older people and people with disability;

(v) the potential loss of any community service or benefit resulting from the development other than potential loss that may result from economic competition between new and existing businesses;

(w) the history of the site where the development is to be located;

(x) the impact of the development on the community as a whole notwithstanding the impact of the development on particular individuals;

(y) any submissions received on the application;

(za) the comments of submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66;

(zb) any other planning considerations the local government considers appropriate.

Commercial, Mixed Use and Service Commercial Local Planning Policy

Objectives:

- To provide development standards for commercial buildings that assist in facilitating appropriate built form and functional commercial centres.
- To facilitate the development or redevelopment of commercial centres that respond to the local context.
- To ensure the design and siting of commercial development provides a high standard of amenity, no blank facades visible from the street and activation of external areas.
- To encourage high quality, pedestrian friendly, street-orientated development that integrates with surrounding areas.
- To create vibrant mixed use commercial centres that are the focal point for the community by locating housing, employment and retail activities together.
- To establish a framework for the assessment of applications for development within these zones.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**EXPECTATIONS**	**REPUTATION**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective/improper decision making	Inability to understand community expectations	Loss of community trust
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy		Chief Executive Officer
Residual Risk	High		
Control Effectiveness	Strong		
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.		
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of details of planning applications, the relevant planning legislation and any other impacts that allow informed decision making.		

Risk	**ATTRACTION**
Risk Event Description	Lack of desirability as a place to visit live, work, invest and do business
Risk Responsibility	Director Planning and Community Development
Residual Risk	Medium
Control Effectiveness	Strong
Risk Appetite	Medium risk is acceptable without variation to existing control activities.

Other risk information

Should Council resolve to refuse the proposal, the application may be the subject of a SAT hearing where the SAT may determine the proposal. The SAT may determine to uphold Council's refusal or approve the application with or without conditions. The City will be bound by the SAT's decision.

Financial / budget implications

Should the application proceed to SAT hearing, there may be costs of approximately $18,000 plus GST associated with engaging an external planning consultant to represent the Council during the SAT proceedings. This has not been accounted for in the *2026-27 Budget*.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

The revised proposal was advertised for a period of 14 days, commenced on 21 April 2026 and ended on 5 May 2026.

Consultation was undertaken in the following matter:

- A total of 55 letters were sent to landowners and occupiers of properties previously consulted with and those who submitted comments in relation to the previous proposal.
- Development plans and information were made available for public viewing on the City's website.

A total of 33 submissions were received during the public consultation period, 29 objecting to and 4 in support of the proposal.

Key areas of concern raised during public consultation were the following:

- Potential noise impacts from patrons using the alfresco area.
- Proximity of the alfresco area to adjoining residential properties and associated amenity impacts.
- Management and enforceability of proposed acoustic mitigation measures.
- Management and enforcement of approved alfresco operating hours.
- Impact of alfresco patron numbers on the amenity of nearby residential properties.
- Loss of on-site car parking bays to accommodate the development.
- Increased parking demand and potential traffic congestion in the area.
- Concerns that timber decking may attract vermin.
- Potential public health impacts due to proximity of the alfresco area to service areas.
- Potential smoke drift from patrons towards nearby residential properties.

A Schedule of Submissions and the City's and applicant responses are included as Attachment 7 to this Report.

COMMENT

The amended proposal has been carefully considered having regard to Council's previous decision, the additional information submitted through the SAT process, and the outcomes of further assessment. While the applicant has made modifications to reduce the scale and intensity of the alfresco area and introduced additional acoustic treatments and management measures, the City considers that the fundamental issues underlying Council's refusal have not been adequately resolved. The proposal continues to rely on operational and behavioural controls to manage potential impacts, rather than demonstrating that impacts are inherently addressed through appropriate design, scale and location.

In particular, the introduction of an outdoor alfresco area and associated storage functions in close proximity to residential properties is likely to result in increased activity, noise and disturbance that may adversely affect the amenity of adjoining landowners, notwithstanding compliance with prescribed noise standards. In addition, the increased on-site parking shortfall and limited survey data do not provide sufficient certainty that parking demand can be accommodated without spillover impacts on surrounding streets. Accordingly, the proposal is not considered to meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan No. 26 and remains inconsistent with the relevant provisions of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

Accordingly, it is recommended that Council maintain its position and refuse the amended development application.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ174-07/26)

MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council:

RECONSIDERS its decision dated 25 November 2025 (CJ312-11/25 refers) as invited by the State Administrative Tribunal pursuant to Section 31 of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004*, and SETS ASIDE its previous decision and substitutes a new decision to REFUSE the application for development approval submitted by Dynamic Planning and Developments, on behalf of the owner(s) Agem Pg 33 Pty Ltd, for SMALL BAR (additions) on Lot 649 (98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka, for the following reasons:

1 The proposal, having regard to Clause 67(2)(h), (n)(ii) and (s)(ii) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, does not meet the objectives of Iluka Structure Plan No. 26 as the alfresco area, storage area and associated operations are likely to result in increased activity, noise and disturbance in close proximity to residential properties. The proposal relies heavily on operational and behavioural management measures, which do not provide sufficient certainty that the impacts on the amenity of adjoining residents will be appropriately mitigated. In addition, the increased on-site parking shortfall and limited supporting survey data do not demonstrate that parking demand can be accommodated without adverse impacts on the surrounding locality;

2 The proposal, having regard to Clause 67(2)(m) and (s) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, is not considered to represent an appropriate form of development for the site, as the scale, intensity and operational characteristics of the alfresco area and associated activities are not compatible with the existing interface with adjoining residential properties. The proposed use introduces an outdoor patron area within a location that directly abuts dwellings, resulting in a level of activity and exposure that is not consistent with maintaining a suitable transition between commercial and residential land uses.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Location Plan [**12.2.1** - 4 pages]
2. Development Plans [**12.2.2** - 2 pages]
3. Applicants Planning Report [**12.2.3** - 12 pages]
4. Parking Assessment Report [**12.2.4** - 31 pages]
5. Acoustic Assessment [**12.2.5** - 12 pages]
6. Operational Management Plan [**12.2.6** - 27 pages]
7. Schedule of Submissions [**12.2.7** - 16 pages]

12.3 EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Craig Lloyd Chief Executive Officer
FILE NUMBER	15876, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to note the documents executed by means of affixing the Common Seal during June 2026.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City enters into various agreements by affixing the Common Seal. The *Local Government Act 1995* states that the City is a body corporate with perpetual succession and a Common Seal.

It is therefore recommended that Council NOTES the Signing and Common Seal Register for June 2026.

BACKGROUND

Documents that are to be executed by affixing the Common Seal or signed by the Mayor and the Chief Executive Officer are reported to Council for information on a regular basis. The last report to Council was made at its meeting held on 23 June 2026.

DETAILS

During June 2026, five documents were Executed by affixing the Common Seal as provided below:

Type of document	Property	Description
Deed of Variation of Lease	Kingsley Child Health – 133 Moolanda Boulevard, Kingsley	To execute the Deed of Assignment and Assumption and Extension of Lease between the City and Child and Adolescent Health Services for Kingsley Child Health Centre.
Deed of Variation of Lease	Mullaloo Child Health – 27 Koorana Road, Mullaloo	To execute the Deed of Assignment and Assumption and Extension of Lease between the City and Child and Adolescent Health Services for Mullaloo Child Health Centre.
Deed of Variation of Lease	Greenwood Child Health – 5 Calectasia Street, Greenwood	To execute the Deed of Assignment and Assumption and Extension of Lease between the City and Child and Adolescent Health Services for Greenwood Child Health Centre.
Partial Surrender of Easement N24418	Lot 811 (3) Sundew Rise, Joondalup	Easement N244018 was placed on the Certificates of Title for both Lot 811 (3) and Lot 810 (9) Sundew Rise, Joondalup for reciprocal parking and access as per condition 16 of the Development Assessment Panel (DAP DP/12/00582 and DA12/0568) that granted approval of the existing Bunnings hardware store (plus other commercial tenancies) at 9 Sundew Rise. On 28 January 2025, the City approved an application for development approval (DA24/0926) for the expansion of an existing commercial building at 3 Sundew Rise, Joondalup. The approved building footprint expansion falls over a portion of an existing car park within the subject site. To facilitate the approved development, the existing easement over the car park will therefore need to be modified, with a 'partial surrender of easement' required where the expansion replaces a portion of the existing car park.
Deed of Transfer of Land	Lot 807 on Deposited Plan 32406.	The Catalina Regional Council has requested the transfer of one-sixth of the ownership of Lot 807 Clarkson to the City of Joondalup to support the site’s development (CJ119-05/26 refers).

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government Act 1995.*

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-1 Capable and effective - you have an informed and capable Council backed by a highly-skilled workforce.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy
Residual Risk	High
Control Effectiveness	Strong
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the execution of documents in accordance with section 9.49A of the *Local Government Act 1955*; followed by the provision of a regular Execution of Documents Report to Council.

Financial / budget implications

This process is carried out using budgeted resources.

Regional significance

Effective and consistent approach to the execution of documents enhances the City's capability to deliver services to the district, and beyond, as required.

Sustainability implications

Effective and consistent approach to the execution of documents enhances the City's capability to operate sustainably particularly when third parties must ensure that the premises and/or properties are capable of being lawfully used for the permitted use including environmental matters such as contamination, waste and dangerous goods.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

Using a common seal to execute documents provides for consistency and accuracy and helps to reduce errors to ensure the execution of documents is undertaken correctly for it to be valid, binding and enforceable.

Such documents also provide third parties with assurance, and ensures parties comply with and obey the requirements of all laws and relevant authorities. They can also indemnify the City against any action, demand, costs or liability rising from damages.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ175-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES the Signing and Common Seal Register for June 2026.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.

12.4 CONFIDENTIAL - STATUS OF LEGAL ACTIONS REPORT (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Craig Lloyd Chief Executive Officer
FILE NUMBER	72574
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

This item was dealt with later in the meeting, after 'Motions of which previous notice has been given', page 140 refers.

12.5 STATUS OF COUNCIL DECISIONS - JULY 2026 (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	48638, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

To inform Council of the action taken in relation to Council decisions.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its meeting held on 22 August 2023 (CJ169-08/23 refers), Council endorsed a monthly report to Council on all outstanding matters that direction has been given on, including an update on any legal action that may have a contingent liability and are unresolved.

It is therefore recommended that Council NOTES the Status of Council Decisions Report for the month of July 2026, as provided in Attachment 1 to this Report.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on 22 August 2023 (CJ169-08/23 refers), Council resolved as follows:

"That Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a monthly report to Council, on all outstanding matters that direction has been given on, including an update on any legal action that may have a contingent liability and are unresolved."

A monthly report on all outstanding Council decisions and any action that has been taken in relation to them has been presented to the Council from 1 July 2023.

The content and format of outstanding legal matters is the subject of a separate report to Council.

DETAILS

The Status of Council Decisions Report (Action Register) is included as Attachment 1 to this Report.

The Status of Council Decisions Report details all outstanding items where a decision has been made by Council and/or a Committee and a status update has been provided by relevant officers.

The Status of Council Decisions Report is run through Doc Assembler, which went live on 1 July 2023. Any actions that were outstanding prior to 1 July 2023, have been added into the system manually (historical actions). It is to be noted that these items do not have a date displayed in the 'Meeting Date' column. Rather, it is included under the 'Action Required' heading. From 1 July 2023, all actions will appear in the Register in date order.

Issues and options considered

Council may choose to:

- accept the Status of Council Decisions Report
  or
- not accept the Status of Council Decisions Report.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation Not applicable.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-1 Capable and effective - you have an informed and capable Council backed by a highly-skilled workforce.
5-3 Engaged and informed - you are able to actively engage with the City and have input into decision-making.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**EXPECTATIONS**	**REPUTATION**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Inability to understand community expectations	Loss of community trust
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy		Chief Executive Officer
Residual Risk	High		
Control Effectiveness	Strong		
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.		
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of an accurate monthly report to Council to inform on the progression of the implementation of Council decisions, by the responsible Director. In order to remain transparent, and to facilitate timely and appropriate decision making, it is recommended that action items be reviewed at each Council meeting.		

Financial / budget implications

Not applicable.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

The Status of Council Decisions Report includes decisions made at a Council meeting and/or Committee meetings. Where a recommendation is made at a Committee meeting, and the decision subsequently made by Council, the Council decision will only be included in the Status of Council Decision Report.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ176-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES the Status of Council Decisions Report for the month of July 2026, as provided in Attachment 1 to this Report.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Actions Register as at 29 June 2026 [**12.5.1** - 46 pages]

12.6 MINUTES OF REGIONAL COUNCIL MEETINGS (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	41196, 03149, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to note the minutes of various bodies on which the City has current representation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following minutes are provided:

- Minutes of the Special Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 4 June 2026.
- Minutes of the Ordinary Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 11 June 2026.
- Minutes of the Special Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 18 June 2026.
- Minutes of the Ordinary Catalina Regional Council Meeting held on 18 June 2026.

DETAILS

Special Mindarie Regional Council Meeting – 4 June 2026.

A Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council was held on 4 June 2026.

At the time of this meeting, Cr Hill was Council's representative at the Special Mindarie Regional Council meeting.

The attached minutes detail those matters that were discussed at this external meeting that may be of interest to the City of Joondalup (Attachment 1 refers).

Ordinary Mindarie Regional Council Meeting – 11 June 2026.

A Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council was held on 11 June 2026.

At the time of this meeting, Cr Hill and Cr Fishwick, JP were Council's representatives at the Ordinary Mindarie Regional Council meeting.

The attached minutes detail those matters that were discussed at this external meeting that may be of interest to the City of Joondalup (Attachment 2 refers).

Special Mindarie Regional Council Meeting – 18 June 2026.

A Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council was held on 18 June 2026.

There were no Council representatives at the Special Mindarie Regional Council meeting.

The attached minutes detail those matters that were discussed at this external meeting that may be of interest to the City of Joondalup (Attachment 3 refers).

Ordinary Catalina Regional Council Meeting – 18 June 2026.

An Ordinary Meeting of the Catalina Regional Council was held on 18 June 2026.

At the time of this meeting, Cr May, JP was Council's representative at the Ordinary Catalina Regional Council meeting

The attached minutes detail those matters that were discussed at this external meeting that may be of interest to the City of Joondalup (Attachment 4 refers).

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation Not applicable.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-1 Capable and effective - you have an informed and capable Council backed by a highly-skilled workforce.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	DECISIONS	REPUTATION
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Loss of community trust
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy	Chief Executive Officer
Residual Risk	High	
Control Effectiveness	Strong	
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.	
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of timely reports to Council of the minutes of various bodies on which the City has current representation.	

Financial / budget implications

Not applicable.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ177-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES:

1 the minutes of the Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council held on 4 June 2026 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council held on 11 June 2026 forming Attachment 2 to this Report;

3 the minutes of the Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council held on 18 June 2026 forming Attachment 3 to this Report;

4 the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Catalina Regional Council held on 18 June 2026 forming Attachment 4 to this Report.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 4 June 2026 - Mindarie Regional Council - Special Council Minutes [**12.6.1** - 6 pages]
2. 11 June 2026 - Mindarie Regional Council - Ordinary Council Minutes [**12.6.2** - 26 pages]
3. 18 June 2026 - Mindarie Regional Council - Special Council Minutes [**12.6.3** - 7 pages]
4. 18 June 2026 - Catalina Regional Council - Ordinary Council Minutes [**12.6.4** - 15 pages]

12.7 ELECTED MEMBER TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE 2025-26 (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	00427, 109290, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to receive a report on the training and development undertaken by Elected Members during the 2025-26 financial year.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sections 5.126 and 5.127 of the *Local Government Act 1995* (the Act) requires that local governments must prepare a report for each financial year on the training completed by Elected Members in that financial year. The report must be placed on the City's website within one month after the end of the financial year in which the report relates.

This report highlights the training and development undertaken by Elected Members during the 2025-26 financial year, and details not only the mandatory training required under the Act, but also any conference and training events attended by Elected Members under the City's *Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy.*

It is therefore recommended that Council:

1 NOTES the training and development undertaken by Elected Members during the 2025-26 financial year, as detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the 2025-26 Elected Member Training and Development Schedule, as detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report, will be placed on the City's website.

BACKGROUND

On 16 September 2019, new provisions were introduced within the Act, requiring local governments to prepare a report for each financial year on the training completed by Elected Members in that financial year.

Sections 5.126 and 5.127 of the Act relate to elected member training and reporting, as follows:

*"**5.126 Training for council members***

(1) Each council member must complete training in accordance with regulations.

(2) Regulations may –

a) prescribe a course of training; and

b) prescribe the period within which training must be completed; and

c) prescribe circumstances in which a council member is exempt from the requirement in subsection (1); and

d) provide that contravention of subsection (1) is an offence and prescribe a fine not exceeding $10,000 for the offence.

5.127 Report on training

(1) A local government must prepare a report for each financial year on the training completed by council members in the financial year.

(2) The CEO must publish the report on the local government's official website within 1 month after the end of the financial year to which the report relates.".

The *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* prescribes the *Council Member Essentials* training as being the mandatory training (as per section 5.126(1) of the Act) that Elected Members must complete within their first 12 months of office. The *Council Member Essentials* training consists of the following modules:

- Understanding Local Government.
- Serving on Council.
- Meeting Procedures.
- Conflicts of Interest.
- Understanding Financial Reports and Budgets.

To enable Elected Members to develop and maintain skills and knowledge relevant to their role, the City also has an *Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy* that includes provisions around Elected Member attendance at conference and training events within Australia and overseas.

DETAILS

For the 2025-26 financial year, the mandatory training undertaken by respective Elected Members, and the training and development undertaken by Elected Members under the provisions of the City's *Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy* is detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report.

Issues and options considered

Not applicable.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*
Local Government Act 1995.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-1 Capable and effective - you have an informed and capable Council backed by a highly-skilled workforce.

Policy *Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy.*

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy
Residual Risk	High
Control Effectiveness	Strong
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of a report informing of undertaken Elected Member training in compliance with section 5.127 the Act

Financial / budget implications

The attendance of conferences and other training events for Elected Members is accommodated in the City's operational budget (an allocation of $86,400 in the *2025-26 Budget*). The cost of Elected Member attendance to various conference and training events for the 2025-26 financial year (Attachment 1 refers) is summarised as follows:

Description	Amount $ (incl. GST)
Mandatory Training	$7,122.50
Interstate/Overseas Conferences	$3,938.01
WA Training and Development Events	$29,069.95
TOTAL	**$40,130.46**

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

Local government is a complex entity and makes significant decisions that affect the local government's continued sustainability and community outcomes. Like any board or management structure, it is imperative that Elected Members have the appropriate skills to be able to undertake their roles to the best of their ability. Such skills are enhanced through the training and development offered to Elected Members throughout their term of office.

The Minister for Local Government and the WA State Parliament recognise the need for Elected Members to undertake continual professional development in fulfilling their role of public office. The introduction of mandatory training requirements into the Act and the need for local governments to adopt a policy in relation to Elected Member continual professional development, support these views.

It should also be noted that the City's *Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy* provides that Elected Members can undertake their own personal and professional development outside of the training and development offered by the City.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ178-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council:

1 NOTES the training and development undertaken by Elected Members during the 2025-26 financial year, as detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the 2025-26 Elected Member Training and Development Schedule, as detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report, will be placed on the City's website.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2025-26 Elected Member Training and Development Schedule [**12.7.1** - 2 pages]

12.8 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION 2026 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING DELEGATES (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	00033, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.

PURPOSE

For Council to give consideration to nominating delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Annual General Meeting of WALGA will be held on Thursday 17 September 2026 during the 2026 WA Local Government Convention.

All member local governments are entitled to be represented by two voting delegates at the Annual General Meeting, and delegates must register their attendance in advance.

The deadline for the registration of voting delegates is Tuesday 1 September 2026.

It is therefore recommended that Council NOMINATES:

1 Two voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026;

2 Two proxy voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026 in the event that Council's appointed representatives are unable to attend.

BACKGROUND

The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is an independent, member based, not-for-profit organisation representing and supporting the WA Local Government sector.

WALGA was formed on 6 December 2001 to provide a representative and united voice for Local Government in Western Australia. Prior to this, a number of membership-based representative structures existed to represent Local Government.

WALGA holds an Annual General Meeting for its members every year for the purpose of promoting local government issues of importance across the sector.

DETAILS

The 2026 WALGA Annual General Meeting (AGM) will be held from 2.30pm on Thursday 17 September 2026 at the Perth Convention and Exhibition Centre. Attendance at the AGM is free of charge to all Elected Members and staff from Member Local Governments, of which the City of Joondalup is a member.

The full Notice of Meeting is detailed in Attachment 1 to this Report. The Guidelines for submission of member motions is detailed in Attachment 2 to this Report. A 2026 AGM member motion template is also provided at Attachment 3 to this Report.

In order to participate in voting on matters received at the AGM, each member Council must register its voting delegates by Tuesday 1 September 2026. Pursuant to the WALGA constitution, all member Councils are entitled to be represented by two voting delegates. Voting delegates may be either Elected Members or serving officers. Proxy voting is available where the Council's appointed representatives are unable to attend.

The current City of Joondalup members of the WALGA North Metropolitan Zone are as follows:

Members	Deputy Members
Cr Raftis	Cr Count
Cr Fishwick, JP	Cr Mercer
Cr Hutton	Cr May
Cr Pizzey	Cr O'Neill

Cr Hutton and Cr Fishwick, JP are the City's delegate and deputy delegate respectively, to the WALGA State Council.

Issues and options considered

Not applicable.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation Not applicable.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-2 Proactive and represented- you are confident that the City is advocating on your behalf for initiatives that benefit the community.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	LEGISLATION
Risk Event Description	Inability to influence or effectively advocate for statutory change
Risk Responsibility	Director Planning and Community Development
Residual Risk	Medium
Control Effectiveness	Strong
Risk Appetite	Medium risk is acceptable without variation to existing control activities.

Other risk information

If the City of Joondalup does not submit its voting members, it will not be able to vote on the matters to be debated as part of the Annual General Meeting of WALGA.

Financial / budget implications

Not applicable.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

The North Metropolitan Zone Committee of WALGA, consisting of the Cities of Joondalup, Stirling and Wanneroo, is the main link the City has in considering matters relating to WALGA activities.

It is considered prudent to designate two voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of WALGA to ensure the City is represented and is able to vote on matters affecting the City and the broader local government sector.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

The Manager Planning Services left the Chamber at 7.23pm.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOMINATES:

1 Two voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026;

2 Two proxy voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026 in the event that Council's appointed representatives are unable to attend.

ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ179-07/26)

MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Cr Count that Council NOMINATES:

1 Cr Fishwick, JP and Cr Count as voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026;

2 Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo as proxy voting delegates for the 2026 Annual General Meeting of the Western Australian Local Government Association to be held on Thursday 17 September 2026 in the event that Council's appointed representatives are unable to attend.

The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Alternate Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2026 AGM Notice of meeting [**12.8.1** - 2 pages]
2. Guidelines for the Submission of Member Motions [**12.8.2** - 2 pages]
3. 2026 AGM Member motion template [**12.8.3** - 1 page]

Disclosures of Interest affecting Impartiality

Name / Position	**Mayor Daniel Kingston.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Candidate J may be known to Mayor Kingston.

Name / Position	**Cr Bettina Gould.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	One of the applicants is known to Cr Gould.

12.9 APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	09358, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.

This item was dealt with later in the meeting, after ‘Motions of which previous notice has been given’, page 141 refers.

12.10 ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS RESEARCH REPORT (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	104477, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

To provide Council with the research report on alternative coastal adaptation options which was undertaken by Griffith University and International Coastal Management (ICM) Consulting.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City's coastal zone is already affected by coastal hazards, such as erosion, and it is anticipated that the effects of these hazards will increase into the future, due to climate change and sea level rise.

Developing a Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan (CHRMAP) is a requirement under *State Planning Policy No. 2.6 – State Coastal Planning Policy* in accordance with the *Planning and Development Act 2005* to provide a long-term strategic plan to identify and manage the impacts of coastal hazards over the next 100 years.

At the Council meeting on 12 December 2023, the draft CHRMAP community consultation outcomes were presented and it was resolved in part that the City prepares a new draft CHRMAP having regard to internationally recognised scientific evidence, further research and coastal monitoring, identification of alternative adaptation options, and the review of *State Planning Policy 2.6*.

A research project commenced in 2025-26 which aimed to identify existing, new or innovative approaches used to manage coastal impacts including coastal erosion. Options from within Australia and internationally were analysed to determine whether they are suitable to be applied to the City's coastline.

The City engaged Griffith University and ICM Consulting to undertake research on alternative coastal adaptation options with the final report being received in February 2026, included as Attachment 1.

The outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report will be used to inform future detailed adaptation options assessments for specific sites subject to coastal erosion and further studies undertaken to inform the draft CHRMAP. However, the applicability of coastal adaptation options to the City's coastline doesn't necessarily mean that the option will be included in the draft CHRMAP.

It is therefore recommended that Council:

1 NOTES the outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report, shown as Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report will be used to inform future stages of the development of the draft CHRMAP and site-specific detailed adaptation options assessment for areas experiencing substantial coastal erosion.

BACKGROUND

At the Council meeting on 12 December 2023, the draft CHRMAP community consultation outcomes were presented (CJ264-12/23 refers) and it was resolved that Council:

1 NOTES the outcomes of the community consultation on the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan provided as Attachments 1 to 4;

2 PREPARES a new draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan in alignment with State Planning Policy 2.6, and relevant guidelines, developed by a multi-disciplinary team of suitably qualified persons;

3 DEVELOPS a Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy whereby the community is regularly updated and consulted in the development of the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan;

4 PREPARES the new draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan having regard to internationally recognised scientific evidence, further research and coastal monitoring, identification of alternative adaptation options, and the review of State Planning Policy 2.6;

5 ESTABLISHES a Community Reference Group to provide advice, guidance, and oversight in the development of the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan;

6 NOTES that actions to manage erosion will continue to occur while the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan is being developed, including but not limited to, beach nourishment and dune stabilisation.

A CHRMAP Project Plan was developed to guide the new CHRMAP and endorsed by Council on 17 September 2024 (CJ240-09/24 refers). The CHRMAP Project Plan includes a key milestone regarding engaging consultants or a research institution to undertake research regarding alternative adaptation options for coastal protection.

DETAILS

The City commenced a Request for Quotation process in May 2025 for consultancy services to undertake research of alternative coastal adaptation options suitable for the City of Joondalup. ICM Consulting and Griffith University were engaged to undertake the works in July 2025.

The City received a draft Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report on 12 January 2026 and provided the draft report to the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan (CHRMAP) Technical Steering Group for review. Consolidated comments were provided to ICM Consulting and Griffith University and the final report was received on 19 February 2026.

The scope of works for the consultants included the following:

- Undertaking research to identify any existing, new and/or innovative approaches used for coastal erosion adaptation in Australia and internationally.
- Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats (SWOT) analysis to screen options and select measures that may be feasible for the City's coastline.
- Feasibility assessment of alternative coastal adaptation options progressed from the SWOT analysis including scoring against the criteria of technical, environmental, economic and social feasibility.

SWOT Analysis

A SWOT analysis was undertaken to identify benefits of options (strengths), where challenges may occur (weaknesses), what external conditions could influence success (opportunities), and what external conditions could cause it to fail or become unacceptable (threats).

The SWOT method was applied to 33 coastal adaptation options to determine whether the adaptation options were suitable for further consideration. Those options that were determined to be incompatible with the City's coastline, or where there was a lack of consistent evidence of measurable erosion reduction, were not examined further.

Feasibility Assessment

A feasibility assessment was undertaken to rank 19 adaptation options that were shortlisted following the SWOT analysis. The feasibility assessment focused on whether an option would be:

- Technically feasible: ability to reduce coastal erosion under local wave and swell conditions;
- Environmentally feasible: can be implemented without disproportionate or unacceptable ecological and habitat impacts;
- Economically feasible: costs and ongoing maintenance obligations are proportionate to the benefits and funding reality over the lifecycle; and
- Socially feasible: is likely to achieve and sustain community support given local beach amenity expectations, access needs, and risk tolerance.

The Research of Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options report outlines information on each coastal adaptation option including discussion on the technical, environmental, economic and social feasibility.

The following table provides the ranking of selected adaptation options from the feasibility assessment that may be applicable to the City's coastline.

Rank	Option	Overall score	Rationale
1	Dune enhancement / revegetation	18	Essential enabling layer that strengthens the dune buffer, improves post-storm recovery, and delivers strong ecological and social outcomes; best paired with sand supply measures.
2	Sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping	18	Low-cost dune building and recovery support that helps retain benefits between sand campaigns; depends on correct placement, access control, and ongoing maintenance.
3	Hybrid seawall-dune system	15	Selective asset-protection layer that maintains a sandy frontage if kept buried; performance depends on reliable sand cover, monitoring, and replenishment triggers under sea level rise.
4	Sand bypassing	14	Restores sediment continuity where transport is interrupted; can reduce reliance on hard controls; requires repeat operations, monitoring, and clear operational governance.
5	Beach face nourishment	13	Core on-beach sand addition to rebuild beach width and storm buffer; repeatable but dependent on sand source, access, approvals, and ongoing campaign planning.
6	Shoreface or nearshore nourishment	13	Sand placement offshore to reduce on-beach disruption; outcomes and approvals can be more complex in sensitive marine settings and require monitoring and adaptive management.
7	Large scale sand nourishment projects	13	Larger-volume campaigns that can create a longer-lasting buffer where continuous frontage and reliable supply exist; requires staging areas, approvals, and monitoring.
8	Beach scraping and re-profiling	13	Tactical post-storm recovery tool to rapidly rebuild buffers under defined triggers and limits; not a primary long-term stabilisation measure.
9	Backpassing and enhanced backpassing	12	Operational sand redistribution that can support targeted hotspots where suitable donor areas exist; constrained by donor availability and risk of shifting impacts to the borrow site.
10	Rock filter units	11	Localised or interim toe/transition protection and emergency response option; not a whole-of-frontage erosion solution and may face approvals constraints near sensitive habitats.
11	Living speed bumps	11	Pilotable nearshore roughness concept intended to reduce wave-energy concentration at hotspots; benefit is uncertain on exposed coasts and requires modelling and clear success criteria.
12	Rock groynes	10	Technically able to trap sand but can displace impacts downdrift and impose ongoing governance burdens; generally low social licence due to visible planform control.
13	Sloping rock revetments and seawalls	10	Asset protection option with clear constructability; still entails trade-offs for beach width and amenity and needs careful siting and overtopping/transition management.
14	Geofabric groynes	9	Potential trial-scale control with some reversibility; still carries transport disruption risks and reputational issues if exposed, damaged, or poorly maintained.
15	Multipurpose artificial reefs	8	High design sensitivity and uncertain measurable shoreline benefit; best treated, if at all, as a staged pilot only where modelling indicates clear retention benefits.

Rank	Option	Overall score	Rationale
16	Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers, incl. submerged islands	8	High cost and environmental uncertainty with acceptance risks; only progress where modelling shows clear net benefit and impacts are manageable.
17	Vertical seawalls	8	Proven to protect assets but high trade-offs for beach amenity and approvals; best treated as a targeted last-resort protection measure in constrained locations.
18	Detached breakwaters	8	Potentially effective but typically low environmental and social feasibility; high trade-off option with major approvals complexity and amenity impacts.
19	Offshore islands	8	Transformative, high-cost concept with significant ecological, circulation, and social licence risks.

Scoring for the feasibility assessment used the following rationale:

Score	Technical feasibility	Environmental feasibility	Economic feasibility	Social feasibility
5	Proven and reliable; straightforward to design and build; adaptable over time.	Minimal, reversible impacts; approvals straightforward.	Low capex and low operational and maintenance cost; limited studies and monitoring.	Enhances amenity and access; minimal visual impact; strong support likely.
4	Feasible with standard studies; manageable sensitivities.	Manageable impacts with mitigation; approvals achievable.	Moderate capex and operational and maintenance cost; scalable; some monitoring.	Mostly consistent with amenity and access; manageable disruption; likely acceptable.
3	Feasible but design-sensitive; needs modelling, trials, or tighter controls.	Noticeable impacts or uncertainty; targeted studies and monitoring; approvals not straightforward.	Moderate to high cost and/or ongoing operations; studies and monitoring are material.	Mixed outcomes; some amenity, access, or surf/safety impacts; acceptance depends on design and engagement.
2	Site-dependent and complex; higher risk of unintended effects; constructability constraints.	Higher impact risk or uncertainty; approvals likely difficult.	High capex and/or high operational and maintenance cost; specialist delivery and monitoring add substantial cost.	Likely opposition due to footprint, access disruption, or perceived industrialisation.
1	Unlikely to work as intended.	Likely unacceptable or not approvable.	Very high cost and lifecycle burden.	Very low social licence likely.

Based on the feasibility study results, ICM Consulting and Griffith University recommend that the most credible pathway to maintain beach amenity and improve resilience is a sand-and-dune led portfolio supported by ongoing sediment management, with the potential for selective, targeted control points where evidence shows they materially improve performance. This pathway responds to the community's preference for maintaining natural sandy beach environments and minimising visual intrusion, while also acknowledging that structural options can remain an option where Council wishes to investigate them further.

Some adaptation options, such as dune enhancement and revegetation, and sand fencing and dune trapping, score very strongly in the feasibility assessment and should be treated as essential enabling measures. However, they are not sufficient by themselves because they do not add sediment to the active coastal system.

ICM Consulting and Griffith University recommend to build a combined portfolio in which high-scoring measures provide the primary foundation and mid-ranked measures are used selectively to solve specific problems that cannot be resolved by the foundation measures alone. The feasibility ranking provides guidance on what is easiest to deliver and scale, rather than being a prescription of what to build. It is recommended to assemble adaptation combinations that together provide acceptable sand volumes where required, preserve amenity, and, where needed, add carefully located control points that increase efficiency and resilience.

Local context is important when selecting appropriate adaptation options, because the most suitable mix of options can vary substantially along the coastline, depending on sediment pathways, access and staging constraints, existing management practice, and approvals sensitivities. Different options, and combinations of options, will be applicable to different sections of the City's coastline. The combination of options will vary depending on the characteristics and vulnerability of specific locations.

The outcomes from the alternative coastal adaptation options report will be used to inform detailed adaptation options assessments for specific sites subject to coastal erosion and further studies for the CHRMAP. However, the applicability of coastal adaptation options to the City's coastline doesn't necessarily mean that the option will be implemented at specific sites or included in the draft CHRMAP.

Next steps in developing the new draft CHRMAP

The following steps shown in the table below will be undertaken to develop the new draft CHRMAP.

Item	Detail
Coastal Hazard Assessment	Identifies potential coastal erosion hazards which may impact assets in the coastal zone, including tangible and intangible hazards, for present day, 25, 50 and 100 year timeframes.
Risk and vulnerability assessment	Establishes understanding of potential impacts of erosion and storm surge inundation on assets and their values and identifies how the effects of coastal hazards are likely to impact on assets and recommends appropriate adaptation options
Multi-Criteria Analysis	Assesses each of the proposed adaptation options against a range of criteria including effectiveness, constructability, legal requirements, adaptability, community values, environmental impact, capital cost and operating / maintenance cost. Considers the outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report.
Cost Benefit Analysis	Assesses the viability of the proposed adaptation options from the outcomes of the Multi-Criteria Analysis based on the likely ratio of social, economic and environmental costs and benefits for each option resulting in a list of prioritised adaptation options for each coastal management zone.
Proposed Adaptation Pathways	Identifies proposed adaptation pathways based on the outcomes of the Multi-Criteria Analysis and Cost Benefit Analysis for each coastal management zone.
Benefit Distribution Analysis	Identifies models to fund investment into adaptation pathways.
Drafting of CHRMAP document	A draft plan will be developed which is informed by the assessments and studies undertaken in previous stages.

Future stages of the development of the CHRMAP will be informed by feedback from the CHRMAP Community Reference Group, CHRMAP Technical Steering Group and Council.

Issues and options considered

Not applicable.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government Act 1995.*
Planning and Development Act 2005.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 2. Environment.

Outcome 2-1 Managed and protected - you value and enjoy the biodiversity in local bushland, wetland and coastal areas.
2-4 Resilient and prepared - you understand and are prepared for the impacts of climate change and natural disasters.

Policy *Coastal Local Planning Policy.*
State Planning Policy 2.6 - State Coastal Planning Policy (SPP2.6).
Sustainability Council Policy.
WA Coastal Zone Strategy.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**ENVIRONMENT**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Unsustainable environmental and natural resource management
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy	Director Infrastructure Services
Residual Risk	High	
Control Effectiveness	Strong	
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.	
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of a report that details alternative coastal adaptation options which may impact future decision making.	

Other risk information

The City's coastal zone is already affected by erosion, and the City's vulnerability to coastal erosion is predicted to increase into the future. Sandy beaches, dunes and native vegetation are vulnerable to coastal erosion impacts.

Financial / budget implications

The City engaged ICM Consulting and Griffith University to undertake research of alternative adaptation options suitable for the City for a cost of $33,300.

The City currently manages the impacts of coastal erosion, including maintaining existing groynes and seawalls, conducting the annual sand bypassing program around Hillarys Beach Harbour, and completing ongoing coastal monitoring studies.

Funding is currently sought from available State and Federal funding sources for these works, and these grants are likely to continue to be available to the City.

All amounts quoted in this report are exclusive of GST.

Regional significance

The City's coastal zone is accessed and utilised by the community of the City of Joondalup as well as the community of the wider Perth region. All coastal land managers in Western Australia are required to develop a CHRMAP over a 100-year planning timeframe, in accordance with *State Planning Policy No. 2.6*.

Sustainability implications

Environmental

The City currently manages the impacts of coastal erosion including natural area management in coastal foreshore reserves, conducting ongoing coastal monitoring studies, maintaining existing groynes and seawalls and conducting the annual sand bypassing program around Hillarys Beach Harbour.

Social

The City's coastal zone contains numerous natural and built assets such as the beach, vegetation and dunes, beach accessways, cycle paths, car parks, parks and associated infrastructure. These areas and assets provide recreational and health benefits to the community. Coastal vulnerability to erosion affects the coastal amenity, functionality and aesthetics and impacts upon community use of the coastal zone.

Economic

The City aims to attract visitors to the City by providing high quality coastal areas that are attractive and welcoming. Attraction of visitors has economic benefits to the City and local businesses. Planning for and adapting to coastal hazards ensures that the City's coastal zone is managed to the best possible standard, continuing to encourage visitor investment into the City.

Consultation

The City provided the draft Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report to the CHRMAP Technical Steering Group for review in January 2026 and feedback was incorporated into the final report. The CHRMAP Technical Steering Group consists of technical experts from the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA), Department of Transport, Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage,
University of Western Australia and the City.

The Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report was discussed with the CHRMAP Community Reference Group on 18 March 2026.

The Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report was presented to Elected Members for feedback at an information session in May 2026.

COMMENT

The outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report align with community preferences for low visibility and nature-based solutions whilst acknowledging that structural options can remain an option where Council wishes to investigate them further.

The outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report will be used to inform future detailed adaptation options assessments for specific sites subject to coastal erosion and further studies undertaken to inform the development of the draft CHRMAP. However, the applicability of coastal adaptation options to the City's coastline doesn't necessarily mean that the option will be included in the draft CHRMAP.

The City has drafted a Request for Quotation regarding a Hillarys-Kallaroo Foreshore Reserve Detailed Adaptation Options Assessment which is intended to be distributed in 2026-27. Hillarys-Kallaroo Foreshore Reserve is subject to high levels of coastal erosion and Pinnaroo Point has recently been recommended to be designated as a coastal erosion hotspot by the State Government. The options assessment will inform detailed coastal adaptation measures which will be required to be implemented in the short term in Hillarys- Kallaroo Foreshore Reserve, prior to the City's CHRMAP being finalised, using the key findings from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report as a reference.

The outcomes from site specific detailed adaptation options assessments will be presented to Council for consideration.

Future stages of the development of the CHRMAP will be informed by feedback from the CHRMAP Community Reference Group, CHRMAP Technical Steering Group and Council.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ180-07/26)

MOVED Cr Hill, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council:

1 NOTES the outcomes from the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report, shown as Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Research Report will be used to inform future stages of the development of the draft CHRMAP and site specific detailed adaptation options assessment for areas experiencing substantial coastal erosion.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Research of Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options Joondalup [**12.10.1** - 95 pages]

12.11 LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Mat Humfrey Director Corporate Services
FILE NUMBER	09882, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to note the list of accounts and card transactions paid under the Chief Executive Officer's delegated authority during the month of May 2026.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report shows the list of payments made under delegated authority during May 2026 totaling $16,404,899.23 and presents the card transactions incurred during the month of May 2026, comprising of corporate credit card and fuel card transactions totalling $97,397.67.

It is therefore recommended that Council NOTES the Chief Executive Officer's list of accounts and card transactions for May 2026 paid under delegated authority in accordance with Regulation 13(1) and 13A of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 forming Attachments 1, 2 and 3 to this Report, for list of accounts totaling $16,404,899.23 and Attachment 4 and 5 for card transactions totaling $97,397.67.

BACKGROUND

Council has delegated, to the Chief Executive Officer, the exercise of its power to make payments from the City's Municipal and Trust funds. In accordance with Regulation 13 and Regulation 13A of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* a list of accounts and payments made by credit, debit, purchasing or other cards by employees paid by the Chief Executive Officer is to be provided to Council, where such delegation is made.

The (former) Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries has advised the City that the intent of Regulation 13A is to present transactions incurred in a month, whether payment, that is, transfer of funds from the City to a supplier, has taken place or not.

DETAILS

The table below summarises the payments drawn on the funds during the month of May 2026. Lists detailing the payments made are appended as Attachments 1 and 2 to this Report.

The vouchers for the month are appended as Attachment 3 to this Report.

FUNDS	DETAILS	AMOUNT
Municipal Account	113645 – 113657 & EF138268 – EF138630 & EF138644 – EF138980	$10,312,305.33
	Net of cancelled payments Vouchers 4208A & 4210A -4221A	$6,059,038.90
	Bond Refund Cheques & EFT Payments EF138253 – EF138267 & EF138631 – EF138643 Net of cancelled payments.	$33,555.00
	Total	$16,404,899.23

The City incurred the following card transactions during the month of May 2026.

Corporate credit card transactions	$29,508.35
Fuel card transactions	$67,889.32
Total for the month	**$97,397.67**

Details of transactions from corporate credit cards and fuel cards are contained in Attachments 4 and 5 to this Report respectively.

The City's corporate credit cards are issued and managed in accordance with the *Corporate Credit Cards Council Policy*. Suppliers from whom goods or services are procured using the corporate credit cards are paid at the time of purchase by the card issuer who the City subsequently pays for all card transactions during the month. Payment to the card issuer typically occurs at the end of the transaction month or early in the following month. All the City's corporate credit cards have been issued by the City's bankers, Westpac.

Fuel cards are attached to specific vehicles and plant items, such as ride-on mowers, that require fuel. The City has a contract with Ampol engaged through the State Government Common Use Arrangements. Fuel cards are utilised at Ampol outlets under the terms of the contract and record the cost of fuel supplied at the time of the transactions. Ampol invoices the City at the end of each month for all fuel charges incurred via the issued fuel cards. Invoices are typically paid the month after the fuel charges are incurred.

Issues and options considered

There are two options in relation to the list of payments.

Option 1

That Council declines to note the list of payments and card transactions for the month of May 2026 paid under delegated authority. The list is required to be reported to Council in accordance with Regulation 13(1) and 13A(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*, and the payments listed have already been paid under the delegated authority. This option is not recommended.

Option 2

That Council notes the list of payments and card transactions paid under delegated authority. This option is recommended.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

The Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer the exercise of its authority to make payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds, therefore in accordance with Regulation 13(1) and 13A of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*, a list of accounts paid by the Chief Executive Officer is prepared each month showing each account and amount paid since the last list was prepared.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-4 Responsible and financially sustainable - you are provided with a range of City services which are delivered in a financially responsible manner.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**FINANCIAL**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Lack of financial sustainability
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy	Director Corporate Services
Residual Risk	High	Medium
Control Effectiveness	Strong	
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.	Medium risk is acceptable without variation to existing control activities.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of an accurate monthly report of the list of payments including credit card transactions made under delegated authority to Council by the responsible Director.	

Other risk information

An external auditor has been engaged to undertake the Chief Executive Officer's three yearly reviews, in accordance with the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* and *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996*.

Regulation 5 (2) (c) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires that the Chief Executive Officer of a local government is to undertake reviews of the appropriateness and effectiveness of the financial management systems and procedures of the local government regularly (and not less than once in every three financial years) and report to the local government the results of those reviews. Regulation 17 of the *Local Government (Audit Regulations) 1996* requires the Chief Executive Officer to review the appropriateness and effectiveness of a local government's systems and procedures in relation to risk management, internal control and legislative compliance.

Any risks and weaknesses identified during the reviews will be addressed by introducing new controls and/or improving the current controls and control environment; with progress of the implementation of any improvements identified from both reviews to be reported to future meetings of the Audit and Risk Committee.

Financial / budget implications

All expenditure from the Municipal Fund was included in the Annual Budget as adopted or revised by Council.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Expenditure has been incurred in accordance with budget parameters, which have been structured on financial viability and sustainability principles.

Consultation

Changes in the treatment of bonds received and repaid, from being held in the Trust Fund to now being reflected in the Municipal Fund, have arisen from a directive by the Office of the Auditor General.

COMMENT

All Municipal Fund expenditure included in the list of payments and card transactions is incurred in accordance with the City of Joondalup *2025-26 Annual Budget* as adopted by Council at its meeting held on 24 June 2025 (CJ173-06/25 refers) or has been authorised in advance by the Mayor or by resolution of Council as applicable.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ181-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council:

1 NOTES the Chief Executive Officer's list of accounts for May 2026 paid under Delegated Authority in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* forming Attachments 1, 2 and 3 to this Report, totalling $16,404,899.23;

2 NOTES the reported card transactions for the month ended 31 May 2026 in accordance with Regulation 13A(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* as shown in Attachments 4 and 5 to this Report, totalling $97,397.67.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Chief Executive Officers Delegated Municipal Payment List for the month of May 2026 [**12.11.1** - 78 pages]
2. Chief Executive Officers Delegated Municipal Payment List (Bond Refunds) for the month May 2026 [**12.11.2** - 3 pages]
3. Municipal Vouchers for the month of May 2026 [**12.11.3** - 1 page]
4. Credit card transactions May 2026 [**12.11.4** - 1 page]
5. Fuel tax report May 2026 [**12.11.5** - 13 pages]

12.12 FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT FOR MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Mat Humphrey Director Corporate Services
FILE NUMBER	07882, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

PURPOSE

For Council to note the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 May 2026.


EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its meeting held on 24 June 2025 (CJ173-06/25 refers), Council adopted the *2025-26 Annual Budget*. Council subsequently amended the budget at its meeting held on 25 November 2025 (CJ328-11/25 and CJ330-11/25 refers). Council subsequently revised the budget at its meeting held on 24 February 2026 (CJ025-02/26 and CJ037-02/26 refers) and 24 March 2026 (CJ060-03/26 refers). The figures in this report are compared to the revised budget.

The May 2026 Financial Activity Statement Report shows an overall variance of $23,351,145 from operations and capital, after adjusting for non-cash items.


A range of factors influence the favourable variance, but it is predominantly due to timing of revenue and expenditure compared to the budget estimate in May. The notes in Attachment 4 to this Report identify and provide commentary on the individual key material revenue and expenditure variances to date.

The key elements of the variance are summarised below:




Key variances for May were:

Materials and Contracts **$4,874,433**



Materials and Contracts expenditure is $4,874,433 below budget. This is spread across a number of different areas including External Service Expenses $1,405,925, Computing $753,720, Professional Fees & Costs $677,845, Waste Management Services $472,949, Furniture, Equipment and Artworks $434,090, Public Relations, Advertising and Promotions $410,065 and Other Materials $203,683.

Employee Costs **$1,180,107**



The variance is mainly due to vacancies across several areas. Higher than estimated Casual wages ($73,415). Higher than estimated Agency Employees of ($829,251), partially offset by lower than estimated Staff Training $119,818 and Conferences and Seminars $86,117.

It is therefore recommended that Council NOTES the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 May 2026 Attachment 1 to this Report and the Financial Position Statement at 31 May 2026 forming Attachment 2 to this Report.

BACKGROUND

The *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* require a monthly Financial Activity Statement to be prepared according to nature classification and a monthly Financial Position Statement.

DETAILS

Issues and options considered

The Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 May 2026 is appended as Attachment 1 to this Report and the Financial Position Statement at 31 May 2026 is appended as Attachment 2 to this Report.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation Section 6.4 of the *Local Government Act 1995* requires a local government to prepare an annual financial report for the preceding year and such other financial reports as are prescribed.

Regulation 34(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires the local government to prepare each month a statement of financial activity reporting on the revenue and expenditure as set out in the annual budget.

Regulation 35(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires the local government to prepare each month a statement of financial position reporting on the financial position as at the reporting date.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-4 Responsible and financially sustainable.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City’s long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	DECISIONS	FINANCIAL
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Lack of financial sustainability
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy	Director Corporate Services
Residual Risk	High	Medium
Control Effectiveness	Strong	Strong
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.	Medium risk is acceptable without variation to existing control activities.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of an accurate monthly Financial Activity Statement – according to nature classification – and a monthly Financial Position Statement to Council by the responsible Director.	

Other risk information

An external auditor has been engaged to undertake the Chief Executive Officer's three yearly reviews, in accordance with the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* and *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.*

Regulation 5 (2) (c) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires that the Chief Executive Officer of a local government is to undertake reviews of the appropriateness and effectiveness of the financial management systems and procedures of the local government regularly (and not less than once in every three financial years) and report to the local government the results of those reviews. Regulation 17 of the *Local Government (Audit Regulations) 1996* requires the Chief Executive Officer to review the appropriateness and effectiveness of a local government's systems and procedures in relation to risk management, internal control and legislative compliance.

Any risks and weaknesses identified during the reviews will be addressed by introducing new controls and/or improving the current controls and control environment; with progress of the implementation of any improvements identified from both reviews to be reported to future meetings of the Audit and Risk Committee.

Financial / budget implications

All amounts quoted in this report are exclusive of GST.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications


Expenditure was incurred in accordance with budget parameters, structured on financial viability and sustainability principles.

Consultation

In accordance with section 6.2 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, the Annual Budget was prepared having regard to the Strategic Financial Plan, prepared under Section 5.56 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. The Mid Year Review Budget was prepared in accordance with Regulation 33A of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*.


KEY INDICATORS

Rates Collection



Rates collections, measured as a percentage of rates issued (debtors), are performing slightly below the levels recorded at the same point in the prior financial year as at the end of May.

Economic Indicators



Note: Perth CPI and Wage Price Index figures are released by Australian Bureau of Statistics on a quarterly basis. The current values reflect annual percentages as at March 2026 and previous values reflect data as of December 2025.

This was the fifth straight quarter when Western Australia outpaced all other states in terms of annual wages growth.

COMMENT

All expenditure included in the Financial Activity Statement is incurred in accordance with the provisions of the 2025-26 revised budget or has been authorised in advance by Council where applicable.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ182-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 May 2026 forming Attachment 1 to this Report and the Financial Position Statement at 31 May 2026 forming Attachment 2 to this Report.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 12.13.

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Financial Activity Statement - May 2026 [**12.12.1** - 1 page]
2. Statement of Financial Position - May 2026 [**12.12.2** - 1 page]
3. Investment Report - May 2026 [**12.12.3** - 1 page]
4. Supporting Commentary - May 2026 [**12.12.4** - 6 pages]
5. Capital Works Summary - May 2026 [**12.12.5** - 10 pages]

Disclosures of Interest affecting Impartiality

Name / Position	**Mayor Daniel Kingston.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Mayor Kingston is a Vice Patron of Surf Life Saving Western Australia.

Name / Position	**Cr Matthew Count.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Some of the members of the club are known to Cr Count.

Name / Position	**Cr Russ Fishwick, JP.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr Fishwick is a Vice Patron of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club and a Senior Assessor for Surf Life Saving Western Australia.

Name / Position	**Cr Phillip Vinciullo.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Cr Vinciullo is a Vice Patron of Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club.

Name / Position	**Mr Mathew Humfrey, Director Corporate Services.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.13 - Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project (Ward – South-West).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Members of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club are known to Mr Humfrey.

12.13 SORRENTO SURF LIFE SAVING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (WARD - SOUTH-WEST)

WARD	South-West
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Chris Jansen Acting Director Infrastructure Services
FILE NUMBER	101515, 05071, 112737, 112408
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.

PURPOSE

For Council to consider the tenders submitted for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment project and the expression of interest for the operator of the commercial component.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the FY2025-26 period the City has progressed detailed design, tender documentation and procurement processes for the construction of the new Sorrento Surf Life Saving facility, replacement of public toilets and changerooms and a new commercial café / kiosk / restaurant facility, being the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment (SSLSR) project.

The request for tender included consideration of the scale and cost of the overall project as such the City developed four cost options, the options range from full scope of works Option A to limited scope Option D, these include but are not limited to the following activities and milestones as outlined in the table below:

Option	Description
A	• Build everything as indicated in staging plans, Stage 1 through 5 inclusive, encompassing separable portions 1 through 3
B	• Build clubhouse, stand-alone public toilet with associated landscaping and car parking • Stage 1 through 4 inclusive • Reinstate carpark where existing clubhouse has been demolished (as per demolition description in Stage 4)
C	• Build new clubhouse with associated landscaping • Demolition of existing clubhouse and reinstate car parking to previous building footprint
D	• Construction of new commercial building with associated landscaping

The complexity and scale of the redevelopment project would necessitate engaging a suitably qualified and experienced contractor to undertake the construction works.

Following release of the request for tender, the City undertook a public Expression of Interest (EOI) process to identify a potential operator/s for the future commercial facility component of the project. The EOI process includes a Stage 2, subject to Council decision, to support either closed tenders or direct negotiations to select the preferred operator.

For the construction tender, the City has evaluated all submissions and identified a preferred respondent, however, as the City does not currently have the required funding for the construction tender, the City does not support accepting a construction tender at this time.

For the Commercial Operator Expression of Interest, the City has evaluated all submissions and identified two respondents to progress to Stage 2 processes.

It is therefore recommended that Council:

1 NOTES the confidential report for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project provided as Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the confidential report for Commercial Operator Expression of Interest provided as Attachment 2 to this Report;

3 NOTES the funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million for the community facilities as detailed in this Report;

4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore additional funding source/s for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project;

5 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY delegates to the Chief Executive Officer the authority to decline all tenders submitted for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project, if adequate additional funding source/s as per Part 4 above cannot be confirmed;

6 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES Part (2) of its decision of 27 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers) as follows:

"2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AMENDS part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) by requesting the Chief Executive Officer develops a modified concept design as shown as Option 1 as part of report CJ096-06/22, by including the following design parameters:

2.1 That the designs include new surf club facilities, a commercial space and public amenities;

2.2 That the building envelope for the surf club facilities be located between the existing northern and southern car parks, while being located as close to the beach as possible;

2.3 The surf club facilities present as a single storey development to West Coast Drive, at a similar bulk and scale to the existing surf club facility, with the surf club storage areas located in an under croft area with direct beach access to enable more responsive beach patrol services, with access to the storage areas via the existing southern car park;

2.4 That there be separation of the surf club facilities, the commercial space and the public amenities in order to minimise any competing interests and amenity;

2.5 That the amended design replicates the same provision of public amenities (or better) that exist on site currently while exploring alternate locations to provide public amenities including provisions to the north between the existing surf club facilities and the Hillarys Boat Harbour;

2.6 That the project will include the possibility of the construction being staged, with the surf club facilities and public amenities as stage one and the commercial space as stage two;

2.7 Where possible, that remaining remnant dune areas be of a sufficient contiguous scale to support revegetation (such as remove the underutilised middle dune access path and create new access path in proximity to the groyne focused on pedestrians and movement of surf club equipment);

2.8 Construct the southern car park post demolition of the existing surf club to ensure multiple drop off locations to the club and promote a better balance between use of the northern and southern car parks. Extent of southern car park works to be limited to the area where the existing surf club is located;

2.9 That there be a clear north / south pedestrian flow through the redevelopment site and resolution of bike paths to direct bikes and other wheeled apparatus such as e-scooters away from club operational areas and heavy pedestrian loads, while ensuring adequate beach access and minimising conflict for the surf club;"

7 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES Part (2) of its decision of 28 November 2023 (CJ240-11/23 refers) as follows:

"2 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1.1 of this Report;"

8 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES Part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) as follows:

"1 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1 of Report CJ096-06/22;"

9 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore options to re-design the community facilities (buildings, civil and open space infrastructure) to reduce the potential project costs in line with the available funding;

10 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore potential commercial return opportunities from the community facilities components of this project;

11 SUPPORTS progressing the EOI 011/26 operator lease for the provision of café / restaurant services at Sorrento foreshore to Stage 2 of the procurement process and undertake direct discussions with Mullaloo Bay Pty Ltd and The TICAA Group Pty Ltd prior to undertaking a closed tender process with both respondents.

BACKGROUND

The existing facility is located on West Coast Drive, Sorrento and is leased to the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club (SSLSC). The facility consists of a hall, courtyard, boat sheds, kiosk, first aid room, patrol workshop, gymnasium, office, board room, training room, internal toilets and change rooms, storage areas, kitchen, bar, public toilets and changerooms.

The SSLSC is one of the largest sporting clubs in the City of Joondalup with over 2,200 members and is a dedicated volunteer organisation providing services to the community including beach patrols (at Sorrento Beach and Hillarys Boat Harbour), lifesaving services; surf skills training and competition, beach safety education and first aid.

The City had been working with the SSLSC for a number of years on a potential refurbishment or redevelopment of this facility. At its meeting held on 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers), Council considered a series of investigative studies, three schematic (concept) design options and cost estimates, a club project review report and the City's business case. At this meeting, Council approved concept design option one and requested a project budget of $14 million be listed for consideration in the Capital Works Program including an $8 million funding commitment from the State Government and $1 million contribution from the SSLSC.

A review of the option one plan was undertaken following Council's decision which sought to improve the design to provide the club and community with additional amenity, improved functionality, and to meet the club's current and future requirements.

At its meeting held on 23 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers), Council were presented with three updated schematic designs and cost estimates. Council approved an alternate recommendation and agreed, in part, on a new concept design to be developed in line with nine design parameters as follows.

"2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AMENDS part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) by requesting the Chief Executive Officer develops a modified concept design as shown as Option 1 as part of report CJ096-06/22, by including the following design parameters:

2.1 That the designs include new surf club facilities, a commercial space and public amenities;

2.2 That the building envelope for the surf club facilities be located between the existing northern and southern car parks, while being located as close to the beach as possible;

2.3 The surf club facilities present as a single storey development to West Coast Drive, at a similar bulk and scale to the existing surf club facility, with the surf club storage areas located in an under croft area with direct beach access to enable more responsive beach patrol services, with access to the storage areas via the existing southern car park;

2.4 That there be separation of the surf club facilities, the commercial space and the public amenities in order to minimise any competing interests and amenity;

2.5 That the amended design replicates the same provision of public amenities (or better) that exist on site currently while exploring alternate locations to provide public amenities including provisions to the north between the existing surf club facilities and the Hillarys Boat Harbour;

2.6 That the project will include the possibility of the construction being staged, with the surf club facilities and public amenities as stage one and the commercial space as stage two;

2.7 Where possible, that remaining remnant dune areas be of a sufficient contiguous scale to support revegetation (such as remove the underutilised middle dune access path and create new access path in proximity to the groyne focused on pedestrians and movement of surf club equipment);

2.8 Construct the southern car park post demolition of the existing surf club to ensure multiple drop off locations to the club and promote a better balance between use of the northern and southern car parks. Extent of southern car park works to be limited to the area where the existing surf club is located;

2.9 That there be a clear north / south pedestrian flow through the redevelopment site and resolution of bike paths to direct bikes and other wheeled apparatus such as e-scooters away from club operational areas and heavy pedestrian loads, while ensuring adequate beach access and minimising conflict for the surf club;

Following this decision of Council, the City prepared a new concept design (Option 1.1) and cost estimates and at the 28 November 2023 Council Meeting (CJ240-11/23 refers), Council resolved, amongst other things, as follows.

"2 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1.1 of this Report;"

The endorsed project, with a concept estimated cost of $14 million (excluding the nine design parameters from the Council resolution on 23 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers)), was supported at the time by a $8 million 2021 State Government election commitment and $1 million Club contribution.

In January 2024, the City commenced community engagement for the project and limited feedback was received. An update on the project was also provided to residents and key external stakeholders in December 2024. The project page on the City's website continued to be updated at key project milestones.

Following a public tender for architectural services in April to May 2024, at its meeting held on 23 July 2024 (CJ186-07/24 refers), Council appointed Hodge Collard Preston (HCP) architects for the detailed design, tender evaluation, construction administration and defects liability stages of the project.

DETAILS

Following the appointment of Hodge Collard Preston architects, the City worked with SSLSC and HCP on refining the concept designs, with designs signed off by the Club and presented to the City's Design Review Panel on 18 December 2024, with the feedback provided by the panel to be considered as part of the next stage of the project.

In February 2025 the project progressed to detailed design with an updated quantity surveyor cost estimate of $17,803,800. This cost estimate was presented to Elected Members during the FY2025-26 budget development process and included in the FY2025-26 Five Year Capital Works Program, noting that the project at that time was not fully funded.

Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Tender

The detailed design and tender documentation were completed in late 2025 and the tender was advertised on 17 January 2026 through statewide public notice and published by Tenderlink for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project.

The tendered detailed design documentation for the floor plan and elevations of the community and commercial facilities is provided as Attachment 3 to this Report.

The tender documents required construction to be staged to support practical delivery of the works, with minimum disruption to the operations of the surf lifesaving functions and the public toilet and changeroom facilities. The implementation staging is provided below:

Stage 1	Northern Toilet Block	• Construct new northern male and female toilets and changerooms, universal access toilet and shower. • Demolition of existing north toilets and changerooms and Changing Places facility.
Stage 2	Surf Life Saving Facility	• Construction of new surf life saving facility including clubrooms and public male and female toilets and changerooms, universal access toilet and shower, beach wheelchair store, first aid room and Changing Places facility. • Upgrade of foreshore pedestrian and vehicle access, landscaping and parking.
Stage 3	Commercial Facility	• Demolition of existing surf life saving facility including the southern toilets and changerooms. • Construction of new commercial café/kiosk/restaurant on original surf life saving facility site.

Tenders closed on 24 February 2026, and the tender evaluation report is provided as Attachment 1 to this Report.

The following table details the estimated total project cost, using the likely construction cost from the tender process, to deliver the project, apportioned for the community facilities, being the surf lifesaving building, northern toilet block and associated civil and landscaping works, and the commercial facility, being the café / kiosk / restaurant and associated civil and landscaping works, of the project along with the current available funding allocations.

Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment (SSLSR)	Total Project	Community Facilities	Commercial Facility
Total Project Estimate	**$30,436,403**	**$26,595,002**	**$3,841,401**
Prior Expenditure To Date	***$1,286,403***	*$1,120,002*	*$166,401*
Estimated Construction Cost	***$27,500,000***	*$23,900,000*	*$3,600,000*
Non-Tender Costs	***$1,650,000***	*$1,575,000*	*$75,000*
Funding Allocation	**$19,907,896**	**$16,066,495**	**$3,841,401**
Club Contribution	***$1,000,000***	*$1,000,000*	
State Govt Contribution	***$8,000,000***	*$8,000,000*	
LotteryWest Grant	***$1,500,000***	*$1,500,000*	
City - SSLSC Reserve	***$4,446,493***	*$4,446,493*	
City - Catalina Reserve	***$3,675,000***		*$3,675,000*
City – Prior Expenditure	***$1,286,403***	*$1,120,002*	*$166,401*
Funding Shortfall	**$10,528,507**	**$10,528,507**	**NIL**

As such, the community facilities component of this project has a funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million and additional funding sources are required to be confirmed prior to the award of the construction tender.

It is recommended that additional funding sources be explored for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project including potential commercial return opportunities from the community facilities components of this project.

Additionally, the City will investigate options to re-design the community facilities (buildings, civil and open space infrastructure) to reduce the potential project costs in line with the available funding.

Commercial Operator Expression of Interest

On 15 April 2026, the City issued EOI 011/26 operator lease for the provision of café / restaurant services at Sorrento foreshore. This EOI was advertised in The West Australian newspaper on 15 April 2026 and published by Tenderlink. The City issued request documents to 29 potential respondents. Submissions closed on 5 May 2026 with three submissions received and the expression of interest evaluation report is provided as Attachment 2 to this Report.

As detailed in the table above, the total project estimate for the commercial facility component is $3,841,401. The expression of interest evaluation results show that two respondents meet the qualitative requirements, and their financial submission meets expectations for this commercial opportunity.

It should be noted that discussions with the State Government to progress the required land excisions have indicated that the ground lease of approximately $49,500 per annum would be required between the City and the State Government.

The City's proposed warm shell construction design, which includes grease traps and exhaust systems, commands a higher rent and percentage turnover than cold shell, blank canvas, constructions and supports tenant investment into the fit-out and operations of the site over funding these long-life service assets.

Based on consultant feedback on current market conditions, two of the submissions received from experienced operators provides high confidence to the City to progress to the Stage 2 of the EOI process where detailed financial background assessments will be undertaken.

As such, it is recommended that the City progress to Stage 2 of the procurement process and undertake direct discussions with Mullaloo Bay Pty Ltd and The TICAA Group Pty Ltd prior to undertaking a closed tender process with both respondents.

Issues and options considered

Council can either:

Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Tender

- Proceed with awarding the construction tender.

  This is not the recommended option as there is a funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million.

  or

- Not proceed with awarding the construction tender at this time, and request the Chief Executive Officer to explore additional funding sources for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project including potential commercial return opportunities from the community facilities components of this project, and options to re-design the community facilities (buildings, civil and open space infrastructure) to reduce the potential project costs in line with the available funding.

  This is the recommended option.

Commercial Operator Expression of Interest

- Proceed to Stage 2 of the operator EOI with the two recommended respondents.

  Progressing to Stage 2 will allow the City to commence discussions with both respondents and undertake a closed tender process to identify a preferred operator for the future commercial facility.

  This is the recommended option.

  or

- Not proceed to Stage 2 of the operator EOI.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.*

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme
1. Community.
3. Place.
5. Leadership.

Outcome
1-3 Active and social - you enjoy quality local activities and programs for sport, learning and recreation.
3-4 Functional and accessible - you have access to quality community facilities that are functional and adaptable.
5-2 Proactive and represented- you are confident that the City is advocating on your behalf for initiatives that benefit the community.
5-4 Responsible and financially sustainable - you are provided with a range of City services which are delivered in a financially responsible manner.

Policy *Asset Management Council Policy.*
Property Management Framework Council Policy.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City's long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**	**EXPECTATIONS**	**REPUTATION**	**FINANCIAL**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making	Inability to understand community expectations	Loss of community trust	Lack of financial sustainability
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy		Chief Executive Officer	Director Corporate Services
Residual Risk	High			Medium
Control Effectiveness	Strong			
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.			Medium risk is acceptable without variation to existing control activities.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of information to support informed decision making when awarding tenders and progression of related income options.			

Other risk information

Should the construction not proceed, the risk to the City will be high, as the City has already incurred significant costs during the design and procurement stage, and there is an expectation among the club's members that the works will proceed.

Financial / budget implications

Following the decision of Council at its meeting held on 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers), an amount totaling $14 million was included in the Strategic Financial Plan (SFP) and as part of the August 2023 update to the SFP has been escalated to $14.8 million.

Prior to the 2021 election, the State Government made a commitment of $8 million towards the redevelopment of the SSLSC facility. The SSLSC has made a commitment of $1 million towards the project and the remaining $5 million has been listed in the Five Year Capital Works Program and the SFP.

During the FY2025-26 budget development process the concept estimate was revised to $17,803,800 and included in the FY2025-26 Five Year Capital Works Program, noting that the project was not fully funded within the SFP.

Following development of the detailed designs and tender documentation, the external pre-tender estimates indicated a project cost of over $22 million in the early 2026 construction market.

MPP2076 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment which includes the commercial development, is listed with a proposed project budget of $17,803,800 (as noted by Council at its meeting held on 24 June 2025 when it considered the 2025-26 Five Year Capital Works Program) and is to increase to $19,303,800 to include the new contribution of $1,500,000 from LotteryWest towards the life-saving facility.

The City currently has the following funding allocations available for the project:

Funding Source	**Amount**
State Government contribution, towards the Sorrento community facilities	$8,000,000
Club contribution, towards the Sorrento surf lifesaving facilities	$1,000,000
LotteryWest contribution, towards the Sorrento surf lifesaving facilities	$1,500,000
City reserve allocation, towards the Sorrento community facilities	$4,446,493
Sub-Total available for the Sorrento community facilities	**$14,946,493**
City reserve available towards the Sorrento commercial facilities, which can be fully funded from the Catalina Reserve.	$4,000,000
Prior City expenditure across the Strategic Asset, Asset Renewal and SSLSC Reserves	$1,286,403

The overall project cost with estimated allocations for the community facilities, being the surf life saving building, northern toilet block and associated civil and landscaping works, and the commercial facility, being the café / kiosk / restaurant and associated civil and landscaping works, of the project along with the current available funding allocations is provided in the Details section of this Report.

As such, the community facilities component of this project has a funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million and additional funding sources and/or rescoping of the works are required to be confirmed prior to the award of the construction tender.

Noting the financial information provided in the Details section of this Report, the expression of interest evaluation results show that two respondents meet the qualitative requirements, and their financial submission meets initial expectations for this commercial opportunity which supports progressing to Stage 2 of the EOI procurement process.

All amounts quoted in this report are exclusive of GST.

Regional significance

Sorrento Foreshore is one of eight Regional Recreation Parks in the City, the highest classification of parks. Sorrento Beach is also one of the most visited beaches within the City of Joondalup and as such the provision of appropriate facilities for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club will support the high usage of this location. It is also envisaged that the provision of the food and beverage facility will provide significant benefit to residents, visitors, and tourists by enhancing the City's existing natural assets and amenities.

Sustainability implications

Environmental

The City submitted a clearing permit application for the area impacted by the proposed new surf lifesaving building and will ensure that the works are undertaken in line with the conditions of the permit. The building has also been designed to meet all requirements of the National Construction Code including Section J which specifies energy consumption requirements, sustainable materials and design considerations.

Social

The concept plans currently include a designated area for public art within the landscaped area to the south of the new surf club facility.

Economic

The Sorrento foreshore is a prime beachfront location which attracts consistent patronage throughout the year.

The commercial facility component of the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club redevelopment project is intended to deliver a sustainable, diversified revenue stream that strengthens the City's long-term financial position and reduces reliance on rate revenue. This aligns with broader local government financial strategies that emphasise revenue diversification, asset optimisation, and intergenerational equity.

The activation of the site beyond traditional surf club operations will increase visitation, support local economic activity, and contribute to vibrancy within the coastal precinct. Increased foot traffic is also likely to have positive spillover effects for nearby businesses, reinforcing the area's role as a key destination within the City. Importantly, the commercial offering has been conceived to complement, rather than compete with, existing local businesses.

Consultation

In January 2024, the City commenced community engagement for the project and limited feedback was received. An update on the project was also provided to residents and key external stakeholders in December 2024. The project page on the City's website has been updated at key project milestones.

During the development application process for the commercial development component, the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage release community consultation on the commercial development component only.

COMMENT

Sorrento Foreshore is one of eight Regional Recreation Parks within the City and remains an important coastal destination. Regardless of which option Council supports, the proposed café and restaurant will enhance local amenities, improve visitor experience, and deliver lasting community benefits.

For the construction tender, the City has evaluated all submissions and identified a preferred respondent, however, as the City does not currently have the required funding for the construction tender, the City does not support accepting a construction tender at this time.

For the Commercial Operator Expression of Interest, the City has evaluated all submissions and identified two respondents to progress to Stage 2 processes.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Absolute Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1 NOTES the confidential report for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project provided as Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the confidential report for Commercial Operator Expression of Interest provided as Attachment 2 to this Report;

3 NOTES the funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million for the community facilities as detailed in this Report;

4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore additional funding source/s for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project;

5 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY delegates to the Chief Executive Officer the authority to decline all tenders submitted for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project, if adequate additional funding source/s as per Part 4 above cannot be confirmed;

6 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES Part (2) of its decision of 27 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers) as follows:

"2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AMENDS part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) by requesting the Chief Executive Officer develops a modified concept design as shown as Option 1 as part of report CJ096-06/22, by including the following design parameters:

2.1 That the designs include new surf club facilities, a commercial space and public amenities;

2.2 That the building envelope for the surf club facilities be located between the existing northern and southern car parks, while being located as close to the beach as possible;

2.3 The surf club facilities present as a single storey development to West Coast Drive, at a similar bulk and scale to the existing surf club facility, with the surf club storage areas located in an under croft area with direct beach access to enable more responsive beach patrol services, with access to the storage areas via the existing southern car park;

2.4 That there be separation of the surf club facilities, the commercial space and the public amenities in order to minimise any competing interests and amenity;

2.5 That the amended design replicates the same provision of public amenities (or better) that exist on site currently while exploring alternate locations to provide public amenities including provisions to the north between the existing surf club facilities and the Hillarys Boat Harbour;

2.6 That the project will include the possibility of the construction being staged, with the surf club facilities and public amenities as stage one and the commercial space as stage two;

2.7 Where possible, that remaining remnant dune areas be of a sufficient contiguous scale to support revegetation (such as remove the underutilised middle dune access path and create new access path in proximity to the groyne focused on pedestrians and movement of surf club equipment);

2.8 Construct the southern car park post demolition of the existing surf club to ensure multiple drop off locations to the club and promote a better balance between use of the northern and southern car parks. Extent of southern car park works to be limited to the area where the existing surf club is located;

2.9 That there be a clear north / south pedestrian flow through the redevelopment site and resolution of bike paths to direct bikes and other wheeled apparatus such as e-scooters away from club operational areas and heavy pedestrian loads, while ensuring adequate beach access and minimising conflict for the surf club;"

7 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES part (2) of its decision of 28 November 2023 (CJ240-11/23 refers) as follows:

"2 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1.1 of this Report;"

8 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) as follows:

"1 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1 of Report CJ096-06/22;"

9 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore options to re-design the community facilities (buildings, civil and open space infrastructure) to reduce the potential project costs in line with the available funding;

10 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore potential commercial return opportunities from the community facilities components of this project;

11 SUPPORTS progressing the EOI 011/26 operator lease for the provision of café / restaurant services at Sorrento foreshore to Stage 2 of the procurement process and undertake direct discussions with Mullaloo Bay Pty Ltd and The TICAA Group Pty Ltd prior to undertaking a closed tender process with both respondents.

MOTION TO CALL FOR ONE-THIRD SUPPORT

Regulation 10 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* prescribes the following procedure for dealing with revoking or changing decisions made at Council or Committee Meetings:

"If a decision has been made at a Council meeting, then any motion to revoke or change the decision must be supported by at least one-third of the number of offices (whether vacant or not) of members of the Council.

If supported by one-third of the members, then any decision to revoke a resolution of the Council is required to be passed by an Absolute Majority."

Mayor Kingston called for support from one-third of the members of Council. Support to revoke Council's resolution in relation to previous decisions relating to the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club (CJ099-06/23, CJ240-11/23 and CJ096-06/22 refers) was given by:

1 Cr Hutton
2 Cr Gould
3 Cr Mercer
4 Mayor Kingston
5 Cr Raftis

ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council:

1 NOTES the confidential report for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project provided as Attachment 1 to this Report;

2 NOTES the confidential report for Commercial Operator Expression of Interest provided as Attachment 2 to this Report;

3 NOTES the funding shortfall of approximately $10.5 million for the community facilities as detailed in this Report;

4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore additional funding source/s for the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project;

5 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY delegates to the Chief Executive Officer the authority to decline all tenders submitted for Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment Project, if adequate additional funding source/s as per Part 4 above cannot be confirmed;

6 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES Part (2) of its decision of 27 June 2023 (CJ099-06/23 refers) as follows:

"2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AMENDS part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) by requesting the Chief Executive Officer develops a modified concept design as shown as Option 1 as part of report CJ096-06/22, by including the following design parameters:

2.1 That the designs include new surf club facilities, a commercial space and public amenities;

2.2 That the building envelope for the surf club facilities be located between the existing northern and southern car parks, while being located as close to the beach as possible;

2.3 The surf club facilities present as a single storey development to West Coast Drive, at a similar bulk and scale to the existing surf club facility, with the surf club storage areas located in an under croft area with direct beach access to enable more responsive beach patrol services, with access to the storage areas via the existing southern car park;

2.4 That there be separation of the surf club facilities, the commercial space and the public amenities in order to minimise any competing interests and amenity;

2.5 That the amended design replicates the same provision of public amenities (or better) that exist on site currently while exploring alternate locations to provide public amenities including provisions to the north between the existing surf club facilities and the Hillarys Boat Harbour;

2.6 That the project will include the possibility of the construction being staged, with the surf club facilities and public amenities as stage one and the commercial space as stage two;

2.7 Where possible, that remaining remnant dune areas be of a sufficient contiguous scale to support revegetation (such as remove the underutilised middle dune access path and create new access path in proximity to the groyne focused on pedestrians and movement of surf club equipment);

2.8 Construct the southern car park post demolition of the existing surf club to ensure multiple drop off locations to the club and promote a better balance between use of the northern and southern car parks. Extent of southern car park works to be limited to the area where the existing surf club is located;

2.9 That there be a clear north / south pedestrian flow through the redevelopment site and resolution of bike paths to direct bikes and other wheeled apparatus such as e-scooters away from club operational areas and heavy pedestrian loads, while ensuring adequate beach access and minimising conflict for the surf club;"

7 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES part (2) of its decision of 28 November 2023 (CJ240-11/23 refers) as follows:

"2 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1.1 of this Report;"

8 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REVOKES part (1) of its decision of 28 June 2022 (CJ096-06/22 refers) as follows:

"1 APPROVES the proposed Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Redevelopment project including demolition, site works and services, construction of new clubroom and associated storage facilities, artwork, reconfigured car park and commercial element as detailed in Option 1 of Report CJ096-06/22;"

9 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore options to re-design the community facilities (buildings, civil and open space infrastructure), including the location and orientation of the facilities and alternate delivery models to reduce the potential project costs in line with the available funding;

10 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to engage with the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club representatives to facilitate the re-design process as outlined in Part 9 above;

11 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to explore potential commercial return opportunities from the community facilities components of this project;

12 SUPPORTS progressing the EOI 011/26 operator lease for the provision of café / restaurant services at Sorrento foreshore to Stage 2 of the procurement process and undertake direct discussions with Mullaloo Bay Pty Ltd and The TICAA Group Pty Ltd prior to undertaking a closed tender process with both respondents.

EXTENSION OF TIME TO SPEAK
(Resolution No: CJ183-07/26)

MOVED Cr Vinciullo, SECONDED Cr Pizzey that Cr O'Neill be permitted an extension of time to speak for a further five minutes.

The Motion was Put and **CARRIED (9/3)**

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Mercer, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Cr Gould, Cr Hutton and Cr O'Neill.

The Manager Strategic and Organisational Development left the Chamber at 7.44pm and returned at 7.46pm.

PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE ITEM BE DEFERRED
(Resolution No: CJ184-07/26)

MOVED Cr Hill, SECONDED Cr Pizzey that Item 12.13 – Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project, BE DEFERRED to the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held on 25 August 2026, as per clause 10.1(a) of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013.*

The Motion was Put and **CARRIED (7/5)**

In favour of the Motion: Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Gould, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill and Cr Raftis.

ATTACHMENTS

1. CONFIDENTIAL REDACTED - Tender 001/26 Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment Project [**12.13.1** - 9 pages]
2. CONFIDENTIAL REDACTED - EOI 011/26 Evaluation Operator Lease for Commercial Facility [**12.13.2** - 5 pages]
3. Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment - Floor Plans and Elevations [**12.13.3** - 8 pages]

13 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Nil.

14 REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nil.

15 URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

COUNCIL DECISION – ADOPTION BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ186-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that pursuant to the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013* – Clause 4.8 – Adoption by exception resolution, Council ADOPTS the following items:

12.3, 12.5, 12.6, 12.7, 12.11 and 12.12.

The Motion was Put and **CARRIED (12/0)**

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

16 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

16.1 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1 – CR DENISE MERCER – ADMIRAL PARK UPGRADES

In accordance with Clause 4.6 of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, Cr Mercer has given notice of her intention to move the following Motion at the Council meeting to be held on 28 July 2026:

That Council:

1 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer prepares a report on the suitability of facilities at Admiral Park. Heathridge, for the following:

- **Male and female change facilities at Admiral Park.**
- **The likely increase in participation of Rugby with the commencement of the Perth Bears in 2027.**
- **Increasing interest in women's and children's participation in Rugby.**

2 REQUESTS that a report be presented to Council by November 2026.

REASON FOR MOTION

Admiral Park is one of the City's key regional sporting facilities and has served the Joondalup community for many years. Like all major community assets, it is important that Council periodically reviews whether the existing infrastructure continues to meet the changing needs and expectations of the community.

The City has invested significantly in sporting facilities across a range of suburbs over many years to encourage participation, improve community wellbeing and support active lifestyles. As our population grows and participation trends evolve, it is appropriate that Council ensures existing facilities remain fit for purpose and are capable of supporting current and future demand.

One of the principal users of Admiral Park is the Joondalup Giants Rugby Club, a long-established community organisation that has been part of our City for almost 40 years. The Club now has more than 800 registered members across men's, women's and junior competitions and continues to experience sustained growth. The anticipated commencement of the Perth Bears in 2027 is also expected to generate increased interest in rugby league throughout Western Australia, with community clubs such as the Giants likely to experience further growth in participation.

However, this Notice of Motion is not solely about rugby league or one sporting club. Admiral Park is a significant community asset, and Council has a broader responsibility to ensure that the infrastructure provided at the facility is safe, functional, accessible and capable of meeting the needs of all current and future users.

Many of the facilities at Admiral Park have served the community well for many years. As usage increases and community expectations evolve, it is timely to assess whether the existing change rooms, amenities, storage areas, spectator facilities, parking, accessibility and supporting infrastructure remain adequate or whether improvements should be considered as part of the City's future capital works planning.

Undertaking this review will provide an evidence-based assessment of the current condition and capacity of the facility, identify any deficiencies, consider future demand, and enable Council to make informed decisions about future investment priorities.

A strategic review of Admiral Park will assist Council in ensuring that future investment decisions are based on evidence, community need and sound long-term financial planning.

This Notice of Motion is therefore intended to support responsible asset management, encourage community participation in sport and recreation, and ensure that Admiral Park continues to meet the needs of the Joondalup community well into the future.

OFFICER'S COMMENT

A report can be prepared.

For information, the City undertakes a review of all active reserves and community facilities every three years. The aim of the project is to review the utilisation and functionality of City facilities to provide a strategic approach to the future provision of community and sporting / recreation facilities and infrastructure. The findings of the project are used in the development of the City's future Five Year Capital Works Program with the recommended projects subject to further project planning to determine estimated project budgets, affordability and years for inclusion. As part of the review undertaken in 2023, Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility was not identified as a priority for any upgrade works (outside the top 10 priorities). The next review project is scheduled for 2026-27 with the report of findings scheduled for completion in Quarter 4.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ185-07/26)

MOVED Cr Mercer, SECONDED Cr Gould that Council:

1 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer prepares a report on the suitability of facilities at Admiral Park, Heathridge, for the following:

- **Male and female change facilities at Admiral Park.**
- **The likely increase in participation of Rugby with the commencement of the Perth Bears in 2027.**
- **Increasing interest in women's and children's participation in Rugby.**

2 REQUESTS that a report be presented to Council by November 2026.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

16.2 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2 – CR REBECCA PIZZEY - RESUMPTION OF MARRI (CORYMBIA CALOPHYLLA) PLANTING

In accordance with Clause 9.13 of the *Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, Item 16.2 was withdrawn.

Disclosure of Financial Interest

Name / Position	**Cr Adrian Hill.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 16.3 – Notice Of Motion No. 3 – Cr Matthew Count - National Climate Adaptation Funding and Accountability for Australian Cities.
Nature of Interest	Financial Interest.
Extent of Interest	As stated in Cr Hill's annual return, his self-managed superannuation fund has significant shareholdings in a number of companies that would fall into the business categories identified in this Notice of Motion.

16.3 NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3 – CR MATTHEW COUNT - NATIONAL CLIMATE ADAPTATION FUNDING AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR AUSTRALIAN CITIES

In accordance with Clause 4.6 of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, Cr Count has given notice of his intention to move the following Motion at the Council meeting to be held on 28 July 2026:

That Council:

1 NOTES that Motion 92 of the 2026 Australian Local Government Association National General Assembly called on the Australian Government to establish a Parliamentary Inquiry into the adequacy of the 2025 National Adaptation Plan; create a National Climate Compensation Fund with a dedicated funding stream for local governments; and introduce a Climate Pollution Levy on coal, oil and gas corporations;

2 ENDORSES the submission of the following motion to the 2026 Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting:

"That WALGA:

a ENDORSE Motion 92 of the 2026 Australian Local Government Association National General Assembly;

b ADOPT Motion 92 as part of WALGA's Federal advocacy program; and

c Actively ADVOCATE, in partnership with the Australian Local Government Association, for the establishment of a National Climate Compensation Fund with a dedicated funding stream for local governments to fund disaster recovery, infrastructure repair and community resilience related to climate change impacts; and introduce a Climate Pollution Levy on coal, oil and gas corporations."

3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer submit the above Member Motion, together with any supporting information required by WALGA, before the submission deadline of 5:00pm on Thursday 30 July 2026;

4 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to make any minor administrative or editorial amendments required to comply with WALGA's Member Motion submission requirements, provided the intent of the motion is not altered.

REASON FOR MOTION

Australian local governments are increasingly responsible for planning, adapting and responding to the impacts of climate change, including more frequent and severe storms, bushfires, flooding, coastal erosion and extreme heat. These responsibilities place growing financial pressure on councils, while existing local government revenue sources remain limited.

The 2026 Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) National General Assembly adopted a resolution calling for the Australian Government to establish a Parliamentary Inquiry into the adequacy of the 2025 National Adaptation Plan, develop a National Climate Compensation Fund with a dedicated funding stream for local governments, and identify sustainable funding mechanisms to support that fund.

The City of Joondalup has a significant and growing portfolio of public infrastructure, parks, and especially coastal assets that will require ongoing investment to improve resilience to climate-related impacts. Securing dedicated long-term funding for local government adaptation and resilience is therefore of direct relevance to the City and its residents.

This motion seeks Council's support for the submission of a Member Motion to the 2026 WALGA Annual General Meeting so that WALGA may discuss, adopt and actively advocate for the implementation of the resolution passed by the Australian Local Government Association.

OFFICER'S COMMENT

The City partially supports this motion and is supportive of advocating to federal government for a National Climate Compensation Fund however does not support prescribing how the funding would be acquired.

The 2026 National General Assembly Discussion Paper states the following regarding emergency management:

More frequent disasters will further strain emergency services and disaster response and recovery efforts at all levels of government. Natural disasters currently cost Australia $38 billion per year in direct physical damage, economic disruption, and social impacts, affecting millions of people. This figure is forecast to rise to approximately $73 billion by 2060.

Councils are crucial in supporting their communities during and after disasters, but do not have enough funding to carry out these functions. They are already stretched thin, with many councils facing financial instability, and the increasing frequency of disasters has a cumulative financial impact.

Investing more in disaster prevention and resilience, as well as boosting local government emergency management response funding, will save money in the long run and better protect lives, homes, and businesses. Every dollar spent on reducing the impact of climate-related disasters saves the government up to $8 in the long term.

ALGA is asking for $900 million per year to help councils improve their disaster response and resilience. They also want the Commonwealth to fully implement the recommendations from the Royal Commission into National Natural Disaster Arrangements and other major reviews, including the Independent Review of National Natural Disaster Governance Arrangements (the Glasser Review) and the Independent Review of Commonwealth Disaster Funding (the Colvin Review).

The *Local Government Act 1995* (Part 3 section 3.1(1A) General Function states that the general function of a local government must be performed having regard to the following:

1 to promote the economic, social and environmental sustainability of the district; and
2 to plan for, and to plan for mitigating, risks associated with climate change; and
3 in making decisions, to consider potential long-term consequences and impacts on future generations.

The City's *Climate Change Plan 2025-2035* was endorsed by Council on 24 June 2025 (CJ159-06/25 refers) and provides direction for the City's climate change mitigation and adaptation activities and has both a corporate and community focus. The plan contains a Strategic Partnerships and Advocacy project which includes the City advocating to government agencies and key stakeholders to support both corporate and community climate mitigation and adaptation initiatives through providing funding, monitoring and reporting of emissions and providing relevant data.

At the Council meeting on 21 April 2026 (CJ086-04/26 refers), Council endorsed the following submission as an amendment to the Western Australian Local Government Association's draft Climate Change Advocacy Position:

1 Local Government is responsible to plan for, and to plan for mitigating, risks associated with climate change.
2 WALGA calls on the Australian and Western Australian Governments to:
  a Provide the necessary climate leadership, regulatory and policy framework, coordination and action to ensure an orderly, equitable and collaborative transition to plan for, and to plan for mitigating, risks associated with climate change;
  b Provide dedicated funding, guidance, data and practical support to assist Local Governments and their communities to plan for, and to plan for mitigating, risks associated with climate change.

At the WALGA State Council meeting on 1 July 2026, it was endorsed that State Council replace the Climate Change Policy Statement and Advocacy Position 4.1 with a new Climate Change Advocacy Position (RESOLUTION 034.3/2026) as follows:

1 Local Government acknowledges the risks associated with, and is committed to addressing climate change to meet the needs of their communities.
2 WALGA calls on the Australian and Western Australian Governments to:
  a Provide the necessary climate leadership, coordination and action to ensure an orderly transition to achieve emission reduction targets and address the impacts of climate change.
  b Ensure that Local Government has the funding, guidance and practical support required to meet increasing risk and expanded legislative requirements to plan for, mitigate and adapt to climate impacts.

The City has received funding from the federal government Disaster Ready Fund for disaster resilience and risk reduction including a bushfire risk reduction project and a coastal hazard management program. It is noted that the Disaster Ready Fund runs over a five-year period from 1 July 2023 to 1 July 2028.

The Australian Government is currently engaging with states, territories and local government on the proposed Disaster Recovery Funding Framework which is intended to replace the current Disaster Recovery Funding Arrangements. The proposed framework represents a significant reform to disaster recovery funding, with implications for the way Local Governments access and receive financial assistance following natural disasters.

The City is supportive of advocating for additional funding from federal government for climate mitigation and adaptation, however, does not have a position on prescribing how funding is acquired.

Cr Hill left the Chamber at 8.34pm.

The Media and Communications Officer left the Chamber at 8.37pm and returned at 8.39pm.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

MOVED Cr Count, SECONDED Cr Chester that Council:

1 NOTES that Motion 92 of the 2026 Australian Local Government Association National General Assembly called on the Australian Government to establish a Parliamentary Inquiry into the adequacy of the 2025 National Adaptation Plan; create a National Climate Compensation Fund with a dedicated funding stream for local governments; and introduce a Climate Pollution Levy on coal, oil and gas corporations;

2 ENDORSES the submission of the following motion to the 2026 Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting:

"That WALGA:

a ENDORSE Motion 92 of the 2026 Australian Local Government Association National General Assembly;

b ADOPT Motion 92 as part of WALGA's Federal advocacy program; and

c Actively ADVOCATE, in partnership with the Australian Local Government Association, for the establishment of a National Climate Compensation Fund with a dedicated funding stream for local governments to fund disaster recovery, infrastructure repair and community resilience related to climate change impacts; and introduce a Climate Pollution Levy on coal, oil and gas corporations."

3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer submit the above Member Motion, together with any supporting information required by WALGA, before the submission deadline of 5:00pm on Thursday 30 July 2026;

4 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to make any minor administrative or editorial amendments required to comply with WALGA's Member Motion submission requirements, provided the intent of the motion is not altered.

The Motion was Put and LOST (4/7)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.

Cr Hill entered the Chamber at 8.59pm.

PROCEDURAL MOTION - THAT THE MEETING BE CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
(Resolution No: CJ187-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr O'Neill that Council:

1 in accordance with Section 5.23(4)(a) and (4)(f) of the *Local Government Act 1995* and clause 5.2(2) of the City's *Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, RESOLVES to close the meeting to members of the public to consider the following item:

1.1 Item 12.4 - Confidential - Status of Legal Actions Report;

1.2 Confidential Attachment 12.9.2 – Item 12.9 – Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All);

2 PERMITS the following employees to remain in the Chamber during discussion on Item 12.4 - Confidential - Status of Legal Actions Report and Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 And 13 of the Code of Conduct:

2.1 Chief Executive Officer, Mr Craig Lloyd;
2.2 Director Corporate Services, Mr Mat Humfrey;
2.3 Director Governance and Strategy, Mr Jamie Parry;
2.4 Director Planning and Community Development, Mrs Marlaine Lavery;
2.5 Acting Director Infrastructure Services, Mr Chris Jansen;
2.6 Manager Asset Management, Mr Ryan Ferts;
2.7 Manager Governance, Mrs Kylie Bergmann;
2.8 Senior Governance Officer, Mrs Laura Napier;
2.9 Governance Officer, Miss Caitlin Wood;
2.10 Governance Officer, Miss Charlene Brown.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

Members of staff (with the exception of the Chief Executive Officer, Director Governance and Strategy, Acting Director Infrastructure Services, Director Corporate Services, Director Planning and Community Development, Manager Asset Management, Manager Governance, Senior Governance Officer and Governance Officers) and members of the public left the Chamber at this point; the time being 9.00pm.

12.4 CONFIDENTIAL - STATUS OF LEGAL ACTIONS REPORT (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Craig Lloyd Chief Executive Officer
FILE NUMBER	72574
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Information – includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting').

This report is confidential in accordance with s5.23 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, which permits the meeting to be closed to the public for business relating to the following:

(4) (a) legal advice, or other information, over which the local government holds legal professional privilege.

(4) (f) information the making public of which would be likely to impair the effectiveness of any lawful method or procedure for preventing, detecting, investigating or dealing with any contravention or possible contravention of the law.

A full report is provided to Elected Members under separate cover. The report is not for publication.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ188-07/26)

MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council NOTES the Status of Legal Action Report for matters that may have a contingent liability and are unresolved for the month of May 2026.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

Disclosures of Interest affecting Impartiality

Name / Position	**Mayor Daniel Kingston.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	Candidate J may be known to Mayor Kingston.

Name / Position	**Cr Bettina Gould.**
Meeting Type	Ordinary Meeting of Council.
Meeting Date	28 July 2026.
Item No. / Subject	Item 12.9 - Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).
Nature of Interest	Interest that may affect Impartiality.
Extent of Interest	One of the applicants is known to Cr Gould.

12.9 APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL)

WARD	All
RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR	Mr Jamie Parry Director Governance and Strategy
FILE NUMBER	09358, 101515
AUTHORITY / DISCRETION	Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.

PURPOSE

For Council to consider applications received and the process for the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates* (the Code), for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the Council meeting held on 26 May 2026 (CJ113-05/26 refers), Council received a report in relation to options for the selection and appointment of an independent person to undertake the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

At that meeting, Council resolved as follows (CJ113-05/26 refers):

"That Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:

1 NOTES the options presented in this report with regard the selection and appointment of an independent person/s to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach;

2 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to seek public Expressions of Interest to appoint an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, at the agreed rate of $466 per job."

In accordance with Part 2 of the Council's decision, an Expression of Interest (EOI) was placed as follows:

- City's LinkedIn site
- City's website Vacancies page.

A copy of the EOI is attached (Attachment 1 refers).

Submissions closed on 23 June 2026 at 5pm.

14 submissions were received and are provided under confidential attachment (Attachment 2 refers). One application was received after the close of submissions at 5pm on 23 June 2026.

It is therefore recommended that Council:

1 CONSIDERS the short-listed candidates for the role as independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, as provided in Attachment 2;

2 ENDORSES the following short-listed candidates for interview and consideration of the role of independent person:

2.1 Candidate #
2.2 Candidate #
2.3 Candidate #
2.4 Candidate #
2.5 Candidate #

3 AUTHORISES the Mayor, Deputy Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to interview the short-listed candidates, and for the Chief Executive Officer to arrange appropriate administrative support to the interview panel;

4 NOTES that a further report will be presented to Council for the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

BACKGROUND

At the Council meeting held on 24 March 2026, Council received a report in relation to recent changes to the Model Code of Conduct as a result of new local government reforms relating to the new Local Government Inspector, and new complaints handling system.

At that meeting, Council resolved as follows (CJ062-03/26 refers):

"That Council:

1 ADOPTS BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY the revised Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, as provided in Attachment 2 to this Report;

2 ADOPTS the revised Complaint Investigation Council Policy, as provided in Attachment 5 to this Report;

3 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY and in accordance with section 5.42 of the Local Government Act 1995, AMENDS delegation 1.1.8 as provided in Attachment 3 to this Report;

4 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AUTHORISES the contractors listed under Common Use Agreement CUAHRS2021 – Human Resource and Investigation Services (Category 1), to perform the functions of the Council under clause 12 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates for the purpose of dealing with a complaint and investigating an alleged breach

5 In authorising the persons listed under Part 4, the Council CONFIRMS that it is satisfied that the persons being authorised are suitably qualified and experienced to perform the functions of the Council under clause 12 of the Code of Conduct because of the competitive procurement process of the WA Department of Finance;

6 In authorising the persons listed under Part 4, the Council CONFIRMS that it is satisfied that the persons being authorised are impartial and have no close association with any member of the council or any employee of the local government because of the restrictions included in the Council adopted Complaint Resolution and Investigation Council Policy;

7 ENDORSES its preferred approach for an independent person/s to be appointed to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach;

8 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to present a further report detailing:

8.1 options for selection and appointment of an independent person/s to undertake the activities in Part 7 above;

8.2 options for remuneration and/or reimbursement of expenses for an independent person/s to under the activities in Part 7 above."

In response to Part 8 of the Council's decision, a further report was presented to Council on 26 May 2026 with options for the selection and appointment of an independent person, where Council resolved as follows (CJ113-05/26 refers):

"That Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:

1 NOTES the options presented in this report with regard the selection and appointment of an independent person/s to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach;

2 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to seek public Expressions of Interest to appoint an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, at the agreed rate of $466 per job."

DETAILS

In accordance with Part 2 of Council's decision of 26 May 2026, Council endorsed the EOI format (Attachment 1 refers), whereby the (highly regard) selection criteria for an independent person were to include the following:

- Demonstrated experience in dealing with complaints.
- Previous experience in an equivalent role or position.
- Strong understanding of probity, ethics and procedural fairness.
- Familiarity with the public sector and/or local government operations and regulatory environments.
- Availability to review investigation reports, as and when required.
- Possess relevant professional qualifications in either human resource management, business, governance or law.

Applicants were asked to provide a brief (no more than 3 pages) written EOI that addressed the selection criteria, including the following:

- Relevant experience in complaints handling practices.
- Personal attributes that would support effective decision making with regard to alleged complaints.

The position was advertised from the period 9 June 2026 to 23 June 2026 on the City's LinkedIn site and City's website.

14 submissions were received (Attachment 2 refers). One application was received after the close of submissions at 5pm on 23 June 2026.

Process for selection and appointment of an independent person

It is proposed that:

1 The Council determines a shortlist of candidates for interview.

2 The shortlisting will be based on the following criteria and weightings:

Demonstrated experience in dealing with complaints.	10
Previous experience in an equivalent role or position.	10
Possess relevant professional qualifications in either human resource management, business, governance or law.	10
Strong understanding of probity, ethics and procedural fairness.	3
Familiarity with the public sector and/or local government operations and regulatory environments.	3

3 The weightings are scored as:

Critical – critical to success in the role and essential for an independent person to be proficient in skilfully demonstrating this criteria; this is non-negotiable and someone who could not perform this would most likely fail.	10
Very important – it would be very difficult for a person to achieve the expected role outcomes/results without skilfully demonstrating this.	3
Fairly important – this criteria is among the most important for achieving expected role outcomes/results, but if lacking, a lesser skill could be tolerated. Steps would however need to be put in place for improvement of competence.	2
Important – the criteria is important but would have less impact on role outcomes/results if the independent person was not proficient in it. Therefore, a lesser skill can be accepted.	1

4 The Mayor, Deputy Mayor, and CEO interview the shortlisted candidates and determine a preferred candidate/s to be recommended to the Council.

5 Following a due diligence review of the preferred candidate/s, a further report be presented to Council for the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates,* for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

Roles and responsibilities – Administration

- Undertake logistics of arranging interview times and venue.
- Undertake validation checks of qualifications and experience of the preferred candidate/s.
- Undertake reference checks of the preferred candidate/s.
- Draft advice to successful and unsuccessful candidates.

Roles and responsibilities – Interview Panel

- Interview short-listed candidates and determine a preferred candidate/s to be recommended to the Council.
- Preparation of interview questions (to be undertaken in consultation with the Administration).
- Maintain corporate records related to the interview and selection process.

Roles and Responsibilities – Council

- Final decision maker with regard to the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates,* for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

Issues and options considered

Council is to select a suitably qualified independent person to perform the functions of Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates.*

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / Policy implications

Legislation *Local Government Act 1995*.
Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021.

10-Year Strategic Community Plan

Key theme 5. Leadership.

Outcome 5-1 Capable and effective - you have an informed and capable Council backed by a highly-skilled workforce.
5-3 Engaged and informed - you are able to actively engage with the City and have input into decision-making.

Policy *Complaint Investigation Council Policy*.

Risk management considerations

Risk management considerations in reports to Council consider the relevant strategic risk(s).

This category of risk requires input from Council and is managed by the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Director(s).

Strategic risks are external or internal risks that affect the achievement of the City’s long-term objectives.

Strategic Risk Relationship

Risk	**DECISIONS**
Risk Event Description	Ineffective / improper decision making
Risk Responsibility	Director Governance and Strategy
Residual Risk	High
Control Effectiveness	Strong
Risk Appetite	High risk requires close monitoring with assurance of the highest levels of controls – strong – including plans for improving effectiveness levels.
Risk Control	The relevant control, to mitigate risk, is the provision of a report that includes relevant details and information required for Council to make an informed decision relating to the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct.

Other risk information

Local governments across the State are bound by the Model Code of Conduct provisions and local governments are required to incorporate any amendments made to the Model Code of Conduct within 3 months of the regulations coming into operation (that is by 1 April 2026).

Financial / budget implications

Provisions are made within the City's operational budget to appoint external parties to investigators for complaints that are referred to those parties to manage.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Industry consultation has occurred with the Western Australian Local Government Association, Local Government Professionals WA and the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety in relation to the implementation of a Local Government Inspector and associated oversight powers.

COMMENT

At the Council meeting held on 24 March 2026 (CJ062-03/26 refers), Council authorised the contractors listed under the *Common Use Agreement CUAHRS2021 – Human Resource and Investigation Services* (Category 1), for the purpose of dealing with a complaint and investigating an alleged breach.

At the Council meeting held on 26 May 2026 (CJ113-05/26 refers), Council authorised the Chief Executive Officer to seek public Expressions of Interest to appoint an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates,* for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

This report addresses Part 2 of Council's decision on 26 May 2026 following advertising of the EOI.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1 CONSIDERS the short-listed candidates for the role as independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates* for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, as provided in Attachment 2;

2 ENDORSES the following short-listed candidates for interview and consideration of the role of independent person:

2.1 Candidate #
2.2 Candidate #
2.3 Candidate #
2.4 Candidate #
2.5 Candidate #

3 AUTHORISES the Mayor, Deputy Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to interview the short-listed candidates, and for the Chief Executive Officer to arrange appropriate administrative support to the interview panel;

4 NOTES that a further report will be presented to Council for the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates*, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION
(Resolution No: CJ189-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council:

1 CONSIDERS the short-listed candidates for the role as independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates* for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, as provided in Attachment 2;

2 ENDORSES the following short-listed candidates for interview and consideration of the role of independent person:

2.1 Candidate E
2.2 Candidate G
2.3 Candidate B
2.4 Candidate J
2.5 Candidate L

3 AUTHORISES the Mayor, Deputy Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to interview the short-listed candidates, and for the Chief Executive Officer to arrange appropriate administrative support to the interview panel;

4 NOTES that a further report will be presented to Council for the appointment of an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates*, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Alternate Motion: Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

1. EOI Independent Person Code of Conduct Complaints Panel [**12.9.1** - 2 pages]
2. CONFIDENTIAL REDACTED - COMBINED Applications [**12.9.2** - 79 pages]
3. Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates [**12.9.3** - 14 pages]

.

PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE MEETING BE OPENED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
(Resolution No: CJ190-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr O'Neill that in accordance with clause 5.2(3)(b) of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, the Council meeting now be REOPENED TO THE PUBLIC.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

Doors opened at 9.07pm.

One member of the public and no members of the press entered the Chamber.

In accordance with Clause 5.2(6)(a) of the City's Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013, Mayor Kingston read aloud the motions in relation to:

- *Item 12.4 - Confidential – Status of Legal Actions Report.*
- *Item 12.9 – Appointment of Independent Person/s to Perform Function of the Council Under Clauses 12 And 13 of the Code of Conduct (Ward – All).*

MOTION TO RESUME ORDER OF BUSINESS
(Resolution No: CJ191-07/26)

MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council RESUMES the operation of clause 4.3 – Order of Business of the *City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.
Against the Motion: Nil.

17 ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE NEXT MEETING

Nil.

18 CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 9.10pm the following Elected Members being present at that time:

MAYOR DANIEL KINGSTON
CR ADRIAN HILL
CR LEWIS HUTTON
CR BETTINA GOULD
CR DENISE MERCER
CR REBECCA PIZZEY
CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP
CR JOHN RAFTIS
CR MATTHEW COUNT
CR PHILLIP VINCIULLO
CR JOHN CHESTER
CR ROHAN O'NEILL

28 JULY 2026 - ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL ATTACHMENTS

5.2 QUESTIONS ASKED PRIOR TO AND VERBALLY AT THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 28 JULY 2026.....4

5.2.1 2023 BUILDINGS PRIORITISATION MATRIX.....4

12.1 DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS - MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL).....7

12.1.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS DETERMINED - MAY 2026.....7

12.1.2 SUBDIVISION REFERRALS - MAY 2026.....11

12.2 SMALL BAR (ADDITIONS) AT 98 O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA - SECTION 31 RECONSIDERATION UNDER STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 2004 (WARD - NORTH-CENTRAL).....12

12.2.1 LOCATION PLAN.....12

12.2.2 DEVELOPMENT PLANS.....16

12.2.3 APPLICANTS PLANNING REPORT.....18

12.2.4 PARKING ASSESSMENT REPORT.....30

12.2.5 ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT.....61

12.2.6 OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.....73

12.2.7 SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS.....100

12.5 STATUS OF COUNCIL DECISIONS - JULY 2026 (WARD - ALL).....116

12.5.1 ACTIONS REGISTER AS AT 29 JUNE 2026.....116

12.6 MINUTES OF REGIONAL COUNCIL MEETINGS (WARD - ALL).....162

12.6.1 4 JUNE 2026 - MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL - SPECIAL COUNCIL MINUTES.....162

12.6.2 11 JUNE 2026 - MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL - ORDINARY COUNCIL MINUTES.....168

12.6.3 18 JUNE 2026 - MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL - SPECIAL COUNCIL MINUTES.....194

12.6.4 18 JUNE 2026 - CATALINA REGIONAL COUNCIL - ORDINARY COUNCIL MINUTES.....201

12.7 ELECTED MEMBER TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE 2025-26 (WARD - ALL).....216

12.7.1 2025-26 ELECTED MEMBER TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT.....216

12.8 WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION 2026 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING DELEGATES (WARD - ALL).....218

12.8.1 2026 AGM NOTICE OF MEETING.....218

12.8.2 GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF MEMBER MOTIONS.....220

12.8.3 2026 AGM MEMBER MOTION TEMPLATE.....222

12.9 APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL).....223

12.9.1 EOI INDEPENDENT PERSON CODE OF CONDUCT COMPLAINTS.....223

12.9.3 CODE OF CONDUCT FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS, COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND CANDIDATES.....225

12.10 ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS RESEARCH REPORT (WARD - ALL).....239

12.10.1 RESEARCH OF ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS JOONDALUP.....239

12.11 LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL).....334

12.11.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS DELEGATED MUNICIPAL PAYMENT LIST FOR THE MONTH OF MAY 2026.....334

12.11.2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS DELEGATED MUNICIPAL PAYMENT LIST (BOND REFUNDS) FOR THE MONTH MAY 2026.....412

12.11.3 MUNICIPAL VOUCHERS FOR THE MONTH OF MAY 2026.....415

12.11.4 CREDIT CARD TRANSACTIONS MAY 2026.....416

12.11.5 FUEL TAX REPORT MAY 2026.....417

12.12 FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT FOR MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL).....430

12.12.1 FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT - MAY 2026.....430

12.12.2 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION - MAY 2026.....431

12.12.3 INVESTMENT REPORT - MAY 2026.....432

12.12.4 SUPPORTING COMMENTARY - MAY 2026.....433

12.12.5 CAPITAL WORKS SUMMARY - MAY 2026.....439

12.13 SORRENTO SURF LIFE SAVING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (WARD - SOUTH-WEST).....449

12.13.3 SORRENTO SURF LIFE SAVING REDEVELOPMENT - FLOOR PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.....449

2023 Building Prioritisation Matrix

Asset Information	Item	Score
Type of Work	New / no work required	1
	Upgrade / extension	3
	Partly renewed / upgrade	4
	Renewal	5
Facility Category	Category 3 or 4 facilities (beach toilets, toilets / changerooms)	1
	Category 2 facilities (community facilities)	3
	Category 1 facilities (admin, civic, libraries, leisure centres)	5
Overall Condition Rating	Rating 1 - New / as new	1
	Rating 2 - Good	2
	Rating 3 - Functional	3
	Rating 4 - Poor	4
	Rating 5 - Very poor / replace	5
Project Planning	**Item**	**Score**
Strategic Alignment	Not listed in any planning documentation	1
	Listed in business unit plan	2
	Listed in planning document/s that are linked to the City's strategic objectives (e.g. *Active Reserve Community Facility Review etc.)*	3
	Council resolution requesting further planning for the project.	4
	Council resolution approving construction budget to proceed.	5
Planning Status	No project planning or scoping completed	1
	Feasibility study, basic concept, scoping completed	2
	Business case (where relevant) completed with stated objectives identified	3
	Design (where relevant) and / or quote / QS obtained for project	5
Service Delivery	**Item**	**Score**
Utilisation	Very Good - usage corresponds well with design capacity and no operational problems experienced	5
	Good - usage is within design capacity and occasional operational problems experienced	4
	Fair - usage is approaching design capacity and/or operational problems occur frequently	3
	Poor - usage exceeds or is well below design capacity and/or significant operational problems are evident	2
	Very Poor - exceeds design capacity or is little used and/or operational problems are serious and ongoing.	1
Functionality	Very Good - meets program / service delivery needs in a fully efficient and effective manner	1
	Good - meets program / service delivery needs in an acceptable manner	2
	Fair - meets some program / service delivery needs and some inefficiencies and ineffectiveness present	3
	Poor - limited ability to meet program / service delivery needs	4
	Very Poor - is critically deficient, does not meet program / service delivery and is neither efficient nor effective	5
Affected Users	Number of quantifiable users < 100	1
	Number of quantifiable users = 100 - 300	2
	Number of quantifiable users = 301 - 500	3
	Number of quantifiable users > 500	5
Service Needs	Mostly fit for purpose and improvement works WILL NOT improve functionality and/or utilisation	1
	Not fit for purpose and improvement works WILL NOT improve functionality and/or utilisation	1
	Mostly fit for purpose and improvement works WILL improve functionality and/or utilisation	3
	Not fit for purpose and improvement works WILL improve functionality and/or utilisation	5
Social Return	Limited evidence that improvement works will increased community participation or social benefit	1
	Quantifiable evidence that improvement works will increase recreational/social outcomes for existing activities	3
	Quantifiable evidence that improvement works will create additional recreational/social outcomes for new activities	5
Previous works	**Item**	**Score**
Value of previous works	> $500,000	1
	$300,001 to $500,000	2
	$100,001 to $300,000	3
	$50,001 to $100,000	4
	< $50,000	5
Period since last refurbishment works	5 years or less	1
	6 to 10 years	2
	11 to 15 years	3
	16 to 20 years	4
	More than 20 years	5

2023 Building Prioritisation Matrix

	Asset Information				Project Planning			Service Delivery						Previous Works				
Building	**Type of Work**	**Category**	**Condition**	SUB TOTAL	**Strategic Alignment**	**Planning status**	SUB TOTAL	**Utilisation**	**Functionality**	**Affected users**	**Service needs**	**Social return**	SUB TOTAL	**Value of previous works**	**Period since last works**	SUB TOTAL	**OVERALL TOTAL**	**OVERALL PERCENTAGE**
Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms	4.00	3.00	2.81	9.81	4.00	5.00	9.00	5.00	3.11	5.00	5.00	5.00	23.11	4.00	5.00	9.00	50.92	85%
Heathridge Community Centre	4.00	3.00	3.04	10.04	4.00	5.00	9.00	5.00	3.73	5.00	3.00	5.00	21.73	3.00	5.00	8.00	48.77	82%
Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club	4.00	3.00	2.96	9.96	5.00	5.00	10.00	3.00	2.60	5.00	3.00	5.00	18.60	5.00	5.00	10.00	48.56	81%
Heathridge Park Clubroom	4.00	3.00	3.14	10.14	4.00	5.00	9.00	3.00	4.47	5.00	3.00	5.00	20.47	3.00	2.00	5.00	44.61	75%
Warwick Hall / Greenwood Warwick Community Care Centre	4.00	3.00	2.99	9.99	3.00	2.00	5.00	3.00	3.37	5.00	5.00	5.00	21.37	4.00	3.00	7.00	43.36	73%
Dorchester Hall	4.00	3.00	3.21	10.21	3.00	2.00	5.00	2.00	3.96	1.00	5.00	5.00	16.96	5.00	5.00	10.00	42.17	71%
Greenwood Scout and Guide Hall	4.00	3.00	2.95	9.95	5.00	5.00	10.00	4.00	3.93	1.00	3.00	3.00	14.93	3.00	3.00	6.00	40.88	69%
Grove Childcare Centre	4.00	3.00	2.76	9.76	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	3.53	5.00	5.00	5.00	21.53	5.00	1.00	6.00	41.29	69%
Guy Daniel Clubrooms	4.00	3.00	2.87	9.87	4.00	5.00	9.00	2.00	3.31	3.00	3.00	5.00	16.31	3.00	3.00	6.00	41.18	69%
MacNaughton Park Clubroom	3.00	3.00	2.50	8.50	3.00	2.00	5.00	4.00	3.76	5.00	5.00	3.00	20.76	4.00	3.00	7.00	41.26	69%
Percy Doyle Football Teeball Clubroom	3.00	3.00	2.53	8.53	4.00	5.00	9.00	3.00	3.31	5.00	3.00	3.00	17.31	3.00	3.00	6.00	40.84	69%
Beaumaris Community Centre	5.00	3.00	2.87	10.87	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.01	5.00	3.00	5.00	18.01	3.00	2.00	5.00	37.88	64%
Warwick Stadium (Churches of Christ)	5.00	5.00	2.41	12.41	3.00	1.00	4.00	5.00	2.70	5.00	3.00	3.00	18.70	1.00	2.00	3.00	38.11	64%
Calectasia Hall	4.00	3.00	2.98	9.98	5.00	5.00	10.00	2.00	3.79	1.00	3.00	1.00	10.79	4.00	3.00	7.00	37.77	63%
Rob Baddock Community Hall	5.00	3.00	2.67	10.67	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.63	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.63	3.00	3.00	6.00	37.30	63%
Padbury Hall	3.00	3.00	2.71	8.71	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	3.21	5.00	3.00	3.00	18.21	3.00	3.00	6.00	36.92	62%
Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms	4.00	3.00	2.23	9.23	4.00	2.00	6.00	5.00	2.11	5.00	3.00	3.00	18.11	1.00	2.00	3.00	36.34	61%
Timberlane Park Hall	3.00	3.00	2.67	8.67	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.89	5.00	5.00	3.00	18.89	1.00	2.00	3.00	34.56	58%
Warrandyte Park Clubroom	4.00	3.00	2.34	9.34	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	17.00	3.00	1.00	4.00	34.34	58%
Fleur Freame Pavilion	3.00	3.00	2.53	8.53	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	2.39	5.00	3.00	3.00	17.39	1.00	3.00	4.00	33.92	57%
Iluka Sports Complex	3.00	3.00	2.61	8.61	3.00	1.00	4.00	5.00	2.19	5.00	3.00	3.00	18.19	1.00	2.00	3.00	33.80	57%
Sorrento Community Hall	3.00	3.00	3.11	9.11	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.70	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.70	2.00	2.00	4.00	33.81	57%
Kingsley Park Memorial Clubrooms	4.00	3.00	2.46	9.46	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	2.74	5.00	3.00	3.00	17.74	1.00	2.00	3.00	34.20	57%
Bramston Park Community Sporting Facility	4.00	3.00	2.34	9.34	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	2.19	5.00	3.00	3.00	17.19	1.00	2.00	3.00	33.53	56%
Forrest Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	3.00	2.79	8.79	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.39	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.39	1.00	3.00	4.00	33.18	56%
Seacrest Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	3.00	2.34	8.34	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.77	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.77	1.00	3.00	4.00	33.11	56%
Flinders Park Community Centre	4.00	3.00	2.11	9.11	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.67	5.00	3.00	3.00	15.67	2.00	2.00	4.00	32.78	55%
Joondalup Reception Centre	4.00	5.00	2.37	11.37	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.41	5.00	3.00	3.00	15.41	1.00	1.00	2.00	32.78	55%
Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	3.00	2.59	8.59	3.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	2.43	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.43	1.00	2.00	3.00	32.02	54%
Windermere Park Clubrooms	3.00	3.00	2.22	8.22	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	3.09	5.00	3.00	3.00	16.09	3.00	1.00	4.00	32.31	54%
Troy Pickard (Warwick) Hockey Centre	1.00	3.00	1.72	5.72	3.00	1.00	4.00	5.00	2.26	5.00	3.00	3.00	18.26	1.00	2.00	3.00	30.98	52%
Penistone Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	3.00	2.06	8.06	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.29	5.00	3.00	3.00	15.29	1.00	2.00	3.00	30.35	51%
Whitford Senior Citizens Centre	1.00	3.00	2.85	6.85	1.00	1.00	2.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	15.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	28.85	49%
Duncraig Recreation Centre (Churches of Christ)	1.00	5.00	2.76	8.76	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.73	5.00	1.00	1.00	13.73	3.00	1.00	4.00	28.49	48%
Currambine Community Centre	1.00	3.00	2.67	6.67	1.00	1.00	2.00	5.00	2.43	5.00	1.00	1.00	14.43	1.00	2.00	3.00	26.10	44%
Connolly Community Centre	1.00	3.00	2.67	6.67	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.04	5.00	1.00	1.00	13.04	3.00	1.00	4.00	25.71	43%
Duncraig Community Centre	1.00	3.00	2.80	6.80	1.00	1.00	2.00	5.00	2.51	5.00	1.00	1.00	14.51	1.00	1.00	2.00	25.31	43%
Mildenhall	1.00	3.00	2.63	6.63	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.81	5.00	1.00	1.00	12.81	3.00	1.00	4.00	25.44	43%
Warwick Sports Centre	1.00	3.00	2.47	6.47	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.39	5.00	1.00	1.00	13.39	1.00	1.00	2.00	23.86	40%
Emerald Park Clubrooms	1.00	3.00	2.02	6.02	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.37	5.00	1.00	1.00	13.37	1.00	1.00	2.00	23.39	39%
Sorrento Bowling Clubroom	1.00	3.00	2.65	6.65	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.31	5.00	1.00	1.00	12.31	1.00	1.00	2.00	22.96	39%
Sorrento Football Clubroom (Soccer)	1.00	3.00	2.08	6.08	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.24	5.00	1.00	1.00	12.24	1.00	1.00	2.00	22.32	38%
Ellersdale Park Clubroom	1.00	3.00	2.24	6.24	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.49	5.00	1.00	1.00	11.49	1.00	1.00	2.00	21.73	37%
Sorrento Tennis Clubroom	1.00	3.00	2.67	6.67	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.46	3.00	1.00	1.00	10.46	2.00	1.00	3.00	22.13	37%
Chichester Park Community Sporting Facility	1.00	3.00	1.42	5.42	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.73	5.00	1.00	1.00	11.73	1.00	1.00	2.00	21.15	36%
Gibson Park Community Centre	1.00	3.00	2.43	6.43	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.14	2.00	1.00	1.00	9.14	1.00	3.00	4.00	21.57	36%
Undercroft Bridge Clubroom	1.00	3.00	2.13	6.13	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.59	1.00	1.00	1.00	7.59	1.00	2.00	3.00	18.72	32%

2023 Community Facility Functionality Audit

	Spatial Relationship						Environmental comfort				Provision / Amenity										Aesthetics				
Building	**Size and layout**	**Location**	**Flexibility**	Average	Weighting	Weighted average	**Heating / cooling**	Average	Weighting	Weighted average	**Safety / security**	**Kitchen**	**Storage**	**Change rooms / toilets**	**Flooring**	**Cultural activity art wash**	**Parking**	Average	Weighting	Weighted average	**Character**	Average	Weighting	Weighted average	**OVERALL RATING**
Admiral Park Community Sporting Facility	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.86	0.50	1.43	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.43
Beaumaris Community Centre	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.67	0.30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.01
Bramston Park Community Sporting Facility	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.19
Calectasia Hall	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.33	0.30	1.30	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.57	0.50	1.79	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	3.79
Chichester Park Community Sporting Facility	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	1.86	0.50	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	1.73
Connolly Community Centre	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.29	0.50	1.14	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.04
Currambine Community Centre	4.00	1.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	4.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.86	0.50	1.43	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.43
Dorchester Hall	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	0.30	1.20	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.71	0.50	1.86	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	3.96
Duncraig Community Centre	3.00	1.00	3.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	2.51
Duncraig Recreation Centre (Churches of Christ)	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.86	0.50	1.43	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	2.73
Ellersdale Park Clubroom	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.49
Emerald Park Clubrooms	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.30	0.90	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.14	0.50	1.07	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.37
Fleur Freame Pavilion	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.39
Flinders Park Community Centre	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.14	0.50	1.57	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.67
Forrest Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.39
Gibson Park Community Centre	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.29	0.50	1.14	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.14
Greenwood Scout and Guide Hall	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	0.30	1.10	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	3.86	0.50	1.93	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	3.93
Grove Child Care Centre	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.33	0.30	1.00	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.86	0.50	1.93	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.53
Guy Daniel Clubroom	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	0.30	1.10	1.00	1.00	0.10	0.10	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.43	0.50	1.71	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.31
Heathridge Community Centre	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.30	0.90	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	3.86	0.50	1.93	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	3.73
Heathridge Park Clubroom	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	0.30	1.40	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	4.14	0.50	2.07	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	4.47
Iluka Sports Complex	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.67	0.30	0.50	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.19
Joondalup Reception Centre	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.41
Kingsley Park Memorial Clubrooms	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	3.29	0.50	1.64	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.74
MacNaughton Park Clubroom	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.67	0.30	1.10	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.71	0.50	1.86	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.76
Mildenhall	4.00	2.00	2.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	2.81
Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.67	0.30	0.50	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.11
Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	0.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.60
Padbury Hall	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.43	0.50	1.71	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	3.21
Penistone Park Community Sporting Facility	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.29
Percy Doyle Football/Teeball Clubroom	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	5.00	5.00	0.10	0.50	2.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.43	0.50	1.71	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.31
Rob Baddock Community Hall	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.86	0.50	1.43	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.63
Seacrest Park Community Sporting Facility	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.14	0.50	1.57	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.77
Sorrento Bowling Clubroom	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.43	0.50	1.21	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.31
Sorrento Community Hall	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	0.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.70
Sorrento Football Clubroom (Soccer)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.29	0.50	1.14	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.24
Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club Clubrooms	4.00	1.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.00	2.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.43	0.50	1.71	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.11
Sorrento Tennis Clubroom	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.71	0.50	1.36	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.46
Timberlane Park Hall	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.67	0.30	1.10	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.89
Troy Pickard (Warwick) Hockey Centre	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.67	0.30	0.50	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.71	0.50	1.36	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.26
Undercroft Bridge Clubroom	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.33	0.30	0.70	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	2.59
Warrandyte Park Clubroom	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.30	0.90	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	0.50	1.50	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.00
Warwick Hall / Greenwood Warwick Community Care Centre	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.33	0.30	1.00	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	4.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.14	0.50	1.57	4.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	3.37
Warwick Sports Centre	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	2.57	0.50	1.29	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.39
Warwick Stadium (Churches of Christ)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.30	0.60	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	0.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.70
Whitford Senior Citizens Centre	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.30	0.90	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	0.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.00
Windermere Park Clubrooms	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	0.30	0.80	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.20	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.57	0.50	1.79	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.30	3.09

Rating scale
1 = Very good
2 = Good
3 = Fair
4 = Poor
5 = Very poor

Monthly Development Applications Determined - May 2026

Ward	DA Number	Receive Date	Application Details	Property Address	Estimated Cost	Stage Decision
Central	DA25/0757	26/09/25	SINGLE HOUSE (tree removal)	2 Vive Avenue CRAIGIE WA 6025	$8,500.00	Refused
Central	DA25/0921	21/11/25	GROUPED DWELLING (new dwelling)	6 Cingalee Place CRAIGIE WA 6025	$488,055.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0030	14/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	43 Tasman Road BELDON WA 6027	$17,482.54	Approved
Central	DA26/0055	23/01/26	GROUPED DWELLING (new dwelling)	34 Sandalford Drive BELDON WA 6027	$550,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0106	12/02/26	GROUPED DWELLING (new dwelling)	10C Nyara Crescent CRAIGIE WA 6025	$300,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0110	13/02/26	GROUPED DWELLING (new two storey dwelling)	289 Camberwarra Drive CRAIGIE WA 6025	$700,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0196	19/03/26	PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL ESTATE (signage addition - Woodvale Green)	95 Woodvale Drive WOODVALE WA 6026	$5,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0198	24/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	64 Chadstone Road CRAIGIE WA 6025	$25,951.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0202	23/03/26	GROUPED DWELLING (two new dwellings)	50 Camberwarra Drive CRAIGIE WA 6025	$953,452.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0217	27/03/26	HOME BUSINESS (massage salon)	41 Cutter Crescent BELDON WA 6027	$0.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0258	08/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (carport addition)	16 Bridgedale Close BELDON WA 6027	$36,900.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0271	13/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	4 Mead Close WOODVALE WA 6026	$477,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0273	13/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	94 Chichester Drive WOODVALE WA 6026	$12,800.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0282	21/04/26	EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT (modular classroom addition)	Beldon Primary School 10 Pacific Way BELDON WA 6027	$60,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0291	22/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (siteworks)	307 Camberwarra Drive CRAIGIE WA 6025	$20,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0300	20/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	21 Precision Avenue MULLALOO WA 6027	$325,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0304	23/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	22 Wilmore Close WOODVALE WA 6026	$20,000.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0308	24/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	8 Tangent Court MULLALOO WA 6027	$42,080.00	Approved
Central	DA26/0325	29/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	8 Millimumul Way MULLALOO WA 6027	$16,000.00	Approved
North	DA24/0031.01	05/03/26	CHILD CARE PREMISES & RESTAURANT/CAFE (new development - modification to previous approval DA24/0031)	44 Grand Ocean Entrance BURNS BEACH WA 6028	$0.00	Approved
North	DA26/0010	12/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	4 Sorell Gardens JOONDALUP WA 6027	$10,000.00	Approved
North	DA26/0058	27/01/26	EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT (additions)	10 Injune Way JOONDALUP WA 6027	$180,000.00	Approved
North	DA26/0081	25/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	15 Lochmaben Retreat KINROSS WA 6028	$20,000.00	Approved
North	DA26/0092	09/02/26	MOTOR VEHICLE REPAIRS (change of use)	5/86 Winton Road JOONDALUP WA 6027	$0.00	Approved
North	DA26/0097	10/02/26	SINGLE HOUSE (ancillary dwelling)	2 Glespin Cove KINROSS WA 6028	$18,300.00	Approved

ATTACHMENT NO: 1 ATTACHMENT 12.1.1
PAGE NO: 2 of 4

Ward	DA Number	Receive Date	Application Details	Property Address	Estimated Cost	Stage Decision
North	DA26/0142	12/03/26	RECREATION - PRIVATE (new two storey gym)	26 Sundew Rise JOONDALUP WA 6027	$2,000,000.00	Approved
North	DA26/0154	05/03/26	RESTAURANT (modifications to external façade and signage)	Lakeside Shopping City 420 Joondalup Drive JOONDALUP WA 6027	$568,045.00	Approved
North	DA26/0155	04/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new dwelling)	5 Chesterman Street BURNS BEACH WA 6028	$424,735.00	Approved
North	DA26/0163	06/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (ancillary dwelling)	27 Garners Way BURNS BEACH WA 6028	$100,000.00	Approved
North	DA26/0215	31/03/26	UNHOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMMODATION (change of use - extension of time for previous approved DA25/0523)	11 Craignish Loop KINROSS WA 6028	$0.00	Approved
North	DA26/0265	09/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	8 Ferndown Court CURRAMBINE WA 6028	$18,163.00	Approved
North	DA26/0288	17/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	3 Wesley Rise JOONDALUP WA 6027	$10,727.00	Approved
North	DA26/0301	22/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	11 Panache Gardens JOONDALUP WA 6027	$24,431.00	Approved
North	DA26/0302	22/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	23 Gilbank Crescent KINROSS WA 6028	$7,500.00	Approved
North	DA26/0328	01/05/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition)	37 Upney Mews JOONDALUP WA 6027	$16,440.00	Approved
North	DA26/0332	01/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	11 Ohrid Place JOONDALUP WA 6027	$14,090.00	Approved
North	DA26/0336	04/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	2 Whithorn Circle KINROSS WA 6028	$16,350.00	Approved
North	DA26/0340	05/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	15 Coatbridge Circuit KINROSS WA 6028	$19,500.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0044	20/01/26	CONSULTING ROOMS (additions and modification to previous approved DA5455)	10 Edgewater Drive EDGEWATER WA 6027	$40,000.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0114	16/02/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new two storey dwelling)	42 Venice Entrance ILUKA WA 6028	$1,588,805.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0249	06/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	8 Barossa Heights OCEAN REEF WA 6027	$16,100.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0295	20/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	30 The Loop EDGEWATER WA 6027	$19,212.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0312	27/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	6 Caspian Pass ILUKA WA 6028	$71,000.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0318	28/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	6 Padilla Way ILUKA WA 6028	$80,000.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0322	29/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (carport addition)	4 Kingston Heath Court CONNOLLY WA 6027	$19,500.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0339	05/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	7 Deauville Place CONNOLLY WA 6027	$46,760.00	Approved
NorthCentr	DA26/0360	12/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	8 Captain Court HEATHRIDGE WA 6027	$150,000.00	Approved
South	DA25/0471	26/06/25	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	23 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020	$1,000,000.00	Approved
South	DA25/0773	05/10/25	GROUPED DWELLING (new two storey dwelling)	14 Tipperary Rise PADBURY WA 6025	$850,000.00	Approved
South	DA25/0960	08/12/25	GROUPED DWELLING (new dwelling)	3A Baxter Way PADBURY WA 6025	$288,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0073	29/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	28 Strathyre Drive DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$300,000.00	Approved

ATTACHMENT NO: 1 ATTACHMENT 12.1.1
PAGE NO: 3 of 4

Ward	DA Number	Receive Date	Application Details	Property Address	Estimated Cost	Stage Decision
South	DA26/0133	23/02/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new dwelling)	28 Guron Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$690,899.00	Approved
South	DA26/0151	04/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (siteworks and front fence addition)	21 Sweeney Way PADBURY WA 6025	$15,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0164	09/03/26	EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT (siteworks - retrospective)	Padbury Catholic Primary School 4 O'Leary Road PADBURY WA 6025	$46,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0185	19/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	76 Lilburne Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$300,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0225	27/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	9 Buttercup Rise DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$10,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0277	21/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (front fence addition)	23 Ayton Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$15,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0335	04/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (front fence addition)	2 Hodge Court MARMION WA 6020	$15,000.00	Approved
South	DA26/0341	06/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (carport addition)	6 Callion Rise PADBURY WA 6025	$34,300.00	Approved
South	DA26/0353	11/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	3 Cassinia Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023	$275,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA21/1271.01	21/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (modification to previous approved DA21/1271 - extension of time)	20 Cockman Road GREENWOOD WA 6024	$0.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA25/0788	08/10/25	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	24 Clinton Street KINGSLEY WA 6026	$14,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0032	15/01/26	HOME BUSINESS (Orchard Tarts and additions)	5 Alperton Court KINGSLEY WA 6026	$16,500.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0091	05/02/26	GROUPED DWELLING (new dwelling)	15 Ricketts Way GREENWOOD WA 6024	$500,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0146	03/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (carport and patio addition)	8 Stockwell Way KINGSLEY WA 6026	$19,658.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0149	03/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (siteworks - retrospective)	49 Cobine Way GREENWOOD WA 6024	$17,500.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0178	19/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	11 Grange Court KINGSLEY WA 6026	$19,500.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0193	19/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new two storey dwelling)	2A Reserve Close GREENWOOD WA 6024	$350,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0206	30/03/26	GROUPED DWELLING (two new dwellings and small dwelling)	17 Calectasia Street GREENWOOD WA 6024	$700,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0219	27/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	19 Dericote Way GREENWOOD WA 6024	$22,818.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0253	07/04/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition)	Grand Masonic Village 47 Renegade Way KINGSLEY WA 6026	$7,400.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0259	08/04/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition-unit 51)	Grand Masonic Village 47 Renegade Way KINGSLEY WA 6026	$7,400.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0261	09/04/26	GROUPED DWELLING (new ancillary dwelling)	11B Warrigal Way GREENWOOD WA 6024	$209,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0268	10/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	8 Trinity Way KINGSLEY WA 6026	$19,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0275	14/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new dwelling)	81 Wahroonga Way GREENWOOD WA 6024	$250,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0289	17/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	4 Ardrossan Loop KINGSLEY WA 6026	$63,705.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0293	20/04/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition)	9B Coombe Place KINGSLEY WA 6026	$11,000.00	Approved

Ward	DA Number	Receive Date	Application Details	Property Address	Estimated Cost	Stage Decision
SouthEast	DA26/0297	20/04/26	GROUPED DWELLING (additions)	110 Kingsley Drive KINGSLEY WA 6026	$14,090.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0316	28/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	6 Penistone Street GREENWOOD WA 6024	$19,910.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0343	07/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	123 Blackall Drive GREENWOOD WA 6024	$300,000.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0347	05/05/26	GROUPED DWELLING (carport addition)	2 Ballantrae Court KINGSLEY WA 6026	$9,460.00	Approved
SouthEast	DA26/0349	08/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	12 Aspen Close GREENWOOD WA 6024	$10,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA24/0523.01	11/01/26	GROUPED DWELLING (two new two storey dwellings - modification to previous approved DA24/0523)	25 MacKay Way HILLARYS WA 6025	$0.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA25/0135.01	28/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions - modification to previous approved DA25/0135)	30 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020	$0.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA25/0845	24/10/25	UN-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMMODATION (change of use)	12 Aspendale Place HILLARYS WA 6025	$0.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0046	20/01/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new dwelling)	10 Killarney Heights KALLAROO WA 6025	$3,700,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0061	27/01/26	UN-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMMODATION (change of use)	37 Gemmell Way HILLARYS WA 6025	$0.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0103	11/02/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	37 Jervis Way SORRENTO WA 6020	$100,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0118	17/02/26	GROUPED DWELLING (additions)	10A Keans Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020	$650,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0123	20/02/26	UNHOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMMODATION (change of use - Retrospective)	84 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020	$0.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0126	18/02/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	2 Greenmount Heights HILLARYS WA 6025	$628,474.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0144	03/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	4 Juno Court KALLAROO WA 6025	$180,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0152	04/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (fence addition)	10 Lanikai Lane SORRENTO WA 6020	$66,317.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0159	05/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new two storey dwelling)	49 Justin Drive SORRENTO WA 6020	$1,000,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0174	10/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new two storey dwelling with undercroft)	24 Robin Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020	$3,800,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0218	27/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (outbuilding addition)	32 Hocking Parade SORRENTO WA 6020	$18,010.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0222	31/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (new two storey dwelling)	23A St Patricks Road SORRENTO WA 6020	$600,000.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0234	31/03/26	SINGLE HOUSE (additions)	4 Landells Rise HILLARYS WA 6025	$19,500.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0252	07/04/26	SINGLE HOUSE (patio addition)	69 St Helier Drive SORRENTO WA 6020	$21,272.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0330	01/05/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition)	7/11 Endeavour Road HILLARYS WA 6025	$21,980.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0352	07/05/26	GROUPED DWELLING (patio addition)	16B Raleigh Road SORRENTO WA 6020	$17,118.00	Approved
SouthWest	DA26/0370	17/05/26	SINGLE HOUSE (garage addition)	59 Porteous Road SORRENTO WA 6020	$30,000.00	Approved
102					**$26,810,689.54**	

ATTACHMENT NO: 2

Monthly Subdivision Application Recommendations to Western Australian Planning Commission - May 2026

Ward	SU Number	Received Date	Application Details	Property Address	Recommendation
Central	SU453-26	26/03/26	2 strata residential lots	1 Dawn Place BELDON WA 6027	NotSupport
Central	SU486-26	01/04/26	2 strata residential lots	82 Camberwarra Drive CRAIGIE WA 6025	Support
Central	SU489-26	02/04/26	2 strata residential lots	37 Fenellia Crescent CRAIGIE WA 6025	Support
Central	SU568-26	20/04/26	2 strata residential lots	24 Bullara Road CRAIGIE WA 6025	Support
NorthCentr	SU440-26	26/03/26	2 strata residential lots	45 Randell Crescent OCEAN REEF WA 6027	NotSupport
NorthCentr	SU548-26	15/04/26	2 strata residential lots	85 Prince Regent Drive HEATHRIDGE WA 6027	Support
South	SU436-26	23/03/26	2 strata residential lots	35 Blaxland Way PADBURY WA 6025	NotSupport
South	SU477-26	02/04/26	3 strata residential lots	551 Beach Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Support
South	SU512-26	15/04/26	2 strata residential lots	20 Trenton Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023	Support
SouthEast	SU544-26	17/04/26	2 strata residential lots	5 O'Hara Court GREENWOOD WA 6024	Support
10					



lot649-98_omara-08072025

Site Photographs



Photograph 1: Existing Storage Area (as constructed) at the Subject Site



Photograph 2: Existing Entrance to Subject Tenancy – Proposed Location of Alfresco




Photograph 3: Residential Properties to the North of the Subject Site



Photograph 4: Residential Properties to the North of the Subject Site



Photograph 5: On-Street Parking Bays along O'Mara Boulevard


90x45MM JOIST
DECKING AS SELECTED
90x45MM PLATE
TRIPLE GRIP
FASCIA BOARD
155
EXISTING CONCRETE
DECKING DETAIL
SCALE 1:20
EXISTING CONCRETE WALL
3,184
1800H METAL FENCE
6,100
STORAGE AREA
1,000
6,100
300
90x45 BEARERS FIXED TO CONCRETE @ 1000MM CTRS
90x45 JOISTS @ 450 CTRS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
JOISTS
PROPOSED ALFRESCO
TIMBER DECKING
BEARERS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
4,500
EXISTING CAR BAY
EXISTING CAR BAY
400mm HIGH SOLID SCREEN FIXED TO EXISTING BRICK WALL
SERVICES
EXISTING CONCRETE WALL
160MM HIGH STEP
EXISTING CANOPY
4,600
1,500
WALKWAY
FLOOR PLAN
1:100
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160MM HIGH STEP
20 PATRON SEATING PLAN
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
NOTE:
THE COLOUR OF ALL DECKING AND BALUSTRADING WILL BE 'MOMUMENT GREY'
400mm HIGH SOLID SCREEN FIXED TO EXISTING BRICK WALL
400
1800H METAL FENCE
6,100
EXISTING WINDOWS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
STORAGE AREA
1,800
160
900
FFL
160H DECKING
EXISTING WINDOWS
EAST ELEVATION
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
160
900
FFL
160H DECKING
FFL
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
160H DECKING
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
4,600
1,500
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
160H STEP
FFL
WEST ELEVATION
1:100
SOUTH ELEVATION
1:100
NORTH ELEVATION
1:100
PROJECT:
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)
CLIENTS NAME:
RYAN ESQULANT
23/09/2025
SITE ADDRESS:
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA
SHEET 1 OF 2



LOCATION PLAN

NORTH

PROJECT:
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)

CLIENTS NAME:
RYAN ESQULANT 23/09/2025

SITE ADDRESS:
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA

SHEET 2 OF 2

BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935

CAR PARK ENTRY & EXIT

STORAGE AREA

1000

PROPOSED ALFRESCO

NEW BAR TENANCY
(FIRST FLOOR)

CAR PARKING

SERVICES AREA FOR BAR

BURNS BEACH RD

ADJACENT TENANCIES

ADJACENT TENANCIES

CALIS AVE

O'MARA BLVD

SITE PLAN
A101
1 : 250 AT A2 SHEET SIZE

NORTH

REVISIONS
04/06/25 BIN STORE ADDED
15/06/25 REMOVE 'PREVIOUSLY APPROVED' NOTE AT MOTORCYCLE BAY.

CLIENT
RYAN ESQULANT

JOB
DA
APPLICATION
NEW SMALL BAR
' BAR OLE '

SITE
ILUKA PLAZA
OMARA BLVD
iLUKA

DRAWING
LOCATION PLAN
SITE PLAN

JOB NO	DRAWN
24.05	RS/BA
SCALE	DATE
1 : 250	JAN 26
DWG NO	SET
A.1	DA

All dimensions are to be verified on site before commencement of work or shop drawings

ATTACHMENT 12.2.3

PLANNING REPORT

PROPOSED SMALL BAR – EXTERNAL WORKS

LOT 649 (NO. 98) O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA

PROJECT REF: 2223

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS

Prepared for
Bar Ole

History and Status of this Document

Revision	Date issued	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Revision type
Rev 1	22/10/2024	AG	RC	Lodgement
Rev 2	24/9/2025	AG	RC	Lodgement
Rev 3	14/4/2026	RC	NT	Lodgement

Document Printed
File Name 260414 2223 Iluka Plaza - Alfresco and Store.doc
Author/s Reegan Cake
Name of Document Planning Report
Document Version Rev 3

Copyright Statement 2026

© Dynamic Planning and Developments Pty Ltd

Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), the whole or any part of this report may not be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner, Dynamic Planning and Developments. This includes the adaptation, microcopying, photocopying or recording of the report.

Neither may the information contained in this report be reproduced, transmitted or stored electronically in any form, such as in a retrieval system, without the specific prior written permission of Dynamic Planning and Developments.

This report has been exclusively drafted. No express or implied warranties are made by Dynamic Planning and Developments regarding the research findings and data contained in this report. All of the information details included in this report are based upon the existent land area conditions, research provided and obtained, and so forth as Dynamic Planning and Developments conducted its analysis into the subject proposal and/or project. Dynamic Planning and Developments will not be responsible for the application of its recommended strategies by the Client.

Please note that the strategies devised in this report may not be directly applicable towards another Client. We would also warn against adapting this report's strategies / contents to another land area which has not been researched and analysed by Dynamic Planning and Developments. Instead, please contact Dynamic Planning and Developments to provide a customised report for your specific needs. Otherwise, Dynamic Planning and Developments accepts no liability whatsoever for a third party's use of, or reliance upon, this specific report.

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS

PLANNING REPORT

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction 4

2.0 Site Details 4

2.1 Legal Description 4

2.2 Locational and Land Use Context 4

2.2.1 Regional and Local Context 4

3.0 Planning Framework 6

3.1 Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) 6

3.2 City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No.3 (LPS3) 6

3.2.1 Zoning 6

3.3 Iluka Structure Plan 6

4.0 Proposal Details 7

5.0 Assessment 9

5.1 Land Use Permissibility 9

5.2 Development Requirements 9

5.3 Amenity Impacts 9

6.0 Conclusion 12

Appendices 13

APPENDIX 1 - Certificate of Title 14

APPENDIX 2 – Development Plans 15

APPENDIX 3 – Operational Management Plan 16

APPENDIX 4 – Parking Audit 17

APPENDIX 5 – Acoustic Report 18

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS

1.0 Introduction

Dynamic Planning and Developments acts on behalf of Bar Ole, the tenant of a first floor tenancy at Lot 649 (No. 98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka (herein referred to as the 'subject site').

This planning report has been prepared in support of an application for external works associated with a 'small bar' that currently occupies the tenancy. The report contains the following pertinent details of the proposal relevant to the assessment of the application:

- Details of the proposal.
- Detailed assessment of the proposal against the relevant planning provisions applicable under the City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3) and any associated local planning policy.

In addition to this planning report, the following documentation has been provided in order to assist the City of Joondalup in approving the proposed application:

- Certificate of Title (**Appendix 1**);
- Relevant development plans (**Appendix 2**);
- Operational Management Plan (**Appendix 3**);
- Parking Audit (**Appendix 4**); and
- Acoustic Report (**Appendix 5**).

It will be demonstrated that the subject application is entirely appropriate for approval.

2.0 Site Details

2.1 Legal Description

The subject site is legally described as:

Lot	Plan	Volume	Folio	Street Address
649	416319	2964	542	98 O'Mara Boulevard

The parent lot has an area of 5,552 m².

A copy of the Certificate of Title pertinent to the subject site is contained in **Appendix 1**.

2.2 Locational and Land Use Context

2.2.1 Regional and Local Context

The subject site is approximately 27 kilometres from the Perth CBD within the suburb of Iluka and municipal locality of the City of Joondalup. The subject site is within the Iluka Plaza, servicing mainly local residents. The site is able to immediately access Burns Beach Road. It is connected to Marmion Avenue and Mitchell Freeway via Burns Beach Road. Through this network, the site has good access to Joondalup and to the wider Perth network.

Figures 1 and **2** depict the subject site in its regional and local context, respectively.




Figure 1 – Regional Context



Figure 2 – Local Context

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS

3.0 Planning Framework

3.1 Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS)

The subject site is zoned 'Urban' under the provisions of the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS).

The proposed development is consistent with the 'Urban' MRS zoning applicable to the subject site.

3.2 City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No.3 (LPS3)

3.2.1 Zoning

The subject site is zoned 'Urban Development' under the provisions of LPS3. The objectives of the 'Urban Development' zone are:

- *To provide an intention of future land use and a basis for more detailed structure planning in accordance with the provisions of this Scheme.*
- *To provide for a range of residential densities to encourage a variety of residential accommodation.*
- *To provide for the progressive and planned development of future urban areas for residential purposes and for commercial and other uses normally associated with residential development.*

In accordance with the 'Urban Development' zoning, the Iluka Local Structure Plan was approved to address compliance with the 'Urban Development' zoning.

3.3 Iluka Structure Plan

The Iluka Local Structure Plan applies to the land within Iluka bounded by Burns Beach Road to the west and north, Silver Sands Drive to the south and Naturaliste Boulevard and Delgado Parade to the east. The subject site was planned for development consistent with the 'Commercial' zone, as applicable under LPS3 which has since been implemented through the construction of the Iluka Plaza.

It is also commented that the adjoining residential development to the sites north is subject to a similar 'Commercial' designation under the structure plan.

1.0 Introduction

Dynamic Planning and Developments acts on behalf of Bar Ole, the tenant of a first floor tenancy at Lot 649 (No. 98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka (herein referred to as the 'subject site').

This planning report has been prepared in support of an application for external works associated with a 'small bar' that currently occupies the tenancy. The report contains the following pertinent details of the proposal relevant to the assessment of the application:

- Details of the proposal.
- Detailed assessment of the proposal against the relevant planning provisions applicable under the City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3) and any associated local planning policy.

In addition to this planning report, the following documentation has been provided in order to assist the City of Joondalup in approving the proposed application:

- Certificate of Title (**Appendix 1**);
- Relevant development plans (**Appendix 2**);
- Operational Management Plan (**Appendix 3**);
- Parking Audit (**Appendix 4**); and
- Acoustic Report (**Appendix 5**).

It will be demonstrated that the subject application is entirely appropriate for approval.

2.0 Site Details

2.1 Legal Description

The subject site is legally described as:

Lot	Plan	Volume	Folio	Street Address
649	416319	2964	542	98 O'Mara Boulevard

The parent lot has an area of 5,552 m².

A copy of the Certificate of Title pertinent to the subject site is contained in **Appendix 1**.


2.2 Locational and Land Use Context

2.2.1 Regional and Local Context

The subject site is approximately 27 kilometres from the Perth CBD within the suburb of Iluka and municipal locality of the City of Joondalup. The subject site is within the Iluka Plaza, servicing mainly local residents. The site is able to immediately access Burns Beach Road. It is connected to Marmion Avenue and Mitchell Freeway via Burns Beach Road. Through this network, the site has good access to Joondalup and to the wider Perth network.

Figures 1 and **2** depict the subject site in its regional and local context, respectively.

PLANNING REPORT



LOT 649 (NO. 98) O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS

5.0 Assessment

The statutory provisions applicable to the subject site require assessment of the proposal to be undertaken against the provisions of the following documents:

- City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3.

The below sections will address the relevant land use permissibility and development requirements outlined in the abovementioned statutory planning documents.

5.1 Land Use Permissibility

The land use related to the subject application is a 'Small Bar'. This is a 'Permitted (P)' land use in the 'Commercial' zone and as such is exempt from requiring approval in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.

5.2 Development Requirements

The primary development requirements that warrants consideration as part of the subject application is:

1. Car parking.

Car Parking

The proposed application seeks to add an alfresco area and a back of house storage area by removing four car bays. Whilst there is an additional 27sqm of space associated with the tenancy, this doesn't increase the NLA as it is not located within 'permanent walls' as per the definition of NLA in the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.

Given no increase to the NLA area, the application results in a net shortfall of 4 car bays.

The resultant loss of car parking is considered justified for the following reasons:

1. The Bar Ole operation will have its peak periods outside of the other tenancies within the proposed development, excluding the approved Tavern. In this regard, there is considered to be a high availability of car parking at the centre when the tenancy is in operation.
2. At present the first floor parking area illustrates a high availability of parking bays which is noted in the below aerial imagery. In this regard the loss of four car bays and will not result in an overflow of parking in the area. This is further illustrated in the parking audit completed by Urbii (Appendix 4).

5.3 Amenity Impacts

In considering the relevant amenity impacts, the key consideration relates to noise associated with alfresco and storage area as well as the visual amenity impacts associated with the storage area. With these in mind we note the following:

1. The submitted acoustic report illustrates compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997* and the measures imposed within the Operational Management Plan seek to go above and beyond those recommended by the acoustic report to seek to mitigate amenity impacts as much as possible whilst also providing

an enforceable document that the City can implement on the operation of the alfresco area.

2. The adjoining 'sensitive' residential land uses are located on land zoned 'Commercial' and were constructed after the Iluka Plaza. It is commonly accepted by the State Administrative Tribunal that the level of amenity expected at residential development in a 'Commercial' zone in relation to noise is different (and lesser) than that expected at residential development in a 'Residential' zone.

3. Additional screening to the boundary wall adjacent to the storage area will mitigate the visual amenity impact of the storage area on the adjoining residential properties with a view toward the development.



1 February 2025



7 December 2024

DYNAMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENTS



27 October 2024



15 June 2024



14 September 2024



6 April 2024

1.0 Introduction

Dynamic Planning and Developments acts on behalf of Bar Ole, the tenant of a first floor tenancy at Lot 649 (No. 98) O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka (herein referred to as the 'subject site').

This planning report has been prepared in support of an application for external works associated with a 'small bar' that currently occupies the tenancy. The report contains the following pertinent details of the proposal relevant to the assessment of the application:

- Details of the proposal.
- Detailed assessment of the proposal against the relevant planning provisions applicable under the City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3) and any associated local planning policy.

In addition to this planning report, the following documentation has been provided in order to assist the City of Joondalup in approving the proposed application:

- Certificate of Title (**Appendix 1**);
- Relevant development plans (**Appendix 2**);
- Operational Management Plan (**Appendix 3**);
- Parking Audit (**Appendix 4**); and
- Acoustic Report (**Appendix 5**).

It will be demonstrated that the subject application is entirely appropriate for approval.

2.0 Site Details

2.1 Legal Description

The subject site is legally described as:

Lot	Plan	Volume	Folio	Street Address
649	416319	2964	542	98 O'Mara Boulevard

The parent lot has an area of 5,552 m².

A copy of the Certificate of Title pertinent to the subject site is contained in **Appendix 1**.

2.2 Locational and Land Use Context

2.2.1 Regional and Local Context

The subject site is approximately 27 kilometres from the Perth CBD within the suburb of Iluka and municipal locality of the City of Joondalup. The subject site is within the Iluka Plaza, servicing mainly local residents. The site is able to immediately access Burns Beach Road. It is connected to Marmion Avenue and Mitchell Freeway via Burns Beach Road. Through this network, the site has good access to Joondalup and to the wider Perth network.

Figures 1 and **2** depict the subject site in its regional and local context, respectively.

urbii

Sustainable Transport. Safe Solutions

98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka

Proposed Commercial Extension

PARKING ASSESSMENT REPORT



Prepared for:

Hillarys Beach Club

May 2026

98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka

Prepared for: Hillarys Beach Club
Prepared by: Paul Ghantous
Date: 17 May 2026
Project number: U25.116

Version control

Version No.	Date	Prepared by	Revision description	Issued to
U25.116.r01	09/09/25	Paul Ghantous	FINAL	Dynamic Planning
U25.116.r01a	13/03/26	Paul Ghantous	REVISED FINAL	Dynamic Planning
U25.116.r01b	17/05/26	Paul Ghantous	REVISED FINAL	Dynamic Planning

Scan me

Urbii Consulting Pty Ltd
ABN 34 630 529 476
PO BOX 4315
BALDIVIS WA 6171
T: + 61 433 858 164
E: customer@urbii.com.au
W: www.urbii.com.au

© Urbii 2026. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Urbii and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Urbii. This document is produced by Urbii solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Urbii does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Contents

1 INTRODUCTION 5
2 EXISTING SITE DESCRIPTION 7
3 PARKING SUPPLY 8
4 PARKING DEMAND 9
4.1 Survey time and methodology 9
4.2 Surveyed existing parking demand 9
5 PARKING IMPACT ASSESSMENT 11
6 CONCLUSION 12
APPENDICES 13

Figures

Figure 1: Subject site location 6
Figure 2: Subject site use 6
Figure 3: Parking study area and survey zones 8

Tables

Table 1: Surveyed parking supply 8
Table 2: Friday utilisation 17
Table 3: Friday percentage occupancy 18
Table 4: Friday vacant spaces 19
Table 5: Sunday utilisation 20
Table 6: Sunday percentage occupancy 21
Table 7: Sunday vacant spaces 22
Table 8: Friday 06 March 2026 – utilisation 23
Table 9: Friday 06 March 2026 - percentage occupancy 24
Table 10: Friday 06 March 2026 - vacant spaces 25
Table 11: Saturday 07 March 2026 – utilisation 26
Table 12: Saturday 07 March 2026 - percentage occupancy 27
Table 13: Saturday 07 March 2026 - vacant spaces 28
Table 14: Sunday 08 March 2026 – utilisation 29
Table 15: Sunday 08 March 2026 - percentage occupancy 30
Table 16: Sunday 08 March 2026 - vacant spaces 31

Appendices

Appendix A: Site plans 13
Appendix B: August 2025 parking utilisation survey results 17
Appendix C: March 2026 parking utilisation survey results 23

1 Introduction

This Parking Assessment Report has been prepared by Urbii on behalf of Hillarys Beach Club with regards to the proposed commercial extension, located at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka.

The subject site is located at the corner of O'Mara Boulevard and Burns Beach Road, as shown in Figure 1. The site accommodates the Iluka Plaza local shopping centre (Figure 2).

A new alfresco extension is proposed for the existing 'Bar Ole' restaurant tenancy on Level 1. The proposed works will entail the removal of three car parking bays from the Level 1 car park to provide a new alfresco area and bin store. The net increase in commercial floor area is approximately 22 m². In total, the proposed works increase the 'on-paper' technical parking shortfall by four bays.

Urbii was originally engaged to undertake a parking utilisation survey of the on-site parking supply to demonstrate that sufficient parking capacity is available to accommodate the proposed extension.

Following submission of the original parking assessment, the City requested that the parking survey be supplemented with additional data collected during a peak summer trading period. This request was made to ensure that the assessment reflects potential seasonal variations in parking demand associated with the coastal location of Iluka Plaza and the nearby Burns Beach foreshore, where visitation and activity levels may increase during warmer months.

In response to this request, Urbii undertook a supplementary parking utilisation survey during early March 2026 to capture representative peak summer conditions. The additional survey was undertaken across a Friday afternoon and evening period, a full Saturday trading day, and a Sunday afternoon and evening period, corresponding with the primary operating hours of the Bar Ole restaurant tenancy and surrounding commercial uses. These survey periods were selected to capture typical peak demand conditions associated with weekend dining, leisure and retail activity within the centre.

The purpose of the supplementary survey is to confirm whether the findings of the original parking assessment remain valid during peak seasonal conditions. The results of the additional survey have been incorporated into this updated report to provide a more comprehensive evidence base regarding parking demand and the capacity of the existing parking supply to accommodate the proposed alfresco extension.

Except where otherwise stated, the methodology adopted in this updated assessment is consistent with that used in the original report.




Figure 1: Subject site location



Figure 2: Subject site use

2 Existing site description

Iluka Plaza is a two-level healthcare and commercial centre offering the local community access to services from several national and local tenancies, with ample street and on-site parking.

Tenants include IGA, an early learning centre, dentist, gym, physio, medical centre, pharmacy and restaurants.

Tenant operating hours vary. However, the centre is open from early morning until late. Current operating hours for the subject tenancy are:

- Monday to Thursday 4pm – Late.
- Friday to Sunday Noon – Late.

3 Parking supply

The parking study area was divided into three survey zones, marked L1, L2 & S1 in Figure 3. A total of 150 parking spaces is provided within the survey site. The supply of parking recorded within each zone is detailed in Table 1.




Figure 3: Parking study area and survey zones

Table 1: Surveyed parking supply

Zone	Supply
L1	75
L2	67
S1	8
Total	**150**

4 Parking demand

4.1 Survey time and methodology

A parking utilisation survey was undertaken to establish the existing parking demand at the subject site. The survey was undertaken during the primary operating hours of most tenancies within the centre.

The original survey was undertaken on the following dates:

- Friday 29 August 2025 – between 9:00am and 9:00pm
- Sunday 31 August 2025 – between 10:00am and 9:00pm

Following submission of the original parking assessment, the City requested that additional survey data be collected during a peak summer period to capture potential seasonal variations in parking demand. In response, a supplementary parking utilisation survey was undertaken on the following dates:

- Friday 6 March 2026 – between 12:00pm and 9:00pm
- Saturday 7 March 2026 – between 9:00am and 9:00pm
- Sunday 8 March 2026 – between 12:00pm and 9:00pm

Parking utilisation was recorded in 30-minute intervals for each of the survey zones.

4.2 Surveyed existing parking demand

The parking utilisation surveys were undertaken to quantify existing parking demand within the study area and to assess whether sufficient parking capacity is available to accommodate the proposed development.

4.2.1 Original survey results (August 2025)

The original parking utilisation survey was undertaken on Friday 29 August 2025 and Sunday 31 August 2025. The survey results indicated that parking demand remained well within the available parking supply throughout both survey days.

The peak parking demand during the original survey occurred on Sunday at approximately 5:30pm, when parking occupancy reached 88% of the available parking supply. Even during this peak period, parking remained available within the site, with approximately 18 parking spaces remaining vacant.

Across the remainder of the survey period, parking occupancy levels were generally lower, with a substantial number of parking bays available throughout the day. On average, approximately 59 parking bays were available on Friday and 70 parking bays were available on Sunday, demonstrating that the site operates with a significant surplus of parking supply during typical trading conditions.

The detailed survey results are provided in Appendix B.

4.2.2 Supplementary summer survey results (March 2026)

Following the City's request for additional survey data during a peak summer period, a supplementary parking utilisation survey was undertaken on Friday 6 March 2026, Saturday 7 March 2026 and Sunday 8 March 2026. The detailed survey results are provided in Appendix C.

The supplementary survey results demonstrate a similar pattern of parking demand to that observed in the original survey. Parking demand gradually increased throughout the afternoon and early evening periods, generally corresponding with peak dining and leisure activity within the centre.

The highest recorded parking demand during the supplementary survey occurred on Saturday 7 March 2026 at approximately 5:30pm, when a total of 125 vehicles were recorded within the survey area, representing approximately 83% occupancy of the available parking supply. During this peak period, 25 parking spaces remained available within the site.

On Sunday 8 March 2026, peak demand occurred at approximately 5:30pm, when 120 vehicles were recorded, corresponding to approximately 80% occupancy, with 30 spaces remaining available.

On Friday 6 March 2026, the highest observed parking demand occurred at approximately 1:00pm, when 110 vehicles were recorded, equating to approximately 73% occupancy, with 40 parking spaces remaining available.

4.2.3 Comparison of survey results

The results of the supplementary summer survey are consistent with the findings of the original survey undertaken in August 2025. In both survey periods, peak parking demand occurred during late afternoon or early evening periods associated with restaurant and leisure activity within the centre.

Importantly, during all survey periods, parking demand remained below the total available parking supply within the study area. Even during peak demand conditions, a notable number of parking bays remained available within the site.

Overall, the survey results demonstrate that the existing parking supply at Iluka Plaza continues to provide sufficient spare capacity during both typical trading periods and peak summer conditions. The results therefore support the conclusion that the existing parking supply is capable of accommodating the minor increase in parking demand associated with the proposed alfresco extension.

5 Parking impact assessment

The parking utilisation surveys demonstrate that the existing parking supply within the study area operates with a consistent surplus of available parking throughout the day.

The original parking surveys undertaken in August 2025 recorded a peak parking occupancy of approximately 88%, occurring on Sunday during the late afternoon period. Even during this peak demand period, parking remained available within the site.

The supplementary summer survey undertaken in March 2026 recorded similar parking demand patterns. The highest observed parking demand occurred on Saturday 7 March 2026 at approximately 5:30pm, when 125 vehicles were recorded within the survey area, representing approximately 83% occupancy of the available parking supply. During this period, 25 parking spaces remained available within the site.

Across all survey days, parking demand increased during the afternoon and early evening periods, generally corresponding with peak dining and leisure activity within the centre. Importantly, during all surveyed periods a number of parking bays remained available within the study area, indicating that the existing parking supply is not operating at full capacity.

The proposed works will increase the ‘on-paper’ technical parking shortfall by four parking bays due to the removal of three bays to accommodate the alfresco extension. Notwithstanding this minor reduction in supply, the survey results demonstrate that a substantial number of parking spaces remain available during both typical trading periods and peak summer conditions.

Accordingly, the existing parking supply within Iluka Plaza is considered sufficient to accommodate the minor increase in parking demand associated with the proposed alfresco extension.

6 Conclusion

This Parking Assessment Report has been prepared by Urbii on behalf of Hillarys Beach Club in relation to the proposed commercial extension located at 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka.

The subject site is located at the corner of O'Mara Boulevard and Burns Beach Road and accommodates the Iluka Plaza local shopping centre.

A new alfresco extension is proposed for the existing 'Bar Ole' restaurant tenancy on Level 1. The proposed works will involve the removal of three car parking bays from the Level 1 car park to provide a new alfresco area and bin store. The net increase in commercial floor area is approximately 22 m². In total, the proposed works result in an 'on-paper' technical parking shortfall of four bays.

Urbii was engaged to undertake a parking utilisation survey of the on-site parking supply to determine whether sufficient parking capacity exists to accommodate the proposed extension. The parking study area was divided into three survey zones (L1, L2 and S1), with a total of 150 parking spaces available within the site.

Parking utilisation surveys were undertaken during August 2025 and supplemented with additional surveys during March 2026 to capture peak summer trading conditions. The survey results demonstrate that parking demand within the centre follows a typical pattern, with activity increasing during the afternoon and early evening periods associated with dining and leisure activity.


Across all survey periods, parking demand remained below the total available parking supply. Even during peak demand periods, a number of parking spaces remained available within the study area, demonstrating that the existing parking supply operates with a consistent surplus capacity.


Notwithstanding the minor reduction in supply associated with the proposed works, the survey results demonstrate that sufficient parking capacity remains available within the site to accommodate the increased technical parking shortfall of four bays.


Accordingly, the findings of this parking assessment support the proposed alfresco extension.

Appendices

Appendix A: Site plans


BURNS BEACH RD
NEW BAR TENANCY
(FIRST FLOOR)
ADJACENT TENANCIES
BIN STORE
ALFRESCO
SERVICES AREA FOR BAR
CAR PARKING
ADJACENT TENANCIES
O'MARA BLVD
CALIS AVE
CAR PARK ENTRY & EXIT
SITE PLAN
A101
1 : 250 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
LOCATION PLAN
BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935
J O B
DA APPLICATION
NEW SMALL BAR 'BAR OLE'
S I T E
ILUKA PLAZA
OMARA BLVD
ILUKA
C L I E N T
RYAN ESQUIANT
D R A W I N G
LOCATION PLAN
SITE PLAN
A.1
DA


A2.01
EXISTING FLOOR PLAN
1 : 100 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
EX ROOF BEAM OVER
A2.02
PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN
1 : 100 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
BIN STORE
1600h x 1000w x 1200d
ALFRESCO
DINING
BANQUET SEATING
MALE
UAT
BAR SERVERY
COOL ROOM
WASH
PASS
ENTRY
car bay
car bay
2700
1000
REVISIONS
04/06/25 - BIN STORE ADDED
13/06/25 - NO REVISIONS
LEGEND & NOTES
BB. Earth brown blocks
colour grey.
RR. as due clad col
painted charcoal.
ED Engineered timber
deck.
J O B
NEW SMALL BAR
'BAR OLE'
S I T E
ILUKA PLAZA
OMARA BLVD
ILUKA
D R A W I N G
FLOOR PLANS
C L I E N T
RIYAH ESSOULANT
BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935


ROOF PLAN
1 : 100 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
A3.01
EAST ELEVATION (FROM CAR PARK)
1 : 100 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
A3.01
SOUTH WEST ELEVATION (FROM BURNS BEACH ROAD)
1 : 100 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
A3.02
NEW AWNING
NEW bin store (Maybe longer)
Block wall brace (Structural)
BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935
CLIENT
RYAN ESQUILANT
JOB
NEW SMALL BAR
"BAR OLE"
SITE
ILUKA PLAZA
98 O'MARA BLVD
ILUKA
DRAWING
ROOF PLAN &
ELEVATIONS
A3
1 : 100
24.05
REVISIONS
04/06/25 - BIN STORE ADDED
15/07/25 - NO REVISIONS.

Appendix B: August 2025 parking utilisation survey results

Table 2: Friday utilisation

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	9:00	33	36	3	72
FRI	9:30	35	36	4	75
FRI	10:00	36	41	3	80
FRI	10:30	42	32	4	78
FRI	11:00	40	38	5	83
FRI	11:30	40	48	3	91
FRI	12:00	53	37	6	96
FRI	12:30	67	39	7	113
FRI	13:00	57	43	7	107
FRI	13:30	59	45	7	111
FRI	14:00	62	49	4	115
FRI	14:30	59	51	6	116
FRI	15:00	53	41	6	100
FRI	15:30	63	38	7	108
FRI	16:00	70	44	7	121
FRI	16:30	72	41	6	119
FRI	17:00	54	38	7	99
FRI	17:30	62	38	6	106
FRI	18:00	60	37	6	103
FRI	18:30	40	57	5	102
FRI	19:00	34	49	4	87
FRI	19:30	21	42	3	66
FRI	20:00	14	30	2	46
FRI	20:30	10	28	1	39
FRI	21:00	9	25	0	34

Table 3: Friday percentage occupancy

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	9:00	44%	54%	38%	48%
FRI	9:30	47%	54%	50%	50%
FRI	10:00	48%	61%	38%	53%
FRI	10:30	56%	48%	50%	52%
FRI	11:00	53%	57%	63%	55%
FRI	11:30	53%	72%	38%	61%
FRI	12:00	71%	55%	75%	64%
FRI	12:30	89%	58%	88%	75%
FRI	13:00	76%	64%	88%	71%
FRI	13:30	79%	67%	88%	74%
FRI	14:00	83%	73%	50%	77%
FRI	14:30	79%	76%	75%	77%
FRI	15:00	71%	61%	75%	67%
FRI	15:30	84%	57%	88%	72%
FRI	**16:00**	**93%**	**66%**	**88%**	**81%**
FRI	16:30	96%	61%	75%	79%
FRI	17:00	72%	57%	88%	66%
FRI	17:30	83%	57%	75%	71%
FRI	18:00	80%	55%	75%	69%
FRI	18:30	53%	85%	63%	68%
FRI	19:00	45%	73%	50%	58%
FRI	19:30	28%	63%	38%	44%
FRI	20:00	19%	45%	25%	31%
FRI	20:30	13%	42%	13%	26%
FRI	21:00	12%	37%	0%	23%

Table 4: Friday vacant spaces

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	9:00	42	31	5	78
FRI	9:30	40	31	4	75
FRI	10:00	39	26	5	70
FRI	10:30	33	35	4	72
FRI	11:00	35	29	3	67
FRI	11:30	35	19	5	59
FRI	12:00	22	30	2	54
FRI	12:30	8	28	1	37
FRI	13:00	18	24	1	43
FRI	13:30	16	22	1	39
FRI	14:00	13	18	4	35
FRI	14:30	16	16	2	34
FRI	15:00	22	26	2	50
FRI	15:30	12	29	1	42
FRI	16:00	5	23	1	29
FRI	16:30	3	26	2	31
FRI	17:00	21	29	1	51
FRI	17:30	13	29	2	44
FRI	18:00	15	30	2	47
FRI	18:30	35	10	3	48
FRI	19:00	41	18	4	63
FRI	19:30	54	25	5	84
FRI	20:00	61	37	6	104
FRI	20:30	65	39	7	111
FRI	21:00	66	42	8	116

Table 5: Sunday utilisation

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	10:00	32	12	4	48
SUN	10:30	35	14	4	53
SUN	11:00	31	15	7	53
SUN	11:30	38	14	7	59
SUN	12:00	39	21	7	67
SUN	12:30	51	21	6	78
SUN	13:00	59	30	6	95
SUN	13:30	62	28	7	97
SUN	14:00	63	21	7	91
SUN	14:30	62	25	7	94
SUN	15:00	58	26	7	91
SUN	15:30	60	27	7	94
SUN	16:00	61	24	7	92
SUN	16:30	62	29	7	98
SUN	17:00	59	33	7	99
SUN	17:30	70	55	7	132
SUN	18:00	70	49	7	126
SUN	18:30	68	42	7	117
SUN	19:00	50	32	5	87
SUN	19:30	44	24	4	72
SUN	20:00	26	15	2	43
SUN	20:30	24	10	1	35
SUN	21:00	18	9	0	27

Table 6: Sunday percentage occupancy

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	10:00	43%	18%	50%	32%
SUN	10:30	47%	21%	50%	35%
SUN	11:00	41%	22%	88%	35%
SUN	11:30	51%	21%	88%	39%
SUN	12:00	52%	31%	88%	45%
SUN	12:30	68%	31%	75%	52%
SUN	13:00	79%	45%	75%	63%
SUN	13:30	83%	42%	88%	65%
SUN	14:00	84%	31%	88%	61%
SUN	14:30	83%	37%	88%	63%
SUN	15:00	77%	39%	88%	61%
SUN	15:30	80%	40%	88%	63%
SUN	16:00	81%	36%	88%	61%
SUN	16:30	83%	43%	88%	65%
SUN	17:00	79%	49%	88%	66%
SUN	**17:30**	**93%**	**82%**	**88%**	**88%**
SUN	18:00	93%	73%	88%	84%
SUN	18:30	91%	63%	88%	78%
SUN	19:00	67%	48%	63%	58%
SUN	19:30	59%	36%	50%	48%
SUN	20:00	35%	22%	25%	29%
SUN	20:30	32%	15%	13%	23%
SUN	21:00	24%	13%	0%	18%

Table 7: Sunday vacant spaces

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	10:00	43	55	4	102
SUN	10:30	40	53	4	97
SUN	11:00	44	52	1	97
SUN	11:30	37	53	1	91
SUN	12:00	36	46	1	83
SUN	12:30	24	46	2	72
SUN	13:00	16	37	2	55
SUN	13:30	13	39	1	53
SUN	14:00	12	46	1	59
SUN	14:30	13	42	1	56
SUN	15:00	17	41	1	59
SUN	15:30	15	40	1	56
SUN	16:00	14	43	1	58
SUN	16:30	13	38	1	52
SUN	17:00	16	34	1	51
SUN	17:30	5	12	1	18
SUN	18:00	5	18	1	24
SUN	18:30	7	25	1	33
SUN	19:00	25	35	3	63
SUN	19:30	31	43	4	78
SUN	20:00	49	52	6	107
SUN	20:30	51	57	7	115
SUN	21:00	57	58	8	123

Appendix C: March 2026 parking utilisation survey results

Table 8: Friday 06 March 2026 – utilisation

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	12:00	27	50	5	82
FRI	12:30	29	52	5	86
FRI	13:00	36	66	8	110
FRI	13:30	36	60	7	103
FRI	14:00	43	60	6	109
FRI	14:30	40	50	5	95
FRI	15:00	28	48	5	81
FRI	15:30	36	58	5	99
FRI	16:00	43	59	5	107
FRI	16:30	35	59	7	101
FRI	17:00	32	54	8	94
FRI	17:30	37	47	8	92
FRI	18:00	35	45	6	86
FRI	18:30	32	45	7	84
FRI	19:00	28	40	5	73
FRI	19:30	23	38	3	64
FRI	20:00	18	26	2	46
FRI	20:30	12	22	1	35
FRI	21:00	7	15	1	23

Table 9: Friday 06 March 2026 - percentage occupancy

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	12:00	36%	75%	63%	55%
FRI	12:30	39%	78%	63%	57%
FRI	13:00	48%	99%	100%	73%
FRI	13:30	48%	90%	88%	69%
FRI	14:00	57%	90%	75%	73%
FRI	14:30	53%	75%	63%	63%
FRI	15:00	37%	72%	63%	54%
FRI	15:30	48%	87%	63%	66%
FRI	16:00	57%	88%	63%	71%
FRI	16:30	47%	88%	88%	67%
FRI	17:00	43%	81%	100%	63%
FRI	17:30	49%	70%	100%	61%
FRI	18:00	47%	67%	75%	57%
FRI	18:30	43%	67%	88%	56%
FRI	19:00	37%	60%	63%	49%
FRI	19:30	31%	57%	38%	43%
FRI	20:00	24%	39%	25%	31%
FRI	20:30	16%	33%	13%	23%
FRI	21:00	9%	22%	13%	15%

Table 10: Friday 06 March 2026 - vacant spaces

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
FRI	12:00	48	17	3	68
FRI	12:30	46	15	3	64
FRI	13:00	39	1	0	40
FRI	13:30	39	7	1	47
FRI	14:00	32	7	2	41
FRI	14:30	35	17	3	55
FRI	15:00	47	19	3	69
FRI	15:30	39	9	3	51
FRI	16:00	32	8	3	43
FRI	16:30	40	8	1	49
FRI	17:00	43	13	0	56
FRI	17:30	38	20	0	58
FRI	18:00	40	22	2	64
FRI	18:30	43	22	1	66
FRI	19:00	47	27	3	77
FRI	19:30	52	29	5	86
FRI	20:00	57	41	6	104
FRI	20:30	63	45	7	115
FRI	21:00	68	52	7	127

Table 11: Saturday 07 March 2026 – utilisation

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SAT	9:00	6	16	2	24
SAT	9:30	8	25	4	37
SAT	10:00	11	28	3	42
SAT	10:30	24	36	3	63
SAT	11:00	30	37	1	68
SAT	11:30	30	34	4	68
SAT	12:00	28	38	6	72
SAT	12:30	16	43	5	64
SAT	13:00	26	52	7	85
SAT	13:30	22	63	6	91
SAT	14:00	19	56	5	80
SAT	14:30	23	57	5	85
SAT	15:00	31	58	6	95
SAT	15:30	33	60	7	100
SAT	16:00	28	61	7	96
SAT	16:30	34	64	8	106
SAT	17:00	39	62	8	109
SAT	17:30	51	67	7	125
SAT	18:00	43	65	7	115
SAT	18:30	38	63	6	107
SAT	19:00	29	54	4	87
SAT	19:30	21	41	3	65
SAT	20:00	13	23	2	38
SAT	20:30	7	16	0	23
SAT	21:00	5	13	0	18

Table 12: Saturday 07 March 2026 - percentage occupancy

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SAT	9:00	8%	24%	25%	16%
SAT	9:30	11%	37%	50%	25%
SAT	10:00	15%	42%	38%	28%
SAT	10:30	32%	54%	38%	42%
SAT	11:00	40%	55%	13%	45%
SAT	11:30	40%	51%	50%	45%
SAT	12:00	37%	57%	75%	48%
SAT	12:30	21%	64%	63%	43%
SAT	13:00	35%	78%	88%	57%
SAT	13:30	29%	94%	75%	61%
SAT	14:00	25%	84%	63%	53%
SAT	14:30	31%	85%	63%	57%
SAT	15:00	41%	87%	75%	63%
SAT	15:30	44%	90%	88%	67%
SAT	16:00	37%	91%	88%	64%
SAT	16:30	45%	96%	100%	71%
SAT	17:00	52%	93%	100%	73%
SAT	17:30	68%	100%	88%	83%
SAT	18:00	57%	97%	88%	77%
SAT	18:30	51%	94%	75%	71%
SAT	19:00	39%	81%	50%	58%
SAT	19:30	28%	61%	38%	43%
SAT	20:00	17%	34%	25%	25%
SAT	20:30	9%	24%	0%	15%
SAT	21:00	7%	19%	0%	12%

Table 13: Saturday 07 March 2026 - vacant spaces

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SAT	9:00	69	51	6	126
SAT	9:30	67	42	4	113
SAT	10:00	64	39	5	108
SAT	10:30	51	31	5	87
SAT	11:00	45	30	7	82
SAT	11:30	45	33	4	82
SAT	12:00	47	29	2	78
SAT	12:30	59	24	3	86
SAT	13:00	49	15	1	65
SAT	13:30	53	4	2	59
SAT	14:00	56	11	3	70
SAT	14:30	52	10	3	65
SAT	15:00	44	9	2	55
SAT	15:30	42	7	1	50
SAT	16:00	47	6	1	54
SAT	16:30	41	3	0	44
SAT	17:00	36	5	0	41
SAT	17:30	24	0	1	25
SAT	18:00	32	2	1	35
SAT	18:30	37	4	2	43
SAT	19:00	46	13	4	63
SAT	19:30	54	26	5	85
SAT	20:00	62	44	6	112
SAT	20:30	68	51	8	127
SAT	21:00	70	54	8	132

Table 14: Sunday 08 March 2026 – utilisation

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	12:00	17	34	8	59
SUN	12:30	18	48	8	74
SUN	13:00	26	51	7	84
SUN	13:30	23	59	6	88
SUN	14:00	19	61	6	86
SUN	14:30	21	57	5	83
SUN	15:00	22	54	7	83
SUN	15:30	25	58	6	89
SUN	16:00	22	63	5	90
SUN	16:30	27	55	5	87
SUN	17:00	29	52	5	86
SUN	17:30	48	67	5	120
SUN	18:00	46	67	4	117
SUN	18:30	37	65	5	107
SUN	19:00	29	47	3	79
SUN	19:30	21	41	3	65
SUN	20:00	13	23	1	37
SUN	20:30	7	18	1	26
SUN	21:00	5	14	0	19

Table 15: Sunday 08 March 2026 - percentage occupancy

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	12:00	23%	51%	100%	39%
SUN	12:30	24%	72%	100%	49%
SUN	13:00	35%	76%	88%	56%
SUN	13:30	31%	88%	75%	59%
SUN	14:00	25%	91%	75%	57%
SUN	14:30	28%	85%	63%	55%
SUN	15:00	29%	81%	88%	55%
SUN	15:30	33%	87%	75%	59%
SUN	16:00	29%	94%	63%	60%
SUN	16:30	36%	82%	63%	58%
SUN	17:00	39%	78%	63%	57%
SUN	17:30	64%	100%	63%	80%
SUN	18:00	61%	100%	50%	78%
SUN	18:30	49%	97%	63%	71%
SUN	19:00	39%	70%	38%	53%
SUN	19:30	28%	61%	38%	43%
SUN	20:00	17%	34%	13%	25%
SUN	20:30	9%	27%	13%	17%
SUN	21:00	7%	21%	0%	13%

Table 16: Sunday 08 March 2026 - vacant spaces

Day	Time	L1	L2	S1	TOTAL
SUN	12:00	58	33	0	91
SUN	12:30	57	19	0	76
SUN	13:00	49	16	1	66
SUN	13:30	52	8	2	62
SUN	14:00	56	6	2	64
SUN	14:30	54	10	3	67
SUN	15:00	53	13	1	67
SUN	15:30	50	9	2	61
SUN	16:00	53	4	3	60
SUN	16:30	48	12	3	63
SUN	17:00	46	15	3	64
SUN	17:30	27	0	3	30
SUN	18:00	29	0	4	33
SUN	18:30	38	2	3	43
SUN	19:00	46	20	5	71
SUN	19:30	54	26	5	85
SUN	20:00	62	44	7	113
SUN	20:30	68	49	7	124
SUN	21:00	70	53	8	131

HERRING STORER ACOUSTICS

DYNAMIC PLANNING

PROPOSED ALFRESCO AREA
BAR OLE, ILUKA

ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT

SEPTEMBER 2025

OUR REF: 35258-4-25310

Rochdale Holdings Pty Ltd A.B.N. 85 009 049 067 trading as:
HERRING STORER ACOUSTICS
P.O. Box 219, Como, W.A. 6952
(08) 9367 6200
hsa@hsacoustics.com.au

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT

PROPOSED ALFRESCO AREA
BAR OLE, ILUKA

Job No: 25310

Document Reference: 35258-4-25310

DYNAMIC PLANNING

DOCUMENT INFORMATION			
Author:	Aidan Strumpher	**Checked By:**	George Watts
Date of Issue :	8 September 2025		

REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Description	Date	Author	Checked
1	Council Comments	9/10/25	AS	GW
2	Updated OMP	9/04/26	AS	GW
3	Corrections	20/05/26	AS	GW

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

Copy No.	Version No.	Destination	Hard Copy	Electronic Copy
1	1	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	2	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	3	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	4	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION		1
2.	SUMMARY		1
3.	CRITERIA		1
	3.1	Regulations	1
	3.2	Receivers	2
4.	MEASUREMENTS		4
5.	MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT		4
6.	CONCLUSION		6

APPENDICES

A	SITE PLAN
B	OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. INTRODUCTION

Herring Storer Acoustics was commissioned to undertake an acoustic assessment of the proposed addition of an alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka. The venue is located on the upper level of the Iluka Plaza with the proposed alfresco area located in the parking lot. The area is illustrated in Figure 3.1 and plans of the proposed addition are included in Appendix A.

The venue's operational management plan includes noise management policies including a maximum capacity for the alfresco area of 20 patrons, limits to the level of music played within the space and noise controls for the storage area located nearby. Additionally, improved screening along the wall to the north of the venue is proposed.

2. SUMMARY

Noise emissions from the proposed alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka have been assessed against the criteria of the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.

Noise emissions were found to comply at all times during the venue's hours of operation. The noise management policies stated in the operational management plan are generally in excess of what is required for compliance.

3. CRITERIA

3.1 REGULATIONS

The *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997* stipulate the allowable noise levels at any noise sensitive premises from other premises. The allowable noise level is determined by the calculation of an influencing factor, which is added to the baseline criteria set out in Table 1 of the Regulations. The baseline assigned noise levels are listed in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1 – ASSIGNED NOISE LEVELS

Premises Receiving Noise	Time of Day	Assigned Level (dB)		
		$L_{A\ 10}$	$L_{A\ 1}$	$L_{A\ max}$
Noise sensitive premises within 15 metres of a dwelling	0700 - 1900 hours Monday to Saturday	45 + IF	55 + IF	65 + IF
	0900 - 1900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	40 + IF	50 + IF	65 + IF
	1900 - 2200 hours all days	40 + IF	50 + IF	55 + IF
	2200 hours on any day to 0700 hours Monday to Saturday and 0900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	35 + IF	45 + IF	55 + IF

Note: The L_{A10} noise level is the noise that is exceeded for 10% of the time.
The L_{A1} noise level is the noise that is exceeded for 1% of the time.
The L_{Amax} noise level is the maximum noise level recorded.

It is a requirement that noise from the site be free of annoying characteristics (tonality, modulation and impulsiveness) at other premises, defined below as per Regulation 9.

"impulsiveness" means a variation in the emission of a noise where the difference between L_{Apeak} and $L_{Amax\ Slow}$ is more than 15dB when determined for a single representative event;

"modulation" means a variation in the emission of noise that –

(a) is more than 3dB $L_{A\ Fast}$ or is more than 3dB $L_{A\ Fast}$ in any one-third octave band;

(b) is present for more at least 10% of the representative assessment period; and

(c) is regular, cyclic and audible;

"tonality" means the presence in the noise emission of tonal characteristics where the difference between –

(a) the A-weighted sound pressure level in any one-third octave band; and

(b) the arithmetic average of the A-weighted sound pressure levels in the 2 adjacent one-third octave bands,

is greater than 3 dB when the sound pressure levels are determined as $L_{Aeq,T}$ levels where the time period T is greater than 10% of the representative assessment period, or greater than 8 dB at any time when the sound pressure levels are determined as $L_{A\ Slow}$ levels.

Where the above characteristics are present and cannot be practicably removed, the following adjustments are made to the measured or predicted level at other premises.

TABLE 3.2 – ADJUSTMENTS FOR ANNOYING CHARACTERISTICS

Where tonality is present	Where modulation is present	Where impulsiveness is present
+ 5 dB	+ 5 dB	+ 10 dB

Where the noise emission is music, then any measured level is adjusted according to Table 3.3 below.

TABLE 3.3 – ADJUSTMENTS TO MEASURED MUSIC NOISE LEVELS

Where **impulsiveness** is not present	Where **impulsiveness** is present
+10 dB(A)	+15 dB(A)

3.2 RECEIVERS

The venue is located on the first floor of the Iluka Plaza complex with the proposed alfresco area fronting on to the car park. The following receivers are assessed.

TABLE 3.4 – RECEIVERS ASSESSED

Receiver	Description
R1	Nearest apartment, assessed on balcony
R2	Apartments on Mykonos View
R3	Townhouses on Mykonos View
R4	Childcare centre within Iluka Plaza
R5	Neighbouring commercial tenancy




FIGURE 3.1 – VENUE LOCATION AND SURROUNDS

The influencing factor for the noise sensitive receivers, including the child care centre, are calculated as in Table 3.3 and the resulting assigned levels are listed in Table 3.4.

TABLE 3.3 – INFLUENCING FACTOR CALCULATION

Component	Contribution	Influencing Factor (dB)
Commercial within 100m	17%	1.7
Total		2

TABLE 3.4 - ASSIGNED OUTDOOR NOISE LEVELS

Premises Receiving Noise	Time of Day	Assigned Level (dB)		
		L_{A10}	L_{A1}	L_{Amax}
R1, R2, R3, R4	0700 - 1900 hours Monday to Saturday	47	57	67
	0900 - 1900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	42	52	67
	1900 - 2200 hours all days	42	52	57
	2200 hours on any day to 0700 hours Monday to Saturday and 0900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	37	47	57
R5	All hours	60	75	80

Note: L_{A10} is the noise level exceeded for 10% of the time.
L_{A1} is the noise level exceeded for 1% of the time.
L_{Amax} is the maximum noise level.

4. MEASUREMENTS

A site visit was conducted on the 2nd of September 2025 to determine the noise levels associated with music played in the area. Music was played through the outdoor speaker at the levels intended to be used within the alfresco area. This level was below that of normal conversation. Measurements are summarised in Table 4.1 below.

TABLE 4.1 – MEASURED NOISE LEVELS

Measurement	Location	Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker	0.5m	58
Outdoor speaker	Northeast corner of alfresco area	46
Background noise level	Northeast corner of alfresco area	46

5. MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT

Noise emissions relating to music played and patron noise in the al fresco area were modelled using SoundPlan. Noise sources considered are the outdoor speaker as measured and 20 people within the patron area. Sound power levels used are listed in Table 5.1.

TABLE 5.1 – MODEL SOUND POWER LEVELS

Noise Source	Sound Power Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker limited to 57 dB(A) at 0.5m	62
Patron noise	66/m^2
Keg placed on to concrete	80 L_{AMAx}

Calculated noise levels are presented Table 5.2.

TABLE 5.2 – CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

Noise Source	Receiver	Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker	R1	21
	R2	28
	R3	23
	R4	26
	R5	41
Patron noise	R1	41
	R2	44
	R3	40
	R4	45
	R5	56

These calculated noise levels are assessed against the assigned levels the following sections.

5.1 OUTDOOR MUSIC

Per the operational management plan, music played in the alfresco area will be limited to 57 dB(A) measured at 0.5m from the speaker. Music noise carries a +10 dB adjustment to its assessable level. Noise emissions associated with music played in the alfresco area are assessed in Table 5.3.

TABLE 5.3 – OUTDOOR MUSIC ASSESSMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening/Sunday/Pub.Hol.		Night	
		L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	20 (30)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R2	27 (37)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R3	22 (32)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R4	25 (35)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R5	40 (50)	60	Complies	60	Complies	60	Complies

( ) indicates a +10 dB adjustment for music

Musical noise emissions when played at the intended level comply with the regulations at all times, including during the night period.

The most stringent applicable criteria is the evening/Sunday/Public Holiday L_{A10} assigned level of 42 dB(A). This is 5 dB(A) above the highest of the adjusted assessable levels received at the nearby residences.

5.2 PATRON NOISE

The alfresco area is to operate until 7:30 pm including a soft wind down from 7:15 pm and to have a capacity of 20 patrons. Noise emissions associated with patrons are assessed in Table 5.4.

TABLE 5.4 – PATRON NOISE ASSESSMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening/Sunday/Pub.Hol.		Night	
		L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	38	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+1
R2	41	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+4
R3	37	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R4	42	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+5
R5	53	60	Complies	60	Complies	60	Complies

Patron noise emissions comply with the regulations at all times other than between 10 pm and 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays).

5.3 STORAGE AREA

Noise emissions from the storage area include the placement of objects within the storage area and the operation of the gate to the enclosure. These noise sources are brief and infrequent so are assessed against the L_{Amax} criteria. As impact noises are typically impulsive they receive an adjustment of +10 dB to the assessable levels.

These noise emissions vary greatly with the manner in which activities are conducted – ie. An empty keg placed on the ground is significantly less noisy than one thrown haphazardly. The worst case noise levels associated with the storage area can exceed the criteria considerably. Noise management policies should be put in place to ensure that items are not placed in the storage area in an excessively noisy way.

As indicated in the drawings for the proposed alfresco area, additional solid and continuous screening is to be added to the top of the wall enclosing the storage area which will reduce the impacts of noise from the storage area to the neighbours to the north. Additionally, rubber matting is to be placed within the storage area to reduce the noise from items being placed within the storage area and to remove the impulsive character from the noise emissions.

Noise emissions from the storage area are assessed in Table 5.5. Assessed levels do not include an adjustment for impulsiveness due to the rubber matting to used in the storage area.

TABLE 5.5 – STORAGE AREA KEG PLACEMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening and Night	
		L_{Amax} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{Amax} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	49	67	Complies	57	Complies
R2	41	67	Complies	57	Complies
R3	34	67	Complies	57	Complies
R4	42	67	Complies	57	Complies
R5	50	80	Complies	80	Complies

Storage area noise emissions comply with the regulations at all times. If the noise emissions were to be impulsive and hence attract a +10 dB adjustment to their assessable levels they would still comply during the day period of 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays) to 7 pm.

Similarly, noise emissions from the gate closing have the capacity to exceed and can be mitigated through administrative controls and reduced by adding impact absorbing rubber stoppers or a soft-close mechanism to the gate.

6. CONCLUSION


Noise emissions associated with the proposed alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka have been assessed against the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.


Alfresco area noise including music and patrons were found to comply between 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays) and 10 pm. The noise limits proposed in the venue's operational management plan are 5 dB(A) below those that are required for compliance during the most stringent requirements during the hours of operation.

Noise emissions from the storage area were found to comply at all times.

APPENDIX A

SITE PLAN


90x45MM JOIST
DECKING AS SELECTED
90x45MM PLATE
TRIPLE GRIP
FASCIA BOARD
155
EXISTING CONCRETE
DECKING DETAIL
SCALE 1:20
EXISTING CONCRETE WALL
1800H METAL FENCE
3,184
1,000
6,100
300
6,100
STORAGE AREA
90x45 BEARERS FIXED TO CONCRETE @ 1000MM CTRS
90x45 JOISTS @ 450 CTRS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
JOISTS
PROPOSED ALFRESCO
TIMBER DECKING
STAIRS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
150MM HIGH STEP
4,500
EXISTING CAR BAY
EXISTING CAR BAY
400mm HIGH SOLID SCREEN FIXED TO EXISTING BRICK WALL
1800H METAL FENCE
SLOPE
EXISTING CONCRETE WALL
4,600
1,500
WALKWAY
EXISTING CANOPY
FLOOR PLAN
1:100
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
150MM HIGH STEP
20 PATRON SEATING PLAN
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
NOTE:
THE COLOUR OF ALL DECKING AND BALUSTRADING WILL BE A COMPLIMENTARY GREY
400mm HIGH SOLID SCREEN FIXED TO EXISTING BRICK WALL
400
EXISTING WINDOWS
6,100
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
1800H METAL FENCE
STORAGE AREA
1,800
160
900
FFL
EXISTING WINDOWS
EAST ELEVATION
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
160
FFL
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
FFL
160
900
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
4,600
1,500
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
FFL
WEST ELEVATION
1:100
SOUTH ELEVATION
1:100
NORTH ELEVATION
1:100
PROJECT
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)
CLIENT'S NAME
RYAN ESQUILANT
23/09/2025
SITE ADDRESS
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA
SHEET 1 OF 2


BURNS BEACH RD
NEW BAR TENANCY
(FIRST FLOOR)
ADJACENT TENANCIES
SERVICES AREA FOR BAR
CAR PARKING
ADJACENT TENANCIES
O'MARA BLVD
CALIS AVE
CAR PARK ENTRY & EXIT
A101
SITE PLAN
1 : 250 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
LOCATION PLAN
PROJECT
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)
CLIENT NAME
RYAN ESQUILANT
23/09/2025
BUILDING NAME
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD,
ILUKA
SHEET 1 OF 1
REVISIONS
04/06/25 BIN STORE ADDED
13/08/25 REMOVE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED NOTE AT MOTORCYCLE BAY.
BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935
DRAWING
LOCATION PLAN
SITE PLAN
SITE
ILUKA PLAZA
OMARA BLVD
ILUKA
JOB
D.A. APPLICATION
NEW SMALL BAR
'BAR OLE'
CLIENT
RYAN ESQUILANT

2026

BAR OLÉ

OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

JUNE 2026



Contents

Objectives of the Management Plan 2
Capacity Limit 2
Operating Parameters 3
Music Levels 3
Lighting Controls 3
Waste 3
Complaints 4
Creating a Safe Environment 4
Storage Area 5

Appendix 1 – Acoustic Assessment

Objectives of the Management Plan

This Operational Management Plan (OMP) pertains to 'Bar Ole', a small Spanish bar tenancy in Iluka Plaza which offers authentic Spanish food and drink. Specifically, this OMP provides how the alfresco space will be used. The intent of the OMP is to detail strategies and procedures for the operator to comply with in order to manage the amenity impacts on the surrounding residential properties.

Included as part of the OMP are the following:

- Acoustic Assessment (**Appendix 1**) which outlines how the facility demonstrates compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.

It is stressed that the obligations outlined within this OMP are in addition to any requirements that are also applicable under the Liquor Control Act.

Capacity Limit

The alfresco area will implement a conservative capacity limit of 20 patrons to support compliance with acoustic recommendations and maintain a comfortable environment for patrons and nearby residents. While the acoustic assessment indicates a higher potential occupancy during non-noise sensitive periods (i.e. between 7am and 7pm) the reduced capacity provides a practical buffer to help manage internal noise levels, circulation space, and patron comfort. Staff will monitor patron numbers throughout service to ensure the capacity limit is not exceeded and being seated dining and table service only, it will not be open to patrons simply occupying the alfresco space as they will be required to be seated by staff.

To illustrate the proposed layout of the of alfresco area an internal floor plan/seating plan has been prepared for this space and is noted below in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Seating Plan

Operating Parameters

The alfresco area will only operate until 7.30pm with a soft wind-down commencing at 7.15pm. At 7.30pm all patrons in the alfresco area will be asked to either leave or relocate inside. All patrons will be made aware of this closure time when arriving to ensure evening meals will be completed prior to closure of the alfresco area.

Only seated dining with table service will be permitted to ensure no standing or crowding in the alfresco area with all patrons required to be seated by a staff member.

Music Levels

Music within the alfresco area will be managed to ensure compliance with applicable noise regulations and to minimize impacts on surrounding properties. Background music may be played within the area to assist in managing conversational noise with no amplified sound. In accordance with the acoustic assessment, speakers will be set to a level of 57dB(A) by a qualified acoustic engineer upon installation of the speakers. The speakers will also be installed with a limiter to ensure noise levels do not exceed this stated maximum with annual monitoring to ensure they remain compliant.

Lighting Controls

Lighting within the proposed alfresco area will rely on existing lighting within the first floor car parking area, in addition to low level table lighting through the use of small rechargeable LED table lights. Table lights will be removed and taken inside when the alfresco area operation closes.

Waste

Waste generated by the operation of the alfresco area will be limited to empty drinks, dirty plates, napkins and other general waste associated with the operation of a food and drink premises. This will be managed by:

1. All tables will be cleaned immediately after patrons have concluded their meals with dirty dishes taken back to the kitchen for cleaning and any other waste disposed of internally to the small bar.

2. Staff will regularly monitor the alfresco space during operating hours to ensure floors are cleaned should food or drink be dropped of rubbish ends up on the floor of the alfresco area.

3. At closure (7.30pm) staff will clean all tables and other general areas within the alfresco area and relocate chairs and tables from within the alfresco area to within the small bar or external storage area.

Complaints

Any complaint by a member of the public that they are experiencing undue offence, noise or disturbance as a result of the general operation of the premises will follow the below procedure:

1. Complaints forms will be made available on the small bar's website for members of the public to access and provide feedback if or when they consider it to be appropriate.

2. Upon lodgement of a complaint, staff will bring it to the attention of the Duty Manager who will record the complaint on the mandatory complaints register within a period of 48 hours.

3. The Duty Manager will investigate the complaint to ascertain whether the OMP or conditions of planning approval have been breached within a period of 1 week of the complaint being registered.

4. If contact details of the complainant are provided, the owner of the 'small bar' will make contact to advise them of the outcome of the investigation and if any further concerns remain within a period of one week following completion of the investigation.

5. The resolution of any complaint will be included in the complaints register. Any complaints should be available to the City of Joondalup upon verbal or written request.

6. Should a complainant wish to have a complaint dealt with in real time, the relevant manager/s' contact details will be provided for immediate contact to ensure the complaint is dealt with.

Creating a Safe Environment

The creation and maintenance of a safe environment within the small bar and the surrounding neighbourhood is a key commitment of management and supports the objectives of this Operational Management Plan.

Within the small bar, patrons are entitled to feel safe and relaxed. Patrons should not feel uncomfortable, embarrassed, or threatened by the behaviour of others. Through the implementation of responsible service practices, Management aims to create an environment where the safety and enjoyment of patrons is maximized wherever possible.

Management also recognizes that nearby residents are entitled to enjoy their homes without undue disturbance. Residents within the vicinity should not experience unreasonable impacts from noise generated by the small bar or from the behaviour of patrons arriving at or leaving the premises. To support these objectives, the following measures will be implemented:

- Staff will ensure that patrons in the alfresco area are limited to 20 at all times and any speakers are set to a level of 57dB(A) to ensure compliance with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.

- Signage will be installed at the premises reminding patrons that the venue operates within a residential community and requesting that noise and behaviour remain respectful.

- Staff will take reasonable steps to prevent patrons from leaving the premises with open cans, bottles, or glassware.

- Staff will regularly collect empty and discarded glasses, cans, and bottles throughout the premises. Disposal of waste, particularly glass, cans, and bottles, will occur between 7:00am and 7:00pm to avoid noise during sensitive periods. This will occur in the ground floor communal waste area and any temporary storage of glass, cans and bottles will occur internally within the small bay.

- Management will arrange for the regular removal of litter from the immediate surrounding area. This will typically occur each morning as part of routine cleaning of the premises.

- Management contact details will be made available to nearby residents should they wish to raise any concerns regarding the operation of the venue.

Storage Area

The proposed small bar will include an external storage area adjacent to the tenancy which will be utilised for the purposes of back of house storage i.e. kegs and other cumbersome items that do not typically get stored internally. Controls on the use of this space will include:

1. Strictly no waste will be stored in this area. All waste, including cans, bottles and glass will be stored internally and during 7am and 7pm it will be transferred to the communal bin store on the ground floor.

2. Rubber matting will be installed on the floor of the storage area to minimise noise impacts.

3. The storage area will be locked and only staff will be permitted to use and access this space.

Appendix 1 – Acoustic Assessment

HERRING STORER ACOUSTICS

DYNAMIC PLANNING

PROPOSED ALFRESCO AREA
BAR OLE, ILUKA

ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT

SEPTEMBER 2025

OUR REF: 35258-4-25310

Rochdale Holdings Pty Ltd A.B.N. 85 009 049 067 trading as:
HERRING STORER ACOUSTICS
P.O. Box 219, Como, W.A. 6952
(08) 9367 6200
hsa@hsacoustics.com.au

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT

PROPOSED ALFRESCO AREA
BAR OLE, ILUKA

Job No: 25310

Document Reference: 35258-4-25310

DYNAMIC PLANNING

DOCUMENT INFORMATION			
Author:	Aidan Strumpher	**Checked By:**	George Watts
Date of Issue :	8 September 2025		

REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Description	Date	Author	Checked
1	Council Comments	9/10/25	AS	GW
2	Updated OMP	9/04/26	AS	GW
3	Corrections	20/05/26	AS	GW

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

Copy No.	Version No.	Destination	Hard Copy	Electronic Copy
1	1	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	2	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	3	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓
1	4	Dynamic Planning Attn : Reegan Cake Email : reegan.cake@dynamicplanning.net.au		✓

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION		1
2.	SUMMARY		1
3.	CRITERIA		1
	3.1	Regulations	1
	3.2	Receivers	2
4.	MEASUREMENTS		4
5.	MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT		4
6.	CONCLUSION		6

APPENDICES

A	SITE PLAN
B	OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. INTRODUCTION

Herring Storer Acoustics was commissioned to undertake an acoustic assessment of the proposed addition of an alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka. The venue is located on the upper level of the Iluka Plaza with the proposed alfresco area located in the parking lot. The area is illustrated in Figure 3.1 and plans of the proposed addition are included in Appendix A.

The venue's operational management plan includes noise management policies including a maximum capacity for the alfresco area of 20 patrons, limits to the level of music played within the space and noise controls for the storage area located nearby. Additionally, improved screening along the wall to the north of the venue is proposed.

2. SUMMARY

Noise emissions from the proposed alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka have been assessed against the criteria of the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.

Noise emissions were found to comply at all times during the venue's hours of operation. The noise management policies stated in the operational management plan are generally in excess of what is required for compliance.

3. CRITERIA

3.1 REGULATIONS

The *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997* stipulate the allowable noise levels at any noise sensitive premises from other premises. The allowable noise level is determined by the calculation of an influencing factor, which is added to the baseline criteria set out in Table 1 of the Regulations. The baseline assigned noise levels are listed in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1 – ASSIGNED NOISE LEVELS

Premises Receiving Noise	Time of Day	Assigned Level (dB)		
		$L_{A\ 10}$	$L_{A\ 1}$	$L_{A\ max}$
Noise sensitive premises within 15 metres of a dwelling	0700 - 1900 hours Monday to Saturday	45 + IF	55 + IF	65 + IF
	0900 - 1900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	40 + IF	50 + IF	65 + IF
	1900 - 2200 hours all days	40 + IF	50 + IF	55 + IF
	2200 hours on any day to 0700 hours Monday to Saturday and 0900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	35 + IF	45 + IF	55 + IF

Note: The L_{A10} noise level is the noise that is exceeded for 10% of the time.
The L_{A1} noise level is the noise that is exceeded for 1% of the time.
The L_{Amax} noise level is the maximum noise level recorded.

It is a requirement that noise from the site be free of annoying characteristics (tonality, modulation and impulsiveness) at other premises, defined below as per Regulation 9.

"impulsiveness" means a variation in the emission of a noise where the difference between L_{Apeak} and $L_{Amax\ Slow}$ is more than 15dB when determined for a single representative event;

"modulation" means a variation in the emission of noise that –

(a) is more than 3dB $L_{A\ Fast}$ or is more than 3dB $L_{A\ Fast}$ in any one-third octave band;

(b) is present for more at least 10% of the representative assessment period; and

(c) is regular, cyclic and audible;

"tonality" means the presence in the noise emission of tonal characteristics where the difference between –

(a) the A-weighted sound pressure level in any one-third octave band; and

(b) the arithmetic average of the A-weighted sound pressure levels in the 2 adjacent one-third octave bands,

is greater than 3 dB when the sound pressure levels are determined as $L_{Aeq,T}$ levels where the time period T is greater than 10% of the representative assessment period, or greater than 8 dB at any time when the sound pressure levels are determined as $L_{A\ Slow}$ levels.

Where the above characteristics are present and cannot be practicably removed, the following adjustments are made to the measured or predicted level at other premises.

TABLE 3.2 – ADJUSTMENTS FOR ANNOYING CHARACTERISTICS

Where tonality is present	Where modulation is present	Where impulsiveness is present
+ 5 dB	+ 5 dB	+ 10 dB

Where the noise emission is music, then any measured level is adjusted according to Table 3.3 below.

TABLE 3.3 – ADJUSTMENTS TO MEASURED MUSIC NOISE LEVELS

Where **impulsiveness** is not present	Where **impulsiveness** is present
+10 dB(A)	+15 dB(A)

3.2 RECEIVERS

The venue is located on the first floor of the Iluka Plaza complex with the proposed alfresco area fronting on to the car park. The following receivers are assessed.

TABLE 3.4 – RECEIVERS ASSESSED

Receiver	Description
R1	Nearest apartment, assessed on balcony
R2	Apartments on Mykonos View
R3	Townhouses on Mykonos View
R4	Childcare centre within Iluka Plaza
R5	Neighbouring commercial tenancy




FIGURE 3.1 – VENUE LOCATION AND SURROUNDS

The influencing factor for the noise sensitive receivers, including the child care centre, are calculated as in Table 3.3 and the resulting assigned levels are listed in Table 3.4.

TABLE 3.3 – INFLUENCING FACTOR CALCULATION

Component	Contribution	Influencing Factor (dB)
Commercial within 100m	17%	1.7
Total		2

TABLE 3.4 - ASSIGNED OUTDOOR NOISE LEVELS

Premises Receiving Noise	Time of Day	Assigned Level (dB)		
		L_{A10}	L_{A1}	L_{Amax}
R1, R2, R3, R4	0700 - 1900 hours Monday to Saturday	47	57	67
	0900 - 1900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	42	52	67
	1900 - 2200 hours all days	42	52	57
	2200 hours on any day to 0700 hours Monday to Saturday and 0900 hours Sunday and Public Holidays	37	47	57
R5	All hours	60	75	80

Note: L_{A10} is the noise level exceeded for 10% of the time.
L_{A1} is the noise level exceeded for 1% of the time.
L_{Amax} is the maximum noise level.

4. MEASUREMENTS

A site visit was conducted on the 2nd of September 2025 to determine the noise levels associated with music played in the area. Music was played through the outdoor speaker at the levels intended to be used within the alfresco area. This level was below that of normal conversation. Measurements are summarised in Table 4.1 below.

TABLE 4.1 – MEASURED NOISE LEVELS

Measurement	Location	Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker	0.5m	58
Outdoor speaker	Northeast corner of alfresco area	46
Background noise level	Northeast corner of alfresco area	46

5. MODELLING AND ASSESSMENT

Noise emissions relating to music played and patron noise in the al fresco area were modelled using SoundPlan. Noise sources considered are the outdoor speaker as measured and 20 people within the patron area. Sound power levels used are listed in Table 5.1.

TABLE 5.1 – MODEL SOUND POWER LEVELS

Noise Source	Sound Power Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker limited to 57 dB(A) at 0.5m	62
Patron noise	66/m^2
Keg placed on to concrete	80 L_{AMAx}

Calculated noise levels are presented Table 5.2.

TABLE 5.2 – CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

Noise Source	Receiver	Level dB(A)
Outdoor speaker	R1	21
	R2	28
	R3	23
	R4	26
	R5	41
Patron noise	R1	41
	R2	44
	R3	40
	R4	45
	R5	56

These calculated noise levels are assessed against the assigned levels the following sections.

5.1 OUTDOOR MUSIC

Per the operational management plan, music played in the alfresco area will be limited to 57 dB(A) measured at 0.5m from the speaker. Music noise carries a +10 dB adjustment to its assessable level. Noise emissions associated with music played in the alfresco area are assessed in Table 5.3.

TABLE 5.3 – OUTDOOR MUSIC ASSESSMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening/Sunday/Pub.Hol.		Night	
		L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	20 (30)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R2	27 (37)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R3	22 (32)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R4	25 (35)	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R5	40 (50)	60	Complies	60	Complies	60	Complies

( ) indicates a +10 dB adjustment for music

Musical noise emissions when played at the intended level comply with the regulations at all times, including during the night period.

The most stringent applicable criteria is the evening/Sunday/Public Holiday L_{A10} assigned level of 42 dB(A). This is 5 dB(A) above the highest of the adjusted assessable levels received at the nearby residences.

5.2 PATRON NOISE

The alfresco area is to operate until 7:30 pm including a soft wind down from 7:15 pm and to have a capacity of 20 patrons. Noise emissions associated with patrons are assessed in Table 5.4.

TABLE 5.4 – PATRON NOISE ASSESSMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening/Sunday/Pub.Hol.		Night	
		L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{A10} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	38	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+1
R2	41	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+4
R3	37	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	Complies
R4	42	47	Complies	42	Complies	37	+5
R5	53	60	Complies	60	Complies	60	Complies

Patron noise emissions comply with the regulations at all times other than between 10 pm and 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays).

5.3 STORAGE AREA

Noise emissions from the storage area include the placement of objects within the storage area and the operation of the gate to the enclosure. These noise sources are brief and infrequent so are assessed against the L_{Amax} criteria. As impact noises are typically impulsive they receive an adjustment of +10 dB to the assessable levels.

These noise emissions vary greatly with the manner in which activities are conducted – ie. An empty keg placed on the ground is significantly less noisy than one thrown haphazardly. The worst case noise levels associated with the storage area can exceed the criteria considerably. Noise management policies should be put in place to ensure that items are not placed in the storage area in an excessively noisy way.

As indicated in the drawings for the proposed alfresco area, additional solid and continuous screening is to be added to the top of the wall enclosing the storage area which will reduce the impacts of noise from the storage area to the neighbours to the north. Additionally, rubber matting is to be placed within the storage area to reduce the noise from items being placed within the storage area and to remove the impulsive character from the noise emissions.

Noise emissions from the storage area are assessed in Table 5.5. Assessed levels do not include an adjustment for impulsiveness due to the rubber matting to used in the storage area.

TABLE 5.5 – STORAGE AREA KEG PLACEMENT

Receiver	Level dB(A)	Day		Evening and Night	
		L_{Amax} Criteria	Exceedance	L_{Amax} Criteria	Exceedance
R1	49	67	Complies	57	Complies
R2	41	67	Complies	57	Complies
R3	34	67	Complies	57	Complies
R4	42	67	Complies	57	Complies
R5	50	80	Complies	80	Complies

Storage area noise emissions comply with the regulations at all times. If the noise emissions were to be impulsive and hence attract a +10 dB adjustment to their assessable levels they would still comply during the day period of 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays) to 7 pm.

Similarly, noise emissions from the gate closing have the capacity to exceed and can be mitigated through administrative controls and reduced by adding impact absorbing rubber stoppers or a soft-close mechanism to the gate.

6. CONCLUSION


Noise emissions associated with the proposed alfresco area at Bar Ole in Iluka have been assessed against the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.


Alfresco area noise including music and patrons were found to comply between 7 am (9 am on Sundays and public holidays) and 10 pm. The noise limits proposed in the venue's operational management plan are 5 dB(A) below those that are required for compliance during the most stringent requirements during the hours of operation.

Noise emissions from the storage area were found to comply at all times.

APPENDIX A

SITE PLAN


90x45MM JOIST
DECKING AS SELECTED
90x45MM PLATE
TRIPLE GRIP
FASCIA BOARD
155
EXISTING CONCRETE
DECKING DETAIL
SCALE 1:20
1,000
6,100
300
3,184
6,100
STORAGE AREA
90x45 BEARERS FIXED TO CONCRETE @ 1000MM CTRS
90x45 JOISTS @ 450 CTRS
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
PROPOSED ALFRESCO
TIMBER DECKING
JOISTS
BEARERS
EXISTING CAR BAY
EXISTING CAR BAY
4,500
150MM HIGH STEP
EXISTING CANOPY
4,600
1,500
WALKWAY
FLOOR PLAN
1:100
20 PATRON SEATING PLAN
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
NOTE:
THE COLOUR OF ALL DECKING AND BALUSTRADING WILL BE A COMPLIMENTARY GREY
EXISTING WINDOWS
6,100
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
1800H METAL FENCE
STORAGE AREA
400
1,800
160
900
FFL
EAST ELEVATION
1:100
EXISTING TENANCY (BAR OLE)
900H TIMBER BALUSTRADE
160
900
FFL
SOUTH ELEVATION
1:100
NORTH ELEVATION
1:100
WEST ELEVATION
1:100
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)
RYAN ESQULANT
23/09/2025
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA


BURNS BEACH RD
NEW BAR TENANCY
(FIRST FLOOR)
ADJACENT TENANCIES
SERVICES AREA FOR BAR
CAR PARKING
ADJACENT TENANCIES
O'MARA BLVD
CALIS AVE
CAR PARK ENTRY & EXIT
A101
SITE PLAN
1 : 250 AT A2 SHEET SIZE
LOCATION PLAN
PROJECT
PROPOSED ALFRESCO (BAR OLE)
CLIENT NAME
RYAN ESQUILANT
23/09/2025
ILUKA PLAZA, OMARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA
SHEET SIZE
REVISIONS
04/06/25 BIN STORE ADDED
13/08/25 REMOVE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED NOTE AT MOTORCYCLE BAY.
BRUCE ARNOLD ARCHITECT
MOBILE: 0411 889 935
CLIENT
RYAN ESQUILANT
JOB
PROPOSED ALFRESCO TO NEW SMALL BAR 'BAR OLE'
SITE
ILUKA PLAZA
OMARA BLVD
ILUKA
DRAWING
LOCATION PLAN
SITE PLAN
DRG NO
A1
SCALE
1 : 250
DATE
JUN 25
FOR DA
24.05
STAGE
DA

APPENDIX B

OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

2026

BAR OLÉ

OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

MARCH 2026



Contents

Objectives of the Management Plan 2
Capacity Limit 2
Operating Parameters 3
Music Levels 3
Lighting Controls 3
Complaints 3
Creating a Safe Environment 4
Storage Area 5

Appendix 1 – Acoustic Assessment

Objectives of the Management Plan

This Operational Management Plan (OMP) pertains to 'Bar Ole', a small Spanish bar tenancy in Iluka Plaza which offers authentic Spanish food and drink. Specifically, this OMP provides how the alfresco space will be used. The intent of the OMP is to detail strategies and procedures for the operator to comply with in order to manage the amenity impacts on the surrounding residential properties.

Included as part of the OMP are the following:

- Acoustic Assessment (**Appendix 1**) which outlines how the facility demonstrates compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*.

It is stressed that the obligations outlined within this OMP are in addition to any requirements that are also applicable under the Liquor Control Act.

Capacity Limit

The alfresco area will implement a conservative capacity limit to support compliance with acoustic recommendations and maintain a comfortable environment for patrons and nearby residents. While the acoustic assessment indicates a higher potential occupancy during non-noise sensitive periods (i.e. between 7am and 7pm), the alfresco area will adopt a maximum capacity of 20 patrons. This reduced capacity provides a practical buffer to help manage internal noise levels, circulation space, and patron comfort. Given the limited size of the alfresco area, it is anticipated that accommodating more than this number of patrons at one time would be challenging without compromising movement within the alfresco area or the overall experience. Staff will monitor patron numbers throughout service to ensure the capacity limit is not exceeded.

To illustrate the proposed layout of the of alfresco area an internal floor plan/seating plan has been prepared for this space and is noted below in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Seating Plan

Operating Parameters

The alfresco area will only operate until 7.30pm with a soft wind-down commencing at 7.15pm. It will be seated dining only and table service to ensure no standing or crowding in the alfresco area.

Music Levels

Music within the alfresco area will be managed to ensure compliance with applicable noise regulations and to minimize impacts on surrounding properties. Background music may be played within the area to assist in managing conversational noise with no amplified sound. In accordance with the acoustic assessment speakers will be set to a level of 57dB(A) by a qualified acoustic engineer upon installation of the speakers. The speakers will also be installed with a limiter to ensure noise levels do not exceed this stated maximum.

Lighting Controls

Lighting within the proposed alfresco area will rely on existing lighting within the first floor car parking area, in addition to low level table lighting through the use of small rechargeable LED table lights. Table lights will be removed and taken inside when the alfresco area operation closes.

Complaints

Any complaint by a member of the public that they are experiencing undue offence, noise or disturbance as a result of the general operation of the premises will follow the below procedure:

1. Complaints forms will be made available on the small bar's website for members of the public to access and provide feedback if or when they consider it to be appropriate..

2. Upon lodgement of a complaint, staff will bring it to the attention of the Duty Manager who will record the complaint on the mandatory complaints register.

3. The Duty Manager will investigate the complaint to ascertain whether the OMP or conditions of planning approval have been breached.

4. If contact details of the complainant are provided, the owner of the 'small bar' will make contact to advise them of the outcome of the investigation and if any further concerns remain within a period of one week.

5. The resolution of any complaint will be included in the complaints register. Any complaints should be available to the City of Joondalup upon verbal or written request.

6. Should a complainant wish to have a complaint dealt with in real time, the relevant manager/s' contact details will be provided for immediate contact to ensure the complaint is dealt with.

Creating a Safe Environment

The creation and maintenance of a safe environment within the small bar and the surrounding neighbourhood is a key commitment of Management and supports the objectives of this Operational Management Plan.

Within the small bar, patrons are entitled to feel safe and relaxed. Patrons should not feel uncomfortable, embarrassed, or threatened by the behaviour of others. Through the implementation of responsible service practices, Management aims to create an environment where the safety and enjoyment of patrons is maximized wherever possible.

Management also recognizes that nearby residents are entitled to enjoy their homes without undue disturbance. Residents within the vicinity should not experience unreasonable impacts from noise generated by the small bar or from the behaviour of patrons arriving at or leaving the premises. To support these objectives, the following measures will be implemented:

- Staff will ensure that reasonable measures are in place to minimize the escape of excessive noise from the small bar. This includes adherence to the submitted Acoustic Assessment (**Appendix 1**), which demonstrates compliance with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.

- Staff will promote and encourage respect among patrons for the amenity of the surrounding neighbourhood and the rights of nearby residents not to be unduly disturbed by patron behaviour. Signage will be installed at the premises reminding patrons that the venue operates within a residential community and requesting that noise and behaviour remain respectful.

- Staff will take reasonable steps to prevent patrons from leaving the premises with open cans, bottles, or glassware.

- Staff will regularly collect empty and discarded glasses, cans, and bottles throughout the premises. Disposal of waste, particularly glass, cans, and bottles, will occur between 7:00am and 7:00pm to avoid noise during sensitive periods. This will occur in the ground floor communal waste area and any temporary storage of glass, cans and bottles will occur internally within the small bay.

- Management will arrange for the regular removal of litter from the immediate surrounding area. This will typically occur each morning as part of routine cleaning of the premises.

- Management contact details will be made available to nearby residents should they wish to raise any concerns regarding the operation of the venue.

Storage Area

The proposed small bar will include an external storage area adjacent to the tenancy which will be utilised for the purposes of back of house storage i.e. kegs and other cumbersome items that do not typically get stored internally. Controls on the use of this space will include:

1. Strictly no waste will be stored in this area. All waste, including cans, bottles and glass will be stored internally and during 7am and 7pm it will be transferred to the communal bin store on the ground floor.

2. Rubber matting will be installed on the floor of the storage area to minimise noise impacts.

3. The storage area will be locked and only staff will be permitted to use and access this space.

Appendix 1 – Acoustic Assessment

SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS

SMALL BAR (ADDITIONS) AT 98 O'MARA BOULEVARD, ILUKA

Advertising (14 days) – 21 April 2026 Until 5 May 2026

Support	**4**
Comment/ Neutral	**0**
Object	**29**
TOTAL SUBMISSIONS	**33**

No.	Position	Submission	Administration Comment	Applicant's Response	Recommendation
1	Object	Submitter 1			
	1.1	I oppose the application by Bar Ole for the proposed outdoor alfresco area. The amended application still does not meet reasonable community expectations, and I raise the following concerns: A maximum alfresco capacity of 20 patrons is excessive for an outdoor area that already has significant acoustic issues. At present, even two people having a normal conversation outside Bar Ole can be clearly heard from our property. Increasing this to 20 patrons will only amplify the problem and further impact nearby residents.	The City is of the view that the amended application does not meet the objectives of the Iluka Structure Plan as the alfresco area, storage area and associated operations are likely to result in increased activity, noise and disturbance to nearby residents. In addition, the increased on-site parking shortfall do not demonstrate that parking demand can be accommodated without adverse impacts. Further, the City consider the amended application does not represent an appropriate form of development for the site, as the development is not compatible with the existing interface with adjoining residential properties. This is contrary to Clause 67 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.* Further detail on the City's assessment is contained within the Council report.	This conflicts with acoustic advice that demonstrates compliance with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations can be achieved with 20 patrons in the harshest of acoustic periods (more than 20 during day time periods). The measures proposed are going above and beyond what is considered necessary to meet regularly imposed standards in an effort to address community concern. It is also important to stress that the adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning.	No modifications required.
	1.2	The proposed cessation time of 7:15pm is not sufficient. There appears to be no consideration of the potential for future applications to extend trading hours. Without a firm commitment from Bar Ole not to seek extended hours, there is a real risk this timeframe will gradually be pushed later. Additionally, there is limited confidence that staff will consistently enforce the proposed closing time.	See comment 1.1.	The proposed cessation time of 7.30pm will be imposed as a condition of planning approval through the adoption of the OMP, there isn't any more of a firm commitment/obligation than this. Due to the amenity in this area operation deep into the evening isn't considered a desirable outcome and as such it is unlikely that there will be a need or desire to increase the allowed hours.	No modifications required.
	1.3	Music in the alfresco area being capped at a "low" noise level is not an adequate safeguard. The existing outdoor speaker can already be heard inside nearby properties and along surrounding streets. There have also been instances where music has been left on late into the night and difficulties in having staff reduce the volume when requested. Even without amplified entertainment, current operations are already causing disturbance, so relaxing restrictions is likely to worsen the situation. It is also unclear how any noise limits would be effectively monitored or enforced.	See comment 1.1.	Through the OMP it will be required that the speaker will be set at 57 dB(A) in order to limit noise from this space. This is well within allowed limits and can be measured by the City's officers to ensure compliance.	No modifications required.
	1.4	The application references noise management measures, an acoustic report, and mitigation strategies, however no detailed or concrete plans have been provided. Without clear, enforceable measures, including penalties for the venue, these commitments remain vague and do not allow residents to properly assess the impact. Existing operations already result in noise issues from both patrons and the outdoor speaker, indicating that current controls are insufficient and are unlikely to improve should this application be approved and added stress is placed upon the staff.	See comment 1.1.	Obligations have been clearly set out in the OMP submitted to support the revised application and should they not be complied with, compliance action and fines can be taken/imposed by the City.	No modifications required.
	1.5	I would also like to note broader concerns regarding how resident feedback has been considered. In previous cases, such as the venue at The Iluka, residents opposed extended trading hours but were ultimately disregarded, resulting in ongoing daily noise impacts. This has reduced confidence	The current application has been assessed on its merits, having regard to the amended proposal, relevant planning framework, and submissions received.	Submissions are given due regard, like a number of other matters in considering planning applications.	No modifications required.

		that community concerns will be meaningfully addressed by the State Administrative Tribunal.	The matters raised in submissions, including concerns regarding amenity impacts and the effectiveness of mitigation measures, have been carefully considered in the assessment. See comment 1.1.		
	1.6	While Bar Ole may be more approachable than other venues, this does not resolve the underlying issues. Practical examples, such as the prolonged resolution of the bin placement issue when the bar first opened, demonstrate that even relatively simple matters can take weeks to address. More complex issues, such as noise management, are therefore unlikely to be resolved quickly or effectively. Based on the above, I do not support the application in its current form.	See comment 1.1.	Unfortunately planning processes and compliance matters can be complicated and time consuming, hence the processing delays.	No modifications required.
2	Support	Submitter 2			
	2.1	There is absolutely no difference between this establishment having an outdoor area compared to the Iluka Bar. This business is one that absolutely takes care of their premises and surrounding areas. As someone who lives literally meters away, I fully welcome this proposal as a great addition to our community.	Noted.	Acknowledged	No modifications required.
3	Object	Submitter 3			
	3.1	I would like to commend the city's decision to reject this application, unfortunately you have now been asked to review this application once again. If there is no change to the applicant's application, I cannot see why it shouldn't be rejected once again. I do not believe the so-called independent surveys. I live 200m from this alfresco. They say noise mitigation will be 57db from music alone how do they control this on-top of 20 people trying to talk over each other – that's got to be over 150db altogether.	The City has been invited to reconsider the application in accordance with section 31 of the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004*. See comment 1.1.	The cumulative impact of noise and patrons are modelled as part of the acoustic assessment and compliance has been achieved.	No modifications required.
	3.2	When they say wind down from 7.15pm and finished by 7.30pm does that mean. All patrons will be asked to go inside or is this just the music finishes at 7.30pm so that leaves 20 people outside talking may be loudly until closing time, this is not fair to the residents in close proximity who might be trying to enjoy a nice warm evening outside in their own gardens.	While the Operational Management Plan includes a defined closure time and wind-down period, the effectiveness of these measures is dependent on active staff management and patron compliance. This introduces uncertainty regarding the timely cessation of activity within the alfresco area. This matter has been considered in the assessment of the proposal.	All patrons will be asked to leave or relocate inside at 7.30pm. Noise from the number of patrons permitted in this space has been demonstrated to comply with allowed noise levels through the submitted acoustic report.	No modifications required.
	3.3	How do they control maximum 20 persons is there going to be security or staff counting numbers so this number is exceeded. These are questions unanswered.	The Operational Management Plan relies on staff monitoring and table service to manage patron numbers. However, these measures are operational in nature and dependent on consistent implementation. Accordingly, the reliance on such controls does not provide sufficient certainty that patron numbers will be effectively managed at all times, and this has been taken into account in the assessment. See comment 1.1.	The alfresco area will be seated dining only with table service which will ensure staff are able to easily manage the number of patrons in this space. Further, all patrons will be required to be seated by a staff member which will prevent them occupying tables without staff knowledge.	No modifications required.
	3.4	An alfresco in a carpark is not needed, the owner of Bar Ole owns many establishments and forever pushing the boundaries without any concerns for neighbouring residents that have to live with the noise.	See comment 1.1.	Whether or not it is commercially required is not a relevant planning consideration.	No modifications required.
	3.5	The Iluka pub is totally different, its alfresco borders Burns Beach Road and the bush there is no disturbance to anyone.	Noted.	Noted, how another venue operates is not a relevant planning consideration to this application.	No modifications required.
	3.6	As far as car parking, the city already knows there is a shortfall for the whole of the Iluka Plaza without losing a further 4 car parking bays for one tenant's selfish determination to make more money. I go up on that level often and have never seen so few cars parked there as the photographic illustrations portray, they must have been taken early morning and positioning of them tells me they are people going to the gym there's hardly any vehicles parked	See comment 1.1.	The parking shortfall has been supported by a detailed parking survey carried out on multiple occasions. The shortfall as also been supported by City officers.	No modifications required.

		where the day care centre is telling me this was either early morning or a weekend.			
	3.7	I am sure that this applicant will get his alfresco eventually, as local residents we have all been through this many times with this particularly tenant and he always gets his own way and once he gets this he'll want to expand on it. I truly hope the city does the right thing and rejects this application as nothing has changed from the original application.	See comment 1.1.	-	No modifications required.
4	Object	Submitter 4			
	4.1	This development application was rejected some months ago. The developers appealed and since the SAT hearing have come back with an updated plan. Our response is as follows: We still do NOT support the development. The original objections are still valid.	Noted.	Noted.	No modifications required.
	4.2	Re the Updated Plan some comments: 20 patrons potentially mean 10 cars. There is already a shortfall in the original number approved.	See comment 1.1.	The parking shortfall has been supported by a detailed parking survey carried out on multiple occasions. The shortfall as also been supported by City officers.	No modifications required.
	4.3	If they plan to provide evening meals, there is no way people will have finished by 7.30pm.	See comment 1.1.	All patrons will be made aware of this closure time when arriving to ensure evening meals will be completed prior to closure of the alfresco area.	No modifications required.
	4.4	The bin has not been relocated. It is completely unacceptable to have an eating venue so close to rubbish bins.	See comment 1.1.	There will be no bins permitted to be stored in the proposed storage area.	No modifications required.
	4.5	The regular monitoring of music level is unrealistic. What about the general sound made by 20 patrons?	See comment 1.1.	Speakers will be set with a noise limiter and the combined noise of patrons and music has been modelled with compliance achieved.	No modifications required.
5	Object	Submitter 5			
	5.1	I am an owner and resident of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. I wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.	See comment 1.1.	All patrons will be made aware of this closure time when arriving to ensure evening meals will be completed prior to closure of the alfresco area.	No modifications required.
	5.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.	The parking shortfall has been supported by a detailed parking survey carried out on multiple occasions. The shortfall as also been supported by City officers.	No modifications required.
	5.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	See comment 1.1.	The additional submitted OMP will assist greatly in controlling the impact to nearby residences. We also reiterate that the adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning.	No modifications required.
	5.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and	The Operational Management Plan includes measures relating to cleaning, waste management, and the maintenance of the alfresco area, and the premises would also be subject to relevant public health legislation and ongoing oversight by the City.	The venue is a food and drink premises subject to very strict health obligations and there is no way pests will occupy the premises with regularly cleaning	No modifications required.

		cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.	undertaken to ensure health standards are complied with.	
	5.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.	The premises will adhere to any obligations pertaining to smoking.	No modifications required.
	5.6	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.	See comment 1.1.	We disagree. The additional documentation submitted is considered to go above and beyond in managing any potential impacts to adjoining residences.	No modifications required.
6	Object	Submitter 6			
	6.1	I am an owner and resident of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. I wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.	See comment 1.1.	Responses to the above are reiterated as submission is the same.	No modifications required.
	6.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	6.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	6.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	6.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	6.6	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
7	Object	Submitter 7			

	7.1	I opposed the original proposal for an alfresco area attached to Bar Ole and their retrospective storage area. My original objections including impact amenity on my residence still hold and will not be sufficed by reducing the number of patrons or operating hours.	See comment 1.1.	Noted	No modifications required.
	7.2	Timber floors on a concrete car park base will only create more noise and invite vermin into the car park.	See comment 1.1.	The venue is a food and drink premises subject to very strict health obligations and there is no way pests will occupy the premises with regularly cleaning undertaken to ensure health standards are complied with. Noise has been modelled extensively and we are well within allowed limits.	No modifications required.
	7.3	The storage area abutting our boundary has not been removed despite a JCC ruling to do so.	Council refused the application in November 2025, and the applicant sought a review of this decision with the State Administrative Tribunal. Further compliance action will be considered pending the outcome of the current SAT matter.	The decision on the storage area is the subject of this SAT application.	No modifications required.
	7.4	It appears that the owners of Bar Ole will continue to adjust their submission until they get what they want, irrespective of JCC rulings or impact on nearby residents.	The *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* allow an owner/applicant to lodge an application to amend a planning approval. The City is required to process any application received.	It is typical that a submission is revised through the SAT mediation process.	No modifications required.
8	Object	Submitter 8			
	8.1	I am an owner and resident of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. I wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.	See comment 1.1.	Responses to submitter 5 are reiterated as submission is the same.	No modifications required.
	8.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	8.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	8.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	8.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	8.6	In addition, the new proposal includes keeping the storage area in situ, in clear violation of JCC rulings to relocate it away from boundary fence adjoining our property.	See comments 1.2 and 7.3.		No modifications required.
	8.7	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.

		proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.			
9	Support	Submitter 9			
	9.1	No comment.	Noted.	-	No modifications required.
10	Object	Submitter 10			
	10.1	I am an owner and resident of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. I wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.	See comment 1.1.	Responses to submitter 5 are reiterated as submission is the same.	No modifications required.
	10.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	10.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	Refer to item 5.3 above.		No modifications required.
	10.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	10.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	10.6	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
11	Object	Submitter 11			
	11.1	I am an owner and resident of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. I wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my	See comment 1.1.	Responses to submitter 5 are reiterated as submission is the same.	No modifications required.

		original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.			
	11.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	11.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	11.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	11.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	11.6	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
12	Object	Submitter 12			
	12.1	I write to formally object to the revised proposal submitted in relation to the above matter, which is currently under reconsideration by the State Administrative Tribunal pursuant to section 31. I am a nearby resident directly affected by the operation of Bar Olé within the Iluka Plaza Shopping Centre. While I acknowledge that amendments have been made following mediation, I remain strongly opposed to the development in its current form. The revised proposal does not adequately address the fundamental concerns relating to residential amenity, parking availability, and the appropriateness of the development within this specific local context.	See comment 1.1.	The revised application has provided a range of additional information that demonstrates how the proposal will be managed to address residential amenity with noise well within allowed noise limits and parking availability demonstrated through a parking survey (and also supported by the City's officers). It is also important to stress that the adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning	No modifications required.
	12.2	Iluka Plaza is a neighbourhood-scale shopping centre servicing local, day-to-day needs. It is not designated, planned, or developed as an entertainment precinct or late-night activity hub. The introduction and expansion of outdoor licensed activity within this setting is inconsistent with the centre's established function and surrounding land uses, which are predominantly residential and community-focused. Further intensification of hospitality activity, particularly in outdoor areas, is therefore inappropriate for this location.	See comment 1.1.	The proposed land use is not in question as it is a permitted land use.	No modifications required.
	12.3	At its core, the proposal continues to represent an intensification of use on a constrained site that directly interfaces with residential properties. The introduction of an	See comment 1.1.	As above, the amenity, parking and noise concerns have been addressed in detail.	No modifications required.

		alfresco dining area within an existing car parking area, together with the retrospective approval of a storage compound, raises significant and unresolved planning and amenity concerns.			
	12.4	A crucial issue remains the loss of four on-site car parking bays. Parking within Iluka Plaza is already under considerable pressure, particularly during peak periods. The removal of additional bays will exacerbate an existing shortfall, resulting in increased vehicle circulation, congestion, and overspill parking into surrounding residential streets. This concern is not hypothetical; existing conditions already demonstrate that parking demand frequently exceeds supply.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	12.5	The revised Parking Assessment does not adequately reflect real-world usage patterns. Observations appear limited in duration and undertaken during off-peak periods, and fail to account for the cumulative loss of parking resulting from multiple approvals at this site. The ongoing erosion of on-site parking undermines the intent of planning provisions designed to protect surrounding residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.	We disagree, the additional surveys specifically target peak periods associated with Bar Ole's operation.	No modifications required.
	12.6	The proposed alfresco area presents ongoing concerns relating to noise and disturbance. While the revised Operational Management Plan proposes a maximum capacity of 20 patrons, reduced operating hours, and a noise limit of 57 dB(A), these measures rely heavily on compliance and enforcement. In practice, noise generated by outdoor patron activity—such as conversations, laughter, and the movement of furniture—is intermittent, unpredictable, and difficult to manage. Even noise at modest levels is likely to be clearly audible within nearby dwellings, particularly during evening periods when background noise levels are low.	See comment 1.1.	Speakers will be set with a noise limiter at installation and modelled to ensure compliance with the 57 dB(A) obligation. It was also modelled with noise levels of patrons and levels are well within what is permitted.	No modifications required.
	12.7	Based on lived experience, noise and activity associated with Iluka Plaza and its car park already impact the reasonable use and enjoyment of nearby homes. The proposed alfresco area would further externalise activity and extend patron use into areas immediately adjacent to sensitive receivers. The proposed "soft wind-down" procedures and earlier closing times do not sufficiently mitigate these impacts, particularly given known challenges with consistent compliance.	See comment 1.1.	The adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning. Further, the additional mitigation measures are considered to go above and beyond to try and manage amenity impacts.	No modifications required.
	12.8	There is also a demonstrated history of non-compliance associated with the premises. A clear example is the placement of the bull feature at the entrance to the venue without the requisite approvals. Of greater concern is the construction of the storage structure, for which no planning approval had been granted, yet it was constructed regardless and subsequently utilised as a commercial rubbish storage area.	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted – compliance matters are being addressed through the subject application.	No modifications required.
	12.9	The unauthorised use of this area for commercial waste storage is particularly problematic given its close proximity to residential properties. Planning controls exist to prevent commercial rubbish areas from being located adjacent to residences due to their well-known impacts, including odour, operational noise (such as bin movements and bottle disposal), and the attraction of pests and rodents. These impacts directly affect residential amenity and are difficult to mitigate once such uses are established.	See comment 1.1.	There will be no waste permitted to be stored within this storage area.	No modifications required.
	12.10	This pattern of unauthorised development raises serious concerns regarding the applicant's willingness or ability to comply with planning requirements and imposed conditions. In this context, the proposal's reliance on operational management measures and compliance-based controls provides little confidence that additional impacts associated with the alfresco area and storage use would be properly managed over time. This history weighs against approval of further intensification of use on the site.	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted, as the applicant, we can confirm the operator is committed to compliance with the submitted documentation.	No modifications required.

	12.11	Of additional concern is the proximity of the proposal to a childcare centre located within Iluka Plaza. This represents a sensitive land use situated in very close vicinity to the licensed premises. Operating hours for the childcare centre overlap with the proposed alfresco dining hours, meaning that outdoor alcohol consumption and patron activity would occur during child drop-off, pick-up, and daily operational periods.	See comment 1.1.	Both land uses are permitted to operate at the development.	No modifications required.
	12.12	This interface is inappropriate and raises further concerns regarding land-use compatibility, safety, and amenity. The close proximity of an outdoor licensed area to a childcare facility is inconsistent with the expected level of separation between sensitive community uses and liquor-related activities, particularly where shared pedestrian and car-parking areas are involved.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	12.13	The location and design of the alfresco area raise broader planning concerns. Positioning an outdoor dining area within an active car park environment is inconsistent with sound planning principles and does not provide an appropriate or high-quality setting. Rather than enhancing the amenity of Iluka Plaza, the proposal introduces increased conflict between pedestrian movement, dining activity, and vehicular circulation within an already constrained space.	See comment 1.1.	No traffic/pedestrian conflict will result and arguably the alfresco area will enhance the hard car parking area.	No modifications required.
	12.14	The retrospective storage compound also remains problematic. While additional noise mitigation measures are proposed, the fact that this structure was constructed without prior approval raises ongoing concerns regarding compliance and long-term management. Reliance on operational controls alone does not provide sufficient certainty that impacts will be effectively contained.	See comment 1.1.	We are working through the compliance issues through this application.	No modifications required.
	12.15	Importantly, the revised proposal must be assessed within the broader context of existing and historical amenity issues at the site. Previous approvals relied on mitigation measures, including restrictions on car-park use, to protect nearby residents. However, these measures have not always operated effectively in practice. This history undermines confidence that further conditions associated with the current proposal will be reliably implemented or enforced.	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted, as the applicant, we can confirm the operator is committed to compliance with the submitted documentation.	No modifications required.
	12.16	When considered cumulatively, the impacts of increased patron activity, reduced parking provision, extended use of outdoor areas, proximity to sensitive land uses, and additional service infrastructure represent an overdevelopment of the site. The proposal fails to strike an appropriate balance between commercial interests and the protection of residential and community amenity, which is a key consideration under the relevant planning framework.	See comment 1.1.	We disagree and consider that the revised measures go above and beyond minimum standards and necessary protection of residential amenity.	No modifications required.
	12.17	Approval of the revised proposal would also set an undesirable precedent by signalling that development intensity may continue to increase incrementally within a neighbourhood centre, notwithstanding previously identified site constraints and amenity impacts.	See comment 1.1.	Noted.	No modifications required.
	12.18	For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Council uphold the original decision and refuse the application.	Noted.	Noted.	No modifications required.
13	Object	Submitter 13			
	13.1	NOISE AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR - The proposed amendments are totally unsuitable for the neighbourhood surrounding Iluka Plaza. The noise levels and anti-social behaviour problems that currently exist will be amplified. Some of the newly completed two storey buildings and apartments will be very badly affected by these proposed changes. All nearby residents are entitled to 'quiet enjoyment' of their properties.	See comment 1.1.	Noise and patron behaviour has been address in the OMP and are considered to be more than suitably managed. The adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning. Further, the additional mitigation measures are considered to go above and beyond to try and manage amenity impacts.	No modifications required.

	13.2	APPROVAL CREEP - Overriding the original development plans by "Approval Creep" is not acceptable for the community, and people need to be sure that the city councils are vetting such proposals in a fair minded way. There is no opportunity for the Community to wind back previously granted approvals. I have attached a previous response relating to "Approval Creep"	See comment 1.1.	It is open to all landowners/tenants to seek approved for modified proposals on their property with each application considered on its merits.	No modifications required.
	13.3	PARKING - It was demonstrated at the JDAP meetings that the parking allocation is currently deficient (to the tune of 20 bays if I remember correctly), and the reality of this is borne out by the illegal parking at certain times. Further reduction of available parking is unacceptable.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	13.4	STICK TO THE STANDARDS - The standards should not be viewed by developers and approving authorities as targets that have to be reached or exceeded in order to maximise profits. They are guidelines that should form the basis for good and fair social structure.	See comments 1.1 and 7.4.	Standards are considered in detail as part of the assessment and given due regard.	No modifications required.
	13.5	Contrary to the surrounding residents almost unanimous objection to the 3 storey flats, the Joondalup City planners and the JDAP Panel rammed through the approval of more than a dozen non compliances to grant the development application for the construction of flats at the North West corner of the Iluka development.	See comment 1.1.	Doesn't seem to relate to the subject application.	No modifications required.
	13.6	Not being satisfied with the non-compliant gains already made, the developer is now seeking further relaxation of the development rules for this pristine beach side development.	See comment 1.1.	Noted, no issue raised.	No modifications required.
	13.7	This piecemeal approval process is unconstitutional and unfair and should not be countenanced in any way by the city planners and the JDAP Panel. The planner made its representations and received its approval. The residents have been ignored every step of the way in this process and should not be ignored again. The development is able to proceed with the already approved design and does not require further enhancements to make the development yet more profitable for the developers. The details of the modifications are unimportant as the principle of progressive approvals should not be supported by the City.	See comments 1.1 and 7.4.	All submissions have been given due regard by decision makers.	No modifications required.
	13.8	The CoJ Planners and the JDAP Panel are supposed to be the guardians against non-compliant development, particularly when so strenuously opposed by the community, however the opposite seems to be the case and the "game keepers" are clearly supportive of the "poachers". Please, CoJ, for once, show a little backbone and do the job you're paid to do.	See comment 1.1.	-	No modifications required.
14	Object	Submitter 14			
	14.1	Noise cannot be contained outdoor efficiently.	See comment 1.1.	Disagree – the submitted acoustic report clearly articulates compliance.	No modifications required.
	14.2	Lack of parking space in peak hours is an urgent issue. This is escalating the issue, not solving it.		Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	14.3	Council has already disallowed this, what has changed?		A range of modifications are proposed as part of the mediation process i.e. the OMP, revised plans etc.	No modifications required.
	14.4	Attached is an image of the car park in peak hours.		-	No modifications required.
15	Object	Submitter 15			
	15.1	I am writing to formally object to the proposed alfresco bar area to be located within a shared car park adjoining a medical centre. 1. Noise Impacts An outdoor bar area is likely to generate significant noise from patrons, music, and staff. This is incompatible with the operation of a medical centre, where a quiet and calm	See comment 1.1.	The acoustic impacts have been examined in detail with noise well within allowed limits.	No modifications required.

		environment is essential for patient care, recovery, and staff performance. Noise may also affect nearby resident.			
	15.2	2. Parking Availability and Congestion The car park is a shared resource intended to support patients, many of whom may have mobility issues. Introducing an external hospitality venue will increase demand for parking, potentially reducing availability for medical centre users. This may lead to congestion, unsafe vehicle movements, and delays for patients seeking care. We already witness many cars driving around and around looking for a space to park.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	15.3	3. Incompatibility of Land Uses A licensed alfresco bar area in a carpark is fundamentally incompatible with a healthcare setting. The differing operating hours, purpose, and environmental expectations create conflict between the two uses.	See comment 1.1.	The land use is not in question, it is a permitted land use and already operational.	No modifications required.
16	Object	Submitter 16			
	16.1	I am writing to raise concerns about the proposed removal of four car parking bays at Bar Ole, Iluka Plaza, 98 O'Mara Boulevard, Iluka particularly in relation to safety and access to nearby health services. These parking bays are important for people accessing health-related services in the area, including those who may have limited mobility, are unwell, or require convenient, close access. Reducing available parking is likely to make it more difficult for patients, carers, and visitors to attend appointments, which could have a direct impact on their ability to receive timely care.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	16.2	In addition, I would like to highlight an ongoing safety issue with the existing turning area. The current layout is inadequate, and I have frequently observed vehicles unable to pass each other safely. It is common for one driver to be forced to reverse to allow another vehicle to turn or exit the area. This creates: • Increased risk of vehicle collisions • Safety concerns for pedestrians • Delays and congestion within the site If parking bays are removed without addressing the turning constraints, these problems may persist or worsen.	See comment 1.1.	The alfresco does not obstruct vehicle parking aisles and will not impact manoeuvrability.	No modifications required.
	16.3	I respectfully request that: • The impact of parking removal on access to health services be carefully considered • The turning area be reviewed and redesigned to allow safe, efficient vehicle movement • Any changes prioritise safety, accessibility, and the needs of patients and carers Thank you for your time and consideration. I ask that these concerns be taken into account in the decision-making process.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
17	Object	Submitter 17			
	17.1	When I visit my friend at 62 Blackpool Prom, we are having difficulty parking my car in the area at peak times.	Noted.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
18	Object	Submitter 18			
	18.1	No comment.	Acknowledged.	-	
19	Object	Submitter 19			
	19.1	I am a nearby resident directly affected by the operation of Bar Olé at Iluka Plaza. Despite amendments made following mediation, I remain strongly opposed to the proposal, as it fails to adequately address ongoing concerns regarding parking, noise, and residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.	All raised matters have been addressed in great detail through the acoustic report, parking surveys and OMP.	No modifications required.

	19.2	The loss of four parking bays will further strain an already congested car park, particularly during peak periods, and will inevitably result in increased overflow parking in surrounding residential streets—an issue residents already regularly experience.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers.	No modifications required.
	19.3	The proposed alfresco area also raises significant concerns regarding noise and disturbance. Outdoor patron noise is inherently difficult to manage and is likely to be clearly audible in nearby homes, especially during the evening. Existing noise from Iluka Plaza already impacts surrounding residences, and given the site's low elevation, sound readily travels into nearby streets.	See comment 1.1.	The acoustic report clearly articulates compliance with noise regulations.	No modifications required.
	19.4	Iluka Plaza is intended to serve the local community, not function as an entertainment destination for patrons travelling from other local councils. The proposal represents an overdevelopment of a local shopping centre and fails to appropriately protect residential amenity. I respectfully request that the Council upholds it's original decision and refuse the application.	See comment 1.1.	We disagree and consider the measures to address residential amenity go above and beyond what is required. The adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning. Further, the additional mitigation measures are considered to go above and beyond to try and manage amenity impacts.	No modifications required.
20	Object	Submitter 20			
	20.1	Drunk people will speak too loud and create messy environments. Imagine your house next door, will you approve.	See comment 1.1.	Patron management is addressed in the OMP	No modifications required.
21	Object	Submitter 21			
	21.1	I attend & work at Iluka Medical Centre. There are limited car bays available in front of our centre which our elderly and mobility challenged patients require to attend appointments. Losing additional car bays will make this situation worse and really isn't feasible for our patients to access the medical centre.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation.	No modifications required.
	21.2	The car park is very busy with traffic picking up and dropping off at the child care centre, Dental, plus the gym, physio businesses, and Bar Ole. All must navigate the tight corner in front of the medical centre and Bar Ole. This is tricky at best and there have been a number of near misses. I acknowledge parking is less of an issues after 6 pm when the Physio, medical centre & Dental offices are closed or closing.	See comment 1.1.	The alfresco does no obstruct turning areas.	No modifications required.
	21.3	My understanding is that the rubbish storage area they have built has already used 2 car bays and now they want 4 more. The rest of the businesses on this floor must transport their rubbish to the bins on the lower level. I don't understand why the restaurant has this special consideration. It is unsightly, stinky and has already impacted patient access to the medical centre negatively.	See comment 1.1.	The storage area will not be used for bin storage.	No modifications required.
22	Support	Submitter 22			
	22.1	Great for the neighbourhood and having al fresco dining upstairs will hopefully get rid of the anti-social behaviour/wild kids on bikes throwing things downstairs.	Noted.	-	No modifications required.
23	Support	Submitter 23			
	23.1	No comment.	Noted.	-	No modifications required.
24	Object	Submitter 24			
	24.1	Parking is bad enough. Losing 4 additional parking bays makes no sense, plus there is already a pub in it's location.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation.	No modifications required.
25	Object	Submitter 25			
	25.1	We have enough "bars" in the area. This is a small suburb and we already have The Iluka, The piper and Currambine bistro all close by.	See comment 1.1.	Land use is not a matter being considered.	No modifications required.
26	Object	Submitter 26			

	26.1	I write to formally object to the revised proposal submitted in relation to the above matter, currently under reconsideration through the State Administrative Tribunal process. I am a nearby resident directly affected by the operation of Bar Olé within the Iluka Plaza Shopping Centre. While I acknowledge that amendments have been made to the proposal following mediation, I remain strongly opposed to the development in its current form. The revised documentation does not adequately address the fundamental concerns relating to residential amenity, parking, and the appropriateness of the development.	See comment 1.1.	Noted.	No modifications required.
	26.2	At its core, the proposal still represents an intensification of use within a constrained site that directly interfaces with residential properties. The introduction of an alfresco area within an existing car parking area, along with the retrospective approval of a storage compound, continues to raise significant planning and amenity concerns.	See comment 1.1.	Noted	No modifications required.
	26.3	A primary issue is the loss of four car parking bays. Parking within Iluka Plaza is already under considerable pressure, particularly during peak periods. The removal of additional bays will exacerbate an existing shortage, resulting in increased vehicle circulation, congestion, and overspill parking into surrounding residential streets. This is not a hypothetical concern; existing conditions already demonstrate that parking demand frequently exceeds supply. The revised Parking Assessment does not adequately reflect real-world usage patterns, particularly as previous observations have been limited in duration and undertaken during off-peak periods. The cumulative loss of parking across multiple applications at this site is unacceptable and undermines the intent of planning provisions requiring adequate on-site parking.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation.	No modifications required.
	26.4	The proposed alfresco area itself presents ongoing concerns regarding noise and disturbance. Although the updated Operational Management Plan proposes a maximum capacity of 20 patrons, reduced operating hours, and a noise limit of 57 dB(A), these measures rely heavily on compliance and enforcement. In practice, noise generated from outdoor patron activity—such as conversations, movement of furniture, and general social interaction—can be intermittent and difficult to control. Even at reduced levels, such noise is likely to be clearly audible within nearby dwellings, particularly during the evening when background noise levels are low.	See comment 1.1.	Combined noise impacts have been modelled extensively and demonstrated to be well within allowed noise levels. The adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning. Further, the additional mitigation measures are considered to go above and beyond to try and manage amenity impacts.	No modifications required.
	26.5	Based on lived experience in close proximity to the site, noise impacts associated with the broader Iluka Plaza and car park activities already affect the use and enjoyment of nearby homes. The addition of an outdoor alfresco area will further extend activity into external spaces, increasing the likelihood of ongoing disturbance. The proposed "soft wind-down" and earlier closing time do not sufficiently mitigate this impact, particularly given the challenges associated with ensuring consistent compliance.	See comment 1.1.	The OMP will provide enforceable management measures for the City to control residential amenity impacts.	No modifications required.
	26.6	The location and design of the alfresco area also raise planning concerns. Positioning an outdoor dining space within a car park environment is inconsistent with typical planning principles and does not provide an appropriate or high-quality setting. Rather than enhancing amenity, it introduces additional conflict between pedestrian, dining, and vehicular functions within an already constrained space.	See comment 1.1.	The proposed alfresco is considered to enhance the car parking area in appearance. There will be no pedestrian or vehicular conflict that results from the proposal.	No modifications required.
	26.7	The retrospective storage area is also of concern. While additional noise mitigation measures are proposed, the fact that this structure was erected without prior approval raises	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted, as the applicant, we can confirm the operator is committed to compliance with the submitted documentation.	No modifications required.

		questions regarding compliance and the effectiveness of ongoing management. The reliance on operational controls, such as restricted access and noise treatments, does not provide sufficient certainty that impacts will be adequately contained over time.			
	26.8	Importantly, the revised proposal must be considered within the broader context of existing and ongoing amenity issues associated with the site. Previous planning approvals relied on mitigation measures, including restrictions on car park use, to limit impacts on surrounding residents. However, these measures have not always functioned effectively in practice. This raises serious doubt as to whether additional conditions associated with this proposal will be reliably implemented or enforced.	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted, as the applicant, we can confirm the operator is committed to compliance with the submitted documentation.	No modifications required.
	26.9	The cumulative impact of increased patronage, reduced parking, extended use of outdoor areas, and additional service infrastructure represents an overdevelopment of the site. The proposal fails to appropriately balance commercial objectives with the need to protect residential amenity, which is a key consideration under the relevant planning framework.	See comment 1.1.	The adjoining sensitive residential properties are on commercially zoned land are in accordance with prior SAT decisions, are not afforded the same level of amenity expectations as a property subject to a residential zoning. Further, the additional mitigation measures are considered to go above and beyond to try and manage amenity impacts. The revised proposal is considered to suitably manage residential amenity within what would be considered acceptable levels.	No modifications required.
	26.10	Furthermore, approval of this revised proposal would set an undesirable precedent. It would signal that developments can progressively intensify over time, with previously identified constraints—such as parking limitations and amenity impacts—being incrementally disregarded.	See comment 1.1.	Disagree, all applications are assessed on their merits and approval of one doesn't guarantee approval of another.	No modifications required.
	26.11	In summary, while the applicant has made some amendments to the proposal, these changes do not resolve the fundamental issues. The development continues to present unacceptable impacts in relation to parking availability, noise, residential amenity, and overall planning appropriateness. For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Council uphold the original decision and refuse the application.	See comment 1.1.	Noted and disagree.	No modifications required.
27	Object	Submitter 27			
	27.1	I am a nearby resident directly affected by the operation of Bar Olé at Iluka Plaza. Despite amendments made following mediation, I remain strongly opposed to the proposal, as it fails to adequately address ongoing concerns regarding parking, noise, and residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.	Refer response to submitter 19.	No modifications required.
	27.2	The loss of four parking bays will further strain an already congested car park, particularly during peak periods, and will inevitably result in increased overflow parking in surrounding residential streets—an issue residents already regularly experience.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	27.3	The proposed alfresco area also raises significant concerns regarding noise and disturbance. Outdoor patron noise is inherently difficult to manage and is likely to be clearly audible in nearby homes, especially during the evening. Existing noise from Iluka Plaza already impacts surrounding residences, and given the site's low elevation, sound readily travels into nearby streets, including a considerable part of O'Mara Boulevard.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	27.4	Iluka Plaza is intended to serve the local community, not function as an entertainment destination for patrons travelling from surrounding suburbs/councils. The proposal represents an overdevelopment of a local shopping centre and fails to appropriately protect residential amenity. I respectfully request that the Council upholds it's original decision and refuse the application.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
28	Object	Submitter 28			

	28.1	I wish to lodge an objection. My concerns are based on the suitability of the location, the impact on surrounding residents, and the cumulative effect on parking and amenity. Firstly, the proposed alfresco area is not appropriate for this location. It is positioned directly adjacent to residential apartments, and I have friends who live in those neighbouring units. From what I have seen and heard from them, noise already travels easily from the car park area. Introducing an outdoor dining space will only increase noise from conversations and general activity, particularly in the evenings, and will further impact residents' ability to enjoy their homes.	See comment 1.1.	Noise has been addressed in detail with compliance demonstrated and more than suitable management measures put in place to address impacts.	No modifications required.
	28.2	In addition, there are ongoing concerns about noise from the storage area. Even with proposed mitigation measures, the reality is that operational noise such as bottle handling, deliveries, and general staff activity cannot be fully contained, especially given how close it is to residential balconies and bedrooms.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	28.3	Parking is also a significant issue. As a regular user of the Iluka gym, I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can already be to find a parking space, particularly during peak times. Removing additional car bays to accommodate an alfresco area, while at the same time increasing patron capacity, will only make this situation worse. This will impact not only residents, but also other users of the centre, including gym members and visitors.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation	No modifications required.
	28.4	More broadly, there is already a licensed venue operating below, and the addition of an alfresco bar component above contributes to an over-concentration of late-night activity in what is intended to be a suburban mixed-use centre.	See comment 1.1.	The land use is already operational and it is not a consideration in this application.	No modifications required.
	28.5	Finally, I am concerned about the existing storage structure, which was constructed without approval and remains in place. This raises questions about compliance and gives me little confidence that future conditions or proposed management measures will be adhered to.	See comment 1.1.	Comments are noted, as the applicant, we can confirm the operator is committed to compliance with the submitted documentation.	No modifications required.
	28.6	In summary, I believe this proposal represents an overdevelopment of the site and will have an unacceptable impact on residential amenity, parking availability, and the overall character of the area. I respectfully request that the application be refused.	See comment 1.1.	Noted and disagree.	No modifications required.
29	Object	Submitter 29			
	29.1	Already having a licensed premises in an area so close to a child care is bad enough, but applying to have permission to have intoxicated patrons sitting in the car park in the open, making noise, smoking and vaping where toddlers are being dropped off and picked up would be a irresponsible.	See comment 1.1.	The land use is already operational and it is not a consideration in this application. Proximity to a childcare premises is not a relevant planning consideration.	No modifications required.
	29.2	There is already a shortage of car bays during busy periods, reducing them even further would be inconvenient for residents attending the doctors surgery, gym, shops and child care.	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation	No modifications required.
30	Object	Submitter 30			
	30.1	No comment.	Acknowledged.	-	No modifications required.
31	Object	Submitter 31			
	31.1	Parking at the Iluka shopping centre is challenging at the best of times with several cars parking on the verges on O'Mara Boulevard already, losing 4 parking bays will only add to the issue	See comment 1.1.	Parking availability has been demonstrated through surveys completed across multiple periods and also supported by the City's officers. The medical centre will operate with very different peak periods of operation	No modifications required.
32	Object	Submitter 32			
	32.1	Iluka Plaza was intended to function as a local neighbourhood shopping centre, not as an entertainment venue. In this regard, the proposal represents an overdevelopment of the site and fails to adequately	See comment 1.1.	Residential amenity has been addressed in detail as it pertains to noise and parking. The measures within the OMP will suitably control the operation of the alfresco area to ensure compliance with noise	No modifications required.

		safeguard residential amenity. For this reason I respectfully request that the Council uphold its original decision and refuse the application.		regulations and an acceptable level of residential amenity is achieved noting that the adjoining properties are commercially zoned.	
33	Object	Submitter 33			
	33.1	We are owners and residents of One Iluka Apartments that is located right next door to Bar Ole. I wish to provide a supplementary objection following the applicant's decision to lodge an appeal with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), seeking a review of the determination made by the City of Joondalup. We wish to reiterate that my objection to this proposal remains unchanged and strongly held. The concerns raised in my original submission continue to apply in full and have not been adequately addressed. In particular, the proposed alfresco area, with a stated closing time of 7:30 pm (and a proposed "soft close" from approximately 7:15 pm), presents clear practical and enforcement issues. There appears to be no workable mechanism to manage patrons who are still dining at closing time, which creates ambiguity and increases the likelihood of extended activity and disturbance beyond permitted hours.	See comment 1.1.	Responses to submitter 5 are reiterated as submission is the same.	No modifications required.
	33.2	The alfresco area is proposed to accommodate up to 20 patrons. This increase in capacity will place additional pressure on parking and local traffic, with a reasonable expectation of increased vehicle presence in the immediate area. This exacerbates the concerns previously raised regarding congestion and impacts on residential amenity.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	33.3	All issues previously submitted remain relevant, including noise, patron behaviour, and the cumulative effect on the quiet enjoyment of nearby residences.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	33.4	There are also ongoing hygiene and public health concerns. The proposal includes low-level timber decking, which may allow food and drink waste to fall between gaps, potentially attracting pests such as rats, mice, and cockroaches. This presents an unacceptable risk in close proximity to residential apartments.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	33.5	In addition, the premises continues to operate under small bar regulations, and there are unresolved concerns regarding smoking compliance. The requirement for patrons to smoke at least five metres from the premises may result in smoke drift toward neighbouring apartments, negatively impacting residents.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.
	33.6	In summary, the amended proposal does not resolve the fundamental concerns identified in my original objection, including those relating to both the alfresco area and the proposed storage arrangements. I therefore strongly maintain my objection to the application in its entirety and respectfully request that the Council's original position be upheld.	See comment 1.1.		No modifications required.

Action Register

Search Criteria

Showing Completed Items: Yes
Include Items Completed From: 29 June 2026
Generated On: 29/07/2026 12:01 PM

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
	Major Projects and Finance Committee	1.11	ITEM 2 - STATUS REPORT ON CITY FREEHOLD PROPERTIES PROPOSED FOR DISPOSAL AND POTENTIAL CROWN LAND ACQUISITIONS	Not yet started	**That the Major Projects and Finance Committee:** **3 SUPPORTS investigations with the Department of Planning Lands and Heritage into the City's concessional acquisition of Lot 2277 (15) Selkirk Drive, Kinross, Lot 14564 (5) Ascot Way, Currambine and an area yet to be defined on the northern boundary of Lot 15074 (46) Warwick Road, Duncraig;**	**24/03/2025** Due to current project priorities, investigations regarding the potential acquisition and optimisation of Lot 2277 (15) Selkirk Drive, Kinross and Lot 14564 (5) Ascot Way, Currambine have been deferred to July 2026 however, these investigations can be initiated anytime bore this date if resources and circumstances allow. During the MPFC of 21 July 2021, EMs noted the investigations into the potential acquisition of part of Lot 14077 (40) Warwick Road, Duncraig were discontinued - this part of the action is now closed **25/06/2026** Deferred until July 2027	13-07-2027	
	Ordinary Meeting of Council	1.4	CJ185-12/21 - INSTALLATION OF UNDERGROUND POWER AND LED UPGRADES	In Progress	**Action from Minutes - Report Request - Council Meeting - 14 December 2021** **8 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a business case detailing:** **8.1 Converting an area to smart-metered, LED City owned streetlights;** **8.2 Converting an area from overhead power lines to underground power including the installation of smartmetered, LED City owned streetlights.**	**16/04/2024** The City has commenced the development of a business case as requested by Council. To inform the options identified, the City included two projects, being STL2140 Relighting Dampier Avenue, Mullaloo and STL2142 Relighting of Venturi Drive, in the 2023-24 Capital Works Program and the draft 2024-25 Capital Works Program to convert two small areas to smart-metered, LED City owned streetlights. The purpose of these projects is to develop a handover process between Western Power (current owner) and the City of Joondalup. Western Power has now indicated that they are no longer supportive of handing over these assets to the City. The City is currently reviewing the assumptions underpinning the business case. **26/02/2025** Following the approval of the WALGA Power Purchase Agreement by Council at its meeting held on 25 February 2025, the business case will be updated using these new tariffs to determine the most viable option to be progressed. **27/08/2025** At its meeting held on 22 July 2025, when considering a Notice of Motion Council resolved to request the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on how the City of Joondalup can progress underground power being implemented in the suburbs of the district where residents are still serviced by overhead power lines and financial models to make it more affordable for them to meet the cost of their contribution. Noting that based on Western Power priorities that underground power within the City of Joondalup is unlikely to be considered for inclusion in the Targeted Underground Power Program (TUPP) for another ten to fifteen years, the report will be scheduled for consideration by Council in Q4 of the 2025/26 financial year. **22/09/2025** The City is progressing the investigation and compiling information to inform a report to Elected Members in Q4 FY2025-26. **20/02/2026** Following the City's initial assessment, the City is now progressing the engagement of a consultant to assist with the option assessment and evaluation. **05/05/2026** An update on undergrounding of power within the City of Joondalup is being prepared for the June 2026 Council meeting.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						27/05/2026 Following further consideration of the aspects impacting on the undergrounding of power within the City, it was deemed more appropriate for a report to be considered by Elected Members at a Strategy Session in the first instance prior to a formal report being submitted for Council's consideration. The Strategy report will be scheduled for quarter one of FY2026-27.		
	Ordinary Meeting of Council	1.5	C56-05/22 - NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2 - CR CHRISTOPHER MAY - MITCHELL FREEWAY WIDENING WORKS	Complete	**Action from Minutes - Report Request - Council Meeting - 17 May 2022** **5 REQUESTS the CEO to prepare a report regarding adopting the position of supporting the retention of all existing land between the Mitchell Freeway and residential areas, acting as a buffer, and encourage Main Roads WA to adopt the efficient use of land minimising the footprint of future infrastructure such as cycle paths and noise walls to preserve vegetation;**	**06/11/2023** The City is progressing the investigation into the matter raised in the Notice of Motion and compiling information to inform a report to Council in 2024. **05/06/2024** The City is continuing to work with Main Roads WA (MRWA) during the Mitchell Freeway widening works in an attempt to retain as much as possible of the existing vegetation and to agree on a revegetation plan post the completion of the civil works. **21/05/2025** A report on Protection and Enhancement of Native Vegetation by External Agencies is being prepared for consideration by Council during Q1 of 2025-26. **27/08/2025** Based on the progress by Main Roads WA on the revegetation works the report is now scheduled for Q3 of the 2025/26 financial year. **20/02/2026** Due to other higher priority demands, and noting that the City has received a petition pertaining to the works undertaken by Main Roads WA on the principle shared path, this report is now scheduled for Q4 of FY2025-26. **05/05/2026** A report addressing the matters raised in the Notice of Motion has been prepared for the May 2026 Council Meeting. **27/05/2026** A report addressing the matters raised in the Notice of Motion was considered by Council at its meeting held on 26 May 2026.		
	Ordinary Meeting of Council	1.16	CJ149-09/22 Petition in relation to Extension of Animal Exercise Areas in Hillarys	In Progress	**Action from Minutes - Actions - Council - 20 September 2022** **That Council:** **3 REQUESTS the City to undertake a holistic review of its animal exercise areas and develop a framework to guide the planning, identification and delivery of animal exercise areas to be presented to Council by June 2023;**	**06/11/2023** A presentation was made and workshop held with Elected Members at the Strategy Session on 6 June 2023 to seek their feedback on community expectations, dog exercise parks and the proposed way forward. The first step in developing a Dogs in Public Open Space Strategy is to seek the views of the residents via a City-wide consultation. The City is currently preparing a consultation package which will be circulated to Elected Members and then residents. The results will then help inform the strategy which the City is aiming to provide to Elected Members during the third quarter of 2023-24. **05/12/2023** The City proposed the inclusion of a Dog Management Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2023 – 2027 to provide transparency regarding the development of this Plan which will provide clear direction to the City and confirm the position of the City on dogs in public open spaces.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						At the Council meeting held on 28 November 2023, Council under item 12.9 was requested to consider the inclusion of a project and milestones for the development of a Dog Management Plan within the City's Corporate Business Plan 2023 – 2027 which Council subsequently endorsed. In line with the adopted milestones by Council, the City is currently preparing a specification and request for quotation for the engagement of a specialist consultant to assist the City in the preparation of the Plan. **16/04/2024** In line with the adopted milestones by Council in the City's Corporate Business Plan 2023 - 2027, the City has appointed an external consultant to assist the City in developing a draft Dog Management Plan. **05/06/2024** In line with the Strategic Community Reference Group (SCRG) adopted work plan, the development of a draft Dog Management Plan is scheduled to be considered by the SCRG at its meeting on 27 May 2024. **19/06/2024** SCRG Meeting held on 27 May 2024. Meeting 1 Report — Dog Management Plan available on the City's website - SCRG-2024-Meeting-1-Outcomes-Report.pdf (joondalup.wa.gov.au) **08/10/2024** The City has prepared the consultation material to undertake community consultation as per the endorsed milestones for the development of a new Dog Management Plan within the Corporate Business Plan. **27/11/2024** Two stage community consultation to inform development of the Dog Management Plan commenced: • A random telephone survey of 600 City residents from 4 November to 13 November 2024. • A standard consultation open to all City residents and the wider community from 14 November to 11 December 2024. **18/03/2025** The City received in excess of 3,000 responses for the online community survey, the outcomes of which will be presented to Elected Members at the Strategy Session scheduled for 6 May 2025. **29/04/2025** The City will present the consultation outcomes and proposed way forward to the Elected Members in quarter 1 of 2025-26. **25/07/2025** The consultation outcomes and proposed way forward was presented to Elected Members at the Strategy Session held on 1 July 2025. **22/09/2025** The City is progressing the investigation and compiling information to inform a report to Elected Members in Q3 FY2025-26.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						23/02/2026 The consultant is progress the development of the Dog Management Plan, however, the City will not be in a position to submit a report to Elected Members in Q3 of FY2025-26. Further assessments have identified the need to potentially bring this topic back to a Strategy Session for consideration and feedback from Elected Members prior to finalising the plan and report to Council. **28/05/2026** An update on the Dog Management Plan was presented to Elected Members at the Strategy Session held on 5 May 2026 to seek feedback and direction from Elected Members on access designations across the City to inform the finalisation of the Dog Management Plan. The feedback received is now being considered and it is likely that a further discussion with Elected Members at a Strategy Session will be required. **08/07/2026** An update on the Dog Management Plan was presented to Elected Members at the Strategy Session held on 7 July 2026 to seek feedback and direction from Elected Members on access designations across the City to inform the finalisation of the Dog Management Plan. The feedback received is now being considered and it is likely that a further discussion with Elected Members at a Strategy Session will be required.		
	Policy Committee	1.6	REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	In Progress	**Action from Minutes - Report Request - Policy Committee - 3 August 2020** **An elected member called for a report on the review of the City's Naming of Public Facilities Policy.** **Refer to RPC03944**	**24/11/2023** A review is being undertaken for presentation to a Policy Committee meeting at the beginning of 2024. **22/01/2024** The City has recently engaged a consultant to assist in progressing the renaming of Rev John Smithies Park and Blackboy Park, and the naming of Sorrento Laneways. It is planned to defer presentation of amendments to the Naming of Public of Public Facilities Policy to ensure any revisions can have regard to the principles considered in these projects. It is anticipated a revised Policy will be presented to Council in the second half of 2024. **19/03/2024** It is still intended to present a revised Policy to Council in the second half of 2024. **30/08/2024** A report on the proposed renaming of Rev John Smithies Park and Blackboy Park is expected to be presented in late 2024. A report on the Naming of Public Facilities Policy is now expected to be presented to Council in the first half of 2025. **28/01/2025** A report was tabled at the December 2024 council meeting on the consideration of renaming of Blackboy Park, Mullaloo (CJ326-12/24 refers). The proposal will be advertised for 30 days in early February 2025. **25/04/2025** A report on the renaming of Blackboy Park, following public consultation, will be considered at the May Council meeting **21/05/2025**	30-06-2026	

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						A report on the Naming of Public Facilities Policy is scheduled to be presented to Elected Members during the third quarter of 2026. **26/06/2026** A report on the Naming of Public Facilities Policy is scheduled to be presented to Elected Members during the third quarter of 2026.		
	Policy Committee	1.7	ITEM 13 - REVISED CIVIC CENTRE POLICY	In Progress	**Action from Policy Committee Minutes - 1 August 2022** **MOVED Cr Thompson, SECONDED Mayor Jacob that the Civic Centre Policy BE REFERRED BACK to the Chief Executive Officer to be considered at a Strategy Session.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Thompson, Mayor Jacob, Crs Chester, Hill, Kingston, Poliwka and Raftis.**	**28/07/2025** The Policy is proposed to be considered by Elected Members in Q3 of 2025/26. **29/10/2025** The Policy will be considered in 2026.		
20-11-2023	20 November 2023 - Policy Committee - MINUTES	8.6	PROPOSED LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND PUBLIC PROPERTY LOCAL LAW 2023 - CONSENT TO ADVERTISE (WARD – ALL)	In Progress	**PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER** **MOVED Cr Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Item 8.6 – Proposed Local Government and Public Property Local Law 2023 – Consent to Advertise, be REFERRED BACK to the Chief Executive Officer to consider the following matters:** **1 Amending clause 10.6(2) from 3 to 24 hours;** **2 Redrafting Part 9 – Advertising Signs – to:** **2.1 Impose conditions under a policy in accordance with Clause 12.6 rather than under conditions that are in the text of the local law;** **2.2 Remove clauses 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 9.8 and 9.9;** **2.3 Provide an additional clause to empower the local government to issue permits for signage with conditions imposed under a policy;** **2.4 Create a new policy 'Local Government and Public Property Signage Policy';** **2.5 Review the definitions for types of signage;** **2.6 Provide additional clauses for permit conditions for different types of signage reflecting clauses 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 9.8 and 9.9;** **2.7 Review signage definitions at 9.1 and appropriate conditions to be imposed under a policy to take into account the Implied freedom of political communication which may operate outside of elections. Signage that is political communication can only be regulated but not prohibited by the local law;** **3 Consolidating determinations from the register of determinations into Schedule 2 (see Register-of-Determinations-City-of-Joondalup.pdf);**	**29/11/2023** The report will be reviewed and presented to the Committee for further consideration at a date to be determined in 2024. **28/08/2024** A meeting was held with Cr Kingston on 14 August 2024 to clarify some aspects of the request made at the Policy Committee meeting held on 20 November 2023. **27/11/2024** Work is continuing on amendments required to the draft Local Government and Public Property Local Law. It is anticipated that the revised local law will be presented to the Policy Committee for further consideration in the first half of 2025. **24/03/2025** The City is currently awaiting for WALGA to release revised guidelines with regard to election signage. **22/04/2025** Updated WALGA Guidelines on Implied Freedom of Political Communication were released on 17 April 2025. The updated guidelines will be considered in light of the changes requested to Part 9 of the proposed local law around advertising signs. **28/10/2025** Following the 2025 local government elections, a review of election signage is proposed to be undertaken and presented to elected members in Q3 2025-26 which will inform the development of the proposed Local Government and Public Property Local Law. **20/02/2026** Given the Extraordinary Election taking place on Thursday 26 March 2026, a further report is now likely to be presented in Q4 of 2025-26.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					4 Removing footnotes regarding 2019 amendments from the consolidated law on pages 9, 16, and 17; **5 Reviewing current authorised persons and whether current authorisations are valid.** **The Motion was Put and** **CARRIED (6/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Hutton, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
12-12-2023	12 December 2023 - Ordinary Meeting of Council - MINUTES ONLY	12.7	DRAFT COASTAL HAZARD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ADAPTATION PLAN — COMMUNITY CONSULTATION OUTCOMES (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ264-12/23)** **MOVED Cr Kingston, SECONDED Cr Jones that Council:** **1 NOTES the outcomes of the community consultation on the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan provided as Attachments 1 to 4;** **2 PREPARES a new draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan in alignment with State Planning Policy 2.6, and relevant guidelines, developed by a multi-disciplinary team of suitably qualified persons.** **3 DEVELOP a Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy whereby the community is regularly updated and consulted in the development of the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan.** **4 PREPARE the new draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan having regard to internationally recognised scientific evidence, further research and coastal monitoring, identification of alternative adaptation options, and the review of State Planning Policy 2.6.** **5 ESTABLISH a Community Reference Group to provide advice, guidance, and oversight in the development of the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan.** **6 NOTES that actions to manage erosion will continue to occur while the draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan is being developed, including but not limited to, beach nourishment and dune stabilisation.**	**12/01/2024** Development of draft CHRMAP will be included in 2024/25 Corporate Business Plan. **06/03/2024** At the Council meeting held on 27 February 2024, Council endorsed amendments to the Corporate Business Plan 2023-2027 including changes to milestones for the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan project. As per the updated milestones a project plan is proposed to be presented to Elected Members in quarter 4. **31/07/2024** Presented to Elected Members in April and August 2024. Proposed to be presented to Council in Q1 of 2024/25. **04/09/2024** A report is scheduled to be presented to Council on 17 September 2024. **20/09/2024** At the September Council meeting the project plan for development of draft CHRMAP and Terms of Reference for CHRMAP Community Reference Group were endorsed. **25/02/2025** Nominations for CHRMAP Community Reference Group currently open. Report to be provided to Council in April. **25/02/2025** Nominations for CHRMAP Community Reference Group Members were sought and closed February 2025. Report seeking endorsement of nominations will be presented to April 2025 Council. **17/04/2025** Report seeking endorsement of CHRMAP Community Reference Group nominations will be presented to April 2025 Council. **22/05/2025** Report seeking endorsement of CHRMAP Community Reference Group nominations was presented to April Council. CHRMAP Community Reference Group meeting to be held 28 May 2025. **26/06/2025** CHRMAP Community Reference Group met 28 May 2025 for an induction program.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						CHRMAP Community Reference Group to meet on 26 June 2025 to consider development of a CHRMAP Stakeholder Engagement and Community Strategy. **21/07/2025** CHRMAP Community Reference Group met on 26 June to consider development of a CHRMAP Stakeholder Engagement and Community Strategy. The Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy will be presented to the August Council meeting. **26/08/2025** The CHRMAP Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy was endorsed by Council at the meeting held on 26 August 2025. **23/09/2025** Consultant engaged and research commenced on alternative coastal adaption options. **23/10/2025** Next CHRMAP Community Reference Group meeting scheduled for November 2025. **17/11/2025** CHRMAP Reference Group meeting held 12 November 2025. **19/01/2026** The City is in the process of setting meeting dates for the CHRMAP CRG 2026 meetings. **23/03/2026** CHRMAP Reference Group meeting held 18 March 2026. **22/05/2026** Research on alternative coastal adaptation options will be presented to July 2026 Council. **25/06/2026** CHRMAP CRG Meeting scheduled for 22 July 2026.		
23-04-2024	23 April 2024 - Ordinary Meeting of Council - MINUTES ONLY	13 1.4	EXPANSION AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THE WHITFORD LIBRARY AND WHITFORD SENIOR CITIZENS CENTRE (WARD - SOUTH-WEST)	Completed	**COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ094-04/24)** **MOVED Cr Hill, SECONDED Cr Hamilton-Prime that:** **1 Council AGREES not to progress with a redevelopment of the Whitford Library or Whitford Senior Citizens' Centre located on Banks Avenue, Hillarys at this time;** **2 The Major Projects and Finance Committee to review the proposal in 2025-26.**	**17/05/2024** Item 1 - No action required at this time Item 2 - To be listed for Major Projects & Finance Committee meeting in 2025/26 once meeting dates are confirmed **10/06/2026** Tabled at Major Projects and Finance Committee meeting on 4 May 2026. Council Resolution CJ122-05/26 refers.	30-06-2026	
28-05-2024	28 May 2024 - Ordinary Meeting of Council - MINUTES ONLY	12.9	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 5 MARCH 2024 (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ117-05/24)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Cr O'Neill that Council:** **15 in relation to Motion No. 15 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:**	**28/10/2024** The City has commenced the development of a Request for Quotation to engage a consultant to assist in development of options as requested by Council. **20/01/2025** The Request for Quotation was finalised and advertised early January 2025 with a closure date of early February 2025.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					15.1 NOTES that weed management activities across the City will continue to be undertaken in alignment with the adopted Weed Management Plan 2023-2033; **15.2 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on reducing the amount of glyphosate and other pesticides over a three to five year period with options for targets of 50%, 70% and 90% reductions;** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/5)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Jones, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton and Cr May.**	**26/02/2025** The Request for Quotation closed on the 7 February 2025 with no responses received. The City is currently liaising with WALGA to identify potential consultants that will be included in a new RFQ to be advertised. **18/03/2025** New Request for Quotation targeting 64 companies was advertised and closed on the 12 March 2025. The City received one submission which is currently being assessed. **16/04/2025** A consultant has been engaged to prepare a report in line with Council's request. The outcomes are proposed to be considered by Elected Members in the first instance at a Strategy Session and the timing of the report to Council will be determined following that discussion **21/05/2025** A report on the Feasibility of Reducing Chemical Weed Control is being developed for consideration by Elected Members at a Strategy Session to be held in Q1 of 2025-26. **05/08/2025** The City's administration has been working with the consultant in developing a feasibility report on reducing glyphosate and pesticides by the targets mentioned above. A presentation to Elected Members was planned for the Strategy Session on 5 August 2025. At its meeting held on 22 July 2025, when considering Tender 009/25 Provision of Non-Chemical Application for Control of Weeds to Nominated Locations (Item 12.15 refers), Council adopted an alternate position to request the Chief Executive Officer to investigate the in-house provision of non-chemical application for the control of weeds and to investigate other locations within the City that may be suitable for the use of non-chemical application for the control of weeds. Based on the above decision, the City will now investigate these options and include the outcomes in conjunction with the feasibility report on reducing glyphosate and pesticides, to ensure Elected Members are fully informed. As such the report will now be scheduled for the 1 December 2025 Strategy Session. **05/12/2025** The Report on the Feasibility of Reducing Chemical Weed Control was re-scheduled to the first Strategy Session in February 2026 as the external presenter was unable to attend the Strategy Session on 1 December 2025 due to personal reasons. **04/02/2026** A report on the Feasibility of Reducing Chemical Weed Control was presented to Elected Members at a Strategy Session held on 3 February 2026. Feedback received from Elected Members will now be considered to inform a future report to Council on this topic. **03/06/2026**		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						The report on the feasibility of reducing chemical week control is scheduled to be presented to Council for its consideration in Q1 FY2026-27.		
25-03-2025	25 March 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 1.9	VENUE HIRE FEES AND CHARGES POLICY REVIEW (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ065-03/25)** **MOVED Cr Kingston, SECONDED Cr Pizzey that Council:** **1 ADOPTS the revised Venue Hire Fees and Charges Council Policy provided as Attachment 2 to this Report subject to the following amendment:** **1.1 Category C rate - 25% of average hourly operating costs;** **2 AGREES to provide a reimbursement of the ground hire fees incurred by Arena Community Sport and Recreation Association (ACSRA) member clubs (Joondalup Athletics Centre, Joondalup Netball Association and Joondalup Brothers Rugby Union Football Club) at Arena Joondalup. This will be reimbursed at 70% in 2025-26, 65% in 2026-27, 60% in 2027-28 and 55% in 2028-29 until the expiration of the deed of agreement between WA Sport Centre Trust and the City of Joondalup on 30 April 2029;** **3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer undertake a further review of the Venue Hire Fees and Charges Council Policy to be presented back to the Policy Committee in 2026;** **4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to undertake a review of the Commercial Operator Permit fees for businesses using City's public open space.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/1)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Kingston, Cr May, Cr O'Neill, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Pizzey.**	**11/04/2025** 1. Recommendation noted. Category C hirers notified - EMO25/22653 & EMO25/22651 refers. No further action 2. Recommendation noted. Joondalup Athletics Centre (EMO25/22876 refers), Joondalup Netball Association (EMO25/22875 refers), Joondalup Brothers Rugby Union Football Club (EMO25/22874 refers) and ACSRA notified (EMO25/22877 refers. No further action 3. Recommendation noted. Draft report will be prepared and tabled at a Policy Committee meeting in 2026 (date to be confirmed). 4. Recommendation noted. Will be undertaken as part of 26/27 budget cycle. **14/08/2025** Will be presented at the final Policy Committee meeting in 2026.	11-12-2026	
25-03-2025	25 March 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	16.1	NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1 - CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP - REVIEW OF REPORTS TO INCLUDE AN "ADDITIONAL - INFORMATION" HEADING	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ073-03/25)** **MOVED Cr Fishwick, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report reviewing the template for reports presented to the Council to include a new sectional heading titled "Additional Information." This section would capture any new information or details that emerge and are relevant to the decision-making process, particularly those that arise at Briefing Sessions.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Kingston, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**23/05/2025** Report being prepared. **22/07/2025** Report to be presented to a Strategy Session for discussion with Elected Members.		
19-05-2025	19 May 2025 - Audit and Risk Committee	8.10	CONFIDENTIAL - OPERATIONAL RISK REGISTERS AND ANNUAL	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that the Audit and Risk Committee NOTES:**	**22/05/2025**		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
			REVIEW OF RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK		**1 The City's 18 operational risk registers, forming Attachments 4 to 8 to this Report;** **2 The new approach of an overarching Risk Management Framework;** **3 The new framework and associated documents will be presented to the Committee at its meeting to be held on 4 August 2025** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Raftis, Cr Hutton, Cr Kingston, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Vinciullo and Mr Hall.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	Item 1 and 2 complete, no further action required. In reference to item 3, the report will be presented at the Committee meeting on 4 August 2025. **22/08/2025** In reference to item 3, the report will now be presented at the Audit and Risk Committee meeting on 10 November 2025. **23/12/2025** Action in progress and delayed due to other priorities; now planned to be completed by 9 March 2026. **20/05/2026** Report delayed pending commencement of new CEO due to KPI relating to risk management framework; proposed to be reported to Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee on 3 August 2026.		
19-05-2025	19 May 2025 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports - Minutes	Not yet started	**Cr O'Neill requested the following report:** **1 That the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on travel arrangement processes and systems to allow for streamlining of savings.**	**25/06/2025** A report on travel arrangement will be presented at the November 2025 Audit and Risk Committee meeting. **21/11/2025** This process has not yet begun due to competing priorities and staffing issues and will be deferred to the new calendar year. **22/01/2026** A report will be presented to the August 2026 Strategy Session **25/06/2026** A report will be presented to November A&R meeting	25-11-2026	
19-05-2025	19 May 2025 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports - Minutes	In Progress	**Cr Raftis requested the following reports:** **2 That the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report for the Audit and Risk Committee on the performance of obligations and compliance of the lessor and lessee for the current lease for the Duncraig Leisure Centre. The report should include, but not be limited to the following:** **A detailed analysis and review of the rent payable for each year, including confirmation of payment.** **Details of any capital works required to be undertaken at the expense of the City.** **Details of inspections conducted by the City to view and examine the state of repair etc under clause 3.6 of the lease including any remedial works required.** **Details of the cost of maintaining the carparks on the immediate exterior of the Duncraig Leisure Centre.** **Details of how clause 3.14 of the lease is complied with.** **Confirmations provided by the lessee of insurance policies in place over the term of the lease.** **Details of any confirmations or approvals for alterations and improvements provided by the City under clause 3.21.** **Details as to the compliance of the lessee with clause 3.24 given the use of space by external parties such as the Australian Electoral Commission and Armstrong Basketball.** **Details as to the compliance of the lessee with clause 3.25 given the signage on the external wall for Armstrong Basketball.** **Details of the lessee's compliance with Item 7 of the Schedule, including the submissions provided by the Lessee to the Lessor on an annual basis regarding the Hire Fee Schedule charged to clubs and users of the Premises.**	**23/06/2025** The City has considered this request to prepare a report and, based on the extent of the information requested that will require significant officer time to research and collate the information required to address the inclusions requested, a timeframe cannot be provided at this stage. **27/08/2025** The City has commenced preparation of the report and based on the initial assessment the report will be scheduled for consideration by the Audit Committee in the second half of the 2025/26 financial year. **23/02/2026** Due to other priorities, the City will not be able to submit a report to the only Audit and Risk Committee meeting scheduled for the second half of the 2025-26 financial year, being 9 March 2026. The report will be rescheduled for the next available Audit and risk Committee meeting, being 3 August 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					Details of the lessor's responses to the annual review of the hire fees and charges under Schedule 7 and supporting details of the reviews performed to ensure the Lessee's compliance to rates charged for similar facilities in the Perth Metropolitan area. **Details of the any reviews conducted by the City as to where the prior users of the Duncraig Leisure Centre relocated their activities due to the impacts of the Lease that was executed.**			
19-05-2025	19 May 2025 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports - Minutes	In Progress	**Cr Raftis requested the following reports:** **1 That the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report for the Audit and Risk Committee on listing an annual internal audit of the calculations and supporting details relating to the average hourly operating costs utilised in the adopted charges under the Venue Hire Fees and Charges Council Policy.**	**22/09/2025** This will be reported in August 2026 as part of the report for the Committee to note the audit activities to be listed in the 2026-27 Internal Audit Plan. It wasn't included in the 2025-26 Internal Audit Plan due to other higher priorities.		
19-05-2025	19 May 2025 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports - Minutes	In Progress	**Cr Kingston requested the following report:** **2 That the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on the Council meeting held on 10 December 2024 in accordance with section 4.2 (zero-tolerance approach) of the Fraud, Corruption and Misconduct Control Council Policy and section H of the Audit and Risk Committee's terms of reference.**	**11/06/2025** Cr Kingston was requested to provide clarity regarding the intent of the request and what is proposed to be addressed in the report, by email on 23 May and 11 June 2025. **18/11/2025** Mayor Kingston was requested to provide clarity regarding the report request on 12 November 2025.		
24-06-2025	24 June 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	16.2	NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2 - CR DANIEL KINGSTON - MASTER PLANNING OF PERCY DOYLE RESERVE AND DUNCRAIG LEISURE CENTRE	Not yet started	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ187-06/25)** **MOVED Cr Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on master planning of Percy Doyle Reserve, which may include the redevelopment of the Duncraig Leisure Centre.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Jones, Cr Kingston, Cr May, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**07/07/2025** Leisure Planning to prepare a report to take to committee in early 2026 **31/03/2026** Will be scheduled for MPFC 2 November 2026	24-11-2026	
22-07-2025	22 July 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.11	CONFIDENTIAL - SIGNIFICANT EVENT PLANNING 2026+ (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ210-07/25)** **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Cr Hill that Council:** **1 NOTES the outcomes presented in the 2025 Joondalup Festival of Motoring post event report;** **2 AGREES to prepare an Expressions of Interest, inviting suitably qualified event management groups to host a significant event or a series of regular events, for up to three-years;** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Cr Hill, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Kingston, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr O'Neill.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION**	**29/10/2025** Significant event decision of July 2025 The City will conduct work to define its unique selling propositions, which will inform the development of an Expression of Interest for a significant event. **24/02/2026** To be discussed as part of the 2026/27 budget deliberations. **29/06/2026** No budget provision for a significant event has been made for the 2026/27 budget. An EOI has been undertaken to identify Joondalups unique selling points (USP) to support the City's approach to significant events.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					(Resolution No: CJ210-07/25) **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Cr Hill that Council:** **3 NOTES that an EOI process will include testing the market for either a single event or series of regular events to be held in the City Centre;** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (8/2)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Cr Hill, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr Kingston and Cr O'Neill.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ210-07/25)** **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Cr Hill that Council:** **4 NOTES that an amount of $350,000 is included in the 2025-26 Budget for a significant event in 2026.** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Cr Hill, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Kingston, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr O'Neill.**			
26-08-2025	26 August 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.8	COASTAL HAZARD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ADAPTATION PLAN COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ230-08/25)** **MOVED Cr Hill, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council:** **1 ENDORSES the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy as provided in Attachment 1 to this Report;** **2 NOTES the budget required to conduct Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan community and stakeholder engagement from 2025-26 to 2027-28;** **3 LISTS for consideration as part of the 2025-26 mid year review process an amount of $55,600 to cover costs of implementing the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy as detailed in this Report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hill, Cr Jones, Cr Kingston, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**08/10/2025** The City will list the costs of implementing the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy for consideration in 2025-26 mid year review. **23/10/2025** The City will list the costs of implementing the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy for consideration in 2025-26 mid year review. **17/11/2025** The implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Strategy is currently being planned. **19/01/2026** The implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Strategy is being planned. **25/06/2026** CHRMAP CRG Meeting scheduled for 22 July 2026.		
26-08-2025	26 August 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 3.1	INTERNAL AUDIT FUNCTION (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ248-08/25)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:**	**23/09/2025** In relation to item 1 and 2, no further action required. In relation to item 3, updates will be made following the proclamation of the local government reforms (estimated completion of 2026).		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					1 REAFFIRMS its decision of 20 September 2022 (CJ151-09/22 refers) that MAINTAINS the current functional reporting relationship between the Internal Auditor and the Audit and Risk Committee; **2 NOTES that as per section 5.41 of the Local Government Act 1995, the Chief Executive Officer is responsible for the employment, management supervision, direction and dismissal of other employees, including the City's Internal Auditor; and** **3 NOTES that the Audit and Risk Committee Charter will be updated following the October 2025 local government elections and proclamation of the local government reforms relating to audit, risk and improvement committees, to better reflect the Committee's opportunities to meet with the Manager Audit, Risk and Executive Services and Internal Auditor outside of the Committee's formal meetings.**			
26-08-2025	26 August 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	16.1	NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1 - CR RUSS FISHWICK, JP - UNDERGROUND POWER	In Progress	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ250-08/25)** **That Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on how the City of Joondalup can progress the implementation of underground power in the suburbs of the district where residents are still serviced by overhead power lines and examining financial models to make it more affordable for them to meet the cost of their contribution.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Jacob, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hamilton-Prime, Cr Hill, Cr Jones, Cr Kingston, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**22/09/2025** The City is progressing the investigation into the matter raised in the Notice of Motion and compiling information to inform a report to Elected Members in Q4 FY2025-26. **20/02/2026** Following the City's initial assessment, the City is now progressing the engagement of a consultant to assist with the option assessment and evaluation. **05/05/2026** An update on undergrounding of power within the City of Joondalup is being prepared for the June 2026 Council meeting. **27/05/2026** Following further consideration of the aspects impacting on the undergrounding of power within the City, it was deemed more appropriate for a report to be considered by Elected Members at a Strategy Session in the first instance prior to a formal report being submitted for Council's consideration. The Strategy report will be scheduled for quarter one of FY2026-27.		
25-11-2025	25 November 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.20	STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ELECTION COMMITMENTS 2025 (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ330-11/25)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council:** **1 NOTES the State and Federal Government Election Commitments as detailed in this Report;** **2 ACCEPTS the State Government Election Commitments for the following projects:** **2.1 Heathridge Tennis Court Shade Sail;** **2.2 Whitfords Avenue Mural;** **2.3 Sorrento Tennis Club Upgrades;** **2.4 Haddington Park Shade Sail;** **2.5 Pinnaroo Point Playground Shade Sail;** **2.6 Warwick Bowls Upgrades;** **2.7 Warrandyte Park Upgrades;** **2.8 Whitfords Senior Citizens Club Upgrade;**	**21/01/2026** Resolutions 1,2,3,6 and 7 are noted. **22/01/2026** Resolutions 4 & 5 have been reflected within the amended budget 25-26 **15/06/2026** Resolution 8 - Report will be presented to the Policy Committee Meeting October 2026 and then the Ordinary Council Meeting December 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					2.9 Marri Park Floodlighting Upgrades; **2.10 Craigie Leisure Centre/Whitfords Ave/Pinnaroo Valley Memorial Roundabout;** **3 DOES NOT SUPPORT any additional own source funding for the projects listed in Part 2 above, other than what is currently listed in the 2025-26 Five Year Capital Works Program;** **4 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY INCREASES the 2025-26 Capital Works Program expenditure Budget by $306,000 to commence the delivery of the projects identified in Part 2 above;** **5 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY INCREASES the 2025-26 Non-operating Grant, Subsidies and Contributions Budget Revenue by $306,000;** **6 NOTES the State Government Election Commitments for the following projects are being assessed to determine the project scope, funding requirements and delivery timeframes, prior to being submitted to Council for formal acceptance:** **6.1 Wanneroo/Joondalup State Emergency Services Site Upgrades;** **6.2 Kingsley Park Storage Upgrades;** **6.3 Timberlane Park Playing Fields and Clubroom Upgrades;** **6.4 Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club Upgrades;** **6.5 Iluka District Open Space Power Upgrade and Flood Lighting;** **6.6 West Coast Drive Pathway Connectivity Improvement Project;** **6.7 Upgrade to Warwick Stadium;** **7 NOTES that funding applications will be submitted to the Major and Local Community Infrastructure Program for the following two Federal Election Commitment projects:** **7.1 MacNaughton Park Clubroom Upgrades;** **7.2 Heathridge Park Redevelopment;** **8 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer draft a policy to align with the Council's approach to State and Federal commitments, to be drafted and presented to the Policy Committee prior to December 2026 for endorsement.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Jones, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
9-12-2025	9 December 2025 - Ordinary Meeting of Council - Agenda	12.9	WILDLIFE PROTECTION INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT NATIVE WILDLIFE MOVEMENT (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ351-12/25)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council:** **1 NOTES the options presented in this report for infrastructure to support fauna movements;**	**19/01/2026** Funding for the study to determine costs and impacts of virtual fencing will be considered in the 2026/27 budget. **25/06/2026** RFQ being prepared to engage a consultant to undertake the feasibility study into virtual fencing.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					2 NOTES that to determine costs, benefits and potential risks considerable research, investigation and stakeholder engagement would be required; **3 CONSIDERS the allocation of funding for a study to determine the feasibility, priority locations, costs, benefits and impacts of the installation of virtual fencing in the City of Joondalup, at an estimated cost of $10,000 (excluding GST), as part of the 2026-27 Budget;** **4 NOTES that the City will continue to work in partnership with key stakeholders to implement measures to reduce the risks to fauna with urban environments.** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/0)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Nil.**			
16-02-2026	16 February 2026 - Policy Committee	8.2	ANIMALS LOCAL LAW 2025 - ADOPTION (WARD - ALL)	On Hold	**PROCEDURAL MOTION - THAT THE ITEM BE DEFERRED** **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Item 8.2 – Animal Local Law 2025 – Adoption, BE DEFERRED to a future meeting of the Policy Committee, as per clause 10.1(a) of the City of Joondalup Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013, awaiting amendments to the Cat Act 2011 to enable local government to make cat containment local laws.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Hutton, Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Mercer, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**24/03/2026** Consultation for the review of the Cat Act 2011 closes on 31 August 2026. It is anticipated that any proposed amendments to the Act could be at least another 6 to 12 months after the submissions close.	30-10-2026	
23-02-2026	23 February 2026 - Major Projects and Finance Committee	11.2	Request for Reports for Future Consideration - Cr Raftis	In Progress	**Cr Raftis requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on the following:** **1 The whole of life costs, including full capital costs, ongoing maintenance costs and anticipated refurbishment costs for:** **Duncraig Adventure Hub.** **Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Club Redevelopment.** **2 The updated business plan for the Sorrento Surf Lifesaving Club Redevelopment recognising the current updated whole of life costs.**	**27/03/2026** The report for the Duncraig Adventure Hub will be progressed for the Major Projects Finance Committee meeting scheduled for 4 May 2026. The City is planning to take a report to Council in June for decision on proceeding with the Sorrento SLSC Redevelopment project. The report will include both the construction and operator tenders and updated whole of life cost assessments for the key components of the redevelopment (clubroom facility, northern toilets and commercial facility). While development of an updated business case is not currently planned, the key components of the business case will be addressed in this report to Council, including social & economic return on investment, financial evaluation and option evaluation based on the construction and operator tenders received. The tender evaluation processes for the tenders for the construction and operator tenders are not anticipated to be completed in time to present a report at the May 2026 meeting of the Major Projects and Finance Committee. **05/05/2026**		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						A report on the whole of life costs for the Duncraig Adventure Hub was considered by the Major Projects and Finance Committee on 4 May 2026. **29/06/2026** A report on the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Redevelopment project is scheduled for consideration by Elected Members at the July Strategy Session, following which a report will be submitted for Council's consideration.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.2	PETITION RELATING TO MAIN ROADS WA SELECTED LOCATION OF A NOISE WALL ALONG TWICKENHAM DRIVE, KINGSLEY (WARD - SOUTH-EAST)	Complete	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ046-03/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council:** **1 NOTES the investigations undertaken by the City and outcomes outlined in this Report in relation to Main Roads WA's location selection for a 5 metre noise wall along Twickenham Drive, Kingsley;** **2 NOTES that the City has a limited role in monitoring road and rail noise and ADVISES the lead petitioner to seek further investigation through Ombudsman WA;** **3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer WRITES to the Western Australian Planning Commission requesting they consider the following matters as part of a future review of State Planning Policy 5.4 Road and Rail Noise:** **3.1 include a new policy objective relating to minimising the impact of noise mitigation measures on the character and amenity of the community;** **3.2 provide clear expectations on the extent of community consultation that should be undertaken by road and railway transport providers when determining the extent and location of noise mitigation measures;** **4 ADVISES the Lead Petitioner of Council's decision;** **5 PROVIDES a copy of this report and Council's decision to the local member and Minister for Child Protection; Prevention of Family and Domestic Violence; Minister Assisting the Minister for Transport; Peel, the Hon. Jessica Stojkovski MLA;** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Nil.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ046-03/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council:** **6 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to investigate the allocation of**	**13/04/2026** The City is progressing the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision. **29/06/2026** In relation to resolution 6, City officers have investigated the potential for additional tree planting along the noise wall within Twickenham Drive verge area, including consideration of semi-mature native trees. As part of this investigation, officers reviewed the extent of the landscape works recently completed by Main Roads Western Australia (MRWA) adjacent to Twickenham Drive, including planting associated with the freeway infrastructure upgrades, noise wall and principal shared path works within this section of the street. Approximately 40 Marri trees were planted within the verge area between the roadway and principal shared path. As per the approved design, the City provided advice on appropriate tree species suited to the available verge width, soil conditions, existing underground services and long-term growth expectations. Officers also reviewed the suitability of semi-mature tree planting within this location. In general, semi-mature trees are not considered best practice for streetscape planting due to increased transplant stress, reduced establishment success and higher ongoing water and maintenance requirements when compared with younger stock. Based on the current extent of planting and available verge conditions, officers consider the existing level of tree planting to be appropriate for this location, and no additional planting is recommended at this time. The landscape treatment currently remains under the maintenance responsibility of MRWA until 11 August 2026. Following handover of the site, the City will continue to monitor the establishment of the newly planted trees and undertake replacement planting of any failed or damaged stock as required through normal maintenance practices.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					semi-mature native trees along the noise wall in the affected areas on Twickenham Drive, and other areas that can be identified, to assist in the noise reduction efforts as part of the 2026-27 budget process; **7 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to consider writing to the Auditor General on behalf of the residents of the City of Joondalup encouraging the decision-making process behind the smart freeway wall to be considered as a future audit topic.** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr Hill.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.6	ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE (WARD – ALL)	Complete	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ049-03/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Count that Council:** **1 NOTES Outcome 5 of the City of Joondalup Integrated Transport Plan 2024-2034 as follows:** **Outcome 5: Electric Vehicles - Support is provided to facilitate increased uptake of electric vehicles and other emerging technologies.** **Role of the City: The City supports the State Government's Electric Vehicle Strategy for Western Australia to prepare for the transition to low and zero-emission vehicles and aims to install infrastructure to transition the City-owned fleet to electric vehicles. The City's role is to encourage the uptake of electric vehicles and support external organisations who wish to install electric vehicle charging infrastructure. The City is not responsible for providing the charging infrastructure or power for public use. State and Federal Government agencies are responsible for managing various market interventions to encourage electric vehicle uptake, such as taxation exemptions and funding grants.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.** **OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ049-03/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Count that Council:** **2 NOTES that matters raised in Council resolution CJ137-05/24 relating to the Notice of Motion will be considered as part of the development of a position on electric vehicle infrastructure in the City in 2027-28.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)**	**04/05/2026** Council's decision is noted. Matters raised in Council resolution CJ137-05/24 relating to the Notice of Motion will be considered as part of the development of a position on electric vehicle infrastructure in the City in FY2027-28. **29/06/2026** The development of a formal position on electric vehicle infrastructure in the City to ensure appropriate investment is made in the supply, installation, and maintenance of infrastructure as the technology develops has been included as a non-capital project and initiative in the FY2027-28 of the City's Corporate Business Plan 2026-2030 which was adopted by Council at its meeting held on 23 June 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Cr O'Neill.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **25 in relation to Motion No. 25 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors, NOTES that a review has been undertaken of the Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy with regard to Continuing Professional Development, with a further report to be presented to the Policy Committee meeting on 18 May 2026;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**14/04/2026** A report will be presented to the May Policy Committee Meeting. **21/05/2026** A report was presented to the Policy Committee on 18 May 2026, to be progressed to Council on 23 June 2026. **24/06/2026** A report was presented to the Council meeting on 23 June 2026 where Council resolved as follows: That Council: 1 NOTES a review of the Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy has been undertaken in accordance with section 5.128(5)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995, with regard to continuing professional development; 2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY ADOPTS the revised Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy, as provided in Attachment 4 to this Report, subject to the following amendments: a Amendment of clause 7.7.6(a)(i) and 7.7.8(c)(iii) as follows: "meals and refreshments, excluding alcohol, for the Elected Member that are not covered by the conference and training registration cost." c Add a new clause 7.8.1 – Expectations: "Where training or courses funded by the City include examinations, tests, assessments or other evaluative components, Elected Members are expected to: (a) actively participate in the training; (b) complete all required assessment components; (c) make a genuine attempt to satisfy the assessment requirements of the course; and (d) where a passing standard is specified by the training provider, use reasonable endeavours to achieve that standard." d Add a new clause 7.8.2 – Reimbursement: "An Elected Member may be required to reimburse the City for all or part of the training costs where the Council determines that the Elected Member has: (a) withdrawn from approved training without reasonable cause; (b) failed to participate in or complete the training without reasonable cause; (c) failed to complete required assessment components without reasonable cause; or		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						(d) acted in a manner that demonstrates a deliberate or reckless disregard for the proper use of public funds. Failure to achieve a passing assessment result, of itself, will not constitute grounds for reimbursement where the Elected Member has otherwise complied with clause 7.8.1." e Add a new clause 7.8.3 – Consideration of Circumstances: "In determining whether reimbursement should be sought, the CEO (or delegate) will have regard to: (a) the reasons for non-completion or non-attendance; (b) the Elected Member's level of participation and commitment; (c) any illness, injury, family or employment commitments or other reasonable circumstances; and (d) whether recovery of the costs would be fair and proportionate in the circumstances." f Add a new clause 7.8.4 – Administration: "Before approving or funding any professional development that is subject to this clause, the City will provide the Elected Member with written advice outlining: (a) the circumstances in which reimbursement may be required; (b) the process that will be followed in determining any reimbursement obligation; (c) available repayment arrangements, including payment plans where appropriate; and (d) any other relevant conditions applicable to the approved professional development. (e) A decision by the CEO may be referred to Council for further review.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **26 in relation to Motion 26 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **26.1 NOTES that a quarterly report be provided to the Major Projects and Finance Committee detailing recurrent and non-recurrent grant funding including the following details:** **Grants applied for and their value;** **Funding body;** **Purpose and proposed timing;** **Whether funding applications have been successful or unsuccessful;** **Required co-contributions from the City can also be provided.** **26.2 NOTES that the first report be provided to the Major Projects and Finance Committee in Quarter 4 2025-26;**	**17/04/2026** A report will be prepared for Major Projects and Finance Committee. **25/06/2026** A report will be presented to August Mayor Projects and Finance Committee.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0) **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **10 in relation to Motion No. 10 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **10.1 NOTES that the use of e-Rideables is permitted only on footpaths, shared paths, bicycle lanes and low-speed local roads, in accordance with State Government legislation;** **10.2 NOTES that the City's ability to enforce the use of e-Rideables is limited, with enforcement of dangerous or unlawful behaviour resting primarily with WA Police;** **10.3 NOTES that Sir James McCusker Park does not contain any designated skate or cycling facilities, and that the City does not have the ability to designate permitted e-Rideable areas within the park beyond what is already provided for under State legislation;** **10.4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to review the existing advisory signage at the Mirror Park skate facility to ensure it remains clear, relevant, and reflective of current usage conditions;** **10.5 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to erect appropriately worded signage, as soon as practicable, at strategic points within Mirror Park, Ocean Reef, and Sir James McCusker Park, Iluka, designating the areas where e-Rideables (e-scooters, e-bikes) are permitted to be ridden and where e-Rideables are not to be used;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/3)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Count, Cr Hill and Cr Hutton.**	**21/04/2026** The City is currently reviewing the signage requests in alignment with Council's decision. **03/06/2026** In relation in Part 10.4, the City has reviewed the existing advisory signage at the Mirror Park skate facility and will update to be in alignment with the City's other bike and skate facilities that have been recently installed.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Complete	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **11 in relation to Motion No. 11 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **11.1 NOTES that road improvements to improve the road safety environment and better cater for road users is critical for safe transport systems within the City;**	**21/04/2026** The City is currently reviewing the design, including opportunities to reduce the proposed median width and further investigations are being undertaken to determine whether additional reductions can be achieved without compromising safety outcomes. The City will proceed with the delivery of the road safety (Black Spot) component of the SBS2101 Northshore Drive Boulevard Upgrade project. The car park component has been deferred for consideration in a future financial year and will be subject to further assessment by Elected Members. In the interim, the City will liaise with the Northshore Community Hub regarding parking requirements, with the intent of informing Elected Members ahead of any future decision-making.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					11.2 DOES NOT SUPPORT the motion to revoke Council's decision on Item CJ102 06/23 – Traffic Management Improvements – Northshore Drive, Kallaroo; **11.3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to continue to investigate the potential reduction of the proposed median width to achieve improved amenity outcomes without compromising the safety outcomes of SBS2101 Northshore Drive Treatment project;** **11.4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to refer the car park budget component of SBS2101 Northshore Drive Treatment to the 2026-27 budget development process for further consideration by Elected Members;** **11.5 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to assess options to rezone the balance of the current road reserve to public open space following the completion of the SBS2101 Northshore Drive Treatment project;** **11.6 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to liaise with the Northshore Community Hub regarding the provision of parking as part of their development;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (8/2)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Cr Count and Cr Vinciullo.**	Following completion of the SBS2101 works, the City will investigate options to rezone the remaining road reserve on the eastern side to Public Open Space, including any associated planning considerations. **29/06/2026** The SBS2101 Northshore Drive Treatment project was considered by Council at its meeting held on 23 June 2026 as part of the adoption of the annual budget and the Five Year Capital Works Program. The City is currently reviewing opportunities to reduce the median width from approximately 8 metres to approximately 4 metres whilst still achieving the projects road safety objectives. A revised Blackspot funding application has been submitted to Main Roads WA for their consideration. The 2026-27 Five Year Capital Works Program reflects that the car park component of this project is now proposed to be delivered at a later stage being FY2027-28 and FY2028-29.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed.	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **13 in relation to Motion No. 13 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **13.1 NOTES that a report relating to the prioritisation of precinct structure planning within the City, including the review of the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan will be presented to Council in mid 2026; and** **13.2 NOTES the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan review is the appropriate time to review the development provisions for the Joondalup Edge Precinct;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**13/04/2026** The City is progressing the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision. **8/07/2026** A report on the review of the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan review and precinct planning prioritisation was presented to Council at its June 2026 meeting. The City will progress the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **16 in relation to Motion No. 16 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors NOTES that a review has been undertaken of the Elected Members' Entitlements Council**	**14/04/2026** A report will be presented to the May Policy Committee Meeting. **20/05/2026** A report was presented to the Policy Committee meeting held on 18 May 2026, to be progressed to Council for decision on 23 June 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					Policy with regard to Continuing Professional Development, with a further report to be presented to the Policy Committee meeting on 18 May 2026; **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**24/06/2026** A report was presented to Council on 23 June 2026, where Council resolved as follows: That Council: 1 NOTES a review of the Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy has been undertaken in accordance with section 5.128(5)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995, with regard to continuing professional development; 2 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY ADOPTS the revised Elected Members' Entitlements Council Policy, as provided in Attachment 4 to this Report, subject to the following amendments: a Amendment of clause 7.7.6(a)(i) and 7.7.8(c)(iii) as follows: "meals and refreshments, excluding alcohol, for the Elected Member that are not covered by the conference and training registration cost." c Add a new clause 7.8.1 – Expectations: "Where training or courses funded by the City include examinations, tests, assessments or other evaluative components, Elected Members are expected to: (a) actively participate in the training; (b) complete all required assessment components; (c) make a genuine attempt to satisfy the assessment requirements of the course; and (d) where a passing standard is specified by the training provider, use reasonable endeavours to achieve that standard." d Add a new clause 7.8.2 – Reimbursement: "An Elected Member may be required to reimburse the City for all or part of the training costs where the Council determines that the Elected Member has: (a) withdrawn from approved training without reasonable cause; (b) failed to participate in or complete the training without reasonable cause; (c) failed to complete required assessment components without reasonable cause; or (d) acted in a manner that demonstrates a deliberate or reckless disregard for the proper use of public funds. Failure to achieve a passing assessment result, of itself, will not constitute grounds for reimbursement where the Elected Member has otherwise complied with clause 7.8.1." e Add a new clause 7.8.3 – Consideration of Circumstances: "In determining whether reimbursement should be sought, the CEO (or delegate) will have regard to:		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
						(a) the reasons for non-completion or non-attendance; (b) the Elected Member's level of participation and commitment; (c) any illness, injury, family or employment commitments or other reasonable circumstances; and (d) whether recovery of the costs would be fair and proportionate in the circumstances." f Add a new clause 7.8.4 – Administration: "Before approving or funding any professional development that is subject to this clause, the City will provide the Elected Member with written advice outlining: (a) the circumstances in which reimbursement may be required; (b) the process that will be followed in determining any reimbursement obligation; (c) available repayment arrangements, including payment plans where appropriate; and (d) any other relevant conditions applicable to the approved professional development. (e) A decision by the CEO may be referred to Council for further review.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Complete	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **9 in relation to Motion No. 9 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **9.1 NOTES the concerns raised by electors regarding traffic volumes, safety, and the operation of Woodvale Drive and its connecting intersections;** **9.2 NOTES that the City, in collaboration with the City of Wanneroo will undertake improvements to Woodvale Drive to improve safety with the potential added benefit of deterring additional traffic as detailed in the Officer's Comment to this motion;** **9.3 NOTES that following the improvements, the City will assess the functionality and utilisation of Woodvale Drive to determine if a reclassification of Woodvale Drive in accordance with Main Roads WA's Functional Road Hierarchy is required;** **9.4 REQUESTS that the City continue to liaise with Main Roads WA and the City of Wanneroo regarding the performance of intersections under their jurisdiction, and to provide input where appropriate on matters that may affect local traffic conditions;** **9.5 NOTES that schools are responsible for the provision of adequate parking, the operation of kiss and drive facilities, and communication with parents regarding appropriate use of these facilities;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)**	**21/04/2026** The Woodvale Drive LTM project is currently proposed for design and construction in the 2028-29 and 2029-30 financial years. Once the treatment has been finalised, a review will be undertaken to confirm whether its current hierarchy as a local distributor road needs to be modified. The City will continue to liaise with Main Roads WA and the City of Wanneroo regarding the performance of intersections under their jurisdiction, and to provide input where appropriate on matters that may affect local traffic conditions **29/06/2026** At its meeting held on 21 April 2026 (CJ095-04/26 refers), Council resolved to request the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on current, planned and potential improvement options for Trappers Drive between Whitfords Avenue and Woodvale Drive and Woodvale Drive from Trappers Drive to the City's boundary, recognising their role as critical transport corridors and the ongoing safety concerns raised by the community. The City is progressing this investigation and compiling information to inform a future report to Elected Members. Additionally, the City is finalising applications for Blackspot Funding for improvements for Trappers Drive and Woodvale Drive.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Not yet started	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **20 in relation to Motion No. 20 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors:** **20.1 NOTES the City works in partnership with neighbouring local governments and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions to implement management actions within Yellagonga Regional Park, including additional fox control for 2025-26;** **20.2 NOTES the current fox control agreement will be reviewed at the end of its term in August 2026 to assess the effectiveness and inform the 2026-27 fox control program;** **20.3 NOTES the City increased the feral animal control budget by 31% in 2025- 26 which includes control of both feral foxes and feral rabbits within City-owned and managed reserves;** **20.4 NOTES a report on the City's approach to fox control will be provided at the Council meeting to be held on 24 March 2026;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **21 in relation to Motion No 21 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors NOTES that a report relating to the prioritisation of precinct structure planning within the City of Joondalup will be presented to Council in mid 2026;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**13/04/2026** The City is progressing the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision. **8/07/2026** A report on precinct planning prioritisation was presented to Council at its June 2026 meeting. The City will progress the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 29 JANUARY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	**Completed**	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ053-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:**	**13/04/2026** The City is progressing the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision. **8/07/2026** A report on the review of the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan review and precinct planning prioritisation was presented to		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					24 in relation to Motion No. 24 carried at the Annual General Meeting of Electors: **24.1 NOTES that a report relating to the prioritisation of precinct structure planning within the City, including the review of the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan will be presented to Council in mid 2026; and** **24.2 NOTES the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan review is the appropriate time to consider whether the Joondalup Edge Precinct should remain within the Joondalup Activity Centre Plan;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	Council at its June 2026 meeting. The City will progress the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision.		
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.15	MULLALOO BEACH ARTIFICIAL SURF REEF PRE-FEASIBILITY STUDY (WARD - NORTH-CENTRAL, CENTRAL)	Not yet started	**The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ058-03/26)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Cr Fishwick that Council:** **1 NOTES the Mullaloo Beach Artificial Surf Reef Pre-Feasibility Study, forming Attachment 1 to this Report;** **2 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to REFER the Mullaloo Beach Artificial Surf Reef Pre-Feasibility Study to the relevant State Government Agencies, listed in this report, for progression of the project in collaboration with Surfing WA and the Mullaloo Boardriders Club.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Pizzey.** **The Original Motion as Amended being / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ058-03/26)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Cr Fishwick that Council:** **3 RESOLVES that no further resources are to be allocated towards the delivery of an artificial surf reef, at this time.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (6/4)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Cr Count, Cr Hill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.**	**13/04/2026** A letter is being prepared to refer the pre-feasibility study to relevant State Government departments as per Council's resolution. Letters to be sent in May 2026. **09/06/2026** Referral letter has been drafted and will be forwarded to the CEO for signing. Letter expected to be sent in June 2026 **25/06/2026** Letter expected to be sent July 2026	14-07-2026	
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 1.1	PROPOSED REVOCATION OF THE HILLARYS STRUCTURE PLAN (WARD - SOUTH-WEST)	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ061-03/26)**	**13/04/2026** The City will arrange advertising of the Hillarys Structure Plan in accordance with Council's resolution.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council: **1 Pursuant to Section 75 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 and Regulation 35(1) Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, ADOPT an amendment to the City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3 to:** **1.1 rezone the land within the Hillarys Structure Plan from 'Urban Development' to the 'Mixed Use' and 'Residential' zones and the 'Local Road', 'Other Regional Road' and 'Public Open Space' reserves;** **1.2 apply the 'R20', 'R30' and 'R50' residential density codes in accordance with the Hillarys Structure Plan;** **1.3 apply the 'R80' residential density code to Lot 9012 (3) Delos Way, Sorrento, Lot 28 (46) Angove Drive, Hillarys and Lot 29 (35) Martinique Mews, Hillarys;** **for the purpose of public advertising for a period of 42 days;** **2 In accordance with Regulation 35(2) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, DETERMINES that the scheme amendment is a standard amendment as the proposal does not result in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts on land in the scheme area;** **3 Pursuant to Regulation 35A(a) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, RESOLVES that the amendment to the City of Joondalup Local Planning Scheme No. 3 include the following statement:** **"Approval of the City's Hillarys Structure Plan is to be revoked when this amendment is approved and takes effect."** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.1.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Count.**			
24-03-2026	24 March 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 1.2	CODE OF CONDUCT FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS, COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND CANDIDATES (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ062-03/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr O'Neill that Council:** **1 ADOPTS BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY the revised Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, as provided in Attachment 2 to this Report;** **2 ADOPTS the revised Complaint Investigation Council Policy, as provided in Attachment 5 to this Report;** **3 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY and in accordance with section 5.42 of the Local Government Act 1995, AMENDS delegation 1.1.8 as provided in Attachment 3 to this Report;**	**17/04/2026** A further report is being prepared. **24/04/2026** Draft report prepared for the Council meeting to be held on 26 May 2026. **10/06/2026** Report presented to Council on 26 May 2026 with options for the selection and appointment of an independent person to undertake the activities of Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct, where Council resolved to seek public EOIs.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					4 BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY AUTHORISES the contractors listed under Common Use Agreement CUAHRS2021 – Human Resource and Investigation Services (Category 1), to perform the functions of the Council under clause 12 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates for the purpose of dealing with a complaint and investigating an alleged breach; **5 In authorising the persons listed under Part 4, the Council CONFIRMS that it is satisfied that the persons being authorised are suitably qualified and experienced to perform the functions of the Council under clause 12 of the Code of Conduct because of the competitive procurement process of the WA Department of Finance;** **6 In authorising the persons listed under Part 4, the Council CONFIRMS that it is satisfied that the persons being authorised are impartial and have no close association with any member of the council or any employee of the local government because of the restrictions included in the Council adopted Complaint Resolution and Investigation Council Policy;** **7 ENDORSES its preferred approach for an independent person/s to be appointed to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach;** **8 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to present a further report detailing:** **8.1 options for selection and appointment of an independent person/s to undertake the activities in Part 7 above;** **8.2 options for remuneration and/or reimbursement of expenses for an independent person/s to under the activities in Part 7 above.** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/1)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr Pizzey.**			
21-04-2026	21 April 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.6	CONFIDENTIAL - PROJECT AXIOM - FINAL GAP ANALYSIS, PROJECT PLAN AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ098-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council:** **1 NOTES the deliverables produced during Phase 1, including the Gap Analysis, Project Plan, and Implementation Plan, which will guide the commencement of Phase 2;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)**	**21/05/2026** Item 1: Report noted, no further action required. Item 2: Documentation is being progressed by General Counsel and Acting Project Manager (Project Axiom). Item 3: Documentation is being progressed by General Counsel and Acting Project Manager (Project Axiom). Item 4: Currently engaging with suitable third parties to progress once the full statement of works is finalised, to validate this along with the implementation plan. Item 5: Currently being progressed by Acting Project Manager (Project Axiom). Item 6: Proceeding with critical activities, namely core financials, rates module and critical security updates. Item 7: Report noted, no further action required.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis. **Against the Motion: Nil.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ098-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council:** **2 APPROVES the appointment of 365 Solutions Consulting Pty Ltd for Phase 2 of the Enterprise Business Platform Project Management and Implementation as specified in Tender 006/25, at the submitted schedule of rates and;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/3)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr May, Cr Mercer and Cr Pizzey.** **Against the Motion: Cr Hutton, Cr O'Neill and Cr Raftis.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ098-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council:** **3 REQUESTS the Temporary Chief Executive Officer to finalise and negotiate the necessary contract and Statement of Works documentation with 365 Solutions Consulting Pty Ltd for implementation services, for subsequent consideration and approval by Council;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (9/3)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Cr Fishwick, Cr Hill and Cr Pizzey.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ{resolution-number}-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council:** **4 REQUESTS the Temporary Chief Executive Officer to arrange for a third party, such as Microsoft, to validate the implementation plan and statement of works;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)**	Item 8: Progressing in conjunction with the finalisation of the contract and statement of works. **03/06/2026** Report being prepared for Council meeting on 28 July 2026 responding to items 3, 4, 5 and 8.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis. Against the Motion: Nil. ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ098-04/26) MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council: 5 REQUESTS the Temporary Chief Executive Officer to review the schedule of rates internally; The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis. Against the Motion: Nil. ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ098-04/26) MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council: 6 APPROVES 365 Solutions Consulting Pty Ltd to proceed with the following critical activities while the documentation referred to in part 3 above is being finalised, in order to minimise risk to City operations: Core financials Rates module Critical security updates; The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis. Against the Motion: Nil. ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ098-04/26) MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council: 7 NOTES the estimated implementation partner costs as detailed in the Report, which is subject to CPI and escalation adjustments. The Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/1)			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill and Cr Pizzey. **Against the Motion: Cr Raftis.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ098-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council:** **8 REQUESTS the Temporary Chief Executive Officer to set a clear dollar value on the totals proposed to be paid to the vendor to be included in the contract in Part 3.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
21-04-2026	21 April 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 1.1	APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT MEMBERS TO THE AUDIT, RISK AND IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ090-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Count that Council:** **1 ENDORSES the following process for the selection and appointment of independent audit, risk and improvement committee members outlined in this Report;** **1.1 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to provide access to all expressions of interest from the WALGA (North Metropolitan Zone) Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee Presiding Members Pool for the Audit and Risk Committee to short-list candidates for interview;** **1.2 NOTES that the short-list of candidates for interview must be presented to the next meeting of the Audit and Risk Committee, tentatively scheduled for 11 May 2026, for Council endorsement at its meeting on 26 May 2026;** **1.3 AUTHORISES the current Presiding Member, Mayor and Cr Count of the Audit and Risk Committee to interview the preferred candidate/s for the appointment of independent audit, risk and improvement committee members;** **1.4 NOTES that a report on the preferred candidates must be presented to Council for endorsement at its meeting on 23 June 2026;** **2 ENDORSES that the selection and appointment of independent audit, risk and improvement committee members will be for:** **One independent presiding member;** **One independent deputy of the presiding member;**	**05/05/2026** In accordance with Part 1.1 of the Council's decision, Elected Members were advised on 24 April 2026 that all expressions of interest from the WALGA (North Metropolitan Zone) Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee Presiding Members Pool were made available via the Elected Member Portal, for the Audit and Risk Committee to short-list candidates for interview. A shortlisting assessment guide was also provided which included the criteria and criteria weighting as included in the Report to Council on 21 April 2026 (Report 13.1.1 refers).		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					3 NOTES that the meeting attendance fees of independent audit, risk and improvement committee members will be dependent on the skills and experience of the preferred candidates, and is not to exceed $1,215 per meeting attended; **4 NOTES that an amendment to the 2026-27 annual budget may be required for the allocation of meeting attendance fees of independent audit, risk and improvement committee members;** **5 NOTES that a future report will be presented to Council to establish a new audit, risk and improvement committee, appoint committee members, endorse the meeting attendance fees of the independent committee members, and to update the committee's terms of reference.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.13.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
21-04-2026	21 April 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	16.1	NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1 – MAYOR KINGSTON – MRWA TRAFFIC MODELLING AND ANALYSIS	In Progress	**RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ094-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to write to Main Roads Western Australia seeking traffic modelling and analysis be undertaken for the purposes of assessing existing and projected traffic volumes and congestion levels, identifying future road upgrade and capacity improvement requirements to support population and employment growth; and considering measures to mitigate congestion-related impacts, including traffic diversion and "rat running" on the following key regional roads under the care and control of Main Roads WA:** **Marmion Avenue** **Ocean Reef Road** **Wanneroo Road** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**05/05/2026** A draft letter to Main Roads WA (MRWA) is being prepared.		
21-04-2026	21 April 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	16.2	NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2 – MAYOR KINGSTON – IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS FOR TRAPPERS DRIVE	In Progress	**RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ095-04/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr May that Council REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on current, planned and potential improvement options for Trappers Drive between Whitfords Avenue and Woodvale Drive and Woodvale Drive from Trappers Drive to the City's boundary, recognising their role as critical transport corridors and the ongoing safety concerns raised by the community.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)**	**05/05/2026** The City is progressing the investigation and compiling information to inform a report to Elected Members.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis. **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
4-05-2026	4 May 2026 - Major Projects and Finance Committee	11.2	REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	Not yet started	**Cr Raftis requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report that details the updated whole of life costs and revenues (20-year) for the Ocean Reef Marina (ORM), including the following:** **Values of assets to be handed across to the City of Joondalup from Development WA, and the anticipated depreciation, maintenance and replacement costs of those assets.** **The breakdown of those assets into the various categories utilised by the City of Joondalup for infrastructure assets.** **Full capital costs incurred by the City of Joondalup for the ORM and the anticipated depreciation, maintenance and replacement costs of those assets, for example, the Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club (ORSSC) building.** **Expected future capital costs, applicable rental revenue, for freehold property owned by the City of Joondalup within the ORM.** **Updated figures against the original ORM business case document, including revenues such as rates, parking and infringement revenue, and so on.**	**20/05/2026** An update will be provided at MPFC 31 August 2026.	1-09-2026	
11-05-2026	11 May 2026 - Audit and Risk Committee	8.8	CONFIDENTIAL - REVIEW INTO PROJECT AXIOM (WARD - ALL)	In Progress	**PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO A COMMITTEE** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Item 8.8 – Confidential – Review into Project Axiom - BE REFERRED BACK to the CEO for further information in relation to the following:** **the full costings on the review into Project Axiom – who was engaged, what for and what was the outcomes.** **an opportunity to be presented with the Governance report, forensic report and Deed of Settlement.** **A further update on the matters involved in this report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (7/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Raftis, Cr O'Neill, Mayor Kingston, Cr Count, Cr Hill, Cr May and Cr Mercer.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**20/05/2026** Currently in progress. **24/06/2026** Draft report prepared for meeting scheduled for 3 August 2026.		
11-05-2026	11 May 2026 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	In Progress	**Mayor Kingston requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on auditing the 2026-27 budget process.**	**20/05/2026** Currently in progress.		
11-05-2026	11 May 2026 - Audit and Risk Committee	11.2	REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION	In Progress	**Cr Raftis requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on the Joondalup Festival including information on the following:** **1 An event held in the Leederville precinct following the Joondalup Festival in 2025.** **2 A VIP function held at the start of 2026 in relation to the Joondalup Festival.** **3 Details of any thank you events held at the end of the Joondalup Festival.**	**20/05/2026** Currently in progress.		
18-05-2026	18 May 2026 - Policy Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports for Future Consideration	Not yet started	**Cr Hutton and Cr Pizzey requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on the review of the Honorary Freeman of the City of Joondalup Council Policy to include a section relating to the expectations of behavioural conduct of the Honorary Freeman of the City.**			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
18-05-2026	18 May 2026 - Policy Committee	11.2	Requests for Reports for Future Consideration	Not yet started	**Cr Hutton requested that the Chief Executive Officer prepare a report on the review of the Volunteer Council Policy.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.1	DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS - MARCH 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ106-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council NOTES the determination and recommendations made under delegated authority in relation to the:** **1 development applications described in Attachment 1 to this Report during March 2026;** **2 subdivision applications described in Attachment 2 to this Report during March 2026.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**09/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.2	PETITION TO REVIEW PARKING LOCAL LAW TO ALLOW VERGE PARKING OF UNATTACHED TRAILERS (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ107-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council:** **1 NOTES ePetition EP1015 requesting a review of the City's Parking Local Law 2023 to allow verge parking of unattached trailers;** **2 DECLINES the request to amend the City's Parking Local Law 2023;** **3 ADVISES the lead petitioner of Council's decision.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.** **.**	**09/06/2026** The City has progressed the relevant actions in accordance with Council's decision.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.3	CITY OF JOONDALUP PUBLIC HEALTH PLAN 2026-2031 (WARD - ALL)	Not yet started	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **1 NOTES the submissions and concerns raised by community members regarding environmental health impacts associated with major regional waste and wastewater infrastructure;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1)**	**09/06/2026** Notes that Council has requested a report as part of the scheduled 12-month review of the Public Health Plan 2026-2031.	31-05-2027	

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Cr Fishwick.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **2 ENDORSES the City of Joondalup Public Health Plan 2026-2031 as provided in Attachment 1 to this Report;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer as part of the scheduled 12 month review to further review and strengthen the Plan's references to:** **wastewater treatment infrastructure impacts** **landfill and leachate management impacts** **odour and air quality concerns** **coastal and groundwater quality monitoring** **emergency preparedness and environmental resilience associated with major infrastructure incidents;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/3)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Chester, Cr Hill and Cr O'Neill.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **4 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to investigate opportunities for collaboration and advocacy with relevant State agencies and neighbouring local governments regarding environmental health monitoring, risk mitigation and community information relating to regional waste and wastewater infrastructure impacts;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1)**			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Cr Hill.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **5 REQUESTS a report back to Council as part of the scheduled 12-month review of the Public Health Plan 2026-2031, outlining any proposed amendments or implementation opportunities arising from the above;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/2)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr Hill and Cr May.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ108-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Gould, SECONDED Cr Mercer that Council** **6 AFFIRMS that the policy framework of the Public Health Plan 2026-2031 is anchored in Australian and Western Australian legislation and policy, including the Local Government Act 1995, Public Health Act 2016 and the State Public Health Plan for Western Australian 2025-2030, and that while the Plan notes alignment with certain international frameworks for contextual reference, the City's obligations and actions under this Plan are governed solely by Australian law and the City's own Strategic Community Plan 2032;** **7 NOTES that the City's progress in reducing chemical herbicide use across** **City-playspaces and natural areas is already reported annually to Council through the Annual State of the Environment Report in alignment with the adopted KPIs of the Weed Management Plan 2023-2033;** **8 NOTES that implementation of the Public Health Plan 2026-2031 will be monitored through the City's established corporate planning and reporting framework, including quarterly reporting through the Corporate Business Plan and twice-yearly reporting of organisational performance measures to the Audit and Risk Committee, and is satisfied that these existing mechanisms provide Council with adequate oversight of the plan's implementation including measures relating to land use, planning and public space functions.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.**			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					Against the Motion: Nil.			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.4	CONFIDENTIAL - STATUS OF LEGAL ACTIONS REPORT (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ109-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council NOTES the Status of Legal Action Report for matters that may have a contingent liability and are unresolved for the month of April 2026.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**05/06/2026** Report noted, no further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.5	STATUS OF COUNCIL DECISIONS - MAY 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ110-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council NOTES the Status of Council Decisions Report for the month of May 2026, as provided in Attachment 1 to this Report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**10/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.6	MINUTES OF REGIONAL COUNCIL MEETINGS (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ111-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council NOTES:** **1 the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Catalina Regional Council held on 16 April 2026 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;** **2 the minutes of the Special Meeting of the Mindarie Regional Council held on 16 April 2026 forming Attachment 2 to this Report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**10/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.7	2026 ANNUAL REVIEW OF REGISTER OF DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **1 ENDORSES the review of its delegations in accordance with sections 5.18 and 5.46 of the Local Government Act 1995;**	**10/06/2026** Register of Delegation updated and published to the City's website on 4 June 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2 MAINTAINS the current Register of Delegation of Authority, subject to the following changes:** **2.1 DELETES delegated authority 1.1.5 – Choice of acceptable tenders from an expression of interest;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr May.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2.2 VARIES delegated authority 1.1.6 – Choice of Tender – by reducing the delegated financial limit from $500,000 to $250,000 in the conditions of delegation;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (10/3)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Cr Count, Cr Hill and Cr Vinciullo.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2.3 RETAINS delegated authority 1.1.9 – Disposing of Property – subject to the development and adoption of a Council policy governing the exercise of that delegation prior to the 2027 review of the Register of Delegation of Authority;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1)**			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Cr Chester.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2.4 VARIES delegated authority 1.1.12 – Establishing panels of pre-qualified suppliers – by reducing the delegated financial limit from $500,000 to $250,000 in the conditions of delegation;** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (8/5)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Hill, Cr May and Cr Vinciullo.** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2.5 VARIES delegated authority 1.1.13 – Extension of existing contracts – by adding point 4 to the conditions as follows** **'The value of the contract not exceeding $300,000 per annum;'** **The Motion was Put and LOST (6/7)** **In favour of the Motion: Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill and Cr Raftis.** **Against the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Hill, Cr May, Cr Pizzey and Cr Vinciullo.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **2.6 VARIES delegated authority 1.1.23 – Waiver of fees and granting concessions – by amending part 2 of the sub-delegate conditions as follows:** **'2. Manager Leisure and Cultural Services (00159)** **Limited to individual items to $5,000 and limited to the hire and use of City facilities.** **All exercises of this delegated authority are to be reported to the Audit and Risk Committee on a six-monthly basis for noting.'**			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					The Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1) **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Cr May.** **ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION/COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ112-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **3 REVIEWS the Register of Delegation of Authority at the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held on the 17 November 2026.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.8	APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT PERSON/S TO PERFORM FUNCTION OF THE COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSES 12 AND 13 OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ113-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Hutton that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **1 NOTES the options presented in this report with regard the selection and appointment of an independent person/s to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach;** **2 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to seek public Expressions of Interest to appoint an independent person to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and candidates, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint, and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach, at the agreed rate of $466 per job.** **The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (12/1)** **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Cr Pizzey.**	**10/06/2026** EOI for Independent Person was advertised on the City's website (vacancies) and LinkedIn on Wednesday 10 June 2026. Applications close 5pm 23 June 2026. **24/06/2026** At the close of submissions on Tuesday 23 June 2026 at 5pm, 14 submissions were recieved. A further report will be presented to the Council meeting on 28 July regarding the process for selection and appointment of an independent person		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.9	CORPORATE BUSINESS PLAN 2025-2029 QUARTER 3 REPORT (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ114-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Pizzey that Council:** **1 RECEIVES the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029 Quarter 3 Report as shown as Attachment 1 to this Report;**	**25/06/2026** Complete. Amended in the Corporate Business Plan.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					2 RECEIVES the Quarterly Capital Works Progress Report for the period 1 January 2026 to 31 March 2026 as shown as Attachment 2 to this Report; 3 RECEIVES the Key Capital Works Project Quarterly Status Report for the period 1 January 2026 to 31 March 2026 as shown as Attachment 3 to this Report; 4 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the 10-Year Strategic Financial Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 5 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the City of Joondalup website redevelopment project — phase 2 in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 6 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Community Development Strategy in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 7 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Community Safety Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 8 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Corporate Sponsorship Program in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 9 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Dog Management Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 10 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Global Economic Development Activities Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 11 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Joondalup City Centre multi-storey car park — business case in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 12 DOES NOT APPROVE the amendment to the milestones associated with the Libraries Strategy in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 13 NOTES the draft Libraries Strategy 2025-2029 will be included in the new 2026-2030 Corporate Business Plan; 14 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Pop-up piazza in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 15 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Recordkeeping Plan in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029; 16 APPROVES the amendment to the milestones associated with the Strategic transport planning in the Corporate Business Plan 2025–2029.			

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					The Alternate Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) **In favour of the Alternate Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Alternate Motion: Nil.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.10	CORPORATE SPONSORSHIP PROGRAM 2025-2026: ROUND TWO APPLICATIONS (WARD - ALL)	Not yet started	**ALTERNATE RECCOMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ115-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Mercer, SECONDED Cr Gould that Council:** **1 APPROVES a sponsorship amount of $13,000 (excluding GST) to Movies by Burswood for their Telethon Community Cinemas 2026-2027 Season;** **2 APPROVES a sponsorship amount of $11,000 (excluding GST) to Swimming WA for their Open Water Swimming Series 2026-2027, Sorrento and Mullaloo rounds;** **3 APPROVES a sponsorship amount of $30,000 (excluding GST) to Whitford Church of Christ Inc. for their 2026 Heathridge Carols in the Park;** **4 NOTES the following applications requesting $10,000 or less (excluding GST) approved by the Temporary Chief Executive Officer.** **4.1 Joondalup Christmas Lunch for a sponsorship amount of $3,000 (excluding GST);** **4.2 Sorrento Tennis Club Inc. for a sponsorship amount of $2,000 (excluding GST);** **4.3 Lions Club of Duncraig Inc. for a sponsorship amount of $3,000 (excluding GST);** **4.4 Cancer Council WA Inc. for a sponsorship amount of $6,000 (excluding GST);** **4.5 Good Doogs Pty Ltd, t/a XTR Multisports, for a sponsorship amount of $8,000 (excluding GST);** **5 REQUESTS the Policy Committee review Part 4.4 of the Corporate Sponsorship Program Council Policy as it relates to the approval granted to the Chief Executive Officer to approve applications for corporate sponsorship up to and including $10,000 (excluding GST), within any 12-month period.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.11	LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ116-05/26)** **MOVED Cr O'Neill, SECONDED Cr Raftis that Council:** **1 NOTES the Chief Executive Officer's list of accounts for March 2026 paid under Delegated Authority in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 forming Attachments 1, 2 and 3 to this Report, totalling $27,474,962.52;**	**05/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					2 NOTES the reported card transactions for the month ended 31 March 2026 in accordance with Regulation 13A(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 as shown in Attachments 4 and 5 to this Report, totalling $164,039.31. **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.12	FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT FOR MARCH 2026 (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ117-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council NOTES the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended** **31 March 2026 forming Attachment 1 to this Report and the Financial Position Statement at 31 March 2026 forming Attachment 2 to this Report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (11/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**05/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.13	MULLALOO SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB (MSLSC) CHANGEROOM REFURBISHMENT (WARD - CENTRAL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ118-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr May that Council ACCEPTS the tender submitted by Hickey Constructions Pty Ltd for the Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club (MSLSC) changeroom refurbishment as specified in Tender 006/26 for the fixed lump sum of $642,577 (GST Exclusive) for completion of the works within four months from issue of the letter of acceptance / the date of possession of site.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**25/06/2026** The letter of acceptance was issued on 27 May 2026 accepting the offer submitted by Hickey Constructions Pty Ltd for the Mullaloo surf life saving club (MSLSC) changeroom refurbishment. Letters were also issued on 27 May 2026 to unsuccessful tenderers advising of the outcome of Tender 006/26.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.14	PROPOSED PARTIAL ACQUISITION OF LOT 807 FROM CATALINA REGIONAL COUNCIL (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION** **(Resolution No: CJ119-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Hutton, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:** **1 AUTHORISE the acquisition of 2/12th of Lot 807 from the Catalina Regional Council for Nil consideration;** **2 AUTHORISE the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to execute the Deed of Transfer, under Common Seal, for the transaction;** **3 NOTE that the current market value of the land being acquired is $4,750,000 with the City's acquisition having a value of $791,666.**	**15/06/2026** CRC have been notified and are in discussion with OCEO to arrange a suitable time for signing of the documents		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.15	TENDER 008/26 PROVISION OF CLEANING SERVICES FOR BUILDINGS AND PUBLIC TOILETS (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ120-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council ACCEPTS:** **1 the tender submitted by BrightMark Group Pty Ltd for Separable Portion 1 (North) for the provision of cleaning services for buildings and public toilets as specified in Tender 008/26 for a period of three years, at the submitted schedule of rates, with any price variations subject to the percentage change in the Perth CPI (All Groups);** **2 the tender submitted by Uniting Global Pty Ltd for Separable Portion 2 (South) for the provision of cleaning services for buildings and public toilets as specified in Tender 008/26 for a period of three years, at the submitted schedule of rates, with any price variations subject to the percentage change in the Perth CPI (All Groups).** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**25/06/2026** The letter of acceptance was issued on 27 May 2026 to BrightMark Group Pty Ltd accepting the offer submitted for the provision of cleaning services for buildings and public toilets Separable Portion 1 (North) for a period of three years at the submitted schedule of rates. The letter of acceptance was issued on 27 May 2026 to Uniting Global Pty Ltd accepting the offer submitted for the provision of cleaning services for buildings and public toilets Separable Portion 2 (South) for a period of three years at the submitted schedule of rates. Letters were also issued 27 May 2026 advising the unsuccessful tenderers of the outcome of Tender 008/26.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	12.16	PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT OF NATIVE VEGETATION BY EXTERNAL AGENCIES (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ121-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Chester, SECONDED Cr Count that Council:** **1 NOTES that the City encourages the retention and rehabilitation of native vegetation by external agencies through ongoing advocacy and input into state policies and strategies;** **2 NOTES the City's extensive and sustained advocacy to Main Roads WA during the Mitchell Freeway Widening Works. This advocacy included formal objections, site inspections, and ongoing negotiations. The following actions were undertaken by the City to minimise the loss of native vegetation and safeguard City-managed public open space, addressing unauthorised clearing and ensuring appropriate rehabilitation, revegetation and maintenance outcomes. Throughout the delivery of works, the City's environmental, amenity and community expectations were clearly represented to the State;** **3 NOTES that the City will continue to advocate for protection and enhancement of native vegetation by external agencies.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)**	**09/06/2026** Councils decisions are noted.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo. **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 1.1	REDEVELOPMENT OF THE WHITFORD LIBRARY AND WHITFORD SENIOR CITIZENS CENTRE (WARD - SOUTH-WEST)	Not yet started	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ122-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council:** **1 AGREES not to progress with a redevelopment of the Whitford Library or Whitford Senior Citizens' Centre located on Banks Avenue, Hillarys at this time;** **2 REVIEWS in two years (or as suitable) progressing and funding the redevelopment of the Whitford Library or Whitford Senior Citizens' Centre, including through advocacy at State and Federal elections.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**15/06/2026** A further report will be listed for the Major Projects and Finance Committee in mid-2028 in accordance with part 2 above.	14-06-2028	
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 2.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S THREE YEARLY REVIEW - RISK MANAGEMENT, INTERNAL CONTROL AND LEGISLATIVE COMPLIANCE (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ123-05/26)** **MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Vinciullo that Council NOTES the results of the Chief Executive Officer's three yearly review of the appropriateness and effectiveness of the City's systems and procedures in relation to risk management, internal control and legislative compliance, in accordance with Regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996, forming Attachment 1 to this Report.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0) by Exception Resolution after consideration of Item 13.2.2.** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**05/06/2026** Report noted, no further action required.		
26-05-2026	26 May 2026 - Ordinary Meeting of Council	13 2.2	APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT MEMBERS TO THE AUDIT, RISK AND IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION / COUNCIL RESOLUTION (Resolution No: CJ124-05/26)** **MOVED Cr Raftis, SECONDED Mayor Kingston that Council:** **1 CONSIDERS the short-listed candidates for the selection and appointment of one Independent Presiding Member and one Independent Deputy of the Presiding Member for the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee, as required from 1 July 2026;** **2 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to contact the short-listed candidates to confirm their ongoing availability for consideration as either the Independent Presiding Member or Independent Deputy of the Presiding Member of the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee, effective from 1 July 2026:**	**24/06/2026** Report 12.5 (Establishment of Council-created committee and appointment of representatives) presented to Council meeting on 23 June 2026.		

Meeting Date	Document	Item No.	Item	Status	Action Required	Action Taken	Due Date	Completed (Overdue)
					2.1 Candidate A **2.2 Candidate D** **2.3 Candidate F** **2.4 Candidate G** **2.5 Candidate H** **3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to arrange appropriate administrative support to the interview panel, comprising Cr Raftis (current Presiding Member of the Audit and Risk Committee), Mayor, and Cr Count (current members of the Audit and Risk Committee);** **4 NOTES that a report on the preferred candidates will be presented to Council for endorsement at its meeting on 23 June 2026, to enable the establishment of the new Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee, as required from 1 July 2026.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (13/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gould, Cr Hill, Cr Hutton, Cr May, Cr Mercer, Cr O'Neill, Cr Pizzey, Cr Raftis and Cr Vinciullo.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			
8-06-2026	8 June 2026 - CEO Performance Review Committee	8.1	CONFIDENTIAL - PROGRESS REPORT - FORMER CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PERFORMANCE REVIEW (WARD - ALL)	Completed	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr Chester that the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Committee NOTES the progress towards the Key Performance Indicators for the former Chief Executive Officer for the period 1 January 2026 to 31 March 2026.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (6/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Hutton, Cr May and Cr Mercer.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**	**24/06/2026** Noting resolution. No further action required.		
8-06-2026	8 June 2026 - CEO Performance Review Committee	8.2	CONFIDENTIAL - CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER - KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS 2026-27 (WARD - ALL)	Not yet started	**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION MOVED Mayor Kingston, SECONDED Cr May that the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Committee NOTES the 2026-27 Key Performance Indicators for the Chief Executive Officer for the period 1 July 2026 to 30 June 2027; and proposal to initiate the development of a performance review process.** **The Motion was Put and CARRIED (6/0)** **In favour of the Motion: Mayor Kingston, Cr Chester, Cr Count, Cr Hutton, Cr May and Cr Mercer.** **Against the Motion: Nil.**			

DATE: THURSDAY, 4 JUNE 2026
TIME: 6:30 PM
LOCATION: CITY OF STIRLING, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 25 CEDRIC STREET, STIRLING

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

Special Meeting

Minutes

mrc.wa.gov.au

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

NOTICE OF MEETING

Councillors of the Mindarie Regional Council are advised that an Special Council Meeting will be held on Thursday, 4 June 2026 commencing at 6:30 PM - City of Stirling, Council Chambers, 25 Cedric Street, STIRLING.

The agenda pertaining to the meeting follows.
Your attendance is respectfully requested.

Yours faithfully



ADNANA ARAPOVIC
Chief Executive Officer

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL – MEMBERSHIP

Cr S Proud, JP (Stephanie) - Chair	City of Stirling
Cr K Vernon (Karen) Deputy Chair	Town of Victoria Park
Cr G Mack (Gary)	Town of Cambridge
Cr A Hill (Adrian)	City of Joondalup
Cr R Fishwick, JP (Russ)	City of Joondalup
Cr L Gobbert, JP (Liam)	City of Perth
Cr M Dudek (Michael)	City of Stirling
Cr J Ferrante (Joe)	City of Stirling
Cr C Hatton (Chris)	City of Stirling
Cr A Xamon (Alison)	City of Vincent
Cr P Bedworth (Phil)	City of Wanneroo
Cr J Wright, JP (Jordan)	City of Wanneroo

NB: Although some Councils have nominated alternate members, it is a requirement that a Council carries a specific resolution for each occasion that the alternate member is to act.

Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) constituent members: Town of Cambridge; City of Joondalup; City of Perth; City of Stirling; Town of Victoria Park; City of Vincent; City of Wanneroo

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Chair declared the meeting open at 6.34 pm.

2. ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Member Council	Councillor Present
Town of Cambridge	Cr Gary Mack
City of Joondalup	Cr Adrian Hill (On-line)
City of Stirling	Cr Michael Dudek Cr Joe Ferrante Cr Chris Hatton Cr Stephanie Proud, JP (Chair)
Town of Victoria Park	Cr Karen Vernon (Deputy Chair)
City of Vincent	Cr Alison Xamon (On line)

Apologies

Cr Liam Gobbert, JP - City of Perth
Cr Russ Fishwick, JP - City of Joondalup
Cr Phil Bedworth - City of Wanneroo

Approved Leave of Absence

Cr Jordan Wright, JP - City of Wanneroo

MRC OFFICERS PRESENT
Chief Executive Officer Adnana Arapovic Human Resources Manager Sonia Cherico Executive Assistant Deborah Toward IT Engineer Reece Vellios

MRC Observers

Nil

Visitors

Nil

Members of Public

Nil

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Cr Karen Vernon: I declare an Interest that may affect impartiality in relation to Item 11.1 - Appointment of the CEO on the agenda because one of the candidates interviewed for the position is known to me

Cr Stephanie Proud: I declare an Interest that may affect impartiality in relation to Item 11.1 - Appointment of the CEO on the agenda because one of the candidates interviewed for the position is known to me

Cr Joe Ferrante: I declare an Interest that may affect impartiality in relation to Item 11.1 - Appointment of the CEO on the agenda because one of the candidates interviewed for the position is known to me

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

5. ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE PRESIDING PERSON

Nil

6. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORTS

Nil

8. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

9. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

10. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

11. MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

MOTION

The meeting was moved into Closed session at 6.37 pm

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Mack

Carried - 8/0

For: Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon

Against: None

11.1 Appointment of new Chief Executive Officer

MOTION

Committee Recommendation - That Council:

1. **RECEIVES the information as provided by the Selection Report of McArthur Recruitment Consultants in Confidential Attachment 1;**
2. **AGREES with the recommendation of the CEO Recruitment Committee that Candidate D is considered to be the most suitably qualified and experienced applicant to be appointed as the Chief Executive Officer of Mindarie Regional Council;**
3. **APPOINTS Candidate D as the Chief Executive Officer of Mindarie Regional Council subject to satisfactory completion of background checks including verification of qualifications and references.**
4. **APPROVES the Chair of the CEO Recruitment Committee making an offer of employment in accordance with the terms of the Chief Executive Officer Contract of Employment in Confidential Attachment 2, to Candidate D, within the salary range agreed by Council, and to negotiate terms up to the amount of the Total Reward Package in accordance with Salaries and Allowances Tribunal Local Government Determination Band 3 range to the values as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.**
5. **This report and all attachment remain confidential under s5.23 (2)(b) of the Local Government Act 1995 as the report deals with matters relating to the recruitment of the CEO.**
6. **Thanks all the applicants who expressed an interest in the position of Chief Executive Officer of the Mindarie Regional Council.**
7. **Thanks Catherine Carrol of McArthur Recruitment Consultants for the assistance and support in the recruitment process;**

8. Thanks Mr Jonathan Seth, Independent external Committee member for his invaluable contribution to the work of the Committee during the recruitment.

ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REQUIRED

Moved: Cr Vernon|Seconded: Cr Ferrante
Carried - 8/0
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*
Against: *None*

12. NEXT MEETING

MOTION

The meeting reopened from Closed session at 06:45 pm

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Mack
Carried - 8/0
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*
Against: *None*

13. CLOSURE

The Chair closed the meeting at 6.49 pm and thanked the City of Stirling for their hospitality and use of their meeting facilities.

DATE: THURSDAY, 11 JUNE 2026
TIME: 6:00 PM
LOCATION: CITY OF STIRLING, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 25 CEDRIC STREET, STIRLING

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

Ordinary Council Meeting

Minutes

mrc.wa.gov.au

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

NOTICE OF MEETING

Councillors of the Mindarie Regional Council are advised that an Ordinary Council Meeting will be held on Thursday, 11 June 2026 commencing at 6:00 PM - City of Stirling, Council Chambers, 25 Cedric Street, STIRLING.

The agenda pertaining to the meeting follows.
Your attendance is respectfully requested.

Yours faithfully



ADNANA ARAPOVIC
Chief Executive Officer

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL – MEMBERSHIP

Cr S Proud, JP (Stephanie) - Chair	City of Stirling
Cr K Vernon (Karen) Deputy Chair	Town of Victoria Park
Cr G Mack (Gary)	Town of Cambridge
Cr A Hill (Adrian)	City of Joondalup
Cr R Fishwick (Russ)	City of Joondalup
Cr L Gobbert, JP (Liam)	City of Perth
Cr M Dudek (Michael)	City of Stirling
Cr J Ferrante (Joe)	City of Stirling
Cr C Hatton (Chris)	City of Stirling
Cr A Xamon (Alison)	City of Vincent
Cr P Bedworth (Phil)	City of Wanneroo
Cr J Wright, JP (Jordan)	City of Wanneroo

NB: Although some Councils have nominated alternate members, it is a requirement that a Council carries a specific resolution for each occasion that the alternate member is to act.

Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) constituent members: Town of Cambridge; City of Joondalup; City of Perth; City of Stirling; Town of Victoria Park; City of Vincent; City of Wanneroo

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Chair declared the meeting open at 6.03 pm.

2. ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Member Council	Councillor Present
Town of Cambridge	Cr Gary Mack
City of Joondalup	Cr Adrian Hill Cr Russ Fishwick, JP
City of Perth	Cr Liam Gobbert, JP
City of Stirling	Cr Michael Dudek Cr Joe Ferrante Cr Chris Hatton Cr Stephanie Proud, JP (Chair)
Town of Victoria Park	Cr Karen Vernon (Deputy Chair)
City of Vincent	Cr Alison Xamon
City of Wanneroo	Cr Phil Bedworth Cr Jordan Wright, JP

Apologies
Nil

Approved Leave of Absence
Nil

MRC OFFICERS PRESENT
Adnana Arapovic, Chief Executive Officer Ron Back, Acting Executive Manager Corporate Services Chris Revill, Operations Manager Peter Bentley, Governance Advisor Deborah Toward, Executive Assistant Sonia Cherico, HR Manager Reece Vellios, IT Engineer Curtis Mill, IT Specialist

MRC Observers
Nil

Visitors
Mr Graham Castledine, Castledine Legal and Mediation

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

Number of Public in attendance
3

The following Member Council Observers were also present.

Member Council	Observers
Town of Cambridge	M Lindon Mellor
City of Joondalup	Mr Nico Claassen Mr Mathew Pennington Mr Mitchell Ferris
City of Stirling	Mr Andrew Murphy
Town of Victoria Park	Ms Alison Luobikis
City of Vincent	Mr Peter Varris Ms Aaron Griffiths
City of Wanneroo	Mr John Gault

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Cr Alison Xamon - Impartiality Interest - Item 9.4 - impartiality due to participation in Catalina Regional Council

Cr Russ Fishwick - Impartiality Interest - Item 14.1 - impartiality as one of the tenderers carried out some works for me on a property I own

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Ms M O'Byrne

Q1: How much has it cost the MRC in total, workforce, hire, site costs, etc? And I don't know all the things that you would put in.
To pre-prepare the leachate management system, LMA, the evaporative storage beds in advance of the DWER works application decision being known.
A1: CEO took the question on notice

Q2: What was the MRC rationale for such a huge expense before the DWER decision was known?
A2: CEO took the question on notice

Ms I Martin, Carramar

Q1: I asked a question at a previous MRC meeting on the 26th of February 2026 re the facility in Neerabup, as to what type of filter will be included to prevent the health issues that residents of Carramar and Banksia Grove separate when it was

last operational? You were unable to discuss this, as you were in consultation for tenders for this facility and you didn't have a reopening date. Can you now update what is happening with this facility and what filter are MRC requiring the tender winner to install to protect residents' health. Please do not defer to DWER as it is an MRC that will be awarding the contract, not DWER.
A1: CEO took the question on notice.

Q2: Is the MRC aware that Councillor Paul Miles has advised East Central Ward residents that while serving on the MRC, as a council representative, that they came to the conclusion that the city of Wanneroo should remove itself from the regional council structure. He advised that his view is that the City of Wanneroo is now large enough to determine our own waste resource future rather than be controlled by councillors from other local governments. Is this a conflict of interest when he sits as a delegate on the MRC by publicly declaring that the City of Wanneroo become a competitor. And if so, was the declaration of interest declared?
A2: CEO took the question on notice.

4.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE - SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING - 30 APRIL 2026

Ms M O'Byrne, Kinross

Q1: What can the MRC do to put local odour afflicted community members from Wanneroo and Joondalup in pole position in MRC deliberations in this matter of waste delivery exemption?

CEO response:
Council makes decisions based on what is in the best interest of the Mindarie Regional District. At Special Council Meeting on 30 April 2026 Council resolved the following:
That Council:

1. *GRANTS an exemption from the provisions of clause 4.A1 of the Constitution Agreement from 1 July 2026 onwards, to any Mindarie Regional Council (**MRC**) constituent municipality that notifies MRC in writing by 30 June 2026 that it has formally endorsed all associated waste to energy agreement documents presented to it by MRC in 2026;*
2. *DECLARES that any constituent municipality that has not notified MRC by 30 June 2026 in accordance with point 1 above, will not be exempt from the requirements of clause 4.A1 of the Constitution Agreement;*
3. *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to report to the July 2026 Council meeting as to the notifications received from constituent municipalities by 30 June 2026.*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

4.2 PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE - ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING - 30 APRIL 2026

Ms O'Byrne, Kinross

Q1: I would like to ask that the MRC give some money to the community to allow an independent assessment of the proposals before the community - I understand that the MRC has money that it can make available to community.

CEO response:
The MRC has a Council Policy CP10 (Donations – Financial Assistance/Support), which is intended to support incorporated not-for-profit community groups or schools. Assistance under this policy is provided as a non-cash donation, limited to waste disposal services at the MRC Weighbridge to a maximum value of $500. The donation is provided in the form of a letter to be used at the weighbridge.
The policy does not provide for cash contributions and does not extend to funding advocacy activities, independent reviews, or assessments commissioned in relation to MRC operations.

Q2: Why would this regional council go to Waste to Energy, leaving the community stranded with a landfill that can't be filled as fast as it should be?

CEO response:
MRC has over the past three years been working to deliver the outcomes set in its Strategic Community Plan (SCP) which specifies a number of actions designed to advance the resource recovery outcomes of all seven member councils in alignment with each of their respective Waste Plans. It is important to note that all seven member councils' Waste Plans align with the Western Australian state government's Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2030 (WARR Strategy) and as such are approved by the state government.

Specifically, MRC's SCP states the following *Objective 2*:

- Position MRC to provide world class waste management options

Objective 2 has a number of *Actions*, one of which is:

- Identify and access infrastructure for the resource recovery of member councils' materials in alignment with the state waste strategy

To summarise, MRC is delivering upon its SCP which aligns with both the stated wishes of all seven member councils and the state government's WARR Strategy.

5. ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE PRESIDING PERSON

The CEO has invited Mr Castledine to join us this evening. If the meeting moves into legal matters, the meeting will move into closed session, to the extent necessary, to discuss legal advice.
Mr Castledine, welcome to the meeting.

6. APPLICATION FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

MOTION
Cr Hatton application for leave of absence on 23 July 2026
Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Xamon
Carried - 12/0
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against: *None*

MOTION
Cr Ferrante application for leave of absence on 23 July 2026
Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Xamon
Carried - 12/0
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

PETITIONS

1. Ms M O'Byrne, Kinross. A petition was received from Ms M O'Byrne and will be presented to the Next Ordinary Council Meeting in accordance with clause 6.10 of the *Mindarie Regional Council Meeting Procedures Local Law 2020.*

DEPUTATIONS

1. Ms M O'Byrne, Kinross

This Deputation is a tale of compare and contrast and I am referencing two landfills operated by Local Governments, I believe. To demonstrate the risks by MRC running Tamala Park.
The comparisons are relative (noting I am not a lawyer):

The Victorian landfill opened in 1992 and in closed 2005. Closure wasn't forced. It spent just 13-years in operation, but in that short time managed to place much costly environmental degradation into the local population of Brooklands Green housing estate built three years after the landfill was commissioned and just a couple of hundred metres from the active landfill.

Methane started entering homes post landfill closure – this is proven.

- The Victorian Ombudsman's report into this negative environmental situation concluded that the local government failed to comply with the regulator's conditions of approval in respect to the provision of a leachate collection system.
- Additionally, despite its statutory obligations, the local government was 'consistently motivated by financial considerations, at the expense of the environment', one being the cost of an impervious liner ($500,000 in 1992).
- Then The buffer zone was reduced in 2004 with further development of the housing estate.
- 2005 – the landfill ceased operation.
- and by 2006 the first signs of escaping landfill gas appeared.
- In 2007 the EPA (Victorian) issued a post-closure Pollution Abatement Notice on the local government.
- By August 2008 methane gas was detected in a home in the estate, and emergency management measures were put in place.

The Ombudsman report, supported the community and the subsequent report on what had happened was called: "false economy costs local government: "Brookland Greens landfill – the management of ongoing risks" this is the Case Study and there is also a BBC Report.

There are few comparisons in the decision making around Tamala Park landfill; opened in 1991; originally set up by Wanneroo, Stirling and Perth Councils. Wanneroo and Perth became new councils and now seven Councils are now in proportional control at the Tamala Park landfill location – and five of these councils represented by you, elected members, are intentionally putting off closure of the malodorous Tamala Park Landfill by every legitimate means available to you. I can only congratulate the Cities of Joondalup and Wanneroo for acting with the Odour-afflicted communities in mind.

The 30 April 2026 decision to obtain Red Bin Waste Exemptions in place – and the possibility of going Waste to Energy from the end of this month - will keep the disgusting landfill open until at least the early 2030's and in which time our homes may become uninhabitable because of landfill gas intrusion – as per the Victorian Model with Methane plus other gaseous derivatives.

The elected members should be basing their decision on 'hard science'– I beg the elected members to remember this, because the community is also considering their own 'hard science' options.

The MRC will not fund any independent legal advice for the community; has not held any meeting to an appropriate level of real communication with the community, and continues to believe the community is 'in absentia'... but it isn't.

An elected member who seemed certain at the 30th April Special Meeting - that all the member councils going to FOGO would either alleviate or cure the stink. I've invited that member to an 'organised taster' of what the communities are living with because of the 35-year old landfill. It matters not one what any member said at their own electorate doors at election time – if your electorate is in Clear Air Territory versus The Stink – then there's no comparison.

The Tamala Park Legal case (by no means complete)

- MRC soil pollution / contamination is now impacting even the development of part of the Catalina Housing enterprise.
- The 2025 Talis Report indicated that Catalina potable water was at some bores - contaminated.
- The whole TP site is contaminated / SEE the EPN 28 November for the DWER Report on the Conditions applied to the site
- Landfill gasses are leaving the site, including Methane.
- Leachate is compromising the liners where they exist and with increased Hydraulic Pressure the Leachate Plume has grown in the Gnangara Mound
- MRC now has to undertake ground water monitoring because the first phase of the of the Tamala Park Landfill Thirty-five years ago was 'conducted' in an 'unlined cell – despite community opposition.
- This means that landfilling took place here also without the insertion first of an impermeable liner. Leachate Management failure was inbuilt into Tamala Park Operation from the beginning. Therefore, in this part of the landfill, it has not been possible to entirely contain and manage leachate.

- and both the MRC and the Regulator, DWER, are culpable in this. For the MRC one can majorly assume that financial considerations were paramount in their decision making – it was a risk-taking decision and appropriate warnings were given.
- A community letter asking why the rubbish tip wasn't doing all it could to reduce its environmental impacts – asking for Methane capture – that was Steve Magyar, who later became an elected member of Joondalup Council.
- Another letter to the Wanneroo Chief Clerk that the 500-metre buffer zone could lead to odour issues and a justifiable community push to close down the facility sometime in the future.
- Then in an article in the Wanneroo Times, July 1998 – there's a very newsworthy story that an EPA five-year environmental Plan is approved for Tamala Park – and I quote – 'Another feature of the plan is a leachate recovery system which will remove leachate from groundwater already run through the waste'. The landfill had been in operation then for seven years without a leachate collection system in place.
- And by that time the leachate plume was already present and polluting the Gnangara Mound.
- Amenity to the local housing was already compromised Before the Catalina Regional Council commenced house building operations in 2006.
- Why wasn't the leachate recovery system instituted from the outset?

The odour-affected residents Might seem are powerless in the situation forced on us by a Regional Council - you may think that we may seem unable to make any real impact on.

MOTION
To extend the time for the deputation
Moved: Cr Dudek|Seconded: Cr Vernon
Carried - 11/1
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against: *Cr Gobbert*

Ms O'Byrne Deputation continued
There re are some certainties:
Regarding MRC's Work Application - APP-0030215 – Southern Piggyback Landfill Cell & Leachate Management Area (Reference: W3096/2025/1). In localities near the tip, we do not want acres of Leachate evaporative and storage beds in close proximity to Kinross homes and we will fight tooth and nail to prevent it, even if the MRC seems to be pushing the DWER Decision in that direction moving forward with the leachate beds and hoping to have an impact that way.

The liability of local governments for their failure to exercise statutory powers or responsibilities is not settled: Despite this, in some special cases, local governments

and other public authorities may be liable, depending on the particular facts of each case.

There is no comprehensive rule that can be used to reconcile these conflicting cases, but some key propositions can be drawn from this High Court decision, namely that:

ull; the consequences were foreseeable
ull; the local government was aware of the problem
ull; the local government had the powers to deal with the situation

8. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

8.1 Special Council Meeting - 30 April 2026

MOTION

That the minutes of the Special Meeting of Council held on 30 April 2026 be confirmed as a true record of the proceedings.

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Vernon

Carried - 10/2

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*

Against: *Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

8.2 Ordinary Council Meeting - 30 April 2026

MOTION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 30 April 2026 be confirmed as a true record of the proceedings.

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Xamon

Carried - 11/1

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *Cr Bedworth*

8.3 Special Council Meeting - 04 June 2026

MOTION

That the minutes of the Special Meeting of Council held on 04 June 2026 be confirmed as a true record of the proceedings.

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Xamon

Carried - 11/1

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *Cr Bedworth*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

9. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORTS

9.1 Financial Statements for the month ended 30 April 2026

MOTION

That Council: Receive the Financial Statements set out in the Attachment for the month ended 30 April 2026.

Moved: Cr Ferrante|Seconded: Cr Vernon

Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

9.2 List of Accounts paid for the month ended 30 April 2026

MOTION

That Council: Note the list of accounts paid under delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer for the month ended 30 April 2026, in accordance with regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulation 1996.

Moved: Cr Ferrante|Seconded: Cr Mack

Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

9.3 Annual Budget 2026/2027

MOTION

That Council:

1. ADOPT the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget including the following:

1.1 Statement of Comprehensive Income

That the Statement of Comprehensive Income by Nature in the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.2 Statement of Financial Activity

That the Statement of Financial Activity in the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.3 Statement of Cash Flows

That the Statement of Cash Flows in the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.4 Notes to and Forming part of the Annual Budget 2026/27

That the Notes to and Forming Part of the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.5 Reserves

It is proposed a name change and purpose for the Site Rehabilitation Reserve.

That the Site Rehabilitation Reserve be renamed the Landfill Post Closure Reserve.

Purpose: to fund all aftercare of the Tamala Park landfill, and associated infrastructure, post-closure.

1.6 Capital works program 2026/27

That the Capital Works Program in the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.7 Operating Management budget
That the Budget by each division in the Draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

1.8 Schedule of Fees and Charges 2026/27
That the Schedule of Fees and Charges in the draft 2026/27 Annual Budget.

2. Financial Reporting and Materiality
As per Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 Section 34 (5) each financial year, a Local Government is to adopt a percentage or value, calculated in accordance with the AAS (Australian Accounting Standards), to be used in financial statements for reporting material variances. For the purpose of materiality in financial reports for the 2026/27 financial year, variances shall be those equal to or greater than 10% or $50,000.

3. Long Term Financial Plan (LTFP) 2026/27-2035/36
That the Long Term Financial Plan (LTFP) 2026/27-2035/36 Scenario be endorsed.

Moved: Cr Hatton|Seconded: Cr Vernon
Carried - 9/3
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*
Against: *Cr Fishwick, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

9.4 Catalina Regional Council Water Allocation and Land Transfer

Cr Alison Xamon - Impartiality Interest - Item 9.4 - impartiality due to participation in Catalina Regional Council

MOTION
Moved: Cr Dudek|Seconded: Cr Vernon
That Council:

1. Authorise the trading of 2,500kl (at market rate) of the Tamala Park permanent groundwater license allocation with the Catalina Regional Council.
2. Agrees, in principle, to transfer management of the Catalina Central Balance lot (26.885ha) from Catalina Regional Council to Mindarie Regional Council subject to resolution of outstanding matters:
    - Environmental Consultants gap analysis remediation actions
    - Final resurfacing suitable for urban development
    - Surface water management plan for maintenance of Buffer Area and impact to future urban development
    - Cleaning agreement for waste site materials

- Infrastructure conditions - boundary fencing and bores
- Relevant approvals, licenses required for site maintenance and outstanding regulatory conditions
- Management cost and maintenance
- Clarification on the status of roadside vegetation maintenance (south Aviator Boulevard).
- Buffer Area security bond as applicable
- Transfer of land fees
- Any other liability associated with rehabilitation and transfer

3. Requests the CEO to provide a further report to Council once all conditions have been met, seeking approval for the transfer of Catalina Central Balance Lot from Catalina Regional Council.
4. Requests the CEO to inform Catalina Regional Council of Council's decision.

MEETING NOTE:
Cr Wright requested that the motion be split so that water allocation can be voted on separately from the land transfer (point 1 is voted for separately and points 2, 3 and 4 be voted together).
The Chair agreed and advised the meeting that the motion would be put in two parts as follows:

Point 1 was put:
That Council:

1. Authorise the trading of 2,500kl (at market rate) of the Tamala Park permanent groundwater license allocation with the Catalina Regional Council.

Carried - 11/1
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against: *Cr Ferrante*

Points 2, 3 and 4 were put:
That Council:
2. Agrees, in principle, to transfer management of the Catalina Central Balance lot (26.885ha) from Catalina Regional Council to Mindarie Regional Council subject to resolution of outstanding matters:

- Environmental Consultants gap analysis remediation actions
- Final resurfacing suitable for urban development
- Surface water management plan for maintenance of Buffer Area and impact to future urban development

- Cleaning agreement for waste site materials
- Infrastructure conditions - boundary fencing and bores
- Relevant approvals, licenses required for site maintenance and outstanding regulatory conditions
- Management cost and maintenance
- Clarification on the status of roadside vegetation maintenance (south Aviator Boulevard).
- Buffer Area security bond as applicable
- Transfer of land fees
- Any other liability associated with rehabilitation and transfer

3. Requests the CEO to provide a further report to Council once all conditions have been met, seeking approval for the transfer of Catalina Central Balance Lot from Catalina Regional Council.

4. Requests the CEO to inform Catalina Regional Council of Council's decision.

Moved: Cr Dudek|Seconded: Cr Vernon
Carried - 10/2

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*

Against: *Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

9.5 Review of Council Policies and Delegations to the Chief Executive Officer

MOTION

That Council:

1. Endorses the Delegations made to the Chief Executive Officer included in Attachment 3
2. Endorses the changes to the Council Policies included in Attachments 1
3. Adopts New Policy CP25 Artificial Intelligence (AI) Usage Policy included in Attachment 1
4. Adopts New Policy CP26 Privacy Policy included in Attachment 1.

Moved: Cr Gobbert|Seconded: Cr Ferrante
Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

9.6 Model Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates

MOTION

That Council:

1. Adopts the revised Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates, as provided in Attachment 2 to this Report;

Moved: Cr Xamon|Seconded: Cr Ferrante
Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

10. MEMBERS INFORMATION BULLETIN

10.1 Members Information Bulletin (MIB) No 98

MOTION

That Council:

Receives the Members Information Bulletin No. 98.

Moved: Cr Vernon|Seconded: Cr Hatton

Note: Cr Michael Dudek *left the meeting at 6:56pm.*

Note: Cr Michael Dudek *re- entered the meeting at 06:59 pm.*

Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

11. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

11.1 Notice of Motion - Cr Russ Fishwick

Moved: Cr Fishwick|Seconded: Cr Hill

MOTION

That Council:

1. NOTES the community concern in respect to the operation of the Tamala Landfill site, and in particular the odour management issues that have emerged in recent years;
2. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer provides a schedule for updating MRC strategic planning documents that have not been revised since the odour management issues emerged, including the Strategic Community Plan, and to report to Council at the July 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting.
3. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer provides clarity to Council regarding any requirement to "slow down" waste deliveries to Tamala Park Landfill Site to facilitate orderly management of the final stages of landfill prior to closure and to report to Council at the July 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting.

Reasons

1. To acknowledge the community concerns, in particular the concerns from residents living in suburbs surrounding the Tamala Park landfill site regarding the odour management issues that have emerged in recent years.
2. Current MRC strategic planning is currently based on plans and documents that were established prior to emergence of the odour management issues, and prior to Environmental Protection Notice EPN202405 being issued to MRC by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation. As such it is considered that MRC strategic planning and documents should be updated as soon as practical, in order to reflect current MRC priorities relating to Tamala Park landfill site.
3. Clarity is required regarding the operational assumptions underpinning any requirement to reduce daily waste delivery volumes in the final months of landfill operations leading to closure of the site. In the event that DWER approves the piggy-back liner installation the landfill site would likely continue operations for several years to come. In that case it seems unlikely that a reduction in daily waste deliveries would be required during the next financial year. Clarity on this matter is required to assist with strategic planning

Proposed Amendment Cr Vernon:
Amend the date in points 2 and 3 of the recommendation from July to December 2026.

Reason for proposed amendment:
To ensure that there is adequate time for MRC to provide the reports requested, in particular having regard to the anticipated commencement of the new CEO, and having regard to the DWER applications still remaining pending for approval, which will impact the responses requested.

The Chair facilitated a discussion and the mover and the seconder agreed the amend the motion.

The motion was put:

1. NOTES the community concern in respect to the operation of the Tamala Landfill site, and in particular the odour management issues that have emerged in recent years;
2. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer provides a schedule for updating MRC strategic planning documents that have not been revised since the odour management issues emerged, including the Strategic Community Plan, and to report to Council at the December 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting.
3. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer provides clarity to Council regarding any requirement to "slow down" waste deliveries to Tamala Park Landfill Site to facilitate orderly management of the final stages of landfill prior to closure and to report to Council at the December 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting

Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

11.2 Notice of Motion - Cr Adrian Hill

Moved: Cr Hill | Seconded: Cr Fishwick

MOTION

That Council:

1. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer provides Council with a report at the September 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting, outlining the earliest feasible closure date for the Tamala Park Landfill site that achieves a satisfactory environmental outcome, consistent with the guidance and expectations of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER);
2. REQUESTS the Mindarie Regional Council (Temporary) Chief Executive Officer to include in the report:

a. Assessment of operational, environmental, regulatory, community, financial, performance, risk, constraint, and approval factors influencing the earliest feasible closure date.

b. Scenario analysis of closure timing, including implications of continued waste acceptance for landfill lifespan, environmental outcomes, rehabilitation requirements, long-term liabilities, and community expectations.

c. Advice on closure planning and compliance requirements, including capping, rehabilitation, environmental monitoring, and post-closure management in accordance with DWER guidance, licence conditions, and applicable environmental standards.

d. Identification of strategic, corporate, operational, procurement, transition, and contingency requirements to enable closure, including required plans, frameworks, policies, contracts, infrastructure, resourcing, and any Council decisions or actions.

e. Assessment of landfill operational performance and trends, including any decline in efficiency or environmental management effectiveness, and implications for ongoing operations, remaining capacity, and closure timing.

f. Assessment of options to accelerate preparation and completion of the Post-Closure Management Plan, including timing, resourcing, regulatory requirements, and opportunities to advance its finalisation ahead of closure.

Reasons

The purpose of this Notice of Motion is to ensure Council receives clear and comprehensive advice regarding the earliest feasible closure of the Tamala

Park Landfill while achieving satisfactory environmental outcomes in alignment with the guidance and regulatory expectations of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER).

The Tamala Park Landfill is approaching the later stages of its operational life and continues to represent a significant environmental, financial, and strategic asset and liability for the Mindarie Regional Council. Decisions regarding the timing of closure have long-term implications for environmental management, rehabilitation obligations, future land use, operational costs, and community expectations.

In recent years, there has been significant and sustained community concern regarding ongoing landfill operations at the Tamala Park site, including environmental impacts, amenity issues, and the long-term future of the facility. This growing community unrest has contributed to increased regulatory scrutiny and engagement by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER), with the likelihood that closure planning and expectations are becoming increasingly imminent.

In addition, there are concerns around the operational performance and efficiency of the landfill in recent years, particularly in relation to odour management, highlighting the need for Council to carefully consider the ongoing viability, associated risks, and long-term implications of continued operations. These concerns have implications for environmental management, operational effectiveness, and cost efficiency, as well as the sustainable management of remaining airspace and rehabilitation obligations.

This Notice of Motion seeks to ensure that Council is provided with a detailed assessment of the earliest practicable closure pathway, including the operational and regulatory requirements necessary to achieve closure in a manner consistent with contemporary environmental standards and DWER guidance. This includes consideration of landfill capping, rehabilitation, leachate and gas management, ongoing environmental monitoring, and post-closure obligations.

Importantly, the Motion recognises that closure timing should not be considered solely from an operational or financial perspective, but must also account for environmental risk reduction, community expectations, and the long-term management of liabilities associated with continued landfill

operations. Earlier closure may reduce exposure to environmental risks, minimise future rehabilitation complexity, and improve long-term environmental outcomes where appropriately planned and managed.

Given the potential for accelerated regulatory expectations or closure requirements, it is critical that Council is provided with the relevant information and strategic advice necessary to prepare for this scenario in an orderly and responsible manner. This includes ensuring sufficient time for appropriate strategic, corporate, procurement, and operational planning, together with transition arrangements, alternative waste management solutions, contractual considerations, infrastructure requirements, and associated financial and resource planning.

The requested report will assist Council in understanding the constraints, dependencies, approvals, and transitional arrangements associated with landfill closure, together with the implications of continued waste acceptance on landfill lifespan and rehabilitation requirements. This will support informed decision-making regarding future waste management arrangements and strategic planning for the site.

Early visibility of the likely closure pathway will enable Council to proactively manage operational and strategic challenges, minimise disruption to Member Councils and the community, and ensure that environmental and regulatory obligations can be met effectively and within appropriate timeframes.

Overall, this Notice of Motion supports sound governance, prudent environmental management, regulatory alignment, and responsible long-term planning for the orderly closure and rehabilitation of the Tamala Park Landfill.

Proposed Amendment Cr Xamon:
1. Change the date of the report from September 2026 to 'November 2026'
2. Remove "Temporary" as it refers to the Chief Executive Office
3. Add an additional paragraph as follows: 'g. Provide the projected annual maintenance costs for Tamala Park in the event of i) premature closure of the site as opposed to ii) closure of the landfill as per the DWER mandated profile'

Reason for the proposed Amendment
1. The current timeline is too soon and should be moved from September 2026 to November 2026.
2. The work should be undertaken by the new incoming Chief Executive Officer rather than the Temporary Chief Executive Officer
3. Inserting a new point 'g' provides additional supporting information on the projected annual maintenance costs for Tamala Park if the landfill is closed prematurely, rather than to the DWER mandated profile. Councillors need a clear understanding of the financial and environmental implication of prematurely closing the landfill, not to the profile which we have been working towards for years, which we have been told we are required to do, to get optimal environmental efficiency.

The Chair facilitated a discussion and the Mover and the Seconder agreed to accept the proposed amendment and amend the motion

Note: **Cr Joe Ferrante** *left the meeting at 07:19 PM.*
Note: **Cr Joe Ferrante** *re-entered the meeting at 07:21PM.*

Proposed Amendment Cr Vernon
That the date be changed from November 2026 to 'March 2027'
Reasons for proposed Amendment
To facilitate the orderly production of the reports that have been requested

The Chair facilitated a discussion and the Mover and the Seconder agreed to accept the proposed amendment and amend the motion

That Council:
1. REQUESTS that the Mindarie Regional Council **Chief Executive Officer** provides Council with a report at the **March 2027** Ordinary Council Meeting, outlining the earliest feasible closure date for the Tamala Park Landfill site that achieves a satisfactory environmental outcome, consistent with the

guidance and expectations of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER);

2. REQUESTS the Mindarie Regional Council **Chief Executive Officer** to include in the report:

a. Assessment of operational, environmental, regulatory, community, financial, performance, risk, constraint, and approval factors influencing the earliest feasible closure date.

b. Scenario analysis of closure timing, including implications of continued waste acceptance for landfill lifespan, environmental outcomes, rehabilitation requirements, long-term liabilities, and community expectations.

c. Advice on closure planning and compliance requirements, including capping, rehabilitation, environmental monitoring, and post-closure management in accordance with DWER guidance, licence conditions, and applicable environmental standards.

d. Identification of strategic, corporate, operational, procurement, transition, and contingency requirements to enable closure, including required plans, frameworks, policies, contracts, infrastructure, resourcing, and any Council decisions or actions.

e. Assessment of landfill operational performance and trends, including any decline in efficiency or environmental management effectiveness, and implications for ongoing operations, remaining capacity, and closure timing.

f. Assessment of options to accelerate preparation and completion of the Post-Closure Management Plan, including timing, resourcing, regulatory requirements, and opportunities to advance its finalisation ahead of closure.

g. Provide the projected annual maintenance costs for Tamala Park in the event of i) premature closure of the site as opposed to ii) closure of the landfill as per the DWER mandated profile.

Carried - 12/0

For:	*Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against:	*None*

12. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

13. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

14. MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

MOTION

That the meeting is moved into Closed session at 7.34 pm.

1. Closed the meeting to members of the public to consider item 14.1 in accordance with section 5.23 of the Local Government Act 1995.
2. Member Council visitors seated in the gallery to may remain in the meeting.
3. Mr Castledine, Legal Advisor, may remain in the meeting.

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Dudek
Carried - 12/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Against: *None*

14.1 Tender - Waste Disposal and Diversion Services

Cr Russ Fishwick - Impartiality Interest - Item 14.1 - impartiality as one of the tenderers carried out some works for me on a property I own.

MOTION

That Council:

1. Awards non-exclusive contracts to Fernview Environmental Pty Ltd and Western Tip Waste for the provision of waste disposal and diversion services under RFT2526004, as detailed in the confidential evaluation report attached to this report.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to enter into contracts with Fernview Environmental Pty Ltd and Western Tip Waste in accordance with the terms and conditions of RFT2526004.
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to execute all associated contract documentation required to give effect to this resolution.

Moved: Cr Vernon|Seconded: Cr Ferrante
Carried - 10/2

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon*

Against: *Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Fishwick
Procedural Motion

The meeting reopened from Closed session at 7.49 pm.
CARRIED - 12/0
For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Hill, Cr Fishwick, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright, Cr Bedworth*
Against: *None*

The Chair read out the resolution passed behind closed doors.

15. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of Council will be held on the 23 July 2026 at the City of Stirling, commencing at 6.00pm

16. CLOSURE

The Chair closed the meeting at 7.52 pm and thanked the City of Stirling for their hospitality and use of their meeting facilities.

Signed	**Chair**
Dated:	**2026**

DATE: THURSDAY, 18 JUNE 2026
TIME: 7:00 PM
LOCATION: CITY OF STIRLING, THE PARMELIA ROOM, CEDRIC STREET, STIRLING 6021

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

Special Meeting

Minutes

mrc.wa.gov.au

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

NOTICE OF MEETING

Councillors of the Mindarie Regional Council are advised that an Special Council Meeting will be held on Thursday, 18 June 2026 commencing at 7:00 PM - City of Stirling, The Parmelia Room, Cedric Street, Stirling 6021.

The agenda pertaining to the meeting follows.
Your attendance is respectfully requested.

Yours faithfully



ADNANA ARAPOVIC
Chief Executive Officer

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL – MEMBERSHIP

Cr S Proud, JP (Stephanie) - Chair	City of Stirling
Cr K Vernon (Karen) Deputy Chair	Town of Victoria Park
Cr G Mack (Gary)	Town of Cambridge
Cr C Hill (Adrian)	City of Joondalup
Cr R Fishwick, JP (Russ)	City of Joondalup
Cr L Gobbert, JP (Liam)	City of Perth
Cr M Dudek (Michael)	City of Stirling
Cr J Ferrante (Joe)	City of Stirling
Cr C Hatton (Chris)	City of Stirling
Cr A Xamon (Alison)	City of Vincent
Cr P Bedworth (Phil)	City of Wanneroo
Cr J Wright, JP (Jordan)	City of Wanneroo

NB: Although some Councils have nominated alternate members, it is a requirement that a Council carries a specific resolution for each occasion that the alternate member is to act.

Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) constituent members: Town of Cambridge; City of Joondalup; City of Perth; City of Stirling; Town of Victoria Park; City of Vincent; City of Wanneroo

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Chair declared the meeting open at 7.01 pm.

2. ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Member Council	Councillor Present
Town of Cambridge	Cr Gary Mack
City of Perth	Cr Liam Gobbert, JP *MS Teams*
City of Stirling	Cr Michael Dudek Cr Joe Ferrante *MS Teams* Cr Chris Hatton Cr Stephanie Proud (Chair), JP
Town of Victoria Park	Cr Karen Vernon (Deputy Chair)
City of Vincent	Cr Alison Xamon
City of Wanneroo	Cr Jordan Wright, JP *MS Teams*

The Chair has granted approval for Councillors to attend the meeting online. It is noted that all participating Councillors have met their obligations to attend electronically under the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996

Apologies
Cr Adrian Hill, City of Joondalup
Cr Russ Fishwick, JP, City of Joondalup

Approved Leave of Absence
Nil

MRC OFFICERS PRESENT
Mr Ron Back, Acting Chief Executive Officer Ms Sonia Cherico, HR Manager Ms Deborah Toward, Executive Assistant Mr Curtis Mill, IT Specialist

MRC Observers
Nil

Visitors
Nil

Members of the Public
0

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Nil

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

5. ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE PRESIDING PERSON

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to the Chair of the CEO Recruitment Committee for her outstanding leadership, professionalism, and dedication throughout this important process. Cr Vernon's guidance ensured a thorough, transparent, and well-executed recruitment process, ensuring highest standards on behalf of MRC.

I also wish to acknowledge and thank all Committee members for their valuable contributions, time, and commitment. Your collective efforts, insight, and diligence have been instrumental in achieving a successful outcome.

On behalf of Council, I acknowledge and appreciate the work you have all put into this process, alongside your roles within your respective councils and your continued service to MRC.

6. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORTS

7.1 Administrative Correction - Omitted Policy from Adopted Policies at OCM 11 June 2026

MOTION

That Council:

- NOTES the administrative correction where the policy referenced in the officer report was inadvertently omitted from Attachment 1 at the time Council policies were adopted on 11 June 2026; and
- ADOPTS Council Policy CP10 Donations - Financial Assistance/Support as contained in Attachment 1 to this report.

Moved: Cr Vernon|Seconded: Cr Hatton
Carried - 9/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *None*

8. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

9. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

10. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

11. MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Hatton

Procedural Motion

The meeting was moved into **Closed** session at 7.09 pm

CARRIED - 9/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *None*

MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL

mrc.wa.gov.au

11.1 Chief Executive Officer - Contract of Employment

MEETING NOTE: Cr Vernon, Chair of the CEO Recruitment and Performance Review Committee placed on the record her thanks to the Committee for their dedication and diligence during the process. Particular thanks were also noted to the HR Manager, Ms Cherico, for all her hard work in supporting both the Chair and Committee.

MOTION

That Council:

1. NOTES that Council at its Special Council Meeting held on 4 June 2026 appointed Candidate D to the position of Chief Executive Officer at the Mindarie Regional Council subject to satisfactory completion of background checks, and that these background checks have returned satisfactory results.
2. APPROVES the finalised terms of the negotiated contract with the Chief Executive Officer as detailed in Confidential Attachment 3 being for a period of 5 years inclusive of a Total Reward Package to the value as detailed in Confidential Attachment 3; and
3. AUTHORISES the Chair of Mindarie Regional Council to execute the employment contract on behalf of MRC in accordance with section 9.49A(4) of the *Local Government Act 1995* (WA).

ABSOLUTE MAJORITY REQUIRED

Moved: Cr Vernon|Seconded: Cr Proud
Carried - 9/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *None*

Moved: Cr Proud|Seconded: Cr Gobbert

Procedural Motion

The meeting reopened from Closed session at 7.13 pm.

CARRIED - 9/0

For: *Cr Proud, Cr Vernon, Cr Mack, Cr Gobbert, Cr Dudek, Cr Ferrante, Cr Hatton, Cr Xamon, Cr Wright*

Against: *None*

12. NEXT MEETING

The next Ordinary meeting of Council will be held on 23 July 2026 at the City of Stirling, commencing at 6.00pm

13. CLOSURE

The Chair closed the meeting at 7.14 pm and thanked the City of Stirling, for their hospitality and use of their meeting facilities.

Signed	**Chair**
Dated:	**2026**

CATALINA
Regional Council

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Thursday 18 June 2026

MINUTES

City of Stirling, 25 Cedric Street, Stirling

Constituent Members:
Cities of Perth, Joondalup, Stirling, Vincent, and Wanneroo
Towns of Cambridge and Victoria Park

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MEMBERSHIP 3
PRELIMINARIES....5
1. OFFICIAL OPENING....5
2. APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE....5
3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS 5
4. PUBLIC STATEMENT/QUESTION TIME....5
5. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)....5
6. PETITIONS 5
7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES....5
8. BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES 7
9. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS AS PRESENTED 7
9.1 BUSINESS REPORT – PERIOD ENDING 31 MAY 2026....7
9.2 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR APRIL 2026....8
9.3 LIST OF MONTHLY ACCOUNTS SUBMITTED FOR APRIL 2026....8
9.4 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR MAY 2026 – LATE ITEM 8
9.5 LIST OF MONTHLY ACCOUNTS SUBMITTED FOR MAY 2026 9
9.6 PROJECT FINANCIAL REPORT – MARCH 2026....9
9.7 PROJECT FINANCIAL REPORT – APRIL 2026 9
9.8 SALES AND SETTLEMENT REPORT – PERIOD ENDING 31 MAY 2026 10
9.9 PROJECT BUDGET FYE 2027 10
9.10 REVIEW OF CRC POLICIES 10
14.1 ANNUAL PLAN FYE 2027....11
14.2 ANNUAL MARKETING PLAN FYE 2027....11
10. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 11
10.1 PROPOSED NOTICE OF MOTION – CR BEN MAYES – WINDING UP OF CATALINA REGIONAL COUNCIL....12
11. QUESTIONS BY ELECTED MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 12
12. URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE CHAIR....12
13. GENERAL BUSINESS 12
14. DECISION TO MOVE TO CONFIDENTIAL SESSION 12
14.3 CONFIDENTIAL: LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE CONTRACT ARRANGEMENTS...13
14.4 CONFIDENTIAL: REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION - CRC RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK 14
14.5 CONFIDENTIAL: CEO CONTRACT – LATE ITEM....14
15. FORMAL CLOSURE OF MEETING 15

MEMBERSHIP

OWNER COUNCIL	MEMBER	ALTERNATE MEMBER
Town of Cambridge	Cr Ben Mayes	Cr Susan Kennerly
City of Joondalup	Cr Lewis Hutton Cr Christopher May	Cr Russ Fishwick Cr John Raftis
City of Perth	Cr David Gonclaves	Cr Liam Gobbert
City of Stirling	Cr Tony Krsticevic Cr Suzanne Migdale Cr Teresa Olow Cr Karlo Perkov	Cr Andrea Creado
Town of Victoria Park	Cr Claire Anderson	Cr Andra Biondi
City of Vincent	Cr Alison Xamon	Cr Ashley Wallace
City of Wanneroo	Cr Sonet Coetzee Cr Glynis Parker	Cr Helen Berry Cr Hugh Nguyen

PRESENT

Chair	Cr Tony Krsticevic
Councillors	Cr Claire Anderson Cr Sonet Coetzee Cr David Goncalves Cr Christopher May Cr Ben Mayes Cr Teresa Olow Cr Glynis Parker Cr Karlo Perkov Cr Alison Xamon
Alternate Members	Nil
Staff	Mr Chris Adams (CEO) Mr Simon O'Sullivan (Project Manager) Mr Brad Sillence (Manager Governance – City of Stirling)
Apologies Councillors	Cr Lewis Hutton Cr Suzanne Migdale
Leave of Absence	Nil
Absent	Nil
Consultants	Mr Diego Campagna (Redfish Technologies) Mr Bevan Wilton (Redfish Technologies)
Apologies Participant Councils' Advisers	Mr David MacLennan (City of Vincent) Mr Bill Parker (City of Wanneroo) Mr Craig Lloyd (City of Joondalup) Ms Michelle Reynolds (City of Perth) Mr Carl Askew (Town of Victoria Park) Mr Stevan Rodic (City of Stirling) Ms Lisa Clack (Town of Cambridge)
In Attendance Participant Councils' Advisers	Nil
Members of the Public	Nil
Press	Nil

PRELIMINARIES

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

The Chair declared the meeting open at 6:01pm.

2. APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies: Cr Lewis Hutton and Cr Suzanne Migdale

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

The CEO declared a financial interest in Item 14.5 as it concerns his Contract of Employment.

4. PUBLIC STATEMENT/QUESTION TIME

Nil

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

Nil

6. PETITIONS

Nil

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved Cr Mayes, Seconded Cr Perkov.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council of 16 April 2026 be CONFIRMED as a true and accurate record of proceedings.

Alternate Recommendation:

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council of 16 April 2026 be CONFIRMED as a true and accurate record of proceedings with the following amendments:

Item 14.1 CONFIDENTIAL: LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION – STAGE 45 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE TENDER 01/2026

That the Council:

1. **ACCEPTS the Horizon West Landscape Constructions submission (dated 25 March 2026) for construction of the Stage 45 Public Open Space in accordance with Tender 01/2026.**

2. AUTHORISES the CEO to sign a Contract as the basis for engagement of Horizon West Landscape Constructions.

Item 14.2 CONFIDENTIAL: PROPOSED TRANSFER OF LOT 807 TO CRC PARTICIPANT COUNCILS

That the Council AUTHORISES the CEO to transfer Lot 807 to CRC Member Councils in a manner that is proportionate to their ownership share in the Catalina Regional Council for Nil consideration.

Item 14.3 CONFIDENTIAL: CEO CONTRACT – LATE ITEM

That the Council:

1. NOTES that, contrary to previous legal advice, the Council was not legally able to offer the CRC CEO an employment contract extension under the terms that it endorsed at its February OCM.

2. AGREES to offer the CEO a new employment contract following expiry of his existing contract with the following key contract terms:

- **Commencement Date: 13 September 2026**
- **Expiry Date: 4 February 2028 (being 16 weeks post the 16 October 2027 LG Elections)**
- **Total Rewards Package (TRP): Top of Salaries and Allowance Tribunal (SAT) 2026 Determination for Band 2 ($383,393)**
- **Components of TRP:**

(a)	**Annual Salary**	**$342.315.18**
(b)	**Superannuation (12%)**	**$ 41,077.82**
(c)	**Total**	**$383,393.00**

- **Annual Leave Provision: 6 weeks per year (as per current provisions)**

3. AUTHORISES the CRC Chair to liaise with a CRC engaged legal firm and/or WALGA re the development of a new employment contract.

4. NOTES that the new employment contract will be presented to a Special Meeting of Council for its approval.

5. NOTES that:

- **During the CEO contract review process it has been identified that an administrative error led to the CEO receiving a TRP that exceeded the thresholds set by the Salaries and Allowance Tribunal in 2023/24 and 2024/25.**
- **This error initially occurred due to the CEO's 2022 contract offering a TRP that was above the limit set by the SAT.**
- **Until recently, the CEO and the CRC administration were unaware of these overpayments.**
- **The overpayments stemmed from calculation errors when processing Council decisions pertaining to the CEO's remuneration in October 2023, October 2024 and October 2025.**
- **Once an internal review confirmed that overpayments were made and the quantum of the overpayments was verified (completed on 13 April 2026) the CEO repaid all monies in full (paid 15 April 2026).**

6. (a) NOTES the maximum TRP payable under the SAT in FYE 2026 is $370,428.

(b) AUTHORISES the adjustment of the CEO's TRP for 12 September 2025 to 11 September 2026 to achieve the following TRP outcomes:

Salary	**$324,124.50**
Superannuation	**$ 38,894.94**
Total TRP	**$363,019.44**

Annual Leave Provision: 6 weeks per year

7. NOTES that this matter will be self-reported to the Office of LG Inspector for their review, advice and action if necessary. The matter will also be reported to the OAG to form part of their FYE 2026 Audit.

REASON: The minutes as circulated did not appropriately disclose the outcomes of confidential items that were discussed/resolved at the April OCM. Changes to S5.23 of the *Local Government Act* require that decisions made behind closed doors are to be appropriately recorded in the Minutes of the meeting.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

8. BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES

Nil

9. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS AS PRESENTED

Note: Items 14.1 Annual Plan FYE 2027 and 14.2 Annual Marketing Plan FYE 2027 are no longer considered confidential under amendments to the *Local Government Act* and were considered in open session as part of item 9.

9.1 BUSINESS REPORT – PERIOD ENDING 31 MAY 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES the Business Report for the period ending 31 May 2026.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.2 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR APRIL 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES and NOTES the Statement of Financial Activity for the month ending 30 April 2026.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.3 LIST OF MONTHLY ACCOUNTS SUBMITTED FOR APRIL 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **RECEIVES and NOTES the list of accounts paid under Delegated Authority to the CEO for April 2026 - $1,906,948.39.**

2. **APPROVES the Credit Card Statement for April 2026.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.4 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR MAY 2026 – LATE ITEM

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES and NOTES the Statement of Financial Activity for the month ending 31 May 2026.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.5 LIST OF MONTHLY ACCOUNTS SUBMITTED FOR MAY 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **RECEIVES and NOTES the list of accounts paid under Delegated Authority to the CEO for May 2026 - $2,388,283.23.**

2. **APPROVES the Credit Card Statement for May 2026.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.6 PROJECT FINANCIAL REPORT – MARCH 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES the Project Financial Report (March 2026) submitted by the Satterley Property Group.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.7 PROJECT FINANCIAL REPORT – APRIL 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES the Project Financial Report (April 2026) submitted by the Satterley Property Group.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.8 SALES AND SETTLEMENT REPORT – PERIOD ENDING 31 MAY 2026

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RECEIVES the Sales and Settlement Report for the period ending 31 May 2026.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.9 PROJECT BUDGET FYE 2027

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council APPROVES the Project Budget FYE 2027 including the updated long-term Project Forecast, to be used for the purposes of project and financial planning and as the basis for preparation of the CRC Budget FYE 2027.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0) by exception resolution.

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

9.10 REVIEW OF CRC POLICIES

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council RE-ADOPTS the following policies which are unchanged from their adoption in 2024:

1. **Attendance at Events Policy;**
2. **Elected Member Continuing Professional Development Policy;**
3. **Lot Pricing Policy;**
4. **Procurement Policy.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED by exception resolution (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

14.1 ANNUAL PLAN FYE 2027

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **APPROVES the Annual Plan FYE 2027 (May 2026), prepared by the Satterley Property Group, as the basis of financial planning for the CRC Budget FYE 2027.**

2. **ACCEPTS that the Satterley Property Group has achieved Key Performance Indicator – Governance, requiring the preparation of a Project Annual Plan.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED by exception resolution (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

14.2 ANNUAL MARKETING PLAN FYE 2027

Moved Cr Perkov, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **APPROVES the Annual Marketing Plan FYE 2027, prepared by the Satterley Property Group.**

2. **ADVISES the Satterley Property Group that marketing expenditure will be reviewed and monitored in line with market conditions and the requirements of the Project and the Project Budget FYE 2027, as approved.**

3. **ACCEPTS that the Development Manager's Key Performance Indicator (August 2025) requiring the preparation of an Annual Marketing Plan has been achieved.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED by exception resolution (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

10. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

10.1 PROPOSED NOTICE OF MOTION – CR BEN MAYES – WINDING UP OF CATALINA REGIONAL COUNCIL

Moved Cr Mayes, Seconded Cr Goncalves.

Notice of Motion Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **AGREES in principle to complete the wind-up of the Catalina Regional Council by 30 September 2027.**

2. **NOTES that a formal resolution is required to be adopted by Council before that date, pursuant to s. 3.63(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995* and cl. 13 of the *Catalina Regional Council Establishment Agreement*, to affect the wind-up of the Catalina Regional Council.**

3. **REQUESTS the CEO to prepare a report for the next Ordinary Council Meeting on 20 August 2026 containing a detailed project plan that outlines all outstanding matters and the dates that each matter needs to be dealt with to achieve the wind-up of the Catalina Regional Council by 30 September 2027.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

11. QUESTIONS BY ELECTED MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

12. URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE CHAIR

Nil

13. GENERAL BUSINESS

Nil

14. DECISION TO MOVE TO CONFIDENTIAL SESSION

Moved Cr Xamon, Seconded Cr May.

That the Council:

Moves into Closed Session and excludes members of the press and public from the meeting of the Closed Session and access to the correspondence and reports relating to the items considered during the course of the Closed Session be withheld. This action is taken in accordance with Section 5.23 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as item 14.3 Landscape Architecture Contract Arrangements deals with:

(c) information contained in a tender received by CRC for a contract to the extent that the information -

(i) is a tendered price; or

(ii) a tendered methodology for calculating a price.

(d) information contained in a tender received by a local government for a contract to the extent that -

(i) the information discloses any technology, or any manufacturing, industrial or trade process, that the tender proposes to use in performing the contract; and

(ii) the information has not previously been made public; and

(iii) the making public of the information would be likely to have an adverse effect on the tenderer's business interests;

item 14.4 Report on Implementation – CRC Risk Management Framework deals with:

(e) information the making public of which would be likely to endanger the security (including cyber-security) of any of the local government's property or operations

and Late Item 14.5 CEO Contract deals with:

(2)(b) a matter relating to the recruitment or employment of the CEO or a senior employee.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

14.3 CONFIDENTIAL: LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE CONTRACT ARRANGEMENTS

Moved Cr May, Seconded Cr Xamon.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **NOTES that contract terms with Blue Tang (WA) Pty Ltd trading as Emerge Associates to provide landscape architecture services to the Catalina Project are soon to expire.**

2. **AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to enter into new contract terms with Blue Tang (WA) Pty Ltd trading as Emerge Associates without undertaking new tender or request for quotation processes on the basis that it is engaged under the Western Australian Local Government Association's Preferred Suppler Program and the tender exemption provided under the applicable Local Government Regulations.**

3. **RESOLVES that this report remains confidential in accordance with section 5.23(4)(c) and (d) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

14.4 CONFIDENTIAL: REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION - CRC RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

Moved Cr Xamon, Seconded Cr May.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **RECEIVES the CRC Risk Register - Report on Implementation (May 2026).**
2. **RECEIVES the Catalina Project Risk Register - Report on Implementation (May 2026) submitted by the Satterley Property Group.**
3. **RESOLVES that this report and attachments remain confidential in accordance with section 5.23(4)(e) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

14.5 CONFIDENTIAL: CEO CONTRACT – LATE ITEM

The CEO left the room at 6:40pm.

Moved Cr Xamon, Seconded Cr Parker.

Recommendation in the Agenda:

That the Council:

1. **APPROVES the CEO's new employment contract contained in Appendix 14.5.**
2. **AUTHORISES the CRC Chair to sign the CEO's employment contract on behalf of the Council.**
3. **RESOLVES that this report and attachment remain confidential in accordance with section 5.23(2)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.**

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED by ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

Moved Cr Mayes, Seconded Cr Xamon.

That the meeting be REOPENED to the public.

The Motion was put and declared CARRIED (10/0).

For: Councillors Anderson, Coetzee, Goncalves, Krsticevic, May, Mayes, Olow, Parker, Perkov and Xamon.
Against: Nil.

The CEO returned to the room at 6:43pm.

The Chair read aloud the resolutions for Items 14.3 Landscape Architecture Contract Arrangements, 14.4 Report on Implementation – CRC Risk Management Framework and 14.5 CEO Contract carried behind closed doors.

15. FORMAL CLOSURE OF MEETING

The Chair declared the meeting closed at 6:45 pm.

Elected Member Training and Development Schedule

2025-26 Financial Year

Elected Member	Understanding Local Government	Serving on Council	Meeting Procedures	Conflicts of Interest	Understanding Financial Reports
Former Mayor Albert Jacob, JP					
Mayor Daniel Kingston **					
Cr Adrian Hill **					
Cr Lewis Hutton					
Former Cr Nige Jones					
Cr Denise Mercer *	24/11/2025	02/02/2026	04/03/2026	24/11/2025	29/12/2025
Cr Bettina Gould *					
Cr Christopher May **					
Cr Rebecca Pizzey					
Cr John Chester **					
Cr Rohan O'Neill					
Cr Russ Fishwick JP **					
Cr John Raftis					
Former Cr Christine Hamilton-Prime					
Cr Mathhew Count *	10/11/2025	11/03/2026	25/05/2026	10/11/2025	02/12/2025
Cr Phillip Vinciullo					

Notes:

* Indicates those elected members required to undertake Mandatory Training within twelve months of being elected at the 2025 October local government elections or the March 2026 Extraordinary Election.

** Indicates those elected members re-elected in 2025 are exempt from the requirement to complete the mandatory training sessions, provided they completed the training following their 2021 election.

Interstate/Overseas Conferences

Date	Elected Member	Conference Details	Location
22-24 July 2025	Former Cr Jones	Developing Northern Australia Conference	Cairns
18-21 August 2025	Former Cr Jones	Australasian Coasts & Ports Conference	Adelaide

WA Training and Development Events

Date	Elected Member	Event Details
30 June 2025 – 4 July 2025	Cr Kingston	AICD Company Directors Course
7 August 2025	Former Mayor Jacob	UDIA Breakfast – Hon. John Carey MLA
26 July 2025	Former Mayor Jacob	Law Enforcement Torch Run – Polar Plunge
26 July 2025	Cr Hill	Law Enforcement Torch Run – Polar Plunge
26 July 2025	Cr Vinciullo	Law Enforcement Torch Run – Polar Plunge
5 September 2025	Former Mayor Jacob	Planning Institute Australia – State Conference
22 September 2025	Former Mayor Jacob	WALGA Convention
30 October 2025	Mayor Kingston	Half Day Media Workshop
20 February 2026	Mayor Kingston	WALGA – Effective community leadership
24 March 2026	Cr Count	Clean Energy Council – WA Clean Energy Summit
16 April 2026	Mayor Kingston	Business News – Politics & Business Breakfast with Hon. Roger Cook
4 May 2026	Mayor Kingston	Half Day Media Workshop (refresher)
4 May 2026	Cr Raftis	Half Day Media Workshop (with Mayor Kingston)
31 May 2026	Cr Mercer	AICD Company Directors Course – Online
15 June 2026	Mayor Kingston	WALGA – CEO Performance Review
15 June 2026	Cr Mercer	WALGA – CEO Performance Review
15 June 2026	Cr Count	WALGA – CEO Performance Review
15 June 2026	Cr Gould	WALGA – CEO Performance Review

2026 Notice of WALGA Annual General Meeting

THURSDAY, 17 SEPTEMBER 2026
2:30PM
PERTH CONVENTION AND EXHIBITION CENTRE
21 MOUNTS BAY RD, PERTH WA

The Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) will be held at the Perth Convention and Exhibition Centre on Thursday, 17 September 2026 at 2:30pm.

The AGM is being held in conjunction with the 2026 Local Government Convention (the Convention). Further information about the Convention is available on the WALGA website.

Attendance at the AGM is free for all Elected Members and officers from Member Local Governments. **To participate as a Voting Delegate, registration is required.**

VOTING INFORMATION

Voting entitlement

Each Member Local Government is entitled to be represented by two Voting Delegates. A Voting Delegate is entitled to one vote.

A Proxy is entitled to vote in the absence of a Voting Delegate.

Voting Delegates and Proxies may be Elected Members or officers.

Registration of Delegates and Proxies

Voting Delegates and Proxies must be registered by the Local Government Chief Executive Officer.

The Chief Executive Officer of each Member Local Government will be sent the Delegate registration link via email. We ask that registration be completed via the link provided prior to 5:00pm on Tuesday, 1 September. However, Delegate registrations can be completed or amended up until the start of the AGM at 2:30pm on Thursday, 17 September.

The link to register Delegates and Proxies will be sent separately. **Please note that this link does not register you for the Local Government Convention.** To register for the Convention, please visit the WALGA website.

Voting process

Voting will be conducted electronically via an app. Further information on voting will be sent to all registered Voting Delegates and Proxies prior to the AGM.

MEMBER MOTIONS

Submission

Member Local Governments are invited to submit motions for inclusion in the Agenda for consideration at the AGM. Motions should be submitted by the Chief Executive Officer of the Member Local Government to the Chief Executive Officer of WALGA via email at associationgovernance@walga.asn.au.

Closing date

Member motions must be submitted prior to **5:00pm on Thursday, 30 July 2026**.

Guidelines for motions

Please refer to the *Guideline for the submission of Member Motions* on the WALGA website for detailed information on the submission of motions.

MEETING DOCUMENTS

Notice of proposed amendments to the Association Constitution

The Chief Executive Officer of WALGA will give not less than 60 days' notice of any proposal to amend the Association Constitution. This notice will be given via email to all Local Government Chief Executive Officers by 5:00pm on Thursday, 16 July.

Agenda

The Chief Executive Officer of WALGA will publish the Agenda for the AGM not less than 30 days prior to the AGM. The Agenda will be published by 5:00pm on Tuesday, 18 August on the WALGA website. All Elected Members and CEOs will by advised of the availability of the Agenda via email.

Hardcopy meeting documents will not be distributed.

The Order of Business shall be:

1. Record of attendance and apologies
2. Announcements
3. Adoption of AGM Standing Orders
4. Confirmation of previous Minutes
5. Adoption of Annual Report
6. Consideration of Executive and Member Motions

MEETING CONDUCT

The AGM will be conducted in accordance with the WALGA AGM Standing Orders.

QUERIES

Please direct all enquiries relating to the registration of AGM Voting Delegates or the submission of Member motions to Habiba Farrag, State Council Governance Officer on (08) 9213 2050 or at associationgovernance@walga.asn.au.

Mayor Mark Irwin
WALGA President

Nick Sloan
WALGA Chief Executive Officer

2026 WALGA Annual General Meeting

Guidelines for the submission of Member Motions

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

The following principles should be followed by Members in the formulation of Member Motions:

- Motions should focus on policy matters rather than issues which could be dealt with by the WALGA State Council with minimal delay.
- Due regard should be given to the relevance of the Motion to the total membership and to Local Government in general. Some Motions are of a localised or regional interest and might be better handled through other forums.
- Due regard should be given to the timeliness of the Motion. Will it still be relevant come the AGM or would it be better handled immediately by WALGA?
- The likely political impact and potential media interest of the motion should be carefully considered.
- Due regard should be given to the educational value to Members i.e. does awareness need to be raised on the particular matter?

CRITERIA

Motions will be included in the Agenda where they:

1. Are consistent with the objects of the Association (refer to clause 3 of the Association Constitution);
2. Demonstrate that the issue(s) raised will concern or are likely to concern a substantial number of Local Governments in WA;
3. Seek to advance the Local Government policy agenda of the Association and/or improve governance of the Association;
4. Have a lawful purpose (a motion does not have a lawful purpose if its implementation would require or encourage non-compliance with prevailing laws); and
5. Are clearly worded and unambiguous in nature.

Motions will not be included where they are:

6. Consistent with current Association advocacy/policy positions as per the Advocacy Positions Manual (as the matter has previously been considered and endorsed by WALGA).

Motions of similar objective:

7. Will be consolidated as a single item.

Prior to the finalisation of the Agenda, the WALGA President and Chief Executive Officer will determine whether Motions submitted by Members abide by the above criteria.

Members submitting Motions will be advised of the determinations.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Motions should be submitted with the support of a resolution of Council. When submitting a Motion, the Member Local Government should advise that the Motion has been endorsed by Council.

MOTION TEMPLATE

A Member Motion template has been prepared to assist Local Governments in submitting proposed Motions. The template is available on the WALGA website.

Motions submitted by Member Local Governments must be accompanied by fully researched and documented supporting comment.

SUBMISSION

Who can submit a Member Motion

As per clause 22(5) of the Constitution, an AGM Motion may only be submitted by the WALGA State Council or a Member Local Government.

How to submit a Member Motion

Motions should be submitted by the Chief Executive Officer of the Member Local Government to the Chief Executive Officer of WALGA via email at associationgovernance@walga.asn.au.

CLOSING DATE

Motions must be submitted before **5:00pm on Thursday, 30 July 2026**.

SPECIAL URGENT BUSINESS[1]

No Motion shall be accepted for debate at the AGM after the closing date unless the Motion:

- relates to special urgent business; and
- is approved for debate by an absolute majority of Delegates.[2]

Where practicable, prior notice of the special urgent business should be provided to the WALGA President.

The Delegate moving an item of special urgent business is to have sufficient copies of the Motion in writing for distribution to all Delegates at the meeting.

[1] WALGA AGM Standing Orders, clause 8
[2] "Absolute Majority" means a majority of Voting Delegates whether present and voting or not.

Member Motion Template

This template has been prepared to assist Local Governments in submitting Member Motions for the 2026 WALGA Annual General Meeting.

Please refer to the Guidelines for the Submission of Member Motions when preparing Member Motions.

Motions should be submitted by the Chief Executive Officer of the Local Government to the Chief Executive Officer of WALGA via email at associationgovernance@walga.asn.au.

Motions must be submitted before 5:00pm on Thursday, 30 July 2026.

Motion Title: ***Insert the title of the motion***

Local Government Name: *Insert the name of the Member Local Government*

Date: *Insert the date your Council resolved to support the motion*

MOTION

Insert the motion

That…

IN BRIEF

- *The In Brief section should contain brief dot points*
- *Provide an Executive Summary of the main information and issues in the Member Comment*

MEMBER COMMENT

Insert the Local Governments comments. AGM motions submitted by Member Local Governments must be accompanied by fully researched and documented supporting comment.

SECRETARIAT COMMENT

WALGA will add a secretariat comment to be included with the item in the Agenda.



Call for Applications

Independent Person/s – Code of Conduct Complaints Panel

The City of Joondalup is seeking applications from suitably qualified and experienced individuals to perform the functions of the Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates*, for the purpose of dealing with or dismissing a complaint and making a finding in relation to an alleged breach.

About the Role

At the Council meeting held on 24 March 2026 (CJ062-03/26), Council authorised the use of contractors listed under the Common Use Agreement CUAHRS2021 – Human Resource and Investigation Services (Category 1) for the purpose of dealing with complaints and investigating alleged breaches.

At the Council meeting held on 26 May 2026 (CJ113-05/26), Council authorised the Chief Executive Officer to seek public Expressions of Interest to appoint independent person/s to perform the functions of Council under clauses 12 and 13 of the Code of Conduct.

This role requires the independent person/s to review investigation reports relating to complaints of a behavioural nature made under the Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates.

Behavioural breaches relate to matters including:

- Personal integrity and use of social media
- Relationships with others
- Behaviour at Council or committee meetings

Upon receipt of a behavioural breach complaint, the City's Chief Executive Officer will appoint an investigator, in accordance with Council's resolution of 24 March 2026, to undertake an investigation and provide a report with recommendations.

The independent person/s will:

- Review the investigator's report and recommendations
- Make a finding in relation to the alleged breach

Engagement will be on an as-needed basis and the role will be performed remotely.

The position attracts a remuneration fee of **$466 per report reviewed.**

The successful applicant/s will be engaged as independent contractors and must hold their own **ABN and appropriate insurances**.

Eligibility



The independent person must not be a current elected member of any local government.

Authority to appoint an independent person/s is subject to Council resolution. Council must be satisfied that the person:

- Is suitably qualified and experienced to perform the function
- Is impartial
- Has no close association with any Council member or employee of the local government

In accordance with clause 14B(3) of the Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021, the following persons are not eligible to perform this role:

- A member of a council of any local government
- A member of the governing body of any regional subsidiary
- An employee of any local government or regional subsidiary
- An employee of WALGA or Local Government Professionals Australia (WA)
- A member of the governing body of, or an employee of, a body corporate that advocates for or acts on behalf of local governments, council members or local government employees

Selection Criteria

Applicants should demonstrate:

- Experience in dealing with complaints
- Experience in an equivalent or similar role
- A strong understanding of probity, ethics and procedural fairness
- Familiarity with the public sector and/or local government environment
- Availability to review investigation reports as required
- Relevant professional qualifications in human resources, business, governance or law

How to Apply

Applicants should provide a brief Expression of Interest (maximum three pages) addressing the selection criteria, including:

- Relevant experience in complaints handling practices
- Personal attributes supporting effective and impartial decision-making
- Details of any known or perceived conflicts of interest

Applications should be submitted with the subject line:

“Independent Person/s Code of Conduct Complaints Panel”

✉ Email: info@joondalup.wa.gov.au
📥 Attention: Director Governance & Strategy

Applications close at **5.00pm on Tuesday 23 June 2026**.


Enquiries regarding the role can be made via **info@joondalup.wa.gov.au**.

Following the Expression of Interest process, an appointment will be recommended to Council.

City of Joondalup

Code of Conduct
for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates

Issued: January 2026



Contents

Introduction **2**

Values **3**

Division 1 - Preliminary provisions **4**
1. Citation 4
2. Terms used 4

Division 2 - General principles **5**
3. Overview of Division 5
4. Personal Integrity 5
5. Relationship with others 5
6. Accountability 5

Division 3 - Behaviour **6**
7. Overview of Division 6
8. Personal integrity 6
9. Relationship with others 6
10. Council or committee meetings 6
11. Complaint about alleged breach 7
12. Dealing with complaint 7
13. Dismissal of complaint 8
14. Withdrawal of complaint 8
14A. Appointment of monitor 8
14B. Performance of local government's functions under cl. 12 and 13 8
15. Other provisions about complaints 9

Division 4 - Rules of conduct **10**
16. Overview of Division 10
17. Misuse of City resources 10
18. Securing personal advantage or disadvantaging others 10
19. Prohibition against involvement in administration 10
20. Relationship with City employees 10
21. Disclosure of information 11
22. Disclosure of interests 12
23. Compliance with plan requirement 12

Introduction

In accordance with section 5.104 of the *Local Government Act 1995* every Western Australian local government is to adopt a code of conduct to be observed by council members, committee members and local government election candidates (candidates). A local government's code of conduct is to reflect the model code of conduct prescribed by section 5.103(1) of the *Local Government Act 1995* which includes:

- general principles to guide behaviour
- requirements relating to behaviour
- provisions specified to be rules of conduct.

This *Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates* (the code) has been adopted for this purpose and ensures the roles and responsibilities of council members (being the Mayor and Councillors), committee members and candidates are understood and respected. This enables professional relationships to be established and maintained in the interests of providing good governance, overall integrity and good government for the community.

The code sets out principles and standards of behaviour council members, committee members and candidates must observe and is intended to promote accountable and ethical decision-making and conduct.

The *Local Government Act 1995* and other legislation contain other conduct matters that affect council members, committee members and candidates, and this code does not override or affect those provisions or requirements.

Values

The City's *10 Year Strategic Community Pla*n (Joondalup 2032) articulates the City's vision as being *'A global City: bold, creative and prosperous'.*

In alignment with this vision, the City believes the following primary values should be inherent within any well-functioning and community-driven organisation:

- **Transparent** - We facilitate appropriate levels of scrutiny by recording our decisions and making them accessible.
- **Accountable** - We accept responsibility for our actions and decisions that are within our control.
- Honest - We earn and sustain public trust by being honest and open in all our actions and always acting in the public interest.
- **Ethical** - We demonstrate moral behaviour that is free from corruption.
- **Respectful** - We treat people fairly and objectively and without discrimination.
- **Sustainable** - We manage our natural resources and public assets adaptively, ensuring equitable outcomes for future generations.
- **Professional** - We demonstrate strong skills and good judgment and behaviour in delivering our services.

The City's 5-year Corporate Business Plan 2025-2029 has identified the following distinguishing values to guide the direction and operations of the City into the future:

- **Bold** - We will make courageous decisions for the benefit of the community and future generations.
- **Ambitious** - We will lead with strength and conviction to achieve our vision for the City.
- **Innovative** - We will learn and adapt to changing circumstances to ensure we are always one step ahead.
- **Enterprising** - We will undertake ventures that forge new directions for business and the local economy.
- **Prosperous** - We will ensure our City benefits from a thriving economy built on local commercial success.
- **Compassionate** - We will act with empathy and understanding of our community's needs and ambitions.

Council members and committee members are to observe these values to enable the City to achieve its strategic objectives and organisational goals.

Division 1 - Preliminary provisions

1. Citation

This is the City of Joondalup Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates.

2. Terms used

(1) In this code -

Act means the Local Government Act 1995;

candidate means a candidate for election as a council member;

City means the City of Joondalup, being a local government established as a body corporate under section 2.5 of the Act;

CEO means the Chief Executive Officer of the City;

Council means the Council of the City;

complaint means a complaint made under clause 11(1);

publish includes to publish on a social media platform.

(2) Other terms used in this code that are also used in the Act have the same meaning as they have in the Act, unless the contrary intention appears.

Division 2 - General principles

3. Overview of Division

This Division sets out general principles to guide the behaviour of council members, committee members and candidates.

4. Personal Integrity

(1) A council member, committee member or candidate should -

(a) act with reasonable care and diligence; and

(b) act with honesty and integrity; and

(c) act lawfully; and

(d) identify and appropriately manage any conflict of interest; and

(e) avoid damage to the reputation of the City.

(2) A council member or committee member should -

(a) act in accordance with the trust placed in council members and committee members; and

(b) participate in decision-making in an honest, fair, impartial and timely manner; and

(c) actively seek out and engage in training and development opportunities to improve the performance of their role; and

(d) attend and participate in briefings, workshops and training sessions provided or arranged by the City in relation to the performance of their role.

5. Relationship with others

(1) A council member, committee member or candidate should -

(a) treat others with respect, courtesy and fairness; and

(b) respect and value diversity in the community.

(2) A council member or committee member should maintain and contribute to a harmonious, safe and productive work environment.

6. Accountability

A council member or committee member should -

(a) base decisions on relevant and factually correct information; and

(b) make decisions on merit, in the public interest and in accordance with statutory obligations and principles of good governance and procedural fairness; and

(c) read all agenda papers given to them in relation to Council or Committee meetings, Briefing Sessions or Strategy Sessions; and

(d) be open and accountable to, and represent, the community in the district.

Division 3 - Behaviour

7. Overview of Division

This Division sets out -

(a) requirements relating to the behaviour of council members, committee members and candidates; and

(b) the mechanism for dealing with alleged breaches of those requirements.

8. Personal integrity

(1) A council member, committee member or candidate -

(a) must ensure that their use of social media and other forms of communication complies with this code; and

(b) must only publish material that is factually correct.

(2) A council member or committee member -

(a) must not be impaired by alcohol or drugs in the performance of their official duties; and

(b) must comply with all policies and procedures of the City; and

(c) must comply with all resolutions of the Council or a Committee (where the Committee has been given delegated authority by the Council under section 5.16 of the Act).

9. Relationship with others

A council member, committee member or candidate -

(a) must not bully or harass another person in any way; and

(b) must deal with the media in a positive and appropriate manner and in accordance with any relevant policy of the City; and

(c) must not use offensive or derogatory language when referring to another person; and

(d) must not disparage the character of another council member, committee member or candidate or a City employee in connection with the performance of their official duties; and

(e) must not impute dishonest or unethical motives to another council member, committee member or candidate or a City employee in connection with the performance of their official duties.

10. Council or committee meetings

When attending a Council or Committee meeting, Briefing Session or Strategy Session, a council member, committee member or candidate, where applicable -

(a) must not act in an abusive or threatening manner towards another person; and

(b) must not make a statement that the member or candidate knows, or could reasonably be expected to know, is false or misleading; and

(c) must not repeatedly disrupt the meeting; and

(d) must comply with any requirements of a local law of the City relating to the procedures and conduct of Council or Committee meetings, or any other procedures adopted by Council in relation to meetings; and

(e) must comply with any direction given by the person presiding at the meeting; and

(f) must immediately cease to engage in any conduct that has been ruled out of order by the person presiding at the meeting.

11. Complaint about alleged breach

(1) A person may make a complaint, in accordance with subclause (2), alleging a breach of a requirement set out in this Division.

(2) A complaint must be made -

(a) in writing in the form approved by the CEO; and

(b) to a person authorised under subclause (3); and

(c) within 1 month after the occurrence of the alleged breach.

(3) The Council must, in writing, authorise 1 or more persons to receive complaints and withdrawals of complaints.

(4) A complaint must be dealt with under clauses 12 to 15 unless –

(a) the complaint is referred to the Inspector in accordance with subclause (5); and

(b) the Inspector refers the complaint to be dealt with under Part 8A Division 5 of the Act.

Note for this subclause: See section 5.105(1) of the Act.

(5) If the *Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021* regulation 3A applies to a complaint, a person authorised under subclause (3) must refer the complaint to the Inspector under section 5.105(3) of the Act.

(6) A complaint must also be dealt with under clauses 12 to 15 if the Inspector refers the complaint to the local government under the *Local Government (Local Government Inspector) Regulations 2025* regulation 6.

12. Dealing with complaint

(1) After considering a complaint, the Council must, unless it dismisses the complaint under clause 13 or the complaint is withdrawn under clause 14(1), make a finding as to whether the alleged breach the subject of the complaint has occurred.

Note for this subclause: See also clause 14A in relation to the appointment of a monitor to assist the local government to deal with matters raised by a complaint.

(2) Before the Council makes a finding in relation to the complaint under subclause (12)(1), the Council must give the person to whom the complaint relates a reasonable opportunity to be heard.

(3) A finding that the alleged breach has occurred must be based on evidence from which it may be concluded that it is more likely that the breach occurred than that it did not occur.

(4) If the Council makes a finding that the alleged breach has occurred, the Council may -

(a) take no further action; or

(b) prepare and implement a plan to address the behaviour of the person to whom the complaint relates.

(5) When preparing a plan under subclause (4)(b), the Council must consult with the person to whom the complaint relates.

(6) A plan under subclause (4)(b) may include a requirement for the person to whom the complaint relates to do 1 or more of the following -

(a) engage in mediation;

(b) undertake counselling;

(c) undertake training;

(d) take other action the Council considers appropriate.

(7) If the Council makes a finding in relation to the complaint, the Council must give the complainant, and the person to whom the complaint relates, written notice of -

(a) the Council's finding and the reasons for its finding; and

(b) if the Council's finding is that the alleged breach has occurred, its decision under subclause (4).

13. Dismissal of complaint

(1) The Council must dismiss a complaint if it is satisfied that -

(a) the behaviour to which the complaint relates occurred at a Council or Committee meeting, Briefing Session or Strategy Session; and

(b) either -

(i) the behaviour was dealt with by the person presiding at the meeting; or

(ii) the person responsible for the behaviour has taken remedial action in accordance with a local law of the City that deals with meeting procedures, or any other procedures adopted by Council in relation to meetings.

(2) If the Council dismisses a complaint, the Council must give the complainant, and the person to whom the complaint relates, written notice of their decision and the reasons for its decision.

14. Withdrawal of complaint

(1) A complainant may withdraw their complaint at any time before the Council makes a finding in relation to the complaint.

(2) The withdrawal of a complaint must be -

(a) in writing; and

(b) given to a person authorised under clause 11(3).

14A. Appointment of monitor

(1) The Inspector may appoint a monitor for the local government to assist the local government to deal with matters raised by a complaint.

(2) If the Inspector appoints a monitor –

(a) the Inspector may direct the local government to defer further dealing with the complaint until the monitor reports to the Inspector on the outcome of the monitoring assignment; and

(b) the local government must comply with the direction.

14B. Performance of local government's functions under cl. 12 and 13

(1) The local government's functions under clauses 12 and 13 must be performed by the council.

(2) Despite subclause (1), the council may, by resolution carried with an absolute majority of the council, authorise a committee of the council comprising council members only to perform a function for and on behalf of the local government.

(3) Despite subclause (1), the council may, by resolution carried with an absolute majority of the council, authorise a person who is none of the following to perform a function for and on behalf of the local government –

(a) a member of the council of any local government;

(b) a member of the governing body of any regional subsidiary;

(c) an employee of any local government or regional subsidiary;

(d) an employee of WALGA or the Local Government Professionals Australia (WA);

(e) a member of the governing body of, or an employee of, a body corporate the activities of which are, wholly or partly, advocating or otherwise acting for, or on behalf of, 1 or more of the following –

i. local governments;

ii. members of councils;

iii. employees of local governments.

(4) A resolution made under subclause (3) must include the following –

(a) a statement to the effect that the council is satisfied that the person being authorised is suitably qualified and experienced to perform the function;

(b) an explanation as to why the council is satisfied as referred to in paragraph (a);

(c) a statement to the effect that the council is satisfied that the person being authorised is impartial and has no close association with any member of the council or any employee of the local government.

(5) Nothing in this clause prevents an employee of the local government from providing, in relation to the performance of a function, any advice or other assistance to the council, a committee authorised under subclause (2) or a person authorised under subclause (3).

15. Other provisions about complaints

(1) A complaint about an alleged breach by a candidate cannot be dealt with by the Council unless the candidate has been elected as a council member.

(2) The procedure for dealing with complaints may be determined by the Council to the extent that it is not provided for in this Division.

(3) Clauses 14A and 14B do not apply in relation to a complaint made before 1 January 2026.

Note for this clause: See also section 5.105(4) and (5) of the Act for restrictions on the activities of a person who makes a complaint or who is alleged to have breached a requirement set out in this Division.

Division 4 - Rules of conduct

Notes for this Division:

1. Under section 8A. 3(1) of the Act, a council member commits a conduct breach if the council member contravenes a rule of conduct. Section 8A. 3(2) of the Act extends this to the contravention of a rule of conduct that occurred when the council member was a candidate.
2. A conduct breach is dealt with under Part 8A Division 5 of the Act.

16. Overview of Division

(1) This Division sets out rules of conduct for council members and candidates.

(2) A reference in this Division to a council member includes a council member when acting as a committee member.

17. Misuse of City resources

(1) In this clause -

electoral purpose means the purpose of persuading electors to vote in a particular way at an election, referendum or other poll held under the Act, the *Electoral Act 1907* or the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*;

resources of the City includes

(a) City property; and

(b) services provided, or paid for, by the City.

(2) A council member must not, directly or indirectly, use the resources of the City for an electoral purpose or other purpose unless authorised under the Act, or by the Council or the CEO, to use the resources for that purpose.

18. Securing personal advantage or disadvantaging others

(1) A council member must not make improper use of their office

(a) to gain, directly or indirectly, an advantage for the council member or any other person; or

(b) to cause detriment to the City or any other person.

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply to conduct that contravenes section 5.93 of the Act or *The Criminal Code* section 83.

19. Prohibition against involvement in administration

(1) A council member must not undertake a task that contributes to the administration of the City unless authorised by the Council or the CEO to undertake that task.

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply to anything that a council member does as part of the deliberations at a Council or Committee meeting.

20. Relationship with City employees

(1) In this clause -

City employee means a person -

(a) employed by the City under section 5.36(1) of the Act; or

(b) engaged by the City under a contract for services.

(2) A council member or candidate must not -

(a) direct or attempt to direct a City employee to do or not to do anything in their capacity as a City employee; or

(b) attempt to influence, by means of a threat or the promise of a reward, the conduct of a City employee in their capacity as a City employee; or

(c) act in an abusive or threatening manner towards a City employee.

(3) Subclause (2)(a) does not apply to anything that a council member does as part of the deliberations at a Council or Committee meeting.

(4) If a council member or candidate, in their capacity as a council member or candidate, is attending a Council or Committee meeting or other organised event (for example, a briefing or workshop), the council member or candidate must not orally, in writing or by any other means -

(a) make a statement that a City employee is incompetent or dishonest; or

(b) use an offensive or objectionable expression when referring to a City employee.

(5) Subclause (4)(a) does not apply to conduct that is unlawful under *The Criminal Code* Chapter XXXV.

21. Disclosure of information

(1) In this clause -

closed meeting -

(a) means a part of a council or committee meeting that is closed to members of the public under section 5.23(2), (3) or (4) of the Act; and

(b) includes a council or committee meeting held before 1 January 2026, or a part of a council or committee meeting held before 1 January 2026, that was closed to members of the public under section 5.23(2) of the Act as in force before 1 January 2026;

confidential document means a document marked by the CEO, or by a person authorised by the CEO, to clearly show that the information in the document is not to be disclosed;

document includes a part of a document;

non-confidential document means a document that is not a confidential document.

(2) A council member must not disclose information that the council member -

(a) derived from a confidential document; or

(b) acquired at a closed meeting other than information derived from a non-confidential document.

(3) Subclause (2) does not prevent a council member from disclosing information -

(a) at a closed meeting; or

(b) to the extent specified by the Council and subject to such other conditions as the Council determines; or

(c) that is already in the public domain; or

(d) to an officer of the Department; or

(e) to the Minister; or

(f) to a legal practitioner for the purpose of obtaining legal advice; or

(g) if the disclosure is required or permitted by law.

22. Disclosure of interests

(1) In this clause -

Interest -

(a) means an interest that could, or could reasonably be perceived to, adversely affect the impartiality of the person having the interest; and

(b) includes an interest arising from kinship, friendship or membership of an association.

(2) A council member who has an interest in any matter to be discussed at a Council or Committee meeting attended by the council member must disclose the nature of the interest -

(a) in a written notice given to the CEO before the meeting; or

(b) at the meeting immediately before the matter is discussed.

(3) Subclause (2) does not apply to an interest referred to in section 5.60 of the Act.

(4) Subclause (2) does not apply if a council member fails to disclose an interest because the council member did not know -

(a) that they had an interest in the matter; or

(b) that the matter in which they had an interest would be discussed at the meeting and the council member disclosed the interest as soon as possible after the discussion began.

(5) If, under subclause (2)(a), a council member discloses an interest in a written notice given to the CEO before a meeting, then -

(a) before the meeting the CEO must cause the notice to be given to the person who is to preside at the meeting; and

(b) at the meeting the person presiding must bring the notice and its contents to the attention of the persons present immediately before any matter to which the disclosure relates is discussed.

(6) Subclause (7) applies in relation to an interest if -

(a) under subclause (2)(b) or (4)(b) the interest is disclosed at a meeting; or

(b) under subclause (5)(b) notice of the interest is brought to the attention of the persons present at a meeting.

(7) The nature of the interest must be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

23. Compliance with plan requirement

If a plan under clause 12(4)(b) in relation to a council member includes a requirement referred to in clause 12(6), the council member must comply with the requirement.

City of Joondalup

T: 08 9400 4000
F: 08 9300 1383
Boas Avenue Joondalup WA 6027
PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919

joondalup.wa.gov.au


UPTOWN

This document is available in alternative formats upon request.

City of Joondalup

Research of Alternative Coastal Adaptation Options

19 February 2026



Prepared by:

International Coastal Management & Griffith University

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Client Project Number:	**P286285**
ICM Project Number:	**ICM20250139**
Prepared By:	Marcello Sano, Rodger Tomlinson
Company:	Griffith University
Final Review:	Rodger Tomlinson
Company	Griffith University
Approved for Final Release:	Aaron Salyer
Company:	International Coastal Management

Version History:

Version No.	Date	Changed by	Nature of Amendment
DRAFT	15/10/2025		
DRAFT	08/12/2025	M.S & R.T	Revised comments from initial draft
DRAFT	12/01/2026	M.S & R.T	Revised comments from previous draft
FINAL	13/02/2026	M.S, R.T & A.S	
FINAL	19/02/2026	A.S	

This document has been produced by International Coastal Management Pty Ltd (ICM) in accordance with the terms and limitations of the brief by the City of Joondalup. In preparing this report, ICM has used information provided by the City of Joondalup and others identified herein. ICM does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document. This document is not to be used without the express approval of the City of Joondalup.

International Coastal Management PTY LTD (ICM)

Address	PO Box 306, Main Beach LPO, Main Beach QLD, 4217
Phone	+61 7 5564 0564

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report has been prepared for the City of Joondalup's coastal adaptation research study. It summarises the global alternative coastal adaptation options research and includes a list of adaptation options for consideration, the screening Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats (SWOT) analysis, and the subsequent feasibility assessment that underpins the recommendations. The report provides an evidence base drawing on global practice and research, tested against the City's existing technical studies, coastal monitoring records, and the current understanding of local coastal processes.

The review first compiled a comprehensive set of coastal erosion adaptation approaches used in Australia and internationally, spanning nature-based, hybrid, and engineered measures. To support transparent comparison, options were grouped into four typologies: (1) sand management approaches that add, redistribute, or retain sediment to maintain beach volume; (2) submerged or low-crest nearshore measures that modify wave transformation while remaining largely unobtrusive; (3) emerged hard structures that provide direct protection but are typically more visible and can create alongshore impacts; and (4) hybrid and innovative alternatives that combine elements of these approaches or introduce new delivery models. In this report, nature-based measures primarily sit within the sand management and hybrid typologies, while "hybrid" refers to mixes of soft and hard elements (such as buried or low-profile structures paired with sand cover and dune management) as well as integrated packages that couple sediment management with targeted control points.

From this framework, a comprehensive list of options was developed for consideration in the Joondalup context. Each option was then screened using a SWOT matrix to document advantages (strengths and opportunities) and disadvantages (weaknesses and threats), and to identify which measures warranted deeper assessment. Options progressed from SWOT were then evaluated through a feasibility assessment using a consistent multi-criteria lens across technical, environmental, economic, and social considerations. This approach supports clear communication of trade-offs and explicitly recognises that effective coastal adaptation is likely to require combinations of measures, rather than reliance on a single intervention.

The feasibility results indicate that the most credible pathway to maintain beach amenity and improve resilience is a sand-and-dune led portfolio supported by ongoing sediment management, with the potential for selective, targeted control points or hybrid hard structures (i.e. buried seawalls) where evidence shows they materially improve performance. This framing responds to the community's preference for maintaining a natural sandy beach and minimising visual intrusion, while also acknowledging that structural options can be considered where Council wishes to investigate them further.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Site Location 1

1.2 Background 1

1.3 Purpose of Report 1

1.4 Methodology 2

2 DESKTOP RESEARCH OF ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS 3

2.1 Reviewing Existing Plans and Data 3

2.1.1 City of Joondalup Coastal Environment 3

2.1.2 Joondalup Coastal Management to Date 5

2.1.3 Coastal Hazard Management and Adaptation Plan 6

2.2 Literature Review and Global Best Practice 8

2.2.1 Introduction 8

2.2.2 Frameworks and Approaches for Coastal Hazard Adaptation Options 8

2.2.3 Global List of Coastal Adaptation Options 9

2.2.4 Out of Scope Adaptation Options 11

2.3 Adaptation Options for Further Consideration 12

2.3.1 Sand Management Approaches 12

2.3.1.1 Beach Face Nourishment 13

2.3.1.2 Shoreface or Nearshore Nourishment 13

2.3.1.3 Large Scale Sand Nourishment 14

2.3.1.4 Sand Bypassing 15

2.3.1.5 Sand Backpassing and Enhanced Backpassing 16

2.3.1.6 Beach Scraping and Re-profiling 17

2.3.1.7 Dune Enhancement and Revegetation 17

2.3.1.8 Mega-nourishments (Sand Motors) 18

2.3.1.9 Implications for Joondalup 19
2.3.2 Submerged or Low-crest Structures 19
2.3.2.1 Multipurpose Artificial Reefs 19
2.3.2.2 Submerged Breakwaters and Low-crest Barriers, Including Submerged Islands 21
2.3.2.3 Design Considerations for Submerged or Low-crest Structures 21
2.3.3 Emerged Hard Structures 22
2.3.3.1 Rock Groynes 22
2.3.3.2 Geofabric Groynes 23
2.3.3.3 T/Y Head Groynes 24
2.3.3.4 Vertical Seawalls 24
2.3.3.5 Sloping Rock Revetments or Seawalls 25
2.3.3.6 Sea Dikes 26
2.3.3.7 Detached Breakwaters 26
2.3.3.8 Offshore Islands 27
2.3.3.9 Artificial Headlands 28
2.3.3.10 Design Considerations for Emerged Hard Structures 28
2.3.4 Hybrid and Innovative Alternatives 29
2.3.4.1 Hybrid Seawall-Dune System 30
2.3.4.2 Dynamic Cobble-berm Revetments 30
2.3.4.3 Sand Fencing, Brushing, and Dune Trapping 31
2.3.4.4 Perched Beaches 32
2.3.4.5 Beach Drainage and Dewatering 33
2.3.4.6 Floating Wave Energy Dissipators and Wave Energy Converters (WECs) 33
2.3.4.7 Purpose-sunk Reef and Habitat Modules 34
2.3.4.8 Mangrove Restoration 35
2.3.4.9 Seagrass Restoration 36
2.3.4.10 Living Shorelines 37

2.3.4.11 Living Seawalls 38
2.3.4.12 Rock Filter Units....38
2.3.4.13 Living Speed Bumps....39
2.4 Alignment with Community Preferences....40
2.5 Preliminary Options Long List....41
3 SWOT ANALYSIS....45
4 FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT....46
5 RECOMMENDATIONS OF ALTERNATIVE ADAPTATION OPTIONS....49
Appendix A References.... A-1
Appendix B Adaptation Options Used in Other Contexts.... B-1
Appendix C Complete SWOT Analysis.... C-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Preferred adaptation option for each coastal management zone after multi-criteria analysis and cost-benefit analysis in Joondalup (MRA, 2023)....7
Table 2. Feasibility scoring rubric (1-5)....46
Table 3. Feasibility Assessment of Options (Summary)....47
Table 4. Final ranking of options....50

Table B-1. List of adaptation options from the Queensland Compendium (Griffith University and GHD, 2012).... B-1
Table B-2. Victorian Compendium of Coastal Adaptation Options (BMT, 2023).... B-2
Table B-3. Characteristics of measures for flexible management of natural areas (Source: Bailis et al. 2020).... B-4
Table B-4. Nature-based Solutions for Beach Stabilisation as Opportunities for the Tourism Sector - Report to World Bank (2025).... B-8
Table C-1. Complete SWOT Analysis....C-1
Table C-2. Complete Feasibility Assessment....C-7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Beach face nourishment at New Jersey Shores, United States. Credit: GLDD.....13
Figure 2. Nearshore nourishment at the Gold Coast, QLD, using Trailing Suction Hopper Dredgers. Credit: ICM.....14

Figure 3. Tweed River Sand Bypass System. The Jetty captures the sand which is transported north across a series of pipes underneath the river. Credit: Gold Coast Waterways Authority. 15

Figure 4. Surfers Paradise sand backpassing system scheme. The sand is captured by the Gold Coast Seaway jetty system and pumped back to Surfers Paradise approximately 4 km south. Credit: City of Gold Coast. 16

Figure 5. Beach scraping at Old Bar Beach, NSW. Credit: Coastal Wiki. 17

Figure 6. Actively managed dunes along the Gold Coast. Credit: ICM. 18

Figure 7. Sand Motor in the Netherlands. Credit: Zandmotor. 19

Figure 8. Narrowneck Multi-purpose Reef, Gold Coast, Australia. Credit: City of Gold Coast. 20

Figure 9. Submerged or low-crested islands, Liguria, Italy. Credit: www.repubblica.it. .21

Figure 10. Rock groynes in Botany Bay, Sydney. Credit: Google Earth. 23

Figure 11. Geofabric groynes at Maroochydore, Australia. Credit: ICM. 23

Figure 12. Fishtail or Y Groyne, Deganwy, UK. Credit: Google Earth. 24

Figure 13. Vertical seawall, Northern Beaches Council, NSW. Credit: NSW Nature Conservation Council. 25

Figure 14. Sloping seawall at Exmouth, UK. Credit: Wikimedia Commons. 25

Figure 15. Sea dike in the Netherlands, combined with longshore control structure. Credit: Coastal Wiki. 26

Figure 16. Detached breakwaters at Saly Beach, Senegal. Credit: Google Earth. 27

Figure 17. Offshore island for coastal erosion combined with groynes. Liguria, Italy. Credit: Google Earth. 27

Figure 18. Artificial headland (large groyne), San Sebastián, Spain. A structure of this scale can behave like a headland, interrupting longshore drift and trapping sand to build and stabilise the beach in its lee. Credit: Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Spain. 28

Figure 19. Hybrid seawall-dune system construction on the Gold Coast, Queensland: a seawall core buried beneath sand, then capped with additional sand and stabilised through dune revegetation. Credit: ICM. 30

Figure 20. Dynamic cobble berm at Surfer's Point, Ventura, California. Credit: ICM. ...31

Figure 21. Sand fencing with natural materials on dune systems in North Carolina, US. Credit: NOAA. 31

Figure 22. Perched beach cross section diagram. Credits: Coastal Wiki. 32

Figure 23. Lowering of beach water table with active beach drainage. Credit: Coastal Wiki. 33

Figure 24. Wave energy converter device. Credit: swel.eu. 34

Figure 25. Conceptual design of a wave energy dissipator to decrease wave velocity. Credit: Boston.com. 34

Figure 26. Purpose built artificial reef for habitat creation, with limited effect of shoreline erosion. Credit: Haejoo.com. 35

Figure 27. Mangrove restoration project in Victoria, Australia. Credit: Ozfish. 36


Figure 28. Seagrass restoration along Sydney's coastline. Credit: ABC. 37

Figure 29. A Living Shoreline constructed in Panama City, Florida using plants and oyster shell. Credit: Florida Living Shorelines. 37

Figure 30. Living Seawalls in Sydney, NSW. Credit: Adapt NSW. 38

Figure 31. Rock filter units for coastal erosion control in the City of Sterling Credit: City of Stirling.....39

Figure 32. Concept comparison of an existing armoured seawall shoreline (left) and a proposed “living speed bump” design that blends compact headlands, nearshore reef elements, nourishment and public amenity features to retain sand and improve beach usability (right), a winning design for Oceanside, California, United States. Credit: ICM. 40


ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY
We acknowledge the Traditional Owners and Custodians of the lands on which we live, work, and gather across Australia. We recognise their connection to land, waters, and community, and pay our respects to the people, the cultures and to Elders past, present and emerging.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Term	Definition
Accretion	Build-up of sediments to form land or shoaling in coastal waters and waterways. It may be natural or artificial.
Adaptive Management Framework	A procedure for implementing management while learning about which management actions are most effective at achieving specified objectives.
Alongshore or longshore	Parallel to and near the shoreline.
Artificial headland	Structures built along the toe of eroding dunes to protect strategic points, allowing natural processes to continue along the remaining frontage.
Artificial nourishment	See ‘beach nourishment’.
Beach	An area that is generally composed of sand or pebbles or similar sediment that extends landward from the lowest astronomical tide to the line of vegetation or bedrock or structure.
Beach drainage	Refers to systems, often buried perforated pipes, that lower the water table in the sand to stabilise beaches, reduce erosion, and promote sediment buildup by enhancing water infiltration.
Beach erosion	Refers to landward movement of the shoreline and/or a reduction in beach volume, usually associated with storm events or a series of events, which occurs within the beach fluctuation zone.
Beach nourishment	Beach restoration or augmentation using clean dredged or fill sand. Dredged sand can be excavated and trucked or hydraulically pumped and placed directly onto an eroded beach or placed in the littoral transport system.
Beach profile	A cross-section taken perpendicular to a given beach contour; the profile may include the face of a dune or seawall, extend over the backshore, across the foreshore, and seaward underwater into the nearshore zone.
Beach scraping (ploughing)	A mechanical intervention to speed up the natural processes of berm and foredune recovery after a storm event.
Breakwater	A man-made structure protecting a shore area, harbour, anchorage or basin from waves.

Bruun Rule	A commonly used method for estimating the response of a sandy shoreline to rising sea levels.
Buffer area	Parcel or strip of land that is designed and designated to permanently remain vegetated in an undisturbed and natural condition to protect an adjacent aquatic or wetland site from upland impacts, to provide habitat for wildlife and to afford limited public access.
Bypassing, sand	Hydraulic or mechanical movement of sand from the accreting up-drift side to the eroding down-drift side of an inlet or harbour entrance. The hydraulic movement may include natural movement as well as movement caused by humans.
Climate change	Occurs naturally in response to long-term variables and is often used to describe a change of climate that is directly attributable to human activity that alters the global atmosphere, increasing change beyond natural variability and trends.
Coastal Adaptation	Adjustment in natural or human coastal systems in response to actual or expected climate change or its effect, to moderate harm or to take advantage of beneficial opportunities.
Coastal asset	Includes natural features of the coastal zone, including landforms, ecosystems and species; and built assets such as infrastructure, public and private buildings or structures.
Coastal control structure	An engineered barrier or modification built to manage erosion, control sediment movement, and protect coastlines from waves and rising sea levels.
Coastal dune	Vegetated and unvegetated sand ridges built-up at the back of a beach. They comprise of dry beach sand that has been blown landward and trapped by plants or other obstructions. Stable sand dunes act as a buffer against wave damage during storms, protecting the land behind from saltwater intrusion, sea spray and strong winds. Coastal dunes also act as a reservoir of sand to replenish and maintain the beach at times of erosion.
Coastal hazard	Usually defined to mean the following: • beach erosion • shoreline recession • coastal lake or watercourse entrance instability • coastal inundation • coastal cliff or slope instability • tidal inundation

- erosion and inundation of foreshores caused by tidal waters and the action of waves, including the interaction of those waters with catchment floodwaters.

Coastal inundation	Coastal inundation occurs when a combination of marine and atmospheric processes raises the water level at the coast above normal elevations, causing land that is usually 'dry' to become inundated by sea water.
Coastal processes	Marine, physical, meteorological and biological activities that interact with the geology and sediments to produce a particular coastal system.
Coastal protection works	Activities or works to reduce the impact of coastal hazards on land adjacent to tidal waters, including (but not limited to) beach nourishment, seawalls, revetments and groynes.
Coastal sediment compartment	An area of the coast defined by its sediment flows and landforms. Coastal sediment compartments may be mapped at primary, secondary or tertiary (local) scales. Boundaries are generally defined by structural features related to the geologic frameworks that define the planform of the coast.
Cost Benefit Analysis	A decision-making framework that systematically compares the total projected costs (explicit, implicit, intangible) of a project or action against its total benefits.
Cross-shore transport	Refers to the sediment moved in a cross-shore direction to the coastline induced by water motions due to waves and currents.
Dune	See coastal dune.
Erosion	The wearing away of land by the action of natural forces. On a beach, the carrying away of beach material by wave action, tidal currents, littoral currents, or by deflation.
Exposure	The potential for assets to be impacted by a hazard based on data or modelling of the hazard.
Extreme storm event	Storm for which characteristics (wave height, period, water level etc.) were derived by statistical extreme value analysis. Typically, these are storms with average recurrence intervals (ARI) ranging from one to 100 years.
Foredune	The larger and more mature dune lying between the incipient dune and the hind-dune area. Foredune vegetation is characterised by grasses and shrubs. Foredunes provide an essential reserve of sand to meet the erosion demand during storm conditions. During storm events, the foredune can be eroded back to produce a pronounced dune scarp.

Flux	The movement, transport, and rate at which sand travels through water.
Geomorphology	A branch of physical geography which deals with the form of the earth, the general configuration of its surface, the distribution of the land, water, etc., or the investigation of the history of geologic changes through the interpretation of topographic forms.
Geotextile (geofabric)	A synthetic fabric which may be woven or non-woven and used as a filter.
Groyne	A shore protection structure built (usually perpendicular to the shoreline) to trap littoral drift or erosion of the shore; or a narrow, shore normal structure built to reduce longshore currents, and/or to trap and retain littoral material. Most groynes are of timber or rock and extend from a seawall, or the backshore, well onto the foreshore and rarely even further offshore.
Groyne Field	A series of groynes along a section of coastline.
Hazard	A process, or activity that affects an asset or value. See also ‘coastal hazards’ which are the specific hazards defined in the State legislation.
Impacts	Include damage, harm or losses to exposed communities, property, services, livelihoods, access, use and amenity, heritage, ecosystems and the environment because of exposure and sensitivity. Impacts may also be positive.
Infragravity waves	Low-frequency waves (long-period oscillations) generated by groups of breaking short waves. They can dominate water-level fluctuations and runup during storms, especially on gently sloping beaches. Infragravity waves influence swash, dune erosion, and overtopping by increasing the duration and reach of the run up.
Likelihood	The chance of something happening, whether defined, measured or determined objectively or subjectively, qualitatively or quantitatively, and described using general terms or mathematically (such as a probability or a frequency over a given time period).
Littoral	Of or pertaining to a shore, especially of the sea. Often used as a general term for the coastal zone influenced by wave action, or, more specifically, the shore zone between the high and low water marks.
Littoral transport rate	Rate of transport of sedimentary material parallel or perpendicular to the shore in the littoral zone. Usually expressed in cubic metres per year. Commonly synonymous with longshore transport rate.
Longshore transport (littoral drift)	Refers to the sediment moved along a coastline under the action of wave-induced longshore currents. The net drift is the sum of the northwards and southwards sand movement. The gross drift is the sum

	of the drift magnitudes (absolute values). Both gross and net drift are typically averaged over a year and expressed in m^3/yr.
Maintenance dredging	The recurrent dredging of sediment from a waterway, including existing navigation channels, approaches and berths, to allow safe navigation by commercial or recreational boating traffic.
Managed retreat	Also referred to as managed realignment. For the coastal zone (generally the coastal vulnerability area), managed retreat allows the shoreline to migrate landward unimpeded. It allows an area that was not previously exposed to coastal processes and hazards to become exposed, for instance by removing or breaching coastal protection works. Managed retreat may involve the relocation landward, out of a coastal risk area, of homes and infrastructure under threat from coastal erosion, recession or inundation. It may also involve the deliberate setting back (moving landward) of the existing line of sea defence to obtain engineering or environmental advantages. During a managed retreat process, a new foreshore area or new intertidal habitat may be created.
Micro-tidal	Coastal areas with a small tidal range, typically less than 2 meters.
Multi-criteria analysis	A logical and structured decision-making tool for complex problems involving multiple factors or criteria, where a consensus is difficult to achieve. It may involve processes such as ranking, rating (with relative or ordinal scales) or pairwise comparisons. The process allows participants to consider, discuss and test complex trade-offs among alternatives.
Planform	The shape of the coastline or beach when viewed from above (map view). It describes features such as embayments, salients, shoreline alignment, and curvature around structures or reefs. Planform is used to interpret how waves and sediment transport are controlling shoreline position and change.
Recession / retreat	A continuing landward movement of the shoreline; or a net landward movement of the shoreline over a specified time.
Resilience	The ability of a system (human or natural) to adapt to changing conditions (including hazards or threats, variability and extremes) and rapidly recover from disruption due to emergencies. Resilient systems or communities have the capacity to ‘bounce back’ after a disrupting event such as a major storm or an extended heat wave, to moderate potential damages, take advantage of opportunities, maintain or restore function or to cope with the consequences.
Revetment or seawall	A type of coastal protection works which protects assets from coastal erosion by armouring the shore with erosion-resistant material. Large rocks/boulders, concrete or other hard materials are used, depending on the specific design requirements.

Risk	Effect of uncertainty on planning and management objectives, usually characterised by reference to potential hazards, their consequence and their likelihood. Consequence combines the concepts of magnitude, sensitivity and duration.
Salient	A bulge or protrusion of the shoreline that forms in the "lee" (sheltered side) of an offshore obstacle.
Sea level rise	An increase in the mean level of the oceans. Relative sea level rise occurs where there is a local increase in the level of the ocean relative to the land, which might be caused by ocean rising, the land subsiding, or both.
Sediment cells (tertiary)	Small and relatively contained sediment compartments. A tertiary sediment cell may apply to a single beach/embayment.
Sediment transport	The process whereby sediment is moved offshore, onshore or along shore by wave, current or wind action.
Shoreline recession / retreat	Refers to continuing landward movement of the shoreline, that is, a net landward movement of the shoreline, generally assessed over a period of several years. As shoreline recession occurs the beach fluctuation zone is translated landward.
Storm surge	The increase in coastal water level caused by the effects of storms. Storm surge consists of two components - the increase in water level caused by the reduction in barometric pressure and the increase in water level caused by the action of wind blowing over the sea surface (wind set-up).
Storm tide	An abnormally high-water level that occurs when a storm surge combines with a high astronomical tide (e.g. spring tide). The storm tide must be accurately predicted to determine the potential extent of coastal inundation.
Surf zone	The area from the line of the outer most breaking waves to the limit of wave run-up on the beach.
Swash zone	The zone of wave action on the beach, which moves as water levels vary, extending from the limit of run down to the limit of run-up.
Swell waves	Ocean waves that travel beyond the area where they are generated.
Threats	In the coastal management context, a threat is a process or activity which puts pressure on one or more coastal assets or values. Threats may include land uses (e.g. urban, recreation), land management, climate change, industrial discharges, stormwater runoff, overfishing, invasive species as well as the pressures from coastal hazards.

Tombolo	A sandy or gravelly deposit that connects an offshore island or reef to the mainland. It forms when waves refract and shelter the lee side, causing sediment to accumulate in the low-energy zone. Tombolos can stabilise the local shoreline but may also interrupt alongshore sediment transport.
Training walls	Walls constructed at the entrances of estuaries and rivers to improve navigability.
Vulnerability	A function of exposure and sensitivity of assets to a hazard, which determines the potential impacts of the hazard. For instance, the vulnerability of coastal assets may be influenced by the extent and impact of environmental, social and economic factors such as saline contamination of soils from flooding, erosion of built-up and natural areas, loss of vegetation, disruption to use or access, continuity of service, or loss of amenity, corrosion of built structures, undermining of foundations or damage to contents. Vulnerability also considers the adaptive capacity which is the capacity to adapt or the resilience in the system to manage the impacts and changes.
Wave angle	Represents the inclination of a wave's propagation direction relative to a reference line, typically the normal to a coastline.
Wave climate	The seasonal and annual distribution of wave height, period and direction.
Wave energy	The capacity of waves to do work. The energy of a wave system is theoretically proportional to the square of the wave height; a high-energy coast is characterised by breaker heights greater than 50 centimetres and a low-energy coast is characterised by breaker heights less than 10 centimetres.

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
AHD	Australian Height Datum
CBA	Cost Benefit Analysis
CHRMAP	Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan
MCA	Multi Criteria Analysis
NBS	Nature Based Solutions
NCCARF	National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility
WEC	Wave Energy Converters

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Site Location

The City of Joondalup lies on Perth's northern coast, Western Australia, with approximately 17 kilometres of open, high-energy shoreline stretching from Marmion to Burns Beach. This coastline supports recreation, conservation, and residential land uses, including popular beaches such as Mullaloo Beach and Sorrento Beach and large-scale coastal infrastructure at Hillarys Boat Harbour and Ocean Reef Marina.

1.2 Background

The City's draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan (CHRMAP) from 2023 set out a long-term framework for managing coastal hazard risks in accordance with State Planning Policy 2.6. During consultation, strong community concern emerged around several elements of the proposed coastal adaptation pathways, particularly the reliance on groyne fields. The majority of respondents to the community consultation expressed that these hard structures conflicted with their expectations for a natural, sandy and visually unobtrusive coastline.

These concerns highlighted a gap between the community's values and the coastal adaptation options previously assessed in the draft CHRMAP (2023), which included conventional engineering measures such as groynes and seawalls.

This targeted research project re-examines the coastal adaptation framework and broadens the range of options under consideration. The purpose of this study is to identify practical, evidence-based, and innovative alternatives that are suitable for Joondalup's high-energy environment, complex coastline, and geomorphological setting, while aligning more closely with community preferences.

The project evaluates a wide set of global and Australian approaches, including hybrid, soft and nature-based measures not assessed in earlier CHRMAP work, with the aim of identifying credible pathways that manage erosion risk while maintaining the environmental values, recreational amenity and visual character of the City's coastline.

1.3 Purpose of Report

This report presents the findings of the "alternative coastal adaptation options study". The purpose of this study is to undertake research and deliver research outcomes and a feasibility assessment to:

- identify any existing, new, and/or innovative coastal adaptation options to manage erosion that were not previously considered as a part of the City's draft CHRMAP (2023a).
- determine if any of these adaptation options are suitable to the City's specific coastal and community conditions.

- determine the effectiveness and feasibility of these adaptation options in managing coastal erosion, in comparison to existing, well-known options.

1.4 Methodology

The study applied a staged, evidence-based method that integrates global research with local data and engineering judgement. It commenced with a desktop review of the City's existing technical studies and coastal monitoring records to establish baseline conditions, confirm key constraints, and identify priority knowledge gaps.

A comprehensive international scan was then undertaken to identify the full range of coastal adaptation approaches used globally, spanning nature-based, hybrid (mixing different types of approaches, techniques or technologies), and engineered measures. Findings from the global scan were synthesised into four typologies: sand management approaches; submerged or low-crest structures; emerged hard structures; and hybrid and innovative alternatives.

These typologies were then used as a base for a long list of adaptation options for consideration in the Joondalup context. Each option was then screened using a SWOT matrix to transparently document likely advantages (strengths and opportunities) and disadvantages (weaknesses and threats), and to determine which options warranted deeper assessment.

Options progressed from the SWOT stage were then evaluated through a feasibility assessment using a consistent multi-criteria lens across technical, environmental, economic, and social considerations, including comparison to traditional approaches such as groynes and identification of how measures could be combined into integrated portfolios rather than treated as standalone solutions.

2 DESKTOP RESEARCH OF ALTERNATIVE COASTAL ADAPTATION OPTIONS

2.1 Reviewing Existing Plans and Data

2.1.1 City of Joondalup Coastal Environment

The Perth coastline is predominantly composed of sandy beaches interspersed with short rocky headlands and outcrops. These rocky features consist mainly of Pleistocene-age aeolianite calcarenites belonging to the Tamala Limestone formation, which underlies much of the Holocene coastal deposits and provides the geological framework of the region (Masselink & Pattiaratchi, 2001). Along the Perth coast, the Tamala Limestone forms a complex series of submarine to emergent ridges that strongly influence nearshore bathymetry and coastal geomorphology. The ridge system trends approximately parallel to the shoreline and comprises three principal ridges: the Spearwood Ridge, which forms the foundation of the mainland coast; the Garden Island Ridge, located around 12 km offshore; and the Five Fathom Bank Ridge, about 20 km offshore. Together with Garden Island and Rottnest Island, these offshore ridges provide substantial protection to the mainland coast by dissipating and refracting incoming wave energy.

Masselink and Pattiaratchi (2001) found that variations in beach width along much of the Perth coastline are driven primarily by seasonal reversals in littoral drift direction rather than by changes in overall wave energy. During summer, prevailing sea breezes induce northward sediment transport, causing sand to accumulate on the southern sides of coastal structures, headlands, and rocky outcrops, resulting in wider beaches in these areas. In winter, when storms generate southward longshore transport, these same beaches erode as sediment is redistributed southward. Conversely, beaches located north of such obstacles tend to narrow in summer and widen in winter. The magnitude of this seasonal beach cycle increases with both the size of the obstruction and the beach's proximity to it and can reach amplitudes of up to a 50 metres oscillation from the most eroded shoreline position to the most accreted.

MP Rogers & Associates (MRA, 2022) summarised earlier coastal hazard assessments and described the coastal setting of the City of Joondalup within the framework of the Western Australian Sediment Cell concept. The City's coastline lies predominantly within secondary sediment cells 27 (Trigg to Pinnaroo Point) and 28 (Pinnaroo Point to the Burns Beach salient). These cells are defined by their distinct patterns of contemporary sediment transport, inter-decadal shoreline variability, and geomorphic response. The assessment of shoreline movement for the City, documented in MRA (2016), focused on these two sediment cells.

Coastal hazard assessments incorporated storm erosion modelling based on three simulated repetitions of the July 1996 storm event, long-term historical shoreline erosion derived from approximately 70 years of vegetation line change, and projected shoreline recession due to sea-level rise following the Bruun Rule, estimating average values of 32 m over 50 years and 90 m over 100 years along the Joondalup shoreline. Coastal inundation

from storm surge was modelled to reach 4 m AHD for a 500-year average recurrence interval event (MRA, 2022).

Recent coastal monitoring has confirmed that shoreline change is continuing along the City's coast. MRA (2023), reporting on surveys conducted in 2022 as part of the City's biennial monitoring program (initiated in 2015 under the Department of Transport framework), identified areas of both erosion and accretion of up to 6 metres between October 2021 and August 2022. The most pronounced erosion occurred between the northern end of Hillarys Beach Park and Pinnaroo Point, encompassing the Whitfords Dog Beach sector. Overall shoreline movement between 2015 and 2022 was generally less than 5 metres, except at several previously recognised erosion hotspots, including the following sites:

- Hillarys Beach Park
- Pinnaroo Point
- The northern end of Burns Beach

Sections of Whitfords Beach, north of Pinnaroo Point, have continued to experience erosion over the same period. Updated monitoring data from MRA (2024) confirms ongoing shoreline retreat north of Hillarys, particularly at Pinnaroo Point, and highlights a continued upward trend in mean water levels since 1995. As severe storm events typically coincide with elevated water levels, it is reasonable to infer that the progressive rise in sea level has reduced the effectiveness of the offshore ridges and shoals in dissipating wave energy, thereby contributing to increased coastal erosion, exacerbated by Hillarys Boat Harbour reducing alongshore net northward sediment supply.

The geology and geomorphology of the City's coastline are consistent with the broader Perth coastal system described earlier. The shoreline lies at the southern end of the Whitfords-Lancelin sector, which is characterised as a predominantly straight, rocky coast punctuated by isolated accretionary cusps. Within the study area, this is expressed as a sequence of limestone cliffs, headlands, and nearshore reef platforms in the south, transitioning to sandy beaches and dune systems toward the north. A key geomorphic control on coastal orientation within this sector is Little Island and its associated shoals, located approximately two kilometres west of Hillarys Beach. The wave sheltering provided by this feature is thought to be the principal factor responsible for the formation of the salient at Pinnaroo Point over geological timescales.

BMT JFA (2016), in their coastal hazard assessment for the City of Stirling immediately south of Joondalup, identified a strong seasonal sediment transport pattern driven by the prevailing wind and wave climate. During summer, northward longshore transport associated with the sea breeze system is estimated to reach approximately 150,000 m³, with up to 80,000 m³ of this sediment being trapped by Trigg Island (Pattiaratchi et al., 1997). This is largely counterbalanced by southward transport during winter storms, resulting in a net northward transport near Trigg Beach of around 10,000 - 20,000 m³ per year (Stul & Eliot, 2005; MRA, 2010). Net northward transport past Trigg Island has been estimated between 6,000 m³ and 9,000 m³ per year, supplemented by an inferred onshore feed of approximately 5,000 m³ per year from offshore sources north of Trigg. This sediment supply is consistent

with the observed increase in calcium carbonate content of beach sands, characteristic of offshore sands, from Trigg Island to Sorrento within the City of Joondalup (Stul & Eliot, 2005).

At Sorrento Beach, the long-shore sediment transport flux prior to the construction of Hillarys Boat Harbour was estimated at 70,000 - 90,000 m³ per year (PWD, 1984). Since the installation of the Sorrento groynes in the early 1980s and the construction of Hillarys Boat Harbour in 1987, the seasonal sediment flux has decreased markedly, with Sorrento Beach accreting at a rate of approximately 1.3 m per year up to 2008 (MRA, 2010). A more recent investigation by MRA (2025) into sand bypassing at Hillarys Boat Harbour estimated a similar net northerly drift of approximately 10,000 m³ per year, with an equivalent volume now artificially bypassed annually during October and November.

Digital Earth Australia (DEA) shoreline analysis between 1988 and 2024 confirmed persistent accretion to the south of the harbour and erosion to the north, with cumulative northern shoreline losses of around 25,000 m³ per year (MRA 2025). These losses are related to the net change within the downdrift shoreline segment. Some of this sand is likely being redistributed further north. These losses have likely been reduced by the City's bypassing program.

In summary, the Joondalup coastline consists of alternating limestone outcrops forming pocket beaches and extensive sandy beach sections. Sediment movement is dominated by offshore transport during major storm events, onshore-directed sand transport during calm conditions and a net northward longshore drift of approximately 10,000 m³ per year around the Hillarys Boat Harbour area. This sediment flow is interrupted by structures such as groynes and harbour breakwaters, leading to sand accumulation on their southern sides and erosion to the north. The most prominent geomorphic feature of the coastline is the Pinnaroo Point salient, which has developed over time due to wave sheltering provided by Little Island and its associated offshore shoals.

2.1.2 Joondalup Coastal Management to Date

There is a long history of shoreline management along the City's coast, with several structures built over the past decades. Groynes, both as single units and in fields, have been installed at various locations to influence sediment transport. The most prominent example is the Sorrento groyne field, which extends only a short distance offshore. Because of its limited length, it generates only minor localised accretion or erosion, and any changes following major storm events typically rebalance once longshore transport re-establishes.

MRA (2022) provides a detailed inventory of the existing coastal protection structures within the City, summarising the range of engineered interventions currently in place:

- Burns Beach Groyne
- Ocean Reef Boat Harbour Breakwaters (recently extended)
- Sorrento Beach Groynes (three) and Seawall

- Marmion Angling and Aquatic Club (MAAC) Seawall

MRA (2022) also documented ongoing sand bypassing operations undertaken by the City to mitigate sand accumulation and erosion associated with Hillarys Boat Harbour. These include:

- December 2018 – 8,400 m³ of sand bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- March/April 2020 – 8,700 m³ bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- March 2021 – 8,000 m³ bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- October/November 2021 – 8,000 m³ bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- October/November 2022 – 8,000 m^3 bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- October/November 2023 – 10,000 m^3 bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park
- October/November 2024 – 16,000 m^3 bypassed from Sorrento Beach to Hillarys Beach Park

A subsequent study by MRA (2025) provided a comprehensive analysis and multi-criteria assessment (MCA) of sand bypassing options for Hillarys Boat Harbour, identifying land-based trucking of sand as the most cost effective and practical approach for the current volumes bypassed.

2.1.3 Coastal Hazard Management and Adaptation Plan

The City engaged MP Rogers & Associates to develop a technical Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan (CHRMAP), which was completed in 2022 (MRA 2022). The City also engaged Water Technology to create a community-facing draft CHRMAP using the MP Rogers & Associates technical CHRMAP which was completed in 2023.

The community-facing draft CHRMAP 2023 - 2033 presented the same information as the technical CHRMAP, in a simpler format, and was released for public consultation in 2023 (MRA, City of Joondalup & Water Technology 2023a). All the technical information presented in the community-facing CHRMAP was sourced from the MP Rogers & Associates technical CHRMAP.

While formatted differently, both reports present the same material in relation to coastal hazard assessment and adaptation planning. Their methodology aligned with WA State Planning Policy 2.6 and associated guidelines employing established risk and vulnerability assessment processes and a structured evaluation of management options.

In summary:

- A standard vulnerability assessment was undertaken for individual assets along the coastline.

- Relevant planning controls and ongoing coastal monitoring programs were identified.
- Adaptation measures were assessed within the widely recognised hierarchy of **Avoid**, **Retreat**, **Accommodate**, and **Protect**.
- The adaptation options considered included *Do Nothing, Seawall, Managed Retreat, Groynes, Headlands,* and *Beach Nourishment*.
- Each option was accompanied by an outline of its advantages and disadvantages.
- The evaluation of options relied primarily on Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) and Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA), supported by the identification of trigger points for each management compartment.
- The CBA, documented separately in MRA (2021), focused exclusively on City-managed assets. Benefits were estimated using visitor data, Western Australian asset valuation data, and New South Wales benchmarks for social and environmental non-use values.
- Advantages and disadvantages were tabulated for each recommended option, leading to a ranked list of preferred adaptation measures.

Based on the combined MCA and CBA results, the Draft CHRMAP identifies the adaptation options to implement across the City's coastal compartments (Table 1).

Table 1. Preferred adaptation option for each coastal management zone after multi-criteria analysis and cost-benefit analysis in Joondalup (MRA, 2023)

Coastal Management Zone	Preferred adaptation option
Marmion	Beach nourishment
Sorrento	Groynes
Hillarys to Kallaroo	Groynes
Mullaloo	Groynes
Ocean Reef	Do nothing
Iluka	Beach nourishment
Burns Beach	Retreat (public only)

2.2 Literature Review and Global Best Practice

2.2.1 Introduction

On micro-tidal, high-energy coasts such as Joondalup, where tides are small, but wave forces are strong, maintaining continuous alongshore sediment movement and promptly replenishing storm losses are the most reliable ways to preserve durable beach width and recreational amenity while avoiding downdrift erosion impacts.

Contemporary best practice has shifted toward sediment-led and low-visibility approaches that align with natural transport pathways, supplemented, only where necessary, by submerged or low-crested control features and carefully positioned hard structures that help stabilise shoreline planform without compromising surf quality. This approach is exemplified on the Gold Coast where the artificial bypassing systems are designed to replicate natural alongshore sand transport, and where submerged artificial reefs (Narrowneck and Palm Beach) act as coastal control structures to enhance the resilience of beach nourishment.

The literature further emphasises a process-based, adaptive management framework: strategically place sand where coastal processes can naturally redistribute it, use subtle structural interventions only when required, and retain flexibility under sea-level rise through scalable and iterative nourishment programs (Stive et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2018; WAPC, 2014).

2.2.2 Frameworks and Approaches for Coastal Hazard Adaptation Options

Many local and state governments in Australia and internationally have developed frameworks and guidelines to assist in selecting appropriate coastal hazard adaptation options. At the national level, *CoastAdapt*, (https://coastadapt.com.au) the guidance platform produced by the National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility (NCCARF), identifies five broad categories of adaptation responses to climate change and the increasing risks of coastal inundation and erosion:

1. Avoidance
2. Managed retreat
3. Accommodation or limited intervention
4. Hold the line (protection)
5. Loss acceptance

Alternative classifications have also been adopted in other jurisdictions. For example, the City of Christchurch, New Zealand (City of Christchurch, n.d.) uses a five-tier framework expressed in more accessible, community-oriented terms:

- **Maintain - “We enhance what we’re already doing.”**
  Continue living in an area while improving understanding of local environmental

conditions and strengthening community awareness of risk. Typical actions include maintaining existing infrastructure, implementing emergency response measures, applying broad-scale land-use planning, and undertaking environmental monitoring and education.

- **Accommodate - "We live with the hazard."**
  Continue to use land in hazard-prone areas while adapting to the risk, raising tolerance and delaying relocation where feasible. Measures may include elevating buildings, modifying infrastructure, raising land levels, and improving stormwater and groundwater management.
- **Protect - "We keep the hazard away."**
  Reduce exposure by using soft, hard, or hybrid engineering interventions that act as barriers between coastal processes and assets. Examples include beach nourishment, dune enhancement, seawalls, and levees.
- **Retreat - "We move away from the hazard."**
  Relocate people and infrastructure away from areas of unacceptable risk, allowing coastal systems to adjust naturally. Options include voluntary buybacks, land swaps, or leaseback arrangements.
- **Avoid - "We don't move into the way of the hazard."**
  Use forward planning tools such as zoning, setbacks, or development exclusions to prevent new exposure to coastal risks.

2.2.3 Global List of Coastal Adaptation Options

From the local and international literature, many compendia have been presented setting out a range of adaptation options. The following discussion sets out selected examples of the approaches proposed. An early example is the compendium prepared for the Queensland experience developed by Griffith University and GHD (2012), see Appendix Table B-1. Options relevant to Joondalup include beach nourishment, artificial reefs, detached breakwaters, groynes and artificial headlands and seawalls.

The most recent Australian adaptation compendium, prepared for Victoria by BMT (2023), introduces several approaches that may be directly applicable to the Joondalup coastline. These include the use of geofabric structures (such as geotextile containers and tubes) to provide flexible and low-visibility coastal protection, wet sand fencing to promote natural dune building and sediment capture, sand bypassing systems to maintain alongshore sediment continuity, and the restoration or construction of shellfish reefs to enhance coastal resilience through wave attenuation and ecological co-benefits - see Appendix Table B-2.

Baills et al. (2020) undertook a comprehensive review of international literature to identify 51 coastal adaptation measures potentially suitable for application along the high-energy, wave-dominated Aquitaine coast in south-west France. The study aimed to catalogue both traditional and emerging approaches for managing erosion and shoreline change in comparable coastal environments. The results of their assessment are summarised in the Appendix Table B-3. The measures for flexible management of natural areas that focus on soft and hybrid beach-management techniques, and that directly address chronic shoreline erosion on open, sandy, micro-tidal, high-energy coasts, are most relevant to Joondalup.

While Bailles et al. (2020) presents a full range of physical, nature-based and hybrid interventions, they also highlight the broader organisational settings and practical actions that support the delivery and long-term effectiveness of these options. Institutional enablers such as governance, planning tools, monitoring and community engagement with selected on-ground measures are also discussed.

In a recent report to the World Bank (2025) on beach stabilisation, the continuum from nature-based approaches to hard-engineering interventions is illustrated, highlighting intermediate techniques such as dune brushing (dune management), the strategic planting of vegetation to promote sand accumulation, and beach ploughing (scraping) to reshape the beach profile (Appendix Table B-4).

Nature-based solutions such as mangroves and saltmarshes are suited to relatively sheltered, low-energy environments, while seagrass meadows and coral reefs can influence beach stability by reducing wave energy. However, even these systems cannot compensate for a fundamental lack of sand supply. On highly dynamic, sediment-starved coasts like Joondalup, the natural behaviour of the beach is driven primarily by sediment availability, meaning habitat-based Nature Based Solutions (NBS) alone are insufficient to address chronic erosion.

Most of the recent international literature on innovative coastal adaptation focuses on the frameworks and governance models through which adaptation is implemented rather than on specific engineering interventions. For example, Lebbe et al. (2021) emphasise the importance of hybrid approaches and adaptive pathways that evolve over time in response to local sociocultural, geographic, and climatic conditions. They highlight that dynamic, participatory policies can foster collective learning, enable social and behavioural change, and strengthen adaptation outcomes. Successful adaptation frameworks typically rely on knowledge co-production, stakeholder engagement, governance across government levels and agencies, continuous policy monitoring, and a sense of territorial solidarity among affected communities.

A complementary strand of literature centres on nature-based and hybrid approaches, such as *Living Seawalls* (Vozzo, 2024). Schoonees et al. (2019) provide a comprehensive overview of the benefits and challenges of nature-based solutions, noting that while soft interventions can enhance ecosystem services, their feasibility is often constrained by local physical conditions. In such cases, integrated hard-soft solutions are often optimal. The authors describe how hard coastal structures can be adapted to reduce adverse morphological, hydrodynamic, and ecological impacts through design enhancements that promote habitat complexity and ecological function. Schoonees et al. (2019) identified the following nature-based adaptations to complement both soft and hard engineering approaches:

Soft Solutions

- Installation of brushwood fences to replicate natural vegetation and promote sediment deposition.
- Hydrologic restoration through selective excavation or filling.

- Targeted sediment nourishment to enhance wave attenuation in front of sensitive ecosystems.
- Integrating natural habitats such as reefs, seagrass or saltmarsh in the foreshore to act as buffers that reduce incoming wave energy.
- Planting or transplanting of native dune and foreshore vegetation.

Hard Solutions

- Increasing surface roughness and structural complexity to improve ecological value.
- Incorporation of microhabitats (e.g., rock pools, habitat units) into existing or new structures.
- Integration with ecosystem restoration projects (e.g., coral reefs, seagrass beds, or vegetated revetments).
- Combining with nourishment campaigns to reduce replenishment frequency.
- Selective modification or removal of seawalls to restore sediment movement.

2.2.4 Out of Scope Adaptation Options

The case studies reviewed in this report show the wide range of coastal adaptation approaches being trialled globally. This review focuses on adaptation options that act on the physical coastal environment and influence open-coast erosion and beach stability (for example sediment management, nature-based, hybrid, and engineered measures) applicable to Joondalup and other parts of the world.

To support transparent community engagement, the analysis also includes some options that are unlikely to be suitable locally, so they can be explained clearly, assessed using the same criteria, and ruled in or out on evidence rather than assumption. In particular, the review intentionally includes the full spectrum of nature-based options, even where local constraints may limit applicability, because these measures are frequently raised in community discussion and international examples.

Options that are outside scope and not assessed further in this report include:

- Storm-surge or inundation focused measures (for example raising land or floor levels, floodproofing, removable or relocatable buildings, and large-scale infrastructure elevation).
- Planned retreat and land tenure mechanisms (for example buybacks, land swaps, and transfer of development rights).
- Statutory planning and development controls (for example zoning changes, hazard overlays, setbacks, managed access, and development conditions).
- Catchment and urban water interventions (for example drainage upgrades and water-sensitive urban design).
- Emergency management and preparedness (for example response planning, community education, and disaster recovery programs).

2.3 Adaptation Options for Further Consideration

The City's Draft CHRMAP (MRA, City of Joondalup & Water Technology, 2023a) identifies beach nourishment and groynes as the primary active interventions for several coastal sectors, alongside the broader strategic options of Do Nothing and Planned Retreat, which are not covered in this report. Nourishment is now widely regarded internationally as a flexible, low-visibility measure that preserves beach amenity while working with natural processes, and available studies indicate that offshore sand reserves are likely sufficient to support ongoing campaigns.

Drawing on global literature and an understanding of Joondalup's coastal processes and hazard drivers, four broad families of adaptation options are assessed in Sections 2.3.1 to 2.3.4. Each family encompasses a suite of measures that vary in visibility, permanence, cost and intervention intensity:

- **Sand management approaches** - including beach nourishment, sand bypassing and backpassing, beach scraping and re-profiling, and dune enhancement or revegetation.
- **Submerged or low-crest structures** - including artificial reefs, submerged breakwaters and islands that dissipate wave energy while maintaining beach amenity.
- **Emerged hard structures** - such as groynes, and other emerged control structures.
- **Hybrid and innovative alternatives** - combining soft, hard, and operational techniques (e.g., dynamic revetments, wet-sand fencing, hybrid dunes, living seawalls, etc.) that align with community preferences for low-visibility and nature-based solutions.

2.3.1 Sand Management Approaches

Sand nourishment remains one of the most widely implemented and adaptable approaches for managing erosion and sustaining beach amenity on exposed sandy coasts. Global experience shows the effectiveness of a range of shoreline nourishment types, and other sand management approaches such as bypassing and backpassing sand, or dune management.

Across all nourishment approaches, best practice emphasises matching sand characteristics and placement geometry to local coastal processes. Grain-size compatibility strongly influences how long material remains in the system and how it behaves under wave forcing, while the depth and shape of the placement control how efficiently natural transport redistributes sediment alongshore and cross-shore. Larger but less frequent campaigns can offer economic advantages where logistics and budgets allow, provided they are governed by monitoring-based triggers that guide targeted interim top-ups (Barnard et al., 2009; McGill et al., 2022).

2.3.1.1 Beach Face Nourishment

Beach face nourishment places compatible sand directly on the visible beach to rapidly widen the beach, increase storm buffer capacity, and improve recreational amenity (Carley et al., 2017). On high-energy coasts, the placed sand is typically redistributed quickly by storms and longshore transport, so benefits are temporary and usually require planned top-ups or repeat campaigns (USACE 2007; AECOM 2010).

For example, a recent high-energy Atlantic case study modelled an effective nourishment lifespan of about 4.5 - 7.5 years (Oliveira et al., 2025). Key limitations are the recurring cost and logistics of repeat placements, short-term construction disruption, a rapid alongshore distribution, potential ecological impacts at both borrow and placement sites, which can constrain approvals and community acceptance if not well managed (Saengsupavanich et al., 2023).



Figure 1. Beach face nourishment at New Jersey Shores, United States. Credit: GLDD.

2.3.1.2 Shoreface or Nearshore Nourishment

Shoreface or nearshore nourishment, involves placing sand in the nearshore waters and is often implemented through submerged feeder berms in water depths of around 3-6 metres, or by spraying from a vessel into the nearshore waters (as pictured in Figure 2). This method relies on natural wave and current processes to gradually transport sand toward the shoreline. This indirect nourishment approach commonly places the berm deeper (so it is less exposed to wave-breaking-induced longshore currents) and designs it with sufficient alongshore length, which helps reduce alongshore displacement and improves sediment retention and onshore migration. It can also reduce turbidity impacts, costs, and ecological disturbance while still achieving measurable increases in beach width over time (Barnard et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2021, McGill et al., 2022).

Performance depends on depth relative to closure, bar morphology, and seasonal wave climate (Barnard et al., 2009; Huisman et al. 2019; McGill et al., 2022). For example, on the Dutch coast, several shoreface nourishment projects placed sand at 4-10 m depths; over time these have acted as feeder berms that slowly widen the adjacent sandy beach profile while dissipating wave energy (Van der Werf et al. 2025).

Field evidence from high-energy, microtidal environments such as Ocean Beach, San Francisco (Barnard et al., 2009) and Harvey Cedars, New Jersey (McGill et al., 2022) shows that shoreface-placed berms can migrate onshore and widen beaches within months, demonstrating the effectiveness of this method under energetic conditions. Shallower placements typically respond continuously to moderate wave activity, whereas deeper placements tend to mobilise episodically during larger storm events.



Figure 2. Nearshore nourishment at the Gold Coast, QLD, using Trailing Suction Hopper Dredgers. Credit: ICM.

2.3.1.3 Large Scale Sand Nourishment

Large scale sand nourishment is an option involving placement of large volumes (in general above ~100,000 m³) in a single campaign, which is an order of magnitude higher than current nourishment activities. At this scale, unit costs can be lower because mobilisation and dredging/trucking costs are spread over more sand, and the larger buffer can provide longer-lasting increases in beach width compared with small, ad hoc works.

Shoreface nourishment literature similarly frames these projects as placing relatively large volumes offshore, with performance strongly influenced by placement depth, sediment characteristics and the alongshore extent of the deposit (van der Werf et al., 2025). However, large scale nourishment requires a reliable sand source, access and staging areas, longer construction periods, and a clear approvals pathway, with potentially greater turbidity, ecological and community impacts at borrow and placement sites.

For the Joondalup coastline, large scale nourishment is most likely to be feasible where there is sufficient continuous sandy frontage to retain benefits at scale, practical access for construction logistics, and a credible long-term sand supply strategy (including potential integration with bypassing or backpassing). In contrast, very large “mega-scale” volumes (order of millions of cubic metres) are unlikely to be applicable to Joondalup’s relatively short and segmented beach compartments, and would generally be disproportionate in cost, approvals complexity, and construction footprint relative to the scale of the problem.

In addition to importing new sand, several sand management methods operate by recirculating sediment already within the coastal cell, reducing reliance on external sources. These include sand bypassing, sand backpassing, beach scraping and re-profiling, and dune enhancement and revegetation.

2.3.1.4 Sand Bypassing

Sand bypassing involves removing onshore beach sand from one area and depositing it at a different onshore location to mimic natural sand movement that may have been interrupted by engineered structures, such as harbours. Sand bypassing can be completed using various methods, such as excavation via machinery and trucks, or via fixed pipelines. Where harbours or headlands disrupt natural littoral drift, engineered bypassing systems (such as fixed pipelines and offshore discharge points of sand, in the nearshore area) and smaller-scale schemes (including jet-pump transfers) help re-establish alongshore sediment continuity and maintain downdrift beach conditions. Long-running Australian programs, including the Tweed River and Gold Coast systems, demonstrate that reliable volumes and sustained amenity improvements are achievable when operations are guided by monitoring, performance triggers, and adaptive scheduling (CoastAdapt, 2016; GCWA, 2025).



Figure 3. Tweed River Sand Bypass System. The Jetty captures the sand which is transported north across a series of pipes underneath the river. Credit: Gold Coast Waterways Authority.

2.3.1.5 Sand Backpassing and Enhanced Backpassing

Sand backpassing and enhanced backpassing is the repeated, local transfer of sand from accreting areas (e.g. near headlands, training walls or inlet shoals) to nearby erosion hotspots, using loaders and trucks (or short-distance pumping) to maintain beach width without importing new sand. While bypassing moves sand past blockages, backpassing redistributes sand opposite to the sediment transport direction within beaches. Enhanced backpassing uses monitoring and sediment-tracking (e.g. RTK-GPS, drones, shoreline analytics) to optimise when, where, and how much sand is moved so placements last longer and deliver better value.

Control structures or designated harvest zones can further improve efficiency, as demonstrated at the Spit (Gold Coast) and Semaphore (Adelaide) (Vieira da Silva et al., 2021; Beck et al., 2020; Harry et al., 2023, City of Gold Coast 2025). It is important to note that backpassing is only possible if there is an excess of sand at another local location.




Figure 4. Surfers Paradise sand backpassing system scheme. The sand is captured by the Gold Coast Seaway jetty system and pumped back to Surfers Paradise approximately 4 km south. Credit: City of Gold Coast.

2.3.1.6 Beach Scraping and Re-profiling

Beach scraping regrades existing beach sand after storms by moving sand from the lower beach back to the berm or dune toe to restore the profile and access. It is applied primarily as a short-term recovery measure following storm erosion, controlled scraping can rebuild the berm or foredune and provide interim stability while natural recovery proceeds or until scheduled nourishment occurs. Recent experimental work in coastal engineering shows that carefully managed ploughing and scraping can enhance short-term accretion and temporarily widen the dry beach (Pellón et al., 2024).

However, consistent with earlier practice reviews, ecological safeguards and monitoring remain essential, as scraping redistributes existing sand rather than adding new material to the system. Beach scraping and re-profiling redistribute sand from the lower to upper beach following storms to restore beach access and dune function. This technique provides temporary protection but must be used sparingly and linked to nourishment cycles to avoid downdrift erosion (Pellón et al., 2024; Carley et al., 2010).



Figure 5. Beach scraping at Old Bar Beach, NSW. Credit: Coastal Wiki.

2.3.1.7 Dune Enhancement and Revegetation

Dune enhancement and revegetation rebuild or strengthen the foredune buffer by reshaping degraded dunes (where appropriate), then stabilising them with native dune planting, sand-trapping measures (e.g. fencing), weed control, and access management (e.g. fencing and boardwalks) to reduce trampling and blowouts (Fernández-Montblanc et al. 2020, White et al. 2024). Dune revegetation, in general, is not strong enough to withstand storm erosion, particularly in high energy environments such as the Joondalup coastline. It is recommended to be linked with other options. Evidence from tourism-impacted coasts shows that elevated boardwalks and controlled access can drive substantial recovery of previously degraded dunes over multi-year periods, provided designs do not create new informal tracks (Ferreira 2023). Limitations are that benefits take time to establish, require ongoing maintenance

(especially after storms and high-use periods), and can be constrained where there is insufficient space for dune growth or where repeated storm erosion resets plantings before they mature.



Figure 6. Actively managed dunes along the Gold Coast. Credit: ICM.

2.3.1.8 Mega-nourishments (Sand Motors)

Mega-nourishments (sand motors) involve single, large-scale sand placements that naturally disperse alongshore and onshore, reducing long-term maintenance costs and providing ecological benefits. The Netherlands' 20 Mm³ Zandmotor remains the benchmark example (Stive et al., 2013; Flikweert et al., 2023). Mega-nourishment, or sandscaping, involves placing very large volumes of sand at a strategic location so that natural coastal processes can redistribute it over time. This creates a long-lasting sand source for adjacent beaches and reduces the need for repeated smaller nourishments. Some disadvantages may include significant and long-term change to current coastal form, and it clearly requires large scale investment beyond the reach of most local governments.

The Netherlands' Sand Engine provides a benchmark example, where a single mega-placement has nourished adjacent beaches for more than a decade while delivering ecological and recreational benefits (Stive et al., 2013; Flikweert et al., 2023).



Figure 7. Sand Motor in the Netherlands. Credit: Zandmotor.

2.3.1.9 Implications for Joondalup

For Joondalup, a sand-led baseline strategy that combines beach-face and nearshore nourishment, with potentially other sand management options such as sand bypassing or backpassing and dune enhancement, may offer a practical, low-visibility way to maintain both amenity and protection in line with the State Planning Policy 2.6.

Sand management should be aligned with the dominant northward littoral drift to maximise natural redistribution and longevity. Ongoing hydrographic and topographic surveys, supported by berm-tracking and volumetric analysis, would allow timely, data-driven interventions before critical erosion thresholds are reached. Where sediment continuity is disrupted by structures or inlets, operational bypassing or backpassing should be incorporated to sustain system-wide sediment balance and long-term coastal resilience.

2.3.2 Submerged or Low-crest Structures

Submerged or low-crest structures serve primarily as morphological steering tools. Rather than replacing sediment supply, they modify wave transformation, influence sediment transport pathways, and help maintain a desired shoreline planform. Global practice consistently shows that these structures perform most effectively when combined with active sand management, particularly where periodic nourishment or bypassing maintains beach volumes over time.

2.3.2.1 Multipurpose Artificial Reefs

Multipurpose artificial reefs are submerged structures designed to reduce wave energy while maintaining beach appearance and, in some cases, improving surf and habitat. Modern

submerged reef designs are intended to deliver coastal protection while preserving beach appearance and surf amenity. By attenuating wave energy and stabilising the nearshore zone, they can support shoreline management with minimal visual impact, and modular or eco-compatible materials can add habitat benefits.

Australian examples show reefs working best as part of broader sediment programs: the Narrowneck Reef (geotextile containers) has supported shoreline advancement for over two decades within an ongoing nourishment regime and improved surfing and fish habitat, while the Palm Beach Reef (rock) demonstrates compartment stabilisation without compromising recreation when integrated with sediment management (Jackson et al., 2012; Mortensen et al., 2015; Brullo et al., 2024).

Multipurpose reefs target several benefits (wave attenuation, surfing amenity, habitat), while others are designed primarily for erosion control (for example, the City of Cockburn's C.Y. O'Connor reef). Key drawbacks for both include uncertain performance outside design conditions, potential down-drift or alongshore erosion displacement, and in some settings increased local scour or shoreline retreat.

They can also be costly to construct and monitor, with stakeholder risk around navigation and surf safety if crest elevations or wave breaking differ from expectations. Effective, safe design therefore depends on a robust understanding of local coastal dynamics, supported by field observations and detailed numerical modelling.




Figure 8. Narrowneck Multi-purpose Reef, Gold Coast, Australia. Credit: City of Gold Coast.

2.3.2.2 Submerged Breakwaters and Low-crest Barriers, Including Submerged Islands

Unlike multipurpose artificial reefs (which are typically designed as rough, habitat-forming wave attenuators), submerged breakwaters and islands are generally larger, smoother alongshore (submerged breakwaters) or planform (submerged islands) control elements intended primarily to create a wave-shadow zone and steer shoreline shape.

These linear or modular features operate as low-visibility wave-energy attenuators that gently reshape nearshore morphology. By modifying breaking patterns, they can reduce longshore transport gradients, encourage bar formation, and promote localised accretion. Their influence depends strongly on crest depth, crest width, and planform curvature; even small changes can significantly alter sediment dynamics. For example, small changes in crest depth or gap spacing on low-crested breakwaters can shift the beach response from a mild salient to a fully attached tombolo, with corresponding changes in bypassing and downdrift erosion.

International reviews emphasise that governance, monitoring, and community acceptance are as important as engineering performance, especially where visual amenity and surf quality are valued (Williams et al., 2018).



Figure 9. Submerged or low-crested islands, Liguria, Italy. Credit: www.repubblica.it.

2.3.2.3 Design Considerations for Submerged or Low-crest Structures

Across all structure types, several design principles consistently emerge:

- Crest depth and planform geometry strongly dictate wave breaking, diffraction patterns, and sediment transport; small adjustments can yield large morphological consequences.

- Adaptive design is essential, with iterative calibration using physical and numerical modelling supported by post-construction monitoring.
- Material choice must address both structural and ecological goals; rough natural rock and eco-concrete surfaces reduce scour and promote habitat value.
- Integration with sediment management remains fundamental; reefs and barriers can improve nourishment effectiveness but cannot replace ongoing sediment supply on high-energy, microtidal coasts.

For the Joondalup shoreline, any consideration of submerged or low-crest structures should be framed within the sediment-led adaptation strategy established under the CHRMAP (MRA, 2022). Monitoring from the City's coastal program (MRA 2023; 2024) shows persistent erosion north of Hillarys Boat Harbour and at Pinnaroo Point, driven by sediment transport imbalances associated with the harbour and the prevailing south-westerly wave climate. If ongoing bypassing and nourishment prove insufficient to stabilise these sectors, targeted reef or submerged barrier elements could be assessed as morphodynamic stabilisers to moderate wave-angle asymmetry and enhance local surf quality.

Such interventions should only be advanced within an adaptive management framework that maintains sediment budgets, preserves visual amenity, and aligns with the ecological values of Marmion Marine Park. Feasibility studies would need to include high-resolution wave modelling, detailed assessment of ecological impacts and benefits, and robust community engagement to establish clear objectives and social licence.

2.3.3 Emerged Hard Structures

Emerged structures represent the most visible and interventionist class of coastal protection measures. Unlike submerged or low-crest systems that primarily steer morphology with limited surface expression, emerged structures directly intercept wave energy and longshore transport, exerting a stronger and more immediate influence on shoreline position. Their performance, impacts, and social acceptability vary widely depending on geometry, sediment budgets, and local coastal values. The following reviews the main categories of emerged controls relevant to high-energy sandy coasts and assess their applicability to Joondalup's open shoreline:

2.3.3.1 Rock Groynes

Rock groynes are shore-normal structures built to trap longshore-moving sand and widen the updrift beach, often at the expense of downdrift supply. Groynes interrupt longshore drift to build sand updrift, but they often reduce sediment downdrift and can shift erosion rather than solve it. Performance depends on groyne length relative to surf-zone width and wave angle (also known as the geometric bypass ratio). Short, permeable, well-spaced groynes can moderate drift with less downdrift impact, but only if backed by reliable bypassing or programmed nourishment, plus monitoring triggers (Kristensen et al., 2016; CIRIA, 2020; van Rijn, 2013).

On high-energy, micro-tidal coasts, long groynes can over-trap sand and create strong downdrift deficits, so they must be treated as a system-wide sediment-management intervention.




Figure 10. Rock groynes in Botany Bay, Sydney. Credit: Google Earth.

2.3.3.2 Geofabric Groynes

Geofabric groynes are modular structures built from sand-filled geotextile containers. Because they are assembled from discrete units, they can be repaired, re-stacked, resized, or removed if monitoring shows unacceptable impacts, offering a more adaptable alternative to permanent rock or concrete groynes (Restall et al, 2022).

A key Australian example is the Maroochydore (Cotton Tree) groyne field and seawall on the Sunshine Coast, Queensland. The system uses geotextile sand containers to stabilise an erosion-prone beach compartment and protect foreshore assets, operating alongside nourishment and ongoing maintenance (ICM 2024). Sunshine Coast Council has delivered staged renewal works, rebuilding sections of the groyne field with new containers to maintain function and resilience as the coast continues to adjust. Geofabric groynes can degrade over time due to UV exposure, abrasion and vandalism, requiring periodic replacement, and like other groynes they can shift erosion downdrift by trapping sand on the updrift side and reducing supply to adjacent beaches.



Figure 11. Geofabric groynes at Maroochydore, Australia. Credit: ICM.

2.3.3.3 T/Y Head Groynes

Surface-control structures can also be configured to shape a stable bay planform rather than simply trap sand. T or Y head groynes are groynes with a widened head that provide stronger planform control by shaping a bay-like beach compartment. T or Y head groynes combine a shore-normal stem with a short head to emulate headland control, moderating tombolo formation while flattening longshore gradients; similarly, artificial headlands or short breakwater headlands can guide beaches toward a static equilibrium bay shape predicted with headland-bay models.

For example, Playa de Poniente in Gijón, Spain provides a clear case of engineered headland-controlled planform, while Morecambe, UK demonstrates the use of Y and T head groynes to stabilise a series of beaches. These approaches can preserve planform with less visual clutter than full fields of long groynes, but they still require sediment sources and careful diffraction-aware modelling to avoid downdrift deficits, especially under bimodal wave climates (Hardaway & Gunn, 2010; Hsu, 2010).



Figure 12. Fishtail or Y Groyne, Deganwy, UK. Credit: Google Earth.

2.3.3.4 Vertical Seawalls

Vertical seawalls are near-vertical, generally impermeable walls (often concrete or sheet-pile with a concrete face) built at the back of the beach to protect land and infrastructure from erosion and storm-tide impacts. They can provide strong asset protection, but the steep face reflects wave energy, which can increase turbulence at the wall base, drive toe scour, and lower the seabed in front of the structure, increasing overtopping risk and wave loading over time. Vertical seawalls can also reduce amenity by narrowing or eliminating the dry beach in front of the wall during high water levels, restricting access and leaving waves to reach the structure with little or no beach buffer.

Good practice therefore emphasises robust toe and foundation protection (embedment and scour control), allowance for future bed-level lowering, and post-storm monitoring with clear maintenance triggers. Crest retrofits such as recurves can reduce overtopping in some

conditions but may increase wave impact forces and must be structurally assessed. (Salauddin & Pearson, 2019; Xu et al., 2022; Dong et al., 2021).



Figure 13. Vertical seawall, Northern Beaches Council, NSW. Credit: NSW Nature Conservation Council.

2.3.3.5 Sloping Rock Revetments or Seawalls

Sloping Rock revetments or seawalls are sloping armour layers that dissipate wave energy to protect the foreshore. They usually provide reliable line-of-defence asset protection against storms and progressive recession but, while the slope provides a more effective mechanism to dissipate waves, it can still reflect energy, elevate runup/overtopping risk, and can induce toe scour and beach narrowing ("passive erosion") where shoreline retreat is otherwise expected under sea-level rise (see Allan et al. 2005). Contemporary guidance requires design checks for overtopping discharges, structural and geotechnical stability (including scour and back-of-wall drainage), and allowances for adaptive crest raising over the asset life.

From a coastal-system perspective, extensive shoreline armouring constrains landward beach migration and can accelerate long-term dry-beach loss under sea level rise, with documented amenity and ecological impacts. Where used, seawalls should be paired with sand management (e.g., buffer nourishment, dune building) to maintain public beach width and mitigate reflection effects (EurOtop, 2018; Griggs, 2019; Nawarat et al., 2024).



Figure 14. Sloping seawall at Exmouth, UK. Credit: Wikimedia Commons.

2.3.3.6 Sea Dikes

Sea dikes are continuous, shore-parallel embankments (typically sand or clay cores) that provide flood and erosion protection by keeping extreme water levels and wave run-up from reaching low-lying land. They are commonly armoured on the seaward face with rock revetment or other robust linings, with crest height and allowable overtopping discharge used as key design controls, supported by established overtopping guidance (EurOtop, 2018). Performance depends strongly on nearshore depth and foreshore slope, and overtopping predictions remain uncertain in very shallow settings where infragravity waves can dominate (Tarakcioglu et al., 2023).

Under sea level rise and more energetic storms, sea dikes often require staged upgrades (raising crests, improving toe scour protection, and strengthening surfaces against overtopping erosion) to maintain reliability (Romão et al., 2025). Sea dikes can also be eco-engineered to improve habitat and biodiversity (for example through surface roughness, berm and vegetation design, and material choices) without compromising core safety functions, but these measures still need to be balanced against inspection, maintenance, and structural performance requirements (Scheres & Schüttrumpf, 2019).



Figure 15. Sea dike in the Netherlands, combined with longshore control structure. Credit: Coastal Wiki.

2.3.3.7 Detached Breakwaters

Detached breakwaters are offshore, shore-parallel structures that create a wave shadow and promote sand build-up as salients or tombolos**.** Shore-parallel breakwater fields (detached, low-crested offshore structures) create a wave shadow that reduces nearshore wave energy and longshore currents, encouraging sand to accumulate as salients or tombolos depending on structure length, spacing, freeboard, and distance offshore. At Kwinana Beach (WA), offshore structures described as artificial headlands were used to address chronic erosion while maintaining beach access and recreation, illustrating how detached offshore protection can reshape nearshore conditions without a continuous seawall frontage.

Internationally, low-crested breakwaters on the Emilia-Romagna coast (for example near Lido di Dante) show they can widen beaches but may also create local scour and other side effects, reinforcing the need for site-specific calibration (Munari et al., 2011). Sea Palling (UK) likewise demonstrates that morphological outcomes vary with geometry and gaps (Dolphin et al., 2012). Studies indicate that closer, longer structures with smaller gaps more readily form tombolos and restrict bypassing, while larger spacing and/or lower freeboard tends to favour salients and partial bypassing, with downdrift risks managed through monitoring and, where needed, bypassing or nourishment (Ming & Chiew, 2000; Jackson et al., 2015).



Figure 16. Detached breakwaters at Saly Beach, Senegal. Credit: Google Earth.

2.3.3.8 Offshore Islands

Offshore islands (engineered islets or segmented “island” elements) are detached, low-visibility control structures placed offshore to create wave-shadow zones, reduce nearshore wave energy, and help stabilise pocket beaches within defined compartments. In practice they behave similarly to detached breakwaters but with a larger footprint and stronger planform control, which can be useful where managers want to moderate wave-angle-driven longshore transport and retain sand in specific cells.

Key risks include downdrift erosion (if compartments interrupt sediment continuity), altered rip-current patterns, water-quality changes in sheltered zones, and high capital and approvals complexity, so they are usually considered only where the benefit of strong shoreline control outweighs environmental and social impacts and where ongoing monitoring can manage secondary effects. (Li et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2025; Yang et al 2025).



Figure 17. Offshore island for coastal erosion combined with groynes. Liguria, Italy. Credit: Google Earth.

2.3.3.9 Artificial Headlands

Artificial headlands are shore-attached protrusions (rock, geotextile container cores, or hybrid forms) that compartmentalise longshore sand movement to form a stable beach or series of pocket beaches, improving local stability by minimising net drift across each headland-controlled cell. They are often pursued where repeated nourishment is expected but managers want placements to “stay put” longer, or where there is a strong community preference for larger controls mimicking one headland rather than long fields of groynes.

Performance depends on headland spacing, wave climate, and sediment supply. They can transfer erosion to adjacent cells if the compartment design does not match dominant transport pathways, so design typically relies on calibrated modelling plus post-construction monitoring (shoreline mapping, profiles, nearshore bathymetry) with adjustment options such as targeted nourishment or local re-shaping if required in rare cases (Pan et al., 2018; Ge et al., 2023).




Figure 18. Artificial headland (large groyne), San Sebastián, Spain. A structure of this scale can behave like a headland, interrupting longshore drift and trapping sand to build and stabilise the beach in its lee. Credit: Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Spain.

2.3.3.10 Design Considerations for Emerged Hard Structures

Design and operational considerations apply across all these emerged structures, as their engineering performance and coastal impacts are governed by common hydraulic, geotechnical, sediment-budget, and ecological factors. Successful application depends on integrating these structures into the broader coastal system, ensuring they function safely under present and future conditions while avoiding unintended downdrift impacts. The following principles summarise the key requirements for planning, designing, and operating any form of emerged coastal protection structures:

- **Hydraulics & safety.** Set freeboards/crest geometries to meet target overtopping discharges for public safety and back-of-structure flooding; check wave

transmission/diffraction and induced currents at gaps; account for oblique attack and storm clusters (EurOtop, 2018).

- **Stability & scour.** Provide adequate toe protection, armour gradation, filters, and foundation design against reflection-induced scour and cyclic loading; adopt monitoring/maintenance regimes per rock-structure guidance (USACE (2002) CEM; Rock Manual).
- **Sediment budgets.** Hard controls alter alongshore fluxes; coupling with engineered bypassing/backpassing or scheduled nourishment is essential to maintain cell-wide equilibrium and avoid cumulative downdrift impacts (CIRIA, 2020; van Rijn, 2013).
- **Ecology & amenity.** Low-crested/detached systems and seawalls can be designed with nature-inclusive features (roughness, habitat units, seaward reefs) to enhance biodiversity, but they still modify habitat mosaics; “surfability” and access should be explicitly tested in design (DELOS/eco-design; Suedel et al., 2022).
- **Sea Level Rise (SLR) adaptability.** Hard controls should include staged crest-raising options and sand-buffer strategies; without active sediment management, increasing water levels drive higher overtopping, toe loading, and progressive beach narrowing in front of armouring (Griggs, 2019; EurOtop, 2018).

For Joondalup, if structural protection is required to defend critical assets at specific hotspots, emerged options should be evaluated only within a sediment-led strategy that prioritises:

(i) continuous or programmed sand supply (bypass/backpass/nourishment)

(ii) minimal visual footprint and surf-amenity preservation (favour less invasive and smaller controls compatible with the sediment transport regime over long, impermeable fields)

(iii) adaptive design for SLR (overtopping and crest-raising pathways)

(iv) transparent monitoring to manage downdrift risk

Where community values and Marmion Marine Park considerations weigh heavily against prominent structures, low-visibility configurations (such as short headlands, or limited detached “islands” sized to avoid the creation of tombolos) combined with nourishment and dune enhancement may offer more acceptable risk-reduction, provided thorough modelling, operational bypassing, and performance reporting are in place (CIRIA, 2020; Dally & Pope CETN; Hardaway & Gunn, 2010).

2.3.4 Hybrid and Innovative Alternatives

Hybrid and innovative options combine soft, hard, and operational techniques into low-visibility, adaptive systems that stabilise shoreline morphology while maintaining amenity, ecological value, and community acceptance. These approaches sit between traditional nourishment and conventional engineering structures, offering a more flexible pathway for coastal protection on high-energy coasts. They are designed to work with natural processes, respond dynamically to storms, and minimise long-term visual or ecological impacts. The

following approaches represent potential alternatives to conventional adaptation options for high-energy, micro-tidal coasts such as Joondalup.

2.3.4.1 Hybrid Seawall-Dune System

Hybrid dunes, or buried seawalls, combine dune-building measures (fencing, sand trapping and vegetation planting) with a buried structural core such as geotextile, cobble, or cellular grid to improve storm resistance while retaining a natural dune appearance. In practice, they function as a hybrid seawall-dune system: a concealed rock, geobag, or seawall element is placed beneath the dune or nourished beach as a hidden last line of defence, intended to remain buried and only become exposed during extreme erosion.

An example is the Gold Coast (Queensland), where a structural seawall sits beneath the dune and the surface is rebuilt with sand and planted coastal vegetation, supported by ongoing nourishment, sand bypassing and backpassing. Because sea-level rise drives long-term shoreline retreat, these systems typically require periodic sand top-ups to maintain dune volume and keep the buried core covered (Harley et al., 2022).



Figure 19. Hybrid seawall-dune system construction on the Gold Coast, Queensland: a seawall core buried beneath sand, then capped with additional sand and stabilised through dune revegetation. Credit: ICM.

2.3.4.2 Dynamic Cobble-berm Revetments

Dynamic cobble-berm revetments use graded cobbles to create self-adjusting berms that dissipate wave energy during storms while maintaining a sandy beach frontage in calm conditions. Dynamic cobble berm revetments self-adjust because the cobbles are deliberately sized to move under storm waves: during high energy events they roll and regrade into a steeper, more tightly packed armour layer (often with some crest build-up), which increases roughness and dissipates wave energy, reducing runup and erosion.

In calmer conditions, less wave forcing means the cobbles settle and sand can infill around and in front of the berm, helping the beach recover a sandy surface while the cobble layer

remains as a resilient storm buffer. Studies confirm their stability and storm resilience when cobble size and geometry are tuned to local hydrodynamics (Bayle et al., 2021; Gostic et al. 2024; Bond et al., 2025). For example, in the Pacific Northwest (USA), a dynamic revetment along the shore at North Cove, Washington, demonstrated substantial reduction in shoreline retreat and wave-driven erosion following installation in 2017.



Figure 20. Dynamic cobble berm at Surfer's Point, Ventura, California. Credit: ICM.

2.3.4.3 Sand Fencing, Brushing, and Dune Trapping

Sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping are porous barriers and natural materials to slow wind near the surface, so sand deposits and gradually builds the dune toe and incipient dune. Sand fencing and brushwood lines trap windblown sand by reducing near-surface wind speeds and encouraging deposition, helping build dune toes and widen the incipient dune when aligned to the dominant wind direction and combined with planting and access management (Eichmanns & Schüttrumpf, 2021; Eichmanns et al., 2022). This work is currently already being completed within the City of Joondalup.

Practical guidance consistently emphasises keeping fencing above the active swash zone, maintaining it after major storms, and using porous designs so sand can accumulate without the fence failing. For example, Florida guidance recommends fence porosity targets and repositioning once burial reduces effectiveness (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 2020). Program guidance in Scotland similarly specifies installing sand-blow fencing at right angles to prevailing winds and maintaining it as part of broader dune stabilisation works (Rural Payments and Services, 2015).



Figure 21. Sand fencing with natural materials on dune systems in North Carolina, US. Credit: NOAA.

2.3.4.4 Perched Beaches

Perched beaches are beaches that are “held” on the upper profile by a submerged sill or low-crested reef that reduces offshore sand loss and helps maintain a wider dry beach. They are typically implemented as a hybrid measure, combining a low-visibility nearshore control (rock, reef units, or geotextile elements) with nourishment to build and maintain the perched beach volume.

Perched beach behaviour can be described using equilibrium profile concepts that account for the influence of the offshore control on profile shape and sand retention (González et al., 1999). Field and conceptual evidence also highlights that perched beaches can vary strongly in form and response, depending on the type and geometry of the natural or engineered control, local wave climate, and sediment characteristics (Gallop et al., 2011). As with other structural interventions, careful design, approvals, and monitoring are essential, and perched beaches generally still require periodic top-ups to maintain beach width and manage longer-term sea level rise impacts.

Perched beaches could be considered as a targeted, low-visibility hybrid option for discrete erosion hotspots in Joondalup to retain a sandy beach appearance but improve sand retention and storm buffering without prominent above-water structures. Joondalup’s high-energy, segmented beach compartments mean any perched beach concept would need to be site-specific, with careful attention to interactions with limestone outcrops, nearshore bars, and the prevailing net northward drift. The approach is most likely to be feasible where there is sufficient sandy frontage to retain benefits and a clear approvals and environmental management pathway, noting that ongoing nourishment top-ups and monitoring would still be expected.




Figure 22. Perched beach cross section diagram. Credits: Coastal Wiki.

2.3.4.5 Beach Drainage and Dewatering

Beach drainage and dewatering systems use buried drains or permeable layers to lower the groundwater table under the beach face, with the aim of increasing infiltration and reducing erosive backwash during uprush-backwash cycles (Fischione et al., 2022).

Evidence remains mixed and strongly site-dependent (Turner & Leatherman 1997). For example, a detailed field study at Alassio (Italy) found limited net shoreline/volume gains over a year under recurring moderate wave events, concluding the system did not reliably counter erosion there (Bowman et al., 2007). Numerical work also shows that drainage layers can reduce mean groundwater levels and offshore-directed surface flows, but effectiveness depends on configuration and incident-wave conditions (Hur et al., 2012). In general, results remain mixed and site-specific, with inconsistent outcomes on high-energy coasts (Schoonees et al., 2019; van Rijn, 2013).




Figure 23. Lowering of beach water table with active beach drainage. Credit: Coastal Wiki.

2.3.4.6 Floating Wave Energy Dissipators and Wave Energy Converters (WECs)

Floating wave energy dissipators and wave energy converters (WECs) are offshore devices or arrays that dissipate wave energy while (in the case of WEC) producing renewable electricity, with potential co-benefits for sediment management and habitat creation (Battisti et al., 2024). Floating wave-attenuation systems that do not generate power, including modular floating breakwaters and wave energy dissipators, have been investigated as a means to reduce transmitted wave energy before it reaches the surf zone, with effectiveness strongly dependent on wave period, water depth, mooring design, and array configuration.

While laboratory and numerical studies show measurable attenuation is achievable, the key evidence gaps for open-coast deployment remain extreme-event survivability, maintenance and navigation constraints, and robust field validation of shoreline and morphodynamic responses over multi-year timescales (van der Zanden et al. 2022). WEC arrays can, in principle, provide a dual function by extracting wave energy while also attenuating wave

heights reaching the coast, but performance depends on device type, control strategy, spacing, and array layout (Battisti et al., 2024).

A recent systematic review focused specifically on wave farms for coastal protection reports wave-height reductions commonly in the ~10-50% range (context-dependent), with the strongest attenuation generally associated with nearshore siting and optimised layouts, while highlighting the ongoing need for field validation and long-term morphodynamic assessments (Boodoo et al., 2025). A key limitation of WEC is that nearshore arrays can impose recreational and landscape costs, including visual clutter, constraints on surfing and boating access, navigation and safety exclusions, and potential effects on nearshore ecology and surf breaks that can reduce social acceptability even where engineering performance is promising.

Wave energy converter devices are typically designed for deeper water, outside the surf zone, so they have limited influence on beach erosion unless deployed at very large scale.



Figure 24. Wave energy converter device. Credit: swel.eu.




Figure 25. Conceptual design of a wave energy dissipator to decrease wave velocity. Credit: Boston.com.

2.3.4.7 Purpose-sunk Reef and Habitat Modules

Purpose-sunk reef and habitat modules are intentionally placed reef structures, vessels or concrete units installed primarily for habitat enhancement, and unlike the multipurpose artificial reefs discussed earlier, they are not typically designed or optimised to deliver

reliable shoreline erosion control. These may employ decommissioned vessels or modular concrete units that can provide ecological enhancement and partial wave attenuation where environmental and navigation constraints allow (Rani et al., 2025; Storlazzi et al., 2025).

However, they require careful design and ongoing management to avoid navigation hazards, contaminants, and unintended environmental impacts (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2023). Unlike multipurpose artificial reefs, which are purpose-designed coastal protection structures (often optimised for wave transformation and surf outcomes), purpose-sunk reefs and habitat modules are primarily deployed for ecological enhancement, with any wave attenuation typically secondary and highly site-dependent. For example, the City of Cockburn's North Coogee engineered fringing reef pilot at C.Y. O'Connor Beach reported around a 10% reduction in wave height and a 20% reduction in wave energy at the shoreline, alongside increased biodiversity, illustrating how modular reefs can deliver measurable coastal and ecological co-benefits in suitable settings (City of Cockburn, 2026).

Australian national guidance sets out permitting and whole-of-life management expectations under the Sea Dumping Act, emphasising risk-based assessment, monitoring, and removal/rectification obligations if the reef becomes hazardous or fails to meet objectives (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2023). Recent research also continues to develop design-oriented approaches for wave attenuation by modular artificial reefs and evaluates feasibility and constraints for artificial reefs as protection measures in specific settings (Dinu et al., 2025).



Figure 26. Purpose built artificial reef for habitat creation, with limited effect of shoreline erosion. Credit: Haejoo.com.

2.3.4.8 Mangrove Restoration

Mangrove restoration is the re-establishment of intertidal mangrove forests (via planting, natural recruitment, hydrological reconnection, and sediment management) to attenuate waves, stabilise shorelines, and reduce storm-surge impacts while delivering habitat and carbon co-benefits. The approach can be highly effective in low energy, sheltered settings

(very different from Joondalup's open coast) where mangroves can persist and expand, but it is generally constrained on open, high-energy sandy coasts unless there are protected embayments, lagoonal margins, or engineered shelter that allows seedlings to survive.

Best-practice projects treat mangroves as a living system that changes over time, requiring monitoring of survival, forest width/density, and failure modes under extreme events, and often pairing vegetation with enabling measures (sediment trapping or low-crested shelter) where hydrodynamic stress is high (Gijón Mancheño et al., 2024; Weaver et al., 2024; Juanico et al., 2022).



Figure 27. Mangrove restoration project in Victoria, Australia. Credit: Ozfish.

2.3.4.9 Seagrass Restoration

Seagrass restoration re-establishes meadow-forming seagrasses (through transplanting, seeding, and/or improving water quality and substrate conditions) to dampen waves and currents, reduce bed shear stress, and promote sediment settling, which can stabilise shallow nearshore and estuarine margins over time. Where meadows are continuous and healthy, measured wave attenuation can be meaningful, but outcomes are strongly site-dependent and often require long lead times, sustained water-quality improvements, and protection from physical disturbance (anchoring, dredging, propeller scarring). For Joondalup, this is most likely to be feasible only in sheltered or reef-protected nearshore areas (not the open surf beaches) and would be a long-term habitat and water-quality investment with only modest, site-specific contribution to erosion mitigation.

In coastal-protection applications, restoration is typically coupled with monitoring of meadow extent/biomass and hydrodynamics and positioned as a medium-to-long-term risk reducer rather than a rapid response option for acute erosion hotspots (Jacob et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2025).



Figure 28. Seagrass restoration along Sydney's coastline. Credit: ABC.

2.3.4.10 Living Shorelines

Living shorelines is a broad term that encompasses a range of shoreline stabilisation techniques along estuarine coasts, bays, sheltered coastlines, and tributaries. A living shoreline has a footprint that is made up mostly of native material. It incorporates vegetation or other living, natural soft elements alone or in combination with some type of harder shoreline structure (e.g. oyster reefs or rock sills) for added stability. Living shorelines maintain continuity of the natural land-water interface and reduce erosion while providing habitat value and enhancing coastal resilience. (NOAA, 2015).

In Australia, living shoreline projects span beaches and dunes, vegetated edges, and biogenic reef approaches, but the strongest technical fit is typically in sheltered or moderate-energy settings, whereas fully open, high-energy coasts like Joondalup's often require hybridisation (for example, nourishment plus dune building and strategic low-profile stabilisation) to achieve reliable protection. Good practice includes clear performance objectives, baseline data, and monitoring (profiles, shoreline change, habitat condition) because "success" varies with hazard climate, sediment supply, and maintenance effort. (Morris et al., 2024; Paxton et al., 2024).



Figure 29. A Living Shoreline constructed in Panama City, Florida using plants and oyster shell. Credit: Florida Living Shorelines.

2.3.4.11 Living Seawalls

Living seawalls retrofit (or design-in) ecological complexity onto seawalls using textured panels, habitat modules, and microhabitat features such as crevices, pools and ledges. This can improve biodiversity and, in some cases, provide secondary services such as filtration or nursery habitat, while still delivering the primary asset-protection function of a seawall. Evidence shows added topographic complexity can measurably increase intertidal biodiversity, but outcomes vary with water quality, contamination gradients, and local recruitment conditions, and broader eco-unit services can include disservices that need to be monitored and managed.

In general, these are not designed for coastal erosion management and are more suitable for calm areas within estuaries. Successful programs typically move from pilot trials to scaled deployment through a structured pathway that includes stakeholder engagement, approvals, demonstration sites, and long-term monitoring to confirm ecological gains and avoid unintended impacts. (Vozzo et al., 2024; Clifton et al., 2022; Yen et al., 2025).



Figure 30. Living Seawalls in Sydney, NSW. Credit: Adapt NSW.

2.3.4.12 Rock Filter Units

Rock filter units (often “rock bags” or modular rock-filled units) are permeable, flexible armour elements used for scour protection and erosion control around structures, toe stabilisation, and rapid-response bank or shoreline works. Compared with rigid revetments, they can conform to irregular surfaces and be deployed quickly in constrained sites, but they still require engineering design for stability under wave attack, abrasion, and UV exposure (if exposed), and they can be damaged or displaced if unit sizing, anchoring, or foundation preparation is inadequate.

Performance is strongly linked to hydrodynamic loading and placement geometry and best practice includes physical modelling or validated design methods, plus post-storm

inspections and trigger-based maintenance to replace damaged units and prevent progressive failure. (Tooker et al., 2023; Coghlan et al., 2024).

A recent local example is the City of Stirling's Kyowa Rockbags installation at Hamersley Pool, undertaken after storm-driven beach loss, highlighting both the rapid deployment advantages of modular units and the practical constraints around quarry rock sizing, handling logistics, and the need for careful placement and ongoing inspection and maintenance after energetic events.



Figure 31. Rock filter units for coastal erosion control in the City of Sterling Credit: City of Stirling.

2.3.4.13 Living Speed Bumps

Living Speed Bumps are a hybrid sand-retention approach that stabilises beaches by working with natural coastal processes. It uses rounded, compact headlands on the upper beach, an offshore artificial reef and targeted nearshore nourishment to influence wave breaking, nearshore currents and the pathways that move sand along and across the shore. Rather than "trapping" sand, the system is intended to slow and guide sediment transport, so sand remains in the compartment longer, supporting dune recovery while maintaining public amenity and a natural-looking shoreline.

Together, the headlands and reef act as "speed bumps" for sand movement. They help anchor the beach planform, reduce the rate of longshore transport and can form sheltered embayments that improve beach usability and access, without relying on long, continuous groyne fields.

The "living" elements come from ecological features integrated into the reef and shoreline, including habitat complexity on reef surfaces (crevices, ledges and pools), intertidal habitat,

retention of the sandy beach environment, landscaping opportunities on the headland and native dune vegetation.

The approach was developed by International Coastal Management through the Re:Beach design competition for Oceanside, California, and is in the detailed design phase.



Figure 32. Concept comparison of an existing armoured seawall shoreline (left) and a proposed "living speed bump" design that blends compact headlands, nearshore reef elements, nourishment and public amenity features to retain sand and improve beach usability (right), a winning design for Oceanside, California, United States. Credit: ICM.

2.4 Alignment with Community Preferences

Community feedback during the 2018 Coastal Values survey and the consultation on the draft CHRMAP in 2023 indicated that Joondalup residents strongly value a natural, sandy, and visually unobtrusive coastline. In response, this research deliberately reviewed the full range of coastal erosion adaptation approaches used internationally, including both established and more speculative concepts, as well as options that may ultimately prove impractical or unacceptable in Joondalup. This approach was adopted to demonstrate that alternative coastal adaptation options have been systematically considered, while ensuring the subsequent screening and evaluation stages can be undertaken transparently.

The options reviewed span four broad typologies:

- **Sand management approaches** include measures that add, move, or reprofile sediment, such as beach face nourishment, shoreface or nearshore nourishment, sand bypassing and backpassing, and beach scraping and re-profiling, alongside dune enhancement and revegetation.
- **Submerged or low-crest structures** include artificial reefs, submerged breakwaters, and offshore islands intended to influence wave transformation and nearshore processes while maintaining beach amenity.
- **Emerged hard structures** include groynes, seawalls, and other surface or near-surface control structures that provide more direct physical control of shoreline behaviour.
- **Hybrid and innovative alternatives** combine soft, hard, and operational techniques, such as wet-sand fencing and dune trapping, hybrid dunes with buried structural cores, dynamic revetments, and living or nature-inclusive structures, and can be configured to support low-visibility outcomes and beach amenity where appropriate.

These typologies were used to develop a long list of adaptation options for consideration in the Joondalup context. This list provides the basis for the subsequent screening and assessment stages, ensuring that both community-aligned measures and higher trade-off structural concepts are captured consistently and can be evaluated using the same transparent decision framework.

2.5 Preliminary Options Long List

Based on the literature review above, a consolidated list of 33 adaptation options has been prepared for SWOT screening. The SWOT screening results highlighted 19 preferred adaptation options to carry forward to a feasibility assessment, followed by a final ranking of the most suitable alternatives.

Sand management approaches

1. **Beach face nourishment.** Direct placement of compatible sand on the visible beach to immediately increase beach width, provide a storm buffer, and support recreation and amenity. This approach is typically implemented as discrete campaigns and may be repeated over time to maintain target beach widths.
2. **Shoreface or nearshore nourishment.** Placement of sand in the nearshore zone, often implemented through submerged feeder berms in water depths of around 3-6 metres, relying on waves and currents to gradually move sand shoreward. It is commonly monitored through bathymetric and beach-profile surveys to track berm migration and shoreline response.
3. **Large scale nourishment.** Placement of large sand volumes (typically >100,000 m^3) in a single campaign to provide a longer-lasting beach buffer and lower unit costs. It requires a reliable sand source, access and approvals, and is best suited to longer continuous sandy frontages, potentially integrated with bypassing or backpassing.
4. **Sand bypassing.** Engineered transfer of sand around interruptions to natural littoral drift (such as harbour structures or headlands), using systems such as fixed pipelines and offshore discharge points, or manually excavated and transported via truck. Operations are generally guided by monitoring and performance triggers to determine timing and volumes.
5. **Backpassing and enhanced backpassing.** Relocation of sand from accreting donor areas to eroding receiver areas to maintain sediment continuity without importing external material. Enhanced approaches can include defined harvest zones, operational triggers, and sediment-tracking tools to optimise placement efficiency.
6. **Beach scraping and re-profiling.** Controlled redistribution of sand within the active beach profile, typically moving material from the lower beach to rebuild the berm or foredune following storm scarping. It is generally used as a short-term recovery measure and relies on ecological safeguards and monitoring because it redistributes existing sand rather than adding new sediment.
7. **Dune enhancement / revegetation.** Measures that strengthen the foredune buffer by trapping and stabilising sand using native vegetation, fencing, and access controls. Works typically focus on building dune volume and resilience through

planting, dune shaping, and management of pedestrian pathways to reduce disturbance and support ongoing dune recovery.

8. **Mega-nourishments.** Single, large-scale sand placements intended to disperse gradually alongshore and onshore through natural processes, functioning as a long-term sediment source. Projects are typically monitored over years to track redistribution patterns and beach-profile response.

Submerged or low-crest structures

9. **Multipurpose artificial reefs.** Submerged reef structures designed to attenuate wave energy and influence nearshore morphology while also supporting recreation and habitat objectives. Designs may use modular reef units or ecologically compatible materials, with performance typically assessed through modelling and post-construction monitoring.

10. **Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers, including submerged islands.** Linear or modular underwater features that function as low-visibility wave-energy attenuators and morphological steering tools. By altering wave breaking and nearshore circulation, they can influence sediment transport gradients and bar development, with outcomes sensitive to crest depth, width, and planform geometry.

Emerged hard structures

11. **Rock groynes.** Shore-perpendicular groynes, typically constructed from rock armour units, intended to interrupt longshore transport and influence sand retention and beach width. Design typically considers length relative to the surf zone, crest elevation, toe stability, spacing, and potential scour and downdrift effects.

12. **Geofabric groynes.** Modular groynes constructed from geotextile sand containers that can be configured, adjusted, or removed if required. They are typically considered a lower-permanence alternative to rigid rock or concrete units, while still functioning as structures that modify nearshore transport and sand retention.

13. **T/Y head groynes.** Groyne variants that combine a shore-normal stem with a short head (T or Y form) to influence diffraction patterns and shape a more stable beach planform compared with simple straight groynes. They are typically designed using diffraction-aware modelling and assessed for currents, scour, and alongshore impacts.

14. **Vertical seawalls.** Vertical or near-vertical shore-parallel walls designed to provide a hard line of defence against wave attack and erosion, typically used to protect landward assets where space is constrained. Design commonly considers overtopping, toe scour protection, foundation stability, drainage behind the wall, and future crest raising.

15. **Sloping rock revetments and seawalls.** Sloping rock armour layers placed on an engineered slope to dissipate wave energy and protect the backshore or assets behind. Typical design elements include armour sizing and gradation, filters/geotextiles, toe protection to manage scour, and provisions for inspection and maintenance.

16. **Sea dikes.** Large, continuous shore-parallel embankments or engineered barriers (often with a broad crest and landward tie-ins) designed to prevent flooding and protect low-lying land from storm surge and elevated sea levels. They typically

require crest-height design for extreme water levels, geotechnical stability checks, seepage and piping controls, and provisions for staged raising over time.

17. **Detached breakwaters** Emerged, shore-parallel breakwaters (continuous or segmented, including fields) that reduce nearshore wave energy and can shape shoreline planform by promoting salients or tombolos in their lee. Outcomes depend on freeboard, gap-to-length ratio, distance offshore, and local wave climate, and are commonly assessed using empirical relationships and site-specific modelling.
18. **Offshore islands.** Shore-parallel, surface-piercing island elements (often segmented) that create wave-shadow zones and sheltered compartments, influencing sediment deposition patterns and nearshore circulation. Their effects are strongly controlled by crest level, spacing, and distance from shore, and they are typically assessed together with sediment budgets and alongshore impacts.
19. **Artificial headlands.** Surface-control structures designed to anchor a shoreline compartment and guide the beach toward an equilibrium bay shape. These structures rely on careful alignment with wave climate and sediment processes and are commonly assessed using headland-bay concepts and numerical/physical modelling.

Hybrid and innovative alternatives

20. **Hybrid seawall-dune system.** Concealed last line of defence systems where a rock or geobag seawall is buried beneath a nourished beach and dune (including dune systems with buried structural cores), remaining hidden under normal conditions and exposed primarily during extreme events. These systems are commonly operated alongside routine nourishment, dune management, and monitoring to maintain sand cover and manage exposure risk.
21. **Dynamic cobble-berm revetments.** Berms of graded cobbles designed to regrade under storm waves into a rough, energy-dissipating armour layer that reduces runup and erosion. In calmer conditions, sand can infill around and in front of the berm, while the cobble layer remains as a resilient storm buffer.
22. **Wet-sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping.** Techniques that accelerate aeolian sand capture by placing fences or brush lines to reduce wind speed and trap blown sand, typically combined with planting and access management. Effectiveness depends on positioning above the swash zone, alignment with dominant winds, and maintenance following major storms.
23. **Beach drainage and dewatering.** Systems intended to lower the groundwater table beneath the beach to enhance percolation and reduce backwash-driven sediment removal. Approaches use subsurface drains or pumping infrastructure, with outcomes typically evaluated through site monitoring of beach-profile change.
24. **Wave Energy Converters.** Offshore devices deployed in arrays to capture wave energy for renewable electricity generation, with potential secondary effects on wave climate and nearshore processes. Concepts are assessed for survivability, maintenance requirements, navigation and permitting constraints, and any measurable wave attenuation co-benefits.
25. **Floating Wave Energy Dissipators.** Offshore devices deployed in arrays to reduce wave energy only, with potential secondary effects on wave climate and nearshore processes. Concepts are assessed for survivability, maintenance requirements,

navigation and permitting constraints, and any measurable wave attenuation co-benefits.

26. **Purpose-sunk reef and habitat modules.** Artificial reef structures such as decommissioned vessels or modular concrete units deployed primarily to create habitat, with potential secondary effects on local hydrodynamics and wave attenuation. Assessments typically cover ecological objectives, navigation safety, permitting, and any measurable nearshore changes.
27. **Mangrove restoration.** Establishment or rehabilitation of mangrove vegetation to stabilise sediments and attenuate waves and currents in suitable environments, while providing habitat and water-quality co-benefits. Projects typically involve site preparation, planting or recruitment support, and monitoring of survival and growth.
28. **Seagrass restoration.** Restoration of seagrass meadows to enhance seabed stability, reduce resuspension, and support biodiversity and water-quality outcomes. Methods can include transplanting, seed-based approaches, and habitat condition improvements, with monitoring focused on coverage, health, and persistence.
29. **Living shorelines.** Nature-based shoreline treatments that combine vegetation, natural materials, and sometimes low-profile structural elements to reduce erosion and provide habitat. They are typically implemented as layered systems designed to work with local hydrodynamics and ecological processes rather than relying on a single hard barrier.
30. **Living seawalls.** Engineered seawalls or revetments designed or retrofitted with ecological enhancement features (such as textured surfaces, habitat niches, or modular units) to promote marine colonisation. The intent is to retain structural function while improving biodiversity outcomes relative to conventional smooth wall surfaces.
31. **Rock Filter Units.** Rock-based filter and armour units placed as permeable protection elements, typically comprising graded rock with filter layers to manage seepage, reduce scour, and dissipate wave energy. They can be configured as localised protection at toes or transitions and are commonly assessed for stability, settlement, and maintenance requirements under design storm loading.
32. **Living speed bumps.** Living Speed Bumps are a hybrid sand retention concept proposed through the Re:Beach design competition at Oceanside, California. The concept combines compact artificial headlands, an offshore artificial reef, and targeted nourishment to influence wave breaking and nearshore sediment transport, with the intent of stabilising the beach while maintaining amenity and a natural-looking shoreline. The concept is in the detailed design phase.
33. **Perched beaches**. A perched beach is a nourished beach retained by a submerged sill or low-crested reef that limits offshore sand loss and helps maintain a wider dry beach within a defined compartment. It is typically delivered as a hybrid system (nearshore control plus compatible sand placement) and requires careful design and monitoring because shoreline response varies with sill geometry, crest depth, wave climate, and sediment characteristics.

3 SWOT ANALYSIS

A SWOT analysis is a structured way to synthesise what an option is likely to be good at (strengths), where it is likely to struggle (weaknesses), what external conditions could help it succeed (opportunities), and what external conditions could cause it to fail or become unacceptable (threats), and it is commonly used as an early-stage screening and prioritisation tool to compare a large set of alternatives in a consistent format (Benzaghta et al., 2021).

In this assessment, we applied the SWOT method uniformly across the 33 adaptation options listed in section 2.5, combining strengths and opportunities into a single “Advantages” field (capturing both inherent benefits and plausible upside under local delivery and governance), while weaknesses and threats were combined into a single “Disadvantages” field (capturing practical limitations, implementation risks, and the most likely failure modes, including social licence and approvals). We then used the “Proceed to feasibility study?” decision as a gate, with the intent that “Yes” options represent those that, based on the SWOT, appear sufficiently plausible and relevant to warrant deeper technical, environmental, cost, and deliverability testing, while “No” options are those where the SWOT indicates fundamental misfit or disproportionate downside at this stage.

The results show a clear pattern in why 19 of the 33 options were progressed to feasibility. The shortlisted options are dominated by sand- and dune-led measures because they directly rebuild or conserve the beach buffer and tend to preserve the sandy beach character and access that the community prioritises, even if they require repeat campaigns and ongoing maintenance. This includes beach nourishment, shoreface placement, bypassing and backpassing, scraping and re-profiling, dune enhancement, and dune revegetation, fencing and sand trapping measures, which the SWOT consistently framed as effective and adaptable but maintenance dependent.

A second cluster taken forward comprises lower-visibility nearshore or hybrid measures that may improve sand retention and storm resilience while reducing visual intrusion, but only if supported by modelling, careful design, monitoring, and an ongoing sediment management program; these were advanced because the SWOT suggests enough promise and precedent to justify feasibility testing despite known design sensitivity and approval risk.

Conversely, the options not progressed were screened out because the SWOT highlighted one or more decisive barriers: some are primarily suited to sheltered or low-energy settings and therefore have a poor physical fit for an exposed coastline; some have inconsistent evidence of measurable erosion reduction or are framed around benefits other than erosion control; and some involve large, visually prominent, or continuous hard structures where the SWOT indicates strong trade-offs against beach amenity, access, and acceptability, or where long-term adaptability under sea level rise is constrained.

The complete SWOT analysis can be viewed in Appendix C, Table C-1.

4 FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT

A feasibility assessment is a structured way to test whether shortlisted adaptation options are likely to be deliverable and defensible in practice, not just conceptually attractive. It focuses on whether an option can work under the local wave and swell climate and coastal setting (technical feasibility), whether it can be implemented without disproportionate or unacceptable ecological and habitat impacts (environmental feasibility), whether its costs and ongoing maintenance obligations are proportionate to the benefits and funding reality over the lifecycle (economic feasibility), and whether it is likely to achieve and sustain community support given local beach amenity expectations, access needs, and risk tolerance (social feasibility). Used in this way, feasibility assessment provides a transparent bridge between early screening (based on a SWOT analysis) and later stages of detailed design and business case development, because it makes the decision logic explicit and comparable across multiple alternatives.

In this assessment, we applied a consistent four-part feasibility lens across the options progressed from the SWOT gate, using a 1-5 scoring approach for each domain and recording a short narrative rationale for each score to preserve transparency, according to the criteria set in the following table (Table 2).

Table 2. Feasibility scoring rubric (1-5)

Score	Technical feasibility	Environmental feasibility	Economic feasibility	Social feasibility
5	Proven and reliable; straightforward to design and build; adaptable over time.	Minimal, reversible impacts; approvals straightforward.	Low capex and low O&M; limited studies and monitoring.	Enhances amenity and access; minimal visual impact; strong support likely.
4	Feasible with standard studies; manageable sensitivities.	Manageable impacts with mitigation; approvals achievable.	Moderate capex and O&M; scalable; some monitoring.	Mostly consistent with amenity and access; manageable disruption; likely acceptable.
3	Feasible but design-sensitive; needs modelling, trials, or tighter controls.	Noticeable impacts or uncertainty; targeted studies and monitoring; approvals not straightforward.	Moderate to high cost and/or ongoing operations; studies and monitoring are material.	Mixed outcomes; some amenity, access, or surf/safety impacts; acceptance depends on design and engagement.
2	Site-dependent and complex; higher risk of unintended effects; constructability constraints.	Higher impact risk or uncertainty; approvals likely difficult.	High capex and/or high O&M; specialist delivery and monitoring add substantial cost.	Likely opposition due to footprint, access disruption, or perceived industrialisation.
1	Unlikely to work as intended.	Likely unacceptable or not approvable.	Very high cost and lifecycle burden.	Very low social licence likely.

A summary of the results of the feasibility assessment is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Feasibility Assessment of Options (Summary)

#	Option	Score (Tech)	Score (Env)	Score (Econ)	Score (Social)	TOTAL
1	Beach face nourishment	4	3	2	4	13
2	Shoreface or nearshore nourishment	4	2	3	4	13
3	Large scale sand nourishment	4	2	4	3	13
4	Sand bypassing	4	3	4	3	14
5	Backpassing and enhanced backpassing	3	3	3	3	12
6	Beach scraping and re-profiling	3	2	4	4	13
7	Dune enhancement / revegetation	3	5	5	5	18
9	Multipurpose artificial reefs	2	2	2	2	8
10	Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers, incl. submerged islands	2	2	2	2	8
11	Rock groynes	4	2	2	2	10
12	Geofabric groynes	3	2	2	2	9
14	Vertical seawalls	4	1	2	1	8
15	Sloping rock revetments and seawalls	4	2	2	2	10
17	Detached breakwaters	4	1	2	1	8
18	Offshore islands	3	1	2	2	8
20	Hybrid seawall-dune system	4	2	4	5	15
22	Sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping	3	5	5	5	18
31	Rock filter units	3	2	3	3	11
32	Living speed bumps	2	3	2	4	11

The analysis produced a scoring pattern that reflects how well each option aligns with local preferences for sandy, natural-looking beaches. However, the scores also reinforce that no single measure is likely to resolve chronic erosion on its own, and a combination of complementary options will most likely be required over time.

Dune and sand management measures scored highest overall. Dune enhancement and revegetation (Option 7) and sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping (Option 22) both scored 18, driven by very strong environmental and social performance and low whole-of-life costs, while acknowledging that their effectiveness depends on correct placement, access control, and ongoing maintenance. The core sand supply measures formed the next cluster: beach-face nourishment (Option 1) and large-scale sand nourishment (Option 3) scored 13, reflecting strong technical fit and social acceptability, moderated by temporary disturbance, approvals constraints in sensitive settings, and the need for ongoing operational governance. Backpassing (Option 5) scored 12 due to donor-site limitations, while beach scraping (Option 6) scored 13 and is best treated as a post-storm recovery tool under defined triggers rather than a primary stabilisation measure.

Mid-range options can strengthen the portfolio in targeted locations. Hybrid seawall-dune systems (Option 20) scored 15, suggesting buried structures can protect priority assets while maintaining a sandy frontage, but only if sand cover can be reliably maintained through monitoring and replenishment triggers. By contrast, more structural or offshore concepts tended to score lower once environmental and social feasibility were weighted, with multipurpose artificial reefs and submerged breakwaters (Options 9 and 10) scoring 8 and groynes (Options 11 and 12) scoring 9-10, reflecting cost, uncertainty, approvals complexity, and social licence risks.

Overall, the scoring supports a combined pathway: pair sand supply and sediment continuity measures (Options 1, 2, 3, 4, and Option 5 where feasible) with dune-building and access management (Options 7 and 22), use beach scraping (Option 6) tactically after storms, and add selective asset-protection layers such as a hybrid seawall-dune system (Option 20) where needed and where a credible sand-cover management pathway exists.

The complete feasibility assessment can be viewed in Appendix C, Table C-2.

5 RECOMMENDATIONS OF ALTERNATIVE ADAPTATION OPTIONS

Based on the feasibility ranking (Table 4), the recommended approach for Joondalup is not to select a single “best” option, but to build a combined portfolio in which high-scoring measures provide the dependable foundation, and mid-ranked measures are used selectively to solve specific problems the foundation cannot solve on its own.

Here local context matters, because the most suitable mix can vary substantially along the coastline depending on sediment pathways, access and staging constraints, existing management practice, and approvals sensitivities; in some locations, sand bypassing may be the most practical backbone option where established systems and pathways already operate, whereas in other locations beach-face nourishment, backpassing from nearby accretion areas, or a hybrid dune layer may be more appropriate. While dune enhancement and revegetation (Option 7) and sand fencing and dune trapping (Option 22) score very strongly and should be treated as essential enabling measures, they are not sufficient by themselves because they do not add sediment to the active coastal system.

The portfolio therefore needs a deliberate “sand supply backbone” to restore and maintain beach volume under ongoing erosion and sea level rise, supported by monitoring, triggers, and clear operational rules. In practice, this means pairing the dune program with repeatable sand-addition and redistribution measures such as beach face nourishment (Option 1), shoreface or nearshore nourishment (Option 2), large scale beach nourishment (Option 3) sand bypassing (Option 4), or backpassing (Option 5), using beach scraping and re-profiling (Option 6) as an operational recovery tool after storm events.

Other options should remain available as targeted control or energy-management interventions, used only where evidence indicates the sand program alone will not meet objectives in persistent hotspots or where critical assets need additional protection. This includes hybrid seawall-dune systems (option 20) as a concealed “insurance layer” in constrained locations, and selective nearshore structures such as multipurpose artificial reefs (option 9) or other low-visibility concepts progressed as staged, monitoring-led pilots to test whether they measurably improve sand retention or reduce wave-energy concentration without unacceptable impacts.

Higher trade-off structural controls such as groynes (Options 11 and 12) or offshore breakwater concepts (Options 10 and 17) can be considered as potential targeted control points, but only as part of an explicitly packaged solution that includes sand management, clear performance objectives, and an adaptive pathway that manages side effects and social licence. In this framing, the feasibility ranking is best interpreted as guidance on what is easiest to deliver and scale, not a prescription of what to build; the decision focus should be on assembling combinations that together provide sand, preserve amenity, and, where needed, add carefully located control points that increase efficiency and resilience. The final ranking of options is provided in Table 4 below.

Table 4. Final ranking of options

Ranking	Option	Score	
1	Dune enhancement / revegetation	18	Essential enabling layer that strengthens the dune buffer, improves post-storm recovery, and delivers strong ecological and social outcomes; best paired with sand supply measures.
2	Sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping	18	Low-cost dune building and recovery support that helps retain benefits between sand campaigns; depends on correct placement, access control, and ongoing maintenance.
3	Hybrid seawall dune-system	15	Selective asset-protection layer that maintains a sandy frontage if kept buried; performance depends on reliable sand cover, monitoring, and replenishment triggers under sea level rise.
4	Sand bypassing	14	Restores sediment continuity where transport is interrupted; can reduce reliance on hard controls; requires repeat operations, monitoring, and clear operational governance.
5	Beach face nourishment	13	Core on-beach sand addition to rebuild beach width and storm buffer; repeatable but dependent on sand source, access, approvals, and ongoing campaign planning.
6	Shoreface or nearshore nourishment	13	Sand placement offshore to reduce on-beach disruption; outcomes and approvals can be more complex in sensitive marine settings and require monitoring and adaptive management.
7	Large scale sand nourishment projects	13	Larger-volume campaigns that can create a longer-lasting buffer where continuous frontage and reliable supply exist; requires staging areas, approvals, and monitoring.
8	Beach scraping and re-profiling	13	Tactical post-storm recovery tool to rapidly rebuild buffers under defined triggers and limits; not a primary long-term stabilisation measure.
9	Backpassing and enhanced backpassing	12	Operational sand redistribution that can support targeted hotspots where suitable donor areas exist; constrained by donor availability and risk of shifting impacts to the borrow site.
10	Rock filter units	11	Localised or interim toe/transition protection and emergency response option; not a whole-of-frontage erosion solution and may face approvals constraints near sensitive habitats.
11	Living speed bumps	11	Pilotable nearshore roughness concept intended to reduce wave-energy concentration at hotspots; benefit is uncertain on exposed coasts and requires modelling and clear success criteria.
12	Rock groynes	10	Technically able to trap sand but can displace impacts downdrift and impose ongoing governance burdens; generally low social licence due to visible planform control.
13	Sloping rock revetments and seawalls	10	Asset protection option with clear constructability; still entails trade-offs for beach width and amenity and needs careful siting and overtopping/transition management.
14	Geofabric groynes	9	Potential trial-scale control with some reversibility; still carries transport disruption risks and reputational issues if exposed, damaged, or poorly maintained.

15	Multipurpose artificial reefs	8	High design sensitivity and uncertain measurable shoreline benefit; best treated, if at all, as a staged pilot only where modelling indicates clear retention benefits.
16	Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers, incl. submerged islands	8	High cost and environmental uncertainty with acceptance risks; only progress where modelling shows clear net benefit and impacts are manageable.
17	Vertical seawalls	8	Proven to protect assets but high trade-offs for beach amenity and approvals; best treated as a targeted last-resort protection measure in constrained locations.
18	Detached breakwaters	8	Potentially effective but typically low environmental and social feasibility; high trade-off option with major approvals complexity and amenity impacts.
19	Offshore islands	8	Transformative, high-cost concept with significant ecological, circulation, and social licence risks.

Appendix A References

1. AECOM (2010). Beach Sand Nourishment Scoping Study: Maintaining Sydney's Beach Amenity Against Climate Change Sea Level Rise. Report prepared for Sydney Coastal Councils Group, Sydney. https://www.sydneycoastalcouncils.com.au/sites/default/files/beachsandnourishmentscopingstudy.pdf
2. Allan, J. C., Geitgey, R., & Hart, R. (2005). Dynamic revetments for coastal erosion in Oregon: Final report (FHWA-OR-RD-06-03). Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries & Oregon Department of Transportation. https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/22922
3. Baills, A., Garcin, M., & Bulteau, T. (2020). Assessment of selected climate change adaptation measures for coastal areas. Ocean & Coastal Management, 185, 105059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.105059
4. Barnard, P. L., Erikson, L. H., Hansen, J. E., & Elias, E. (2009). The performance of nearshore dredge disposal at Ocean Beach, San Francisco, California, 2005-2007. USGS Open-File Report 2008-1347. https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2008/1347/of2008-1347.pdf
5. Battisti, B., Giorgi, G., & Verao Fernandez, G. (2024). Balancing power production and coastal protection: A bi-objective analysis of wave energy converters. Renewable Energy, 220, 119702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.119702
6. Bayle, P. M., Kaminsky, G. M., Blenkinsopp, C. E., Weiner, H. M., & Cottrell, D. (2021). Behaviour and performance of a dynamic cobble berm revetment during a spring tidal cycle in North Cove, Washington State, USA. *Coastal Engineering, 167*, 103898. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378383921000582
7. Beck, T. M., Wang, P., Li, H., & Wu, W. (2020). Sediment bypassing pathways between tidal inlets and adjacent beaches. Journal of Coastal Research, 36(5), 897-914. https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-19-00141.1
8. Benzaghta, Mostafa Ali, et al. "SWOT analysis applications: An integrative literature review." Journal of Global Business Insights 6.1 (2021): 54-72.
9. BMT JFA Consultants. (2016). City of Stirling strategic coastal processes study.
10. BMT. (2023). *Victoria's Resilient Coasts - Adaptation Actions Compendium*. Prepared for DEECA.
11. Bond, H. G., Allan, J. C., et al. (2025). Dynamic cobble berm revetments: the state of the practice and a proposed design process. *Frontiers in Marine Science, 12*, 1603318. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2025.1603318
12. Boodoo, A., Wakeyama, T., & Cross, J. S. (2025). Wave farms for coastal protection: A systematic review of effectiveness, methodologies, and future directions. Ocean & Coastal Management, 269, 107807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2025.107807
13. Bowman, D., Ferri, S., & Pranzini, E. (2007). Efficacy of beach dewatering: Alassio, Italy. Coastal Engineering, 54(10), 791–800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2007.05.014
14. Brullo, T., Barnett, J., Boulter, S., Waters, E., & Roob, R. (2024). *Enabling best practice: Artificial reefs for coastal protection in the City of Greater Geelong*. NESP Climate Systems Hub https://adaptlandandsea.org.au/resources/enabling-best-practice-artificial-reefs-for-coastal-protection-in-the-city-of-greater-geelong/
15. Carley, J. T., & Cox, R. J. (2017). *Guidelines for sand nourishment: Science and synthesis for NSW* (WRL Research Report 263). UNSW Water Research Laboratory. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/sand-nourishment-guidelines-science-synthesis-nsw.pdf
16. Carley, J. T., Coghlan, I. R., Blacka, M. J., & Cox, R. J. (2010, November). Beach scraping as a coastal management option. *Proceedings of the 19th NSW Coastal Conference*, Batemans Bay, Australia. https://burleighphysio.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Paper-Beach-Scraping.pdf

17. CIRIA. (2020). *Groynes in coastal engineering: Guide to design, monitoring and maintenance (C793)*. https://southerncoastalgroup-scopac.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Groynes_in_coastal_engineering_CIRIA_C793_May_2020.pdf

18. City of Christchurch, (n.d.) Catalogue of Coastal Hazard Adaptation Options

19. City of Cockburn. (2025). North Coogee reef project recognised for coastal excellence. City of Cockburn. https://www.cockburn.wa.gov.au/City-and-Council/Events-and-News/News-From-The-City/North-Coogee-reef-project-recognised-for-coastal-e

20. City of Gold Coast. (2025). *Surfers Paradise Sand Backpass System*. https://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/Services/Projects-works/Surfers-Paradise-Sand-Backpass-System

21. Clifton, G. A., Dafforn, K. A., & Bishop, M. J. (2022). The ecological benefits of adding topographic complexity to seawalls vary across estuarine gradients. Ecological Engineering, 182, 106735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2022.106735

22. CoastAdapt (Ware, D., 2016) – Tweed River Entrance Sand Bypassing Project (case study). CoastAdapt case study prepared by Daniel Ware https://nccarf.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/CS03_Tweed_River_Sand_Bypass-4.pdf

23. Coghlan, I., Carley, J., & Messiter, D. (2024). Physical modelling of rock bags under wave attack. *CoastLab24 Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.59490/coastlab.2024.703

24. Dally, W. R., & Pope, J. (USACE). (1990-1998). *Empirical methods for the functional design of detached breakwaters for shoreline stabilisation* (CETN). https://erdc-library.erdc.dren.mil/bitstreams/81b728f8-71c1-4ef8-e053-411ac80adeb3/download

25. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. (2023). National artificial reef guidelines (Version 1.0). https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/national-artificial-reef-guidelines-version-1-2023.pdf

26. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH). (2019). *Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning Guidelines (CHRMAP)*. Government of Western Australia. https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2021-07/GD_CST_coastal_hazard_risk_management-guidelines-July2019.pdf

27. Dinu, I., Preoteasa, L., Diaconu, E., Armaș, I., & Nistor, C. (2025). Feasibility of artificial reefs as coastal protection measures at the Danube Delta coast (Romania). *Frontiers in Environmental Science, 13*, 1568364. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1568364

28. Dolphin, T. J., Vincent, C. E., Bacon, J. C., Dumont, E., & Terentjeva, A. (2012). Decadal-scale impacts of a segmented, shore-parallel breakwater system. *Coastal Engineering*, *66*, 24-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2012.03.004

29. Dong, S., Salauddin, M., Abolfathi, S., & Pearson, J. (2021). *Wave impact loads on vertical seawalls: Effects of the geometrical properties of recurve retrofitting*. Water, 13(20), 2849. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13202849

30. Eichmanns, C., & Schüttrumpf, H. (2021). Influence of sand trapping fences on dune toe growth and its relation with potential aeolian sediment transport. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 9(8), 850. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse9080850

31. Eichmanns, C., Lorke, S., & Schüttrumpf, H. (2022). A nature-based solution for coastal protection: Wind tunnel investigations on sand trapping fences. Frontiers in Built Environment, 8, 878197. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2022.878197

32. EurOtop. (2018). *Manual on wave overtopping of sea defences and related structures* (2nd ed.). https://www.overtopping-manual.com/assets/downloads/EurOtop_II_2018_Final_version.pdf

33. Fernández-Montblanc, T., Duo, E., & Ciavola, P. (2020). Dune reconstruction and revegetation as a potential measure to decrease coastal erosion and flooding under extreme storm conditions. *Ocean & Coastal Management, 188*, 105075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.105075

34. Ferreira, Ó. (2023). The effectiveness of elevated boardwalks in restoring coastal dunes. *Journal of Environmental Management, 345*, 118759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118759

35. Fischione, P., Pasquali, D., Celli, D., Di Nucci, C., & Di Risio, M. (2022). Beach drainage system: A comprehensive review of a controversial soft-engineering method. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 10(2), 145. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10020145

36. Flikweert, J., Naylor, L., Karunarathna, H., Pullen, T., et al. (2023). Bacton to Walcott sandscaping: Learning from the Netherlands for an innovative UK coastal adaptation project. *ICE Civil Engineering* (Knowledge article). https://www.ice.org.uk/knowledge-and-resources/knowledge/bacton-sandscaping-project-learning-from-netherlands

37. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. (2020). Sand fencing guidelines (04/2020). https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/Sand-Fencing-Guidelines-2020.pdf

38. Gallop, S.L., Bosserelle, C., Pattiaratchi, C. and Eliot, I. (2011) Form and function of natural and engineered perched beaches. In Coasts and Ports 2011. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4211.9127

39. Ge, L., Chen, H., Chen, S., & Luan, Y. (2023). Application and Experiment of the Theory of Headland–Bay Equilibrium Coast in the Design of Artificial Beach Shoreline. *Water*, *15*(23), 4068. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/15/23/4068

40. Gijón Mancheño, A., et al. (2024). Integrating mangrove growth and failure in coastal flood protection designs. *Scientific Reports, 14*, 58705. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-58705-4

41. González, M., Medina, R. and Losada, M.A., 1999. Equilibrium beach profile model for perched beaches. Coastal Engineering, 36(4), pp.343-357. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3839(99)00018-6

42. Gold Coast Waterways Authority (GCWA). (2025). *Sand Bypass System (overview)*. https://gcwa.qld.gov.au/portfolio-item/sand-bypass-system/

43. Gostic, M., et al. (2024). Monitoring a natural cobble berm to inform dynamic revetment design. *Proceedings of ICCE 2024*. https://icce-ojs-tamu.tdl.org/icce/article/view/14648

44. Griffith University Centre for Coastal Management & GHD Pty Ltd. (2012). *Coastal hazard adaptation options - A compendium for Queensland coastal councils*. Department of Environment and Heritage Protection.

45. Griggs, G. (2019). The protection/hardening of California's coast: Times are changing. *Journal of Coastal Research, 35*(5), 1051-1061. https://bioone.org/journals/journal-of-coastal-research/volume-35/issue-5/JCOASTRES-D-19A-00007.1/The-ProtectionHardening-of-Californias-Coast-Times-Are-Changing/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-19A-00007.1.full

46. Hardaway Jr., C. S., & Gunn, J. R. (2010). Design and performance of headland bays in Chesapeake Bay, USA. *Coastal Engineering, 57*(3), 203-212. https://www.vims.edu/research/units/programs/ssp/_docs/Hardaway_Gunn_CoastalEngineering2010.pdf

47. Harley, M. D., Masselink, G., Ruiz de Alegría-Arzaburu, A., Valiente, N. G., & Scott, T. (2022). Single extreme storm sequence can offset decades of shoreline retreat projected to result from sea-level rise. *Communications Earth & Environment*, *3*(1), 112.

48. Harry, M., Barwick, A., & Harper, B. (2023). Tweed Sand Bypassing Transition Project. *Coastal Engineering Proceedings, 37*, 102. https://doi.org/10.9753/icce.v37.management.102

49. Hsu, J. (2010). Static bay beach concept for scientists and engineers. *Coastal Engineering, 57*(2), 76-91. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378383909001331

50. Huisman, B. J. A., et al. (2019). Observations and modelling of shoreface nourishment behaviour. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 7*(3), 59. https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/7/3/59

51. Hur, D.-S., Lee, W.-D., & Cho, W.-C. (2012). Beach stabilization by the laying of a drainage layer. Science China Technological Sciences, 55, 2625–2639. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11431-012-4886-6

52. ICM (2024) Maroochydore Groynes and Seawall https://www.coastalmanagement.com.au/maroochydore-groynes-seawall

53. Jackson, L. A., Tomlinson, R. B., Corbett, B., McGrath, J., & Stuart, M. (2012). Narrowneck Artificial Reef: A 10-year performance review. *Coastal Engineering Proceedings, 1*(33), 36. https://journals.tdl.org/icce/index.php/icce/article/view/6581

54. Jackson, N. L., Nordstrom, K. F., et al. (2015). Beach morphologies induced by breakwaters with different lengths and gaps. *Geomorphology, 236*, 133-143. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0169555X15001518

55. Jacob, B., Dolch, T., Wurpts, A., & Staneva, J. (2023). Evaluation of seagrass as a nature-based solution for coastal protection in the German Wadden Sea. *Ocean Dynamics*, *73*(11), 699-727.

56. Johnson, C. L., McFall, B. C., Krafft, D. R., & Brown, M. E. (2021). Sediment transport and morphological response to nearshore nourishment projects on wave-dominated coasts. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 9(11), 1182. https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/9/11/1182

57. Johnston, K. K., et al. (2023). Using dune restoration on an urban beach as a coastal protection strategy. *Frontiers in Marine Science, 10*, 1187488. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2023.1187488/full

58. Juanico, D. E. O., et al. (2022). Does mangrove restoration imply coastal protection? A prospective simulation study. Frontiers in Marine Science, 9, 968420. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.968420

59. Kristensen, S. E., Drønen, N., Deigaard, R., & Fredsøe, J. (2016). Impact of groyne fields on the littoral drift: A hybrid morphological modelling study. *Coastal Engineering, 111*, 13-22. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378383916000193

60. Lebbe, B. T., Rey-Valette, H., Chaumillon, É., Camus, G., Almar, R., Cazenave, A., Claudet, J., Rocle, N., Meur-Férec, C., Viard, F., Mercier, D., Dupuy, C., Ménard, F., Rossel, B. A., Mullineaux, L., Sicre, M-A., Zivian, A., Gaill, F., & Euzen, A. (2021). Designing coastal adaptation strategies to tackle sea level rise. *Frontiers in Marine Science, 8*, 740602.

61. Li, S., Lv, B., Yang, Y., Yang, Y., & Wang, C. (2022). Effects of offshore artificial islands on beach stability of sandy shores: case study of Hongtang Bay, Hainan Province. *Frontiers of Earth Science 16*(4), 876-889. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11707-021-0964-7

62. Masselink, G., & Pattiaratchi, C. B. (2001). Seasonal changes in beach morphology along the sheltered coastline of Perth, Western Australia. *Marine Geology, 172*, 243-263.

63. McGill, S. P., Harris, B. D., McFall, B. C., et al. (2022). Morphological analysis of a nearshore nourishment along the Atlantic Coast of New Jersey, USA. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 10*(11), 1622. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10111622

64. Mesa, C., et al. (1996). Nearshore berm performance at Newport Beach. *Proceedings of ICCE 1996*. https://icce-ojs-tamu.tdl.org/icce/article/view/5577

65. Ming, D., & Chiew, Y.-M. (2000). Shoreline changes behind detached breakwaters. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering, 126*(2), 63-70. https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%290733-950X%282000%29126%3A2%2863%29

66. Morris, R. L., Campbell-Hooper, E., Waters, E., Bishop, M. J., Lovelock, C. E., Lowe, R. J., ... & Swearer, S. E. (2024). Current extent and future opportunities for living shorelines in Australia. *Science of the Total Environment*, *917*, 170363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170363

67. Mortensen, J. S., Kærgaard, K. H., & Jakobsen, P. R. (2015). Concept design of a multipurpose submerged control structure at Palm Beach, Queensland, Australia. *Coasts & Ports 2015*. https://search.informit.org/doi/pdf/10.3316/informit.477104508283653

68. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2010). *Watermans Bay & Mettams Pool coastal investigations* (J791, Report R250).

69. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2016). *Joondalup coastal hazard assessment*. Prepared for the City of Joondalup.

70. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2021). *CHRMAP Cost Benefit Analysis - Technical Summary* (R1560).

71. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2022). *Joondalup Coastal Hazard Risk Management & Adaptation Plan* (K1570, Report R1073 Rev 0).

72. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2023). *Joondalup coastal monitoring - October 2022 interim data report* (K2005, Report R1737 Rev 1).

73. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA), City of Joondalup and Water Technology. (2023a). *Draft Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan 2023-2033*.

74. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2024). *Joondalup coastal monitoring - 2023/24 data report* (K2095, Report R1910 Rev 1).

75. MP Rogers & Associates (MRA). (2025). *Hillarys Boat Harbour sand bypassing options assessment* (R1984).

76. Munari, C., Corbau, C., Simeoni, U., & Mistri, M. (2011). Coastal defence through low crested breakwater structures: Jumping out of the frying pan into the fire?. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(8), 1641-1651.

77. Nawarat, K., Reyns, J., Vousdoukas, M. I., Duong, T. M., Kras, E., & Ranasinghe, R. (2024). Coastal hardening and what it means for the world's sandy beaches. *Nature Communications, 15*, 10626. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-024-54952-1

78. NOAA Office for Coastal Management. (2015). *Guidance for considering the use of living shorelines.* https://www.habitatblueprint.noaa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/NOAA-Guidance-for-Considering-the-Use-of-Living-Shorelines_2015.pdf

79. Oliveira, F. S. B. F., Sancho, F., Rilo, A., & Nahon, A. (2025). Modelling the longevity of beach nourishment and the influence of a detached breakwater. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 13*(12), 2251. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse13122251

80. Pan, Y., Kuang, C. P., Chen, Y. P., Yin, S., Yang, Y. B., Yang, Y. X., ... & Zhang, Y. (2018). A comparison of the performance of submerged and detached artificial headlands in a beach nourishment project. *Ocean Engineering*, *159*, 295-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.04.038

81. Pattiaratchi, C. B., Hegge, B. J., Gould, J., & Eliot, I. G. (1997). Impact of sea breeze activity on nearshore and foreshore processes in southwestern Australia. Continental Shelf Research, 17, 1539-1560.

82. Paxton, A. B., Riley, T. N., Steenrod, C. L., Puckett, B. J., Alemu I, J. B., Paliotti, S. T., ... & Silliman, B. R. (2024). Evidence on the performance of nature-based solutions interventions for coastal protection in biogenic, shallow ecosystems: a systematic map. *Environmental Evidence*, *13*(1), 28. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13750-024-00350-5

83. Pellón, E., Vidal, C., Gomes da Silva, P., Aniel-Quiroga, Í., González, M., & Medina, R. (2024). Laboratory evaluation of the effectiveness of nature-assisted beach enhancement techniques. Coastal Engineering, 188, 104428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2023.104428

84. Psuty, N.P. and Silviera, T.M. (2008), Human Interaction with the Coastal Geomorphology of Fire Island. Technical Report NPS/NER/NRTR-2008/132

85. Public Works Department (PWD). (1984). Environmental Review and Management Program for Sorrento Boat Harbour (Vols. 1-2). Scott & Furphy Engineers Pty Ltd and Le Provost Semeniuk & Chalmer.

86. Rani, S., Kumar, S., Kumar, P., Dar, S. A., & Kumar, A. (2025). Artificial reefs: Solution for restoration of marine ecosystem and improving community resilience. *International Journal of Bio-Resource & Stress Management, 16*(5).

87. Restall, S. J., Restall, J. B., & Lancaster, C. (2022). Reconstruction of the Maroochydore Groynes. In *Australasian Coasts & Ports 2021: Te Oranga Takutai, Adapt and Thrive* (pp. 805-810). Christchurch, NZ: New Zealand Coastal Society.

88. Romão, X., et al. (2024). A State-of-the-Art Review on Storm Events, Overtopping and Flooding in Coastal and Port Areas. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 12(3), 462. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12030462

89. Rural Payments and Services. (2015). Sand-blow fencing (management options and capital items). https://www.ruralpaymentsandservices.org/topics/all-schemes/agri-environment-climate-scheme/management-options-and-capital-items/sand-blow-fencing/sand-blow-fencing.pdf

90. Saengsupavanich, C., Pranzini, E., Ariffin, E. H., & Yun, L. S. (2023). Jeopardizing the environment with beach nourishment. *Science of the Total Environment, 868*, 161485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.161485

91. Salauddin, M., & Pearson, J. M. (2019). Wave overtopping and toe scouring at a plain vertical seawall with shingle foreshore: A physical model study. Ocean Engineering, 171, 286-299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.11.011

92. Scheres, B., & Schüttrumpf, H. (2019). Enhancing the ecological value of sea dikes. Water *11*(8), 1617. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/11/8/1617

93. Schoonees, T., Gijón Mancheño, A., Scheres, B., Bouma, T. J., Silva, R., Schlurmann, T., & Schüttrumpf, H. (2019). Hard structures for coastal protection: Toward greener designs. *Estuaries and Coasts, 42*, 1709-1729. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-019-00551-z

94. Stive, M. J. F., de Schipper, M. A., Luijendijk, A. P., Aarninkhof, S. G. J., et al. (2013). A new alternative to saving our beaches from sea-level rise: The Sand Engine. *Coastal Engineering, 81*, 22-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.06.001

95. Storlazzi, C. D., Reguero, B. G., Alkins, K. C., Shope, J. B., Gaido-Lassarre, C., Viehman, T. S., & Beck, M. W. (2025). Hybrid coral reef restoration can be a cost-effective nature-based solution to provide protection to vulnerable coastal populations. *Science Advances, 11*(3), eadn4004.

96. Stul, T., Eliot, I., & Pattiaratchi, C. (2007). Sediment cells along the Perth Metropolitan Coast. In *Proceedings of the 2007 Coasts and Ports Australasian Conference* (18-20 July). Engineers Australia, Canberra.

97. Suedel, B. C., Calabria, J., Bilskie, M., Byers, J., et al. (2022). Engineering coastal structures to centrally embrace biodiversity. Journal of Environmental Management, 323, 116138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116138

98. Tarakcioglu, G. O., Kisacik, D., Gruwez, V., & Troch, P. (2023). Wave overtopping at sea dikes on shallow foreshores: A review, an evaluation, and remaining challenges. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 11(3), 638. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse11030638

99. Tooker, G. (2023). Full scale testing of rock bag filter units (Australasian Coasts & Ports 2023 Conference paper). https://www.amcsearch.com.au/source-assets/images/Gabriel-Tooker-CP23-Rock-Bag-Trial-Paper.pdf

100. Turner, I. L., & Leatherman, S. P. (1997). Beach dewatering as a 'soft' engineering solution to coastal erosion: a history and critical review. Journal of Coastal Research, 1050-1063. https://www.jstor.org/stable/4298714

101. USACE 2007. How Beach Nourishment Work. https://www.nan.usace.army.mil/Portals/37/docs/civilworks/SandyFiles/HowBeachNourishmentWorks.pdf

102. USACE. (2002). *Coastal Engineering Manual (EM 1110-2-1100), Part VI - Design of coastal project elements*. https://www.publications.usace.army.mil/USACE-Publications/Engineer-Manuals/

103. van der Werf, J. J., et al. (2025). Shoreface nourishments: Research advances and future perspectives. *Earth-Science Reviews, 267*, 105138. https://research.tudelft.nl/files/244774393/1-s2.0-S0012825225000996-main.pdf

104. van der Zanden, J., van der Hout, A., Otto, W., Spaargaren, F., Walles, B. and de Wilde, J., 2022. Experimental study on a breaking-enforcing floating breakwater. Journal of Coastal and Hydraulic Structures, 2. https://journals.open.tudelft.nl/jchs/article/view/6237/5477

105. van Rijn, L. C. (2013). *Coastal structures* (online compendium; groynes, breakwaters, nourishment). https://www.leovanrijn-sediment.com/papers/Coastal%20structures.pdf

106. Vieira da Silva, G., Strauss, D., Murray, T., Tomlinson, R., Taylor, J., & Prenzler, P. (2021). Building coastal resilience via sand backpassing: A framework for developing a decision support tool for sand management. *Ocean & Coastal Management, 213*, 105887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2021.105887

107. Vozzo, M. L., Bishop, M. J., Dafforn, K. A., Steinberg, P. D., Strain, E. M. A., & Mayer-Pinto, M. (2024). From experiment to intervention: A case study of scaling up marine eco-engineering from research to application. *Environmental Science & Policy, 150*.

108. WAPC - Western Australian Planning Commission. (2013). *State Planning Policy 2.6: State Coastal Planning Policy*. https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2021-07/SPP-CST-SPP2-6_Policy.pdf

109. Weaver, R. J., et al. (2024). Mangroves as Coastal Protection for Restoring Low-Energy Waterfront Property. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 12*(3), 470. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12030470

110. White, A. E., Cohn, N., Davis, E. H., Hein, C. J., & Zinnert, J. C. (2024). Coastal dune management affects above and belowground biotic characteristics. *Scientific Reports, 14*, 22688. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-73312-z

111. Williams, K., Ranasinghe, R., & Holman, R. (2018). Coastal community perspectives on seawall construction and beach nourishment: Collaroy/Narrabeen, Australia. *Journal of Coastal Research, 34*(5), 1191-1202. https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-17-00141.1

112. World Bank. (2025). Nature-based Solutions for Beach Stabilization: Opportunities for the Tourism Sector. World Bank, Washington, DC. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0 IGO

113. Xu, J., Sun, J., Shi, J., Li, G., Wang, X., Ding, D., Wang, C., & Wu, L. (2022). Increased wave load on the Gudong seawall caused by seabed scour. Ocean Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.111005

114. Xu, X., & Salauddin, M. (2025). Quantifying wave attenuation by seagrass: a comprehensive review of assessment techniques. Frontiers in Marine Science, 12, 1620592.

115. Yang, Z. X., Xu, Z. R., & Zhang, X. D. (2025). Offshore artificial islands and shoreline change in Southern Hainan Island: Development and challenges. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 83, 104096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2025.104096

116. Yen, L. P., & Todd P.A. (2025). 'Eco-unit services': Positive and negative ecological services provided by seawall enhancement units. Ecological Engineering, 217, 107631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2025.107631

117. Zhang, X., Yue, Z., Liu, G., & Wang, Y. (2025). *Life-cycle impacts of artificial* islands on shoreline evolution: A high-frequency satellite-based assessment. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 13(11), 2211. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse13112211

Appendix B Adaptation Options Used in Other Contexts

Table B-1. List of adaptation options from the Queensland Compendium (Griffith University and GHD, 2012)

Category	Option
Regenerative options	Beach nourishment
	Dune construction and regeneration
	Riparian corridors restoration and generation
	Wetland restoration
Coastal engineering options	Artificial reefs
	Detached breakwaters
	Groynes and artificial headlands
	Sea dykes or levees
	Seawalls
	Storm surge barriers
Coastal settlement design options	Building retrofitting and improved design
	Flood resilient public infrastructure
	Raise land and floor levels
Planning options	Development setbacks
	Land buy-back
	Land swap

Table B-2. Victorian Compendium of Coastal Adaptation Options (BMT, 2023)

Functional type	Category	Adaptation Action
Land management, planning and design	Land use	Land acquisition, swap, lease-back
		Controlled access
		Planning scheme zone change
		Planning overlays
		Rolling easements
		Removal / relocation of infrastructure
	Resilient design / development	Development setbacks
		Use of resilient materials and design in new and retrofitted infrastructure
	Cultural landscapes	Survey, document, salvage, other*
Nature-based (Nature-based methods use the creation of restoration of coastal habitats for hazard risk reduction[1])	Coastal vegetation and blue carbon ecosystems	Mangrove forests
		Seagrass meadows
		Salt marsh
		Kelp forests
	Beach and dune ecosystems	Beach and dune protection / vegetation / management
		Use of on-site natural materials to reduce erosion
		Wet sand fencing
		Supported littoral vegetation**
Engineering	Nourishment**	Localised beach scraping / dune nourishment / reconstruction
		Beach nourishment
		Sand by-pass system

Functional type	Category	Adaptation Action
	Reefs**	Shellfish reefs
	Dredging	Configuration dredging
	Seawalls	Vertical seawalls
		Eco-engineering of hard surfaces
		Rock revetments
		Geobag revetment / wall
		Rock bag revetment / wall
	Groynes	Groynes (rock, geobag, other)
	Breakwaters	Breakwaters
	Flood/tidal barriers	Levees / dykes
		Tidal / surge barriers
		Tidal valves on stormwater system
		Saline groundwater intrusion barrier
	Drainage	Upgrade of drainage network
		Water sensitive urban design
	Road network	Upgrade of road network

**As led by Traditional Owners - guidance should be sought directly from local groups.*

***May be considered a hybrid engineering and nature-based action, pending detail of the approach*

Table B-3. Characteristics of measures for flexible management of natural areas (Source: Bailly et al. 2020)


Coastal management approaches	Adaptation measures	Characteristics		
		Physical-environmental	Socio-economic	Institutional
Natural evolution under monitoring (coastal flooding and erosion)	• Creation of monitoring network/ observations	✓	✓	✓
Accompaniment of natural processes	• Sand fences, windbreaks nets, branches, etc.	✓		
	• Cliff foot palisade	✓		
	• Revegetation (on dunes, cliffs, etc.)	✓		
	• Wetland restoration, de-polderisation	✓		
	• Beach access management		✓	✓

Coastal management approaches		Adaptation measures	Characteristics		
			Physical-environmental	Socio-economic	Institutional
Hazard mitigation (flooding)		• Retreat or removal of existing defences	✓		
		• Wetland restoration, de-polderisation	✓		
		• Second line levee / dyke	✓		
Counteracting a hazard (flooding)		• Dunes restoration or reconstruction / artificial sand dunes	✓		
		• Adjustment/increased height/ creation of hard engineered structures ○ Walls ○ Backshore riprap ○ Breakwaters (natural, artificial, submerged or not; including artificial reefs) ○ Stakes ○ Dykes, dyke embankments, multi-line dykes, etc. ○ Hybrid solutions	✓		
		• Temporary defences	✓		
		• Temporary storm surge dams	✓		
		• Limiting actions liable to cause subsidence (water, gas and oil pumping, etc.)	✓		✓
Soft engineering to control erosion		• Beach and/ or dune nourishment	✓		
		• Beach drainage	✓		
Hard engineering to control erosion	Sandy coast	• Adjustment/increased height / creation of hard engineered structures ○ Groynes ○ Breakwaters (natural, artificial, submerged or not) ○ Stone walls ○ Fence at dune foot ○ Backshore riprap ○ Stakes ○ Dykes ○ Hybrid solutions	✓		
		• Retreat or removal of existing defences	✓		
		• Geotextiles, geotextiles tubes & geobags[1]	✓		
	Rocky coast	• Reprofiling	✓		
		• Adjustment/ increased height / creation of hard engineered structures ○ Riprap at cliff foot ○ Retaining walls ○ Groynes ○ Stone walls ○ Cliff strengthening ○ Terraces building	✓		
		• Cliff drainage	✓		
		• Anti-runoff and anti-infiltration devices at cliff top	✓		

Coastal management approaches		Adaptation measures	Characteristics		
			Physical-environmental	Socio-economic	Institutional
Reducing vulnerability to coastal flooding and coastal erosion	Actions on buildings (coastal flooding)	• Flood-proofed buildings (dry or wet)	✓		
		• Floating or amphibious anchored houses	✓		
		• Elevated ground floor	✓		
		• Stilt buildings	✓		
		• Removable buildings	✓		
		• Boat houses	✓		
		• Water and salt insensitive materials	✓		
		• Suitable positioning of utilities networks (electrical, drinking water, sewage ...)	✓		
	Actions on buildings (coastal erosion)	• Removable buildings	✓		
	Other measures to reduce vulnerability	• Building standards adapted to hazard exposure			✓
		• Artificial raising of ground (e.g. artificial islands, mounds under buildings)	✓		
		• Strategic retreat	✓	✓	✓
		• Establishment of land management program (e.g. for land exchanges)		✓	✓
		Crisis management plan :			
		• Council protection plan			✓
		• Height of emergency exits of buildings adapted to the water level expected for a given hazard level	✓		✓
		• Emergency roads raised above the water level expected for a given hazard level	✓		✓
		• Protection of strategic or risk-prone buildings or areas	✓		✓

Coastal management approaches		Adaptation measures	Characteristics		
			Physical-environmental	Socio-economic	Institutional
All	Knowledge	• Monitoring network and/or coastal observatories	✓	✓	✓
		• Hazard and vulnerability mapping		✓	✓
		• Sediment management plan at the hydro-sedimentary cell scale			✓
		• Research network and conferences		✓	
	Education	• Natural risk and climate change training		✓	
		• Research network and conferences		✓	
		• Risk awareness, urban planning, strategic retreat, etc.		✓	
	Information	• Creation of early warning systems	✓	✓	✓
		• Climate services	✓	✓	✓
		• Consultations		✓	
		• Media communication		✓	
	Urban planning	• Integration of climate change and its effects on coastal hazards in urban planning and documents		✓	✓
		• Prohibit building in hazardous areas and preserve buffer zones		✓	✓

Table B-4. Nature-based Solutions for Beach Stabilisation as Opportunities for the Tourism Sector - Report to World Bank (2025)



Appendix C Complete SWOT Analysis

Table C-1. Complete SWOT Analysis

Option	Advantages (Strengths + Opportunities)	Disadvantages (Weaknesses + Threats)	Examples and whether successful	Proceed to feasibility study?	Rationale
1. Beach face nourishment	**Strengths**: Rapidly increases dry-beach width and storm buffer while preserving sandy amenity and access. Opportunities: Suits flexible, trigger-based delivery and can be integrated with dune management, and emerged and submerged defences.	**Weaknesses**: It can be short-lived on high-energy coasts unless repeated every 5-10 years; performance depends on sand compatibility and placement design. Threats: Sand sourcing, approvals, costs, and storm sequencing can reduce longevity and public confidence. Additional threats: sand source availability/quality and escalating costs as demand increases; potential marine impacts (e.g., seagrass smothering, reef and benthic habitat impacts); logistics and access constraints for trucks or dredge vessels due to limestone cliffs and shallow reef systems.	Widely applied internationally with consistent short-term widening benefits (USACE 2007; AECOM 2010) – generally successful, maintenance-dependent over time.	Yes	Well-established, flexible sand-based measure that directly addresses erosion while aligning with community preference for sandy beaches, despite ongoing maintenance needs.
2. Shoreface or nearshore nourishment	**Strengths**: Low-visibility placement that maintains natural beach character and can reduce on-beach disruption compared to beach placement. Opportunities: Can be refined through monitoring of berm migration and beach response to improve reliability as part of a sand-led strategy.	**Weaknesses**: Benefits are slower and less visible; outcomes are sensitive to depth, berm geometry, and seasonal wave climate. Threats: Delayed onshore migration can be perceived as underperformance; offshore environmental constraints may limit design flexibility. Additional threats: placement within/adjacent to Marmion Marine Park may require more complex approvals than beach-face works; sand source availability/quality and cost; potential marine impacts (seagrass, reef and benthic habitat); logistics/access constraints due to limestone cliffs and shallow reef systems.	Feeder berms widened beaches in energetic settings including Ocean Beach (San Francisco) and Harvey Cedars (New Jersey) (Barnard et al. 2009; McGill et al. 2022) – generally successful when well designed and monitored.	Yes	Low-visibility sand management option with demonstrated success when well designed, suitable for testing under local conditions despite slower response times.
3. Large scale sand nourishment projects	**Strengths**: Larger, less frequent sand campaigns can lower unit costs and provide a longer-lasting beach buffer, supporting amenity and storm resilience when designed for local processes.	**Weaknesses**/Threats: Requires a reliable long-term sand source, access/staging areas and a clear approvals pathway; larger footprints and longer construction windows can increase turbidity/ecological impacts and community disruption; performance still depends on placement geometry and wave climate and will require monitoring-led top-ups under SLR.	Implemented widely on exposed sandy coasts (often >100,000 m^3 per campaign); successful where sand compatibility, placement design and monitoring-based triggers are in place.	Yes	Explicitly assessed per City request; progressed to feasibility because it can improve cost-efficiency and durability of sand-led pathways, subject to sand-supply strategy, approvals and impact management.
4. Sand bypassing	**Strengths**: Restores sediment continuity around interruptions, supporting downdrift beach condition with minimal visual footprint. Opportunities: Can be formalised as a routine operational program guided by monitoring and triggers.	**Weaknesses**: Requires ongoing operational capacity, access, and stable funding; does not add new sand unless paired with external sources. Threats: Operational interruptions can quickly reduce effectiveness and perceived reliability.	Long-running Australian programs demonstrate reliable outcomes when guided by monitoring and performance triggers (CoastAdapt 2016; GCWA 2025) – generally successful where interruption drives erosion.	Yes	Proven and locally relevant method to restore sediment continuity where infrastructure interrupts drift, with strong alignment to existing management frameworks.
5. Sand backpassing and enhanced backpassing	**Strengths**: Recirculates sand within the coastal cell from accreting to eroding areas, reducing reliance on external borrow sources. Opportunities: Enhanced approaches (harvest zones, triggers, tracking) can improve efficiency and confidence, and target hotspots.	**Weaknesses**: Depends on sustainable donor volumes and workable logistics; may require repeated campaigns. Threats: Perceived impacts to donor areas or poor timing can reduce acceptance and operational feasibility. Key constraint: requires a local donor sand source or accreting beach with a reliable surplus; limited suitable borrow areas can reduce feasibility and increase costs.	Backpassing is effective where donor areas reliably accrete and operations are optimised (Vieira da Silva et al. 2021; Beck et al. 2020; Harry 2022; Harry et al. 2023) – generally successful in suitable donor/receiver settings.	Yes	Efficient use of internal sand resources where donor areas exist, reducing reliance on external sources and supporting adaptive hotspot management.

6. Beach scraping and re-profiling	**Strengths**: Simple, low-cost, visually unobtrusive way to rebuild berms/foredune toe and restore access after storms. Opportunities: Useful as a short-term recovery tool within a broader nourishment and dune program.	**Weaknesses**: Redistributes existing sand only, so benefits are temporary and not suitable as a standalone erosion solution. Threats: Overuse or poor safeguards can create ecological impacts or unintended local deficits.	Studies and practice reviews show short-term recovery benefits when carefully managed with safeguards and monitoring (Pellón et al. 2024; Carley & Cox 2011) – generally successful as an interim tool.	Yes	Low-cost, short-term recovery tool that complements broader sand and dune strategies, appropriate for inclusion despite limited standalone effectiveness.
7. Dune enhancement / revegetation	**Strengths**: Low-visibility, community-aligned measure that strengthens the dune buffer and supports ecological and recreational values. Opportunities: Provides a baseline measure that complements nourishment, access management, and storm recovery.	**Weaknesses**: Cannot resolve chronic sediment deficits alone and requires ongoing maintenance and space to function. Threats: Storm clustering and sea level rise can outpace recovery if sand volumes and access controls are not sustained.	Dune resilience literature supports revegetation and access management as effective baseline measures when maintained (Nordstrom et al. 2020; Harley et al. 2022) – generally successful, maintenance-dependent.	Yes	Foundational, low-impact measure that supports resilience and amenity, suitable as a supporting element even though it cannot resolve chronic erosion alone.
8. Mega-nourishments	**Strengths**: Creates a long-lasting sand source that feeds adjacent beaches over years, reducing frequency of smaller campaigns. Opportunities: Potential for broader recreational and ecological co-benefits and reduced lifecycle intervention frequency.	**Weaknesses**: Requires very large volumes and suitable placement space; distribution of benefits can be uneven through time. Threats: High upfront cost, complex approvals, and uncertainty in redistribution under storm variability and rising sea levels.	The Netherlands Sand Engine is the benchmark mega-placement with multi-year redistribution benefits (Stive et al. 2013; Flikweert et al. 2023) – successful at large scale, context-specific.	No	Scale, cost and approval complexity outweigh benefits for a segmented urban coastline.
9. Multipurpose artificial reefs	**Strengths**: Low-visibility wave attenuation and nearshore stabilisation potential while retaining beach aesthetics; can support habitat and surf objectives if designed appropriately. Opportunities: Can enhance nourishment effectiveness and improve social licence via recreation and ecological co-benefits.	**Weaknesses**: Highly design-sensitive and typically cannot replace ongoing sediment supply. Threats: Ecological approvals and surf-quality concerns can limit feasibility; unexpected circulation or morphology responses can undermine acceptance. Additional weaknesses/threats: can displace erosion alongshore and, in some settings, exacerbate erosion or shoreline retreat; requires robust understanding of local coastal dynamics supported by field observations and detailed numerical modelling to avoid unintended impacts.	Narrowneck Reef and Palm Beach Reef show reefs working alongside nourishment with long-term monitoring (Jackson et al. 2012; Mortensen et al. 2015; Brullo et al. 2024) – generally successful when embedded in sediment management.	Yes	Demonstrated ability to work alongside nourishment while retaining amenity and offering co-benefits, warranting feasibility testing despite design sensitivity.
10. Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers (incl. submerged islands)	**Strengths**: Low-crest underwater controls can attenuate waves and steer nearshore morphology with reduced visual intrusion. Opportunities: Can act as morphodynamic stabilisers to improve sand-led management outcomes in persistent hotspots.	**Weaknesses**: Outcomes are highly sensitive to crest level, spacing, and distance from shore; generally still require ongoing sand supply. Threats: Risks include altered circulation, scour, and surf impacts without robust modelling and monitoring. Additional weaknesses/threats: can displace erosion alongshore and, in some settings, exacerbate erosion or shoreline retreat; requires robust understanding of local coastal dynamics supported by field observations and detailed numerical modelling to avoid unintended impacts.	Global reviews highlight variable performance and emphasise governance, modelling and monitoring (Williams et al. 2018; EcoShape 2025) – mixed to successful depending on design and setting.	Yes	Potential to stabilise nearshore morphology with limited visual impact, but requires feasibility testing due to high design and modelling sensitivity.
11. Rock groynes	**Strengths**: Can trap sand and stabilise shoreline position locally by interrupting longshore transport, with relatively rapid response where drift dominates. Opportunities: If ever considered, governance plus bypassing/top-ups can reduce some adverse impacts.	**Weaknesses**: Can displace erosion downdrift and affect surf amenity and natural appearance. Threats: High social licence risk; cumulative downdrift impacts can be significant if bypassing is inadequate.	Guidance emphasises geometry sensitivity and downdrift risks unless coupled with bypassing/nourishment (Kristensen et al. 2016; CIRIA 2020; van Rijn 2013) – mixed outcomes, strongly context dependent.	Yes	Included for transparency and completeness given community interest, but feasibility testing is needed to fully understand impacts and risks in this context.

12. Geofabric groynes (geotextile sand container groynes)	**Strengths**: Potentially lower-impact and reconfigurable alternative to rigid groynes, offering flexibility if impacts emerge. Opportunities: May be used as trial/pilot units supporting nourishment where reduced permanence is valued.	**Weaknesses**: Durability and maintenance demands can be higher; still alters sediment transport and can create downdrift impacts. Threats: Exposure/damage can create reputational issues; acceptance may drop if materials become visible.	Used in Australia including Busselton/Geographe Bay contexts (Hornsey et al. 2011) – mixed performance; maintenance and setting strongly influence success.	Yes	More flexible and potentially reversible alternative to rock groynes, suitable for feasibility testing despite durability and maintenance uncertainties.
13. T/Y head groynes	**Strengths**: Can shape beach planform and potentially reduce visual clutter versus long groyne fields by moderating gradients and diffraction effects. Opportunities: May achieve stabilisation with fewer structures if integrated with sediment supply and modelling.	**Weaknesses**: Still requires sediment sources and careful modelling; can create complex currents and local scour. Threats: Downdrift deficit risk persists; visible structures may face strong opposition.	Design guidance highlights diffraction-aware modelling and sediment budget integration (Hardaway & Gunn 2010; Hsu 2010; Nam et al. 2011) – mixed outcomes, design-sensitive.	No	Adds structural complexity and visual impact without clear advantages over simpler groyne or sand-based options, making it lower priority for further study.
14. Vertical seawalls	**Strengths**: Reliable asset protection and erosion line control at the structure boundary where space is constrained. Opportunities: Can be paired with sand buffers and staged crest-raising, and may be less objectionable if buried beneath maintained nourishment.	**Weaknesses**: Often contributes to beach narrowing by constraining landward beach migration; can increase reflection, scour and overtopping hazards. Threats: Under sea level rise, loading and overtopping risk increases and beach amenity can progressively decline unless ongoing sand management is maintained.	Guidance and reviews document strong asset-defence performance but consistent beach-width trade-offs without sand buffers (EurOtop 2018; Griggs 2019; Allan et al. 2005; Allan et al. 2025; Nawarat et al. 2024) – successful for assets, mixed for beaches/amenity.	Yes	Progressed for feasibility assessment due to proven asset protection performance and existing use along the coastline; high trade-offs remain (beach narrowing, reflected wave energy, amenity), so should be treated as a comparator and targeted hotspot option.
15. Sloping rock revetments and seawalls	**Strengths**: Robust, well-established protection approach that dissipates wave energy more than vertical walls and can be designed for maintainability. Opportunities: Can be “softened” with sand buffers, toe management, and ecological detailing where appropriate.	**Weaknesses**: Footprint can be large, often reduces usable beach width at higher tides, and can affect access and amenity. Threats: Toe scour, settlement, and increasing overtopping with sea level rise can drive escalating maintenance and widening impacts.	Common globally for asset protection; performance is typically strong for defence but often associated with beach-amenity trade-offs unless paired with sand buffers (EurOtop 2018; Griggs 2019) – successful for assets, mixed for beach outcomes.	Yes	Progressed for feasibility assessment due to proven ability to protect assets and compatibility with existing armouring at some locations; trade-offs include footprint, beach narrowing and long-term SLR adaptability, so assessment should focus on targeted applications and mitigation of impacts.
16. Sea dikes	**Strengths**: High standard of flood protection for low-lying land and critical assets; can be staged (raised) over time. Opportunities: Where relevant, can integrate pathways/landscape uses and be designed as part of broader flood-risk management.	**Weaknesses**: Very large, continuous infrastructure with major footprint and landscape impacts; requires strong geotechnical design and long-term governance. Threats: High cost, high social impact, and residual risk from overtopping/breach under extreme events; may conflict with beach amenity objectives.	Applied widely in low-lying delta settings; generally effective for flood defence when well-governed, but often high-impact and context-specific (examples to be confirmed in references list).	No	Large-scale, high-impact infrastructure better suited to low-lying deltaic settings than an open sandy coast focused on beach amenity.

17. Detached breakwaters (emerged, shore-parallel; incl. fields)	**Strengths**: Strong wave attenuation and shoreline planform control; can promote salients/tombolos and improve retention where sand supply exists. Opportunities: Could be tuned (segments/freeboard/gaps) and combined with nourishment to target specific hotspots.	**Weaknesses**: Visually prominent, can alter currents and access; high sensitivity to geometry and gaps. Threats: Downdrift erosion risk if bypassing is not provided; high social licence and ecological risks where amenity and marine values are priorities.	International examples illustrate variable outcomes and need for site-specific calibration (Ming & Chiew 2000; Jackson et al. 2015) – mixed to successful, higher side-effect risk.	Yes	Strong erosion control potential and included to assess whether design refinements could mitigate known social and environmental risks.
18. Offshore Islands (emerged, segmented shore-parallel elements)	**Strengths**: Can create wave-shadow zones and sheltered compartments, shaping deposition patterns and reducing wave energy landward. Opportunities: If ever considered, could be designed for multi-use (habitat/recreation) with careful spacing and objectives.	**Weaknesses**: Strongly modifies currents and sediment pathways; visually prominent and complex to design. Threats: Permitting/navigation risks and high uncertainty without detailed modelling; potential downdrift deficits and scour.	Used internationally in select settings; outcomes are design- and setting-dependent (examples to be confirmed in references list).	Yes	Conceptually relevant for wave shadowing and compartment control, warranting feasibility testing despite high complexity and uncertainty.
19. Artificial headlands	**Strengths**: Anchors compartments and guides toward an equilibrium bay planform, potentially reducing longshore gradients. Opportunities: Can support recreation and place-making if integrated with nourishment and clear design objectives.	**Weaknesses**: Requires careful modelling and sediment supply; can induce downdrift deficits and local scour. Threats: Visible "hardening" can reduce acceptance; unexpected planform responses can undermine confidence.	Headland-bay approaches and examples highlight the need for site-specific calibration (Hsu 2010; Hardaway & Gunn 2010) – mixed outcomes, context dependent.	No	Provides limited advantage over other compartment-based options while carrying higher costs and similar visual and sediment risks. More suitable in urban settings.
20. Hybrid seawall-dune system	**Strengths**: Concealed "last line of defence" maintains sandy appearance while providing backup protection during extremes. Opportunities: Supports staged adaptation, pairing routine nourishment, dune management and monitoring to maintain sand cover and manage exposure risk.	**Weaknesses**: Requires reliable sand supply to remain buried; if sand declines it behaves like exposed armouring. Threats: Sea level rise increases exposure frequency and maintenance needs; acceptance may fall if the structure becomes visible.	Common in long-running integrated systems sustained by ongoing nourishment and monitoring (EurOtop 2018; Griggs 2019) – successful where sand supply and governance are sustained.	Yes	Balances asset protection with maintained sandy appearance, aligning with staged adaptation despite reliance on sustained sand supply.
21. Dynamic cobble-berm revetments	**Strengths**: Self-adjusting cobble berm can dissipate storm energy and reduce runup while allowing a more "natural" dynamic response than rigid armouring. Opportunities: Targeted hotspot use may reduce storm erosion where sand-only measures underperform.	**Weaknesses**: Requires suitable cobble supply and careful calibration; can affect access and perceived beach character. Threats: Acceptance risk if cobbles are frequently exposed; uncertain performance if not matched to local hydrodynamics.	Recent studies report storm resilience when calibrated; North Cove (Washington) is a cited example (Bayle et al. 2021; Gostic et al. 2024; Bond et al. 2025) – promising but site-specific.	No	Promising but highly site-specific and disruptive to beach character, making it unsuitable for further consideration at this stage.
22. Wet-sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping	**Strengths**: Low-cost method to accelerate aeolian sand capture when positioned and maintained appropriately. Opportunities: Can build dune volume between nourishment cycles and support recovery after storms when integrated with access management and planting.	**Weaknesses**: Effectiveness depends on wind regime, placement and maintenance; not suitable as a standalone response to major recession. Threats: Storm damage, vandalism and inconsistent maintenance can quickly reduce performance; access/aesthetics concerns may arise.	Guidance notes best performance when located above swash zone and maintained after major events (Environment Agency 2020; Eichmann 2021; NRC Solutions n.d.) – generally successful where conditions and maintenance are suitable.	Yes	Low-cost, low-impact support measure that enhances dune recovery and complements nourishment, suitable for inclusion in feasibility assessment.

23. Beach drainage and dewatering	**Strengths**: Low-visibility approach intended to reduce backwash erosion by lowering groundwater beneath the beach. Opportunities: If effective locally, could complement sand management by improving post-storm recovery.	**Weaknesses**: Evidence is mixed and outcomes are inconsistent, especially on energetic coasts; requires power and ongoing maintenance. Threats: Reputational risk if benefits are unclear; potential permitting and environmental concerns depending on groundwater interactions.	Reviews report mixed, site-specific performance and inconsistent outcomes (Schoonees et al. 2019; van Rijn 2013; Medina et al. 2021) – overall mixed success.	No	Evidence of effectiveness is inconsistent on high-energy coasts, creating reputational and performance risk.
24. Wave energy converters (WECs)	**Strengths**: Potential multi-benefit proposition combining renewable energy with possible wave attenuation. Opportunities: Could attract innovation partnerships and pilot funding if coastal protection performance can be demonstrated.	**Weaknesses**: Coastal protection is not the primary design objective; attenuation benefits are uncertain; survivability and maintenance demands are high. Threats: Navigation/permitting constraints and high cost/maintenance risk; reputational risk if shoreline benefits are not measurable.	Literature discusses potential attenuation co-benefits but highlights uncertainty for shoreline outcomes (López et al. 2022; Battista et al. 2024) – coastal protection success uncertain.	No	Coastal protection benefits are uncertain and secondary to energy generation, making it unsuitable for erosion-focused feasibility work.
25. Floating wave energy dissipators	**Strengths**: Potentially reduces incident wave energy with limited seabed disturbance and can be removed/adjusted; may offer niche applications for temporary protection during works.	**Weaknesses**/Threats: Evidence gap for open-coast, high-energy performance and survivability; high capital and O&M for moorings/maintenance; navigation, safety and recreation constraints; likely visual/space impacts and uncertain long-term erosion benefits.	Most applications are in sheltered waters; open-coast concepts remain largely experimental with limited field validation for shoreline outcomes.	No	Assessed per City request; progressed to feasibility as an emerging option, but expected to score low for open-coast erosion management due to survivability and evidence constraints.
26. Purpose-sunk reef and habitat modules	**Strengths**: Strong habitat and education/tourism benefits in suitable locations; may create local roughness and shelter effects. Opportunities: Can align with biodiversity objectives if sited appropriately with clear performance targets.	**Weaknesses**: Coastal protection benefit is often secondary and limited on open, high-energy coasts; effectiveness depends on scale and placement. Threats: High permitting/navigation constraints; reputational risk if positioned as erosion control without measurable shoreline outcomes.	Evidence generally shows stronger success for habitat than for open-coast erosion control (Rani et al. 2025; Storlazzi et al. 2025).	No	Strong ecological value but limited and unreliable erosion control benefit on exposed open coasts.
27. Mangrove restoration	**Strengths**: Strong wave attenuation and erosion reduction in suitable sheltered settings with major ecological co-benefits. Opportunities: Multi-benefit nature-based adaptation pathway where conditions and climate are suitable.	**Weaknesses**: Generally not applicable to temperate exposed open-ocean sandy coasts. Threats: High failure and reputational risk if proposed outside viable ranges or energy settings.	Strong performance documented for sheltered settings; not intended for exposed temperate open coasts (Narayan et al. 2016).	No	Not physically or climatically suitable for a temperate, high-energy open-ocean coastline.
28. Seagrass restoration	**Strengths**: Can support seabed stability and reduce resuspension in suitable environments while delivering biodiversity and water-quality co-benefits. Opportunities: Potentially relevant in sheltered pockets as a complementary measure supporting ecological objectives.	**Weaknesses**: Establishment is sensitive to light, substrate and water quality; protective benefits are limited where wave energy is high. Threats: High failure risk if conditions are unsuitable; long lead times may not match urgent erosion hotspot needs.	Evidence syntheses show coastal protection benefits primarily in suitable lower-energy settings (Narayan et al. 2016).	No	Not suitable as a standalone erosion-control measure on Joondalup's open coast, but important as marine habitat management (conservation and targeted restoration) within integrated coastal management where it supports nearshore stability and ecological values.

29. Living shorelines	**Strengths**: Nature-based approach that can reduce erosion while delivering ecological co-benefits and a natural shoreline interface in suitable settings. Opportunities: Strong social licence potential and multi-benefit framing where exposure is low or where hybridisation is feasible.	**Weaknesses**: Typically best suited to sheltered coasts and estuarine/bay environments; limited applicability on exposed open coasts. Threats: High risk of underperformance if applied outside suitable wave-energy settings; ongoing maintenance and approvals burdens.	Often successful in sheltered settings; not generally intended for high-energy open coasts (NOAA 2015).	No	Generally effective in sheltered settings only, with high risk of underperformance on exposed coastlines.
30. Living seawalls	**Strengths**: Retains structural protection function while improving ecological value and potentially social acceptability versus smooth, conventional walls. Opportunities: Can be applied as retrofit modules or design detailing where seawalls/revetments already exist or are unavoidable.	**Weaknesses**: Does not address underlying sediment deficits or beach-width loss where hardening constrains retreat. Threats: Added complexity/cost and maintenance; ecological outcomes can be underwhelming without appropriate site conditions and monitoring.	Growing portfolio of projects internationally (examples to be confirmed in references list) – generally promising for ecology; neutral on erosion unless paired with sand buffers/sediment management.	No	Improves ecology but does not address underlying erosion drivers or beach-width loss.
31. Rock Filter Units	**Strengths**: Permeable rock + filter-layer detailing can reduce seepage, manage transitions, and improve toe/scour resilience for armoured structures. Opportunities: Can be used as targeted "detail upgrades" at hotspots (toes, transitions, outfalls) rather than continuous hardening. Additional advantages: rapid deployment for emergency response; modular and adaptable in constrained sites; can serve as interim toe/transition protection where traditional rock placement is difficult.	**Weaknesses**: Primarily a structural detail rather than a shoreline-scale erosion solution; may still affect access/amenity if used extensively. Threats: Can be over-specified or misapplied; maintenance and settlement issues can emerge if foundation conditions are poor.	Commonly used as part of engineered revetment/toe protection systems (examples to be confirmed in references list) – generally successful as a durability/scour-management measure.	Yes	Progressed for feasibility assessment as a rapid-deployment, flexible interim/emergency protection measure (noting recent local trials and neighbouring council experience); assessment should test stability, maintenance and amenity impacts under Joondalup wave climate.
32. Living speed bumps	**Strengths**: Low-profile, relatively unobtrusive nearshore roughness features may reduce wave-energy concentration and support habitat objectives if eco-designed. Opportunities: Could be trialled as a pilot-scale, monitoring-led innovation where community preference favours minimal visible structures.	**Weaknesses**: Coastal protection benefit is uncertain and design-sensitive; may be too subtle to meaningfully influence open-coast erosion without scale. Threats: Underperformance risk without robust modelling and monitoring; permitting and ecological constraints may limit options.	Emerging concept with limited mature shoreline-protection track record (examples to be confirmed in references list) – promise likely higher for habitat and local wave-modification than for standalone erosion control.	Yes	Included as an innovative, low-visibility concept to test whether subtle nearshore roughness could deliver measurable benefits under local conditions.
33. Perched beaches (targeted for pocket beaches)	**Strengths**: Can help retain nourishment and maintain a wider dry beach by limiting offshore losses using a submerged sill/reef; low-visibility hybrid option suited to discrete hotspots and pocket beaches.	**Weaknesses**/Threats: Highly site-specific and design-sensitive; requires nearshore construction and approvals, with risks to habitat and currents; may still need periodic top-ups and careful monitoring under storm clusters and sea level rise.	Applied internationally as a hybrid (sill/reef + nourishment) to stabilise compartments; outcomes vary with geometry and local wave climate.	No	Assessed due to relevance for Joondalup pocket beaches; progressed to feasibility to test whether a low-profile control could materially improve sand retention without unacceptable impacts.

Table C-2. Complete Feasibility Assessment

#	Option	Technical feasibility	Score (Tech)	Environmental feasibility	Score (Env)	Economic feasibility	Score (Econ)	Social feasibility	Score (Social)	TOTAL	Integration / combinations	Comparison to groynes	Example location	Example publication
7	Dune enhancement / revegetation	W&S - strengthens foredune buffer and supports sand capture under strong sea-breeze conditions; B&C - aligns with existing dune-backed coast but does not by itself prevent high-energy beach erosion during storms; best as an enabling measure alongside sand supply and access management.	3	C&E - enhances native vegetation, biodiversity and connectivity along coastal strip; MP - supports sandy-beach interface without adding hard footprint.	5	Capital and O&M - low capex with ongoing maintenance and community programs; Proportionality - highly cost-effective for the risk reduction and co-benefits delivered.	5	CU&V - strongly aligned with community preference for natural sandy beaches; Recreation - works best with pathway management to maintain access while reducing trampling.	5	18	Foundation "base layer" that complements all sand measures and is essential to make hybrid dunes/buried systems socially and visually acceptable.	Does not replicate groynes' trapping, but improves buffering with minimal downside and high acceptability.	Many WA beaches; Gold Coast (QLD), numerous beaches in Australia.	Fernández-Montblanc et al. (2020); White et al. (2024); Ferreira (2023)
22	Sand fencing, brushing, and dune trapping	W&S - accelerates aeolian sand capture under strong sea-breeze conditions when correctly aligned and maintained; B&C - supports dune building and recovery but has limited direct effect on active beach-face erosion during high-energy events; best as part of a dune/access management program.	3	C&E - generally positive for dunes and native vegetation when combined with planting and access control; MP - minimal marine footprint and supports beach-dune resilience.	5	Capital and O&M - low capex and scalable; Proportionality - very cost-effective but requires consistent maintenance after storms and vandalism risk management.	5	CU&V - generally acceptable but can have local aesthetics/access trade-offs; Recreation - needs careful pathway design and communication to minimise conflicts and protect views/access.	5	18	Treat as a program embedded in dune enhancement and hybrid dunes; pairs strongly with nourishment and storm-recovery actions.	Does not replace groynes' trapping but supports a natural buffer with much lower downside and higher acceptability.	Numerous dune-fencing programs in Australia, UK, France and United States Atlantic coasts.	Eichmanns & Schüttrumpf (2021); Eichmanns et al. (2022).
20	Hybrid seawall-dune system	W&S - provides concealed "last line of defence" with sand/dune buffer under normal conditions; B&C - retains a beach-looking frontage if sand cover is maintained; CE - protects assets during extremes while the sand system provides day-to-day buffering; SLR - can be staged via crest raising but requires increasing sand management to keep buried.	4	C&E - if kept buried, reduces day-to-day ecological and visual impacts versus exposed armouring; MP - still a hard defence in principle and requires careful design to manage toe/scour and nearshore effects if exposed.	2	Capital and O&M - higher upfront cost than sand-only, but may be cost-effective where excavation is required anyway; O&M depends on maintaining sand cover (top-ups) rather than frequent structural works.	4	CU&V - strongly aligned where the structure remains buried and the frontage stays sandy/natural; Recreation - maintains access and amenity under normal conditions; requires transparent communication about triggers for exposure.	5	15	Best combined with routine nourishment, bypassing/backpassing, and dune management (including fencing/trapping) to maintain cover.	Compared to groynes, it offers asset security without forcing alongshore trapping, but it relies heavily on ongoing sand governance.	Gold Coast (QLD)	Nordstrom et al. (2020); Harley et al. (2022).

4	Sand bypassing	W&S - restores sediment continuity around interruptions; B&C - suited where structures/headlands disrupt alongshore transport; CE - directly relieves interruption-driven hotspots by re-establishing flux; SLR - operational triggers and volumes can adapt as shorelines retreat and levels rise.	4	C&E - localised disturbance at intake/discharge points but supports broader sediment balance; MP - impacts can be managed through siting, timing and monitoring.	3	Capital and O&M - moderate capital with ongoing operational costs; Proportionality - scalable effort suits municipal funding cycles.	4	CU&V - framed as sand recycling and beach-preservation; Recreation - short-term disruptions to beach access and use during excavation/transport, but long-term benefit to beach width and surf amenity.	3	14	Integrates with nourishment, backpassing, dune programs, and any structural option that needs sand cover.	Achieves sediment-continuity outcomes groynes try to force, but without adding new permanent structures.	Tweed River (NSW); Gold Coast (QLD).	CoastAdapt (2016); GCWA (2025).
1	Beach face nourishment	W&S - rapidly increases dry-beach width and storm buffer under Joondalup's high-energy winter swell climate; B&C - compatible with existing beach-dune system and does not introduce hard controls; CE - directly addresses erosion by adding sediment to the active profile; SLR - volumes and placement can be scaled and repeated over time to maintain buffers under 0.5–0.9 m SLR.	4	C&E - temporary turbidity and seabed disturbance, generally reversible with compatible sand and good practice; MP - careful sand sourcing/approvals needed to avoid long-term habitat impacts within/adjacent to Marmion Marine Park.	3	Capital and O&M - moderate to high campaign costs; unit costs depend strongly on sand source (purchase vs dredged vs existing). Proportionality - scalable, but recurring costs increase under tighter sand supply.	2	CU&V - preserves natural sandy appearance with no new visible structures; reliability may be questioned. Recreation - supports swimming/surfing/walking with manageable short-term disruption during works.	4	13	Core sand-led measure; pairs strongly with dunes, bypassing/backpassing, and can be used to keep buried systems covered.	Delivers beach width without fixed structures; less direct "trap" control than groynes but far higher adaptability and acceptance.	Many Australian and international beaches (campaign nourishment).	USACE (2007); AECOM (2010); Saengsupavanich et al., (2023) Oliveira et al. (2025)
2	Shoreface or nearshore nourishment	W&S - compatible with swell-dominated coasts where storms can drive onshore migration; B&C - maintains natural beach character with reduced on-beach disruption; CE - can augment the active profile and support recovery where designed at suitable depth/geometry; SLR - repeatable/scalable, but remains depth and climate sensitive.	4	C&E - temporary turbidity and seabed disturbance; MP - higher approvals complexity if placement is within/adjacent to Marmion Marine Park; requires careful siting to avoid sensitive habitats (e.g., seagrass/reef) and manage monitoring triggers.	2	Capital and O&M - moderate to high campaign cost plus monitoring (bathymetry and profiles); Proportionality - good where it reduces community disruption or improves lifecycle performance versus beach placement.	3	CU&V - low visibility supports social licence; Recreation - minimal long-term interference, but slower/less visible benefits require clear communication.	4	13	Works well with monitoring triggers; pairs with reefs/breakwaters to improve retention if justified; complements dune building.	Less intrusive than groynes and avoids direct transport interruption; relies on sediment processes rather than hard trapping.	Gold Coast (QLD) Ocean Beach (California, USA); Harvey Cedars (NJ, USA)	Barnard et al. (2009); Johnson et al. (2021); McGill et al. (2022); Huisman et al. (2019); González et al. (2023); van der Werf et al. (2025)

6	Beach scraping and re-profiling	W&S - tactical reshaping after storms (berm rebuild, foredune toe recovery) suited to Joondalup storm scarping; B&C - suitable where profiles are wide enough for limited landward redistribution; CE - short-term recovery only; SLR - opportunities may narrow as beaches reduce in width, increasing need for safeguards.	3	C&E - limited, reversible impacts if tightly controlled by volume, season and footprint; MP - repeated disturbance requires clear rules and monitoring to protect intertidal values.	2	Capital and O&M - low unit cost but repeat campaigns can accumulate; Proportionality - best used sparingly with triggers inside a broader sand program.	4	CU&V - seen as routine management if not overused; Recreation - quickly restores access and dry-beach usability, but needs clear communication and timing controls.	4	13	Adjunct to nourishment and dune measures; useful after storm clustering to rebuild buffers quickly.	Much less effective than groynes for stabilisation, but far less intrusive and valuable for rapid recovery.	Wooli Beach (NSW), Byron Bay (NSW)	Carley et al. (2010); Carley & Cox (2011); Pellón et al. (2024)
3	Large scale sand nourishment projects	W&S - increases buffer via large-volume campaigns; B&C - best suited to longer continuous sandy frontages; CE - can materially widen beaches and improve storm resilience if sand is compatible and placement is well designed.	4	C&E - larger footprint and longer works can increase turbidity and habitat disturbance at borrow/placement sites; MP - approvals and environmental management are central for marine-park-adjacent waters.	2	Capital and O&M - potentially lower unit cost than small campaigns, but high mobilisation and approvals; O&M - less frequent but still requires monitoring and occasional top-ups under SLR.	4	CU&V - supports sandy beach values but longer construction periods can disrupt access; social licence depends on clear communication of benefits, sand sourcing and impact management.	3	13	Fits as a backbone sand-supply option combined with dune management and targeted bypass/backpass.	Delivers beach width without hard controls; avoids groyne visual impacts but still requires ongoing sand governance.	Gold Coast (QLD); New Jersey (USA)	McGill et al. (2022); Barnard et al. (2009)
5	Backpassing and enhanced backpassing	W&S - relocates sand from accreting donors to eroding receivers; B&C - feasible only where reliable donor areas exist and harvest limits are sustainable; CE - constrained by limited suitable borrow sites on this coastline, reducing realism at scale.	3	C&E - localised disturbance but maintains sediment within system; MP - impacts manageable with operational controls and monitoring.	3	Capital and O&M - generally moderate and logistics-driven with repeat campaigns; Proportionality - strong where donor areas accrete reliably and access is workable.	3	CU&V - generally acceptable when framed as within-cell sand management; Recreation - short-term disruptions to beach access and use during works; acceptability improves when works are timed and communicated.	3	12	Pairs strongly with bypassing and nourishment; operational bridge between larger campaigns; supports keeping buried systems covered.	Delivers sand to hotspots without hard trapping; far less intrusive than groynes but depends on donor sustainability.	Surfers Paradise (QLD), Noosa Heads (QLD)	Vieira da Silva et al. (2021); Beck et al. (2020); Harry (2022); Harry et al. (2023).
31	Rock filter units	W&S - can provide rapid, modular toe/transition protection and reduce local scour in constrained sites; B&C - suited as interim/emergency works rather than whole-frontage erosion control; performance depends on sizing and placement geometry.	3	C&E - localised footprint and disturbance; impacts depend on extent and whether units remain exposed; MP - approvals may still be needed near sensitive habitats.	2	Capital and O&M - moderate capex with potentially higher O&M if units shift or degrade; Proportionality - can be cost-effective for rapid response compared with large rock works.	3	CU&V - can be acceptable as an interim/emergency measure if kept low-profile and used sparingly; Recreation - may reduce access/safety at the site while exposed.	3	11	Useful as a rapid-response layer alongside sand/dune measures; includes clear maintenance triggers and exit strategy.	Less system-wide sediment disruption than groynes, but does not address underlying sediment deficits.	City of Stirling (WA) trial sites	Tooker (2023); Coghlan et al. (2024)

32	Living speed bumps	W&S - low-profile roughness/nearshore "bumps" intended to subtly modify wave transformation and reduce energy concentration; B&C - would need detailed modelling to show measurable benefit on an exposed open coast; CE - may help reduce hotspot persistence if it slows sand losses when paired with nourishment; SLR - needs an adaptive pathway as depth and forcing increase.	2	C&E - potential for nature-inclusive eco-engineered units and limited footprint; MP - requires careful assessment of habitat effects and circulation/safety changes within/near Marmion Marine Park.	3	Capital and O&M - likely moderate to high investigation and pilot cost plus monitoring; Proportionality - best framed as a staged pilot with clear success criteria and exit options.	2	CU&V - can be framed as low-visibility and nature-inclusive, but acceptance depends on surf/safety outcomes and whether elements become visible; Recreation - engagement and monitoring essential given uncertainty.	4	11	Most credible when paired with nourishment, dune recovery and operational sand transfers, with monitoring-led adaptive management.	Aims to reduce reliance on prominent groyne fields but has higher uncertainty and less direct control than groynes.	Oceanside (California, US)	Re:Beach website, ICM (n.d.).
11	Rock groynes	W&S - proven to trap sand under Joondalup's energetic winter swells but strongly alter alongshore transport; B&C - can stabilise individual cells but transfers adjustment to adjacent reaches; CE - can address erosion locally but create downdrift hotspots unless bypassing is continuous; SLR - crest raising possible but fixed controls increasingly lock shoreline position.	4	C&E - hardens shoreline alignment and replaces dune/upper beach habitat; MP - modifies nearshore sediment regime and surf breaks within Marmion Marine Park fringe.	2	Capital and O&M - high capex plus ongoing bypassing/nourishment to manage downdrift effects; Proportionality - high governance burden relative to sand-led options.	2	CU&V - highly visible and conflicts with preference for natural sandy beaches; Recreation - interferes with alongshore walking/surfing/swimming patterns and has low social licence.	2	10	Must be coupled with bypassing and/or nourishment; treat as a "high trade-off" comparator and only proceed if Council explicitly wants a ruled-in option.	Baseline for comparison.	Numerous examples along the European Mediterranean and Atlantic coasts (Italy, France, Spain, UK). Numerous examples in WA	Van Rijn (2013); Kristensen et al. (2016); CIRIA (2020).
15	Sloping rock revetments and seawalls	W&S - reliable asset protection with wave-energy dissipation relative to vertical walls; B&C - can still induce beach narrowing/passive erosion where shoreline would otherwise retreat; SLR - toe scour and overtopping increase over time without sediment management.	4	C&E - replaces natural shore habitat with hard substrate; can be eco-designed to improve habitat complexity but remains an armouring impact; MP - approvals/constraints near sensitive reefs/seagrass.	2	Capital and O&M - high capex; O&M includes inspections, toe maintenance and possible staged crest raising; Proportionality - justified mainly for critical assets and transitions.	2	CU&V - more acceptable than vertical walls in some settings but still reduces natural beach character and can limit access; Recreation - impacts depend on footprint and whether sand buffers are maintained.	2	10	Best combined with nourishment/dune enhancement to maintain a sandy beach frontage and reduce reflection effects.	More robust asset protection than groynes but typically worse for beach amenity unless paired with sand buffers.	Exmouth (UK) and many urban coasts	EurOtop (2018); Griggs (2019); Nawarat et al. (2024)

12	Geofabric groynes	W&S - can trap sand but remains sensitive to wave climate, exposure and damage; B&C - still modifies transport and can create downdrift impacts; CE - may provide short-to-medium term stabilisation as a trialable measure; SLR - exposure frequency and maintenance demands likely increase as water levels rise.	3	C&E - smaller hard footprint than rock but introduces synthetic materials; MP - risk if units degrade or become exposed, affecting aesthetics and potentially marine debris concerns.	2	Capital and O&M - lower capex than rock groynes but potentially higher maintenance and replacement risk; Proportionality - sometimes justified as a pilot where reversibility is valued.	2	CU&V - less "permanent" than rock but visible when exposed and can attract strong negative reactions if damaged; Recreation - can affect access and surf patterns similarly to other groynes.	2	9	Only credible with sand supply (nourishment/backpassing) and a clear maintenance/removal plan to manage reputational risk.	Lower permanence than rock groynes but not inherently "low impact"; still carries downdrift and acceptance risks.	Maroochydore (QLD)	Restall (2002); Sunshine Coast Council (2020); Sunshine Coast Council (2022a); International Coastal Management (2024).
9	Multipurpose artificial reefs	W&S - highly design-sensitive on an exposed swell coast; potential for unintended currents and alongshore impacts; effective only where modelling shows measurable wave-energy reduction and sand-retention benefits at a specific hotspot.	2	C&E - nearshore construction and footprint can disturb habitats; MP - approvals and habitat impacts may be material near sensitive reef/seagrass; requires robust baseline studies and monitoring to demonstrate net benefit.	2	Capital and O&M - high investigation/modelling/construction costs and ongoing monitoring; Proportionality - high cost relative to protected area means poor scalability for multiple hotspots.	2	CU&V - low visibility from shore but strong stakeholder risk if outcomes are uncertain; Recreation - may affect surf, access and navigation; social licence depends on clear objectives, safety and demonstrated performance.	2	8	If considered, only as a staged pilot combined with nourishment/backpassing and clear success criteria; not a core program option.	Lower visibility than groynes and potentially more acceptable, but more complex and typically still reliant on ongoing sand management.	Narrowneck Reef and Palm Beach Reef (Gold Coast, QLD).	Jackson et al. (2012); Mortensen et al. (2015); Brullo et al. (2024).
10	Submerged breakwaters and low-crest barriers, incl. submerged islands	W&S - can attenuate waves but performance is highly sensitive to crest level, spacing and storm sequencing; B&C - can alter bar patterns, rips and circulation in open sandy nearshore; CE - can help locally if correctly designed but can shift hotspots if mis-sized; SLR - rising levels can reduce breaking/attenuation unless adaptable.	2	C&E - introduces hard footprint and modifies mixing/circulation; MP - higher uncertainty for benthic communities, sediment dynamics and water quality within/near Marmion Marine Park.	2	Capital and O&M - high offshore capex plus monitoring and potential remediation; Proportionality - difficult to justify unless modelling shows clear retention benefits beyond sand-only options.	2	CU&V - low visual impact, but surf/safety changes can drive opposition; Recreation - offshore hazards/perceptions require careful management.	2	8	Usually needs sand supply and strong monitoring; consider only where modelling suggests clear, localised benefit in persistent hotspots.	Lower visibility than groynes but can still drive side effects if it functions as a hard control without adequate sand management.	Sea Palling (UK); numerous examples along the European Mediterranean coast (Italy, France, Spain)	Williams et al. (2018); EcoShape (2025).
14	Vertical seawalls	W&S - provides strong asset protection where space is constrained; B&C - often leads to beach narrowing and toe scour under reflective conditions; SLR - increasing water levels increase overtopping and maintenance demand without sand buffers.	4	C&E - hard armouring reduces intertidal habitat and can increase scour; MP - potential impacts near sensitive habitats; mitigation via design (toe protection, eco-enhancement) is limited.	1	Capital and O&M - high capital with ongoing maintenance and potential upgrade/crest-raising needs; Proportionality - best reserved for critical assets where alternatives are constrained.	2	CU&V - visible hard structure and loss of dry beach at high water reduces amenity; Recreation - can restrict access and increase safety risks in storms; however, may be accepted where protecting existing infrastructure is the priority.	1	8	Typically paired with sand buffers/dune management to retain beach width; can be a targeted comparator option.	Functions as a hard 'hold-the-line' comparator with higher amenity trade-offs than groynes in many settings.	Northern Beaches Council (NSW), Australia	Salauddin & Pearson (2019); Griggs (2019)

17	Detached breakwaters	W&S - strong wave attenuation and planform control but highly sensitive to freeboard, gaps and offshore distance; B&C - can create salients/tombolos and reshape an otherwise open sandy coast; CE - may stabilise pockets but can starve adjacent sections; SLR - often requires increasing sand management to retain beaches as levels rise.	4	C&E - large hard footprint and altered circulation; MP - difficult fit where conservation values and natural sediment dynamics are priorities.	1	Capital and O&M - high offshore capex plus monitoring and possible bypassing/nourishment; Proportionality - hard to justify versus sand-led measures with lower impact.	2	CU&V - visually prominent and likely perceived as industrialising the seascape; Recreation - can change surf breaks and access patterns with low social licence in a beach-amenity setting.	1	8	Would still require nourishment/bypassing to manage side effects; consider as "assessed and likely screened out" unless Council directs otherwise.	More wave control than groynes, but generally higher visual/environmental impact and comparable or worse social licence risk.	Numerous examples along the European Mediterranean and Atlantic coasts (Italy, France, Spain). Saly Beach (Senegal)	Ming & Chiew (2000); Munari et al. (2011); Dolphin et al. (2012); Jackson et al. (2015).
18	Offshore islands	W&S - can create wave-shadow zones but outcomes are highly geometry-dependent and can generate complex currents; B&C - can reconfigure circulation and sediment pathways substantially; CE - may stabilise sheltered pockets but can shift problems alongshore; SLR - performance shifts as water depths increase, often demanding more sand management.	3	C&E - major alteration of hydrodynamics and ecological mosaics; MP - navigation, habitat and conservation conflicts likely high in a marine park-adjacent setting.	1	Capital and O&M - very high capex and monitoring burden; Proportionality - difficult to justify for municipal-scale erosion management.	2	CU&V - very high visual impact and strong risk of community rejection if framed incorrectly; Recreation - likely conflicts with surf/amenity and safety perceptions. Potential use by swimmers during low energy days.	2	8	Only feasible as a major, transformational project and would still need sand management; best handled as "considered and screened out".	Even greater landscape and ecological footprint than groynes; typically lower fit for sandy-beach amenity goals.	Liguria (Italy)	Zhu et al. (2024); Zhang et al. (2025).

Scoring Note

1 = Very Low
2 = Low
3 = Moderate
4 = High
5 = Very High
Total = sum of the four scores.

List of Abbreviations

W&S = Wave and swell conditions
B&C = Beach and coastline characteristics
CE = Current erosion
SLR = Sea level rise
C&E = Climate and ecology
MP = Marine Park / habitats
CU&V = Community use and values
O&M = Operational and maintenance cost

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
Payments						
EF138419	15/05/2026	154 HIGH ST UNIT TRUST (FAJ BOOKKEEPING)				2,640.00
			10066857 - GRANT ACQUITTAL AUDIT OF MOOLANDA BLVD		2,640.00	
EF138542	15/05/2026	365 SOLUTIONS CONSULTING PTY. LTD.				113,423.75
			405 - ENTERPRISE BUSNESS PLATFORM PROJECT MANA	00625	113,423.75	
EF138912	29/05/2026	365 SOLUTIONS CONSULTING PTY. LTD.				241,257.50
			406 - ENTERPRISE BUSNESS PLATFORM PROJECT MANA	00625	41,965.00	
			407 - ENTERPRISE BUSINESS PLATFORM PROJECT MAN	00625	69,355.00	
			411 - ENTERPRISE BUSINESS PLATFORM PROJECT MAN	00625	129,937.50	
EF138946	29/05/2026	3D WALKABOUT PTY LTD				605.00
			INV-3347 - EXHIBITION AND GALLERY		605.00	
EF138417	15/05/2026	4PARK PTY LTD (FORPARK AUSTRALIA)				6,125.64
			INV105300 - PLAY EQUIPMENT		1,166.00	
			INV106172 - PLAY EQUIPMENT MAIN		4,959.64	
EF138805	29/05/2026	A FRAZER & A.D FRAZER				550.00
			257 - BUS SHELTER MURAL ARTIST REMAINING STAGE		550.00	
EF138738	29/05/2026	A.R DIMOND & N.J DIMOND (ADAMAS SECURITY)				750.00
			5261 - CCTV STRATEGIC COMMUNITY REFERENCE GROUP		750.00	
EF138349	15/05/2026	AAAC TOWING PTY LTD				1,963.50
			INV-123253 - TOWING - WARRANDYTE DRIVE, CRAIGIE WA		737.00	
			INV-123254 - TOWING - WARRANDYTE DRIVE, CRAIGIE WA		737.00	
			INV-123255 - TOW TO WELSHPOOL HOLDING YARD		489.50	
EF138732	29/05/2026	AAAC TOWING PTY LTD				979.00
			INV-129296 - TOW TO WELSHPOOL HOLDING YARD		489.50	
			INV-130023 - TOW TO WELSHPOOL HOLDING YARD		489.50	
EF138352	15/05/2026	AARCO ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS PTY LTD				3,970.85
			INV-5765 - WASTE DISPOSAL GENERAL		1,322.76	
			INV-5801 - WEEKLY ASBESTOS CLEAN UP AT HILLARYS		1,479.89	
			INV-5802 - WASTE DISPOSAL GENERAL		1,168.20	
EF138385	15/05/2026	ACCESS ICON PTY LTD (CASCADA GROUP)				7,431.60
			25784` - GRATED COVER RAISED/FLUSH 25MM WITH LOCK	01925	7,431.60	
EF138722	29/05/2026	ACTION GLASS & ALUMINIUM				3,634.51
			76852 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		3,101.01	
			77020 - SORRENTO COMMUNITY HALL		533.50	
EF138318	15/05/2026	ADAM SHAW				359.18
			881915 - LEISURE CENTRE MEMBERSHIP REFUND		359.18	
EF138660	29/05/2026	ADRIAN HILL				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138773	29/05/2026	AJAR ENTERPRISES PTY LTD (CLARK RUBBER JOONDALUP)				1,050.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			967378 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		1,050.00	
EF138593	15/05/2026	ALINTA				2,047.90
			029003046 04/05/26 - ELLERSDALE AVE WARWICK 0290030467		43.25	
			120776540 24/03/26 - BROADBEACH BLVD 15/12-19/3/26		138.75	
			642498400 04/05/26 - BOAS AVE JOONDALUP 6424984006		1,819.10	
			962002342 04/05/26 - PENISTONE ST GREENWOOD 9620023429		46.80	
EF138953	29/05/2026	ALINTA				1,059.05
			214002367 14/05/26 - TRAPPERSDRWOODVALE 2140023675		578.30	
			506131065 21/05/26 - ALTHAEA WAY WOODVALE FEB - MAY 2026		146.80	
			5240010194 22/05/26 - WARRANDYTE DR CRAIGIE FEB - MAY 26		135.80	
			576008730 14/05/26 - TRAPPERSDRWOODVALE 5760087304		198.15	
EF138282	15/05/2026	ALKIMOS BAPTIST COLLEGE				1,312.50
			434677 - REFUND SWIMMING FEES		1,312.50	
EF138336	15/05/2026	ALS LIBRARY SERVICES PTY LTD				9,681.23
			137169 - PROFILED STOCK		362.72	
			137170 - TITLES AS SELECTED		158.27	
			137171 - TITLES AS SELECTED		127.52	
			137172 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		22.43	
			137173 - TITLES AS SELECTED		141.95	
			137174 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		12.06	
			137175 - TITLES AS SELECTED		21.22	
			137176 - TITLES AS SELECTED		39.03	
			137177 - PROFILED STOCK		397.81	
			137178 - PROFILED STOCK		443.11	
			137179 - PROFILED STOCK		211.29	
			137180 - PROFILED STOCK		35.49	
			137181 - PROFILED STOCK		322.19	
			137182 - PROFILED STOCK		78.07	
			137183 - PROFILED STOCK		340.74	
			137184 - PROFILED STOCK		111.43	
			137185 - PROFILED STOCK		60.33	
			137186 - PROFILED STOCK		154.03	
			137187 - PROFILED STOCK		40.21	
			137188 - PROFILED STOCK		203.32	
			137189 - PROFILED STOCK		31.94	
			137190 - PROFILED STOCK		167.52	
			137191 - PROFILED STOCK		297.69	
			137192 - PROFILED STOCK		30.52	
			137193 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		64.98	
			137564 - PROFILED STOCK		152.75	
			137565 - TITLES AS SELECTED		286.33	
			137566 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		28.38	
			137567 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		76.62	
			137568 - TITLES AS SELECTED		88.71	
			137569 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		26.96	
			137570 - PROFILED STOCK		76.81	
			137571 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		14.19	
			137572 - TITLES AS SELECTED		52.32	
			137573 - TITLES AS SELECTED		131.99	
			137574 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		17.03	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			137575 - PROFILED STOCK		73.10	
			137576 - TITLES AS SELECTED		56.79	
			137577 - PROFILED STOCK		229.19	
			137578 - PROFILED STOCK		408.17	
			137579 - PROFILED STOCK		68.83	
			137580 - PROFILED STOCK		177.48	
			137581 - PROFILED STOCK		358.00	
			137582 - PROFILED STOCK		234.79	
			137583 - PROFILED STOCK		68.85	
			137584 - PROFILED STOCK		155.77	
			137585 - PROFILED STOCK		137.92	
			137586 - PROFILED STOCK		59.13	
			137587 - PROFILED STOCK		209.41	
			137588 - PROFILED STOCK		70.98	
			137589 - PROFILED STOCK		241.81	
			137590 - PROFILED STOCK		79.49	
			137591 - PROFILED STOCK		37.84	
			137592 - PROFILED STOCK		59.19	
			137593 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		12.77	
			137977 - PROFILED STOCK		186.28	
			137978 - TITLES AS SELECTED		158.54	
			137979 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		31.93	
			137980 - TITLES AS SELECTED		74.52	
			137981 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		35.49	
			137982 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		31.94	
			137983 - PROFILED STOCK		124.30	
			137984 - PROFILED STOCK		103.62	
			137985 - PROFILED STOCK		58.92	
			137986 - PROFILED STOCK		198.82	
			137987 - PROFILED STOCK		168.29	
			137988 - PROFILED STOCK		373.45	
			137989 - PROFILED STOCK		219.32	
			137990 - PROFILED STOCK		84.47	
			137991 - PROFILED STOCK		38.33	
			137992 - PROFILED STOCK		78.79	
			137993 - PROFILED STOCK		49.68	
			137994 - PROFILED STOCK		45.96	
			138274 - PROFILED STOCK		51.11	
EF138725	29/05/2026	ALS LIBRARY SERVICES PTY LTD				3,891.55
			138250 - PROFILED STOCK		136.49	
			138251 - TITLES AS SELECTED		50.36	
			138252 - TITLES AS SELECTED		259.05	
			138253 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		115.66	
			138254 - TITLES AS SELECTED		44.00	
			138255 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		20.64	
			138256 - PROFILED STOCK		24.84	
			138257 - PROFILED STOCK		63.87	
			138258 - PROFILED STOCK		36.90	
			138259 - CUSTOMER REQUESTED TITLES		26.26	
			138260 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		12.77	
			138261 - PROFILED STOCK		28.39	
			138262 - PROFILED STOCK		41.17	
			138263 - PROFILED STOCK		183.12	
			138264 - PROFILED STOCK		51.10	
			138265 - PROFILED STOCK		195.02	
			138266 - PROFILED STOCK		187.64	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			138267 - PROFILED STOCK		126.09	
			138268 - PROFILED STOCK		44.00	
			138269 - PROFILED STOCK		196.09	
			138270 - PROFILED STOCK		51.11	
			138271 - PROFILED STOCK		28.39	
			138272 - PROFILED STOCK		52.53	
			138273 - PROFILED STOCK		46.84	
			138324 - TITLES AS SELECTED		212.20	
			138325 - TITLES AS SELECTED		24.84	
			138326 - TITLES AS SELECTED		160.39	
			138327 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		12.77	
			138328 - PROFILED STOCK		24.84	
			138329 - TITLES AS SELECTED		70.52	
			138330 - PROFILED STOCK		28.39	
			138331 - PROFILED STOCK		35.49	
			138740 - TITLES AS SELECTED		39.04	
			138741 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		31.93	
			138742 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		19.16	
			138743 - PROFILED STOCK		24.84	
			138744 - TITLES AS SELECTED		146.60	
			138745 - PROFILED STOCK		19.16	
			138746 - TITLES AS SELECTED		35.48	
			138747 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		19.16	
			138748 - PROFILED STOCK		122.17	
			138749 - PROFILED STOCK		178.42	
			138750 - PROFILED STOCK		187.49	
			138751 - PROFILED STOCK		108.59	
			138752 - PROFILED STOCK		44.71	
			138753 - PROFILED STOCK		149.98	
			138754 - PROFILED STOCK		63.88	
			138755 - PROFILED STOCK		19.16	
			138756 - PROFILED STOCK		49.69	
			138758 - PROFILED STOCK		40.32	
EF138344	15/05/2026	ALSCO PTY LIMITED				1,575.81
			CPER2618629 - CLEANING MATERIALS		216.92	
			CPER2619458 - YEAR 2 OF ALSCO SERVICES AT CLC		1,358.89	
EF138730	29/05/2026	ALSCO PTY LIMITED				1,347.63
			CPER2599385 - YEAR 2 OF ALSCO SERVICES AT CLC		1,347.63	
EF138371	15/05/2026	AMANDA-LEA BELL				2,000.00
			1 - ARTIST INVOICE		2,000.00	
EF138345	15/05/2026	AMPOL AUSTRALIA PETROLEUM PTY LTD				77,550.66
			01MAY2026 - FUEL IMPORT 01/05/2026		77,550.66	
EF138729	29/05/2026	ANDREW HUFFER & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD				4,235.00
			INV-0189 - ELECTED MEMBERS STRATEGIC DAY		4,235.00	
EF138319	15/05/2026	ANDREW ROTHWELL				26.75
			INV-10970907 - LEISURE CENTRE MEMBERSHIP REFUND		26.75	
EF138680	29/05/2026	ANTONIA BARONE				909.96
			121386 - RATES REFUND		909.96	
EF138734	29/05/2026	APP CORPORATION PTY LIMITED (THE APP GROUP)				79,305.51
			26001184/RI/01013 - LOCAL PLANNNING STRATEGY REVIEW	02524A	79,305.51	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138343	15/05/2026	APPLIANCE SERVICE AGENTS PTY LTD				386.00
			27009 - CONNOLLY COMMUNITY CENTRE		386.00	
EF138284	15/05/2026	AQUATIC LEISURE TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD				67.63
			BPC26/0551 - BUILDING SERVICES LEVY REFUND		67.63	
EF138335	15/05/2026	ARBOR WEST PTY LTD (CLASSIC TREE SERVICES)				10,650.27
			1260 - PSHB TRAP MONITORING		3,277.45	
			422 - ARBORICULTURE - ELLISON DRIVE, PADBURY		7,372.82	
EF138724	29/05/2026	ARBOR WEST PTY LTD (CLASSIC TREE SERVICES)				7,381.87
			1204 - SCHEDULED CONTRACTOR SHOT HOLE BORER		2,644.95	
			1328 - CROWN MAINTENANCE - MACNAUGHTON CRESCENT	00624	684.13	
			1347 - SCARP CLOSE EDGEWATER	00624	684.13	
			1348 - BINDOO RISE, WOODVALE	00624	780.25	
			1349 - REDUCTION PRUNE CODE 4 - WITHIN HOURS 5-	00624	854.90	
			1350 - MELENE RD DUNCRAIG	00624	1,049.38	
			1351 - LILBURNE RD PRUNING	00624	684.13	
EF138721	29/05/2026	ARRB GROUP LTD				6,900.00
			SIN006844 - CIRCLY ANNUAL FLOATING LICENCE		6,900.00	
EF138346	15/05/2026	ARUP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				13,090.00
			5002-2600491 - WEST COAST DRIVE CORRIDOR STUDY		13,090.00	
EF138333	15/05/2026	ASLAB PTY LTD				1,222.32
			26965 - RICHARDS CRES TESTING	02622	1,222.32	
EF138720	29/05/2026	ASLAB PTY LTD				6,122.93
			26995 - BALANUS WAY HEATHRIDGE	02622	2,209.24	
			26996 - RAMOSE CLOSE HEATHRIDGE	02622	1,727.33	
			26997 - DELTOID PLACE HEATHRIDGE	02622	2,186.36	
EF138332	15/05/2026	ASPHALTECH PTY LTD				61,247.94
			20231 - EX-PLANT MATERIAL PICKUP		242.00	
			20231 - EX-PLANT MATERIAL PICKUP	00723	1,853.93	
			20272 - WARWICK TENNIS COURT REFURBISHMENT		1,149.18	
			20272 - WARWICK TENNIS COURT REFURBISHMENT	00723	53,506.93	
			20276 - EX PLANT PICKUP FOR MONTH OF JANUARY	00723	4,495.90	
EF138718	29/05/2026	ASPHALTECH PTY LTD				6,044.23
			20354 - AC7 7MM GRANITE NOMINAL MIX SIZE	00723	6,044.23	
EF138733	29/05/2026	ATI-MIRAGE TRAINING AND BUSINESS SOLUTIONS PTY LTD				1,215.00
			INV-12954 - MICROSOFT EXCEL INTERMEDIATE		405.00	
			INV-12973 - ATI-MIRAGE MS EXCEL INT		405.00	
			INV-13128 - ATI-MIRAGE MS EXCEL INT - JUDE PALMER		405.00	
EF138737	29/05/2026	AUSSIE POOL COVERS PTY LTD				41,192.80
			6809 - POOL BLANKETS		41,192.80	
EF138350	15/05/2026	AUSTGUARDS AND PATROLS SERVICES PTY LTD				2,616.08
			COJ0098 - INITIAL GUARD RESPONSE FIRST 30 MINUTES	VP493126	2,616.08	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138340	15/05/2026	AUSTRALASIAN EVENTS PTY LTD (ACE SECURITY AND EVENTS SERVICE				4,484.26
			12830 - SECURITY FOR ANZAC DAY DAWN SERVICE		4,203.76	
			12856 - SECURITY FOR THE 2026 CITIZENSHIP		280.50	
EF138719	29/05/2026	AUSTRALASIAN PERFORMING RIGHT ASSOCIATION LTD (ONEMUSIC)				170.54
			1220255/00083 - LICENCE FEES		170.54	
EF138592	15/05/2026	AUSTRALIA POST				35,943.70
			1014711830 - RATING SERVICES		1,175.54	
			1014716347 - COURIER		22,053.83	
			1014716957 - SUPPLY PERIOD ENDING 30/04/2026		12,714.33	
EF138334	15/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN AIRCONDITIONING SERVICES P/L				9,118.73
			86167 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	02325	179.85	
			86173 - AIRCON CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	02325	829.40	
			86354 - HEATHRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE	02325	261.53	
			86366 - BEAUMARIS COMMUNITY CENTRE	02325	359.70	
			86382 - WOC ADMIN - AIR CON TEMP ADJUST	02325	174.35	
			86492 - COJ CENTRAL PARK	02325	17.05	
			86493 - EMERALD PARK CLUBROOMS	02325	221.65	
			86494 - COJ WINTON ROAD DEPOT	02325	319.55	
			86495 - COJ CIVIC CHAMBERS	02325	1,281.50	
			86518 - COJ ADMIRAL PARK CLUBROOMS	02325	147.95	
			86519 - COJ WARRANDYTE PARK CLUBROOMS	02325	91.30	
			86520 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	02325	1,689.05	
			86521 - COJ LIBRARY	02325	1,074.15	
			86522 - COJ RECEPTION CENTRE	02325	11.55	
			86523 - COJ SES JOONDALUP/WANNEROO	02325	182.60	
			86531 - COJ LOTTERIES HOUSE	02325	1,043.90	
			86547 - COJ CHICHESTER PARK CLUBROOMS	02325	270.05	
			86550 - COJ CONNOLLY COMMUNITY CENTRE	02325	85.25	
			86551 - COJ CURRAMBINE COMMUNITY CENTRE	02325	757.90	
			86552 - COJ TIMBERLANE PARK HALL	02325	120.45	
EF138723	29/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN AIRCONDITIONING SERVICES P/L				9,048.27
			86527 - COJ ROB BADDOCK COMMUNITY HALL	02325	261.53	
			86548 - A/C RAC UNIT - QUARTERLY SERVICE	02325	80.30	
			86568 - ADMIN BUILDING AIR CON REPAIRS	02325	3,113.47	
			86572 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	02325	2,073.23	
			86585 - COJ CALECTASIA HALL	02325	199.65	
			86586 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	677.60	
			86591 - WOC - COIL CLEAN	02325	534.88	
			86600 - EMERALD PARK CLUBROOMS	02325	1,069.76	
			86610 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	102.85	
			86611 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	330.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			86613 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	51.15	
			86614 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	17.05	
			86615 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	17.05	
			86616 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	68.20	
			86617 - SM - QRT HVAC SERVICES - MAY 2026	02325	51.15	
			86618 - COJ SORRENTO COMMUNITY HALL	02325	74.25	
			86619 - COJ KINGSLEY CHILD HEALTH	02325	11.55	
			86685 - FAN - DIRECT DRIVE – AXIAL - QUARTERLY	02325	303.05	
			86686 - COJ RECEPTION CENTRE	02325	11.55	
EF138728	29/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN EVENT AWARDS PTY LTD				482.90
			EVAWD177131 - AUSTRALIAN EVENT AWARDS 2026		482.90	
EF138591	15/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT				1,210.00
			7182262 - CHANGE MANAGEMENT		1,210.00	
EF138952	29/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT				4,346.00
			7182622 - AIM WA EXPERIENCED SUPERVISOR X 1 - SS		1,640.00	
			7182785 - AIM WA NEW SUPERVISOR - 20TH - 21ST MAY		1,292.00	
			7183590 - LEASDING SAFER, SMARTER SYSTEMS 26/5/26		707.00	
			7183615 - AI AND ANALYTICS FOR SENIOR LEADERS		707.00	
EF138645	29/05/2026	AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF TRAFFIC PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT LTD				2,200.00
			TPA-2753 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUBSCRIBER		2,200.00	
EF138339	15/05/2026	AXIIS CONTRACTING PTY LTD				99,436.04
			9452 - FENELLIA CRES FOOTPATH	02121	98,837.38	
			9539 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB	02121	598.66	
EF138727	29/05/2026	AXIIS CONTRACTING PTY LTD				115,778.30
			9548 - CROSSOVERS - CROSSOVER APRON 600MM WIDTH	02121	1,845.58	
			9549 - ST CLAIR CIRCLE EDGEWATER	02121	7,954.04	
			9551 - GILES AVE FOOTPATH	02121	3,787.62	
			9552 - KEPPELL RD FOOTPATH	02121	1,288.59	
			9554 - GALLOP CLOSE REPAIRS	02121	4,415.53	
			9565 - DELAMERE AVE FOOTPATH	02121	96,486.94	
EF138557	15/05/2026	BARONESS HOLDINGS PTY LTD (TREE PLANTING AND WATERING)				1,815.00
			INV-2716 - TREE WATERING		1,815.00	
EF138300	15/05/2026	BEN & AMY WALTON				140.00
			1049354_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26N CREDIT		140.00	
EF138673	29/05/2026	BETTINA GOULD				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138361	15/05/2026	BIBLIOTHECA RFID LIBRARY SYSTEMS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				4,770.70
			INV-AU07012 - PURCHASE OF 3 X RFID WORKSTATIONS		4,770.70	
EF138809	29/05/2026	BIBRA LAKE LEISURE CENTER PTY LTD (FLIPOUT BIBRALAKE)				576.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			IV829763 - FLIP OUT SHP AUTUMN 2026 ACTIVITY		576.00	
EF138357	15/05/2026	BIG W				163.60
			TI-03FE7-178DBA - GIFT CARDS		50.00	
			TI-03FE7-178DBB - MOTHERS DAY PRIZES		113.60	
EF138742	29/05/2026	BIG W				242.31
			TI-03FE7-178DBC - FOOD ITEMS		103.70	
			TI-03FE7-178DBD - BODY WEIGHT SCALE		35.00	
			TI-03FE7-178DBE - CATERING FOR TEAM MEETING		52.36	
			TI-03FE7-178DBF - CONSUMABLES		51.25	
EF138365	15/05/2026	BIZFURN EXPRESS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				530.00
			WC144936/01 - FLOOR RUG MANY RIVERS		530.00	
EF138647	29/05/2026	BJ & CA O'SHEA				924.85
			168730 - RATES REFUND		924.85	
EF138369	15/05/2026	BLACKOUT X PTY LTD				4,400.00
			INV1612 - SAUNAS		4,400.00	
EF138756	29/05/2026	BLACKOUT X PTY LTD				4,765.20
			INV1619 - YEAR ONE LEASE 2X SAUNAS		4,765.20	
EF138354	15/05/2026	BOC LIMITED				326.17
			4041592116 - RENTAL - IND. CYLINDERS		119.30	
			4041698137 - FUEL & OILS		206.87	
EF138739	29/05/2026	BOC LIMITED				50.40
			4041573640 - SUPPLY OF MEDICAL OXYGEN		50.40	
EF138363	15/05/2026	BODY - BIKE AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED				1,155.00
			I-00009233 - BIKE TRANSPORT TO PICKLES		1,155.00	
EF138766	29/05/2026	BORRELLO FAMILY TRUST T/AS CARRAMAR RESOURCE INDUSTRIES				1,127.75
			10095129 - PLAY EQUIPMENT MAIN		1,127.75	
EF138360	15/05/2026	BOYA EQUIPMENT PTY LTD				1,365.76
			55573 - PARTS ONLY		817.96	
			55574 - PARTS ONLY		547.80	
EF138748	29/05/2026	BOYA EQUIPMENT PTY LTD				2,161.59
			55655 - PARTS ONLY		2,161.59	
EF138355	15/05/2026	BP AUSTRALIA LIMITED				13,634.72
			14348661 - FUEL & OILS FOR MONTH ENDED		13,634.72	
EF138303	15/05/2026	BREE C KEITH				208.00
			1049360_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		208.00	
EF138753	29/05/2026	BRIGHTMARK GROUP PTY LTD				56,010.42
			7036 - MONTHLY - DAY CLEAN (CLEANER) - CRAIGIE	02123	56,010.42	
EF138288	15/05/2026	BROLLY AUSTRALASIA PTY LTD				10,137.60
			2026872 - JUGGLER PLAN 26-27		10,137.60	
EF138364	15/05/2026	BRP WEST PTY LTD (WA SEADOO)				47,969.51
			123119 - CAN AM DEFENDER HD10PRO		47,969.51	
EF138294	15/05/2026	BRUCE BEVERIDGE				210.00
			1048384_04/05/26 - REFUND - SUMMER 2025/25 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138744	29/05/2026	BULLIVANTS PTY LTD				708.88
			401574216 - ONSITE INSPECTION		708.88	
EF138366	15/05/2026	BUNNINGS GROUP LIMITED (TOOL DEPOT)	KIT			49.90
			SI341167 - MATERIALS		49.90	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138754	29/05/2026	BUNNINGS GROUP LIMITED (TOOL DEPOT)	KIT			27.75
			SI344876 - REACTIVE MATERIALS - LANDSCAPING		27.75	
EF138356	15/05/2026	BUNNINGS PTY LTD				809.60
			2435/01109720 - TOOLS		7.13	
			2435/01181477 - PURCHASE EVENT ITEMS THAT MAY BE NEEDED		102.10	
			2435/01190160 - 26L BOX I/N 0517115		78.80	
			2435/01442643 - HARDWARE ITEMS		53.71	
			2435/01446678 - HARDWARE ITEM		1.81	
			2435/01446680 - HARDWARE ITEMS		44.89	
			2435/01558498 - HARDWARE ITEMS PURCHASE FOR DOOR REPAIRS		30.02	
			2435/01581093 - HARDWARE ITEMS		19.00	
			2435/01582725 - MATERIALS		30.36	
			2435/01582727 - MATERIALS		24.54	
			2435/01583321 - HARDWARE ITEMS		45.69	
			2435/01583726 - REACTIVE MATERIAL - PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE		123.69	
			2435/01583920 - HARDWARE ITEMS		74.96	
			2443/01296139 - PURCHASE EVENT ITEMS THAT MAY BE NEEDED		172.90	
EF138741	29/05/2026	BUNNINGS PTY LTD				3,508.68
			2170/01455812 - HARDWARE		205.11	
			2435/01118710 - HARDWARE		154.27	
			2435/01446177 12/05/26 - HARDWARE ITEMS		64.45	
			2435/01446668 - HARDWARE ITEMS PURCHASE FOR REPAIRS		324.71	
			2435/01446691 - TOOLS/HARDWARE ITEMS REQUIRED FOR REPAIR		20.17	
			2435/01447141 - HARDWARE ITEMS		171.52	
			2435/01447635 - HARDWARE ITEMS		110.26	
			2435/01448963 - HARDWARE		22.44	
			2435/01448965 - TOOLS		15.16	
			2435/01448966 - TOOLS		21.90	
			2435/01450015 - HARDWARE		15.22	
			2435/01450268 - HARDWARE ITEMS PURCHASED FOR REPAIRS		506.64	
			2435/01450282 - HARDWARE ITEMS		58.99	
			2435/01450596 - HARDWARE		98.04	
			2435/01587974 - HARDWARE		29.84	
			2435/01589943 - HARDWARE		166.73	
			2435/01591090 - HARDWARE		123.59	
			2435/01593532 - SCREWS & COACH BOLTS		61.38	
			2435/01593534 - REACTIVE MATERIALS - LANDSCAPING		41.52	
			2435/99851177 - REACTIVE CONTRACTORS - LANDSCAPING MAINT		1,163.47	
			2708/00285013 - MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES		133.27	
EF138750	29/05/2026	BUSINESS STATION INC				5,445.00
			INV-33412 - 2026 INNOVATE JOONDALUP		1,815.00	
			INV-33413 - 2026 INNOVATE JOONDALUP -BUSINESS		3,630.00	
EF138749	29/05/2026	C.P BRIGHT & D.G BRIGHT T/AS REGEN ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	4			1,159.40
			26/273 - BUSH REGENERATION STOCK		1,159.40	
EF138824	29/05/2026	CALL ASSOCIATES PTY LTD (CONNECT CENTRE SERVICES)	NCT CALL			2,117.34

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			121648 - APRIL 2026		2,117.34	
EF138377	15/05/2026	CAMPBELLS JANITOR SUPPLIES PTY LTD T/AS THE GOODS AUSTRALIA				6,976.32
			241984 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK - CLEANING SUPPLY	COJ2025	201.22	
			242020 - WAREHOUSE STOCK ORDER - CLEANING SUPPLY	COJ2025	66.88	
			242303 - SUPPLIES	COJ2025	5,072.52	
			242310 - CLEANING SUPPLIES FOR CRAIGIE LEISURE	COJ2025	1,635.70	
EF138763	29/05/2026	CAMPBELLS JANITOR SUPPLIES PTY LTD T/AS THE GOODS AUSTRALIA				6,004.14
			242569 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	COJ2025	754.47	
			242716 - CLEANING SUPPLIES	COJ2025	494.03	
			242815 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK - CLEANING CONSUMABLES	COJ2025	2,878.02	
			242895 - EDCO POLY COTTON MOP HEAD 450G	COJ2025	194.51	
			242992 - SUPPLIES	COJ2025	905.89	
			243136 - TORK SOFT MINI JUMBO CTN 12 - 2 PLY	COJ2025	777.22	
EF138653	29/05/2026	CANON FINANCE				492.38
			587128 - 25/26 LEASE DR6030C A3 DESKTOP SCANNER		246.19	
			587181 - 25/26 LEASE DR6030C A3 DESKTOP SCANNER		246.19	
EF138376	15/05/2026	CARCARE MOTOR COMPANY PTY LTD T/AS CARCARE JOONDALUP				558.50
			43268 - PARTS & REPAIR		395.00	
			43324 - PARTS & REPAIR		163.50	
EF138386	15/05/2026	CAREER LIFE TRANSITIONS PTY LTD (CONSCIOUS CHANGE				3,960.00
			INV-1728 - TRANSITION TO RETIREMENT - 5TH MAY 2026		3,960.00	
EF138649	29/05/2026	CARINE CATS BALL CLUB INC				32.55
			55050 - HIRE FEE REFUND - OVERPAYMENT		32.55	
EF138296	15/05/2026	CAROL WARNE				75.00
			INW26/3762 - DOG STERILISATION REFUND		75.00	
EF138877	29/05/2026	CAROLYN JOY FAMILY TRUST T/AS PARTY PLUS JOONDALUP				750.00
			223854 - SNRS LIFESTYLE EXPO		750.00	
EF138375	15/05/2026	CASTROL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				2,561.30
			26041925 - OILS, GREASE & BRAKE FLUID		1,302.51	
			26043943 - OILS,GREASE & BRAKE FLUID		1,258.79	
EF138762	29/05/2026	CAT WELFARE SOCIETY INC (CAT HAVEN)				484.00
			CH260435 - MONTHLY ADOPTION REPORTS FROM CAT HAVEN		484.00	
EF138669	29/05/2026	CELINA HOOK				70.00
			SUMMER 25/26 - SUMMER 25/26 JUNIOR SOCCER		70.00	
EF138432	15/05/2026	CHAD GERBER				660.00
			386 - CONSULTANCY - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		660.00	
EF138594	15/05/2026	CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY				13,035.00
			484358 - CCIWA 2026 COJ PARTNERSHIP RENEWAL		13,035.00	
EF138388	15/05/2026	CHARGEFOX PTY LTD				255.57
			4864118 - ELECTRIC VEHIICLE		255.57	
EF138327	15/05/2026	CHERYL JOY				70.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			1049395_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		70.00	
EF138596	15/05/2026	CHOICEONE PTY LTD				20,602.80
			A070128 - LABOUR HIRE WEEK ENDING 15/3/26		1,125.74	
			A070129 - TROY BRUCE WE 9/3		2,416.79	
			A070288 - TROY BRUCE WE 22/3		2,381.25	
			A070846 - TEMP STAFF WE 10/04/2026		1,736.02	
			A070848 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 12/04/26		1,688.61	
			A071072 - TEMP STAFF WE 24/04/2026		2,314.69	
			A071073 - WEEK ENDING 19/4/26		2,251.48	
			A071074 - WEEK ENDING 12/4/26		2,251.48	
			A071239 - LABOUR W/E 3/5/26		2,218.37	
			A071240 - LABOUR W/E 3/5/26		2,218.37	
EF138955	29/05/2026	CHOICEONE PTY LTD				10,279.93
			295081 - LABOUR W/E 31/5/26		578.68	
			A069840 - LABOUR W/E 01/03/26		2,416.79	
			A071238 - TEMP STAFF WE 3/5/26		2,280.65	
			A071389 - TEMP STAFF WE 10/05/2026		2,655.08	
			A071629 - LABOUR WE 17/5/26		2,348.73	
EF138430	15/05/2026	CHRISTINE JEANETTE GROOM				402.00
			INV0226 - CREATING COCKATOO FRIENDLY SUBURBS		402.00	
EF138651	29/05/2026	CHRISTOPHE CANATO				850.00
			2605 01 - EXHIBITION DOCUMENTATION PHOTOGRAPHY		850.00	
EF138569	15/05/2026	CHRISTOPHER JAMES VELIOS (XL LINEMARKING)				3,063.50
			2306 - MACDONALD PARK CARPARK	VP406303	2,321.00	
			2313 - TO RELINE MARK PLUMDALE PARK WOODVALE	VP406303	440.00	
			2314 - TO INSTALL 5 KISS AND DRIVE STENCILS	VP406303	302.50	
EF138933	29/05/2026	CHRISTOPHER JAMES VELIOS (XL LINEMARKING)				1,650.00
			2320 - LINEMARKING	VP406303	1,650.00	
EF138970	29/05/2026	CHRISTOPHER MAY				3,056.07
			ALLOWANCE MAY 2026 - EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT - MAY 2026		99.41	
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
113648	20/05/2026	CITY OF KWINANA				319.34
			47575 - LONG SERVICE LEAVE 24/3-27/5/2015		319.34	
EF138595	15/05/2026	CITY OF WANNEROO				30,235.58
			204466 - COJ RESIDENT SELF HAUL GREENS		30,235.58	
EF138954	29/05/2026	CITY OF WANNEROO				33,637.10
			204558 - REGIONAL HOMELESSNESS PLAN 2026/2027		94.64	
			204559 - REGIONAL HOMELESSNESS PLAN 2026/2027		3,306.88	
			204685 - CONTRIBUTION TO OPERATION COSTS AT SITE		30,235.58	
EF138280	15/05/2026	CIVIC LEGAL PTY LTD				14,697.21
			WARWICK COMMUNITY CARE CENTRE - CEO RECRUITMENT GMW/151811		14,697.21	
EF138655	29/05/2026	CIVIC LEGAL PTY LTD				3,952.58

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			515781 - CEO RECRUITMENT PROCESS ADVICE/GUIDANCE		3,952.58	
EF138758	29/05/2026	CIVICA PTY LTD				30,250.00
			C/LG047719 - PAYBLE FLEXIBLE PAYMENT PLATFORM		30,250.00	
EF138281	15/05/2026	CLARE CRATHERN				99.00
			6211344 - RENEWAL FEE		99.00	
EF138802	29/05/2026	CLAUDIA DI PTY LTD (ELEVATED EXPERIENCES CO.)				7,111.50
			INV-0270 - JOONDALUP FESTIVAL 2026		7,111.50	
EF138374	15/05/2026	CLEANAWAY PTY LTD T/AS CLEANAWAY				96,801.10
			21909262 - CONTAINERISED BULK HARD WASTE - COLLECTI	00824A	96,383.10	
			21909300 - WASTE EDUCATION TOUR - MRF GUILDFORD		418.00	
EF138716	29/05/2026	CLIFFORD MOORES				375.00
			INW26/4460 - VEHICLE CROSSING SUBSIDY 4A CORRIGAN		375.00	
EF138407	15/05/2026	CLUB FED PTY LTD (EVOLVE WA)				325.00
			INV-HRPTCXFP - EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE 28 APRIL		325.00	
EF138382	15/05/2026	CMAK TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD (CMAKTECH)				8,021.20
			3297 - WHITFORDS NODES	VP463893	1,386.00	
			3328 - LEVEL 1 CALL ANSWERING SERVICE	VP437320	2,168.10	
			3453 - REPAIRS	VP463893	379.50	
			3454 - PENISTONE PARK REPAIRS	VP463893	253.00	
			3455 - REPAIR FAULTY CARD READERS	VP437320	324.50	
			3460 - INSTALLATION OF 5100 SERIES BOSCH CAMERA	VP463893	379.50	
			3467 - EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION (CARRIED OUT DURI	VP463893	891.00	
			3476 - APRIL 2026	VP437320	2,168.10	
			3482 - MOBILE LPR INTERNET ISSUES	VP437320	71.50	
EF138769	29/05/2026	CMAK TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD (CMAKTECH)				9,434.37
			3448 - V14 CONFIGURE EPERMIT TO GENETEC MOBILE		6,682.50	
			3477 - LEVEL 1 SUPPORT	VP437320	2,240.37	
			3489 - ADD A PATCH TO FIX THE HOTLIST FUNCTION	VP437320	214.50	
			3504 - SECURITY SOFTWARE PROGRAMMING	VP463893	297.00	
EF138384	15/05/2026	CODE RESEARCH PTY LTD (PWD (AUSTRALIA))				108.90
			INV-60957 - WEBSITE HOSTING FEBRUARY AND MARCH 2026		108.90	
EF138772	29/05/2026	CODE RESEARCH PTY LTD (PWD (AUSTRALIA))				5,494.50
			INV-63379 - UPTOWN WEBSITE		5,494.50	
EF138761	29/05/2026	COHERA-TECH PTY LIMITED				2,237.59
			INV-000197701 - ANNUAL PEOPLE COUNTER SUBSCRIPTION		2,237.59	
EF138775	29/05/2026	COLGAN INDUSTRIES PTY LTD				170,095.59
			3953 - PROGRESS CLAIM 1 - SORRENTO SEA WALL	02125	170,095.59	
EF138759	29/05/2026	COMMERCIAL AQUATICS AUSTRALIA				37,408.25
			36564 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01823	694.10	
			36596 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01823	4,624.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			36628 - CHLORINE GAS VACUUM REGULATORS	01823	16,880.60	
			36629 - NEW ISOLATION VALVE TO LEISURE FILTER	01823	774.40	
			36632 - INDOOR AQUATIC PLANT ROOM - LABOUR - PER	01823	13,997.50	
			36633 - YEAR 3 MONTHLY CHLORINE GAS SERVICE	01823	437.25	
EF138597	15/05/2026	COMMITTEE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUSTRALIA				255.00
			INV-31464-V7T1L3 - DEFENCE-TECH ECONOMY SINGLE		255.00	
EF138379	15/05/2026	COMMON GROUND TRAILS PTY LTD				21,962.60
			INV-182161-1 - PERCY DOYLE RETENTION RELEASE		21,962.60	
EF138541	15/05/2026	COMMUNITY RESOURCES LIMITED (SOFT LANDING)				76,754.25
			INV102118 - COLLECTION OF BULK FURNITURE	01924	35,858.80	
			INV102119 - MATTRESSES COLLECTION APR 26	01025	26,341.70	
			INV102120 - 25/26 BULK FURNITURE & WHITE GOODS	01924	14,553.75	
EF138373	15/05/2026	COMPAC MARKETING (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD				1,215.50
			67387 - DOOR NAME INSERTS X 2		225.50	
			67428 - WARWICK BUSHLAND SIGN FACE		990.00	
EF138777	29/05/2026	COMPLETE TYRE SOLUTIONS TYRE RECYCLING PTY LTD				531.30
			362032 - TYRE COLLECTION AND PROCCESSING		531.30	
EF138383	15/05/2026	CONSTRUCT PAVING SERVICES PTY LTD				6,826.79
			627 - PAVING REPAIRS - KEATONS LANE ILUKA	01422	2,801.43	
			628 - REPAIR PAVING - WHITING COURT SORRENTO	01422	1,105.83	
			630 - REPAIR PAVING - SEABREEZE AV BURNS BEACH	01422	1,113.35	
			631 - PAVING REPAIRS - WISE STREET JOONDALUP	01422	1,806.18	
EF138770	29/05/2026	CONSTRUCT PAVING SERVICES PTY LTD				513.38
			633 - CUTTING AND REMOVAL/DISPOSAL OF BRICK PA	01422	513.38	
EF138767	29/05/2026	CONVIC PTY LTD (CONVIC DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION)				12,277.30
			INV-2660 - CHICHESTER SKATE FACILITY	01625	12,277.30	
113649	20/05/2026	CORPORATE SERVICES PETTY CASH				1,087.50
			PETTY CASH W/E 15/05/26 - REIMBURSEMENT OF PETTY CASH		1,087.50	
EF138380	15/05/2026	CORSIGN WA PTY LTD				334.40
			104270 - TO MANUFACTURE AND DELIVER 3 STREET NAME		334.40	
EF138765	29/05/2026	CORSIGN WA PTY LTD				2,723.05
			102550 - SIGN MAINTENANCE		293.70	
			103635 - SIGN MAINTENANCE		2,429.35	
EF138553	15/05/2026	CROMAG PTY LTD (TELFORD INDUSTRIES)				1,986.45
			198506/01 - CLC SUPPLY OF MINOR CHEMICALS		759.84	
			198666/01 - CLC SUPPLY OF MINOR CHEMICALS		2,058.21	
			566304 - CREDIT NOTE - REF. INV 197938/01		-462.00	
			566416 - CREDIT NOTE - REF. INV 197938/01		-231.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			566475 - CONTAINER RETURN REFUND		-138.60	
EF138923	29/05/2026	CROMAG PTY LTD (TELFORD INDUSTRIES)				4,132.03
			198780/01 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		1,262.97	
			198975/01 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		1,458.62	
			199071/01 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		1,780.04	
			566515 - DRUM POLY RETURNS		-123.20	
			566544 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE DRUM RETURN		-123.20	
			566580 - DRUM POLY RETURNS		-123.20	
EF138381	15/05/2026	CTI5 PTY LTD (CTI RISK MANAGEMENT)				2,273.15
			1074028 - CASH COLLECTIONS FROM TICKET MACHINES		1,811.15	
			1074029 - CASH COLLECTIONS FOR COJ LIBRARIES		308.00	
			1074031 - COLLECTIONS APRIL		154.00	
EF138391	15/05/2026	CULTUREQ PTY LTD				11,088.00
			2026-012 - 6X ONLINE DEBRIEFS		11,088.00	
EF138389	15/05/2026	CURATE ARTS INCORPORATED				10,734.41
			151 - GRANT 2025/26		10,734.41	
EF138774	29/05/2026	CWC CONSULTANTS PTY LTD				1,650.00
			24.002.07.DS - ADMIN BUILDING PV SOLAR		1,650.00	
EF138768	29/05/2026	CYBERCX PTY LTD				20,166.66
			SIN062409 - SECURITY AWARENESS TRAINING		20,166.66	
EF138760	29/05/2026	CYCLUS PTY LTD				3,527.70
			INV-6209 - EVENT STOREROOM CLEANUP		3,527.70	
EF138789	29/05/2026	D&L STUDIO PTY LTD				20.35
			38658 - NAME BADGE		20.35	
113645	7/05/2026	DALIA FAHMY				73.50
			925 - NO FLOOR PLANS AVAILABLE		73.50	
EF138297	15/05/2026	DANIEL DEANE				140.00
			1049351_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2026/26 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138661	29/05/2026	DANIEL KINGSTON				12,810.74
			ALLOW-MAYOR-MAY 2026 - MAYORAL ALLOWANCE - MAY 2026		8,376.16	
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		4,434.58	
EF138682	29/05/2026	DARIA KUDINOVA				274.00
			BPU26/0096 - BUILDING SERVICES LEVY REFUND		274.00	
EF138780	29/05/2026	DATA #3				15,814.09
			SIN000370288 - ADOBE STD FOR ENT L14 COTERM		137.92	
			SIN000370664 - AZURE SERVICES-OVERAGE APR 26		10,984.30	
			SIN000373278 - AZURE PLAN UNDER THE MICROSOFT CUSTOMER		4,691.87	
EF138425	15/05/2026	DATACOM SYSTEMS (AU) PTY LTD (GLOBALCENTER)				82,506.19
			INV1774966 - TENABLE ONE VM RENEWAL 04/06/26-03/06/27		82,506.19	
EF138816	29/05/2026	DATACOM SYSTEMS (AU) PTY LTD (GLOBALCENTER)				41,817.56
			INV1788676 - NSDTPLPCL0000050 DARKTRACE CLOUD 1-50		41,817.56	
EF138470	15/05/2026	DAVID LAMB				250.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			ML00335_05/05/26 - LIBRARY EVENT - DISCOVERY SESSION		250.00	
EF138694	29/05/2026	DAVID MUIR				187.00
			1311530 - REIMBURSEMENT MEDICAL APP		187.00	
EF138367	15/05/2026	DAW HOLDINGS (WA) PTY LTD (BATTERY WORLD JOONDALUP)				150.00
			IN6060275093 - REMOTE TESTER		150.00	
EF138393	15/05/2026	DELL AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED				620.40
			2412772302 - REPAIR LAP TOP		586.39	
			2412779738 - LITHIUM BATTERY		34.01	
EF138779	29/05/2026	DELL AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED				2,217.60
			2412807342 - PRO SUPPORT NBDOS REINSTATE (862-BBHR)		2,217.60	
EF138784	29/05/2026	DELOS DELTA PTY LTD				6,520.25
			INV-0871 - REVIEW OF EDS - EXPANDING HORIZONS 2033		6,520.25	
EF138672	29/05/2026	DENISE JOY MERCER				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138415	15/05/2026	DEPARTMENT OF FIRE & EMERGENCY SERVICES				1,337.00
			77082201 - FALSE FIRE ALARM ATTENDANCE LIBRARY		1,337.00	
EF138402	15/05/2026	DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, INDUSTRY REGULATION AND				140,834.18
			APR-26 - BSL APRIL 2026 263 LEVIES		140,834.18	
EF138783	29/05/2026	DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, LANDS AND HERITAGE				1,008.00
			IN508509 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND FREMANTLE PRISON TOUR		1,008.00	
EF138898	29/05/2026	DEPARTMENT OF THE PREMIER AND CABINET				191.88
			1012711 - GOVT GAZETTE ADVERTING		191.88	
EF138598	15/05/2026	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT				1,438.20
			8094732 - INFORMATION FEES APRIL 2026		1,438.20	
EF138398	15/05/2026	DEPUTEC PTY LTD				376.20
			INV03350724 - LIBRARIES CASUAL ROSTER SOFTWARE		376.20	
EF138787	29/05/2026	DEPUTEC PTY LTD				2,992.00
			INV03337963 - 12 MONTH SUBSCRIPTION FOR DEPUTEC		2,992.00	
EF138397	15/05/2026	DIAMOND LOCKSMITHS PTY LTD				3,075.00
			281751 - GUY DANIELS CLUBROOMS	USRF_DLK	1,675.00	
			282682 - PADLOCK - ABUS 83/45 WITH 25MM SHACKLE -	USRF_DLK	510.00	
			282684 - CYLINDER - OVAL - KABA EXPERT / EXPERT P	USRF_DLK	390.00	
			282685 - KEY - KABA EXPERT (ER1909 / ER8901)	USRF_DLK	500.00	
EF138786	29/05/2026	DIAMOND LOCKSMITHS PTY LTD				720.00
			282216 - HEATHRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE	USRF_DLK	520.00	
			282781 - KEY - KABA EXPERT (ER1909 / ER8901)	USRF_DLK	200.00	
EF138395	15/05/2026	DIGITAL LOOP PTY LTD				2,750.00
			INV-1620 - CITY OF JOONDALUP EXPLORE UPTOWN		2,750.00	
EF138399	15/05/2026	DIPLOMATIK PTY LTD (DIPLOMEDIK)				10,658.82
			INV-25641 - SERVICING		3,593.21	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-25642 - TEMP STAFF WE 24/4/26		2,627.35	
			INV-25718 - MECHANIC HD W/E 03/05/26		2,367.29	
			INV-25719 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 03/05/26		2,070.97	
EF138788	29/05/2026	DIPLOMATIK PTY LTD (DIPLOMEDIK)				12,850.71
			INV-25564 - LABOUR HIRE WE 19/4/26		2,596.44	
			INV-25797 - PARTS & REPAIRS - A		2,874.56	
			INV-25798 - TEMP STAFF WE 10/5/26		2,627.35	
			INV-25877 - LABOUR W/E 17/05/26		2,155.92	
			INV-25878 - LABOUR WE 17/5/26		2,596.44	
EF138403	15/05/2026	DOLOS PTY LTD				1,815.00
			JU02 - EXHIBITION INSTALL		1,815.00	
EF138692	29/05/2026	DONNA & KURT LAUBER				261.96
			123652 - RATES REFUND PN 123652		261.96	
EF138707	29/05/2026	DONNA SMITH				52.07
			559698 - MEDICAL CANCELLATION		52.07	
EF138396	15/05/2026	DOWSING GROUP PTY LTD				249,275.72
			27339 - COJ - COASTAL PATH NORTH		249,275.72	
EF138782	29/05/2026	DOWSING GROUP PTY LTD				252,257.26
			27333 - DRAINAGE UPGRADES CONTOUR DRIVE		226,207.50	
			27338 - DRAINAGE UPGRADES CONTOUR DRIVE		26,049.76	
EF138394	15/05/2026	DRAINFLOW SERVICES PTY LTD				13,058.10
			24826 - GULLY EDUCTION CLEANING	01725	2,359.50	
			24906 - GULLY EDUCTION CLEANING	01725	478.50	
			24949 - PINAROO POINT	01725	242.00	
			24954 - GULLY EDUCTION CLEANING	01725	1,940.40	
			25047 - GRATED GULLY PIT	01725	2,209.90	
			25366 - DUFFY TCE WOODVALE	01725	475.20	
			25572 - GRATED GULLY PIT	01725	1,724.80	
			25573 - POLLUTANT TRAPS - RASPINS LOOP	01725	528.00	
			25574 - PART 1 OF 2 YEARLY CLEANING OF GPT'S	01725	297.00	
			25693 - GULLY EDUCTION CLEANING	01725	1,078.00	
			25694 - GRATED GULLY PIT KINGSLEY	01725	1,724.80	
EF138781	29/05/2026	DRAINFLOW SERVICES PTY LTD				14,615.70
			25649 - SCHEDULED GULLY EDUCTION CLEANING	01725	1,024.10	
			25786 - GRATED GULLY PIT PADBURY	01725	3,611.30	
			25881 - JETTING AND EDUCTING DUFFY TERRACE	01725	4,752.00	
			25947 - GRATED GULLY PIT KINGSLEY	01725	5,066.60	
			25948 - GRATED GULLY PIT PADBURY	01725	161.70	
EF138794	29/05/2026	DSC FENCING & RETAINING PTY LTD				105,949.75
			INV-0760 - BUSH FIRE BREAKS		95,595.16	
			INV-0761 - BUSH FIRE BREAKS		10,354.59	
EF138793	29/05/2026	DULUXGROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD				165.35
			909095100 - YELLOW PAINT FOR MILA WALLS		165.35	
113650	20/05/2026	DUNCRAIG LIBRARY PETTY CASH				218.15
			PETTY CASH W/E 15/05/26 - REIMBURSEMENT OF PETTY CASH		218.15	
EF138406	15/05/2026	E W C S UNIT TRUST (ENVIRO SWEEP)				6,123.88

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			142312 - SWEEP SYCAMORE DR, TECOMA ST, RIMU PL	03124	775.18	
			142313 - ROAD SWEEPING - DAVALLIA RD, DUNCRAIG	03124	2,751.86	
			142328 - HIRE OF ROAD SWEEPER WITH OPERATOR - NOR	03124	736.42	
			142709 - ROAD SWEEPING - DAVALLIA RD, DUNCRAIG	03124	581.38	
			142711 - ROAD SWEEPING - BURNS BEACH ROAD	03124	1,279.04	
EF138797	29/05/2026	E W C S UNIT TRUST (ENVIRO SWEEP)				30,197.17
			143407 - SWEEPING SUBURB ROADS - KALLAROO	03124	3,445.20	
			143408 - SWEEPING OF CAR PARKS - CRAIGIE LEISURE	03124	6,023.16	
			143409 - APRIL 2026 VARIOUS	03124	1,435.50	
			143410 - WEST COAST DRIVE	03124	1,007.73	
			143411 - MOONDARRA WAY JOONDALUP	03124	348.83	
			143412 - ROAD SWEEP - MULLIGAN DRIVE GREENWOOD	03124	348.83	
			143413 - SWEEPING - EDDYSTONE AVE, BELDON/GRAGIE	03124	736.42	
			143414 - SWEEP - SOUTHERN CROSS CIRCLE OCEAN REEF	03124	426.35	
			143415 - ROAD SWEEP - MOORE DRIVE	03124	736.42	
			143416 - ROAD SWEEP - BLUE MOUNTAIN DR JOONDALUP	03124	775.17	
			143417 - SWEEPING - DELAMERE AVE CURRAMBINE	03124	968.97	
			143418 - ROAD SWEEP - ENDEAVOUR ROAD HILLARYS	03124	310.07	
			143419 - ARMADEUS WAY, JOONDALUP	03124	310.07	
			143420 - ROAD SWEEP - YELLOWSTONE WAY JOONDALUP	03124	271.31	
			143422 - SWEEPING - SHENTON AVE ILUKA	03124	1,124.00	
			143423 - SWEEPING - NATURALISTE BLVD ILUKA	03124	891.45	
			143440 - SWEEPING - BURNS BEACH CARPARK	03124	780.91	
			143504 - SWEEPING OF CAR PARKS - CRAIGIE LEISURE	03124	5,155.01	
			143505 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	03124	4,249.08	
			143550 - WEEKLY LEAFY SWEEP - DUNCRAIG	03124	852.69	
EF138796	29/05/2026	EASISALARY PTY LTD				4,716.14
			ME00206_11/05/26 - EASYSALARY APRIL 2026		4,716.14	
EF138599	15/05/2026	EDITH COWAN UNIVERSITY				4,145.35
			900018517 - QUENDA MONITORING IN CRAIGIE BUSHLAND		4,145.35	
EF138520	15/05/2026	ELAINE ELIZABETH RICHARDSON				209.00
			Q3 2025/26 - VOLUNTEER SUBSIDY REIMBURSEMENT		209.00	
EF138323	15/05/2026	ELEANOR CLEMENTS				140.00
			1049391_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138675	29/05/2026	ELEANOR CLEMENTS				280.00
			1049391_19/05/26 - REFUND CLC 2025/25 SUMMER CR		280.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138619	15/05/2026	ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND RETAIL T/A SYNERGY ELECTRONIC BIL				518,404.46
			2082636975 - ST LIGHTS MONTHLY ST VISION 756 899 1322		305,437.11	
			2112510732 - CALECTASIA ST GREENWOOD WA 532 179 9812		328.75	
			2152520951 - BARRON PDE 20 FEB 2026 - 22 APR 2026		339.96	
			2340006418 - LLOYD DR, WARWICK WA 526 878 7016		1,688.19	
			2364006283 - 102 BOAS AVE, JOONDALUP APR 2026		46,172.57	
			2400005692 30/04/26 - WARWICK RD, SORRENTO		16,988.80	
			2504007576 - DUFFY TCE, WOODVALE WA 528 665 2715		76.74	
			2732005818 - ILUKA SPORTS COMPLEX POWER		4,590.45	
			2732005819 29/04/26 - LLOYD DR, WARWICK MAR - APR 26		5,329.09	
			3185000000 - CONTESTABLE SITES APRIL 2026		137,452.80	
EF138976	29/05/2026	ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND RETAIL T/A SYNERGY ELECTRONIC BIL				106,398.58
			2276008173 - FINCHLEY TCE, JOONDALUP WA 513 477 2810		330.01	
			2336007170 - BRAMSTON VSTA, BURNS BEACH 512 313 6912		1,443.88	
			2364006284 - BEACH RD 519 107 4913		1,417.73	
			2452008244 21/05/26 - 247 GIBSON AVE, PADBURY MAR - MAY 26		650.42	
			2660010754 22/05/26 - KARUAH WAY/SHENTON AV		551.19	
			2696009215 - WEST COAST DR 519 101 9216		3,175.48	
			3025000597 - GROUPED ELECTRICITY 645 592 3022		98,026.62	
			3190000476 - BANKS AVE, HILLARYS WA 801 430 4319		803.25	
EF138688	29/05/2026	ELIZA MCGREAL				70.00
			1049411_12/05/26 - SUMMER SOCCER REFUND		70.00	
EF138313	15/05/2026	ELIZABETH BROWNING				500.00
			1049374_24/04/26 - CCTV REBATE		500.00	
EF138708	29/05/2026	ELLA BRANCH				50.00
			ID135145 - DOG REFUND		50.00	
EF138600	15/05/2026	ELLIOTTS IRRIGATION PTY LTD (ELLIOTTS FILTRATION)				7,683.50
			F37075 - MONTHLY IRON FILTER	VP469251	7,683.50	
EF138956	29/05/2026	ELLIOTTS IRRIGATION PTY LTD (ELLIOTTS FILTRATION)				12,767.26
			F37084 - MONTHLY IRON FILTER	VP469251	7,683.50	
			F37151 - SHENTON AVE/CARNABY PARK (IRON FILTER)	VP469251	715.00	
			F37152 - CENTRAL PARK IRON FILTERS	VP469251	4,230.16	
			F37153 - ST MICHAELS PARK (IRON FILTER)	VP469251	138.60	
EF138798	29/05/2026	EMERGE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PTY LTD (EMERGE ASSOCIATES)				2,750.00
			19807 - CONSULTANCY - HEATHRIDGE PARK		2,750.00	
EF138315	15/05/2026	EMMA McGINTY				315.00
			418968 - SWIM SCHOOL REFUND		315.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138320	15/05/2026	EMMA NOLAN				1,073.54
			156944 - RATES REFUND		1,073.54	
EF138412	15/05/2026	ENTERTAINMENT ON TOUR PTY. LTD. (JOONDALUP ACTIVATIONS)				24,222.00
			INV-2632 - DISCOUNTED YOGA & FITNESS		1,210.00	
			INV-2635 - DISCOUNTED YOGA & FITNESS		1,210.00	
			INV-2636 - POP UP CINEMA		8,261.00	
			INV-2637 - POP UP CINEMA		8,261.00	
			INV-2640 - MOTHERS DAY PAINT & SIP - CREDIT OWED		5,280.00	
EF138405	15/05/2026	ENVIRONMENTAL INDUSTRIES PTY LTD				45,632.76
			INV40917 - MARCH 2026	02324	35,107.60	
			INV41193 - APRIL 2026	02324	4,766.66	
			INV41205 - APRIL 2026		1,584.00	
			INV41221 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB	VP469349	1,028.50	
			INV41334 - ILUKA FORESHORE	02324	572.00	
			INV41335 - SANTA MONICA	02324	572.00	
			INV41336 - ST JAMES MCCUSKER	02324	357.50	
			INV41337 - LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION MNT - ILUKA	02324	214.50	
			INV41338 - LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION MNT - ILUKA	02324	858.00	
			INV41339 - LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION MNT - ILUKA	02324	572.00	
EF138804	29/05/2026	ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE PTY LTD				1,890.63
			ER-0005 - BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT SERVICES		1,890.63	
EF138404	15/05/2026	ESRI AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				12,517.93
			INV004634 - ARCGIS ENTERPRIRSE UPGRADE		12,517.93	
EF138689	29/05/2026	ESTHER BRUNNER-MEMICK				140.00
			1049412_12/05/26 - SUMMER SOCCER REFUND		140.00	
EF138411	15/05/2026	EVOLVE TALENT PTY LTD				2,988.03
			251485 - LABOUR HRE WE 26/4/26		1,005.87	
			251940 - LABOUR HIRE WE 3/5/26		1,982.16	
EF138801	29/05/2026	EVOLVE TALENT PTY LTD				3,993.91
			252083 - TEMP STAFF WE 10/5/26		2,514.68	
			252730 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 15/05/26		1,479.23	
EF138408	15/05/2026	EXACT BUSINESS CONSULTING PTY LTD				6,864.00
			INV-1267 - SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL FOR FUSION SOFTWARE		6,864.00	
EF138443	15/05/2026	EXPERIAN AUSTRALIA OPERATIONS PTY LTD				938.44
			404381106502 - MILLION FINANCIAL RISK REPORTS		938.44	
EF138564	15/05/2026	EXPERIAN AUSTRALIA OPERATIONS PTY LTD (TENDERLINK.COM)				369.60
			AU-755196 - TENDERLINK PUBLIC TENDER PUBLISHING FEES		369.60	
EF138950	29/05/2026	F ZAMMIT & L.M ZAMMIT T/AS ZAMMITS RETICULATION &				2,260.28
			9 - IRRIGATION		2,260.28	
EF138851	29/05/2026	FEED THE TIGER PTY LTD (MACKAY URBAN DESIGN)				750.00
			MM00153_22/04/26 - JOONDALUP DESIGN REVIEW PANEL 22/4/26		750.00	
EF138414	15/05/2026	FIND WISE LOCATION SERVICES				374.00
			6760 - EARTHWORKS - EXT CONT		374.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138807	29/05/2026	FIND WISE LOCATION SERVICES				374.00
			6783 - WARWICK ROAD DUNCRAIG		374.00	
EF138467	15/05/2026	FIORE FAMILY TRUST				4,006.51
			158217 - TURF MOWING		4,006.51	
EF138846	29/05/2026	FIORE FAMILY TRUST				122,444.23
			156694 - HERBICIDE APPLICATION	01322	122,444.23	
EF138806	29/05/2026	FITNESS AUSTRALIA LIMITED				280.00
			INV-0054975 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		280.00	
EF138416	15/05/2026	FLORAL IMAGE				69.11
			FIP87256 - SUPPLY OF FLORAL ARRANGEMENTS		69.11	
EF138603	15/05/2026	FOXTEL CABLE TELEVISION PTY LTD				475.00
			494491831 - 2025-26 TV SUBSCRIPTION		475.00	
EF138959	29/05/2026	FRIENDS OF CARNABY RESERVE				467.74
			27/05/26 - INCIDENTALS		467.74	
EF138957	29/05/2026	FRIENDS OF PORTEOUS PARK				510.38
			PF00104_20/05/26 - ADMIN EXPENSES		510.38	
EF138657	29/05/2026	FRIENDS OF SORRENTO BEACH & MARMION FORESHORE				649.01
			1043029_20/05/26 - ADMIN EXPENSES		649.01	
EF138652	29/05/2026	FRIENDS OF WARWICK BUSHLAND				275.09
			27/05/26 - INCIDENTAL PURCHASES		275.09	
EF138413	15/05/2026	FUJIFILM BUSINESS INNOVATION AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				2,611.71
			CZ341692 - PRINT ROOM APRIL 2026		2,611.71	
EF138370	15/05/2026	FUTURE FIELDS PTY LTD (BANNISTER DOWNS DAIRY)				530.46
			200398210 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		20.18	
			200399140 - SUPPLY OF 2LITRE MILK HILO AND FULLCREAM		94.80	
			200399141 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		15.80	
			200399528 - SUPPLY OF 2LITRE MILK HILO AND FULLCREAM		189.60	
			200400210 - SUPPLY OF 2LITRE MILK HILO AND FULLCREAM		189.90	
			P282366 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		20.18	
EF138757	29/05/2026	FUTURE FIELDS PTY LTD (BANNISTER DOWNS DAIRY)				440.34
			200400211 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		20.18	
			200400918 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		20.18	
			200400919 - SUPPLY OF 2LITRE MILK HILO AND FULLCREAM		189.90	
			200401581 - SUPPLY OF 2LITRE MILK HILO AND FULLCREAM		189.90	
			200401582 - SUPPLY OF 2L AND 1L MILK		20.18	
EF138808	29/05/2026	FUTURO NOMINEES PTY LTD				1,980.00
			35111 - GIBSON AVE PADBURY	VP433705	330.00	
			35113 - BROADBEACH BLVD, HILLARYS	VP433705	330.00	
			35128 - LACEPEDE DRIVE, SORRENTO	VP433705	330.00	
			35129 - BENBULLEN BLVD, KINGSLEY	VP433705	330.00	
			35130 - NUYTSIA AVE, SORRENTO	VP433705	330.00	
			4474 - WEDGEWOOD DRIVE, EDGEWATER	VP433705	330.00	
EF138418	15/05/2026	FVS FIRE PTY LTD				5,095.74
			I0114815 - RAT - WOC FIRE PUMP LOGBOOK REPLACE	01425	56.38	
			I0114823 - SM - MONTHLY FIRE PUMPS & TANKS SERVICE	01425	121.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			I0114834 - SM - MONTHLY FIRE SPRINKLER SERVICE	01425	38.50	
			I0114932 - RM-CIVIC CHAMBERS- FIP BATTERIES REPLACE	01425	1,433.52	
			I0114974 - FIRE DETECTION AND ALARM SYSTEMS AS 1851	01425	385.00	
			I0114975 - SM - MONTHLY FIRE TANK AND PUMP SERVICE	01425	44.00	
			I0115250 - FIRE PUMP-SET SERVICING - MONTHLY	01425	198.00	
			I0115752 - MULTI STOREY CAR PARK	01425	1,483.06	
			I0115836 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01425	165.00	
			I0115881 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01425	1,171.28	
EF138507	15/05/2026	G C & A HOGAN PTY LTD (PROFLO WA)				639.76
			P7875 - CITY CENTRE -REID PROMENADE AUTO TOILETS	VP422290	198.00	
			P9698 - KEY WEST TOILETS - CLEAN OUT SAND TRAPS	VP422290	220.00	
			P9789 - CLEAN GUTTERS AND REMOVAL OF DEBRIS - SI	VP422290	102.30	
			P9802 - GUTTER CLEANING - MAY 2026	VP422290	119.46	
EF138886	29/05/2026	G C & A HOGAN PTY LTD (PROFLO WA)				381.15
			P9797 - SM - GUTTER CLEANING - MAY 2026	VP422290	267.30	
			P9800 - GREENWOOD SCOUT HALL	VP422290	113.85	
EF138310	15/05/2026	GABRIELLA PEJIC				100.00
			INWE26/23448 - REFUND – ANIMAL ID – 135113 – DUPLICATE		100.00	
EF138815	29/05/2026	GALAXY 42 PTY. LTD. (ATTURRA BUSINESS APPLICATIONS)				15,510.00
			INV0066218 - EXTENSION OF CONTRACT 029/24	02924	15,510.00	
EF138290	15/05/2026	GAVIN NOTT				140.00
			1046418_04/05/26 - REFUND - SUMMER 2025/25 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138948	29/05/2026	GENOTYPING AUSTRALIA PTY LTD (XYTOGEN)				1,231.75
			5651 - REACTIVE MATERIALS SHOT HOLE BORER		1,231.75	
EF138811	29/05/2026	GHD PTY LTD				14,264.80
			112-0279510 - CONSULTANCY SERVICES		14,264.80	
EF138710	29/05/2026	GINA SCIUTO				30.00
			ID134811 - OVERPAYMENT CAT REGISTRATION		30.00	
EF138422	15/05/2026	GOLD CORPORATION (THE PERTH MINT)				2,953.50
			SIN001420792 - 2026 CITIZENSHIP $1 COIN IN PRESENTATION		2,953.50	
EF138654	29/05/2026	GOOD DOOGS PTY LTD (XTR MULTISPORTS)				5,500.00
			INV-0200 - COASTAL QUEST TRIATHLON		5,500.00	
EF138488	15/05/2026	GPC ASIA PACIFIC PTY LTD (NAPA)				621.72
			1950167570 - PARTS ONLY		40.26	
			1950168640 - PARTS ONLY		581.46	
EF138866	29/05/2026	GPC ASIA PACIFIC PTY LTD (NAPA)				858.00
			1950169758 - PARTS ONLY		132.00	
			1950169783 - PARTS ONLY		726.00	
EF138299	15/05/2026	GRANT T OSBORNE				68.50
			BPU25/0428 - BUILDING SERVICES LEVY REFUND		68.50	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138428	15/05/2026	GREEN BY NATURE SPECIALTY SERVICES PTY LTD				12,279.84
			90061054 - WARWICK OPEN SPACE MOWING	VP465586	363.00	
			90061055 - WARWICK OPEN SPACE	VP465586	363.00	
			90061056 - WARWICK OPEN SPACE MOWING	VP465586	363.00	
			90061057 - WARWICK OPEN SPACE MOWING	VP465586	363.00	
			90061059 - VERTI-MOW AND PICK UP WITH RYE SEED		3,869.58	
			90061059 - VERTI-MOW AND PICK UP WITH RYE SEED	VP481809	3,729.48	
			90061061 - XZEMPLAR APPLICATION FOR PERCY DOYLE		692.12	
			90061061 - XZEMPLAR APPLICATION FOR PERCY DOYLE	VP481809	394.46	
			90061063 - PRIMO MAXX 2 APPLICATION AT PERCY DOYLE		558.42	
			90061063 - PRIMO MAXX 2 APPLICATION AT PERCY DOYLE	VP481809	575.96	
			90061064 - MOWING PERCY DOYLE PARK DUNCRAIG	VP481809	335.94	
			90061065 - MOWING PERCY DOYLE PARK DUNCRAIG	VP481809	335.94	
			90061066 - MOWING PERCY DOYLE PARK DUNCRAIG	VP481809	335.94	
EF138605	15/05/2026	GREEN SKILLS INC				4,888.66
			P4705 - SCHEDULE CONTRACTORS - BUSH REGENERATION		4,888.66	
EF138960	29/05/2026	GREEN SKILLS INC				4,888.66
			P4744 - OCEAN REEF FORESHORE PRUNING		4,888.66	
EF138427	15/05/2026	GREENSTEAM AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				18,354.60
			GSA-4351 - SIR JAMES PARK	00925	4,510.00	
			GSA-4359 - DELAMERE AVE, CURRAMBINE	01125	1,914.00	
			GSA-4363 - EDDYSTONE AVE	01125	3,317.60	
			GSA-4367 - LILBURNE RESERVE	01125	3,828.00	
			GSA-4368 - HAND WEEDING KALLAROO PARK	01125	4,785.00	
EF138625	19/05/2026	GREENSTEAM AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				55,193.60
			GSA-4336 - NON CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL	00925	50,600.00	
			GSA-4376 - WHITFORDS AVE WEEDING	01125	4,593.60	
EF138817	29/05/2026	GREENSTEAM AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				6,292.00
			GSA-4147 - LAKESIDE DRIVE GARDEN BEDS	00925	2,145.00	
			GSA-4377 - HAND WEEDING	01125	4,147.00	
EF138421	15/05/2026	GREENWOOD PARTY HIRE				7,416.00
			B24517 - FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT HIRE 19 DEC 2025		4,333.00	
			B25025 - HIRE OF EQUIPMENT ANZAC DAY DAWN SERVICE		2,883.00	
			B25162 - EXPERIENCE JOONDALUP POP UP CINEMA		100.00	
			B25182 - MESSY PLAY 28 APRIL 2X MARQUEE HIRE		100.00	
EF138278	15/05/2026	GREENWOOD TENNIS CLUB INC				200.00
			GTC1 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND MINDFUL PHOTO VENUE		200.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138424	15/05/2026	GREENWORX COMMERCIAL MAINTENANCE PTY LTD				15,981.16
			145927 - RETIC REPAIRS	00525	929.50	
			146522 - APRIL 2026	00525	11,616.00	
			146524 - APRIL 2026	00525	1,375.00	
			146526 - WOODVALE WATERS	VP364735	284.16	
			146910 - WOODVALE WATERS SAR	VP364735	313.50	
			146911 - RETIC REPAIRS FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL	00525	1,463.00	
EF138624	19/05/2026	GREENWORX COMMERCIAL MAINTENANCE PTY LTD				104.50
			144342 - IRRIGATION - HARBOUR RISE SARS	00525	104.50	
EF138812	29/05/2026	GREENWORX COMMERCIAL MAINTENANCE PTY LTD				3,921.50
			146523 - WOODVALE SAR MAINTENANCE	VP364735	3,921.50	
EF138431	15/05/2026	GROUND CIVIL PTY LTD				1,925.00
			24304 - STRUCTURAL CERTIFICATE		1,925.00	
EF138468	15/05/2026	HAI YI LI				500.00
			2026001 - NAGOMI ART WORKSHOP		500.00	
EF138436	15/05/2026	HALYTECH PTY LTD				3,960.00
			29614 - SUBSCRIPTION 26/27		3,960.00	
EF138626	19/05/2026	HAWTHORN GROUP HOLDINGS PTY LTD (HAWTHORN CIVIL & MINING				22,232.51
			10621 - COASTAL PATHS FOR APR 26		22,232.51	
EF138678	29/05/2026	HAYLEY TAIT				170.00
			DD-INV-55595-X0L6Y - BIN REFUND		170.00	
EF138606	15/05/2026	HAYS SPECIALIST RECRUITMENT (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED				27,830.67
			53220081 - LABOUR HIRE		3,223.28	
			53220083 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 29/03/26		1,631.39	
			53227970 - BOBBY BOGDANOVIC WE 3/4/26		1,164.08	
			53246587 - BOBBY BOGDANOVIC WE 17/04/26		2,875.95	
			53246588 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 19/04/26		3,223.28	
			53253580 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		1,436.51	
			53260521 - LABOUR HIRE WE 24/04/26		2,054.25	
			53260522 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		3,223.28	
			53260523 - TEMPORARY STAFF COVER FOR ADMIN SUPPORT		2,572.06	
			53265283 - TEMPORARY STAFF-EXPENDITURE SERV OFFICER		979.44	
			53265284 - TEMPORARY STAFF COVER FOR ADMIN SUPPORT		2,044.02	
			53273704 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 10/05/26		1,469.16	
			53273705 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 03/05/26		1,933.97	
EF138961	29/05/2026	HAYS SPECIALIST RECRUITMENT (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED				22,715.48
			53237237 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 10/04/26		1,746.12	
			53265282 - LABOUR W/E 3/5/2026		2,293.92	
			53273703 - LABOUR W/E 10/05/2026		1,746.12	
			53275290 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 10/05/26		3,223.28	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			53275291 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 10/05/26		2,555.03	
			53275292 - TEMPORARY STAFF- RATING SERVICES OFFICER		1,743.91	
			53282751 - TEMP EMP W/E 17/05/26		979.44	
			53284325 - TEMPORARY STAFF-EXPENDITURE SERV OFFICER		979.44	
			53284326 - LABOUR W/E 17/5/26		3,223.28	
			53284327 - TEMPORARY STAFF COVER FOR ADMIN SUPPORT		2,473.35	
			53284328 - TEMPORARY STAFF- RATING SERVICES OFFICER		1,751.59	
EF138951	29/05/2026	HEAD OCEANIA PTY LTD (ZOGGS AUSTRALIA)				1,126.40
			3790287957 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	00725	1,138.50	
			3790289510 - CREDIT NOTE REF. INV 3790279035		-12.10	
EF138433	15/05/2026	HEADSET' ERA				473.00
			13558 - 2 X YEALINK WH63 E2 MS WIRELESS HEADSETS		473.00	
EF138819	29/05/2026	HEADSET' ERA				2,051.50
			13579 - YL55274P YEALINK WH63 E2 TEAM W/LESS H/S		2,051.50	
EF138302	15/05/2026	HEATHER McCARTHY				140.00
			1049359_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138309	15/05/2026	HELEN YOUNG				2,890.00
			134832 - REFUND PN 134832		2,890.00	
EF138464	15/05/2026	HELENE PTY LTD (LOGO APPOINTMENTS)				15,007.57
			H6648 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 04/04/26		2,330.46	
			H6676 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 11/04/26		2,330.46	
			H6706 - LABOUR HIRE - AO - ASSET MANAGEMENT		2,405.40	
			H6707 - LABOUR HIRE - EXTENSION BM OFFICER		2,485.82	
			H6740 - LABOUR HIRE - AO - ASSET MANAGEMENT		3,124.97	
			H6741 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 25/04/26		2,330.46	
EF138843	29/05/2026	HELENE PTY LTD (LOGO APPOINTMENTS)				15,059.87
			H6774 - TEMP EMP W/E 2/5/26		2,563.02	
			H6775 - TEMP EMP W/E 2/5/26		2,200.99	
			H6807 - TEMP EMP W/E 9/5/26		3,124.96	
			H6808 - LABOUR HIRE - EXTENSION BM OFFICER		2,330.46	
			H6809 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 09/05/26		2,302.94	
			H6845 - TEMP EMP W/E 16/5/26		2,537.50	
EF138435	15/05/2026	HICKEY CONSTRUCTIONS PTY LTD				102,964.36
			5554 - COMMUNITY CENTRE & HILLARYS COMMUNITY	01021	937.48	
			5601 - CLC SKYLIGHT	01021	66,751.00	
			5751 - AFTER HOURS STANDBY 24.03 - 14/04/26	01021	1,453.65	
			5753 - LACEPEDE PARK	01021	3,233.45	
			5755 - CLC CEILING TRIM	01021	674.85	
			5756 - ADMIRAL PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	187.00	
			5757 - CLC ADMINISTRATION	01021	398.20	
			5758 - CLC ROOF LEAKS	01021	121.00	
			5759 - WHITFORD SENIORS CLUB	01021	187.77	
			5760 - ADMIRAL PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	187.44	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			5761 - TIMBERLANE PARK HALL	01021	165.00	
			5763 - CLC FIRST AID ROOM	01021	330.00	
			5764 - BEAUMARIS COMMUNITY CENTRE	01021	576.13	
			5765 - CHICHESTER PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	231.00	
			5766 - BELROSE PARK TOILETS	01021	793.10	
			5767 - MULLALOO SOUTH TOILETS	01021	187.44	
			5768 - KINGSLEY CLUBROOMS	01021	389.13	
			5769 - MARMION FORESHORE TOILETS	01021	165.00	
			5770 - DUNCRAIG COMMUNITY CENTRE	01021	275.28	
			5774 - JOONDALUP ADMIN CENTRE	01021	165.00	
			5775 - JOONDALUP ADMIN	01021	165.00	
			5776 - HARBOUR VIEW PARK TOILETS/CHANGEROOMS	01021	947.10	
			5780 - BEAUMARIS COMMUNITY CENTRE	01021	495.00	
			5781 - ROBERTSON RD CYCLEWAY	01021	768.90	
			5782 - JOONDALUP ADMIN REPAIRS	01021	319.00	
			5783 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	1,433.03	
			5784 - MULLALOO KINDY REPAIRS	01021	1,412.95	
			5785 - HEATHRIDGE CC REPAIRS	01021	297.00	
			5786 - KORELLA PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01021	480.70	
			5787 - WOODVALE LIBRARY REPAIRS	01021	522.94	
			5788 - SORRENTO HALL REPAIRS	01021	529.47	
			5789 - KINGSLEY MEMORIAL CLUBROOMS	01021	256.30	
			5794 - SORRENTO FORESHORE BOARDWALK	01021	1,027.40	
			5795 - BURNS BEACH	01021	837.65	
			5798 - WARWICK COMMUNITY CARE CENTRE	01021	4,728.57	
			5799 - HILLARYS BEACH PARK	01021	1,343.65	
			5800 - BESPOKE BENCH SEAT	01021	2,662.28	
			5801 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	1,387.93	
			5803 - HEATHRIDGE PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	628.21	
			5804 - WHITFORDS BEACH TOILETS	01021	321.20	
			5805 - CLC _ DOOR MAINTENANCE	01021	165.00	
			5806 - CLC - GATE POOL	01021	240.90	
			5807 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLION	01021	321.20	
			5808 - CLC BULKHEAD	01021	196.63	
			5809 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	165.00	
			5810 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	187.00	
			5813 - WINTON ROAD MENS SHED	01021	187.77	
			5814 - CHICHESTER PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	545.05	
			5815 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	183.98	
			5816 - PADBURY COMMUNITY HALL	01021	256.30	
			5817 - WHITFORDS BEACH	01021	231.00	
			5818 - HEATHRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE	01021	644.05	
			5819 - MARMION BEACH TOILETS	01021	187.00	
			5820 - ADMIRAL PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	183.98	
			5821 - ROB BADDOCK COMMUNITY HALL	01021	231.00	
			5822 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	470.53	
			5823 - ILUKA SPORTS COMPLEX	01021	253.77	
			5824 - WARRANDYTE PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	176.00	
			5825 - KINGSLEY COMMUNITY VISION	01021	165.00	
EF138820	29/05/2026	HICKEY CONSTRUCTIONS PTY LTD				78,484.66

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			5826 - CYCLE STUDIO PIGEON HOLES	01021	3,779.05	
			5829 - BRAMSTON PARK	01021	165.00	
			5830 - WARWICK COMMUNITY CARE CENTRE	01021	345.07	
			5831 - ELCAR PARK DOG PARK	01021	455.40	
			5832 - KANANGRA PARK GREENWOOD	01021	806.03	
			5833 - NATURALISTE BUSHLAND ILUKA	01021	6,526.85	
			5834 - CALECTASIA COMMUNITY HALL	01021	176.00	
			5835 - KINGSLEY PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	401.78	
			5836 - WINDERMERE PARK	01021	2,782.85	
			5837 - KEY WEST TOILET	01021	1,546.13	
			5838 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	745.25	
			5839 - JAMES COOK PARK TOILET	01021	836.00	
			5840 - HEATHRIDGE CC REPAIR LEAK	01021	687.50	
			5841 - TOM SIMPSON PARK TOILETS	01021	321.20	
			5842 - REMOVAL OF INSIDE-OUT BILLBOARD ARTWORK	01021	17,463.82	
			5845 - MELENE PARK TOILETS	01021	354.20	
			5846 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	485.05	
			5847 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILION	01021	231.00	
			5848 - TIMBERLANE PARK	01021	231.00	
			5849 - NEIL HAWKINS PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01021	366.16	
			5850 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLON WATER LEAK	01021	347.60	
			5851 - MULLALOO SOUTH TOILETS	01021	165.00	
			5852 - TOM SIMPSON PARK	01021	183.98	
			5853 - JUNIPER PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01021	165.00	
			5854 - MIRROR PARK	01021	187.00	
			5855 - DUNCRAIG LIBRARY	01021	121.00	
			5856 - DUNCRAIG LIBRARY	01021	165.00	
			5857 - MOOLANDA EARLY LEARNING CENTRE	01021	365.20	
			5858 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	745.25	
			5859 - MARRI PARK TOILETS	01021	99.00	
			5860 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01021	187.00	
			5863 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01021	220.00	
			5864 - WINDERMERE PARK CLUBROOMS	01021	602.31	
			5865 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	21,678.80	
			5867 - TOM SIMPSON PARK RE-TURFING	01021	2,595.12	
			5868 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	5,875.65	
			5870 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01021	2,212.10	
			5871 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01021	972.40	
			5872 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01021	321.20	
			5873 - PENISTONE PARK	01021	275.28	
			5875 - MILDENHALL SENIOR CITIZENS	01021	264.00	
			5876 - SUPPLY & INSTALL SOAP HOLDERS	01021	2,031.43	
EF138822	29/05/2026	HINCO GROUP PTY LTD (HINCO INSTRUMENTS)				319.00
			31956 - NATA CALIBRATE LUTRON		319.00	
EF138537	15/05/2026	HOLDEN THOMAS MASSIMO SHEPPARD				369.60
			26219 - MEET THE AUTHOR - BOOK LAUNCH		369.60	
EF138589	15/05/2026	HOLLY SIMONE YOSHIDA				509.60

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			17 - ART INSTALL CONTRACTER		509.60	
EF138330	15/05/2026	HTJ & JD REARDON				787.07
			113400 - RATES REFUND PN 113400		787.07	
EF138439	15/05/2026	HYDROQUIP PUMPS & IRRIGATION PTY LTD				23,805.10
			INV-7461 - KINGSLEY PARK	04222	860.20	
			INV-7462 - MCCUBBIN PARK	04222	2,662.00	
			INV-7476 - HARTLEY PARK	04222	20,282.90	
EF138823	29/05/2026	HYDROQUIP PUMPS & IRRIGATION PTY LTD				89,511.40
			INV-7370 - ELLERSDALE PARK	04222	356.40	
			INV-7495 - ILUKA SPORTS PARK (FLOW METERS EAST)	04222	6,019.20	
			INV-7496 - ILUKA SPORTS PARK (FLOW METERS EAST)	04222	6,019.20	
			INV-7497 - CENTRAL PARK	04222	3,181.20	
			INV-7498 - PUMP UNIT - NORMAL WORKING HOURS - ON-SI	04222	710.60	
			INV-7499 - GREENLAWPARK	04222	4,825.70	
			INV-7500 - SEACREST PARK REPAIRS	04222	1,117.60	
			INV-7503 - WHITFORDS WEST	04222	8,852.80	
			INV-7504 - BELDON PARK	04222	13,140.60	
			INV-7505 - GRAND OCEAN PARK	04222	21,333.40	
			INV-7506 - RODGERS PARK	04222	10,103.50	
			INV-7507 - NEWCOMBE PARK	04222	13,851.20	
EF138445	15/05/2026	ICONIC PROPERTY SERVICES PTY LTD				21,931.62
			PSI055394 - PT SCHEDULE CONTRACT CLEANING APRIL 2026	04022	21,435.55	
			PSI055401 - SANITARY SCHEDULE FOR PT CONTRACT APRIL	04022	496.07	
EF138311	15/05/2026	IGOR MITAKI				77.50
			INWE26/23212 - REFUND – ANIMAL ID – 133776 - STERILISED		77.50	
EF138440	15/05/2026	INSTANT PRODUCTS HIRE				3,335.28
			222942 - PORTABLE TOILETS		1,913.82	
			226668 - CENTRAL PARK		1,101.03	
			227061 - PRINCE REGENT PARK		320.43	
EF138825	29/05/2026	INSTANT PRODUCTS HIRE				690.21
			228724 - PAINT AND SIP MOTHERS DAY 9 MAY PORTALOO		690.21	
EF138269	15/05/2026	INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AUSTRALIA WA DIVISION				3,022.00
			18635 - REGISTRATION FOR 2026 IPAA WA		272.00	
			18991 - IPAA CORPORATE MEMBERSHIP 2026/27		2,750.00	
EF138646	29/05/2026	INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING AUSTRALASIA LIMITED				8,899.00
			50293 - NAMS PLUS SUBSCRIPTION FEE		3,366.00	
			50518 - E BOOK SUBSCRIPTION 26/27		5,533.00	
EF138827	29/05/2026	INTEGRATED MONITORING SYSTEMS PTY LTD				30,800.00
			69016 - IMS LICENSE RENEWAL 26/27		30,800.00	
EF138441	15/05/2026	INTELIFE GROUP				15,473.21
			CIT007-B0426 - APRIL 2026	VP473741	15,473.21	
EF138828	29/05/2026	INTELLIGENT RFID SOLUTIONS PTY LTD				2,931.50
			INV-0344 - SUPPLY OF 10,000 RFID TAGS SQUARE CLEAR		2,931.50	
EF138964	29/05/2026	INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR PUBLIC				925.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			I1280294 - ONLINE TRAINING COURSE		925.00	
EF138442	15/05/2026	IRON MOUNTAIN AUSTRALIA GROUP PTY LTD				111.57
			AU3100086845 - 2025-26 STORAGE & RETRIEVAL OF RECORDS		111.57	
EF138826	29/05/2026	IRON MOUNTAIN AUSTRALIA GROUP PTY LTD				2,740.08
			AU3100076806 - 2025-26 STORAGE & RETRIEVAL		2,740.08	
EF138444	15/05/2026	IRP PTY LTD (INDUSTRIAL RECRUITMENT PARTNERS)				45,024.93
			30301 - LABUR HIRE WE 3/5/26		6,411.90	
			C INV 30135 - LABOUR HIRE 16/4/25 - 16/5/26		1,052.70	
			C INV 30136 - LABOUR HIRE WEEK ENDING 24/4/26		1,595.00	
			C INV 30137 - LABOUR HIRE WEEK ENDING 24/4/26		2,679.60	
			C INV 30138 - LABOUR HIRE W/E 26/4/26		2,679.60	
			C INV 30139 - LABOUR HIRE WEEK ENDING 19/4/26		2,169.20	
			C INV 30194 - TEMP STAFF 16/4/25 - 16/5/26		2,647.70	
			C INV 30195 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		10,303.70	
			C INV 30196 - TEMP STAFF W/E 24/04/26		5,724.13	
			C INV 30197 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		2,169.20	
			C INV 30198 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 19/04/26		2,711.50	
			C INV 30199 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		2,169.20	
			C INV 30200 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 26/04/26		1,084.60	
			C INV 30201 - TEMP STAFF WE 26/4/26		1,626.90	
EF138829	29/05/2026	IRP PTY LTD (INDUSTRIAL RECRUITMENT PARTNERS)				46,339.15
			30296 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 04/05/26		1,075.25	
			30297 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 27/04/26		1,595.00	
			30303 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 03/05/26		2,137.30	
			30322 - TEMP STAFF WE 10/05/2026		4,301.00	
			30334 - VARIOUS LABOUR WE 10/5/26		10,303.70	
			30346 - TEMPORARY STAFF W/E 10/05/26		542.30	
			30349 - TEMP EMP W/E 4/5/26		2,711.50	
			30382 - TEMP STAFF W/E 17/05/26		2,656.50	
			30416 - TEMP STAFF WE 17/5/26		9,123.40	
			30450 - LABOUR HIRE W/E 24/05/26		4,301.00	
			30476 - LABOUR HIRE WE 24/5/26		7,592.20	
EF138830	29/05/2026	ISC TEAMWEAR PTY LTD				495.00
			89107 - SUPPLY OF STAFF UNIFORMS FOR CLC		495.00	
EF138607	15/05/2026	ISUBSCRIBE PTY LTD				1,194.09
			CN-49948 - REFER INV-49874		-74.00	
			INV-50005 - SUBSCRIPTION		1,268.09	
EF138446	15/05/2026	IVAC WA PTY LTD (VELOCITY VACUUM TRUCKS)				825.00
			4295 - SOAK WELL EXCAVATE CRAIGIE LEISURE		825.00	
EF138832	29/05/2026	IVAC WA PTY LTD (VELOCITY VACUUM TRUCKS)				3,245.00
			4480 - SAND REMOVAL - MULLALOO	VP472390	3,245.00	
EF138831	29/05/2026	IWORKHARD PTY LTD				475.00
			BOW1006208 - SKIP BIN FOR HIRE FOR STOREROOM		475.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138378	15/05/2026	IXOM OPERATIONS PTY LTD				331.64
			85111683 - CLC SUPPLY OF CHLORINE GAS 25/26		331.64	
EF138764	29/05/2026	IXOM OPERATIONS PTY LTD				4,497.28
			85117586 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		4,497.28	
EF138358	15/05/2026	J BLACKWOOD & SON LTD				2,029.54
			SI11881984 - STOCK		170.15	
			SI13812348 - PARTS & REPAIRS		132.57	
			SI14737863 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK		30.01	
			SI14738329 - WAREHOUSE STOCK		97.08	
			SI14775211 - RM - MORSE LOCK KEY RINGS AND HUBS		728.53	
			SI14799190 - LANDSCAPING MAINTENANCE		442.20	
			SI14812324 - STOCK	VP439610	115.50	
			SI14826659 - STOCK	VP439610	134.11	
			SI14921084 - REACTIVE MATERIALS - WEEDING CHEMICAL		179.39	
EF138743	29/05/2026	J BLACKWOOD & SON LTD				1,928.29
			CR08753572 - REFER INVSL15065999		-36.25	
			SI14875658 - STOCK	VP439610	447.06	
			SI14980499 - PARTS & REPAIRS		265.32	
			SI15049829 - PARTS & REPAIRS		482.99	
			SI15053019 - PARTS & REPAIRS		39.07	
			SI15057959 - LUBE SPRAY INOX		167.31	
			SI15058862 - EARMUFF MULTIPOS DIELEC VS110 1035185VS	VP439610	196.53	
			SI15065999 - WATER COOLER 5 LITRES		36.25	
			SI15065999 - WATER COOLER 5 LITRES	VP439610	63.95	
			SI15075193 - ANSELL CHEMICAL GLOVES - NATURAL AREAS		45.62	
			SI15090730 - HARDWARE		119.06	
			SI15092102 - STOCK		101.38	
EF138580	15/05/2026	J.D CAFFEY & CAFFEY FAMILY TRUST T/AS WESTBOOKS				214.07
			355931 - TITLES AS SELECTED		158.08	
			355932 - TITLES AS SELECTED		55.99	
EF138942	29/05/2026	J.D CAFFEY & CAFFEY FAMILY TRUST T/AS WESTBOOKS				362.42
			356162 - TITLES AS SELECTED		12.59	
			356163 - TITLES AS SELECTED		109.14	
			356164 - TITLES AS SELECTED		20.99	
			356165 - TITLES AS SELECTED		23.17	
			356166 - TITLES AS SELECTED		26.25	
			356167 - CARRYOVER ORDERS		74.44	
			356473 - TITLES AS SELECTED		65.76	
			356474 - TITLES AS SELECTED		30.08	
EF138466	15/05/2026	J.G ABBERTON & OTHERS (LAVAN)				1,728.65
			749837 - WARWICK GROVE SHOPPING CENTRE		1,212.75	
			750188 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		515.90	
EF138845	29/05/2026	J.G ABBERTON & OTHERS (LAVAN)				1,790.25
			749006 - PROFESSIONAL SERV TO 19/03/26 1192047		1,790.25	
EF138273	15/05/2026	JACKSON MCDONALD				1,247.40
			563151 - ADVICE ON COMPLAINT – MARCH		1,247.40	
EF138359	15/05/2026	JAMES BENNETT PTY LTD				8,626.87
			257276 - BOOK CREDIT SEE INV 4862294		-57.16	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			257833 - BOOK CREDIT SEE INV 4866502		-23.79	
			257839 - BOOK CREDIT SEE INV 4860708		-55.98	
			4869115 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		105.52	
			4869116 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS		440.89	
			4869117 - DVD'S FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		47.90	
			4869118 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		25.12	
			4869119 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		27.99	
			4869120 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		66.87	
			4869121 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		37.44	
			4869122 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		279.14	
			4869123 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		318.95	
			4869124 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		201.79	
			4869125 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		143.92	
			4869126 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		617.94	
			4869127 - POPULAR DVD TITLES FOR SHORT TERM LOAN		287.40	
			4869129 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		287.42	
			4869130 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		48.29	
			4869804 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		123.07	
			4869805 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		12.91	
			4869806 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS		301.62	
			4869807 - DVD'S FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		10.95	
			4869808 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		36.44	
			4869809 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		36.44	
			4869810 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		36.44	
			4869811 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		47.96	
			4869812 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		159.54	
			4869813 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		175.95	
			4869814 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		46.24	
			4869815 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		389.52	
			4869816 - POPULAR DVD TITLES FOR SHORT TERM LOAN		266.45	
			4869817 - INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS SELECTED		204.84	
			4869818 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		53.38	
			4870120 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		49.67	
			4870121 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		37.44	
			4870122 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		49.67	
			4870123 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		37.44	
			4870124 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER		151.70	
			4870125 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		55.18	
			4870126 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		27.65	
			4870127 - POPULAR DVD TITLES FOR SHORT TERM LOAN		95.80	
			4870215 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		26.63	
			4870216 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		42.46	
			4870217 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS		285.24	
			4870218 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		41.03	
			4870219 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		54.70	
			4870220 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		33.11	
			4870221 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		41.03	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			4870222 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		19.95	
			4870223 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		44.86	
			4870224 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		146.76	
			4870225 - INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS SELECTED		61.38	
			4870226 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		115.69	
			P282037 - INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS SELECTED		274.83	
			PSO504235 - PROFILED DVD TITLES		1,227.05	
			PSO504236 - JUNIOR DVD ORDER PRIOR TO PROFILES		199.60	
			PSO504586 - PROFILED DVD TITLES		443.25	
			PSO504796 - PROFILED DVD TITLES		363.35	
EF138746	29/05/2026	JAMES BENNETT PTY LTD				3,931.14
			4870119 - DVD'S FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		41.90	
			4870714 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		326.99	
			4870715 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS		194.17	
			4870716 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		50.66	
			4870717 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		36.47	
			4870718 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		54.47	
			4870719 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		54.47	
			4870720 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER		228.75	
			4870721 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		310.60	
			4870722 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		11.56	
			4870723 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER		241.28	
			4870724 - INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS SELECTED		105.92	
			4870725 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		28.71	
			4871239 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED		37.83	
			4871240 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		23.79	
			4871242 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		21.57	
			4871243 - PROFILED AWARD TITLES		21.57	
			4871244 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		21.57	
			4871245 - ADDITIONAL COPIES TO SATISFY CUSTOMER DE		86.85	
			4871246 - INDIVIDUAL TITLES AS SELECTED.		43.63	
			4871247 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		155.40	
			4871248 - POPULAR DVD TITLES FOR SHORT TERM LOAN		622.80	
			4871249 - MONTHLY PROMOTIONAL JUNIOR TITLES		132.48	
			4871250 - INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS SELECTED		28.55	
			PSO505065 - PROFILED DVD TITLES		267.45	
			PSO505163 - PROFILED DVD TITLES		781.70	
EF138685	29/05/2026	JANE NIXON				75.00
			INWE26/25986 - ANIMAL REGISTRATION REFUND		75.00	
EF138709	29/05/2026	JANE WARREN				30.00
			132464 - STERILISATION REFUND		30.00	
EF138835	29/05/2026	JAPANESE TRUCK & BUS SPARES PTY LTD				772.05
			632085 - PARTS & REPAIRS		772.05	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138839	29/05/2026	JARDINE LLOYD THOMPSON PTY LTD T/AS LOCAL COMMUNITY INSURANC				588.46
			051-998276 - MARCH 2026/27 RESIDENTS AND RATEPAYERS A		588.46	
EF138295	15/05/2026	JARNA NASSO				140.00
			1048399_04/05/26 - REFUND - SUMMER 2025/25 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138448	15/05/2026	JASON SIGNMAKERS				5,489.00
			55094 - SIGN REPLACEMENT		5,489.00	
EF138834	29/05/2026	JASON SIGNMAKERS				5,489.00
			56264 - PARK SIGN		5,489.00	
EF138837	29/05/2026	JASONL PTY LIMITED				4,577.49
			1097984 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY		4,577.49	
EF138691	29/05/2026	JASPER HATTINGH				20.00
			INWE26/26852 - ANIMAL REGISTRATION REFUND		20.00	
EF138450	15/05/2026	JB HI-FI GROUP PTY LTD (JB HI-FI BUSINESS)				137.76
			145687 - DELL WH3024 PRO WIRED HEADSET		137.76	
EF138449	15/05/2026	JB HI-FI JOONDALUP				54.00
			304963040-98 - LOGITECH M350S PEBBLE 2 WIRELESS MOUSE		54.00	
EF138456	15/05/2026	JCB AVOCADOS PTY LTD (RHYTHM EARTHWORKS)				45,590.33
			INV-0097 - LANDSCAPE - SANTIAGO PARK		45,590.33	
EF138454	15/05/2026	JEM TRAINING PTY LTD				8,032.64
			12 - RESILIENCE TRAINING FACILITATION FEE		4,016.32	
			13 - DE-ESCALATION TRAINING FACILITATION FEE		4,016.32	
EF138717	29/05/2026	JENNIFER WESTON				40.00
			INWE26/28977 - REFUND PARKING FEE		40.00	
EF138713	29/05/2026	JESSICA PARIN				140.00
			WINTER 26 - WINTER 26 AGF		140.00	
EF138452	15/05/2026	JKB PLUMBING & GAS PTY LTD				41,431.34
			3322 - WARWICK STADIUM	01524	435.19	
			INV-3063 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	279.69	
			INV-3087 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	768.86	
			INV-3095 - PLUMBING - MULLALOO SOUTH	01524	1,541.80	
			INV-3222 - ILUKA FORESHORE REPAIRS	01524	258.17	
			INV-3238 - PLUMBING - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01524	358.52	
			INV-3243 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	527.93	
			INV-3244 - HEATHRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE	01524	261.80	
			INV-3245 - REID PROM (CITY CENTRE) TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3246 - CALECTASIA COMMUNITY HALL	01524	236.50	
			INV-3247 - CENTRAL PARK TOILETS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3248 - ADMIAL PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3249 - HILLARYS ANIMAL BEACH	01524	93.50	
			INV-3250 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01524	213.62	
			INV-3251 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01524	93.50	
			INV-3252 - ILUKA FORESHORE TOILET	01524	140.25	
			INV-3253 - WHITFORDS LIBRARY	01524	93.50	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-3254 - KINGSLEY CLUBROOMS ANNEXE	01524	213.62	
			INV-3255 - HILLARYS NORTH TOILETS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3256 - HEATHRIDGE CHILD HEALTH	01524	415.57	
			INV-3257 - SORRENTO SOUTH TOILETS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3258 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	229.00	
			INV-3259 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	280.49	
			INV-3260 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	603.85	
			INV-3261 - WORK OPERATION CENTRE	01524	244.05	
			INV-3262 - JAMES COOK PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3263 - KOOLYANGA PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3264 - PLUMBING - WARWICK COMMUNITY CARE CENTRE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3265 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	823.44	
			INV-3268 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	1,696.61	
			INV-3269 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	392.70	
			INV-3270 - PLUMBING - THE SPIERS CENTRE	01524	1,329.06	
			INV-3272 - PLUMBING - ILUKA FORESHORE	01524	275.00	
			INV-3274 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	220.00	
			INV-3275 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	1,440.97	
			INV-3277 - PLUMBING - MOOLANDA PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3303 - PLUMBING - WARWICK BOWLING CLUB	01524	770.76	
			INV-3304 - PLUMBING - GREENWOOD TENNIS CLUB	01524	294.80	
			INV-3305 - PLUMBING - CURRAMBINE COMMUNITY CENTRE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3306 - CLC CARTRIDGE	01524	260.37	
			INV-3307 - CLC CARTRIDGE	01524	331.47	
			INV-3309 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLION	01524	93.50	
			INV-3310 - PLUMBING - HARBOUR VIEW TOILETS	01524	653.92	
			INV-3311 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3312 - MULLALOO SURF CLUB REPAIRS	01524	495.04	
			INV-3313 - CRAIGIE LEISURE TOILET REPAIRS	01524	560.42	
			INV-3314 - PLUMBING - PERCY DOYLE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3315 - PLUMBING - SEACREST PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3316 - CAMBERWARRA PARK TOILETS	01524	403.54	
			INV-3317 - MARMION BEACH TOILET REPAIRS	01524	172.90	
			INV-3318 - JOONDALUP ART GALLERY REPAIRS	01524	300.15	
			INV-3321 - FLINDERS PARK REPAIRS	01524	772.53	
			INV-3323 - WOODVALE LIBRARY	01524	1,364.11	
			INV-3325 - PERCY DOYLE	01524	224.75	
			INV-3326 - SEACREST PARK REPAIRS	01524	949.65	
			INV-3327 - BEAUMARIS COMMUNITY HALL	01524	209.78	
			INV-3328 - TOM SIMPSON PARK	01524	196.35	
			INV-3329 - PLUMBING TRADESMAN - NORMAL WORKING HOUR	01524	163.30	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-3330 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	599.36	
			INV-3331 - PLUMBING - WINDERMERE PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	290.66	
			INV-3332 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB REPAIRS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3333 - MOOLANDA PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01524	172.90	
			INV-3334 - PLUMBING - BRIDGEWATER PARK TOILETS	01524	353.31	
			INV-3335 - PLUMBING - WHITFORDS NODES TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3336 - PLUMBING - LEXCEN PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3337 - PLUMBING - CENTRAL PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3338 - PLUMBING - HEATHRIDGE PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3339 - PLUMBING - HILLARYS ANIMAL BEACH TOILETS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3340 - PLUMBING - SORRENTO SOUTH TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3341 - PLUMBING - SORRENTO SOCCER/FOOTBALL CLUB	01524	93.50	
			INV-3343 - PENISTONE PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	275.00	
			INV-3344 - HILLARYS COMMUNITY CENTRE	01524	106.15	
			INV-3346 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	160.37	
			INV-3348 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	777.63	
			INV-3350 - MULLALOO NORTH TOILETS	01524	574.01	
			INV-3351 - WHITFORDS NODES TOILETS	01524	275.00	
			INV-3352 - CHICHESTER PARK, GIBSON PARK, CENTRAL PK	01524	327.25	
			INV-3353 - OCEAN REEF PARK	01524	220.00	
			INV-3354 - JAMES COOK PARK	01524	507.40	
			INV-3355 - KORELLA PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3356 - BELDON PARK TOILETS	01524	757.08	
			INV-3357 - REID PROMENADE (CITY CENTRE)	01524	93.50	
			INV-3358 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	130.90	
			INV-3359 - PINNAROO POINT	01524	214.47	
			INV-3360 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	300.15	
			INV-3361 - SORRENTO SURF LIFESAVING CLUB	01524	140.25	
			INV-3362 - KINGSLEY MEMORIAL CLUBROOM	01524	93.50	
			INV-3363 - PENISTONE PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	505.48	
			INV-3364 - PLUMBING - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01524	93.50	
			INV-3365 - HEATHRIDGE PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3366 - CLC - SHOWER	01524	381.80	
			INV-3367 - CLC WATER FOUNTAIN	01524	343.29	
			INV-3368 - ADMIRAL PARK COMMUNITY CENTRE	01524	209.84	
			INV-3369 - MAWSON PARK TOILETS	01524	275.00	
			INV-3370 - SORRENTO SOUTH TOILETS	01524	220.00	
			INV-3371 - PLUMBING - ROBIN PARK	01524	263.04	
			INV-3372 - MULLALOO SOUTH TOILETS	01524	130.90	
			INV-3373 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	130.90	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-3374 - KEY WEST TOILETS	01524	641.12	
			INV-3375 - DUNCRAIG COMMUNITY HALL	01524	216.47	
			INV-3376 - SORRENTO NORTH TOILETS	01524	196.35	
			INV-3382 - SORRENTO BOWLING CLUB	01524	7,385.98	
EF138836	29/05/2026	JKB PLUMBING & GAS PTY LTD				52,475.33
			3422 - WOODVALE LIBRARY	01524	155.14	
			3423 - SORRENTO SURF LIFESAVING CLUB	01524	140.25	
			3426 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLION	01524	93.50	
			INV-3237 - PLUMBING - LEXCEN PARK TOILETS	01524	1,350.26	
			INV-3345 - OTAGO PARK CRAIGIE EMERALDPARK	01524	1,832.23	
			INV-3347 - EMERALD PARK CLUBROOMS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3349 - PLUMBING - REID PROM TOILETS (CBD)	01524	358.88	
			INV-3380 - CLC - PROGRESS PAYMENT	01524	38,500.00	
			INV-3383 - PLUMBING - SORRENTO BOWLING CLUB	01524	2,652.57	
			INV-3385 - CENTRAL PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3386 - PLUMBING - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	361.46	
			INV-3387 - TIMBERLANE PARK HALL REPAIRS	01524	152.90	
			INV-3388 - WORKS DEPOT TOILET REPAIRS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3389 - HILLARYS PARK TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3390 - JAMES COOK PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01524	196.35	
			INV-3391 - OCEAN REEF RD TOILET REPAIRS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3392 - PLUMBING TRADESMAN - NORMAL WORKING HOUR	01524	289.30	
			INV-3393 - PLUMBING - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01524	256.11	
			INV-3394 - KORELLA PARK TOILET REPAIRS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3395 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY REPAIRS	01524	355.05	
			INV-3397 - CRAIGIE LEISURE SHOWER HEAD	01524	205.13	
			INV-3398 - KEY WEST TOILETS	01524	187.00	
			INV-3399 - PLUMBING - CENTRAL PARK TOILETS	01524	130.90	
			INV-3400 - FALKLANDS PARK TOILETS	01524	130.90	
			INV-3401 - BRAMSTON PARK COMMUNITY CENTRE	01524	196.35	
			INV-3402 - PLUMBING - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	01524	338.14	
			INV-3403 - PLUMBING - BRIDGEWATER PARK TOILETS	01524	450.35	
			INV-3405 - SORRENTO NORTH TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3406 - PLUMBING- WOODVALE LIBRARY	01524	93.50	
			INV-3407 - PLUMBING TRADESMAN - NORMAL WORKING HOUR	01524	411.72	
			INV-3408 - WHITFORDS NODES TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3409 - PINNAROO POINT TOILETS	01524	93.50	
			INV-3410 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	188.40	
			INV-3411 - KINGSLEY MEMORIAL CLUBROOMS	01524	140.25	
			INV-3412 - CURRAMBINE COMMUNITY HALL	01524	163.09	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-3413 - KINGSLEY MEMORIAL CLUBROOMS	01524	303.34	
			INV-3414 - CENTRAL PARK TOILETS-	01524	93.50	
			INV-3415 - WHITFORDS SENIOR CITIZENS CENTRE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3416 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	140.25	
			INV-3417 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	01524	211.42	
			INV-3418 - WHITFORDS LIBRARY	01524	93.50	
			INV-3419 - MOOLANDA PARK TOILETS	01524	172.90	
			INV-3420 - WARWICK COMMUNITY CARE CENTRE	01524	538.31	
			INV-3421 - PRINCE REGENT PARK	01524	130.90	
			INV-3424 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	01524	93.50	
			INV-3427 - HILLARYS PARK TOILETS	01524	152.48	
			INV-3428 - WOC FEMALE TOILET	01524	93.50	
			INV-3429 - REID PROMENADE	01524	93.50	
			INV-3430 - MARMION BEACH TOILETS	01524	93.50	
EF138331	15/05/2026	JM & EM MUHLING				522.43
			189772 - RATES REFUND PN 189772		522.43	
EF138535	15/05/2026	JOANNA HELEN SULKOWSKI				2,404.50
			117 - CONTRACT FEE FOR PUBLIC ART PROJECTS		2,404.50	
EF138908	29/05/2026	JOANNA HELEN SULKOWSKI				2,404.50
			119 - PUBLIC ART PROGRAM CONTRACT FEE		2,404.50	
EF138650	29/05/2026	JOHN CHESTER				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138614	15/05/2026	JOHN MCARDLE				190.00
			PM00020_01/05/26 - BUS DUTIES REIMBURSEMENT		190.00	
EF138969	29/05/2026	JOHN MCARDLE				159.40
			294336 - COMMUNITY DRIVER MEDICAL REIMBURSEMENT		159.40	
EF138974	29/05/2026	JOHN ROBERT RAFTIS				5,050.66
			ALLOW-DEPMAYOR-MAY 2026 - DEPUTY MAYOR ALLOWANCE - MAY 2026		2,094.00	
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138285	15/05/2026	JONATHAN RUIZ				150.00
			22/09/20 - REFUND FOR ARTWORK ALREADY SOLD AT CAE ART EXHIBITION 2020		150.00	
EF138609	15/05/2026	JOONDALUP BUSINESS ASSOCIATION INC				27,500.00
			INV-6512 - COJ MOU IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT		27,500.00	
EF138277	15/05/2026	JOONDALUP LITTLE ATHLETICS CENTRE				7,101.68
			1033015_05/05/26 - REFUND FOR GROUND HIRE FEES		7,101.68	
EF138512	15/05/2026	JORDAN JOSEPH PAOLILLO				131.90
			INV0058 - BUS SHELTER MURAL REDESIGN FEE		131.90	
EF138711	29/05/2026	JOSEPH HAYES				493.08
			676124 - MEMBERSHIP CANCELLED		493.08	
EF138453	15/05/2026	JOSEPH PAUL LANDRO (JOES PRINTING)				180.00
			260032 - ARTWORK LABLES		180.00	
EF138715	29/05/2026	KA MAN MASCHMEYER				30.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			1049446_25/05/26 - REFUND ZOO OUTING SCH HOL PROG		30.00	
EF138790	29/05/2026	KAREN ELIZABETH DENNETT				8,442.50
			INV-0047 - 2026 INNOVATE JOONDALUP -BUSINESS SUPPOR		8,442.50	
EF138308	15/05/2026	KAREN HOPE				790.63
			160681 - REFUND PN 160681		790.63	
EF138328	15/05/2026	KARIN CUNNINGHAM				210.00
			1049396_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138289	15/05/2026	KATIE MURRAY				210.00
			1045912_21/04/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138321	15/05/2026	KELLY BURTON				61.65
			BPU26/0068 - BUILDING SERVICES LEVY REFUND		61.65	
EF138457	15/05/2026	KENNARDS HIRE				2,143.20
			28681707 - TOILET HIRE FOR ANZA DAY DAWN SERVICE		2,143.20	
EF138670	29/05/2026	KERRY MORGAN				210.00
			1048456_12/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138693	29/05/2026	KEVIN & JANE SCHOFIELD				1,017.92
			105425 - RATES REFUND PN 105425		1,017.92	
EF138747	29/05/2026	KEVIN BARRY HUTCHINSON (BTS - BASSENDEAN TECHNICAL SERVICE)				65.00
			39527 - CHANGING GUILLOTINE BLADE		65.00	
EF138612	15/05/2026	KINROSS SUPA IGA				219.90
			04/3758 - CATERING FOR COMMUNITIES TRAINING		38.71	
			05.05.26 - TRAINING EVENT		73.17	
			295110 - CATERING FOR BUS SHELTER MURAL PROGRAM		108.02	
EF138966	29/05/2026	KINROSS SUPA IGA				52.67
			01/3171 - CONSUMABLES - NON GST		18.19	
			03/9517 - CONSUMABLES - GST		34.48	
EF138611	15/05/2026	KLEENIT PTY LTD				19,269.25
			186271 - GEORGE SEARS PARK	02922	1,100.00	
			186276 - FORTNIGHTLY PRESSURE CLEANING DUNCRAIG	02922	1,188.00	
			186277 - HIGH PRESSURE CLEANING OF CENTRAL PARK		2,860.00	
			186995 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		1,925.00	
			186996 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		902.00	
			187115 - GRAFFITI REMOVAL	02922	1,342.86	
			187266 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	02922	3,120.83	
			187344 - WARWICK TENNIS CLUB	02922	1,980.00	
			INV - 187098 - ANZAC DAY PRESSURE CLEANING	02922	4,850.56	
EF138965	29/05/2026	KLEENIT PTY LTD				4,027.27
			187507 - GRAFFITI REMOVAL - CHEMICAL / HIGH PRESS	02922	1,114.05	
			187545 - GRAFFITI PAINT OUT - WATERBASED PAINT -	02922	881.49	
			187682 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	02922	1,624.18	
			187721 - BURNS BEACH PARK		147.51	
			187722 - MCKIRDY PARK		260.04	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138287	15/05/2026	KMART AUSTRALIA LTD				103.00
			35247 - EQUIPMENT AND STORAGE		103.00	
EF138627	19/05/2026	KOMPAN PLAYSCAPE PTY LTD				43,936.20
			SI229679 - PLAY EQUIPMENT		43,936.20	
EF138684	29/05/2026	KW & DR WONG				943.64
			172022 - RATES REFUND		943.64	
EF138659	29/05/2026	KYLIE SORGIOVANNI				140.00
			1044715_12/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138458	15/05/2026	KYOCERA DOCUMENT SOLUTIONS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				4,611.02
			91432139 - RVQ3Y09238 COJ - WORKS OPERATION CNT		41.40	
			91432278 - DIMS PA COPIER CHARGES		185.03	
			91433298 - RVG2200606 INFRASTRUCTURE, 1ST FLOOR		211.60	
			91447592 - TONER KIT		236.56	
			91451033 - HEATHRIDGE LC RCC2301923		112.84	
			91451141 - RATES OFFICE COPIER CHARGES		23.23	
			91451143 - RATES OFFICE COPIER CHARGES		59.19	
			91451210 - WORKS DEPOT H7S4601283		65.49	
			91451515 - DGS DIRECTOR COPIER CHARGES		193.79	
			91452010 - H7S5302436 WHITFORDS LIBRARY		155.31	
			91452034 - WORKS DEPOT COPIER CHARGES		43.07	
			91452106 - RBT1502528 /RVQ1X02492 JOONDALUP LIBRARY		201.64	
			91452107 - RBT1502477 /RVQ2Y06641 DUNCRAIG LIBRARY		139.95	
			91452121 - WORKS DEPOT COPIER CHARGES		47.95	
			91452250 - GOVERNANCE COPIER CHARGES		194.88	
			91452518 - RVQ1X02365 LIBRARY ADMIN		76.47	
			91452922 - H8C3600007 / RVQ2Y06647 WOODVALE LIBRARY		154.16	
			91452924 - RVQ2Y06665 CUSTOMER CARE		35.49	
			91453166 - YOUTH SERVICES COPIER CHARGES		140.83	
			91453721 - WORKS DEPOT COPIER CHARGES		401.18	
			91454155 - ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH RVQ2806462		118.72	
			91454287 - VCZ1521856 /RVQ2705557 JOONDALUP LIBRARY		66.57	
			91454389 - H8C5300933 DUNCRAIG LIBRARY		113.34	
			91454705 - HR DEPT COPIER CHARGES		120.76	
			91454807 - STRATEGIC ORG RVQ2805751		68.16	
			91454882 - COMPLIANCE 1AW5904746		27.95	
			91455091 - CRAIGIE LEISURE H7S3Z00758		11.43	
			91455102 - CRAIGIE LEISURE H7S5803190		16.23	
			91455287 - FINANCE RVQ2X06535		31.65	
			91455295 - RVQ2Y06640 CONTRACTS DEPART		11.13	
			91455299 - ECONOMIC DEVE RVQ2Y06638		56.47	
			91455507 - RCC2802267 WASTE		11.86	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			91455514 - RCC2902365 COUNCIL SUPPORT		225.37	
			91455516 - MAYOR'S OFFICE RCC290237		28.19	
			91455517 - BUILDING ADMIN RCC2X02383		19.59	
			91455519 - HR ADMIN COPIER CHARGES		12.05	
			91455521 - CRAIGIE LEISURE RCC2902370		64.59	
			91455522 - RCC2X02386 RANGERS		17.64	
			91455524 - IT DEPT COPIER CHARGES		36.31	
			91455827 - RVQ2705554 RANGERS		146.26	
			91455842 - MAYOR'S OFFICE RVQ2705579		16.70	
			91456204 - LEISURE COPIER CHARGES		56.09	
			91456205 - PLANNING & APPROVALS RVQ4911546		73.03	
			91456506 - REFERENCE PHOTOCOPIER		108.76	
			91457134 - 1FW5801469 CUSTOMER SERVICE		28.40	
			91457194 - RCC2802196 CEO PA'S OFFICE		63.57	
			91457307 - RVQ3Y09238 WOC		14.75	
			91457351 - CRAIGIE LEISURE RVQ4811483		123.75	
			91457639 - RBT2X04212 CEOMFP		12.40	
			91457641 - CRAIGIE LEISURE RBT2X04226		15.30	
			91457744 - MARKETING COPIER CHARGES		195.45	
			91459200 - TK-5374Y YELLOW TONER		215.05	
			91459201 - CREDIT INVOICE 91447592		-236.56	
EF138701	29/05/2026	L & SL LEUZZI CONTRACTORS				296.06
			184389 - RATES REFUND		296.06	
EF138568	15/05/2026	L & T VENABLES				78.37
			10136076 - PARTS ONLY		78.37	
EF138842	29/05/2026	LACTALIS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				169.08
			243587537 - MILK FOR THE WOC		84.54	
			243637583 - MILK FOR THE WOC		84.54	
EF138677	29/05/2026	LAINIE BECCEGATO				30.00
			INWE26/22442 - ANIMAL REGISTRATION REFUND		30.00	
EF138459	15/05/2026	LANDGATE MIDLAND				2,579.79
			77772643 - GRV INTERIM VALUATION - METRO		2,579.79	
EF138838	29/05/2026	LANDGATE MIDLAND				4,339.67
			1582826 - APRIL 2026 TRANSACTIONS		195.60	
			77929479 - VG SCHEDULE G2026/7&8		4,144.07	
EF138602	15/05/2026	LANDMARK ENGINEERING & DESIGN PTY LTD T/AS EXTERIA				23,856.80
			SI2001281 - HILLARY PARK		405.90	
			SI2001304 - NORFOLK BIN SURROUND BN250		23,450.90	
EF138298	15/05/2026	LARISSA CUNNINGHAM				280.00
			1049352_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		280.00	
EF138469	15/05/2026	LARSON-JUHL PTY LTD				197.43
			WEB-S037160 - GALLERY CONSUMABLES		197.43	
EF138461	15/05/2026	LAUNDRY EXPRESS				393.34
			26506 - SUPPLY AND LINEN LAUNDERING		393.34	
EF138307	15/05/2026	LAUREN TIA				280.00
			1049365_21/04/26 - SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		280.00	
EF138666	29/05/2026	LAURIE O'SULLIVAN				280.00
			SUMMER 25/26 - SUMMER 25/26 JUNIOR SOCCER		280.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138506	15/05/2026	LEONIE HELEN THOMPSON (THE POSTER GIRLS)				401.50
			17829 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB POSTER DELIVERY		214.50	
			17837 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB POSTERS		187.00	
EF138613	15/05/2026	LES MILLS AUSTRALIA				1,498.12
			LMB1303983 - LES MILLS LICENCE		1,498.12	
EF138663	29/05/2026	LEWIS HUTTON				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138968	29/05/2026	LGISWA				1,000.00
			MO0089479 - MOTOR VEHICLE EXCESS 123COJ		1,000.00	
EF138841	29/05/2026	LIBERTY EXECUTIVE OFFICES (JOONDALUP) PTY LTD				412.50
			LEO7/15666 - HELLO MONDAY- LEVEL UP PROGRAM		412.50	
113646	7/05/2026	LIBRARY ADMIN PETTY CASH				499.65
			PETTY CASH W/E 01/05/26 - PETTY CASH REIMBURSEMENT - 01/05/26		499.65	
EF138317	15/05/2026	LIHUI TAN				50.00
			INWE26/22119 - ANIMAL REGISTRATION REFUND		50.00	
EF138695	29/05/2026	LINDSAY EDWARDS				445.00
			3387 - 5 X COLES GIFT CARDS		275.00	
			3887 - FLOWERS RETIRED EMP		170.00	
EF138795	29/05/2026	LISA KATHERINE DRIEHUIS (CHAIR DANCING PERTH)				140.00
			INV00000127 - EVENT - CHAIR DANCING WORKSHOP		140.00	
EF138304	15/05/2026	LISA STRICKLAND				140.00
			1049362_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138967	29/05/2026	LIWA AQUATICS				150.00
			INV-5011 - 1 X YEARLY MEMBERSHIP		150.00	
EF138539	15/05/2026	LIZO PTY LTD				3,685.55
			87012 #4 - PARTS		287.00	
			87040 #7 - PARTS		366.95	
			87076 #4 - PARTS		140.00	
			87077 #4 - PURCHASE OF MINOR PLANT		1,558.85	
			87079 #4 - PARTS		480.05	
			87110 #4 - WAREHOUSE STOCK ORDER		631.60	
			87124 #4 - REACTIVE MATERIALS - TREE MAINTENANCE		221.10	
EF138911	29/05/2026	LIZO PTY LTD				984.55
			87165#4 - PARTS		577.40	
			87182#4 - BG 86-Z BLOWER		407.15	
EF138460	15/05/2026	LLOYD GEORGE ACOUSTICS PTY LTD				5,434.00
			12576 - ACOUSTIC ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS		5,434.00	
EF138610	15/05/2026	LNLC PTY LTD T/AS KELYN TRAINING SERVICES				6,500.00
			35394 - BWTM & TC TRAINING FOR 12 EMPLOYEES		6,500.00	
EF138656	29/05/2026	LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROFESSIONALS AUSTRALIA WA				585.00
			47846 - INTRODUCTION TO GOVERNANCE 29/5/2026		585.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138840	29/05/2026	LOCHNESS UNIT TRUST T/AS LOCH NESS LANDSCAPE SERVICES				5,973.00
			91241 - MOWING WATERED PARKS		5,973.00	
EF138312	15/05/2026	LORRAINE THOMAS				353.22
			1095557 - COOLING OFF PERIOD, TRANSFER 33.33%		353.22	
EF138937	29/05/2026	LOTHIAN TRUST (IRON TECH INDUSTRIES)				11,257.48
			7231 - TO REPAIR BARRIER FENCE FROM NALPA PARK	03122	1,747.43	
			7233 - STOCK	03122	2,007.72	
			7238 - TENNIS COURT GATE	03122	860.24	
			7240 - 2X SECTIONS OF RHS TUBING	03122	1,289.83	
			7241 - REPAIR STEEL MANHOLE COVER BASE	03122	674.60	
			7242 - LEEWAY CHASE HILLARYS	03122	3,625.05	
			7243 - ON SITE REPAIRS TO FENCING	03122	1,052.61	
EF138696	29/05/2026	LOUISE & PETER GIGLIA-SMITH				2,813.77
			120080 - RATES REFUND PN 120080		2,813.77	
EF138286	15/05/2026	LUKE VU				140.00
			1044733_04/05/26 - REFUND - SUMMER 2025/25 CREDIT		140.00	
EF138338	15/05/2026	LUMINARE PTY LTD T/AS ART INSTALL				2,712.00
			INV-1588 - INSTALLATION OF ARTWORK RAILS		325.00	
			INV-1589 - ART EXHIBITION		847.00	
			INV-1590 - INSTALLATION OF ARTITEQ CLICK RAILS - HR		880.00	
			INV-1592 - ART COLLECTION MOVEMENTS		660.00	
EF138462	15/05/2026	LUX EVENTS PTY LTD				8,558.00
			LE260412 - SCREENS FOR ANZAC DAWN SERVICE		8,558.00	
EF138855	29/05/2026	M D AND D M WATER BORING CONTRACTORS				13,184.60
			383 - LEXCEN PARK OCEAN REEF	02021	13,184.60	
EF138698	29/05/2026	M J KEENAN				959.68
			145156 - REBATE REFUND		959.68	
EF138514	15/05/2026	M P ROGERS & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD				7,364.47
			26333 - MULLALOO SEAWALL MAINTENANCE CONTRACT	VP400507	2,829.57	
			26430 - JOONDALUP COASTAL MONITORING	VP400507	1,011.94	
			26431 - SORRENTO SEAWALL	VP400507	835.74	
			26432 - SORRENTO BEACH GROYNES	VP400507	2,687.22	
EF138472	15/05/2026	MAIN ROADS WESTERN AUSTRALIA				5,009.40
			605033 - GOVERNMENT BLACK SPOT PROJECT SBS2091		5,009.40	
EF138848	29/05/2026	MAIN ROADS WESTERN AUSTRALIA				7,975.24
			8024417 - CONSULTANCY - EXT CONT		7,975.24	
EF138471	15/05/2026	MAJOR MOTORS				1,633.94
			1897534 - PARTS ONLY		1,283.82	
			1903958 - PARTS ONLY		350.12	
EF138847	29/05/2026	MAJOR MOTORS				567.20
			1913783 - LICENSING COSTS (INSURANCE)		567.20	
EF138571	15/05/2026	MAMMOTH SECURITY PTY LTD (SIGNATURE SECURITY GROUP				1,289.97
			P295297 - SOFIHUB BEACON MONTHLY X 25		1,191.98	
			V00416582 - 4/7 BACK TO BASE MONITORING COJ LIBRARY		97.99	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138935	29/05/2026	MAMMOTH SECURITY PTY LTD (SIGNATURE SECURITY GROUP				33.00
			V00416649 - LICENSE FOR YOUTH TRUCK DURESS DEVICE		33.00	
EF138785	29/05/2026	MARGARET DOROTHY DAVIES				900.00
			26052704 - EARTHCYCLING WORKSHOP 9.5.26		900.00	
EF138679	29/05/2026	MARIE A ISAACSON				891.19
			185024 - RATES REFUND		891.19	
EF138852	29/05/2026	MARINDUST SALES & ACE FLAGPOLES				3,621.20
			26246 - 4 X NEW AFL GOAL POSTS		3,621.20	
EF138667	29/05/2026	MARK & REBECCA MELLOR				589.21
			120668 24/04/26 - RATES REFUND PN 120668		589.21	
EF138306	15/05/2026	MARK NICHOLSON				175.00
			1049364_24/04/26 - REFUND BINS		175.00	
EF138479	15/05/2026	MARK ONE VISUAL PROMOTIONS PTY LTD				3,130.60
			220517 - LIBRARY LAWN LIGHTS – RESTRING AND		3,130.60	
EF138481	15/05/2026	MARKETLIFE PTY LTD				28,113.22
			INV-0368 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		28,113.22	
EF138478	15/05/2026	MASTERS SWIMMING WESTERN AUSTRALIA INC				1,650.00
			INV-0239 - JOONDALUP MULLALOO MILE - FINAL		1,650.00	
EF138671	29/05/2026	MATTHEW COUNT				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138474	15/05/2026	MAX & CLAIRE PTY LTD				831.75
			SI-00095044 - SIT/STAND DESK		663.25	
			SI-00095102 - ERGONOMIC COMPACT WIRELESS KEYBOARD		168.50	
EF138480	15/05/2026	MCLEODS LAWYERS PTY LTD				17,231.83
			151231 - LEGAL FEES		1,441.55	
			151285 - LEGAL FEES		1,356.52	
			151286 - LEGAL FEES		1,398.10	
			151318 - DOG ATTACK PROSECUTION		1,207.25	
			151332 - DOG ATTACK PROSECUTIONS		3,962.09	
			151345 - LEGAL FEES		846.34	
			151346 - DOG ACT PROSECUTION		823.68	
			151371 - LEGAL FEES		1,421.64	
			151445 - LEGAL FEES		1,039.72	
			151463 - LEGAL FEES		672.54	
			151587 - SAT MATTER DR 3 OF 2025:		3,062.40	
EF138860	29/05/2026	MCLEODS LAWYERS PTY LTD				1,726.78
			151319 - LEGAL FEES		498.74	
			151364 - LEGAL FEES		1,228.04	
EF138400	15/05/2026	MD JOONDALUP HOLDINGS PTY LTD				6,380.00
			843909 - ACCOMMODATION FOR BOWIE AND COMMON		6,380.00	
EF138702	29/05/2026	ME & RL JARVIS				1,500.00
			175940 - RATES REFUND		1,500.00	
EF138483	15/05/2026	MEDICAL EDGE AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				2,485.59
			INV-20063 - FIRST AID SERVICES- ANZAC DAY SERVICE		2,485.59	
EF138850	29/05/2026	METER AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED (METER OFFICE PRODUCTS)				226.55

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			87946 - LAMINATING ROLLS FOR THE PRINT ROOM		226.55	
EF138880	29/05/2026	MICHAEL JAMES PELUSEY (PELUSEY PHOTOGRAPHY)				500.00
			103 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND PHOTO PRESENTER		500.00	
EF138276	15/05/2026	MICHAEL PAGE INTERNATIONAL				3,351.57
			636938 - COVER FOR PERMANENT STAFF ON AL		3,351.57	
EF138687	29/05/2026	MILLIE AITCHISON				1,260.00
			1049410_12/05/26 - REFUND TEAM WITHDRAW 2026		1,260.00	
EF138473	15/05/2026	MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL				541,937.53
			SINV-052332 - LITTER TIPPING 10-16 APRIL 2026		2,345.68	
			SINV-052352 - DOMESTIC TIPPING 10-16 APRIL 2026		125,613.18	
			SINV-052365 - LITTER TIPPING 17-24 APR 2026		2,933.67	
			SINV-052387 - DOMESTIC TIPPING 17-23 APRIL 2026		133,392.16	
			SINV-052388 - GENERAL WASTE		2,190.32	
			SINV-052395 - GREEN WASTE DISPOSAL		13,104.07	
			SINV-052403 - LITTER TIPPING		1,986.94	
			SINV-052425 - DOMESTIC TIPPING		127,567.33	
			SINV-052432 - LITTER TIPPING 1 - 7 MAY 2026		3,065.34	
			SINV-052454 - DOMESTIC TIPPING		129,738.84	
EF138849	29/05/2026	MINDARIE REGIONAL COUNCIL				332,418.75
			SCR-04233 - INV SINV-052502		-301.00	
			SINV-052455 - GENERAL WASTE		43.20	
			SINV-052457 - REPLACEMENTS CARDS		420.00	
			SINV-052466 - LITTER TIPPING		2,723.97	
			SINV-052486 - DOMESTIC TIPPING		123,192.96	
			SINV-052489 - MRC GOVERNANCE AND ADMIN FEES		73,029.83	
			SINV-052502 - LITTER TIPPING		2,654.34	
			SINV-052526 - GENERAL WASTE TIPPING 15-21 MAY 2026		129,318.75	
			SINV-052527` - GENERAL WASTE		1,212.90	
			SINV-052528 - TIPPING SPECIAL LOAD		123.80	
EF138305	15/05/2026	MINKA DIMITROVA				100.00
			INWE26/22156 - ANIMAL REFUND - STERILISATION		100.00	
EF138859	29/05/2026	MMM (WA) PTY LTD				59,120.51
			104307 - ABSOLON WAY DRAINAGE		59,120.51	
EF138858	29/05/2026	MMTD WA PTY LTD (UNIFY DIGITAL)				2,750.00
			INV-2833 - COJ EXPLORE UPTOWN AUTUMN CAMPAIGN		2,750.00	
EF138326	15/05/2026	NANDA KOESTOER				210.00
			1049394_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138477	15/05/2026	NATALIE DALE VALLANCE (MUCHEA FARM)	TREE			348.70
			INV-2462 - NATIVE SEEDLINGS		348.70	
EF138690	29/05/2026	NATALIE PANEVSKI				280.00
			1049413_12/05/26 - SUMMER SOCCER REFUND		280.00	
EF138489	15/05/2026	NATASHA CHATFIELD (NATASHA MOTT)				1,550.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-0002 - CAE IMAGE LICENSE		1,550.00	
EF138293	15/05/2026	NATHAN MARTIN				278.00
			SUMMER 25 CREDIT - JUNIOR SOCCER		278.00	
EF138491	15/05/2026	NATIONAL TYRE & WHEEL PTY LTD				1,631.41
			I8000036882 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	400.18	
			I8000038278 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	237.27	
			I8000038280 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	485.74	
			I8000039951 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	508.22	
EF138869	29/05/2026	NATIONAL TYRE & WHEEL PTY LTD				933.03
			I8000038279 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	593.07	
			I8000040771 - SUPPLY OF TYRES	VP428272	339.96	
EF138486	15/05/2026	NATURAL AREA HOLDINGS PTY LTD (NATURAL AREA MANAGEMENT				6,138.00
			28577 - FIREBREAK MAINTENANCE WORKS - ALL WORKS	01225	6,138.00	
EF138864	29/05/2026	NATURAL AREA HOLDINGS PTY LTD (NATURAL AREA MANAGEMENT				15,572.10
			28642 - HILLARYS FORESHORE RESERVE	01225	6,336.00	
			28643 - HEPBURN CONSERVATION AREA	01225	6,336.00	
			28699 - SCHEDULE MATERIALS - BUSH REGENERATION		2,181.80	
			28700 - 120X ADDITIONAL NATIVE PLANT		491.70	
			28704 - BUSH REGENERATION		226.60	
EF138490	15/05/2026	NAVMAN WIRELESS AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED				10,925.75
			93543267 - 12 MONTH LEASE OF FLEET GPS		10,925.75	
EF138890	29/05/2026	NEWHAVEN FAMILY INVESTMENTS PTY LTD & THE TRUSTEE FOR				26,756.11
			INV000325 - STRUCTURES - PINNAROO POINT BEACH PARK		26,756.11	
EF138700	29/05/2026	NICOLA O'CONNOR				500.00
			1049423_20/05/26 - EX-GRATIA PAYMENT FOR DAMAGED VEHICLE		500.00	
EF138868	29/05/2026	NIGHTLIFE MUSIC PTY LTD				407.00
			868755 - 12 MONTHS MUSIC SUBSCRIPTION		407.00	
EF138867	29/05/2026	NOMA PTY LTD (NOMA*)				600.00
			MN00178_30/04/26 - JOONDALUP DESIGN REVIEW PANEL 22/04/26		600.00	
EF138484	15/05/2026	NORTHERN DISTRICTS PEST CONTROL				1,562.00
			10747 - PENISTONE PARK CLUBROOMS	VP387742	748.00	
			10759 - GENERAL PEST SERVICE	VP387742	814.00	
EF138863	29/05/2026	NORTHERN DISTRICTS PEST CONTROL				187.00
			10813 - JUNIPER PARK & TOILETS	VP387742	187.00	
EF138485	15/05/2026	NORTHSIDE NISSAN				992.00
			NICJ441003 - 15,000KM/6MTHS YEAR 2024 TOYOTA COROLLA	01123	254.00	
			NIFJ440950 - 45,000KM YEAR 2023/24 HYUNDAI STARIA VAN	01123	738.00	
EF138463	15/05/2026	NUTRIEN AG SOLUTIONS LIMITED				3,084.84
			914395622 - WAREHOUSE STOCK	VP472594	1,221.00	
			9144096519 - SUPPLIES		1,452.00	
			914436358 - WAREHOUSE STOCK - BOW & ARROW HERBICIDE	VP472594	411.84	
EF138497	15/05/2026	OCLC (UK) LIMITED				4,219.21
			7000009851 - DIGITAL TITLES AS SELECTED		2,595.72	
			7000009866 - DIGITAL TITLES AS SELECTED		1,623.49	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138493	15/05/2026	OFFICE LINE GROUP PTY LTD				11,849.20
			88413 - PORTABLE ROOM DIVIDER PRD2020H5P		4,710.20	
			88448 - NEW STAGE FOR JOONDALUP LIBRARY		5,252.50	
			88449 - PLAY & STORE UNIT - 900D X1000W X900H		1,324.40	
			88450 - STEP FOR EXISTING STAGE WITHOUT WHEELS		562.10	
EF138871	29/05/2026	OFFICE LINE GROUP PTY LTD				1,390.40
			88612 - NEW TABLE TROLLEYS FOR CLC		1,390.40	
EF138496	15/05/2026	OMNICOM MEDIA GROUP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				410.36
			1941740 - EOI 011/26		410.36	
EF138494	15/05/2026	ON ROAD AUTO ELECTRICS PTY LTD				240.00
			IV00000007122 - PARTS & REPAIRS		240.00	
113651	20/05/2026	OPERATION STORES PETTY CASH				547.35
			PETTY ASH W/E 15/05/26 - REIMBURSEMENT OF PETTY CASH		547.35	
EF138492	15/05/2026	OPTIMA PRESS				3,869.80
			INV327502 - FREEMAN EVENT 2026 PROGRAM		205.70	
			INV328067 - ADVICE NOTICE STICKERS - NO COMPLIANCE		2,282.50	
			INV328068 - 1000 X REPORT NOTICE STICKERS		426.80	
			INV328069 - Q348833 STALLHOLDER SIGNS X 13		313.50	
			INV328153 - 2000 X ABANDONED TROLLEY TAGS		641.30	
EF138870	29/05/2026	OPTIMA PRESS				4,317.50
			INV327715 - SNR LIFESTYLE BROCHURE		343.20	
			INV327716 - SENIORS LIFESTYLE EXPO - BOOKLETS		374.00	
			INV328533 - 2X A3 HONOURARY FREEMAN CERTIFICATES		555.50	
			INV328566 - MOORO BOODJA BROCHURES		904.20	
			INV328649 - NOTICE TO COMPLY BOOKS		2,140.60	
EF138615	15/05/2026	OPTUS BILLING SERVICES PTY LTD				13,315.34
			572622314 - OPTUS HARDWARE APRIL 2026		4,619.20	
			572624008 - MAY 2026		8,696.14	
EF138495	15/05/2026	ORIKAN AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				4,964.96
			INV1020203 - PINS - APR'26		4,964.96	
EF138872	29/05/2026	ORIKAN AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				2,840.16
			INV102015 - CREDIT CARD FEES		2,840.16	
EF138703	29/05/2026	PA & DG ROWLES				296.06
			184289 - RATES REFUND		296.06	
EF138971	29/05/2026	PARKS & LEISURE AUSTRALIA				2,915.00
			65129 - PLA MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL		2,915.00	
EF138504	15/05/2026	PATRON TECHNOLOGY PTY LTD				610.25
			EV-10260855 - EXT LIBRARY ADMIN EVENT BOOKING FEE		46.35	
			EV-10266025 - DUN LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		53.35	
			EV-1029693 - BOOKING FEES FOR 11/3/26		22.55	
			EV-10298407 - WOD LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		21.25	
			EV-10298408 - WHI LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		17.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			EV-10301048 - JOO LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		12.75	
			EV-10302142 - DUN LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		17.00	
			EV-10302144 - DUN LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		18.70	
			EV-10302631 - WOD LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		42.50	
			EV-10302650 - LIBRARY ADMIN EVENT BOOKING FEE		106.70	
			EV-10308439 - BOOKING FEES 7/4/26		41.00	
			EV-10308441 - BOOKING FEES 8/4/26		36.75	
			EV-10308442 - BOOKING FEES 9/4/26		45.00	
			EV-10308443 - BOOKING FEES 13/4/26		35.15	
			EV-10308444 - BOOKING FEES 14/4/26		22.20	
			EV-10308445 - Y.E.S AUTUMN 2026		47.25	
			EV-10308446 - Y.E.S AUTUMN 2026		24.75	
EF138883	29/05/2026	PATRON TECHNOLOGY PTY LTD				146.54
			617673 - REFUND FOR ORDER ID 205488440		35.00	
			EV-10264581 - EXT LIBRARY ADMIN EVENT BOOKING FEE		37.55	
			EV-1029693 - PAID INV EV-10296939 TWICE MISSED DIGIT		-22.55	
			EV-10302651 - EXT LIBRARY ADMIN EVENT BOOKING FEE		79.54	
			EV-10331752 - JOO LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		9.35	
			EV-10331759 - WOD LIBRARY EVENT BOOKING FEE		7.65	
EF138387	15/05/2026	PAUL SILOVS (COMSYS NETWORKS GROUP)				12,935.12
			4179 - COMMBOX (CBIA86) INTERACTIVE DISPLAY		9,594.75	
			4182 - FREIGHT CHARGE FOR DISPLAY DIRECT TO WOC		404.80	
			4186 - DELL PRO 16 N/BK DP-16-255U-1612-3Y		1,924.12	
			4190 - 5 X DELL WIRELESS HEADSETS		1,011.45	
EF138776	29/05/2026	PAUL SILOVS (COMSYS NETWORKS GROUP)				1,935.12
			4195 - DELL PRO 16 LAPTOP DPRO16-U5-512-16-CL		1,935.12	
EF138889	29/05/2026	PAYWISE PTY LTD				1,046.65
			MARCH 26 - REVERSAL GST ADJUSTMENT FOR MARCH 26		-1,123.46	
			MARCH 26A - GST ADJUSTMENT FOR MARCH 26		1,123.46	
			MP00679_04/05/26 - GST ADJUSTMENT APRIL 2026		1,046.65	
EF138509	15/05/2026	PENTLAND AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED				310.26
			830076867 - CREDIT REF INV 970777005		-18.92	
			830076925 - CREDIT REF INV 970466988		-673.20	
			970896166 - SUPPLY OF SWIMWEAR, ACTIVEWEAR AND ASSOC	00725	1,002.38	
EF138501	15/05/2026	PERTH ENERGY PTY LTD				2,990.51
			608633 04/05/26 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE - GAS CONSUMPTION		2,990.51	
EF138601	15/05/2026	PERTH REGION TOURISM ORGANISATION INC (DESTINATION PERTH)				1,100.00
			INV-11708 - COJ PAINT, SIP AND CELEBRATE MUM EDM		1,100.00	
EF138283	15/05/2026	PETER RICHARDSON				30.00
			128135 - REFUND 128185 OVERCHARGE		30.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138705	29/05/2026	PETER THOMSON				61.15
			1117252 - COOLING OFF PERIOD		61.15	
EF138451	15/05/2026	PETER WILLIAMS JEAVONS				1,540.00
			2026151 - ARTIST PERFORMANCE FEE		1,540.00	
EF138498	15/05/2026	PETER WOOD FENCING CONTRACTORS PTY LTD				9,856.88
			ICJ 015464 - SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF FENCING		338.80	
			ICJ 015464 - SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF FENCING	00422	9,518.08	
EF138874	29/05/2026	PETER WOOD FENCING CONTRACTORS PTY LTD				5,076.78
			ICJ 015480 - DAMAGED BOLLARDS ON WARRANDYTE DR	00422	673.70	
			ICJ 015481 - DUFFY HOUSE		143.00	
			ICJ 015482 - FENCING REPAIRS ON DUAL USE PATH	00422	4,260.08	
EF138502	15/05/2026	PETIA MIHAYLOVA (PETIA'S FLOWERS)				1,028.50
			J 0426 - SUPPLY OF FLOWERS AND WREATHS		1,028.50	
EF138879	29/05/2026	PETIA MIHAYLOVA (PETIA'S FLOWERS)				130.00
			J 0526 - GET WELL FLOWERS		130.00	
113647	20/05/2026	PETTY CASH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT				352.90
			PETTY CASH W/E 15/05/26 - REIMBURSEMENT OF PETTY CASH		352.90	
EF138658	29/05/2026	PHILLIP VINCIULLO				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138878	29/05/2026	PIDHADIYA FAMILY TRUST (H.B.C. NEWSPAPER DELIVERY ROUND)				601.46
			2161 - REFERENCE LIBRARY NEWSPAPERS		189.88	
			2162 - NEWSPAPER DELIVERY		411.58	
EF138887	29/05/2026	PINNACLE HEIGHT SAFETY PTY LTD (PINNACLE SAFETY AND				255.00
			P604752X - 1 X BREATHING APPARATUS COURSE		255.00	
EF138875	29/05/2026	PITNEY BOWES AUSTRALIA PTY				1,122.00
			1172361 - QUARTERLY RENTAL SN 8420514		1,122.00	
EF138888	29/05/2026	PLACE LEADERS ASIA-PACIFIC LTD				6,050.00
			INV-0241 - FY26/27 ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP		6,050.00	
EF138272	15/05/2026	PLANNING INSTITUTE AUSTRALIA Tas PLANNING INSTITUTE OF				140.00
			57845 - CREDIT INV 53087		-150.00	
			57900 - PLANET - NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER		235.00	
			57918 - WA LEGAL SERIES - LAND COMPENSATION		55.00	
EF138508	15/05/2026	PLAY CHECK PTY LTD				1,397.00
			HD887375158 - CONSULTANCY - GEORGE SEAARS PARK		605.00	
			HD888315441 - CONSULTANCY - PINNAROO POINT BEACH PARK		297.00	
			HD893927144 - CONSULTANCY - PINNAROO POINT BEACH PARK		495.00	
EF138513	15/05/2026	PLAYPRO AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				104,058.90
			INV-0509 - LANDSCAPE - HARTLEY PARK		104,058.90	
EF138884	29/05/2026	PORTWELL INVESTMENTS PTY LTD				1,455.30
			R23486 - 22 PARTITIONS + DELIVERY		1,455.30	
EF138885	29/05/2026	PREMIER ENVELOPES AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				323.54

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			14970 - DL-400 WINDOW FACE SELF SEAL ENVELOPES		323.54	
EF138511	15/05/2026	PRENSA PTY LTD				6,380.00
			53144P - REMEDIATION ACTION PLAN (RAP)		6,380.00	
EF138503	15/05/2026	PRESTIGE ALARMS & SECURITY PTY LTD				14,145.59
			S32630 - ADMIRAL PARK CLUBROOMS	VP497626	396.00	
			S32656 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - WHITFORD	VP497108	220.00	
			S32777 - WORKS DEPOT GATE REPAIR	VP497626	2,783.00	
			S32857 - COJ ART GALLERY	VP497626	308.00	
			S32902 - WORK OPERATION CENTRE	VP497626	132.00	
			S33063 - CIVIC CENTRE REPAIR ALARM	VP497626	2,046.00	
			S33124 - WORK OPERATION CENTRE	VP497626	1,448.81	
			S33176 - WORK OPERATION CENTRE - AFTER HOURS CALL	VP497626	701.80	
			S33194 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	VP497626	132.00	
			S33219 - MILDENHALL SENIOR CITIZENS	VP497626	396.00	
			S33227 - 4G SIM CARDS - ACCESS CONTROL & SECURITY	VP497626	1,056.00	
			S33228 - WIRELESS 4G MONTHLY CHARGES AND DATA	VP497626	1,056.00	
			S33229 - 12-HOUR POLLING INTERVALS + SINGLE SIM (	VP497258	1,668.99	
			S33230 - ALARM MONITORING SERVICE	VP497258	1,668.99	
			S33242 - PERCY DOYLE	VP497626	132.00	
EF138882	29/05/2026	PRESTIGE ALARMS & SECURITY PTY LTD				5,709.00
			S33286 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING	VP497108	330.00	
			S33289 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - CITY OF	VP497108	330.00	
			S33290 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMERA CLEANING	VP497108	321.75	
			S33316 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - MIRROR P	VP497108	110.00	
			S33317 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - BRAMSTON	VP497108	110.00	
			S33330 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMERA CLEANING	VP497108	110.00	
			S33331 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - TIMBERLA	VP497108	220.00	
			S33332 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - PENISTON	VP497108	110.00	
			S33333 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - WHITFORD	VP497108	107.25	
			S33334 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - DUNCRAIG	VP497108	110.00	
			S33349 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - CURRAMBI	VP497108	110.00	
			S33350 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - MACNAUGH	VP497108	110.00	
			S33352 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMERA CLEANING - CRAIGIE	VP497108	550.00	
			S33358 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - MOBILE T	VP497108	330.00	
			S33359 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - DELAMERE	VP497108	110.00	
			S33384 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMERA CLEANING	VP497108	660.00	
			S33385 - CCTV SCHEDULED CAMEA CLEANING - JOONDALU	VP497108	1,716.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			S33436 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	VP497626	132.00	
			S33459 - BRAMSTON PARK	VP497626	132.00	
EF138500	15/05/2026	PRINT AND DESIGN ONLINE PTY LTD T/AS MEDIA ENGINE				495.00
			29508 - WEBSITE MAINTENANCE AND DEVELOPMENT		495.00	
EF138876	29/05/2026	PRINT AND DESIGN ONLINE PTY LTD T/AS MEDIA ENGINE				2,805.00
			29306 - WEB - GYM BUSINESS HOURS		1,980.00	
			29408 - WEB - VIDEO BANNER		825.00	
EF138270	15/05/2026	PROPERTY COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA				4,635.00
			10116215 - MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL		4,635.00	
EF138644	29/05/2026	PROPERTY COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA				1,490.00
			10117051 - CITY OF JOONDALUP TABLE BOOKING		1,490.00	
EF138499	15/05/2026	PUBLIC TRANSPORT AUTHORITY OF WA				33,510.88
			2025-39 - BUS SHELTERS PROGRAM		11,012.96	
			I5136837 - SHARED RUNNING COSTS - JOONDALUP CAT BUS APR 2026		22,497.92	
EF138510	15/05/2026	PUMPS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				1,276.00
			83482 - PARTS ONLY		1,276.00	
EF138549	15/05/2026	QUALITY NOMINEES PTY LTD (TAMAN TOOLS)				160.60
			INV-65313 - PARTS ONLY		160.60	
EF138714	29/05/2026	R BURCHETT & J MULLER				647.29
			114875 - RATE REFUND		647.29	
EF138891	29/05/2026	R. DIGMAN & INTERIOR GROUP INTERNATIONAL PTY LTD				297.00
			1468 - BM OFFICE: PARTITION TOPPER, QUIETSPACE		297.00	
EF138893	29/05/2026	R1I PTY LTD (R1I TECHNOLOGY)				297.00
			49109 - 4M (13FT) FIBER PATCH CABLE CODE 40199		297.00	
EF138291	15/05/2026	RAYMOND MARK INGHAM				190.00
			MARCH-MAY - COMMUNITY TRANSPORT MAR - MAY 20		190.00	
EF138353	15/05/2026	REBECCA JOY ABDY				145.09
			368 - BUS SHELTER MURAL REDESEIGN FEE		145.09	
EF138736	29/05/2026	REBECCA JOY ABDY				550.00
			370 - JOONDALUP BUS SHELTER MURAL ART PROJECT		550.00	
EF138664	29/05/2026	REBECCA PIZZEY				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138712	29/05/2026	REBEKAH HOLDEN				280.00
			SUMMER 25/26 - SUMMER 25/26 JUNIOR SOCCER		280.00	
EF138735	29/05/2026	REBEL EAT ROMANCE PTY LTD (ACCESS RIGHT (WA))				1,925.00
			INV-0384 - MULLALOO SLSC		1,925.00	
EF138516	15/05/2026	REPEAT PLASTICS WA				1,408.00
			11813 - BOLLARDS STOCK		281.60	
			13237 - BOLLARDS STOCK		1,126.40	
EF138683	29/05/2026	RHYS HARDY				61.65
			BPU25/0255 - RATES REFUND		61.65	
EF138434	15/05/2026	RICHARD HARRISON				500.00
			358 - REACTIVE CONTRACTORS - OTHER		250.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			359 - REACTIVE CONTRACTORS - OTHER		250.00	
EF138517	15/05/2026	RIGHT METAL FENCING PTY LTD				13,739.00
			10352SM-1 - SANTIAGO ATHLETICS INFRASTRUCTURE		13,739.00	
EF138686	29/05/2026	RL & PF THOMAS				291.24
			160681 - RATES REFUND		291.24	
EF138322	15/05/2026	ROBERT MALCOLM				140.00
			SUMMER - SUMMER 2025/2026		140.00	
EF138751	29/05/2026	ROBIN BURNAGE				600.00
			MB00394_30/04/26 - JOONDALUP DESIGN REVIEW PANEL 22/04/26		600.00	
EF138618	15/05/2026	ROBIN ROGERS				221.00
			PR00112_06/05/26 - BUS DUTIES REIMBURSEMENT 16/04-05/05/26		221.00	
EF138972	29/05/2026	ROBIN ROGERS				110.00
			PR00112_22/05/26 - DCP WWC SCREENING 22/5/2026		11.00	
			PR00112_24/04/26 - REIMBURSEMENT -PTD RENEWAL FEE		99.00	
EF138515	15/05/2026	ROBOWASH PTY LTD				550.00
			R117958 - PARTS ONLY		550.00	
EF138665	29/05/2026	ROHAN O'NEILL				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138892	29/05/2026	ROPS ENGINEERING AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				601.63
			19166 - PARTS & REPAIR		601.63	
EF138529	15/05/2026	ROSEMARY ANNE DUGAN (ROSEMARY DUGAN WELLNESS)				1,860.00
			154 - AQUA TRAINING		1,860.00	
EF138505	15/05/2026	ROYAL PRIDE PTY LTD (PAV SALES & INSTALLATION)				60.50
			215424 - RECHARGEABLE BATTERIES FOR HEAD MICS 2 X		60.50	
EF138604	15/05/2026	RUSSEL FISHWICK				259.36
			EXPENSES-REIM-CRFISHWICK-MAY26 - EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT - MAY 2026		259.36	
EF138958	29/05/2026	RUSSEL FISHWICK				2,956.66
			ALLOW-MTG-MAY 2026 - MEETING FEE - MAY 2026		2,956.66	
EF138476	15/05/2026	RUTLEY FAMILY TRUST (MADLANTIS BUS CHARTER)				2,142.50
			INV-0117 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND BUS STANDING ORDER		577.50	
			INV-0120 - BUS TRANSPORT FOR SCHOOL FOR CIVICS TOUR		830.00	
			INV-0121 - BUS TRANSPORT FOR AAB SCHOOLS		735.00	
EF138857	29/05/2026	RUTLEY FAMILY TRUST (MADLANTIS BUS CHARTER)				1,354.50
			INV -1029 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND BUS STANDING ORDER		619.50	
			INV-0126 - BUS TRANSPORT FOR AAB SCHOOLS		735.00	
EF138329	15/05/2026	RYAN BROOM				210.00
			1049397_04/05/26 - REFUND 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138676	29/05/2026	RYAN BROOM				140.00
			SUMMER 25/26 - SUMMER 25/26 JUNIOR SOCCER		140.00	
EF138674	29/05/2026	RYAN STANLEY				210.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			1049390_04/05/26 - SUMMER SOCCER REFUND		70.00	
			1049390_04/05/26/2 - SUMMER SOCCER REFUND		140.00	
EF138522	15/05/2026	S A S LOCKSMITHS				796.40
			235618 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK		796.40	
EF138899	29/05/2026	S A S LOCKSMITHS				1,991.00
			235753 - PADLOCK STD BRASS		1,991.00	
EF138390	15/05/2026	S AJITKUMAR & OTHERS (CORRS CHAMBERS WESTGARTH)				15,353.80
			7184296 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		12,687.40	
			7188021 - LEGAL ADVICE		2,666.40	
EF138905	29/05/2026	S M W AND C UNIT TRUST				1,760.00
			13369 - MULLALOO SLSC		1,760.00	
EF138543	15/05/2026	SAFEGUARDING TRAINING AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				1,485.00
			INV-0142 - CHILD SAFEGUARDING COMMUNITY WORKSHOP		1,485.00	
EF138913	29/05/2026	SAFEGUARDING TRAINING AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				2,887.50
			INV-0136 - 8 HOUR CHILD SAFETY TRAINING WORKSHOP		2,887.50	
EF138314	15/05/2026	SAMANTHA BRUIJN				42.00
			714327 - SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		42.00	
EF138896	29/05/2026	SANAX				86.50
			INV225565 - MEDI SWAB 70% ISPROPYL ALCOHOL		86.50	
EF138351	15/05/2026	SARAH JANE HELBIG (AUTUMN STYLE)				430.00
			1037 - STYLING FOR NEW MUMS WORKSHOP		430.00	
EF138699	29/05/2026	SARITA DESAI				87.00
			03/65567 - REFUND WWC APPLICATION		87.00	
EF138533	15/05/2026	SCADDEN UNITED PTY LTD (TONY SCADDEN DIESEL)				3,116.30
			INV-2045 - PARTS & REPAIRS		1,180.30	
			INV-2047 - PARTS & REPAIRS		1,936.00	
EF138906	29/05/2026	SCADDEN UNITED PTY LTD (TONY SCADDEN DIESEL)				3,811.50
			INV-2049 - SERVICING		1,936.00	
			INV-2051 - PARTS & REPAIRS		1,875.50	
EF138523	15/05/2026	SCOTT PRINT				1,378.30
			199971 - PROGRAMS FOR SUNDAY SERENADES		1,378.30	
EF138915	29/05/2026	SEA OF BLUE PTY LTD (WESTERN FORCE)				22,000.00
			SI-002362 - SPONSORSHIP FUNDING		22,000.00	
EF138525	15/05/2026	SEEK LIMITED				4,061.90
			701852166 - ADVERTISING FOR 2026 - 2027		4,061.90	
EF138916	29/05/2026	SHARE THE DIGNITY LIMITED				745.00
			DCC-23042026 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY		745.00	
EF138755	29/05/2026	SHARON ELIZABETH WRIGHT (BETTYS COFFEE POT)				1,075.00
			57 - COFFEE VAN FOR SENIORS LIFESTYLE EXPO		1,075.00	
EF138853	29/05/2026	SHARON JUNE MCARTHUR				1,305.00
			26019 - HAND WEEDING AT FINNEY PARK	01125	1,305.00	
EF138662	29/05/2026	SHAYLOM LORY				140.00
			1045889_12/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		140.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138301	15/05/2026	SHEENA LABUSCHAIGNE				70.00
			1049355_21/04/26 - SOCCER SUMMER 2025/26 CREDIT		70.00	
EF138325	15/05/2026	SHERRY IOZZI				70.00
			1049393_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		70.00	
EF138536	15/05/2026	SITE SENTRY PTY LTD				1,078.00
			5136 - CCTV TOWER COLLECTION		1,078.00	
EF138521	15/05/2026	SLATER GARTRELL SPORTS				2,541.00
			SG78718/01 - 23 X TENNIS NETS REPAIR		2,541.00	
EF138530	15/05/2026	SONIC HEALTHPLUS PTY LTD				1,289.20
			3917632 - PRE-EMPLOYMENT MEDICAL CHECK		644.60	
			3953545 - MEDICAL ASSESSMENT		644.60	
EF138903	29/05/2026	SONIC HEALTHPLUS PTY LTD				1,817.20
			3977175 - PRE EMPLOYMENT MEDICAL		644.60	
			3978438 - HEALTH ASSESSMENT		264.00	
			3982326 - MEDICAL ASSESSMENT		644.60	
			3982327 - HEALTH ASSESSMENT		264.00	
EF138910	29/05/2026	SPACECUBED VENTURES PTY LTD				24,750.00
			INV-8355 - 2026 INNOVATE JOONDALUP -BUSINESS SUPPOR		24,750.00	
EF138534	15/05/2026	SPECIALIST TRAILER BUILDERS PTY LTD (OSBORNE MOTOR BODIES)				40,056.35
			TINV-1675 - 6 TANDEM MOWER TRAILERS		40,056.35	
EF138524	15/05/2026	SPORTS TURF TECHNOLOGY PTY LTD				8,377.60
			INV-4555 - TURF LEAF ANALYSIS	VP444860	8,377.60	
EF138904	29/05/2026	SPORTSPEOPLE GROUP PTY LTD				220.00
			26051408 - PROGRAM SUPERVISOR - SWIM SCHOOL		220.00	
EF138745	29/05/2026	SRG LEISURE RETAIL PTY LTD (BCF CAMPING FISHING)	BOATING			399.98
			BCF ELLENBROOK - STEALTHCAM QS20 TRAIL CAMERA		399.98	
EF138975	29/05/2026	ST JOHN AMBULANCE AUSTRALIA (WA)				1,340.00
			STKINV00065984 - LIFEPAK CR2 LITHIUM BATTERY		670.00	
			STKINV00066220 - LIFEPAK CR2 LITHIUM BATTERY		670.00	
EF138897	29/05/2026	STANDARDS AUSTRALIA LIMITED				1,114.30
			INV-SA-000041044 - AS2124-1992 STANDARD ANNEXURES 3-5 USERS		1,114.30	
EF138907	29/05/2026	STANTEC AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				12,369.50
			1994494 - SORRENTO LIFE SAVING CLUB		12,369.50	
EF138532	15/05/2026	STANTONS INTERNATIONAL AUDIT AND CONSULTING PTY LTD				508.20
			60917 - CONSULTANT TO PROVIDE ADVICE IN		508.20	
EF138582	15/05/2026	STEFNA FAMILY TRUST T/AS WEST WASTE CONTROL PTY LTD	TIP			7,346.58
			26100 - RECEIPT ONLY OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TH	VP493041	583.70	
			26101 - RECEIPT ONLY OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TH	VP493041	511.63	
			26102 - RECEIPT ONLY OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TH	VP493041	574.99	
			27411 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	564.70	
			27412 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	526.68	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			27414 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	557.57	
			27415 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	613.80	
			27416 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	582.91	
			27417 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	548.06	
			27418 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	563.90	
			27419 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	567.07	
			27420 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TIP	VP493041	582.12	
			27421 - CONSTRUCTION WASTE TIP	VP493041	569.45	
EF138944	29/05/2026	STEFNA FAMILY TRUST T/AS WEST TIP WASTE CONTROL PTY LTD				184,995.25
			28753 - KINGSLEY OVAL KINGSLEY	00121	2,702.48	
			28986 - LOOSE BULK GREEN WASTE - COLLECTION	00924	31,964.54	
			28987 - LOOSE BULK GREEN WASTE	00924	34,259.20	
			28988 - LOOSE BULK GREEN WASTE	00924	43,277.08	
			28989 - LOOSE BULK GREEN WASTE	00924	37,935.15	
			29064 - LOOSE BULK GREEN WASTE - COLLECTION AND	00924	33,762.26	
			29065 - RECEIPT ONLY OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TH	VP493041	518.76	
			29067 - RECEIPT ONLY OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE AT TH	VP493041	575.78	
EF138862	29/05/2026	STEPHANIE BROWN (MARKET SISTERS PERTH)				649.00
			6893 - JOONDALUP NIGHT MARKET 4 APRIL – EASTER		649.00	
EF138540	15/05/2026	STEPHEN SMITH (STEPHEN SMITH PHOTOGRAPHY)				880.00
			234142 - WOODVALE AND DUNCRAIG LIBRARY SHOOT		880.00	
EF138341	15/05/2026	STEWART LEONARD ALLEN (STEWART ALLEN PHOTOGRAPHY)				297.00
			1321 - PHOTOGRAPHY - ANZAC DAY DAWN SERVICE		297.00	
EF138526	15/05/2026	STILES ELECTRICAL				4,709.60
			9788 - LIGHTING - SANTIAGO PARKS SPORTS LIGHTS		4,005.60	
			9818 - REACTIVE CONTRACTORS - LIGHTING		704.00	
EF138628	19/05/2026	STILES ELECTRICAL				261,834.73
			9784 - LIGHTING - CALEDONIA PARK SPORTS		116,898.73	
			9795 - LIGHTING - WARWICK OPEN SPACE PITCH		144,936.00	
EF138900	29/05/2026	STILES ELECTRICAL				37,925.23
			9830 - LIGHTING - SANTIAGO PARKS SPORTS		37,925.23	
EF138423	15/05/2026	STRATA CORPORATION PTY LTD (STRATAGREEN)				17,400.28
			189595 - MATERIALS	VP480001	17,400.28	
EF138810	29/05/2026	STRATA CORPORATION PTY LTD (STRATAGREEN)				3,006.56
			190415 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK ORDER		447.92	
			190461 - SECATEURS BYPASS FELCO NO2		1,836.26	
			190649 - RAKE 600MM PLASTIC		722.38	
113653	20/05/2026	SUNDRY CREDITOR - RATES REFUND				181.13
			309568 - TOGETHER HOMES PTY LTD		181.13	
113654	20/05/2026	SUNDRY CREDITOR - RATES REFUND				580.69
			309572 - VINTAGE PROJECTS PTY LTD		580.69	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
113655	20/05/2026	SUNDRY CREDITOR - RATES REFUND				204.84
			309743 - KIRK PATRICK O`CONNOR		204.84	
113656	27/05/2026	SUNDRY CREDITOR - RATES REFUND				1,686.01
			310117 - AOYO NEDLANDS PTY LTD		1,686.01	
113657	27/05/2026	SUNDRY CREDITOR - RATES REFUND				922.72
			310134 - COMMISSIONER OF STATE REVENUE		922.72	
EF138909	29/05/2026	SUPERIOR NOMINEES PTY LTD (MIRACLE RECREATION EQUIPMENT)				1,622.50
			63060 - COMMANDO CLIMBER		1,622.50	
EF138527	15/05/2026	SURUN SERVICES PTY LTD				2,949.94
			INV-WO38629 - BLACKFRIARS ROAD JOONDALUP	VP368930	1,616.08	
			INV-WO38677 - BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP	VP368930	1,138.06	
			INV-WO38679 - SHENTON AVENUE, ILUKA	VP368930	195.80	
EF138901	29/05/2026	SURUN SERVICES PTY LTD				100,532.86
			INV-16287-W7P1Y7 - WOODVALE DRIVE		72,406.18	
			INV-WO38604 - ALDWYCH WAY, JOONDALUP	VP368930	7,207.13	
			INV-WO38645 - JOONDALUP DRIVE, JOONDALUP	VP368930	2,174.04	
			INV-WO38648 - JOONDALUP DRIVE	VP368930	1,705.22	
			INV-WO38710 - SITTELLA TURN JOONDALUP	VP368930	501.14	
			INV-WO38711 - CHARING CROSS ROAD JOONDALUP	VP368930	1,395.46	
			INV-WO38720 - SIR JAMES MCCUSKER PARK	VP368930	336.60	
			INV-WO38726 - TERN RIDGE, JOONDALUP	VP368930	252.45	
			INV-WO38742 - CHARING CROSS PARK, JOONDALUP	VP368930	587.40	
			INV-WO38744 - PUTNEY PLACE STREET LIGHT	VP368930	2,811.03	
			INV-WO38748 - JOONDALUP DRIVE STREET LIGHT	VP368930	910.58	
			INV-WO38751 - JOONDALUP DRIVE STREET LIGHTS	VP368930	1,706.58	
			INV-WO38752 - JOONDALUP DRIVE, JOONDALUP	VP368930	316.58	
			INV-WO38753 - JOONDALUP DRIVE STREET LIGHT	VP368930	316.58	
			INV-WO38756 - THE EMBANKMENT, JOONDALUP	VP368930	1,174.80	
			INV-WO38766 - REGENTS PARK RD STREETLIGHTS	VP368930	107.80	
			INV-WO38767 - JOONDALUP DRIVE STREETLIGHTS	VP368930	107.80	
			INV-WO38770 - OAHU GARDENS SPOTLIGHTS	VP368930	1,056.46	
			INV-WO38774 - POLE LIGHT SERVICE CALL	VP368930	107.80	
			INV-WO38775 - PLAISTOW STREET JOONDALUP	VP368930	107.80	
			INV-WO38776 - NOTTINGHILL STREET JOONDALUP	VP368930	107.80	
			INV-WO38787 - JOONDALUP DRIVE STREETLIGHTS	VP368930	215.60	
			INV-WO38789 - SITTELLA PARK	VP368930	1,215.26	
			INV-WO38797 - CENTRAL WALK LIGHTS	VP368930	215.60	
			INV-WO38798 - MARBELLA DRIVE LIGHTS	VP368930	803.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-WO38802 - NOTTINGHILL STREET, JOONDALUP	VP368930	420.12	
			INV-WO38848 - MARBELLA PARK	VP368930	411.40	
			INV-WO38898 - NOTTINGHILL STREET JOONDALUP	VP368930	520.94	
			INV-WO38903 - WESLEY PARK	VP368930	1,333.71	
EF138681	29/05/2026	SUSAN L DODSON				963.63
			124730 - RATES REFUND		963.63	
EF138324	15/05/2026	SUZANNE HEALY				210.00
			1049392_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		210.00	
EF138348	15/05/2026	SWASTIK SHREE PTY LTD (ALL STAMPS)				69.30
			129263 - S829 SELFINKING STAMP - ARTWORK SUPPLIED		69.30	
EF138608	15/05/2026	SYDEL NOMINEES PTY LTD T/AS IMAGESOURCE DIGITAL SOLUTIONS				3,659.70
			483322 - OUTDOOR POP UP CINEMA VISIRITE		830.50	
			483609 - CYCLE STUDIO UPGRADE		104.50	
			483610 - FOYER SIGNAGE		621.50	
			483611 - REFER A FRIEND CARDS		105.60	
			483630 - STAFF CARPARK SIGNS		138.60	
			483648 - LIBRARY PRINTING AND POSTERS TO JUNE 26		522.50	
			483677 - EXPERIENCE JOONDALUP CAR PARK CLOSED		214.50	
			483776 - 2X GALLERY BANNERS		1,122.00	
EF138630	19/05/2026	SYDEL NOMINEES PTY LTD T/AS IMAGESOURCE DIGITAL SOLUTIONS				1,920.60
			482908 - LIBRARY PRINTING AND POSTERS TO JUNE 26		793.10	
			483851 - NAIDOC EXHIBITION 2026		1,127.50	
EF138963	29/05/2026	SYDEL NOMINEES PTY LTD T/AS IMAGESOURCE DIGITAL SOLUTIONS				7,969.50
			482951 - CURRAMBINE CC & FLEUR FREAME PAVILION		155.10	
			483329 - FAMILY & LOCAL HISTORY PRINTING		777.70	
			483676 - DECAL PRINT AND INSTALL FOR JCAG		1,733.60	
			483773 - EXPERIENCE JOONDALUP POP-UP OUTDOOR		286.00	
			483812 - 7 DAY TRIAL CARDS		214.50	
			483867 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		434.50	
			483885 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		3,481.50	
			483886 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		434.50	
			483891 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		237.60	
			483905 - STAFF BIKE SIGNAGE		214.50	
EF138616	15/05/2026	T A & J L REYNOLDS				958.89
			72 - ELECTED MEMBER FRIDAY COURIER RUN		958.89	
EF138926	29/05/2026	T C PRECAST PTY LTD				8,816.50
			SI-00011538 - GRATED COVER 100MM RAISED WITH LOCKING P		220.00	
			SI-00011538 - GRATED COVER 100MM RAISED WITH LOCKING P	01925	8,596.50	
EF138924	29/05/2026	T J DEPIAZZI & SONS				10,599.07
			INV-17446 - SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF MULCH		59.97	
			INV-17446 - SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF MULCH	VP465362	10,539.10	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138704	29/05/2026	TAMARA PULLELLA				175.00
			1049427_19/05/26 - REFUND OF SERVICE UPGRADE		175.00	
EF138697	29/05/2026	TARA BAHARTHAH & JUSTIN KING				5.00
			INWE26/24858 - REFUND OVERPAYMENT		5.00	
EF138818	29/05/2026	TARRYN DENISE GILL				376.32
			1 - ARTIST FEE + MATERIAL FEE		376.32	
EF138620	15/05/2026	TEAM GLOBAL EXPRESS PTY LTD				152.13
			6168387 - COURIER		152.13	
EF138561	15/05/2026	TELSTRA LIMITED				14,405.33
			265 0167 000 09/04/26 - FIXED LINES APRIL 26		5,739.60	
			377 8004 400 26/04/26 - TELSTRA MOBILE		42.02	
			381 2615 684 25/04/26 - TELSTRA MOBILE APRIL 26		4,592.75	
			381 2615 700 25/04/26 - APRIL 2026		4,030.96	
EF138927	29/05/2026	TELSTRA LIMITED				2,396.31
			K795167071-8 24/05/26 - SERVICE & EQUIPMENT RENTAL		2,396.31	
EF138555	15/05/2026	TENCO ENGINEERS PTY LTD				2,750.00
			T26273 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB- SITE ATTENDANCE		2,750.00	
EF138792	29/05/2026	TESSA LOUISE DOROTICH				631.90
			INV-000204 - REDESIGN FEE		131.90	
			INV-000205 - JOONDALUP BUS SHELTER MURAL ART PROJECT		500.00	
EF138771	29/05/2026	THE CHILDREN'S BOOK COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA				1,154.33
			S2320068 - 2026 BOOK WEEK MERCHANDISE		1,154.33	
EF138929	29/05/2026	THE GOOD COMPANY & CO PTY LTD				451.61
			1 - CATERING FOR FULL DAY WORKSHOP		451.61	
EF138528	15/05/2026	THE JESSEN GROUP PTY LTD T/AS SLIMLINE WAREHOUSE DISPLAY SHO				408.88
			574502 - A3 FLOOR STANDING POSTER DISPLAY BLACK		408.88	
EF138740	29/05/2026	THE QUITO UNITY TRUST T/A BENARA NURSERIES				11,671.00
			292382 - TREE PLANTING PROGRAM	02224	11,671.00	
EF138617	15/05/2026	THE ROYAL AUSTRALIAN ARTILLERY HISTORICAL SOCIETY OF WA INC				330.00
			20260501 - DISCOVERY OUTBOUND LEIGHTON BATTERY TOUR		330.00	
EF138973	29/05/2026	THE ROYAL SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS				6,339.30
			IN001603 - POUND FEES - 1ST TO 30TH APRIL 2026	03322	6,339.30	
EF138558	15/05/2026	THE TEMPANY FAMILY TRUST				5,445.00
			2798 - AV FOR ANZAC DAY DAWN SERVICE		5,445.00	
EF138584	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR ARLO UNIT TRUST (WOODVALE IGA)				997.25
			30211960011 - SELECTED PLATTERS/ITEMS(NON GST)		452.50	
			30211960012 - SELECTED PLATTERS/ITEMS(NON GST)		260.25	
			R0030213160142 - CATERING SELECTED ITEMS		284.50	
EF138518	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR CIVITAS NOVA TRUST (RTSM CONSULTING)				3,850.00
			2544-1 - CONSULTANCY		3,850.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138894	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR CIVITAS NOVA TRUST (RTSM CONSULTING)				4,950.00
			2551-1 - ROAD SAFETY INSPECITON AUDIT		4,950.00	
EF138410	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR EXCEL KERBING TRUST (EXCEL KERBING)				5,737.16
			151357 - 30-150M 40MM MOUNTABLE KERB	VP401423	3,927.00	
			151364 - KERB REPAIRS - GRAND BLVD JOONDALUP	VP401423	1,810.16	
EF138800	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR EXCEL KERBING TRUST (EXCEL KERBING)				4,243.80
			151374 - SPYGLASS GROVE CONNOLLY	VP401423	660.00	
			151375 - PYA PLACE JOONDALUP	VP401423	577.50	
			151376 - REINSTATE KERB - DOLLIS WAY KINGSLEY	VP401423	412.50	
			151377 - REINSTATE KERB X 4 - MEADOWBANK GARDENS	VP401423	412.50	
			151378 - 7 NARRABEEN PL KALLAROO	VP401423	206.25	
			151379 - REINSTATE KERB - SIR JAMES MCCUSKER PARK	VP401423	254.10	
			151380 - KERB REPAIR - ABITIBI TURN JOONDALUP	VP401423	1,720.95	
EF138392	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR G C DICKIE FAMILY TRUST (DICKIES TREE				15,592.50
			INV-19942 - VIVE AVE CRAIGIE		88.00	
			INV-20646 - TREE REMOVAL - KURRAJONG PLACE, GREENWOO	00624	4,510.00	
			INV-20647 - TREE REMOVAL - KARUAH WAY, GREENWOOD	00624	1,518.00	
			INV-20660 - TREE REMOVAL - CRAIGIE LEASURE CENTRE	00624	1,496.00	
			INV-20692 - TREE REMOVAL - SIREN ROAD, HEATHRIDGE	00624	748.00	
			INV-20693 - TREE REMOVAL-BAINBRIDGE MEWS,CURRAMBINE	00624	4,488.00	
			INV-20704 - MAPLE STREET, GREENWOOD	00624	539.00	
			INV-20706 - STUMP GRINDING WEEK ENDING 10/4/2026	00624	2,205.50	
EF138778	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR G C DICKIE FAMILY TRUST (DICKIES TREE				42,501.80
			INV-18401 - STUMPS 01/05/26	00624	1,628.00	
			INV-20791 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	2,277.00	
			INV-20793 - SQUATTER COURT ROOT CHASING	00624	440.00	
			INV-20794 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	748.00	
			INV-20795 - CROWN MAINTENANCE - ABACO CLOSE HILLARYS	00624	924.00	
			INV-20796 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	3,036.00	
			INV-20797 - EMPEN WAY PRUNING	00624	1,108.80	
			INV-20798 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	4,554.00	
			INV-20799 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING CODE 4 -	00624	913.00	
			INV-20800 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	1,012.00	
			INV-20801 - MIZZEN PLACE PRUNING	00624	4,048.00	
			INV-20802 - CHIPPING - PORTEOUS PARK SORRENTO	00624	440.00	
			INV-20803 - STUMPS 17/04/26	00624	1,729.20	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-20804 - STUMP GRINDING - WOODVALE, CHICHESTER PK	00624	1,023.00	
			INV-20805 - TREE REMOVAL INC STUMP GRINDING	00624	6,278.80	
			INV-20826 - KINGSLEY PARK - REMOVAL OF DEADWOOD	00624	3,465.00	
			INV-20851 - CONRAD WAY, CURRAMBINE	00624	1,584.00	
			INV-20860 - LONG REEF PLACE HILLARYS	00624	1,496.00	
			INV-20879 - GLORIANA VIEW OCEAN REEF	00624	495.00	
			INV-20880 - GUARDIAN LOOP CURRAMBINE	00624	1,496.00	
			INV-20881 - TIPPERARY RISE PADBURY	00624	1,518.00	
			INV-20882 - FENELLIA CRESCENT, CRAIGIE	00624	1,540.00	
			INV-20904 - CLONTARF ST SORRENTO	00624	748.00	
EF138429	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR GO OUTDOOR UNIT TRUST (GO OUTDOOR MEDIA)				1,375.00
			INV-3931 - LIBRARY CAMPAIGN ADVERTISING		1,375.00	
EF138437	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR HAYTO TRUST (SOCO STUDIOS)				6,396.50
			INV-1597 - LG BLOOD DRIVE 25 MARCH PHOTOGRAPHY		1,116.50	
			INV-1622 - UPTOWN SOCIAL MEDIA MANAGEMENT - 25/26		3,300.00	
			INV-1636 - PHOTOGRAPHY SERVICES		660.00	
			INV-1651 - SOCIAL MEDIA VIDEO ANZAC DAY SERVICE		825.00	
			INV-1678 - CITY OF JOONDALUP – CITY CENTRAL		495.00	
EF138821	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR HAYTO TRUST (SOCO STUDIOS)				4,537.50
			INV-1722 - SENIORS LIFESTYLE EXPO		1,237.50	
			INV-1742 - UPTOWN SOCIAL MEDIA MANAGEMENT - 25/26		3,300.00	
EF138559	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR JOONDALUP AVIT NO. 2 TRUST (HARVEY				600.95
			3985912 - CRICUT MAKER 4 ESSENTIALS BUNDLE		600.95	
EF138455	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR JOONDALUP CENTA NO 2 TRUST				2,295.00
			3981142 - SHARK CORDLESS - IZ102		234.00	
			3985431 - WEST/HOUSE 425L BOTTOM MOUNT WHITE R		2,061.00	
EF138426	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR LANE FAMILY TRUST (GPS LINEMARKING)				495.00
			INV-006280 - SPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE - SANTIAGO PARK		495.00	
EF138362	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR OCEANS 17 UNIT TRUST (BRAVEN GROUP SERVICES)				9,438.00
			INV-1912 - SECURITY CONCIERGE LIBRARIES		2,395.25	
			INV-1913 - SECURITY CONCIERGE LIBRARIES		2,967.25	
			INV-1916 - SECURITY CONCIERGE LIBRARIES		1,930.50	
			INV-1918 - SECURITY CONCIERGE LIBRARIES		2,145.00	
EF138752	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR OCEANS 17 UNIT TRUST (BRAVEN GROUP SERVICES)				12,702.25
			INV-1919 - JOONDALUPLIBRARY 4/5 - 10/05/26		2,395.25	
			INV-1921 - JOONDALUPLIBRARY 11/5-17/05/26		2,395.25	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-1923 - BRAVEN DE-ESCALATION COURSE		5,516.50	
			INV-1926 - SECURITY CONCIERGE LIBRARIES		2,395.25	
EF138585	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR POOLE FAMILY TRUST (WEST COAST SCANNING)				4,400.00
			INV-0385 - SERVICE SCAN AT NATURALISTE BUSHLAND		550.00	
			INV-0387 - LANDSCAPE - LEAFY CITY		1,870.00	
			INV-0391 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		1,980.00	
EF138519	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR RADHEN GROUP UNIT TRUST				124.00
			INV-272 - WHITFORD LIBRARY NEWSPAPER SUPPLY		124.00	
EF138895	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR RADHEN GROUP UNIT TRUST				124.00
			303 - WHITFORD LIBRARY		124.00	
EF138544	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR RICO FAMILY TRUST (SOLO RESOURCE RECOVERY)				34,739.76
			326JOONPLB - COLLECTION OF PUBLIC PLACE LITTER BINS M	03024	34,739.76	
EF138914	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR RICO FAMILY TRUST (SOLO RESOURCE RECOVERY)				683,683.83
			0426JOONA&D - REPLACEMENT INCLUDING DELIVERY OF MGB	03024	32,928.50	
			0426JOONAS - ASSISTED SERVICE DOMESTIC ENTITLED PREMI	03024	10,623.36	
			0426JOONAWD - RESTOCK AWD 600 ROLL	03024	2,244.00	
			0426JOONG - APRIL 2026 WASTE	03024	297,756.37	
			0426JOONO - APRIL 2026 GARDEN ORGANIC	03024	135,240.90	
			0426JOONR - APRIL 2026 RECYCLABLES COLLECTION	03024	201,044.22	
			0426JOONSE - DELIVER, SERVICE AND COLLECTION OF EVENT	03024	3,846.48	
EF138562	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR SARJUDAS UNIT TRUST (TROPHY SHOP AUSTRALIA)				2,737.00
			60047 - HONOUR BOARD - BASKETBALL		2,019.80	
			62211 - HONOUR BOARD - LABELS BASKETBALL		717.20	
EF138854	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR SIMPSON FAMILY TRUST T/AS MIRACLE RECREATION				207.02
			63104 - PINNAROO POINT PARK HILLARYS		207.02	
EF138554	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR TALIS UNIT TRUST				5,500.00
			39933 - PATHWAY PRIORITISATION MATRIX APPLICATIO		5,500.00	
EF138368	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE BYRNES FAMILY TRUST				63,358.22
			114 - EXTRA TURF AT BEACHSIDE		495.00	
			INV-000087 - STRUCTURES - MULLALOO FORESHORE SHELTER		2,475.00	
			INV-000100 - LANDSCAPE - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		1,738.00	
			INV-000106 - LANDSCAPE - BEACHSIDE PARK		1,672.00	
			INV-000109 - LANDSCAPE - BEACHSIDE PARK		2,860.00	
			INV-000110 - WARWICK TENNIS COURTS WORKS		54,118.22	
EF138814	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE GONSER TRUST T/AS GSR LASER TOOLS				213.40
			55983 - PLANT EQUIP MINOR		213.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138342	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE NORTHBRIDGE ENTERPRISES UNIT TRUST (BBC				1,078.00
			2504304 - NATIONAL ANTHEM SINGER FOR ANZAC DAY		1,078.00	
EF138873	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE OPEN FIELD FAMILY TRUST				550.00
			195 - BUS SHELTER MURAL ARTIST REMAINING STAGE		550.00	
EF138347	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE PANACON UNIT TRUST T/AS ARCHITECTURAL				271.70
			5511314 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		271.70	
EF138731	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE PANACON UNIT TRUST T/AS ARCHITECTURAL				254.10
			5511305 - DUNCRAIG ADVENTURE HUB		254.10	
EF138447	15/05/2026	The Trustee for The Possibilities Group Discretionary Trust				330.00
			IP0196 - CARRY OUT PROGRAMMING OF ADMINISTRATION		330.00	
EF138833	29/05/2026	The Trustee for The Possibilities Group Discretionary Trust				1,371.70
			IP0199 - PHAROS SUBSCRIPTION AND DATA PACKAGE		1,371.70	
EF138487	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE R & J PIGDON FAMILY TRUST (NEWSXPRESS				408.08
			16081 - MAGAZINES		408.08	
EF138865	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE R & J PIGDON FAMILY TRUST (NEWSXPRESS				1,289.60
			10000053412 - MAGAZINES & REFERENCE		470.60	
			INV-I0000053411 - MAGAZINES AND REFERENCE MAY 2026		819.00	
EF138623	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE RIGANELLO FAMILY TRUST (WOODVALE				1,552.32
			1243 - ERINDALE DRIVE, WARWICK	VP424159	786.72	
			1244 - WOC WINTON ROAD	VP424159	765.60	
EF138980	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE RIGANELLO FAMILY TRUST (WOODVALE				6,560.62
			1245 - COLOURBOND DOUBLE GATE REPAIR	VP424159	5,225.00	
			1246 - WARRIGAL WAY GREENWOOD	VP424159	394.02	
			1247 - SANDSTONE PLACE MARMION	VP424159	941.60	
EF138803	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR THE SMIRK COMMUNICATIONS TRUST (EJAN				2,756.03
			2601148 - 4 X RADIO		2,468.40	
			COJ0426 - 4 X MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION (3 MONTHS)		287.63	
EF138962	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR TRANS AUSTRALIA TRUST T/AS INSTANT				1,150.00
			SIAU0472500 - PARTS & REPAIRS		1,150.00	
EF138409	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR VANDERTOGT TRUST (ENVIRONMENTAL LAND				4,752.00
			INV-0299 - 8 WHEEL TIP TRUCK (MIN 4 HOURS)	01723	4,752.00	
EF138799	29/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR VANDERTOGT TRUST (ENVIRONMENTAL LAND				28,512.00
			INV-0301 - 8 WHEEL TIP TRUCK (MIN 4 HOURS)	01723	28,512.00	
EF138590	15/05/2026	THE TRUSTEE FOR ZIP UNIT TRUST (DRAW MUSIC)				550.00
			COJ20261 - AUTHOR TALK WITH GUITARIST		550.00	
EF138560	15/05/2026	THREE CHILLIES DESIGN PTY LTD				2,145.00
			INV-02425 - SIGNS - WHITFORDS WEST PUMP TRACK		2,145.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138925	29/05/2026	THREE CHILLIES DESIGN PTY LTD				4,818.00
			INV-02437 - HADDINGTON PARK PUMP TRACK		4,818.00	
EF138556	15/05/2026	TIME CRITICAL UNIT TRUST T/AS TIME CRITICAL CPR & FIRST AID				3,200.00
			INV-20250761 - PROVIDE FIRST AID & CPR TRAINING		1,600.00	
			INV-20250765 - PROVIDE FIRST AID & CPR TRAINING		1,600.00	
EF138482	15/05/2026	TIMOTHY BRYCE MEAKINS				825.00
			56 - TRIBUTE SIGNAGE DESIGN		825.00	
EF138861	29/05/2026	TIMOTHY BRYCE MEAKINS				275.00
			58 - JOONDALUP CONTEMPORARY ART GALLERY		275.00	
EF138465	15/05/2026	TJ AND RJ SELLICK PTY LTD (LAWN DOCTOR)				16,005.02
			INV-1108 - XZEMPLAR FUNGICIDE - LIVING TURF MALAGA	01423	16,005.02	
EF138844	29/05/2026	TJ AND RJ SELLICK PTY LTD (LAWN DOCTOR)				122,374.96
			INV-1109 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	01423	16,205.45	
			INV-1115 - ZONE 1 (NORTH) RETICULATED LOCATIONS	VP462034	3,323.10	
			INV-1116 - ALL ENTRY STATEMENTS AND CBD	VP462034	2,098.80	
			INV-1134 - CBD AND JOONDALUP ENTRY STATEMENTS WEEK	VP462034	1,224.30	
			INV-1138 - XZEMPLAR FUNGICIDE	01423	20,204.58	
			INV-1139 - XZEMPLAR FUNGICIDE - LIVING TURF MALAGA	01423	24,312.29	
			INV-1142 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		17,477.35	
			INV-1143 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		10,948.32	
			INV-1144 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		13,967.51	
			INV-1145 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		1,345.74	
			INV-1147 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		5,771.70	
			INV-1148 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS		5,495.82	
EF138274	15/05/2026	TOM OTTAVIANO				50.00
			INWE26/25231 - ANIMAL REGISTRATION REFUND		50.00	
EF138563	15/05/2026	TORRYN EDMONDS (TORRYN MARIE)				131.90
			COJ0788 - BUS SHELTER MURAL REDESIGN		131.90	
EF138928	29/05/2026	TORRYN EDMONDS (TORRYN MARIE)				500.00
			COJ0762C - JOONDALUP BUS SHELTER MURAL ART PROJECT		500.00	
EF138545	15/05/2026	TOTAL EDEN PTY LIMITED				1,522.13
			414006996 - APRIL 2026	00425	1,522.13	
EF138917	29/05/2026	TOTAL EDEN PTY LIMITED				22,764.23
			413973413 - LANDSCAPE MAINT 1 - 31 MARCH 26	00425	21,170.60	
			414024309 - BURNS BEACH SARS	00425	1,593.63	
EF138552	15/05/2026	TOTAL LANDSCAPE REDEVELOPMENT SERVICE PTY LTD				2,978.25
			INV-1705 - WATERING APRIL 2026	04322	2,978.25	
EF138548	15/05/2026	TOTALLY WORKWEAR				4,416.09
			7200852268 - KING GEE PANTS NARROW TRADIE, OILED NAVY	VP438834	283.25	
			7200855159 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	190.74	
			7200855160 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	42.90	
			7200855161 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	135.30	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			7200855162 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	26.40	
			7200855169 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	48.40	
			7200855171 - UNIFORM CLOTHING	VP438834	74.80	
			7200855484 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	137.50	
			7200855648 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL POLY/FL		42.90	
			7200855648 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL POLY/FL	VP438834	19.80	
			7200855658 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	199.65	
			7200855659 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	218.46	
			7200855665 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	140.14	
			7200855839 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP437240	180.40	
			7200856138 - SAFETY VEST OPEN FRONT YELLOW, SIZE S	VP438834	346.50	
			7200856168 - BOOTS ARGYLE BLACK, STEEL BLUE SIZE 9.5	VP438834	176.00	
			7200856189 - BOOTS ARGYLE BLACK, STEEL BLUE SIZE 10.	VP438834	176.00	
			7200856313 - JACKET BIZ APEX MENS SOFTSHELL MED		247.50	
			7200856314 - WORK UNIFORM		42.90	
			7200856314 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	59.20	
			7200856315 - POLO AP LADIES PATERSON PIQUE 80 POLY/20	VP438834	36.20	
			7200856320 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	100.10	
			7200856321 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	106.70	
			7200856328 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	106.70	
			7200856329 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	100.10	
			7200856812 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	74.80	
			7200857087 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	137.50	
			7200857099 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	214.94	
			7200857100 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	67.10	
			7200857101 - UNIFORM ORDER		66.00	
			7200857101 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	26.40	
			7200857415 - JOGGER HY COMP-TEC SPORT CT, BLACK, SIZE	VP437240	108.90	
			7200857468 - KING GEE MENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE 1	VP438834	137.50	
			7200857678 - WORK CLOTHING	VP438834	106.70	
			7200857681 - JB WEAR HI VIS FLEECY WITH HOOD	VP438834	42.90	
			7200857682 - TROUSERS KG BASICS CARGO NAVY, KING GEE	VP438834	39.27	
			7200857683 - TROUSERS KG BASICS CARGO NAVY, KING GEE	VP438834	78.54	
			7200857687 - PURCHASE NEW BOOTS AND APEX JACKET XXL		42.90	
			7200857687 - PURCHASE NEW BOOTS AND APEX JACKET XXL	VP438834	6.60	
			7200858110 - SAFETY GUMBOOTS C/W STEEL CAP, SIZE 10	VP438834	27.50	
EF138920	29/05/2026	TOTALLY WORKWEAR				6,043.96
			7200849503 - SUNHAT SEABREEZE MESH CROWN, SAND	VP438834	32.45	
			7200849950 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	37.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			7200850903 - UNIFORM ORDER	VP438834	48.40	
			7200855649 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL POLY/FL		42.90	
			7200855649 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL POLY/FL	VP438834	6.60	
			7200858436 - KING GEE MENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE 1	VP438834	137.50	
			7200858650 - UNIFORM	VP437240	724.05	
			7200858653 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL L		85.80	
			7200858653 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL L	VP438834	13.20	
			7200858654 - JACKET BIZ APEX LADIES SOFTSHELL POLY/FL	VP437240	49.50	
			7200858725 - CAP BASEBALL STYLE NAVY	VP438834	184.14	
			7200858726 - SHIRT POLO AIRWEAR L/SLEEVE, VISITEC VPA	VP438834	72.60	
			7200858727 - TROUSERS KG BASICS CARGO NAVY, KING GEE,	VP438834	117.81	
			7200858732 - SHORTS BASICS, KING GEE, SIZE 102R/20	VP438834	108.90	
			7200858735 - TROUSERS WOMEN WORKCOOL2, KING GEE SIZE	VP438834	65.34	
			7200858738 - SHIRT WORKCOOL 2 HIGH-VISIBILITY SPLICED	VP438834	67.10	
			7200858740 - BIZ COLLECTION MEN'S 'CHAMBRAY' 100% COT	VP438834	158.60	
			7200858902 - MENS 2XL JACKET		42.90	
			7200858902 - MENS 2XL JACKET	VP438834	6.60	
			7200858975 - HAT KALAHARI BOTTLE GREEN	VP438834	643.50	
			7200859224 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	176.00	
			7200859283 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	13.20	
			7200859286 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	133.10	
			7200859287 - STANDARD SIZE EMBROIDERY APPLICATION APP	VP438834	60.50	
			7200859288 - UNIFORM		13.20	
			7200859288 - UNIFORM	VP437240	210.10	
			7200859293 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	39.27	
			7200859294 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	192.39	
			7200859295 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	42.90	
			7200859300 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	145.64	
			7200859302 - WORK UNIFORM	VP438834	176.00	
			7200859453 - KING GEE MENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE 1	VP438834	137.50	
			7200859457 - KING GEE WOMENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE	VP438834	132.00	
			7200860204 - JACKET FLYING HI-VIS R/TAPE, SIZE L	VP438834	60.50	
			7200860207 - BOOTS ARGYLE BLACK, STEEL BLUE SIZE 9	VP438834	176.00	
			7200860211 - KING GEE MENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE 1	VP438834	137.50	
			7200860356 - KING GEE TRADEMARK BUCKET HAT, L/XL	VP438834	22.00	
			7200860357 - KING GEE TRADEMARK BUCKET HAT, L/XL	VP438834	44.00	
			7200860506 - KING GEE MENS TRADIE COMFORT MAX, SIZE 1	VP438834	137.50	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			7200860680 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	106.70	
			7200860681 - JACKET KING GEE 4 IN 1 HI-VIS TAPED WATE	VP438834	106.70	
			7200860689 - TROUSERS KG BASICS CARGO NAVY, KING GEE,	VP438834	145.64	
			7200860692 - SHIRT POLO AIRWEAR L/SLEEVE, VISITEC VPA	VP438834	297.11	
			7200860696 - SHIRT WORKCOOL 2 HIGH-VISIBILITY SPLICED	VP438834	325.16	
			7200860699 - TROUSERS KG BASICS CARGO NAVY, KING GEE,	VP438834	368.06	
EF138475	15/05/2026	TOWN INN PTY LTD T/AS MISS MAUD				247.65
			7004161 - CATERING FOR COMMUNITIES IN FOCUS		247.65	
EF138856	29/05/2026	TOWN INN PTY LTD T/AS MISS MAUD				236.25
			7004222 - CATERING FOR TEAM TRAINING		236.25	
EF138629	19/05/2026	T-QUIP				231,311.00
			146598 #32 - PARTS ONLY		25.00	
			149306 #37 - HAKO CITYMASTER 1650 SWEEPER		231,286.00	
EF138921	29/05/2026	T-QUIP				423.08
			149825 #32 - PARTS ONLY		211.76	
			149935 #21 - PARTS ONLY		37.64	
			149971 #32 - WAREHOUSE RESTOCK		173.68	
EF138668	29/05/2026	TRACEY BENNETT				150.00
			INW26/4630 - DOG STERILISATION		150.00	
EF138550	15/05/2026	TRAFFIC LOGISTICS AUSTRALIA				4,966.50
			1565 - TRAFFIC COUNT SURVEYS		4,966.50	
EF138546	15/05/2026	TRAILER PARTS PTY LTD				698.19
			1552151 - PARTS ONLY		698.19	
EF138706	29/05/2026	TRAVIS WRIGHT				84.06
			559780 - MEDICAL CANCELLATION		84.06	
EF138401	15/05/2026	TREASA PTY LTD (DYMOCKS KARRINYUP)				85.43
			5005846 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS (INDIVIDUAL ITEMS)		85.43	
EF138791	29/05/2026	TREASA PTY LTD (DYMOCKS KARRINYUP)				374.71
			5005867 - CUSTOMER REQUESTS (INDIVIDUAL ITEMS)		374.71	
EF138547	15/05/2026	TREE AMIGOS TREE SURGEONS TRUST T/AS TREE AMIGOS TREE				4,991.23
			INV-17943 - FENELLIA CRESCENT, CRAIGIE	00624	412.21	
			INV-17971 - PRUNING - HOCKING ROAD, KINGSLEY	00624	2,135.82	
			INV-17972 - PRUNING - BROADBEACH/ FAIRHAVEN HILLARYS	00624	602.14	
			INV-17973 - PRUNING - ALEXIS PLACE, DUNCRAIG	00624	632.21	
			INV-17976 - PRUNING - WHITHORN CIRCLE KINROSS	00624	824.42	
			INV-17989 - GENERAL PRUNING - GERDA COURT, GREENWOOD	00624	384.43	
EF138919	29/05/2026	TREE AMIGOS TREE SURGEONS TRUST T/AS TREE AMIGOS TREE				15,634.86
			INV-17511 - TREE REM -EZE TERRACE, AND LEEWARD CHASE	00624	6,273.16	
			INV-17998 - JAGOE COURT, MARMION	00624	384.43	
			INV-17999 - NATURALISTE BOULEVARD, ILUKA	00624	215.02	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-18000 - LAKESIDE DRIVE, JOONDALUP	00624	162.51	
			INV-18001 - ARBORICULTURAL SERVICES	00624	162.51	
			INV-18002 - CORNELL PARADE JOONDALUP	00624	430.06	
			INV-18015 - MAMO PLACE, GREENWOOD	00624	162.51	
			INV-18016 - KURRAJONG PARK, GREENWOOD	00624	824.42	
			INV-18018 - MADRONA CRESCENT, GREENWOOD	00624	412.21	
			INV-18019 - BLACKALL PARK, GREENWOOD	00624	1,704.43	
			INV-18024 - TENERIFE BOULEVARD, HILLARYS	00624	889.20	
			INV-18025 - FENELLIA CRESCENT CRAIGIE	00524	604.43	
			INV-18026 - CANHAM WAY GREENWOOD	00624	1,683.88	
			INV-18027 - ROBERTSON CYCLEWAY, KINGSLEY	00624	1,726.09	
EF138551	15/05/2026	TRITON ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS PTY LTD				16,054.50
			26903TE - MARRI PARK (FLOW METRE)	01321	198.00	
			26910TE - MCCUBBIN PARK (IRRIGATION FAULT)	01321	264.00	
			26911TE - RUTHERGLEN PARK (PUMP START)	01321	880.00	
			26917TE - ATMA PARK (PUMP START)	01321	2,926.00	
			26922TE - IRRIGATION - MIRROR PARK	01321	66.00	
			26927TE - WOODLEA PARK REPAIRS	01321	258.50	
			INV-2329 - HARTLEY PARK 4WK CUBICLE	01321	11,462.00	
EF138922	29/05/2026	TRITON ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS PTY LTD				24,638.90
			26938TE - PRINCE REGENT PARK REPAIRS	01321	478.50	
			26943TE - BLUE LAKE PARK	01321	198.00	
			26945TE - SEACREST NORTH	01321	66.00	
			INV-2331 - SMART WATER METERS	01321	3,036.00	
			INV-2332 - SMARTER WATER METERS VARIOUS	01321	3,036.00	
			INV-2333 - SMART METER WORKS	01321	2,428.80	
			INV-2334 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	2,103.20	
			INV-2335 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	2,710.40	
			INV-2336 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	2,514.60	
			INV-2337 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	2,712.60	
			INV-2338 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	2,233.00	
			INV-2339 - FLOW METER WORKS	01321	3,121.80	
EF138918	29/05/2026	TRIVETT PRINT				1,500.40
			S10724 - HEALTH CERTIFICATES		253.00	
			S10725 - FOOD BUSINESS RISK ASSESSMENT PADS X 40		1,247.40	
EF138813	29/05/2026	TRUSTEE FOR EGBURY TRUST (G AND G TOWING & TRANSPORT)				880.00
			16411 - DELIVERY		880.00	
EF138420	15/05/2026	TRUSTEE FOR THE JANSEN GRAY FAMILY TRUST T/AS GEOFF'S TREE				1,980.00
			J262304378 - CROWN MAINTENANCE - YULLEMA ST, MULLALOO	00624	858.00	
			J262503242 - TREE REMOVAL AND STUMP GRIND AT DUNCRAIG	00624	1,122.00	
EF138881	29/05/2026	TRUSTEE FOR THE SHEPHARD FAMILY TRUST (POOL ROBOTICS PERTH)				255.40
			26-00001504 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE		255.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138938	29/05/2026	TRUSTEE FOR WA LIMESTONE UNIT TRUST T/AS WA LIMESTONE CO				4,355.93
			FL45099 - 75MM LIMESTONE - CRUSHED - SUPPLY & DELI	VP429217	585.10	
			UP45100 - BRICKIES SAND (YELLOW) - SUPPLY & DELIVE	VP429217	3,770.83	
EF138930	29/05/2026	ULTIMATE POSITIONING GROUP PTY LTD				1,204.50
			PIN024651 - TOTAL STATION GENERAL SERVICE		1,204.50	
EF138565	15/05/2026	ULVERSCROFT LARGE PRINT BOOKS				5,109.93
			G52658 - STANDING ORDER STOCK FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		3,802.17	
			I167351AU - STOCK FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		442.20	
			I167352AU - STANDING ORDER STOCK FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		569.93	
			I167353AU - STOCK FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		189.20	
			I167457AU - STANDING ORDER STOCK FOR BOOKS ON WHEELS		106.43	
EF138566	15/05/2026	UNICORN GROUP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD				3,000.00
			140437 - PARTS & REPAIRS		3,000.00	
EF138567	15/05/2026	UNITING GLOBAL PTY LTD				98,800.98
			INV-4370 - SCHEDULE CC CONTRACT SANITARY APRIL 2026	CLEAN26B	1,661.64	
			INV-4376 - CONTRACT CLEANING FOR APRIL	03922	81,160.86	
			INV-4389 - RELIEF CLEAN SELECTED TOILETS	CLEAN26B	79.74	
			INV-4390 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 04 TOILETS	CLEAN26B	358.77	
			INV-4391 - HEATHRIDGE PARK CLUBROOM REACTIVE CLEAN	CLEAN26B	425.13	
			INV-4395 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 03 TOILETS	CLEAN26B	4,796.06	
			INV-4396 - RELIEF CLEAN – GROUP 05	CLEAN26B	2,657.03	
			INV-4397 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR ADMIN	CLEAN26B	5,048.40	
			INV-4398 - ADDICIONAL CLEANING	CLEAN26B	394.44	
			INV-4399 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 07	CLEAN26B	664.28	
			INV-4400 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 04 TOILETS	CLEAN26B	358.77	
			INV-4401 - PRINCE REGENT CHANGE ROOMS & KIOSK CLEAN	CLEAN26B	106.28	
			INV-4402 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 04 TOILETS	CLEAN26B	358.77	
			INV-4403 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR SELECTED TOILETS	CLEAN26B	79.74	
			INV-4404 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 07 TOILETS	CLEAN26B	358.77	
			INV-4405 - PRINCE REGENT	CLEAN26B	39.85	
			INV-4409 - RELIEF CLEAN FOR GROUP 8	CLEAN26B	252.45	
EF138931	29/05/2026	UNITING GLOBAL PTY LTD				10,887.17
			INV-4341 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	03922	372.06	
			INV-4385 - CLASS 3 - CLEANER (EMERGENCY 8.00AM TO 4	CLEAN26B	168.89	
			INV-4386 - CLASS 3 - CLEANER (SATURDAY)	CLEAN26B	403.55	
			INV-4408 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CLEAN26B	345.46	
			INV-4410 - CLASS 1 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	79.72	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			INV-4434 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	03922	1,921.52	
			INV-4441 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	744.12	
			INV-4442 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CLEAN26B	332.19	
			INV-4443 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	252.45	
			INV-4444 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	1,328.50	
			INV-4445 - CLASS 3 - CLEANER (SATURDAY)	CLEAN26B	572.08	
			INV-4446 - CLASS 3 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	106.28	
			INV-4447 - CLASS 3 - CLEANER (SUNDAY)	CLEAN26B	117.51	
			INV-4448 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	106.32	
			INV-4449 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	996.47	
			INV-4450 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CLEAN26B	252.45	
			INV-4451 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	664.28	
			INV-4452 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	358.77	
			INV-4453 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	411.93	
			INV-4475 - VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CLEAN26B	993.85	
			INV-4476 - CLASS 4 - CLEANER (MONDAY TO FRIDAY)	CLEAN26B	358.77	
EF138932	29/05/2026	URBAN ENTERPRISE PTY LTD				19,536.00
			251208-2 - NEIL HAWKINS PARK		19,536.00	
EF138936	29/05/2026	VANGUARD PUBLISHING PTY LTD				3,549.33
			7741 - SUNSET COAST MAP		3,549.33	
EF138531	15/05/2026	VEOLIA RECYCLING & RECOVERY PTY LTD				487,785.49
			61711383 - PROCESSING OF COMMINGLED RECYCLING	01224	107,052.43	
			61752847 - ORGANICS TO LANDSDALE	VP370257	166,448.46	
			61752919 - BULK HARD WASTE	00824B	92,515.65	
			61752960 - COMINGLE/WASTE 05/03/26		-1,488.14	
			61757891 - PROCESSING OF COMMINGLED RECYCLING	01224	123,257.09	
EF138572	15/05/2026	VEXEL PTY LTD				14,192.64
			62273 - COMPOSTABLE DOG WASTE BAG (ROLL OF 500)	01023	14,192.64	
EF138279	15/05/2026	VICTOR & CARLA CHRISTOU				70.00
			1034945_04/05/26 - REFUND SUMMER 2025 CREDIT		70.00	
EF138570	15/05/2026	VOCUS PTY LTD T/AS VOCUS COMMUNICATIONS				9,951.70
			P1448221 - IP WAN EQUIPMENT		514.80	
			P1451486 - VOCUS INTERNET SERVICES MAY 26		9,436.90	
EF138934	29/05/2026	VOCUS PTY LTD T/AS VOCUS COMMUNICATIONS				29,928.44
			P1451990 - MONTHLY CLOUD / IPWAN		29,928.44	
EF138438	15/05/2026	WA BUS AND COACHLINES PTY LTD (HORIZONS WEST BUS AND				537.93
			153884 - TRANSPORT FOR Y.E.S AUTUMN PROGRAM		537.93	
EF138588	15/05/2026	WA BUS AND EV SOLUTIONS PTY LTD (YUTONG BUS CENTRE WA)				321.75
			Y6CSP2850 - PARTS		321.75	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138979	29/05/2026	WA RANGERS ASSOCIATION				750.00
			INV-0445 - 1 YEAR MEMBERSHIP		750.00	
EF138583	15/05/2026	WAIVPAY LTD				534.48
			INV-18717 - GIFT CARDS FOR SCHOOL ART COMP		534.48	
EF138573	15/05/2026	WALGA				1,859.00
			SI-018413 - UNDERSTANDING LOCAL GOVERNMENT		1,859.00	
EF138621	15/05/2026	WANNEROO ELECTRICS UNIT TRUST				63,252.32
			B52350 - REID PROM TOILET - LOCK LIGHT FAULT	03022	1,372.44	
			B52429 - PADBURY COMMUNITY HALL	03022	116.11	
			B52430 - SORRENTO FOOTBALL CLUB	03022	914.03	
			B52446 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	03022	641.46	
			B52618 - LEXCEN PARK TOILETS	03022	206.91	
			B52630 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	03022	105.75	
			B52635 - KINGSLEY MEMORIAL CLUBROOMS	03022	252.51	
			B52667 - RENEW T8 FLUORESCENT TUBE 18W-58W. SUPPL	03022	647.52	
			B52674 - TEST OPERATION AND REPAIR – CHECK OPERAT	03022	116.11	
			B52681 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY - ELECTRICAL VANDALISM	03022	136.80	
			B52698 - PRINCE REGENT PARK TOILETS	03022	727.64	
			B52770 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY	03022	211.51	
			G52054 - ILUKA SPORTS COMPLEX	03022	1,151.28	
			G52451 - ROB BADDOCK COMMUNITY	03022	370.14	
			G52496 - NEIL HAWKINS	03022	1,243.23	
			G52531 - WORK OPERATION CENTRE	03022	550.88	
			G52535 - FINNEY PARK	03022	528.07	
			G52547 - WOODVALE LIBRARY	03022	533.02	
			G52571 - CONNOLLYUNDERPASSSTOWPK	03022	2,311.53	
			G52600 - ADMIRAL PARK	03022	168.99	
			G52609 - WOODVALE LIBRARY	03022	2,326.71	
			G52652 - HEATHRIDGE C/C	03022	180.48	
			G52656 - WINDERMERE PARK	03022	116.11	
			G52658 - ILUKA FORESHORE PARK	03022	529.93	
			G52716 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	03022	385.45	
			G52718 - WARWICK COMMUNITY HALL	03022	611.55	
			G52722 - DUNCRAIG LIBRARY	03022	617.30	
			G52741 - PENISTONE PARK CLUBROOMS	03022	818.46	
			G52748 - FLINDERS PARK	03022	2,538.51	
			G52749 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	03022	94.26	
			G52753 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	03022	94.26	
			G52757 - MARMION BEACH TOILETS	03022	340.25	
			G52759 - WOODVALE LIBRARY	03022	534.48	
			G52766 - TIMBERLANE HALL LIGHTS	03022	252.89	
			G52768 - SEACREST PARK COMMUNITY	03022	595.71	
			G52772 - CYCLE ROOM	03022	1,498.51	
			G52773 - WHITFORDS BEACH TOILETS/CHANGEROOMS	03022	348.31	
			G52786 - WHITFORDS LIBRARY	03022	94.26	
			G52797 - MELENE PARK TOILETS	03022	710.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			G52801 - WHITFORDS LIBRARY	03022	417.28	
			G52803 - CRAIGIE LEISURE LIGHTS	03022	533.32	
			G52811 - MARRI PARK TOILETS	03022	603.49	
			G52815 - HEATHRIDGE PARK CLUBROOMS	03022	180.48	
			G52816 - HOBSONS GATE	03022	328.78	
			G52820 - CHARONIA PARK TOILETS	03022	433.37	
			G52822 - ROB BADDOCK COMMUNITY HALL	03022	975.68	
			H30649 - BRAMSTON C/C REPAIRS	03022	337.96	
			H51944 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLION	03022	197.51	
			H52613 - FLEUR FREAME PAVILLION	03022	401.21	
			H52633 - DUNCRAIG LIBRARY	03022	882.83	
			H52663 - ADMIRAL PARK	03022	116.11	
			H52664 - ADMIRAL PARK	03022	585.19	
			H52693 - SORRENTO BEACH PARK	03022	7,144.71	
			H52694 - BELROSE PARK TOILETS	03022	433.37	
			H52702 - BELROSE PARK LIGHTS	03022	2,816.41	
			H52725 - WEST COAST DRIVE SORRENTO	03022	4,486.69	
			H52751 - MIRROR PARK	03022	156.09	
			H52792 - WARWICKTENNIS	03022	1,642.44	
			H52794 - HEATHRIDGEPARK	03022	1,168.16	
			K51748 - TOM SIMPSON PARK HIREABLE SHELTER	03022	10,041.67	
			K52670 - HEATHRIDGEPARK	03022	2,895.00	
			K52776 - CHICHESTER PARK CLUBROOMS	03022	317.26	
			K52777 - MELENE PARK TOILETS	03022	335.89	
			K52782 - TOM SIMPSON SHELTER LIGHT	03022	426.48	
			K52827 - ROB BADDOCK COMMUNITY HALL	03022	401.18	
EF138977	29/05/2026	WANNEROO ELECTRICS UNIT TRUST				38,664.44
			B30612 - 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCE TESTING	03022	15,927.48	
			B30624 - RCD TESTING PARKS – TEST AND RECORD RCD	03022	3,361.14	
			B30639 - JOONDALUP ADMIN	03022	158.63	
			B30645 - RENEW SURFACE MOUNTED EMERGENCY LIGHT.	03022	2,158.80	
			B30654 - REID PROM EXELOO TESTING	03022	105.75	
			B30658 - JOONDALUP ADMIN CIVIC	03022	740.28	
			B52589 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY- LIFTS-	03022	3,159.66	
			B52637 - HEATHRIDGE CC: ANCHORS	03022	325.51	
			B52649 - BRAMSTON PARK COMMUNITY HALL	03022	105.75	
			B52717 - JOONDALUP ADMIN EXPOSED WIRES	03022	116.11	
			B52760 - SIR JAMES MCCUSKER PARK	03022	211.51	
			B52761 - WORKS DEPOT POWER OUTAGE	03022	3,641.94	
			B52763 - COJ ADMINISTRATION	03022	701.80	
			B52809 - JOONDALUP ADMIN LIGHTS	03022	103.46	
			B52812 - JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION	03022	448.35	
			B52824 - JOONDALUP ADMIN POWERPOINT	03022	373.04	
			G52819 - FALKLAND PARK	03022	168.99	
			G52836 - WARRANDYTE PARK	03022	116.11	
			G52848 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	03022	286.23	
			G52862 - DUNCRAIG COMMUNITY HALL	03022	116.11	
			G52865 - CLC TV IN RECEPTION	03022	170.12	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			G52872 - CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE	03022	116.11	
			G52914 - BEAUMARIS COMMUNITY CENTRE	03022	196.57	
			K30625 - RCD TESTING PARKS – TEST AND RECORD RCD	03022	4,689.96	
			K52311 - WARWICK L/C AIR CON & FANS	03022	846.03	
			T51993 - WOC - DECAL STICKERS	03022	22.00	
			T52002 - STOCK	03022	44.00	
			T52616 - BEAUMARIS C/H	03022	253.00	
EF138576	15/05/2026	WARP PTY LTD				28,723.35
			621001362 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	1,427.21	
			621001385 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - FOSTON DRIVE DUNCRAIG	01525	1,816.39	
			621001386 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - MARIO WAY CRAIGIE	01525	1,205.30	
			621001446 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	1,279.56	
			621001553 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - NAUTILUS WAY KALLAROO	01525	2,544.15	
			621001572 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	1,070.65	
			621001588 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - NAUTILUS WAY KALLAROO	01525	1,570.18	
			621001590 - BOAS AVE TRAFFIC CONTROL	01525	1,581.91	
			621001637 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	2,004.29	
			621001648 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - LACEPEDE DR SORRENTO	01525	1,099.03	
			621001649 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - CONNOLLY WA	01525	624.18	
			621001650 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	1,427.20	
			621001651 - MEADOWBANK GDNS	01525	1,238.50	
			621001668 - SHENTON AVE (WEST)	VP401492	2,731.61	
			621001694 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT	01525	1,197.12	
			621001695 - LOCAL ROADS UNDER CITY MANAGEMENT - CRE	01525	1,570.18	
			621001697 - CABARITA LANE TRAFFIC CONTROL	01525	814.24	
			621001706 - TRAFFIC CONTROL - COOK AVE/ ST MARKS DR	01525	1,127.41	
			621001718 - JOONDALUP OUTDOOR POP-UP CINEMA	01525	1,197.12	
			621001719 - JOONDALUP OUTDOOR POP-UP CINEMA	01525	1,197.12	
EF138940	29/05/2026	WARP PTY LTD				25,974.55
			621001441 - SHENTON AVE (WEST)	VP401492	1,435.09	
			621001626 - DOLLIS WAY KINGSLEY	01525	1,661.87	
			621001670 - BURNS BEACH RD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	VP401492	5,244.03	
			621001699 - VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGN	01525	97.24	
			621001704 - VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	01525	680.68	
			621001722 - ARNISDALE RD TRAFFIC CONTROL	01525	1,275.47	
			621001743 - CABARITA LANE TRAFFIC CONTROL	01525	3,277.79	
			621001744 - 3 X PILOT VEHICLES, 1 X TRAILER MOUNTED	01525	1,071.61	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			621001780 - BROADBEACH BOULEVARD, HILLARYS	01525	8,224.34	
			621001782 - WOODVALE DRIVE, WOODVALE	01525	1,565.85	
			621001783 - SORRENTO CORE SAMPLES	01525	1,440.58	
EF138586	15/05/2026	WASTE WISE TRANSPORT SERVICES PTY LTD				385.00
			INV-0537 - URGENT ASBESTOS REMOVAL		385.00	
EF138947	29/05/2026	WASTE WISE TRANSPORT SERVICES PTY LTD				1,215.50
			INV-0554 - COLLECTION AND TRANSPORT OF COPPER LOGS		1,215.50	
EF138622	15/05/2026	WATER CORPORATION				93,269.33
			90 03061 01 0 21/04/26 - TROY AV MARMION 18/02-20/04/26		966.85	
			90 03068 85 3 28/04/26 - SORRENTO HALL		23.37	
			90 03073 08 9 21/04/26 - WEST COAST DR MARMION 19/02-20/04/26		189.87	
			90 03073 12 6 28/4/26 - SORRENTO SLSC & STH		2,465.32	
			90 03073 13 4 28/04/26 - SORRENTO NTH TOILETS		487.81	
			90 03077 09 8 21/04/26 - BRADEN WAY MARMION 18/02-20/04/26		46.74	
			90 03081 34 5 24/04/26 - ROBIN AV SORRENTO 19/02-23/04/26		87.63	
			90 03083 31 6 22/04/26 - WARWICK RD DUNCRAIG 20/02-21/04/26		9,197.67	
			90 03108 39 2 23/04/26 - MELENE RD DUNCRAIG 20/02-22/04/26		128.52	
			90 03121 00 1 21/04/26 - SEACREST DR SORRENTO 19/02-20/04/26		49.66	
			90 03132 63 2 22/04/26 - BEDDI RD DUNCRAIG 20/02-21/04/26		271.65	
			90 03148 02 8 24/04/26 - BROADBEACH BVD HILLARYS 23/02-23/04/26		663.07	
			90 03158 01 5 23/04/26 - FLEUR FREAME PAV		2,471.92	
			90 03165 27 4 24/04/26 - KIMBERLEY RD HILLARYS 19/02-23/04/26		46.74	
			90 03170 46 0 24/04/26 - MAWSON PK T/C FEB - APR 26		186.94	
			90 03184 36 0 23/04/26 - GIBSON AV PADBURY FEB - APR 26		17.53	
			90 03187 64 1 23/04/26 - GIBSON PARK FEB - APR 26		55.50	
			90 03198 47 1 24/04/26 - WHITFORDS AV HILLARYS 24/02-23/04/26		475.99	
			90 03208 33 4 23/04/26 - GRANADILLA PARK FEB - APR 26		5.84	
			90 03216 60 9 22/04/26 - DUNCRAIG CHC		20.45	
			90 03217 48 4 22/04/26 - JUNIPER PARK T/C		128.52	
			90 03228 00 1 23/04/26 - DUGDALE ST WARWICK 23/02-22/04/26		984.11	
			90 03229 26 6 23/04/26 - DORCHESTER AV WARWICK 23/02-22/04/26		318.39	
			90 03229 27 4 23/04/26 - WARWICK COM HALL FEB - APR 26		426.47	
			90 03229 71 7 23/04/26 - ELLERSDALE AV WARWICK 23/02-22/04/26		838.52	
			90 03231 62 2 22/04/26 - WARWICK RD WARWICK 23/02-21/04/26		2,366.01	
			90 03279 77 3 21/04/26 - BLACKALL DR GREENWOOD 18/02-20/04/26		37.97	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			90 03281 08 0 23/04/26 - BARRIDALE PARK FEB - APR 26		46.74	
			90 03285 60 4 21/04/26 - CALECTASIA ST GREENWOOD 18/02-20/04/26		315.47	
			90 03285 61 2 21/04/26 - CALECTASIA ST GREENWOOD 18/02-20/04/26		365.13	
			90 03295 49 0 21/04/26 - MOOLANDA BVD KINGSLEY 17/02-20/04/26		271.65	
			90 03331 83 4 13/03/26 - OCEANSIDE PROM MULLALOO 15/01-12/03/26		5,476.88	
			90 03331 85 0 13/03/26 - OCEANSIDE PROM MULLALOO 15/01-12/03/26		768.22	
			90 03590 79 9 28/04/26 - KINGSLEY DR KINGSLEY 26/02-24/04/26		388.49	
			90 03594 91 7 28/04/26 - ALTHAEA WAY WOODVALE 23/02-24/04/26		125.60	
			90 03603 66 8 28/4/26 - MOOLANDA T/C		40.89	
			90 03615 45 8 28/04/26 - WOODVALE LIB & COM		216.15	
			90 03616 95 2 24/04/26 - TRAPPERS DR WOODVALE 24/02-23/04/26		172.34	
			90 03749 63 2 13/04/26 - BOAS AV JOONDALUP 11/02-10/04/26		394.34	
			90 03751 80 4 13/04/26 - DAVIDSON TCE JOONDALUP 11/02-10/04/26		1,355.34	
			90 03803 47 5 13/04/26 - GLENELG PL CONNOLLY 09/02-10/04/26		406.02	
			90 14414 76 6 23/04/26 - ILUKA BCH FSHORE FEB - APR 26		87.63	
			90 14984 12 8 13/04/26 - REID PROM JOONDALUP 11/02-10/04/26		359.28	
			90 15727 64 1 29/04/26 - OCEAN REED ROAD LEASE		49,834.20	
			90 21647 61 3 23/04/26 - SHEPHERD BUSH TOILET FEB - APR 26		90.55	
			9003198455 29/04/2026 - HILLARYS ANICAL BEACH FEB - APR 2026		9,095.86	
			9003222638 29/03/26 - HILLWOOD PARK SOUTH FEB - APR 26		499.49	
EF138978	29/05/2026	WATER CORPORATION				73,885.00
			90 03090 45 2 29/04/26 - MARRI RD DUNCRAIG		8.76	
			90 03096 39 5 29/04/26 - GRANT ST DUNCRAIG 20/02-28/04/26		423.55	
			90 03172 17 5 29/04/26 - NORTHSHORE DR KALLAROO		782.83	
			90 03196 01 1 30/04/26 - BROADBEACH BVD HILLARYS		5.84	
			90 03223 29 4 29/04/26 - HAWKER AVE WARWICK		35.05	
			90 03313 20 6 14/05/26 - BANKS AV HILLARYS		210.31	
			90 03327 10 6 15/05/26 - SCAPHELLA AV MULLALOO 11/03-14/05/26		224.92	
			90 03331 83 4 13/05/26 - OCEANSIDE PROM MULLALOO 12/03-12/05/26		2,624.14	
			90 03331 85 0 13/05/26 - OCEANSIDE PROM MULLALOO 12/03-12/05/26		458.18	
			90 03337 41 9 13/05/26 - BLACKBOY PARK		20.45	
			90 03340 03 6 14/05/26 - DAMPIER AV KALLAROO		40.89	
			90 03342 83 2 14/05/26 - DAMPIER AV MULLALOO MAR - MAY 2026		29.21	
			90 03343 71 2 15/05/26 - KOORANA RD MULLALOO		58.42	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			90 03349 56 7 14/05/2 - BANKS AV HILLARYS		96.39	
			90 03352 86 2 14/05/26 - BATAVIA PL KALLAROO		32.13	
			90 03353 17 9 14/05/26 - BRIDGEWATER PRK MAR - MAY 26		20.45	
			90 03359 03 6 13/05/26 - BELROSE PRK T/C		73.03	
			90 03366 42 0 20/05/26 - BYRNE CL PADBURY MAR - MAY 2026		1,081.31	
			90 03366 42 0 AMENDED - PAID TO THE CORRECT ACCOUNT BUT WATER CORPORATION PUT IT TO THE INCORRECT INV THEY HAVE CREDITED IT		948.18	
			90 03375 24 7 20/05/26 - WHITFORDS AV CRAIGIE 17/03-18/05/26		13,734.54	
			90 03378 53 6 18/05/26 - BELDON PRK TOILET MAR - MAY 2026		40.89	
			90 03403 74 6 18/05/26 - WARRANDYTE CLUB MAR - MAY 26		87.63	
			90 03630 97 3 07/05/26 - CHANNEL DR HEATHRIDGE 09/03-06/05/26		102.24	
			90 03633 43 7 08/05/26 - PRINCE REGENT T/C		396.64	
			90 03650 56 0 12/05/26 - SAIL TCE HEATHRIDGE		64.26	
			90 03650 57 9 12/05/26 - SAIL TCE HEATHRIDGE 10/03-11/05/26		175.26	
			90 03680 94 6 05/05/26 - EMERALD WAY EDGEWATER 04/03-04/05/26		108.08	
			90 03758 38 7 09/04/26 - CALEDONIA AV CURRAMBINE 11/02-08/04/26		29.21	
			90 03758 38 7 12022026 - CALEDONIA T/C (H)		26.29	
			90 03823 84 7 05/05/26 - BURNS BEACH RD BURNS BCH 03/03-04/05/26		490.57	
			90 03826 68 5 12/05/26 - FALKLAND WAY KINROSS		1,337.82	
			90 03829 24 5 11/05/26 - MACNAUGHTON CR KINROSS 10/03-08/05/26		70.10	
			90 10448 94 2 24/04/26 - AMALFI DR HILLARYS 20/02-23/04/26		52.58	
			90 14745 43 4 05/05/26 - BEACHSIDE PARK MAR-MAY 26		40.89	
			90 15727 64 1 20/05/26 - WOC OCEAN REEF ROAD, CRAIGIE		49,834.20	
			90 16054 12 7 06/05/26 - BRAMSTON VSTA BURNS BEACH 04/03-05/05/26		90.55	
			90 20349 72 9 05/05/26 - MCINTYRE AV BURNS BEACH		5.84	
			90 20631 17 7 27/05/26 - EDGEWATER DR EDGEWATER		5.84	
			90 21992 65 2 30/04/26 - HEPBURN AV PADBURY 23/02-29/04/26		17.53	
EF138945	29/05/2026	WATERLINK ELEMENTS PTY LTD (WATER-LINK IRRIGATION)				4,576.00
			WL0001273 - SANTIAGO PARK ATHLETICS	VP466986	4,576.00	
EF138578	15/05/2026	WATS MANAGEMENT PTY LTD T/AS AUSTRAFFIC WA				12,540.00
			1980 - TRAFFIC COUNT DATA PICKUP		12,540.00	
EF138372	15/05/2026	WAYNE WINSTON WALTERS (BLUE CREW)				800.00
			E000010 - FUNKY FISH PRINTS - DUNCRAIG		800.00	
EF138579	15/05/2026	WCP CIVIL PTY LTD				442,587.83
			34766 - NEW ROUNDABOUT CONSTRUCTION WORKS		442,587.83	
EF138275	15/05/2026	WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPERS LIMITED				9,768.50
			01268457 17/04/26 - JOO-REF-ADMIN		518.40	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			1001864820260430 - NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING APRIL 2026		7,686.30	
			1268804 07/05/26 - 07.05 - 30.07.26 PAPERS		919.79	
			407203052026 03/05/26 - WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPER CLC		313.39	
			407226042026 - WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPER FOR PRO SHOP		330.62	
EF138648	29/05/2026	WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPERS LIMITED				904.27
			407210052026 - WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPER W/E 10/05/26		356.26	
			407217052026 - WEST AUSTRALIAN NEWSPAPER FOR PRO SHOP		338.02	
			84673 13/04/26 - DUNCRAIG LIBRARY THE WEST NEWSPAPER		209.99	
EF138337	15/05/2026	WEST COAST SPRING WATER (AUSSIE NATURAL SPRING WATER)				281.58
			4387507 - WATER		64.98	
			4409261 - SUPPLY OF WATER FOR PLANNING SERVICES		54.15	
			4409264 - WATER		54.15	
			4409267 - 28 X15L WATER BOTTLES		10.83	
			4409268 - WATER BOTTLES		75.81	
			4409269 - SUPPLY OF SPRING WATER		21.66	
EF138726	29/05/2026	WEST COAST SPRING WATER (AUSSIE NATURAL SPRING WATER)				386.01
			4410967 - ANNUAL WATERCOOLER HIRE FOR THE GALLERY		93.60	
			4436715 - SUPPLY OF WATER FOR PLANNING SERVICES		86.64	
			4436716 - 28 X15LWATER BOTTLES		32.49	
			4436719 - CUSTOMER SERVICE		75.81	
			4436722 - LCS & L3-LEISURE AND CULTURAL		64.98	
			4436723 - SUPPLY OF SPRING WATER		32.49	
EF138575	15/05/2026	WESTERN IRRIGATION PTY LTD				26,668.57
			G84465 - RETIC ORDER	03822	537.70	
			G84466 - RETIC PARTS	03822	1,832.33	
			G84467 - IRRIGATION ORDER	03822	3,762.04	
			G84468 - RETIC PARTS	03822	8.84	
			G84469 - RETIC PARTS	03822	697.95	
			G84524 - PARTS	03822	171.07	
			G84525 - SPARE PARTS	03822	942.88	
			G84526 - SPARE PARTS	03822	440.08	
			G84527 - SOLENOID PARTS	03822	961.95	
			G84630 - PARTS	03822	138.71	
			G84632 - VALVE BOX RAINBIRD JUMBO WITH LID	03822	7,271.09	
			G84633 - PARTS		1,018.71	
			G84633 - PARTS	03822	154.02	
			G84639 - KING ONE STEP WIRE CONNECTOR MEDIUM (BLA	03822	1,249.91	
			G84652 - MATERIALS	03822	107.50	
			G84653 - PARTS	03822	936.69	
			G84655 - PARTS	03822	2,006.13	
			G84701 - SPRINKLER HUNTER I-40-04 S/S	03822	1,022.47	
			G84738 - PARTS	03822	3,408.50	
EF138939	29/05/2026	WESTERN IRRIGATION PTY LTD				8,059.67
			G4676 - CREDIT NOTE AGAINST INV G84275		-51.98	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			G84486 - HARTLEY PARK		1,404.44	
			G84486 - HARTLEY PARK	03822	3,376.89	
			G84810 - FITTING PVC CAP 150MM	03822	32.82	
			G84867 - REACTIVE MATERIALS - IRRIGATION		227.70	
			G84873 - METRIC POLY JOINER		98.24	
			G84912 - SPRINKLER HUNTER I-20-04 S/S	03822	681.78	
			G84913 - SPRINKLER HUNTER I-20-04 S/S	03822	1,363.56	
			G84953 - FITTING PVC REDUCING TEE 100MM X 80MM	03822	68.18	
			G85070 - IRRIGATION ORDER	03822	858.04	
EF138577	15/05/2026	WESTERN RESOURCE RECOVERY PTY LTD				2,802.60
			147971 - CHICHESTER PARK CLUBROOMS	VP450988	525.14	
			148217 - SM - GREASE TRAP CLEANING - APRIL 2026	VP450988	484.00	
			148398 - SM - GREASE TRAP CLEANING - APRIL 2026	VP450988	498.52	
			148570 - FLEUR FRAME PAVILLION	VP450988	864.18	
			148571 - PENISTONE PARK CLUBROOMS	VP450988	430.76	
EF138941	29/05/2026	WESTERN RESOURCE RECOVERY PTY LTD				307.34
			147969 - GREASE TRAP CLEANING SERVICES	VP450988	307.34	
EF138574	15/05/2026	WESTRAC				322.92
			SI1894492 - PARTS & REPAIR		322.92	
EF138268	15/05/2026	WHITFORD HOCKEY CLUB INCORPORATED				181.00
			WHC-12772 - TEA AND COFFEE FOR 19 MARCH 2026		181.00	
113652	20/05/2026	WHITFORD LIBRARY PETTY CASH				150.25
			PETTY CASH W/E 15/05/26 - REIMBURSEMENT OF PETTY CASH		150.25	
EF138581	15/05/2026	WINC AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED (WINC AUSTRALIA)				6,728.56
			9049881991 - BUSINESS CARDS		105.23	
			9050091510 - STATIONERY		113.22	
			9050265361 - STATIONERY		274.21	
			9050271443 - STATIONERY		7.90	
			9050304508 - KENSINGTON PRO FIT FULL-SIZE USB MOUSE		47.78	
			9050316134 - PRINT ROOM PAPER ORDER - 22 APRIL 2026		1,656.27	
			9050325533 - STATIONERY ORDER		592.74	
			9050329167 - STATIONERY ETC		281.18	
			9050331360 - BUSINESS CARD		188.56	
			9050344569 - STATIONARY ETC		181.10	
			9050356688 - WOC STATIONARY ORDER		964.61	
			9050367420 - STATIONERY		645.41	
			9050373416 - ADMIN KITCHEN STOCK AND BAR STOCK		120.18	
			9050374641 - STATIONERY		199.06	
			9050374767 - ADMIN KITCHEN STOCK AND BAR STOCK		354.31	
			9050374770 - STATIONERY		132.02	
			9050376068 - STATIONERY ORDER		164.68	
			9050384088 - STATIONERY ORDER		141.79	
			9050385748 - STATIONERY ORDER		216.02	
			9050392596 - STATIONERY ORDER		120.18	
			9050394849 - IT STATIONERY		222.11	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138943	29/05/2026	WINC AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED (WINC AUSTRALIA)				7,932.58
			9050284467 - STATIONERY		45.04	
			9050290186 - STATIONERY		3.50	
			9050331361 - FREIGHT BUSINESS CARDS		10.95	
			9050358745 - BUSINESS CARDS		94.28	
			9050384042 - STATIONERY		238.43	
			9050407058 - STATIONERY		193.35	
			9050410530 - UNI-BALL LAKNOCK RETRACTABLE BALLPOINT P		50.82	
			9050425999 - QUARTET DISPLAY BOARD SNAP FRAME A2 25M		987.36	
			9050434165 - STATIONERY ORDER FOR WHITFORD		281.80	
			9050434170 - STATIONERY		212.49	
			9050434515 - STATIONERY		137.37	
			9050434572 - STATIONEY ORDER		121.19	
			9050434980 - JOONDALUP LIBRARY ADMIN/CM		112.92	
			9050435119 - STATIONERY ORDER FOR JOONDALUP		202.05	
			9050435835 - WOODVALE STATIONERY ORDER		349.64	
			9050441096 - STATIONERY ORDER FOR JOONDALUP		5.13	
			9050443019 - STATIONERY		981.09	
			9050454414 - STATIONERY ETC		197.23	
			9050455257 - STATIONERY		205.35	
			9050471442 - STATIONERY		288.24	
			9050473137 - STATIONERY		134.06	
			9050475573 - STATIONERY ITEMS WASTE SVS		1,486.10	
			9050479726 - STATIONERY		574.20	
			9050494663 - QUARTET DISPLAY BOARD SNAP FRAME A2 25M		65.82	
			9050506825 - STATIONERY ITEMS WASTE SVS		333.30	
			9050511205 - CANON HS-1200TS BUSINESS DESKTOP CALCULA		223.91	
			9050514566 - LC STATAIONERY		248.99	
			9050522396 - STATIONERY		32.25	
			9050524943 - STATIONERY		115.72	
EF138292	15/05/2026	WIND CAVE AUSTRALIA				1,690.44
			3177680 - WINDCAVE SERVICE FEE		1,690.44	
EF138271	15/05/2026	WOODVALE SECONDARY COLLEGE				337.80
			51286 - REFUND FOR INCORRECT HIRE		337.80	
EF138538	15/05/2026	WORKSHOP CONSULTANCY PTY LTD (STRENGTH HEROES)				2,200.00
			INV-0399 - ART OF STRENGTH WORKSHOP SERIES		2,200.00	
EF138902	29/05/2026	WRAPTURE DESIGNS PTY LTD T/AS SIGNS	SONIC			574.75
			INV-10301 - UNLAMINATED REFLECTIVE VINYL		574.75	
EF138587	15/05/2026	YHI POWER PTY LTD				576.18
			9036032434 - PARTS ONLY		244.30	
			9036032658 - PARTS ONLY		331.88	
EF138949	29/05/2026	ZIPFORM PTY LTD				209.00
			227217 - PRINT PENSIONER REMINDER NOTICES BASE ST	VP442784	209.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138316	15/05/2026	ZOE JONKER				150.00
			INWE26/23147 - DOG REGISTRATION REFUND		150.00	
						10,312,528.83

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF MUNICIPAL PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Contract	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
Cancelled payments issued prior to May 2026						
EF136547	4/05/2026	DALIA FAHMY				-73.50
			EF136547 -		-73.50	
110533	13/05/2026	JONATHAN RUIZ				-150.00
			110533 -		-150.00	
						-223.50
			NET PAYMENT AMOUNT			**$10,312,305.33**

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF BOND PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
Payments					
EF138261	5/05/2026	ADRIAN D'VAUZ			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138267	15/05/2026	ANTHONY CLIFFORD			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138258	5/05/2026	BIANCA PAOLINI			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138262	11/05/2026	BRUANNE PTY LTD T/AS SERTORIO HOMES			1,000.00
			BOND	1,000.00	
EF138631	22/05/2026	CARINE CATS BALL CLUB INC			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138260	5/05/2026	CHANDANJIT KAUR			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138257	5/05/2026	DAMON BROWN			100.00
			BOND	100.00	
EF138635	2/06/2026	ENDEAVOUR HOMES			2,000.00
			BOND	2,000.00	
EF138640	28/05/2026	FACE TO FACE TOURING			2,000.00
			BOND	2,000.00	
EF138632	22/05/2026	GERT JAKOBUS BEUKES			843.00
			BOND	843.00	
EF138255	5/05/2026	HEMANT GABA			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138641	28/05/2026	IDEAL HOMES P/L			2,000.00
			BOND	2,000.00	
EF138634	2/06/2026	INTEGRO HOMES PTY LTD			2,000.00
			BOND IVP 25/0172 OXLEY AVENUE	2,000.00	
EF138265	11/05/2026	JENNA WEALL			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138259	5/05/2026	JESSICA JONES			2,819.00
			BOND	2,819.00	
EF138638	28/05/2026	KATE GILES			843.00
			BOND	843.00	
EF138643	28/05/2026	KIM JAMES			1,000.00
			BOND	1,000.00	
EF138264	11/05/2026	MELISSA POWELL			1,000.00
			BOND	1,000.00	
EF138637	28/05/2026	MICHAEL O'SHEA			1,000.00
			BOND	1,000.00	
EF138256	5/05/2026	NORA AYRTON			2,500.00
			BOND	2,500.00	
EF138639	28/05/2026	PERWAY CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PTY LTD			1,000.00
			BOND	1,000.00	
EF138636	2/06/2026	PETA TAYLOR			2,500.00
			BOND	2,500.00	
EF138263	11/05/2026	PULLELLA PROPERTY TRUST			2,000.00
			BOND	2,000.00	
EF138266	11/05/2026	RUTH ROONEY			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138253	5/05/2026	SHARON BROOKE			100.00
			BOND	100.00	

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF BOND PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
EF138633	2/06/2026	SOUTH PADBURY PRIMARY SCHOOL P&C			750.00
			BOND	750.00	
EF138642	28/05/2026	THOMASZ DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION			2,000.00
			BOND	2,000.00	
EF138254	5/05/2026	TREVOR BARTLEY			100.00
			BOND	100.00	
					33,555.00

CEO's Delegated Payments List - Regulation 13(1)

Local Government (Financial Management) regulations 1996 ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF BOND PAYMENTS - Payment Detail for Month of May 2026

Payment No	Payment Date	Payee	Invoice Description	Invoice Amount	Payment Amount
			NET PAYMENT AMOUNT		**$33,555.00**

ATTACHMENT 12.11.3
attachment 3

MUNICIPAL AND BOND FUND CHEQUES, EFT'S & VOUCHERS FOR THE MONTH OF MAY 2026				
VOUCHER	**DATE**	**DETAILS**		**AMOUNT**
		Municipal Cheques & EFT Payments		
Creditor Payments		113645 - 113657 & EF138268 - EF138630 & EF138644 - EF138980	$	10,312,528.83
			-$	223.50
			$	**10,312,305.33**
		Municipal Vouchers		
4208A	01-May-26	PrePays FE 20/04/2026, 08/05/2026, 22/05/2026	$	8,742.85
4210A	01-May-26	Bank Fees	$	8,074.66
4211A	06-May-26	Court eLodgement-Inv 36175644, 36175646 each $194.	$	388.60
4212A	12-May-26	Court eLodgement-Inv 36204037	$	8,584.50
4213A	13-May-26	Court eLodgement-Inv 36204663	$	194.30
4214A	12-May-26	Pay FE 08-05-2026	$	2,563,515.57
4215A	13-May-26	Super FE 08-05-2026	$	429,809.38
4216A	15-May-26	Super EM May 2026	$	6,046.17
4217A	15-May-26	PrePays FE 22/05/2026 and 05/06/26	$	23,089.56
4218A	26-May-26	International Payment - Voyager Art, United Kingdom	$	4,891.37
4219A	18-May-26	Ccard TopUp KB	$	10,000.00
4220A	26-May-26	Payroll FE 22/05/2026	$	2,556,127.10
4221A	27-May-26	Super FE 22/05/2026	$	439,574.84
			$	**6,059,038.90**
		Bond Cheques & EFT Payments		
Creditor Payments		EF138253 - EF138267 & EF138631-EF138643	$	33,555.00
			$	-
			$	**33,555.00**
		TOTAL	**$**	**16,404,899.23**

CORPORATE CREDIT CARD TRANSACTIONS - MAY 2026				
Corporate Card	Date	Amount	Payee	Description
CEO Credit Card	27/05/2026	3.75	CARD FEE	Management Accounting - card fees
Corporate Travel Card	26/05/2026	17.60	ATPI AU* I-22538325 ST KILDA AUS	Program vetting - Vivid Sydney - Cristal Palace and Outdoor works - Sydney June 2026, Booking Fee paid
	26/05/2026	404.44	JETSTARBJ8LVI COLLINGWOOD AUS	Program vetting - Vivid Sydney - Cristal Palace and Outdoor works - Sydney June 2026, Flight Costs paid
	27/05/2026	3.75	CARD FEE	Management Accounting - card fees
Civic Hospitality / Catering Credit Card	23/04/2026	674.80	Coles -	Budget Workshop, Citizenship Ceremony, Admin stock coffee
	3/05/2026	351.83	Coles -	Major projects & finance, Strategy Session, Bar stocks
	7/05/2026	46.00	KMART -	Equipment small
	7/05/2026	198.00	Coles -	Admin stock coffee
	6/05/2026	512.59	Coles -	Audit & risk Comm Mtg, Briefing session, Local emergency Mtg
	18/05/2026	105.75	Coles -	Appreciation function
	17/05/2026	593.85	Coles -	Policy Committee Mtg, Joondalup design ref panel, Appreciation function , Yellagonga Mtg
	19/05/2026	87.33	ALDI -	Appreciationvfunction Flowers, Appreciation function Food
	23/05/2026	100.45	Spud Shed -	Council Meeting
	25/05/2026	471.54	Coles -	Council Meeting, Admin stock
	27/05/2026	3.75	CARD FEE	Management Accounting - card fees
Purchasing Credit Card	28/04/2026	542.69	FACEBK *RF82YL5D92 -	Always On - Top of Funnel
	30/04/2026	1,032.51	FACEBK *4UU7GNHVU2 -	Facebook advertising - Libraries Membership Campaign, Duncraig Adventure Hub, Step into Volunteering, Uptown, Green Waste Duncraig, Experience Joondalup, Artist Call out, Seniors Expo 2026, community growing together workshops
	30/04/2026	555.50	Coles -	Service Recognition for 15 years $250, 30 years- $300
	30/04/2026	573.18	ALL-ACCESS-PASS-TIERS, USA -	Online purchase of gravity plugin for public facing forms
	30/04/2026	249.70	SP LIFESIZECUTOUTS -	Fifa Soccer World Cup member event cutout of soccer players.
	1/05/2026	515.97	DIGITALOCEAN.COM -	Digital Ocean webmaster monthly subscription for April2026
	1/05/2026	57.00	OFFICEWORKS -	Ordering food portioned biscuits for a community workshop on child safety
	1/05/2026	123.00	OFFICEWORKS -	Ordering food portioned biscuits for 2 clay training sessions morning tea
	2/05/2026	8.68	Google CLOUD -	Monthly charge for May month
	3/05/2026	44.00	NEWS PTY LIMITED -	The Australian digital subscription - monthly payment
	4/05/2026	77.77	SMSbroadcast -	SMS Broadcast Service for Recreation Services
	4/05/2026	3,713.94	MARQ, USA -	Quarterly renewal Mar 31 – Jun 30 2026 - Document templating
	4/05/2026	3,937.89	DYENAMIC SUBLIMATION -	50% deposit to enable the commencement of the library polos to be produced.
	4/05/2026	1,236.00	FACEBK *RS48GMVVU2 -	Facebook advertising - Libraries Membership Campaign, Duncraig Adventure Hub, Step into Volunteering, Uptown, Green Waste Duncraig, Experience Joondalup, Artist Call out, Seniors Expo 2026, community growing together workshops, Tribute Collection exhibitions
	4/05/2026	1,458.16	FACEBK *Z6R7LM5D92 -	Always On - Top of Funnel
	4/05/2026	161.30	TWILIO SENDGRID, USA -	Email activation monthly fee
	5/05/2026	29.99	Google One -	Google one subscription
	7/05/2026	440.00	ARBWEST -	Attendance at ArbWest seminar – purchase of ticket
	7/05/2026	150.00	DEPARTMENT OF BIODIVER -	Payment of 2 applications for flora taking crown land licence
	8/05/2026	30.00	OFFICEWORKS -	Purchase of Avery 12UP Inkjet Laser Gloss Round Labels White 10 Sheets
	8/05/2026	316.13	SP MUNCHKIN BEAR -	Purchase of playmats for Creche
	12/05/2026	20.57	ZEROTIER INC, USA -	Purchase of Monthly Subscription to Zero Tier service to enable secure network connects between the Mobile CCTV towers and City CCTV systems.
	13/05/2026	25.99	FAIRFAX SUBSCRIPTION -	Fairfax monthly digital subscription WA today
	14/05/2026	925.97	WHOSONLOCATION, NZL -	MRI OnLocation — Annual Subscription
	14/05/2026	1,199.00	AUST INST BLDG SURVEY -	staff conference payment for annual AIBS - building surveyor
	14/05/2026	355.56	WPO365-PROFESSIONAL, Zurich - -	WPO computer programming yearly renewal fees
	14/05/2026	141.00	DEPT OF HEALTH PHARM -	Payment for Poison permit
	14/05/2026	1,010.30	iSCRIBE, USA -	Renewal of annual software agreement for the Scribe How software as a service used by Staff in Community Safety In creating How-To guides
	15/05/2026	1,236.00	FACEBK *3CZD4RMVU2 -	Facebook advertising - Libraries Membership Campaign, Duncraig Adventure Hub, Step into Volunteering, Uptown, Green Waste Duncraig, Experience Joondalup, Artist Call out, Seniors Expo 2026, community growing together workshops, Tribute Collection exhibitions
	15/05/2026	250.00	OFFICEWORKS -	$250 gift card for service recognition for 16+ years
	15/05/2026	207.95	OFFICEWORKS -	$200 gift card for service recognition 10 years service
	15/05/2026	208.09	MALL MANAGERS WA -	elected members council connect
	16/05/2026	1,458.16	FACEBK *68GZ8VVC92 -	Always On - Top of Funnel
	18/05/2026	433.72	Intuit -	Monthly charge for Craigie Leisure Centre Mailchimp subscription
	18/05/2026	924.00	TICKETS*AMONGST TH -	Attendance at Arbor Urban "Amongst the Trees" seminar 20 & 21 July 2026 – tickets are purchased via website
	21/05/2026	2,115.00	ARCADE REWIND -	Purchase of Youth room furniture
	26/05/2026	164.45	Woolworths -	Directorate Morning Tea — Council debrief
	27/05/2026	3.75	CARD FEE	Management Accounting - card fees
		29,508.35		

Fuel Transactions May 2026

DATE	REGO/PLANT NO	PROD/SERVICE	FLEET TYPE	PRD INCGST	TRN FEE	TOTAL PYMT
30/04/2026	1IBG288	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	126.68	0.00	126.68
30/04/2026	1ICZ340	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	54.53	0.00	54.53
1/05/2026	F94951	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	14.90	0.00	14.90
1/05/2026	F94956	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	15.07	0.00	15.07
1/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	9.03	0.00	9.03
1/05/2026	F94978	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	44.56	0.00	44.56
1/05/2026	F94979	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	16.98	0.00	16.98
1/05/2026	F94985	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	13.22	0.00	13.22
1/05/2026	1EZW318	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	108.23	0.00	108.23
1/05/2026	1GCJ254	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	164.52	0.00	164.52
1/05/2026	1GPX 374	ULP	CAR FLEET	23.43	0.00	23.43
1/05/2026	1GTW 208	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	357.57	0.00	357.57
1/05/2026	1GXA947	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	307.69	0.00	307.69
1/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	154.51	0.00	154.51
1/05/2026	1HCZ440	ULP	CAR FLEET	67.20	0.00	67.20
1/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	57.13	0.00	57.13
1/05/2026	1HLP164	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	282.27	0.00	282.27
1/05/2026	1HWE692	ULP	CAR FLEET	61.73	0.00	61.73
1/05/2026	1HXZ 270	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.35	0.00	121.35
1/05/2026	1HXZ 960	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	65.40	0.00	65.40
1/05/2026	1HRW 078	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	86.34	0.00	86.34
1/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	72.87	0.00	72.87
1/05/2026	1IBU908	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	26.62	0.00	26.62
1/05/2026	1IEG429	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	129.09	0.00	129.09
1/05/2026	1IDS304	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	132.84	0.00	132.84
1/05/2026	1IHB260	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	104.29	0.00	104.29
1/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	55.06	0.00	55.06
1/05/2026	1INY388	ULP	CAR FLEET	62.80	0.00	62.80
1/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	108.14	0.00	108.14
1/05/2026	1IPS151	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	146.00	0.00	146.00
1/05/2026	1IPD872	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	85.17	0.00	85.17
1/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	26.36	0.00	26.36
1/05/2026	1IVF718	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	107.68	0.00	107.68
1/05/2026	1IVK835	ULP	CAR FLEET	73.41	0.00	73.41
1/05/2026	1IWJ579	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	162.68	0.00	162.68
1/05/2026	1IXA279	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	356.49	0.00	356.49
2/05/2026	1ICZ331	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	139.25	0.00	139.25
2/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	79.91	0.00	79.91
2/05/2026	1IPJ556	ULP	CAR FLEET	58.29	0.00	58.29
2/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	66.62	0.00	66.62
3/05/2026	1HWY905	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	122.90	0.00	122.90
3/05/2026	1ICZ340	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	53.11	0.00	53.11
3/05/2026	1IEQ051	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	83.06	0.00	83.06
3/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	74.37	0.00	74.37
3/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	70.87	0.00	70.87
4/05/2026	1GAQ376	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	177.27	0.00	177.27

4/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	76.72	0.00	76.72
4/05/2026	1HPD682	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	95.43	0.00	95.43
4/05/2026	1HVD890	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	226.14	0.00	226.14
4/05/2026	1IBR445	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	173.30	0.00	173.30
4/05/2026	1ICZ339	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	145.91	0.00	145.91
4/05/2026	1IJM351	ULP	CAR FLEET	87.91	0.00	87.91
4/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	76.04	0.00	76.04
4/05/2026	1HBQ371	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	201.94	0.00	201.94
4/05/2026	1IPJ557	ULP	CAR FLEET	42.66	0.00	42.66
4/05/2026	1IOW185	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	-94.86	0.00	-94.86
4/05/2026	1IOW185	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	94.86	0.00	94.86
4/05/2026	1IOW185	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	94.86	0.00	94.86
4/05/2026	1IPD757	ULP	CAR FLEET	65.37	0.00	65.37
4/05/2026	1IPD854	ULP	CAR FLEET	71.92	0.00	71.92
4/05/2026	1HXZ 271	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	85.71	0.00	85.71
4/05/2026	1IWY585	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	139.83	0.00	139.83
4/05/2026	1IRO178	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	128.74	0.00	128.74
4/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	110.50	0.00	110.50
5/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	14.92	0.00	14.92
5/05/2026	F94981	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	14.90	0.00	14.90
5/05/2026	F94982	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	12.46	0.00	12.46
5/05/2026	1GNE 037	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	192.15	0.00	192.15
5/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	128.23	0.00	128.23
5/05/2026	1HEL551	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	137.49	0.00	137.49
5/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	45.20	0.00	45.20
5/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	124.06	0.00	124.06
5/05/2026	1HGX 396	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	125.25	0.00	125.25
5/05/2026	F94980	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	45.74	0.00	45.74
5/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	142.97	0.00	142.97
5/05/2026	1HRX 082	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	161.29	0.00	161.29
5/05/2026	1IBU908	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	114.35	0.00	114.35
5/05/2026	1IBG279	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	80.60	0.00	80.60
5/05/2026	1IDC524	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	129.68	0.00	129.68
5/05/2026	1IDS306	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	126.43	0.00	126.43
5/05/2026	1IFQ737	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	446.98	0.00	446.98
5/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	135.06	0.00	135.06
5/05/2026	1IHB260	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	98.18	0.00	98.18
5/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	44.87	0.00	44.87
5/05/2026	1IJM350	ULP	CAR FLEET	90.48	0.00	90.48
5/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	47.04	0.00	47.04
5/05/2026	1IPD852	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	95.69	0.00	95.69
5/05/2026	1IQM594	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	142.45	0.00	142.45
5/05/2026	1IRO095	ULP	CAR FLEET	77.11	0.00	77.11
5/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	26.32	0.00	26.32
6/05/2026	F94955	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	17.60	0.00	17.60
6/05/2026	F94974	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	52.27	0.00	52.27
6/05/2026	F94950	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	17.53	0.00	17.53
6/05/2026	1GET700	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	273.59	0.00	273.59
6/05/2026	1GLQ010	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	154.91	0.00	154.91
6/05/2026	1GOO 935	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	326.57	0.00	326.57

6/05/2026	1HBZ562	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	82.48	0.00	82.48
6/05/2026	1HGM 314	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	141.12	0.00	141.12
6/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	90.85	0.00	90.85
6/05/2026	1HPD682	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	124.91	0.00	124.91
6/05/2026	1HPR183	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	158.40	0.00	158.40
6/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	204.95	0.00	204.95
6/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	227.52	0.00	227.52
6/05/2026	1HXZ 270	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	127.99	0.00	127.99
6/05/2026	1HMD 957	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	124.98	0.00	124.98
6/05/2026	1IBB826	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	196.59	0.00	196.59
6/05/2026	1IBM089	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	112.07	0.00	112.07
6/05/2026	1ICY327	ULP	CAR FLEET	42.71	0.00	42.71
6/05/2026	F98433	ULP	SPRAYER - LARGE	16.05	0.00	16.05
6/05/2026	1ICZ338	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	118.88	0.00	118.88
6/05/2026	1ICZ337	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	138.76	0.00	138.76
6/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	15.88	0.00	15.88
6/05/2026	1IKZ143	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	84.19	0.00	84.19
6/05/2026	1IRG795	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	107.75	0.00	107.75
6/05/2026	1IUD899	ULP	CAR FLEET	94.02	0.00	94.02
6/05/2026	1IVJ049	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	93.41	0.00	93.41
7/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	22.64	0.00	22.64
7/05/2026	F94985	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	8.21	0.00	8.21
7/05/2026	1EZW318	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	120.83	0.00	120.83
7/05/2026	1GMK837	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	210.57	0.00	210.57
7/05/2026	1GPX 373	ULP	CAR FLEET	55.55	0.00	55.55
7/05/2026	1GUD 414	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	205.07	0.00	205.07
7/05/2026	1GYG391	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	209.75	0.00	209.75
7/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	120.94	0.00	120.94
7/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	99.07	0.00	99.07
7/05/2026	1HGO177	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	119.88	0.00	119.88
7/05/2026	1HIH562	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	113.02	0.00	113.02
7/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	162.68	0.00	162.68
7/05/2026	1HTH737	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	117.97	0.00	117.97
7/05/2026	1HTV858	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	183.66	0.00	183.66
7/05/2026	1HWY906	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	111.73	0.00	111.73
7/05/2026	1HXZ 962	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	139.15	0.00	139.15
7/05/2026	1IBG278	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	129.86	0.00	129.86
7/05/2026	1IEG429	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	98.84	0.00	98.84
7/05/2026	1IDS305	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	141.77	0.00	141.77
7/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	69.79	0.00	69.79
7/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	66.49	0.00	66.49
7/05/2026	1HBQ371	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	163.91	0.00	163.91
7/05/2026	1IPJ558	ULP	CAR FLEET	33.66	0.00	33.66
7/05/2026	1IOW187	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	117.39	0.00	117.39
7/05/2026	1IOW186	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.69	0.00	121.69
7/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	67.95	0.00	67.95
7/05/2026	1IPD841	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	122.53	0.00	122.53
7/05/2026	1IPD872	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	75.52	0.00	75.52
7/05/2026	1IUX026	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	120.80	0.00	120.80
7/05/2026	1IVF718	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	278.54	0.00	278.54

7/05/2026	1IUZ083	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	143.47	0.00	143.47
7/05/2026	1IXA279	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	232.85	0.00	232.85
8/05/2026	F94975	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	14.32	0.00	14.32
8/05/2026	F94981	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	26.60	0.00	26.60
8/05/2026	F94969	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	9.28	0.00	9.28
8/05/2026	1GPX 374	ULP	CAR FLEET	37.04	0.00	37.04
8/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	131.73	0.00	131.73
8/05/2026	1IXY706	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	144.26	0.00	144.26
8/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	109.50	0.00	109.50
8/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	122.67	0.00	122.67
8/05/2026	1HGO177	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	69.07	0.00	69.07
8/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	76.39	0.00	76.39
8/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	76.30	0.00	76.30
8/05/2026	1HRX 146	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	134.20	0.00	134.20
8/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	212.11	0.00	212.11
8/05/2026	1HSN866	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	314.61	0.00	314.61
8/05/2026	1HVD890	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	112.02	0.00	112.02
8/05/2026	1HWY905	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	115.61	0.00	115.61
8/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	42.92	0.00	42.92
8/05/2026	1IBU908	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	131.02	0.00	131.02
8/05/2026	1ICY325	ULP	CAR FLEET	50.47	0.00	50.47
8/05/2026	1IEP961	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	93.82	0.00	93.82
8/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	19.14	0.00	19.14
8/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	72.60	0.00	72.60
8/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	55.36	0.00	55.36
8/05/2026	1INY378	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	134.10	0.00	134.10
8/05/2026	1IPD824	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	126.82	0.00	126.82
8/05/2026	1IQI364	ULP	CAR FLEET	61.00	0.00	61.00
8/05/2026	1IQN621	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	105.25	0.00	105.25
8/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	32.24	0.00	32.24
8/05/2026	1IUE080	ULP	CAR FLEET	73.59	0.00	73.59
8/05/2026	1IVK848	ULP	CAR FLEET	82.76	0.00	82.76
8/05/2026	1IWJ105	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	156.16	0.00	156.16
8/05/2026	1IXO149	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	189.07	0.00	189.07
8/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	119.68	0.00	119.68
8/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	92.32	0.00	92.32
9/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	50.46	0.00	50.46
9/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	74.69	0.00	74.69
9/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	110.79	0.00	110.79
10/05/2026	1HWE692	ULP	CAR FLEET	59.85	0.00	59.85
10/05/2026	1IBG295	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	136.61	0.00	136.61
11/05/2026	F94985	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	16.66	0.00	16.66
11/05/2026	1ESZ046	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	136.90	0.00	136.90
11/05/2026	1GPR 529	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	134.74	0.00	134.74
11/05/2026	1GXA947	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	250.22	0.00	250.22
11/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	107.03	0.00	107.03
11/05/2026	1HGX 396	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	123.65	0.00	123.65
11/05/2026	1HGM 314	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	128.91	0.00	128.91
11/05/2026	1HPR183	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	129.47	0.00	129.47
11/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	189.28	0.00	189.28

11/05/2026	1HSN673	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	408.38	0.00	408.38
11/05/2026	1IBG279	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	111.69	0.00	111.69
11/05/2026	1IBG288	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	127.38	0.00	127.38
11/05/2026	1IDC458	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	84.07	0.00	84.07
11/05/2026	1IJM353	ULP	CAR FLEET	74.70	0.00	74.70
11/05/2026	1IPS155	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	3.86	0.00	3.86
11/05/2026	1IPS155	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	138.81	0.00	138.81
11/05/2026	1IPD823	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	116.80	0.00	116.80
11/05/2026	1IQM525	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	75.00	0.00	75.00
11/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	56.57	0.00	56.57
11/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	100.33	0.00	100.33
12/05/2026	F94951	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	14.76	0.00	14.76
12/05/2026	F94953	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	4.88	0.00	4.88
12/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	10.64	0.00	10.64
12/05/2026	F94978	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	30.38	0.00	30.38
12/05/2026	F94983	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	15.41	0.00	15.41
12/05/2026	1EGO353	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	168.70	0.00	168.70
12/05/2026	123COJ	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	121.84	0.00	121.84
12/05/2026	1GOO 935	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	317.61	0.00	317.61
12/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	83.43	0.00	83.43
12/05/2026	1HLP164	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	228.35	0.00	228.35
12/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	169.00	0.00	169.00
12/05/2026	1HST563	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	115.87	0.00	115.87
12/05/2026	1HTV858	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	124.43	0.00	124.43
12/05/2026	1ICM408	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	274.09	0.00	274.09
12/05/2026	1IDC458	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	85.35	0.00	85.35
12/05/2026	1IDC524	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	148.95	0.00	148.95
12/05/2026	1ICY327	ULP	CAR FLEET	33.55	0.00	33.55
12/05/2026	1ICZ331	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	130.96	0.00	130.96
12/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	32.67	0.00	32.67
12/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	26.74	0.00	26.74
12/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	71.96	0.00	71.96
12/05/2026	1HBQ371	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	137.50	0.00	137.50
12/05/2026	1IPJ558	ULP	CAR FLEET	26.60	0.00	26.60
12/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	62.85	0.00	62.85
12/05/2026	1IPD757	ULP	CAR FLEET	42.16	0.00	42.16
12/05/2026	1IRO178	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	102.95	0.00	102.95
12/05/2026	1IUD899	ULP	CAR FLEET	85.73	0.00	85.73
12/05/2026	1IWB776	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	73.69	0.00	73.69
12/05/2026	1IXO723	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	262.07	0.00	262.07
13/05/2026	F94952	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	30.98	0.00	30.98
13/05/2026	F94974	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	16.46	0.00	16.46
13/05/2026	F94979	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	32.92	0.00	32.92
13/05/2026	F94986	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	12.64	0.00	12.64
13/05/2026	1EYF498	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	166.23	0.00	166.23
13/05/2026	1EZW318	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	135.40	0.00	135.40
13/05/2026	1GNE 037	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	172.89	0.00	172.89
13/05/2026	1GSL 227	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	100.03	0.00	100.03
13/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	148.98	0.00	148.98
13/05/2026	1HEL551	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	116.57	0.00	116.57

13/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	64.85	0.00	64.85
13/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	84.28	0.00	84.28
13/05/2026	1HPD682	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	111.79	0.00	111.79
13/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	46.09	0.00	46.09
13/05/2026	1HXZ 269	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	129.51	0.00	129.51
13/05/2026	1HXZ 270	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	110.70	0.00	110.70
13/05/2026	1IBU908	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	100.33	0.00	100.33
13/05/2026	F98433	ULP	SPRAYER - LARGE	13.56	0.00	13.56
13/05/2026	1IFQ737	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	454.92	0.00	454.92
13/05/2026	1IDS302	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	112.19	0.00	112.19
13/05/2026	1IHB260	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	115.36	0.00	115.36
13/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	46.26	0.00	46.26
13/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	77.57	0.00	77.57
13/05/2026	1IPJ557	ULP	CAR FLEET	36.53	0.00	36.53
13/05/2026	1INY388	ULP	CAR FLEET	59.06	0.00	59.06
13/05/2026	1IPS151	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	142.88	0.00	142.88
13/05/2026	1IRO142	ULP	UTE FLEET	127.38	0.00	127.38
13/05/2026	1IVJ031	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	85.88	0.00	85.88
13/05/2026	1IWJ579	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	167.08	0.00	167.08
13/05/2026	1IXA279	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	318.25	0.00	318.25
14/05/2026	1GDL520	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	99.60	0.00	99.60
14/05/2026	1GET700	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	223.35	0.00	223.35
14/05/2026	1GLQ010	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	229.99	0.00	229.99
14/05/2026	1GMK837	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	195.25	0.00	195.25
14/05/2026	1GPX 374	ULP	CAR FLEET	28.77	0.00	28.77
14/05/2026	1GTW 208	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	210.89	0.00	210.89
14/05/2026	1GXA947	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	231.63	0.00	231.63
14/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	127.41	0.00	127.41
14/05/2026	1HMF578	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	132.38	0.00	132.38
14/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	180.11	0.00	180.11
14/05/2026	1HRX 146	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	117.70	0.00	117.70
14/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	161.96	0.00	161.96
14/05/2026	1HVD890	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	178.73	0.00	178.73
14/05/2026	1HRW 078	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	90.26	0.00	90.26
14/05/2026	1IDS306	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	103.05	0.00	103.05
14/05/2026	1IDS304	DIESEL	UTE FLEET	115.16	0.00	115.16
14/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	50.83	0.00	50.83
14/05/2026	1IOW186	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	98.38	0.00	98.38
14/05/2026	1IPS153	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	130.26	0.00	130.26
14/05/2026	1IRG795	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	98.10	0.00	98.10
14/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	49.79	0.00	49.79
15/05/2026	F94961	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	20.63	0.00	20.63
15/05/2026	F94981	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	19.52	0.00	19.52
15/05/2026	1GUD 414	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	275.13	0.00	275.13
15/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	218.15	0.00	218.15
15/05/2026	1IXY706	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	150.85	0.00	150.85
15/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	97.62	0.00	97.62
15/05/2026	1HGO177	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	79.76	0.00	79.76
15/05/2026	1HGX 396	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	123.24	0.00	123.24
15/05/2026	1HGM 314	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.06	0.00	121.06

15/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	48.00	0.00	48.00
15/05/2026	1HHZ562	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	152.72	0.00	152.72
15/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	99.10	0.00	99.10
15/05/2026	1HRX 082	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	282.30	0.00	282.30
15/05/2026	1HTH959	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	128.64	0.00	128.64
15/05/2026	1HXZ 962	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	108.86	0.00	108.86
15/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	76.97	0.00	76.97
15/05/2026	1IBG279	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	77.73	0.00	77.73
15/05/2026	1IDS305	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	143.20	0.00	143.20
15/05/2026	1ICZ332	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	124.72	0.00	124.72
15/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	38.50	0.00	38.50
15/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	69.64	0.00	69.64
15/05/2026	1IKZ141	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	118.74	0.00	118.74
15/05/2026	1IOG284	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	140.57	0.00	140.57
15/05/2026	1INY378	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	103.99	0.00	103.99
15/05/2026	1IPD842	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	134.19	0.00	134.19
15/05/2026	1IPD852	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	98.15	0.00	98.15
15/05/2026	1IQL832	ULP	VAN FLEET	87.69	0.00	87.69
15/05/2026	1IRO095	ULP	CAR FLEET	69.25	0.00	69.25
15/05/2026	1IVJ049	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	114.71	0.00	114.71
15/05/2026	1IUZ083	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	138.57	0.00	138.57
15/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	99.48	0.00	99.48
15/05/2026	1IWB776	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	32.05	0.00	32.05
15/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	114.66	0.00	114.66
16/05/2026	1IJM352	ULP	CAR FLEET	93.23	0.00	93.23
16/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	48.87	0.00	48.87
16/05/2026	1IPJ556	ULP	CAR FLEET	58.99	0.00	58.99
16/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	51.62	0.00	51.62
16/05/2026	1IVK848	ULP	CAR FLEET	48.29	0.00	48.29
16/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	98.27	0.00	98.27
17/05/2026	1ICZ340	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	75.89	0.00	75.89
17/05/2026	1ICZ339	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	136.30	0.00	136.30
17/05/2026	1IEQ051	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	114.44	0.00	114.44
18/05/2026	F94950	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	11.58	0.00	11.58
18/05/2026	1GTW 208	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	266.96	0.00	266.96
18/05/2026	1HPR183	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	150.18	0.00	150.18
18/05/2026	1HXZ 960	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.25	0.00	121.25
18/05/2026	1IBR445	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	155.68	0.00	155.68
18/05/2026	1ICZ333	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	88.80	0.00	88.80
18/05/2026	1ICZ336	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	135.99	0.00	135.99
18/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	37.46	0.00	37.46
18/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	55.08	0.00	55.08
18/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	56.13	0.00	56.13
18/05/2026	1IKZ143	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	100.77	0.00	100.77
18/05/2026	1IQI341	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	148.48	0.00	148.48
18/05/2026	1IRO178	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	103.77	0.00	103.77
18/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	29.26	0.00	29.26
18/05/2026	1IXO149	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	217.76	0.00	217.76
18/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	77.44	0.00	77.44
19/05/2026	F94953	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	7.22	0.00	7.22

19/05/2026	F94958	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	16.61	0.00	16.61
19/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	15.91	0.00	15.91
19/05/2026	F94979	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	28.33	0.00	28.33
19/05/2026	F94982	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	15.27	0.00	15.27
19/05/2026	1EZW318	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	131.44	0.00	131.44
19/05/2026	123COJ	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	80.91	0.00	80.91
19/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	115.62	0.00	115.62
19/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	84.91	0.00	84.91
19/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	202.44	0.00	202.44
19/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	193.17	0.00	193.17
19/05/2026	1HTV858	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	180.24	0.00	180.24
19/05/2026	1HWY905	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	106.18	0.00	106.18
19/05/2026	1HWY906	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	109.63	0.00	109.63
19/05/2026	1IBG288	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	119.20	0.00	119.20
19/05/2026	1IDC524	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	136.51	0.00	136.51
19/05/2026	1IEG429	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	113.11	0.00	113.11
19/05/2026	1IFQ737	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	466.89	0.00	466.89
19/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	67.93	0.00	67.93
19/05/2026	1IHB260	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	120.09	0.00	120.09
19/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	23.19	0.00	23.19
19/05/2026	1IJM350	ULP	CAR FLEET	93.24	0.00	93.24
19/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	65.90	0.00	65.90
19/05/2026	1HBQ371	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	201.85	0.00	201.85
19/05/2026	1IPD872	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	83.93	0.00	83.93
19/05/2026	1IQM594	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	135.65	0.00	135.65
19/05/2026	1HXZ 271	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	85.15	0.00	85.15
19/05/2026	1IQN621	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	119.55	0.00	119.55
19/05/2026	1IQN622	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	127.66	0.00	127.66
19/05/2026	1IUX025	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	129.72	0.00	129.72
19/05/2026	1IUD899	ULP	CAR FLEET	83.84	0.00	83.84
19/05/2026	1IXA279	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	235.86	0.00	235.86
20/05/2026	F94978	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	35.88	0.00	35.88
20/05/2026	1EGO353	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	145.62	0.00	145.62
20/05/2026	1EYF498	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	199.64	0.00	199.64
20/05/2026	1GDL520	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	98.96	0.00	98.96
20/05/2026	1GOO 935	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	333.01	0.00	333.01
20/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	174.30	0.00	174.30
20/05/2026	1GWP452	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	146.91	0.00	146.91
20/05/2026	1HEL551	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	133.37	0.00	133.37
20/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	91.64	0.00	91.64
20/05/2026	F94980	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	31.77	0.00	31.77
20/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	118.84	0.00	118.84
20/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	164.81	0.00	164.81
20/05/2026	1HTH737	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	107.34	0.00	107.34
20/05/2026	1HWE692	ULP	CAR FLEET	70.26	0.00	70.26
20/05/2026	1HXZ 270	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	117.78	0.00	117.78
20/05/2026	1HXO 071	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	130.43	0.00	130.43
20/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	95.12	0.00	95.12
20/05/2026	1ICZ331	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	136.80	0.00	136.80
20/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	74.46	0.00	74.46

20/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	50.78	0.00	50.78
20/05/2026	1IPD841	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	108.44	0.00	108.44
20/05/2026	1IVF718	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	309.93	0.00	309.93
20/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	120.60	0.00	120.60
20/05/2026	1IWK598	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	114.98	0.00	114.98
20/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	59.54	0.00	59.54
21/05/2026	F94951	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	18.01	0.00	18.01
21/05/2026	F94985	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	17.29	0.00	17.29
21/05/2026	1GLQ010	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	193.22	0.00	193.22
21/05/2026	1GPX 374	ULP	CAR FLEET	41.02	0.00	41.02
21/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	183.11	0.00	183.11
21/05/2026	1HBZ562	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	89.05	0.00	89.05
21/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	127.35	0.00	127.35
21/05/2026	1HGO177	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	150.71	0.00	150.71
21/05/2026	1HGX 396	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	117.73	0.00	117.73
21/05/2026	1HGM 314	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	130.35	0.00	130.35
21/05/2026	1HFR848	PRMDSLA	RIDE ON SWEEPERS	73.34	0.00	73.34
21/05/2026	1HIH562	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	126.14	0.00	126.14
21/05/2026	1HLP164	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	264.02	0.00	264.02
21/05/2026	1HRX 146	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	130.52	0.00	130.52
21/05/2026	1HSN673	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	388.30	0.00	388.30
21/05/2026	1HXZ 961	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	114.39	0.00	114.39
21/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	45.45	0.00	45.45
21/05/2026	1IDC458	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	65.38	0.00	65.38
21/05/2026	1IDC524	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	85.15	0.00	85.15
21/05/2026	F98433	ULP	SPRAYER - LARGE	15.39	0.00	15.39
21/05/2026	1IEQ051	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	52.13	0.00	52.13
21/05/2026	1ICZ337	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	137.33	0.00	137.33
21/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	74.07	0.00	74.07
21/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	93.51	0.00	93.51
21/05/2026	1IJM351	ULP	CAR FLEET	100.42	0.00	100.42
21/05/2026	1IPJ558	ULP	CAR FLEET	44.93	0.00	44.93
21/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	62.72	0.00	62.72
21/05/2026	1IPD854	ULP	CAR FLEET	82.16	0.00	82.16
21/05/2026	1IQI364	ULP	CAR FLEET	79.72	0.00	79.72
21/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	37.10	0.00	37.10
21/05/2026	1IWJ579	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	224.70	0.00	224.70
21/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	77.59	0.00	77.59
22/05/2026	F94971	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	27.93	0.00	27.93
22/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	28.89	0.00	28.89
22/05/2026	F94981	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	38.04	0.00	38.04
22/05/2026	1GAQ376	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	163.52	0.00	163.52
22/05/2026	1GET700	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	253.32	0.00	253.32
22/05/2026	1GNE 037	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	163.60	0.00	163.60
22/05/2026	1GPR 529	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	149.67	0.00	149.67
22/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	102.99	0.00	102.99
22/05/2026	1HPD682	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	67.09	0.00	67.09
22/05/2026	1HPD677	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	84.98	0.00	84.98
22/05/2026	1HPR183	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	148.28	0.00	148.28
22/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	208.67	0.00	208.67

22/05/2026	1HRX 082	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	181.21	0.00	181.21
22/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	176.34	0.00	176.34
22/05/2026	1HVD890	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	194.62	0.00	194.62
22/05/2026	1HXZ 962	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	117.46	0.00	117.46
22/05/2026	1HZP999	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	268.83	0.00	268.83
22/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	53.43	0.00	53.43
22/05/2026	1IBG279	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	90.38	0.00	90.38
22/05/2026	1IBG295	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	122.40	0.00	122.40
22/05/2026	1ICY327	ULP	CAR FLEET	44.64	0.00	44.64
22/05/2026	1IEP961	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	141.88	0.00	141.88
22/05/2026	1IDS304	DIESEL	UTE FLEET	131.58	0.00	131.58
22/05/2026	1IEQ053	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.68	0.00	121.68
22/05/2026	1ICZ334	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	90.47	0.00	90.47
22/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	47.46	0.00	47.46
22/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	16.48	0.00	16.48
22/05/2026	1IJM353	ULP	CAR FLEET	77.21	0.00	77.21
22/05/2026	1IOW187	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	109.90	0.00	109.90
22/05/2026	1IOW185	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	97.78	0.00	97.78
22/05/2026	1IPD757	ULP	CAR FLEET	63.92	0.00	63.92
22/05/2026	1IPS155	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	151.76	0.00	151.76
22/05/2026	1IWJ105	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	194.50	0.00	194.50
22/05/2026	1IXO723	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	257.29	0.00	257.29
23/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	69.28	0.00	69.28
23/05/2026	1IKI156	ULP	CAR FLEET	53.79	0.00	53.79
23/05/2026	1IVK835	ULP	CAR FLEET	83.64	0.00	83.64
23/05/2026	1IXM746	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	55.31	0.00	55.31
24/05/2026	1HWY906	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	97.73	0.00	97.73
24/05/2026	1IDS305	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	127.20	0.00	127.20
24/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	64.22	0.00	64.22
24/05/2026	1IUD899	ULP	CAR FLEET	76.09	0.00	76.09
24/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	104.00	0.00	104.00
25/05/2026	F94953	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	7.02	0.00	7.02
25/05/2026	F94954	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	35.27	0.00	35.27
25/05/2026	F94969	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	31.15	0.00	31.15
25/05/2026	1EGO353	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	159.62	0.00	159.62
25/05/2026	1EZW318	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	118.10	0.00	118.10
25/05/2026	1GDL520	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	105.06	0.00	105.06
25/05/2026	1GOO 935	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	259.20	0.00	259.20
25/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	123.31	0.00	123.31
25/05/2026	1IXY706	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	165.83	0.00	165.83
25/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	119.99	0.00	119.99
25/05/2026	1HTV858	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	188.69	0.00	188.69
25/05/2026	1IDC458	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	98.43	0.00	98.43
25/05/2026	1INY378	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	115.21	0.00	115.21
25/05/2026	1IOW186	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	107.59	0.00	107.59
25/05/2026	1IRG795	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	109.02	0.00	109.02
25/05/2026	1IVJ031	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	130.04	0.00	130.04
26/05/2026	123COJ	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	95.27	0.00	95.27
26/05/2026	1GPX 374	ULP	CAR FLEET	30.22	0.00	30.22
26/05/2026	1GPX 373	ULP	CAR FLEET	50.55	0.00	50.55

26/05/2026	1GSL 227	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	115.95	0.00	115.95
26/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	65.10	0.00	65.10
26/05/2026	1HGO177	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	132.83	0.00	132.83
26/05/2026	1HGM 314	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	129.09	0.00	129.09
26/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	174.82	0.00	174.82
26/05/2026	1HSN866	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	265.09	0.00	265.09
26/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	58.74	0.00	58.74
26/05/2026	1IBU908	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	131.34	0.00	131.34
26/05/2026	F98433	ULP	SPRAYER - LARGE	9.91	0.00	9.91
26/05/2026	1IEP960	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	114.88	0.00	114.88
26/05/2026	1ICZ338	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	142.91	0.00	142.91
26/05/2026	1IDS302	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	97.25	0.00	97.25
26/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	37.28	0.00	37.28
26/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	2.20	0.00	2.20
26/05/2026	1IJM350	ULP	CAR FLEET	91.68	0.00	91.68
26/05/2026	1IKZ143	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	81.07	0.00	81.07
26/05/2026	1HBQ371	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	177.01	0.00	177.01
26/05/2026	1IPJ556	ULP	CAR FLEET	55.33	0.00	55.33
26/05/2026	1INY388	ULP	CAR FLEET	67.33	0.00	67.33
26/05/2026	1IPS151	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	147.53	0.00	147.53
26/05/2026	1IPD823	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	127.18	0.00	127.18
26/05/2026	1IRO095	ULP	CAR FLEET	70.70	0.00	70.70
26/05/2026	1IRO178	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	116.54	0.00	116.54
27/05/2026	F94958	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	15.42	0.00	15.42
27/05/2026	F94958	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	18.78	0.00	18.78
27/05/2026	F94960	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	9.47	0.00	9.47
27/05/2026	F94978	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	34.36	0.00	34.36
27/05/2026	1GLQ010	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	209.61	0.00	209.61
27/05/2026	1GMK837	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	190.99	0.00	190.99
27/05/2026	1HGX 396	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	118.65	0.00	118.65
27/05/2026	1ICZ340	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	65.45	0.00	65.45
27/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	53.43	0.00	53.43
27/05/2026	1IKZ141	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	89.91	0.00	89.91
27/05/2026	1IPJ557	ULP	CAR FLEET	44.35	0.00	44.35
27/05/2026	1IUZ083	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	128.15	0.00	128.15
27/05/2026	1IWK597	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	115.96	0.00	115.96
27/05/2026	1IWB776	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	104.31	0.00	104.31
27/05/2026	1IXA279	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	274.13	0.00	274.13
27/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	69.05	0.00	69.05
28/05/2026	1EGO353	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	160.06	0.00	160.06
28/05/2026	1EYF498	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	221.14	0.00	221.14
28/05/2026	1GTW 208	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	264.78	0.00	264.78
28/05/2026	F94970	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	11.72	0.00	11.72
28/05/2026	1GUD 414	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	300.80	0.00	300.80
28/05/2026	1GYG391	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	168.44	0.00	168.44
28/05/2026	1HAF268	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	128.53	0.00	128.53
28/05/2026	1HEL551	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	123.34	0.00	123.34
28/05/2026	1HGO247	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	41.94	0.00	41.94
28/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	98.09	0.00	98.09
28/05/2026	1HGT321	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	75.22	0.00	75.22

28/05/2026		ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	36.58	0.00	36.58
28/05/2026	1HMF578	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	132.20	0.00	132.20
28/05/2026	1HQB153	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	208.73	0.00	208.73
28/05/2026	1HRX 146	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	137.44	0.00	137.44
28/05/2026	F98446	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	150.35	0.00	150.35
28/05/2026	1HXZ 270	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121.39	0.00	121.39
28/05/2026	1HXZ 960	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	83.56	0.00	83.56
28/05/2026	1IBG288	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	115.99	0.00	115.99
28/05/2026	1IDC524	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	132.28	0.00	132.28
28/05/2026	1IDS306	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	124.52	0.00	124.52
28/05/2026	1ICZ331	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	134.28	0	134.28
28/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	34.93	0	34.93
28/05/2026	1IKI157	ULP	CAR FLEET	65.56	0	65.56
28/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	78.54	0	78.54
28/05/2026	1IPD842	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	133.94	0	133.94
28/05/2026	1IPD872	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	85.47	0	85.47
28/05/2026	1HXZ 271	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	102.6	0	102.60
28/05/2026	1IQI341	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	88.93	0	88.93
28/05/2026	1GPX 372	ULP	CAR FLEET	42.95	0	42.95
28/05/2026	1IUD899	ULP	CAR FLEET	83.86	0	83.86
28/05/2026	1IVF718	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	245.51	0	245.51
28/05/2026	1IUE081	ULP	UTE FLEET	83.98	0	83.98
28/05/2026	1IVJ049	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	125.98	0	125.98
28/05/2026	1IXO149	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	194.24	0	194.24
28/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	118.5	0	118.50
29/05/2026	F94967	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	29.96	0	29.96
29/05/2026	F94974	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	28.07	0	28.07
29/05/2026	F94977	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	23.1	0	23.10
29/05/2026	F94950	ULP	MINOR PLANT FUEL	7.27	0	7.27
29/05/2026	1GOO 935	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	192.34	0	192.34
29/05/2026	1GCJ709	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	161.53	0	161.53
29/05/2026	1GWP452	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	91.32	0	91.32
29/05/2026	1IXY706	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	142.14	0	142.14
29/05/2026	1HHZ562	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	146.79	0	146.79
29/05/2026	1HPD682	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	122.3	0	122.30
29/05/2026	1HPR183	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	148.77	0	148.77
29/05/2026	1HWE692	ULP	CAR FLEET	69.98	0	69.98
29/05/2026	1HWY905	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	113.15	0	113.15
29/05/2026	1HWY906	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	91.88	0	91.88
29/05/2026	1IAV314	PRMDSLA	BUS FLEET	105.94	0	105.94
29/05/2026	1IBR445	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	153.73	0	153.73
29/05/2026	1IEG429	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	105.99	0	105.99
29/05/2026	1IFQ737	PRMDSLA	HEAVY TRUCK	563.39	0	563.39
29/05/2026	1IEQ051	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	22.63	0	22.63
29/05/2026	1IHB259	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	128.89	0	128.89
29/05/2026	1IHB260	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	126.1	0	126.10
29/05/2026	1IHB262	PRMDSLA	MOWERS	42.89	0	42.89
29/05/2026	1IPJ558	ULP	CAR FLEET	36.96	0	36.96
29/05/2026	1IPS155	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	139.77	0	139.77
29/05/2026	1IPS153	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	106.54	0	106.54

29/05/2026	1IPD852	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	95.81	0	95.81
29/05/2026	1IQM594	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	132.72	0	132.72
29/05/2026	1IQN621	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	121	0	121.00
29/05/2026	1IUE080	ULP	CAR FLEET	77.25	0	77.25
29/05/2026	1IVK848	ULP	CAR FLEET	81.88	0	81.88
29/05/2026	1IWJ579	PRMDSLA	LIGHT TRUCK	207.21	0	207.21
29/05/2026	1IWK717	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	58.52	0	58.52
30/05/2026	1GPR 529	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	113.52	0	113.52
30/05/2026	1IXM746	PRMDSLA	LOADERS	64.4	0	64.40
31/05/2026	1IKZ143	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	56.99	0	56.99
31/05/2026	1IPD726	PRMDSLA	VAN FLEET	54.57	0	54.57
31/05/2026	1IWK716	PRMDSLA	UTE FLEET	76	0	76.00
						67,889.32

City of Joondalup

City of Joondalup
Financial Activity Statement
for the period ended 31 May 2026


	Notes	Revised Budget	YTD Revised Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance $	YTD Variance %
OPERATING REVENUE						
Rates	1	(116,570,031)	(116,570,472)	(116,636,451)	65,979	0%
Specified Area Rates		(708,171)	(706,117)	(696,483)	(9,634)	(1)%
Grants and Subsidies		(3,959,265)	(3,907,124)	(3,902,139)	(4,985)	(0)%
Contributions Reimbursements and Donations	2	(2,170,106)	(1,696,481)	(1,912,055)	215,574	13%
Profit on Asset Disposals	3	(532,972)	(409,970)	(387,972)	(21,998)	(5)%
Fees and Charges	4	(50,614,755)	(48,594,122)	(48,427,550)	(166,572)	(0)%
Interest Earnings	5	(8,429,506)	(7,903,071)	(8,308,801)	405,730	5%
Other Revenue/Income	6	(3,684,944)	(3,684,944)	(10,093,967)	6,409,023	100%
Total Operating Revenue		(186,669,751)	(183,472,301)	(190,365,418)	6,893,117	4%
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Employee Costs	7	85,877,291	78,699,582	77,519,475	1,180,107	1%
Materials and Contracts	8	70,275,625	62,883,228	58,008,795	4,874,433	8%
Utilities (gas, electricity, water etc.)	9	7,720,467	6,939,620	6,564,517	375,103	5%
Depreciation & Amortisation of Non-Current Assets	10	35,068,397	31,987,870	33,919,177	(1,931,307)	(6)%
Loss on Asset Disposals	11	331,679	221,578	108,630	112,948	51%
Interest Expenses	12	220,409	207,031	192,551	14,480	7%
Insurance Expenses		1,637,556	1,612,730	1,607,741	4,989	0%
Other Expenses	13	1,764,373	1,648,334	1,414,750	233,584	14%
Total Operating Expenses		202,895,796	184,199,973	179,335,636	4,864,337	3%
(SURPLUS)/DEFICIT FROM OPERATIONS		**16,226,046**	**727,672**	**(11,029,782)**	**11,757,454**	**-100%**
OPERATING NON-CASH ADJUSTMENTS						
Depreciation & Amortisation of Non Current Assets		(35,068,397)	(31,987,870)	(33,919,177)	1,931,307	6%
Loss on Asset Disposal		(331,679)	(221,578)	(108,630)	(112,948)	(51)%
Profit on Asset Disposals		532,972	409,970	387,972	21,998	5%
Movement in Non-current Items	14	(100,000)	(100,000)	80,446	(180,446)	(100)%
OPERATING CASH (SURPLUS)/DEFICIT		**(18,741,058)**	**(31,171,805)**	**(44,589,171)**	**13,417,365**	**43%**
NON-OPERATING REVENUE						
Capital Grants and Subsidies	15	(20,390,266)	(16,967,964)	(20,562,391)	3,594,427	21%
Capital Contributions	16	(111,658)	(111,340)	(77,849)	(33,491)	(30)%
Equity Distribution - CRC		(11,666,667)	(5,833,333)	(5,833,333)	-	0%
Other Non-Operating (GST Reimb CRC Land Sales)	17	(684,014)	(684,014)	(1,213,679)	529,665	77%
Total Non-Operating Revenue		**(32,852,604)**	**(23,596,651)**	**(27,687,253)**	**4,090,601**	**56%**
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE						
Capital Projects	18	3,852,597	1,810,076	1,140,305	669,771	37%
Capital Works	19	48,601,910	37,372,625	31,645,401	5,727,224	15%
Vehicle and Plant Replacements	20	3,690,227	2,684,178	3,265,965	(581,787)	(22)%
Total Capital Expenditure		**56,144,734**	**41,866,879**	**36,051,671**	**5,815,208**	**14%**
CAPITAL (SURPLUS)/DEFICIT		**23,292,130**	**18,270,228**	**8,364,419**	**9,905,809**	**54%**
(SURPLUS)/DEFICIT FROM OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL		**4,551,072**	**(12,901,577)**	**(36,224,754)**	**23,323,174**	**(100)%**
FUNDING						
Proceeds from Disposal	21	(1,405,364)	(1,244,239)	(1,376,273)	132,034	11%
Payments of Principal Portion of Lease Liability	22	587,804	587,804	691,867	(104,063)	(18)%
Loan to Ocean Reef Sea Sports Club		1,750,000	-	-	-	0%
Transfer from Reserve		(29,869,594)	-	-	-	0%
Transfer to Reserve		24,679,405	-	-	-	0%
Opening Funds		194,531	194,531	194,531	-	0%
CLOSING FUNDS	23	**487,855**	**(13,363,481)**	**(36,714,628)**	**23,351,145**	**100%**

City of Joondalup
Balance Sheet
as at 31 May 2026

Municipal Fund	Notes	Actuals YTD 2026	Actuals 2025
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash Equivalents		179,201,902	172,220,561
Trade and Other Receivables		24,805,882	8,951,974
		204,007,784	181,172,535
NON CURRENT ASSETS			
Trade and Other Receivables		2,411,656	2,411,656
Equity Investments		19,985,840	27,032,852
Property, Plant and Equipment		1,593,885,656	1,593,897,508
		1,616,283,152	1,623,342,017
TOTAL ASSETS		**1,820,290,936**	**1,804,514,552**
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Trade and other Payables		17,314,360	27,735,307
Provisions		19,075,690	24,467,936
		36,390,050	52,203,243
NON CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Provisions		1,722,415	1,802,861
Lease Liability		5,908,781	5,908,781
		7,631,196	7,711,642
TOTAL LIABILITIES		**44,021,246**	**59,914,885**
NET ASSETS		**1,776,269,690**	**1,744,599,667**
EQUITY			
Retained Earnings		609,274,747	577,604,724
Reserves		131,017,425	131,017,425
Asset Revaluation Reserve		1,035,977,518	1,035,977,518
		1,776,269,690	**1,744,599,667**


INVESTMENT SUMMARY

May-26



Municipal Funds	$49,054,099	YTD Return	4.34%
Reserve Funds	$131,017,425	Benchmark	4.85%
	$180,071,524	RBA Rate	4.35%

Investment Policy Limits					
	LT Rating	Limit		LT Rating	Limit
Bank of Queensland	A-	10%	NAB	AA-	25%
Bendigo	A-	10%	Suncorp	AA-	25%
Commonwealth Bank	AA-	25%	Westpac	AA-	25%
ING	A+	15%	11AM WATC	AAA+	25%



*Based on Market Forces ratings (http://marketforces.org.au/)

City of Joondalup

NOTES TO AND FORMING PART OF THE FINANCIAL ACTIVITY STATEMENT FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 MAY 2026

1. Rates **$65,979**

This favourable variance arose mainly due higher than estimated Interim Rates $67,677.

2. Contributions Reimbursements and Donations **$215,574**

This favourable variance arose mainly due to a higher than estimated Other Miscellaneous Reimbursements $114,341 and Joondalup Festival Sponsorships $100,000, partially offset by Sale of Recyclable Materials ($105,056).

3. Profit on Asset Disposals **($21,998)**

This unfavourable variance arose due to lower than estimated profit on disposals of fleet and plant.

4. Fees and Charges **($166,572)**

		YTD Budget	YTD Actual	Variance
a)	Building and Development Fees	$2,013,446	$2,204,344	$190,898
b)	Parking Fees	$2,097,202	$2,149,619	$52,417
c)	Other Fees and Charges	$2,125,401	$1,969,913	($155,488)
d)	Refuse Charges	$25,058,601	$24,968,800	($89,801)
e)	Fines and Penalties	$819,543	$744,757	($74,786)
f)	Sports and Recreation Fees	$13,525,877	$13,463,023	($62,854)
	Other Categories	$2,954,052	$2,927,094	($26,958)
		$48,594,122	$48,427,550	($166,572)

a) A favourable variance mainly due to higher than estimated Building Permits $104,320 and Development Application Fees $88,708.

b) Favourable variance occurred mainly as a result of Off Street Parking Fees $78,433.

c) Unfavourable variance mainly as a result of lower than estimated Engineering Supervision Fees ($85,045).

d) Unfavourable variance mainly as a result of Waste Refuse Service Charge ($65,633).

e) An unfavourable variance mainly due to lower than estimated Parking Infringements ($67,105).

f) Unfavourable variance mainly due to Learn to Swim program ($78,499).

City of Joondalup

5. Interest Earnings **$405,730**

This favourable variance arose primarily due to higher than estimated Interest from Other Financial Institutions $473,082, partially offset by lower Interest on Pensioners Deferred Rate ($85,000).

6. Other Revenue/Income **$6,409,023**

This favourable variance arose from Net Revenue from the Catalina Regional Council - Catalina Estate Sales $6,435,262.

7. Employee Costs **$1,180,107**

		YTD Budget	YTD Actual	Variance
a)	Salaries and Wages	$74,636,141	$72,989,390	$1,646,751
b)	Other Employment Costs	$4,063,441	$4,530,085	($466,644)
		$78,699,582	$77,519,475	$1,180,107

a) Favourable timing variances in Salaries and Wages were driven by vacancies across several areas including Parks and Natural Environment $574,662, Communications and Stakeholder Relations $292,635, Regulatory Services $238,833, Financial Services $252,336, Human Resources $177,276 and Planning Services $169,052. Higher than estimated Casual wages ($73,415).

b) Unfavourable variances arose in relation to Agency Employees of ($829,251) and Standard Labour Recovery - Capital Works ($89,953). This was partially offset by lower than estimated Staff Training $119,818, Conferences and Seminars $86,117, Staff Uniforms/Protective Clothing $61,173, Employee Rewards and Recognition $57,869 and Employee Support Services $50,339.

8. Materials and Contracts **$4,874,433**

		YTD Budget	YTD Actual	Variance
a)	External Service Expenses	$20,516,559	$19,110,634	$1,405,925
b)	Computing	$4,947,034	$4,193,314	$753,720
c)	Professional Fees & Costs	$3,338,237	$2,660,392	$677,845
d)	Waste Management Services	$21,109,902	$20,636,953	$472,949
e)	Furniture, Equipment and Artwork	$3,115,379	$2,681,289	$434,090
f)	Public Relations, Advertising and Promotions	$1,362,743	$952,679	$410,064
g)	Other Materials	$2,270,638	$2,066,954	$203,684
h)	Accommodation & Property	$923,256	$816,267	$106,989
i)	Telephones and Communication	$859,373	$756,575	$102,798
j)	Charges and Recoveries	($145,080)	($230,304)	$85,224
k)	Finance Related Costs	$635,679	$583,487	$52,192

Other Categories	$3,949,508	$3,780,555	$168,953
	$62,883,228	$58,008,795	$4,874,433

a) A favourable timing variance arose from External Contractors and Services $1,250,288 mainly as a result of Administration for System Implementation $297,242, Communications and Stakeholder Relations $182,184, Natural Areas $173,640, Information Technology $159,988, Parks $150,560, Community Safety $150,094, Asset Management $94,078 and Engineering Services $58,081. This was partially offset by higher than estimated Leisure and Culture Services ($104,914) and Buildings ($79,091). A favourable variance also occurred on Programme Activities $91,283.

b) Favourable timing variance arose mainly as a result of Computer Software Subscriptions $380,680, Computer Software Licenses $243,299 and Computer Software Maintenance $51,914.

c) A favourable variance arose mainly as a result of Consultancy $586,149, Audit Fees $136,900 and Research $79,381. This was partially offset by Legal Expenses ($160,216).

d) Favourable timing variance occurred mainly due to Collection Bulk Green Waste $236,917, Collection General Waste $117,268, Processing - Hard Waste Skips $103,381, Collection – Parks and Animal Waste Dispensers $75,086 and Processing – Recycling $65,590.

e) A favourable variance arose mainly as a result of Hire of Equipment $138,423, Plant & Equipment Maintenance and Repair $130,159 and Plant and Equipment Purchase – Minor $71,982.

f) Favourable variance occurred mainly due to Promotions $175,733 and General Advertising $151,228.

g) Favourable variance occurred mainly due to External Material Purchases – Contract $174,176.

h) A favourable variance arose mainly due to Security $56,251.

i) A favourable timing variance arose mainly due to Mobile Phones, Pagers, Radios $95,001.

j) Favourable variance occurred mainly due to Vehicle Running Expenses $269,677, Fleet and Plant Utilisation Charge $190,093 and Overhead Charge $74,775. This was partially offset by Fleet and Plant Operational Recovery ($276,949) and Fleet and Plant Capital Recovery ($168,975).

k) A favourable variance mainly arise due to lower than estimated Credit Card Merchant Fee $46,666.

9. Utilities **$375,102**

Favourable timing variance arose mainly due to lower than estimated electricity charges $373,139 mainly for Roads $289,218 and Buildings $63,420.

City of Joondalup

10. Depreciation & Amortisation of Non-Current Assets **($1,931,307)**

Unfavourable timing variance arose mainly due to higher than estimated Impairment/Write off of assets ($2,315,893), Depreciation Light Vehicles ($165,732) and Depreciation Mobile and Other Plant ($121,079). This was partially offset by Depreciation Buildings $338,424, Depreciation Roads Infrastructure $157,244 and Depreciation – Open Reserves $56,765.

11. Loss on Asset Disposal **$112,948**

Favourable variance arose on disposal of assets.

12. Interest Expenses **$14,480**

Favourable variance arose due to Interest of Lease Liability $14,480.

13. Other Expenses **$233,584**

Favourable variance arose due to Contributions and Donations to Community Groups $135,135 and Member Costs $95,339.

14. Movement in Non-current items **($180,446)**

This timing variance arose in respect to increases in Non-current Long Service Leave Liability compared to budget estimates.

15. Capital Grants and Subsidies **$3,594,427**

		YTD Budget	YTD Actual	Variance
a)	State Government Grants – Capital Other	($727,557)	($2,643,765)	$1,916,208
b)	State Government Grants – Capital - Other	($8,110,576)	($9,506,325)	$1,395,749
c)	State Government Grants – Capital – MRRG Grant Roads	($2,481,559)	($3,128,315)	$646,756
d)	Commonwealth Grants & Subsidies – Capital – Blackspot	($1,609,255)	($1,282,859)	($326,396)
	Other Grants and Subsidies	($4,039,017)	($4,001,127)	($37,890)
		($16,967,964)	($20,562,391)	$3,594,427

a) Significant favourable variance due to early completion of RDC2031 Moolanda Blvd Footbridge and invoice issued for final claim of Thriving Suburbs Program Funding for $1,419,227. Lotterywest funding received early for MPP2081 Duncraig Adventure Hub $349,579. Milestone payment received early from Department of Creative Industries, Tourism and Sport for Club Night Lights Program Grant for STL2172 Warwick Open Space LED Upgrade $106,818.

b) Funding received earlier than planned for recent election commitment projects MPP2084 Heathridge Park Redevelopment $1,000,000 and STL2203 Marri Park Sport Lighting $400,000. Payment received from Water Corporation for milestone achieved for PDP2409 Smart Bore Water Meter Connections $90,909. Payment received from Department of Creative Industries, Tourism and Sport for State Election Commitment PDP2432 Timberlane Park Playing Fields $60,000. These are partially offset by payment expected for PEP2892 Whitfords West Park Pump and Jump ($204,451).

c) Due to the transfer of funds from 24-25 as part of unspent grants liability adjustment for RDC3032 Eddystone Ave - Joondalup to Honeybush with a variance of $356,579. Final claim for RDC2029 Joondalup/Lakeside Roundabout with a favourable variance of $184,000. Multiple variances for Metropolitan Regional Road Group Rehabilitation Road Preservation / Resurfacing Program Projects resulting in a favourable variance of $106,177 due to increased grant funding.

d) Unfavourable variance due to delays in receiving payment for final claims for SBS2095 Hepburn Ave/Amalfi Drive RAB Improvements ($145,496) and SBS2096 Hepburn Ave/Karuah Way Intersection Upgrade ($180,900).

16. Capital Contributions **($33,491)**

Favourable variance mainly due to lower contributions received for W4947 Warwick Open Space Pitch 1 LED upgrade ($58,408).

17. Other Non-Operating Revenue **$529,665**

This favourable timing variance predominately arose from GST reimbursements in respect of the City's share of Catalina Regional Council land sales undertaken.

18. Capital Projects **$669,771**

This favourable variance arose mainly due to a timing variance for the Integrated Parking and Compliance Management System Project $361,983, Network Infrastructure Upgrade Program $239,781 and IT Disaster Recovery Facilities $114,000.

19. Capital Works **$5,727,224**

Please see attached Capital Works Summary - Attachment 5.

20. Vehicle and Plant Replacement **($581,787)**

An unfavourable variance due to various vehicles and plant purchased earlier than estimated.

21. Proceeds from Disposal **$132,034**

A favourable variance due to proceeds from disposals higher than estimated.

City of Joondalup

22. Payments of Principal Portion of Lease Liability **($104,063)**

Variance due to Right of Use Lease Assets estimated calculation based on CPI figures with the selected and applied discount rate, to be recalculated and adjusted in June.

23. Closing Funds **$23,351,145**

Net Current assets used in the Statement of Financial Activity		
	June 2025	**May 2026**
Total Current Assets	$181,172,535	$204,007,784
Less: Total Current Liability	($52,203,243)	($36,390,050)
Less: Total adjustments to net current asset	($129,163,823)	($130,903,106)
Surplus or (deficit) after imposition of general rates	**($194,531)**	**$36,714,628**

Adjustments to net current assets		
	June 2025	**May 2026**
Less: Reserve Accounts	($131,017,425)	($131,017,425)
Current portion of developers contributions held in reserve	$1,221,867	$1,221,867
Current portion of lease liabilities	$631,735	($60,132)
Sales Proceeds/Asset clearing pending adjustment	-	($1,047,416)
Total adjustments to net current assets	**($129,163,823)**	**($130,903,106)**

Capital Works May 2026 - Attachment 5

PDP Parks Development Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
PDP2252	Tree Planting Program	109,526	38,956	70,571	40				Works Programed
PDP2271	Irrigation Infrastructure Renewals	253,000	259,131	(6,131)	88				Works in Progress
PDP2363	Greenwood N/E Cluster Pk Revitalisation	311,609	155,815	155,794	30		Multi-Year Project		Works in Progress
PDP2365	Percy Doyle Soccer #3 Mainline Renewal	21,546	21,547	(1)	100		Actual Completion	15/01/2026	Works Completed
PDP2366	Iluka Foreshore Cabinet Renewal	30,000	49,549	(19,549)	100		Actual Completion	17/04/2026	Works Completed
PDP2368	Iluka Open Space Irrigation Rewiring	90	224	(134)	100		MULT 2/2	4/02/2026	Project deferred to Future Program
PDP2369	Seacrest Park Irrigation Wiring Renewal	90	179	(90)	100			4/02/2026	Project deferred to Future Program
PDP2370	Iron Filter Roof Covers	30,000	27,760	2,240	100		Actual Completion	10/02/2026	Works Completed
PDP2371	Chichester Park Skate & Play	41,572	88,125	(46,553)	0		Multi-Year Project		Works Programed
PDP2378	Percy Doyle Cabinet Renewal	45,000	44,672	328	100		Actual Completion	30/01/2026	Works Completed
PDP2381	Blue Lake Park Cabinet Renewal	40,000	21,702	18,298	30		Expected Completion	30/06/2026	Works in Progress
PDP2382	Lexcen Park Bore Replacement	55,591	52,856	2,735	100		Actual Completion	29/05/2026	Works Completed
PDP2385	MacNaughton Park North Pump Renewal	20,191	20,191	0	100		Actual Completion	30/09/2025	Works Completed
PDP2386	Menteith Park Pump Renewal	15,321	15,500	(179)	100		Actual Completion	17/09/2025	Works Completed
PDP2409	Smart Bore Water Meter Connections	175,123	190,211	(15,089)	95		MULT 2/2 Expected Completion	30/06/2026	Works in Progress
PDP2432	Timberlane Pk Playing Fields Feasibility	0	0	0	0		MULT 1/2		Works Phased
	Program Totals:	**1,148,656**	**986,418**	**162,238**					

FNM Foreshore & Natural Areas Management Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
FNM2051	Coastal Fencing Program	77,000	77,592	(592)	100		Actual Completion	7/01/2026	Works Completed
FNM2059	Bushland Reserve Fencing Program	62,047	79,782	(17,735)	100		Actual Completion	4/05/2026	Works Completed
FNM2076	Natural Areas Asset Improvement Program	107,220	61,458	45,762	42				Works in Progress
FNM2096	Elevated Watering Stations	20,039	18,298	1,741	100		Actual Completion	10/04/2026	Works Completed
FNM2098	Beaumaris Park Bushland Path DESIGN	18,891	18,891	0	100		Project Withdrawn	13/01/2026	Project Withdrawn
FNM2103	Coastal and Estuarine Mitigation Program	601,197	249,537	351,659	94		Multi-Year Project		Works in Progress
	Program Totals:	**886,394**	**505,558**	**380,836**					

PEP Parks Equipment Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
PEP2075	Parks Asset Replacement / Renewal	90,748	85,827	4,921	94				Works in Progress
PEP2517	Tennis Court Resurfacing Program	226,570	195,397	31,172	95				Works in Progress
PEP2529	Picnic Cove Pk Playspace Renewal DESIGN	6,189	0	6,189	0		MULT 2/3		Design Phase
PEP2619	Bollard And Fencing Renewal Program	91,107	88,764	2,344	100		Actual Completion	31/03/2026	Works Completed
PEP2638	Park Seating Renewal Citywide	40,000	40,833	(834)	100		Actual Completion	29/01/2026	Works Completed
PEP2644	Park Vehicle Entry Renewal Citywide	34,588	31,151	3,437	100		Actual Completion	18/02/2026	Works Completed
PEP2766	Emerald Park Playspace Renewal - DESIGN	29,634	5,128	24,506	0		MULT 2/3		Design Phase
PEP2803	Telopia Park Playspace Renewal DESIGN	9,314	7,785	1,529	0		MULT 1/2		Investigation Phase
PEP2807	Blackall Park Playspace Renewal DESIGN	8,710	10,216	(1,506)	0		MULT 1/2		Investigation Phase
PEP2812	Portree Park Playspace Renewal	116,487	116,487	(0)	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	1/12/2025	Works Completed
PEP2836	Lacepede New Playspace Install DESIGN	6,000	5,440	560	0		MULT 1/3		Investigation Phase
PEP2842	Mirror Park Playspace Renewal DESIGN	9,633	6,633	3,000	0		MULT 1/2		Concept Design
PEP2844	Brisbane Park Playspace Renewal	144,208	139,209	4,999	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	9/02/2026	Works Completed
PEP2848	Water Tower Pk Playspace Renewal	31,583	6,535	25,048	0		MULT 2/3		Design Phase
PEP2855	Nanika Pk Playspace Installation DESIGN	43,409	13,933	29,476	0		MULT 2/3		Design Phase
PEP2870	MacNaughton Park Playspace Renewal	154,042	154,210	(168)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	25/08/2025	Works Completed
PEP2873	Clare Park Playspace Renewal	109,073	109,073	0	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	23/10/2025	Works Completed
PEP2877	Stonehaven Park Playspace Renewal DESIGN	8,702	8,422	279	0		MULT 1/2		Concept Design
PEP2878	George Sears Park Playspace Renewal	104,000	96,207	7,793	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	23/03/2026	Works Completed
PEP2886	Moolanda Park Playspace Renewal DESIGN	4,553	1,573	2,980	0		MULT 1/2		Investigation Phase
PEP2891	Gibson Park Pump Track	10,000	12,500	(2,500)	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	5/03/2025	Works Completed
PEP2892	Whitfords West Park Pump and Jump	1,278,820	1,281,145	(2,325)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	29/01/2026	Works Completed
PEP2893	Lysander Park Pump Track	12,500	12,500	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	11/04/2025	Works Completed
PEP2895	Santiago Park Athletics Equipment	86,876	68,345	18,532	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	21/05/2026	Works Completed
PEP2896	Fairway Park Playspace Renewal	120,555	120,554	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	10/11/2025	Works Completed
PEP2897	Harbour View Pk Playspace Renewal DESIGN	9,644	6,643	3,001	0		MULT 1/2		Concept Design
PEP2906	Beachside Park Shelter Renewal	117,374	134,398	(17,024)	100		Actual Completion	27/05/2026	Works Completed
PEP2907	Chichester Pk Nth Practice Wicket Renew	171,337	171,337	(0)	100		Actual Completion	29/01/2026	Works Completed
PEP2909	Triton Park Bike Skills Track DESIGN	11,885	11,603	283	0		MULT 1/2		Concept Design
PEP2910	Haddington Park BMX Track Upgrade DESIGN	11,478	15,829	(4,350)	0		MULT 1/3		Investigation Phase
PEP2975	Heathridge Tennis Courts Shade Shelter	600	7,920	(7,320)	100		Actual Completion	21/05/2026	Works Completed
PEP2976	Haddington Park Shade Sails	0	0	0	0		MULT 1/2		Design Phase
PEP2977	Pinnaroo Point Playground Shade Sails	1,419	27,307	(25,888)	100		Actual Completion	1/04/2026	Works Completed
	Program Totals:	**3,101,041**	**2,992,903**	**108,137**					

SSE Streetscape Enhancement Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
SSE2057	Leafy City Program	376,789	242,473	134,316	88				Works in Progress
SSE2059	Joondalup Dr Streetscape Upgrades DESIGN	0	5,738	(5,738)	0		Multi-Year Project		Works Phased
	Program Totals:	**376,789**	**248,211**	**128,578**					

LTM Local Traffic Management Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
LTM2003	Bus Shelter / Stops Program	30,000	13,111	16,889	100		Actual Completion	29/05/2026	Works Completed
LTM2132	Minor Road Safety Improvements	33,446	26,718	6,728	71				Works in Progress
LTM2166	Gwendoline Drive Median Upgrade	39,212	27,112	12,100	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	12/05/2025	Works Completed
LTM2205	Trappers Dr Crossing Improvements	41,124	41,124	0	100		Actual Completion	12/09/2025	Works Completed
LTM2207	Woodvale Drive LTM Scheme	122,147	65,580	56,567	10		Multi-Year Project		Works in Progress
LTM2210	Honeybush Drive LTM Scheme	130,000	278	129,722	0		Multi-Year Project		Design Phase
LTM2213	Woodvale Primary School Crossing Improv.	114,984	114,984	(0)	100		Actual Completion	15/09/2025	Works Completed
LTM2216	North Woodvale PS Precinct Improvements	30,000	1,761	28,239	0		MULT 2/2 Expected Completion	31/07/2026	Quotation Phase
LTM2218	Craigie Heights PS Precinct Improvements	246,216	246,280	(64)	100		Actual Completion	21/08/2025	Works Completed
LTM2219	Goollelal PS Precinct Improvement DESIGN	1,205	1,205	0	100		Actual Completion	31/10/2025	Works Completed
LTM2220	Sorrento PS Precinct Improvements DESIGN	6,125	3,599	2,527	0		MULT 1/3		Design Phase
	Program Totals:	**794,459**	**541,752**	**252,708**					

SBS Blackspot Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
SBS2091	Marmion/Coral Intersection Upgrade	17,790	17,790	0	100		Project Withdrawn	3/09/2025	Project Withdrawn
SBS2092	Marmion/Forrest Intersection Upgrade	660,358	194,798	465,560	0		Multi-Year Project		Quotation Phase
SBS2093	Ocean Reef/Gwendoline Inter. Upgrade	572,404	578,802	(6,398)	100		Actual Completion	3/09/2025	Works Completed
SBS2095	Hepburn/Amalfi Roundabout Improvement	30,502	30,502	0	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	5/03/2025	Works Completed
SBS2097	Hepburn/Moolanda Roundabout Construction	858,802	413,322	445,480	40		MULT 2/3		Works in Progress
SBS2098	Hepburn Ave/Waraker Rd - Left Turn Slip	0	7,239	(7,239)	100		Actual Completion	6/06/2025	Works Completed
SBS2101	Northshore Drive Blvd Treatment DESIGN	66,000	80,542	(14,542)	0		Multi-Year Project		Design Phase
	Program Totals:	**2,205,856**	**1,322,996**	**882,860**					

PFP Parking Facilities Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
PFP2083	Hillarys Park Parking Improvement DESIGN	8,348	5,882	2,466	0		MULT 1/2		Design Phase
PFP2090	Merrifield Place Parking Improvements	516	516	(0)	100		Project Withdrawn	13/01/2026	Project Withdrawn
PFP2096	Perilya Road On-Street Parking	89,515	13,002	76,513	100		Actual Completion	4/06/2026	Works Completed
PFP2104	Santiago Park Pking Improvements DESIGN	9,148	6,690	2,458	0		MULT 1/2		Design Phase
	Program Totals:	**107,527**	**26,090**	**81,436**					

RDC Road Construction Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
RDC2003	Bridge & Underpass Refurbishment Program	10,000	4,950	5,050	100		Actual Completion	30/04/2026	Works Completed
RDC2008	Major Road & Intersection Improvement Pr	19,527	18,551	977	0				Design Phase
RDC2027	Joondalup Dr/Hodges Dr Int. Upgrade	206,238	198,634	7,605	0		MRRG		Design Phase
RDC2029	Joondalup / Lakeside (N) Roundabout	218,633	218,633	0	100		MRRG Actual Completion	18/08/2025	Works Completed
RDC2030	Moolanda Boulevard Pedestrian Footbridge	3,399,975	3,398,664	1,311	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	23/01/2026	Works Completed
RDC2031	Hepburn Av-Lilburne/Walter Padbury	1,273,046	878,183	394,863	51		MULT 3/3 Expected Completion	31/07/2026	Works in Progress
RDC2032	Eddystone Ave - Joondalup to Honeybush	173,533	21,387	152,146	0		MRRG		Design Phase
RDC2033	Craigie Leisure/Whitford/Pinnaroo DESIGN	61,130	74,326	(13,196)	0		MRRG MULT 1/3		Design Phase
	Program Totals:	**5,362,082**	**4,813,327**	**548,755**					

FPN New Pathways Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
FPN2011	Minor Pathway Facilities	90,278	74,577	15,701	88				Works in Progress
FPN2268	Methuen Way - Strathyre to Train Station	0	0	0	100		Actual Completion	30/06/2025	Works Completed
FPN2287	Telopia Drv - Nicholli to Granadilla	344	881	(536)	100		Project Withdrawn	30/01/2026	Project Withdrawn
FPN2290	Woodlake Retreat - North End Pathway	7,300	7,218	82	100		Actual Completion	7/03/2025	Works Completed
FPN2299	Hillarys Cycle Network Expansion	2,337,671	1,940,730	396,942	75		Multi-Year Project		Works in Progress
FPN2301	Birch Place and Carew Place School Links	185	464	(279)	100		Project Withdrawn	18/11/2025	Project Withdrawn
FPN2303	Warwick Road (West) Shared Path - DESIGN	48,602	27,605	20,998	0				Design Phase
FPN2306	Fenellia Cres - Camberwarra to Otago Pk	98,000	110,412	(12,412)	100		Actual Completion	27/02/2026	Works Completed
	Program Totals:	**2,582,381**	**2,161,886**	**420,495**					

FPR Path Replacement Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
FPR2282	Picnic Cove Pathway Renewal	53,917	53,917	0	100		Actual Completion	10/11/2025	Works Completed
FPR2306	Delamere Avenue Pathway Renewal	80,000	89,569	(9,569)	100		Actual Completion	8/05/2026	Works Completed
FPR2309	Shenton Ave Pathway Renewal	86,173	86,172	1	100		Actual Completion	15/12/2025	Works Completed
FPR2316	Broadbeach Boulevard Renewal	85,000	9,986	75,014	100		Actual Completion	11/06/2026	Works Completed
	Program Totals:	**305,090**	**239,645**	**65,445**					

SWD Stormwater Drainage Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
SWD2001	Stormwater Drainage Upgrades	57,320	55,166	2,154	50				Works in Progress
SWD2152	Mirror Park West Sump Beautification	6,497	10,172	(3,676)	100		MULT 3/3	30/01/2026	Project deferred to Future Program
SWD2211	Stormwater Renewal Program	10,000	0	10,000	0				Works Phased
SWD2236	Florian Place Drainage Upgrade	30,000	37,825	(7,825)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	30/01/2026	Works Completed
SWD2241	Gleddon Park Sump Beautification DESIGN	2,383	383	2,001	0		MULT 2/3		Design Phase
SWD2246	Contour Drive Catchment Upgrade	80,000	233,354	(153,354)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	8/05/2026	Works Completed
SWD2247	Stocker Court Drainage Upgrades	75,000	11,305	63,696	75		MULT 2/2 Expected Completion	30/06/2026	Works in Progress
SWD2250	Mandalay Place Drainage Upgrades	99	99	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	2/05/2025	Works Completed
SWD2253	Bagley Road Drainage Upgrades	2,518	2,518	0	100		Actual Completion	29/09/2025	Works Completed
SWD2254	Blue Lake Park Drainage Upgrades	28,487	19,934	8,553	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	23/03/2026	Works Completed
SWD2255	Taylor Way Drainage Upgrade	72,276	72,276	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	24/07/2025	Works Completed
SWD2257	Absolon Way Drainage Upgrades	24,170	56,211	(32,041)	100		Actual Completion	25/05/2026	Works Completed
	Program Totals:	**388,750**	**499,243**	**(110,493)**					

STL Lighting Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
STL2003	Joondalup City Centre Lighting	35,361	23,095	12,266	0		Multi-Year Project		Design Phase
STL2005	Distributor and Local Road Lighting	40,356	25,746	14,610	50				Works in Progress
STL2052	Lighting Infrastructure Renewal Program	100,795	87,510	13,285	55				Works in Progress
STL2122	Santiago Park Sports Lights Installation	195,819	218,641	(22,822)	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	8/09/2025	Works Completed
STL2131	Caledonia Pk Sports Lighting Expansion	250,000	116,491	133,508	70		MULT 2/2		Works in Progress
STL2139	Manapouri Park Lighting Improvement	2,086	2,086	1	100			30/01/2026	Project deferred to Future Program
STL2148	Doveridge to Ripley PAW Lighting	2,567	2,567	0	100		Actual Completion	2/09/2025	Works Completed
STL2149	Glengarry to Ripley PAW Lighting	1,678	1,678	0	100		Actual Completion	2/09/2025	Works Completed
STL2150	Doveridge to Elderslie PAW Lighting	1,145	1,145	0	100		Actual Completion	2/09/2025	Works Completed

STL Lighting Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
STL2151	Delaware Park Pathway Lighting	1,420	1,240	180	100			30/01/2026	Project deferred to Future Program
STL2153	Caledonia Park Pathway Lighting	7,600	7,699	(99)	0		Expected Completion	30/06/2026	Works Programed
STL2158	Iluka Open Space Power Upgrades DESIGN	914	445	470	0		MULT 2/3		Works Phased
STL2162	Banks Park Lighting Upgrade	27,708	27,707	1	100		Actual Completion	28/11/2025	Works Completed
STL2163	Harbour Rise Pole Surrounds Replacement	76,225	58,823	17,402	80		MULT 1/2		Works in Progress
STL2164	Long Island Pass Infill Lighting	15,391	6,167	9,223	95		Expected Completion	30/06/2026	Works in Progress
STL2167	Percy Doyle Cricket Light Upgrade DESIGN	0	0	0	0		MULT 1/2		Works Phased
STL2171	Sorrento Tennis - Cable Replacement	150,445	6,322	144,123	0		MULT 2/2 Expected Completion	31/07/2026	Works Programed
STL2172	Warwick Open Space Pitch 1 LED Upgrade	101,678	134,713	(33,035)	0		Expected Completion	31/07/2026	Works Programed
	Program Totals:	**1,011,187**	**722,076**	**289,112**					

RPR Road Preservation & Rehabilitation Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
RPR2004	Road Preservation/Rehabilitation Program	11,991	14,994	(3,003)	83				Works in Progress
RPR2112	Grand Blvd - Kendrew Cr to Joondalup Dr	0	229	(229)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	10/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR2113	Whitfords Ave & Trappers Dr intersection	0	2,876	(2,876)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	15/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR2114	Whitfords Av -Duffy Tce/Timbercrest Rise	0	2,179	(2,179)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	15/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR2407	Hartleap Lane	123,000	136,736	(13,736)	100		Actual Completion	19/02/2026	Works Completed
RPR2936	Mermaid Way	15,438	15,438	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	20/03/2025	Works Completed
RPR3000	Warbler Close	36,832	36,832	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	26/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3139	Gwendoline Drive	13,880	30,039	(16,159)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	12/05/2025	Works Completed
RPR3305	Craigie Dr - Ocean Reef to Sandalford	60,183	100,677	(40,494)	100		RTR MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	24/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3306	Craigie Dr - Sandalford Dr to Coyle Rd	101,539	111,838	(10,299)	100		RTR MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	24/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3307	Richards Crescent	215,344	198,975	16,369	100		Actual Completion	20/02/2026	Works Completed
RPR3309	Loch View	97,000	77,286	19,714	100		Actual Completion	25/03/2026	Works Completed
RPR3327	Plankton Place	162,000	68,022	93,978	100		Actual Completion	29/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3331	Mertz Court	114,074	114,074	(0)	100		Actual Completion	6/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3334	Awhina Place	48,064	48,064	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	16/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3339	Burnside Court	66,924	6,874	60,050	100		Actual Completion	31/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR3340	Handcock Way	120,000	1,084	118,916	25				Works in Progress
RPR3342	Sears Place	35,334	550	34,784	25				Works in Progress
RPR3347	Egret Heights	28,000	1,055	26,945	25				Works in Progress
RPR3352	Lunar Court	96,875	96,874	0	100		Actual Completion	2/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3353	Watson Place	82,933	82,933	0	100		Actual Completion	1/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3355	Gemini Rise	118,599	118,599	0	100		Actual Completion	2/10/2025	Works Completed

RPR Road Preservation & Rehabilitation Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
RPR3359	Sandpiper Street	100,744	100,744	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	12/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3377	Elwood Court	64,775	64,775	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	17/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3378	Macedon Place	78,373	78,373	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	13/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3380	Blythe Lane	32,748	32,748	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	30/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3381	Guardian Loop	137,345	137,345	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	25/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3383	Taroona Lane	72,633	72,633	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	30/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3389	Opal Drive	85,047	85,047	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	19/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3390	Topaz Gardens	21,614	21,614	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	19/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3391	Whistler Close	125,162	125,162	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	26/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3409	Waterford Drive - Waraker to Lymburner	5,099	5,099	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	3/04/2025	Works Completed
RPR3410	Waterston Gardens	92	92	0	100		Actual Completion	18/03/2025	Works Completed
RPR3423	Moolanda Blvd - Benbullen to Legana	0	0	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	5/03/2025	Works Completed
RPR3425	Moolanda Blvd - McDowell to Benbullen	0	0	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	4/03/2025	Works Completed
RPR3427	Cliverton Court	221,994	221,994	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	10/08/2025	Works Completed
RPR3437	Warner Drive	142,527	142,527	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	11/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3438	Hakea Place	74,108	74,108	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	16/07/2025	Works Completed
RPR3439	Hocking Parade	170,000	82,272	87,728	100		Actual Completion	8/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3446	Crawley Grove	40,543	40,543	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	2/07/2025	Works Completed
RPR3448	Passerine Close	38,022	38,022	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	26/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3449	Jade Grove	25,090	25,090	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	19/06/2025	Works Completed
RPR3450	Portsea Place	54,416	54,416	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	1/07/2025	Works Completed
RPR3460	Beltana Road	210,282	210,648	(367)	100		Actual Completion	1/08/2025	Works Completed
RPR3461	Henton Place	31,112	31,112	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	2/07/2025	Works Completed
RPR3475	Warburton Ave- Leichhardt to Leichhardt	287,296	287,296	0	100		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	23/08/2025	Works Completed
RPR3476	Bridgewater Drv - Shelley to Clevedon	22,442	22,442	0	100		Actual Completion	8/03/2025	Works Completed
RPR3479	Warwick Road - Allenswood to Cockman	6,093	6,093	0	100		MRRG Actual Completion	19/05/2025	Works Completed
RPR3480	Warwick Road - Oronsay to Dorchester	6,093	6,093	0	100		MRRG Actual Completion	20/05/2025	Works Completed
RPR3481	Blackall Dr - Cockman Rd to Filbert St	246,801	253,791	(6,990)	100		RTR Actual Completion	11/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3483	Blackall Dr- Lobelia St to Peppermint Dr	225,000	247,760	(22,760)	100		RTR Actual Completion	15/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3484	Moolanda Blv- Halidon St to Harness St	80,000	18,147	61,853	25		RTR Expected Completion	31/07/2026	Works in Progress
RPR3485	Beach Rd (EB) Chessell Dr to Poynter Dr	128,694	128,694	0	100		MRRG Actual Completion	12/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3486	Joondalup Dr (SB) - Collier to Aston	94,214	94,214	0	100		MRRG Actual Completion	8/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3487	Hodges Dr (EB) Mitchell to Joondalup Dr	65,582	65,859	(278)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	15/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3488	Hepburn Ave (EB) - Marmion to Gibson	267,966	321,729	(53,763)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	10/02/2026	Works Completed
RPR3489	Hepburn Ave (WB) - Lilburne to Gibson	165,489	165,490	(0)	100		MRRG Actual Completion	10/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3490	Beach Rd (EB)- Warwick Stn to Springvale	154,629	146,209	8,420	100		MRRG Actual Completion	2/02/2026	Works Completed
RPR3491	Warwick Rd (EB) - Lilburne Rd to Dava St	198,057	171,037	27,020	100		MRRG Actual Completion	2/02/2026	Works Completed

RPR Road Preservation & Rehabilitation Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
RPR3492	Lea Place	42,285	42,285	(0)	100		Actual Completion	7/11/2025	Works Completed
RPR3493	Percy Doyle Res Carpark - Beddi Rd	100,000	300	99,700	10				Works in Progress
RPR3494	Staff Court	80,200	4,826	75,374	100		Actual Completion	18/03/2026	Works Completed
RPR3495	Colgrave Way	218,817	218,817	0	100		Actual Completion	8/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3496	Juniper Way	292,000	115,206	176,794	100		Actual Completion	10/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3497	Eucalypt Court	142,857	141,088	1,769	100		Actual Completion	10/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3498	Winster Close	112,654	111,858	795	100		Actual Completion	3/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3499	Wodgina Place	20,000	3,626	16,374	100		Actual Completion	31/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR3500	Ella Place	41,714	41,713	1	100		Actual Completion	26/11/2025	Works Completed
RPR3501	Angle Place	104,720	104,720	0	100		Actual Completion	29/08/2025	Works Completed
RPR3502	Meridian Dr	281,178	281,178	(0)	100		RTR Actual Completion	3/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3503	Kimberley Road	141,301	142,089	(788)	100		Actual Completion	13/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3504	Anadara Place	99,581	99,581	0	100		Actual Completion	8/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3505	Sandsnail Place	53,883	53,883	(0)	100		Actual Completion	8/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3506	Feltham Way	86,290	86,290	0	100		Actual Completion	3/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3507	Curl Court	60,426	60,425	1	100		Actual Completion	6/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3508	Tangent Court	85,339	85,339	1	100		Actual Completion	11/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3509	St Helier Drive / Hocking Parade	45,000	15,770	29,230	100		RTR Actual Completion	8/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3510	Cabarita Lane - Legana to Gurian	50,258	22,020	28,238	25				Works in Progress
RPR3511	Gurian Garden	71,430	6,148	65,283	25				Works in Progress
RPR3512	Deltoid Place	118,000	87,820	30,180	100		Actual Completion	2/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3513	Balanus Way	372,000	242,686	129,314	100		Actual Completion	7/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3514	Ramose Close	97,000	45,065	51,935	100		Actual Completion	1/04/2026	Works Completed
RPR3515	Sheridan Place	73,000	13,085	59,915	10				Works in Progress
RPR3516	Neap Lane	56,761	56,761	0	100		Actual Completion	27/08/2025	Works Completed
RPR3517	Bounty Place	57,936	57,936	0	100		Actual Completion	1/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3518	Dodonaea Court	140,000	144,808	(4,808)	100		Actual Completion	9/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3519	Control Close	54,405	54,406	(0)	100		Actual Completion	11/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3520	Micrometer Place	104,108	104,108	0	100		Actual Completion	11/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3521	Banks Avenue	199,658	199,658	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	30/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3522	Ellersdale Avenue	157,214	157,215	(1)	100		RTR Actual Completion	18/12/2025	Works Completed
RPR3523	Sherman Court	50,000	3,979	46,021	100		Actual Completion	19/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR3524	Seacrest Dr / Lacepede Dr Roundabout	104,061	104,061	0	100		RTR Actual Completion	26/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3525	Gavin Way	47,930	47,931	(1)	100		Actual Completion	26/09/2025	Works Completed
RPR3526	Gilmore Street	125,750	2,110	123,640	50				Works in Progress
RPR3527	Hayfield Way	295,000	306,353	(11,354)	100		Actual Completion	27/11/2025	Works Completed
RPR3528	Sunset Place	90,667	90,667	0	100		Actual Completion	7/11/2025	Works Completed

RPR Road Preservation & Rehabilitation Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
RPR3529	Breez Court	76,287	76,287	(0)	100		Actual Completion	7/11/2025	Works Completed
RPR3530	Gipsy Court	81,000	58,229	22,771	100		Actual Completion	25/03/2026	Works Completed
RPR3531	Tannadice Close	64,000	8,875	55,125	100		Actual Completion	25/05/2026	Works Completed
RPR3532	Collier Pass (EB)	375,000	364,838	10,163	100		RTR Actual Completion	26/03/2026	Works Completed
RPR3533	Timberlane Drive	100,000	4,585	95,415	25				Works in Progress
RPR3534	Ozone Road	123,653	123,652	1	100		Actual Completion	3/10/2025	Works Completed
RPR3535	Buckhaven Court	46,000	0	46,000	25				Works in Progress
	Program Totals:	**10,367,456**	**8,837,726**	**1,529,730**					

BCW Building Construction Works Program


Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
BCW2020	Building Component Renewal Program	120,000	147,478	(27,477)	88				Works in Progress
BCW2025	Building Capital Upgrade Works	50,000	30,494	19,506	88				Works in Progress
BCW2450	Environmental Initiatives	109,991	131,716	(21,725)	100		Actual Completion	27/02/2026	Works Completed
BCW2563	MacNaughton Park Facility Upgrade DESIGN	50,000	468	49,532	0		Multi-Year Project		Works Phased
BCW2573	Short Life Services Renewal Program	188,374	158,247	30,127	79				Works in Progress
BCW2596	Prince Regent Park Facility Upgrade	1,111,528	1,133,846	(22,318)	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	30/03/2026	Works Completed
BCW2609	Community Performing Arts Improv. DESIGN	13,700	13,700	0	0		Multi-Year Project		Investigation Phase
BCW2640	Percy Doyle Community Sporting Facility	2,175	2,175	0	100		MULT 3/3 Actual Completion	11/04/2025	Works Completed
BCW2644	Mullaloo SLSC Changerooms Refurb	44,433	51,981	(7,549)	0		MULT 2/3		Works Programed
BCW2677	Civic Precinct VAVs Replacement	56,676	75,520	(18,844)	50		MULT 2/2 Actual Completion	25/03/2026	Works Completed
BCW2690	Craigie Leisure Centre Roof Replacement	70,000	60,683	9,317	100		Actual Completion	30/01/2026	Works Completed
BCW2691	Tom Simpson Park Gazebo	75,000	99,187	(24,187)	100		Actual Completion	8/04/2026	Works Completed
BCW2692	SES Winton Road Depot Facility Upgrade	0	24,784	(24,784)	50		MULT 2/2		Works in Progress
BCW2698	Sorrento Tennis Club Upgrades	899	898	1	0		Expected Completion	31/10/2026	Project Under Review
BCW2699	Warwick Bowls Upgrades	1,000	1,405	(405)	0		Expected Completion	26/02/2027	Works Programed
BCW2700	Warrandyte Park	2,146	1,891	255	40		MULT 1/2		Works in Progress
BCW2701	Whitford Seniors Citizens Club Upgrades	1,000	289	711	0		MULT 1/2		Works Phased
	Program Totals:	**1,896,921**	**1,934,760**	**(37,839)**					

MPP Major Projects Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
MPP2006	Pinnaroo Point - Cafe/Kiosk/Restaurant	10,558	10,558	0	100		Actual Completion	30/09/2025	Works Completed
MPP2076	Sorrento SLSC Redevelopment	419,727	171,665	248,062	5		MULT 1/3		Tender Phase
MPP2077	Burns Beach - Cafe/Kiosk/Restaurant	254,099	191,976	62,123	0		Multi-Year Project		Design Phase

MPP Major Projects Program

Project Code	Project Description	Revised Budget Amount YTD	Project Actuals	Actual Variance / Budget YTD	% Construction Complete	Project Status	Comment	Completion Date	Project Stage
MPP2080	Burns Beach Coastal Node Redevelopment	619,644	603,896	15,748	25		Multi-Year Project		Design Phase
MPP2081	Duncraig Adventure Hub	4,758,105	4,441,376	316,729	87		MULT 1/3		Works in Progress
MPP2083	City Centre Place Activation	700,903	362,011	338,892	70		Multi-Year Project		Works in Progress
MPP2084	Heathridge Park Redevelopment	75,000	31,330	43,670	0		Multi-Year Project		Concept Design
	Program Totals:	**6,838,035**	**5,812,811**	**1,025,224**					
	Grand Totals:	**37,372,625**	**31,645,401**	**5,727,223**					



LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- WINDOW TAG: W01 — WINDOW TYPE; 101 — WINDOW NUMBER
- DOOR TAG: D01 — DOOR TYPE; 101 — DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- FIRE RATED STRUCTURE FRL 120/120/120
- COLUMN. REFER STRUCT. ENG'S DWGS. PAINT FINISH
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX > KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQMENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

NOTE: ALL DOWNPIPES (INCLUSIVE OF ALL FIXINGS AND ACCESSORIES) NOT CONCEALED IN WALL CAVITIES SHALL BE FABRICATED OUT OF MARINE GRADE STAINLESS STEEL.

NOTE: ALLSERVICES PENETRATIONS THROUGH FIRE-RATED WALLS AND FLOORS SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY FIRE PROTECTED AND FIRE COLLARED TO MAINTAIN THE REQUIRED FRL'S

LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS

CM-01	CARPET ENTRY MATTING & FRAME
DP	DOWNPIPE, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS
DP-SS	DOWNPIPE STAINLESS STEEL AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS FOR SIZES & CONNECTIONS
EPX-01	EPOXY FLOOR PAINT
FT-02	FLOOR TILES: CHANGEROOMS / SHOWERS / WET AREAS (R11)
FT-03	FLOOR TILES KITCHEN / KIOSKS (R12)
HB-C	CUSTOM IN-SITU CONCRETE BASIN
LK-01	LOCKERS (CUBE & FULL HEIGHT TYPE) WITH RFID LOCKING SYSTEM. REFER INTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
SD	STRIP DRAIN
SH-EXT	SHOWER SET AND FOOT WASH
VSF-01	VINYL SHEET FLOORING TYPE 1
WC-V	STANDARD AND AMBULANT TOILET PAN, SS, VANDAL RESISTANT
WT-E01	WALL CLADDING TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
J	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025


City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5740
Email: admin@hcparch.com

PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 1 - CLUBHOUSE
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
LOWER GROUND FLOOR PLAN

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
1 : 100	A1	78.24
DRAWN: AC	REVISION: T1	1-A100
CHECKED / DATE: JC		



LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS

BAL-01	1600H BALUSTRADE, STAINLESS STEEL FRAMED WITH S/S CAST IN PLATES, WITH GLAZED INFILL BALUSTRADE PANELS AS SPEC & DETAILED
CM-01	CARPET ENTRY MATTING & FRAME
CT-01	CARPET TILES TYPE 1
CT-02	CARPET TILES TYPE 2
DF-01	DRINKING FOUNTAIN AND BOTTLE FILL
DP	DOWNPIPE, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS
DP-SS	DOWNPIPE STAINLESS STEEL AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS FOR SIZES & CONNECTIONS
EE-AV	AV RACK, REFER ELEC ENG'S DWGS
EE-FB	FLOOR BOX WITH INSET LID TYPE. REFER ELEC ENG'S DWGS
EX-AGG 1	EXPOSED AGGREGATE CONCRETE PAVEMENT COLOUR 1 (MAIN BODY), REFER LANDSCAPE ARCH DOCS FOR SELECTION & LEVELS, AND CIVIL & STRUCTURAL FOR TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS
FT-01	FLOOR TILES: UG LOBBY & TOILETS
FT-03	FLOOR TILES KITCHEN / KIOSKS (R12)
FT-E01	EXTERNAL FLOOR TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE. ENGINEERED SCREED UNDER, WITH W/PROOF MEMBRANE OVER & UNDER SCREED AS SPEC
HE-HWU	HYDRAULIC HOT WATER UNIT, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS
JB-E01	OUTDOOR JOINERY BENCHTOP & CARCASE FRAMING, WITH 2x INTEGRATED SINKS (ALL 316 GRADE S/S ELECTROPOLISHED) AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE
ME-COND	MECHANICAL CONDENSER UNIT ON CONC PLINTH. REFER MECH ENG'S DWGS
PUF-01	POLYURETHANE FLOORING TYPE 1 (COOL & FREEZER ROOMS)
PUF-02	POLYURETHANE FLOORING TYPE 1 (CLUB ROOM PLANT ROOM FLOOR)
RUB-22	RUBBER SPORTS FLOORING (22mm THICK)
SD	STRIP DRAIN
TCL-10	TIMBER LOOK COMPOSITE DECKING TO SEATS, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TGSI-E	TACTILE GROUND SURFACE INDICATOR STUDS, AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE. TO AS1428 REQMENTS
TURF	TURF LOOK SPORTS FLOORING
VPL-01	VINYL PLANK TYPE 1
VSF-02	VINYL SHEET FLOORING TYPE 2 (NON STATIC TYPE)

LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- W01 / 101 — WINDOW TAG: WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW NUMBER
- D01 / 101 — DOOR TAG: DOOR TYPE / DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- FIRE RATED STRUCTURE FRL 120/120/120
- COLUMN, REFER STRUCT. ENG'S DWGS. PAINT FINISH
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX > KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQMENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

NOTE: ALL DOWNPIPES (INCLUSIVE OF ALL FIXINGS AND ACCESSORIES) NOT CONCEALED IN WALL CAVITIES SHALL BE FABRICATED OUT OF MARINE GRADE STAINLESS STEEL.

NOTE: ALLSERVICES PENETRATIONS THROUGH FIRE-RATED WALLS AND FLOORS SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY FIRE PROTECTED AND FIRE COLLARED TO ENSURE THE REQUIRED FRLs ARE NOT COMPROMISED

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
J	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025


City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5740
Email: admin@hcparch.com

PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 1 - CLUBHOUSE
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020


SHEET
UPPER GROUND FLOOR PLAN

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER	
1 : 100	A1	78.24	
DRAWN: AC		REVISION: T1	1-A101
CHECKED / DATE: JC			



2 WEST ELEVATION

1 : 100



1 SOUTH ELEVATION

1-A100 1 : 100

LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- W01 / 101 — WINDOW TAG: WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW NUMBER
- D01 / 101 — DOOR TAG: DOOR TYPE / DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- FIRE RATED STRUCTURE FRL 120/120/120
- COLUMN, REFER STRUCT. ENG'S DWGS. PAINT FINISH
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX > KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQMENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS

ANOD-01	ANODISED ALUMINIUM AA25um, BRONZE COLOUR AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
BAL-01	1600H BALUSTRADE, STAINLESS STEEL FRAMED WITH S/S CAST IN PLATES, WITH GLAZED INFILL BALUSTRADE PANELS AS SPEC & DETAILED
CON-03	CONCRETE FEATURE INSITU WALL, WITH TEXTURED FORMLINER VERTICAL GROOVE PATTERN, CLASS 2 FINISH AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. SEALED, REFER TO ALLDURO WATERPROOFING SPEC APPENDIX
FT-E01	EXTERNAL FLOOR TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE. ENGINEERED SCREED UNDER, WITH WIPROOF MEMBRANE OVER & UNDER SCREED AS SPEC
PC-01	POWDERCOAT ALUMINIUM / STEEL, BRONZE COLOUR AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
PT-01	PAINTED STEEL STRUCTURE 3 COAT SYSTEM AS SPEC, BRONZE COLOUR TO MATCH ANOD-01 ANODISING
PV-01	SOLAR PV SUPPORT SYSTEM AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. REFER ELEC ENG'S DOCS FOR SOLAR PV PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS.
RF-01	PARAPET CAPPINGS & FLASHINGS TO RWPM-01 (TYP) AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. PURPOSE MADE PERMALITE ALUMINIUM
RF-02	PARAPET CAPPINGS & FLASHINGS TO TIMBER LOOK CLADDING & REMAINING PARAPETS AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. CUSTOM MADE ALUMINIUM, ANODISED FINISH
RF-PC	ROOF LIGHT, TRAFFICABLE POLYCARBONATE AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE
RWPM-02	WATERPROOFING MEMBRANE SYSTEM (LIQUID) - ABOVE AWNINGS / NON HABITABLE SPACES AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. REFER ALLDURO WATERPROOFING SPEC APPENDIX
SH-EXT	SHOWER SET AND FOOT WASH
TCL-01	TIMBER VERTICAL WEATHERBOARD LOOK ALUMINIUM CLADDING SYSTEM AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-02	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 1 45x135mm, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-03	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 2 50x50mm 2 PART, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-04	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 3 50x150mm, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
WT-E01	WALL CLADDING TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
J	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025


City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street,
West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5740
Email: admin@hcparch.com


PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 1 - CLUBHOUSE
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
ELEVATIONS - SHEET 02

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
1 : 100	A1	78.24
DRAWN: AC		REVISION: T1
CHECKED / DATE: JC		1-A301



1 / 1-A100 EAST ELEVATION
1 : 100



2 / 1-A100 NORTH ELEVATION
1 : 100

LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- W01 / 101 — WINDOW TAG: WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW NUMBER
- D01 / 101 — DOOR TAG: DOOR TYPE / DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- FIRE RATED STRUCTURE FRL 120/120/120
- COLUMN, REFER STRUCT. ENG'S DWGS. PAINT FINISH
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX > KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQ'MENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS

ANOD-01	ANODISED ALUMINIUM AA25um, BRONZE COLOUR AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
BAL-01	1600H BALUSTRADE, STAINLESS STEEL FRAMED WITH S/S CAST IN PLATES, WITH GLAZED INFILL BALUSTRADE PANELS AS SPEC & DETAILED
CON-03	CONCRETE FEATURE INSITU WALL, WITH TEXTURED FORMLINER VERTICAL GROOVE PATTERN, CLASS 2 FINISH AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. SEALED, REFER TO ALLDURO WATERPROOFING SPEC APPENDIX
FT-E01	EXTERNAL FLOOR TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE. ENGINEERED SCREED UNDER, WITH W/PROOF MEMBRANE OVER & UNDER SCREED AS SPEC
PT-01	PAINTED STEEL STRUCTURE 3 COAT SYSTEM AS SPEC, BRONZE COLOUR TO MATCH ANOD-01 ANODISING
PV-01	SOLAR PV SUPPORT SYSTEM AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. REFER ELEC ENG'S DOCS FOR SOLAR PV PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS.
RF-01	PARAPET CAPPINGS & FLASHINGS TO RWPM-01 (TYP) AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. PURPOSE MADE PERMALITE ALUMINIUM
RF-02	PARAPET CAPPINGS & FLASHINGS TO TIMBER LOOK CLADDING & REMAINING PARAPETS AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. CUSTOM MADE ALUMINIUM, ANODISED FINISH
RF-ML	MECHANICAL LOUVRE SCREENING, ANODISED FINISH AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE
RF-PC	ROOF LIGHT, TRAFFICABLE POLYCARBONATE AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE
RWPM-01	WATERPROOFING MEMBRANE SYSTEM (SHEET) - ABOVE HABITABLE SPACES AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. REFER ALLDURO WATERPROOFING SPEC APPENDIX
RWPM-02	WATERPROOFING MEMBRANE SYSTEM (LIQUID) - ABOVE AWNINGS / NON HABITABLE SPACES AS PER EXT. FINISHES SCHEDULE. REFER ALLDURO WATERPROOFING SPEC APPENDIX
TCL-01	TIMBER VERTICAL WEATHERBOARD LOOK ALUMINIUM CLADDING SYSTEM AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-02	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 1 45x135mm, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
J	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025

City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5740
Email: admin@hcparch.com


PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 1 - CLUBHOUSE
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
ELEVATIONS - SHEET 01


SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
1 : 100	A1	78.24

DRAWN: AC
CHECKED / DATE: JC
REVISION: T1

1-A300



1 3-A200 TOILET BLOCK GROUND FLOOR PLAN
1 : 50



2 3-A100 TYPICAL SHOWER TILE FALLS PLAN
1 : 20

LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS	
BNCH-V	BENCH SEATING
C250	STRUCTURAL WALL: IN-SITU CONCRETE, 250mm
CS-V	IN-WALL CISTERN AND FLUSH PLATE, VANDAL RESISTANT
CT-B	BABY CHANGE TABLE
EX-AGG 1	EXPOSED AGGREGATE CONCRETE PAVEMENT COLOUR 1 (MAIN BODY), REFER LANDSCAPE ARCH DOCS FOR SELECTION & LEVELS, AND CIVIL & STRUCTURAL FOR TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS
FT-02	FLOOR TILES: CHANGEROOMS / SHOWERS / WET AREAS (R11)
GR-A	AMBULANT GRAB RAIL
GR-SA	AMBULANT SHOWER GRAB RAIL, SS
GR-UV	ACCESSIBLE GRAB RAIL SET, VANDAL RESISTANT
HB-C	CUSTOM IN-SITU CONCRETE BASIN
HB-SS	EXTERNAL BASIN, SS, WALL MOUNT. REFER FITTINGS & FIXTURES SCHEDULE
HB-UV	ACCESSIBLE BASIN WITH SHELF, SS, WALL MOUNTED, VANDAL RESISTANT
HK-01	HOOK RAIL
MI-UV	ACCESSIBLE MIRROR, SS CHANNEL FRAME
PFC	PRECAST CONCRETE WALL REFER TO STRUCT ENGS DWGS, CLASS 2 FINISH, SEALED FINISH
PTN-PV	URINAL PRIVACY SCREENS
PTN-TS	TOILET / SHOWER PARTITIONS
SD-CoJ	SOAP DISPENSER, SUPPLIED BY COJ INSTALLED BY BUILDER
SD-UV	SOAP DISPENSER, SS
SH-EXT	SHOWER SET AND FOOT WASH
SH-U	ACCESSIBLE SHOWER SET
SH-V	SHOWER SET, ANTI-LIGATURE
SS-U	ACCESSIBLE FOLDING SHOWER SEAT
TAP-TF1	ACCESSIBLE BASIN MIXER, TIMED FLOW
TCL-01	TIMBER VERTICAL WEATHERBOARD LOOK ALUMINIUM CLADDING SYSTEM AS PER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-02	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 1 45x135mm, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-05	TIMBER LOOK ALUMINIUM BATTEN SYSTEM TYPE 4 50x200mm, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE
TRH-CoJ	TOILET PAPER DISPENSER, SUPPLIED BY COJ INSTALLED BY BUILDER
TRH-UV	TOILET ROLL HOLDER, ACCESSIBLE, VANDAL RESISTANT
UR-1	URINAL WITH SENSOR FLUSHING SYSTEM
WC-UV1	ACCESSIBLE TOILET SUITE WITH CONTRASTING SEAT, SS. WALL FACED
WC-V	STANDARD AND AMBULANT TOILET PAN, SS, VANDAL RESISTANT
WT-02	WALL TILES: WET AREA FEATURE
WT-E01	WALL CLADDING TILES WITH EPOXY GROUT, REFER EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE

GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS
2. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SCALED DIMENSIONS
3. LARGE SCALE DRAWINGS SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SMALLER SCALE DRAWINGS
4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CARRY OUT THE WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION, THE NCC AND THE LOCAL AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS
5. ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE NEW & CONFORM TO WHAT IS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS AND THE SPECIFICATION
6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK & VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE SITE, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY BUILDING WORK
7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE SITE & NOTE ALL VISIBLE SITE CONDITIONS & ACCESS REQUIREMENTS
8. ALL DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH CONSULTANT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATION & REPORTS
9. ALL FINISHES TO COMPLY WITH NCC C1.10
10. SUPPLY AND INSTALL CLEAR AND LEGIBLE SIGNS (BRAILLE AND TACTILE SIGNAGE) INCORPORATING THE INTERNATIONAL SYMBOL OF ACCESS OR DEAFNESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH BCA-D3.6.
11. ALL FIXTURES, FITTINGS, MATERIALS, FINISHES,SIGNAGE AND CONSTRUCTION TO COMPLY WITH NCC PART C1.9 (NON COMBUSTIBLE BUILDING ELEMENTS) AND C1.14 (ANCILLARY ELEMENTS - INCLUDE ATTACHMENTS SUCH AS SIGNAGE TO EXTERNAL WALLS).
16. REFER TO ENGINEER'S DRAWINGS FOR ALL SERVICES LOCATION AND DETAILS, INCLUDING EXTENT OF SERVICES PRELAYS AND TRENCHING
17.REFER STRUCTURAL ENGINEER'S DRAWING'S FOR ALL STRUCTURAL COMPONENT SIZING.
18.SERVICES CONDUITS ALONG CONCRETE ELEMENTS ASSUMED TO BE SURFACE MOUNTED, CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM WITH STRUCTURAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO CORING/CHASING.
19.CONTRACTOR SHALL SEEK CLARIFICATIONS FROM ARCHITECTS SHOULD THERE BE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS.

WALL SCHEDULE	
TAG	DESCRIPTION
B140	140mm BLOCKWORK WALL, HARDWALL PLASTER AND WALL TILES AS SCHEDULED INTERNALLY. TIMBER LOOK CLADDING SYSTEM EXTERNALLY AS SPEC. OF SCHEDULE
B140-A	140mm BLOCKWORK WALL, 10mm HARDWALL PLASTER AND WALL TILES TO 1200mm HEIGHT FACE BLOCK OVER
BR01-A	90mm BRICK WALL, 10mm HARD PLASTER TO ONE SIDE
C175	175mm PRECAST CONCRETE, CLASS2 FINISH, SEALED FINISH
LT-01	ALUMINIUM PANELS LOUVRE SCREEN, ANODISED FINISH
SC-01	ALUMINIUM SCREEN WALL, POWDERCOATED FINISH
TLC-01	EXTERNAL TIMBER LOOK CLADDING AS SPEC. OF SCHEDULE

TAG KEY:

DOOR TYPE / DOOR # → D## / X
WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW # → W## / X
WALL TYPE TAG → WT-##
WALL TYPE #
DONWPIPE TAG → DP-##
DOWNPIPE #
LOUVRE TYPE / LOUVRE # → LT-XX / LT-XX
FFL X.XXX — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
RL X.XXX — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX
> KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQMENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
A	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025

City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383


Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5140
Email: admin@hcparch.com

PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 3 - TOILET BLOCK
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
FLOOR PLAN

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
As indicated	A1	78.24
DRAWN: IZ		REVISION: T1
CHECKED / DATE: JC		

3-A100




1 - SOUTH-WEST ELEVATION

1 : 50


2 - NORTH-EAST ELEVATION

1 : 50



4 - SOUTH-EAST ELEVATION

1 : 50



3 - NORTH-WEST ELEVATION

1 : 50

FINISHES LEGEND	
PFC	PRECAST CONCRETE WALL REFER TO STRUCT ENGS DWGS, CLASS 2 FINISH, SEALED FINISH
TCL-02	POWDERCOATED WOOD FINISH TYPE 2, REFER TO FINISHES SCHEDULE
TCL-03	POWDERCOATED WOOD FINISH TYPE 3, REFER TO FINISHES SCHEDULE
TLC-01	ALUMINIUM PLANK CLADDING, TIMBER LOOK
WT-02	TILES FINISH REER TO WET AREA FINISHES SCHEDULE

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
A	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025


City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5740
Email: admin@hcparch.com

PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 3 - TOILET BLOCK
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
ELEVATIONS

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
1 : 50	A1	78.24
DRAWN: IZ		REVISION: T1
CHECKED / DATE: JC		3-A200



LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- W01 / 101 — WINDOW TAG: WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW NUMBER
- D01 / 101 — DOOR TAG: DOOR TYPE / DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX > KABA KEYING SYSTEM AS PER CoJ REQ'MENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR

1 GROUND FLOOR PLAN
1 : 100

LEGEND & ABBREVIATIONS - REFER FINISHES & HYDRAULIC FITTINGS + FIXTURES SCHEDULES FOR FULL DETAILS	
Key Value	Keynote Text

CN-01	CONCRETE (SMOOTH FINISH). FITOUT FINAL FLOOR BY TENANT
DP	DOWNPIPE, REFER HYD ENG'S DWGS
EPX-01	EPOXY FLOOR PAINT
EX-AGG	EXPOSED AGGREGATE CONCRETE PAVEMENT, REFER LSCAPE ARCHITECT DOCS
FT-01	FLOOR TILES: UG LOBBY & TOILETS
SD	STRIP DRAIN

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
A	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025

City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5140
Email: admin@hcparch.com


PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 2 - COMMERCIAL
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
GROUND FLOOR PLAN


SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
1 : 100	A1	78.24

DRAWN: Author
CHECKED / DATE: Checker
REVISION: T1


2-A100




1 - 1 : 100 NORTHEAST ELEVATION




3 - 1 : 100 SOUTHWEST ELEVATION




2 - 1 : 100 SOUTHEAST ELEVATION



4 - 1 : 100 NORTHWEST ELEVATION



6 - 1 : 10 ILLUMINATED BLADE SIGNAGE




7 - 1 : 10 ILLUMINATED BLADE SIGNAGE - SECTION


LEGEND

- P01 — WALL TYPE TAG - REFER WALL TYPE SCHEDULE
- TCL-01 — FINISH TAG
- W01 / 101 — WINDOW TAG: WINDOW TYPE / WINDOW NUMBER
- D01 / 101 — DOOR TAG: DOOR TYPE / DOOR NUMBER
- FFL 5.000 — PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL
- RL 5.000 — PROPOSED SITE LEVEL
- D37 — KEYING MATRIX REFERENCE - TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH KEYING MATRIX DRAWINGS > KEYING REQUIREMENTS PER DOJ REQUIREMENTS TO BE ALLOWED FOR BY CONTRACTOR


BAL-01	SEMI-FRAMELESS GLASS BALUSTRADE
CM-01	CARPET ENTRY MATTING TYPE 1
CON-01	CONCRETE, CAST IN SITU
CON-02	FEATURE CONCRETE, CAST IN PLACE, EXPRESSED FORM TIES
CON-03	CONCRETE, CAST IN SITU, GROOVE TEXTURE
EPX-01	EXPOXY FLOOR PAINT TYPE 1
FT-01	TILES TYPE 1 AS SPECIFIED
LVR-01	WEATHERPROOF LOUVRES, PC-01 FINISH
PC-01	POWDERCOAT, BRONZE
PT-01	PLASTERBOARD, WHITE PAINT FINISH
PUF-02	POLYUETHANE FLOORING TYPE 2 CLUB ROOM & PLANT ROOM FLOOR
TCL-01	ALUMINIUM PLANK CLADDING, TIMBER LOOK
TCL-01	ALUMINIUM PLANK CLADDING, TIMBER LOOK
WT-01	WALL TILES TYPE 1 AS SPECIFIED



8 - 1 : 10 TLC-01 AT WINDOW JAMB & SIGNAGE LIGHTBOX



5 - 1 : 10 SIGNAGE LIGHTBOX SECTION DETAIL



9 - 1 : 10 SERVERY BENCH SECTION DETAIL

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
T1	ISSUED FOR TENDER	08.12.2025
A	RE-ISSUED FOR PTE	10.11.2025

City of Joondalup
BUILDING ASSET MANAGEMENT
90 Boas Avenue
Joondalup WA 6027
TEL: (08) 9400 4340 FAX: (08) 9300 1383

Hodge Collard Preston ARCHITECTS
Third Floor, 38 Richardson Street, West Perth, WA 6005
PO Box 743, West Perth, WA 6872
Ph: (08) 9322 5144
Fax: (08) 9322 5140
Email: admin@hcparch.com

PROJECT
SORRENTO SLSC FACILITY REDEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 2 - COMMERCIAL
189 WEST COAST DR, SORRENTO WA 6020

SHEET
EXTERNAL ELEVATIONS

SCALE	PRINT SIZE	PROJECT NUMBER
As indicated	A1	78.24
DRAWN: Author	REVISION: T1	
CHECKED / DATE: Checker		2-A200